

**Relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning  
impairment in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic**

Yu Igarashi<sup>1</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Seiichiro Tateishi<sup>1</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Arisa Harada<sup>1</sup>, M.D.,  
Ayako Hino<sup>2</sup>, M.D., M.B.A., Ph.D., Mayumi Tsuji<sup>3</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Akira Ogami<sup>4</sup>, M.D.,  
Ph.D., Koji Mori<sup>5</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Ryutaro Matsugaki<sup>6</sup>, Ph.D., Yoshihisa Fujino<sup>7</sup>, M.D.,  
M.P.H., Ph.D., for the CORoNaWork project

<sup>1</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of  
Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of  
Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational  
and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,  
University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>6</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>7</sup> Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

**Correspondence to** Seiichiro Tateishi, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishiku, Kitakyushu, 807-8555, Japan

Tel: +81-93-691-7323

Email: [tateishi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp](mailto:tateishi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp)

**Sources of Funding**

This study was funded by a research grant from the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan; a general incorporated foundation (Anshin Zaidan) for the development of educational materials on mental health measures for managers at small-sized enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants: Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002) and Research for the establishment of an occupational health system in times of disaster (H30-roudou-ippan-007); and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

### **Acknowledgements**

Present members of the Collaborative Online Research on the Novel-coronavirus and Work (CORoNaWork) Project are: Dr. Yoshihisa Fujino (current chairperson), Dr. Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Chimed-Ochir Odgerel, Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiishiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru, Dr. Tomohisa Nagata, Dr. Yosuke Mafune,

and Ms. Ning Liu, in alphabetical order. All of the members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

**Conflict of interest**

None declared.

**Ethics approval:** This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No.R2-079 and R3-006).

**Running Title:** Relationship between support for sick workers and WFun in the CORoNaWork Project

## **Relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic**

### Abstract

**Objective:** This study examined the relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Methods:** An internet survey was conducted on December, 2020. We included 22,388 subjects for analysis. A question was used to determine whether subjects need support from their company to continue working in their current health condition. The odds ratios (ORs) of relation between work functioning impairment and necessary of support for sick workers were estimated using multilevel logistic regression analysis.

**Results:** The OR of work functioning impairment among sick workers not receiving support was 5.61 (95% confidence interval (CI) 5.19-6.06,  $p < 0.001$ ) and those receiving support was 1.82 (95% CI 1.64-2.03,  $p < 0.001$ ) compared to healthy workers.

**Conclusions:** This study suggests that providing support to workers with illness may improve their work functioning impairment.

**Keywords:** Work function impairment, Presenteeism, Employment support, COVID-19,

Occupational Health

## Introduction

An estimated 80% of workers continue to work despite having an illness or health problem<sup>1</sup>. The development of medical science has made it possible for workers to recover from illnesses that previously would have impaired their ability to work<sup>2</sup>. In addition, extension of the retirement age has brought about to an increase in the workforce of elderly people, which have a higher incidence of health problems<sup>3</sup>.

The phenomenon of working while ill is known as presenteeism. Workers who engage in presenteeism experience a variety of difficulties in their work. Specifically, they have a higher risk of absenteeism and unemployment<sup>4-6</sup>, and lower work productivity<sup>6-8</sup>. Moreover, presenteeism reduces workers' quality of life<sup>9</sup>. In addition, prioritizing work may cause workers to miss opportunities for treatment, which may lead to severe disease<sup>10</sup>. To prevent the potentially detrimental effects of presenteeism on employment and the course of workers' disease, it is important to support workers who desire to continue working while ill.

There is a growing global movement to support the employment of workers with illness. Support at work includes providing workers with flexible working hours so that those with illness can work while receiving medical treatment; and employment accommodations such as job adjustments, workplace support, and physical changes in the work environment to improve their ability to work. Around the world, such support is known as "work accommodations," "reasonable accommodations," or "fit note"<sup>11-15</sup>. In Japan, it is called "Ryoritsu Shien," which means supporting workers who have the desire to continue working while suffering from an illness, with the Japanese government promoting "Ryoritsu Shien" to create a society where people with illness can also work. Accordingly, government campaigns, guidelines, and a revision of medical fees have been implemented. Further, some medical institutions have established new departments to promote the support of working people who suffer from illness<sup>16-19</sup>. Supporting the employment of workers with illness has a number of benefits, including reducing loss of work productivity<sup>20</sup>, sickness absence<sup>21</sup>, the risk of retirement<sup>11,12,22</sup> and mental burden<sup>11</sup>.

It is particularly important to provide support to workers with illness in the current COVID-19 pandemic, a period during which many have experienced interruptions to treatment<sup>23</sup>. In addition, workers with illness suffer from psychological

anxiety due to a susceptibility to infection and high risk of disease aggravation<sup>24</sup>, which is thought to lead to reduced work performance among those with illness. Thus, support for workers with illness during the COVID-19 pandemic is important for maintaining and improving workers' health condition and work performance.

We hypothesized that support for workers with illness during the COVID-19 pandemic would affect their performance at work. Thus, we examined the relationship between support for workers with illness and a decline in work performance due to presenteeism during the COVID-19 pandemic. We further examined this relationship stratified by job type, because the relationship between workers with illness and decreased work performance will likely be different depending on the type of job being performed owing to differences in the degree of demand and burden associated with each job and the types of support available.

## Methods

### **Study design and subjects**

This cross-sectional, internet monitor study was conducted on December 22–26, 2020, when Japan experienced its third wave of COVID-19 infection. Details of the protocol of this survey are reported elsewhere<sup>25</sup>. Briefly, data were collected from

workers who had employment contracts at the time of the survey and were selected based on prefecture, job type, and sex.

Out of a total of 33,302 participants in the survey, 27,036 were included in the study after removing those who provided fraudulent responses. Because support for workers is provided by companies or supervisors, we only included employed workers. A total of 4,648 subjects who indicated that their occupation was company management, executive, self-employed, SOHO (Small Office, Home Office), agriculture, forestry, fishery, or whose workplace had only one employee were excluded. A total of 22,388 individuals (10,901 males and 11,487 females) were included in this analysis. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079). Informed consent was obtained using a form on the survey website.

### **Assessment of support for workers with illness**

A single-item question was used to determine workers' need for support: "Do you need any consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition?" The participants responded by choosing from "Not necessary", "Yes, but I am not receiving support", and "Yes, I am receiving support".

We classified subjects who answered “Not necessary” as healthy workers, “Yes, but I am not receiving support” as sick workers not receiving support from their company, and “Yes, I am receiving support” as sick workers receiving support from their company.

#### Assessment of work functioning impairment

We assessed the decline in workers’ performance due to presenteeism using the work functioning impairment scale (WFun). WFun is a self-reported questionnaire developed using the Rasch model<sup>26</sup>. It consists of seven questions and the total score ranges from 7 to 35. The higher the score, the severity of work functioning impairment. A score of 21 or higher is defined as mild to severe work functioning impairment due to health problems. The utility of WFun was confirmed by the results of interviews with occupational health nurses in a previous study<sup>27</sup>. In this study, we used a six-item version, which can be equivalently converted to those of the original version based on the Rasch model.

#### Other covariates

The following survey items were considered confounding factors: age, sex, household income, educational status, number of employees at the workplace and marriage status.

### Statistical analysis

The odds ratios (ORs) of work functioning impairment associated with support for workers with illness were estimated using a multilevel logistic regression model.

The model was adjusted for age, sex, household income, educational status, the number of employees at the workplace, and marriage status

We further estimated the multivariate ORs of work functioning impairment associated with support for workers with illness stratified by job type (mainly desk work, mainly interpersonal communication, and mainly manual labor).

A p value less than .05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted using R ver.1.4.1103 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria)<sup>28</sup>.

## Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the subjects in this study. 73.6% (n=16,486) of the subjects were healthy workers, 16.8% (n=3,765) were sick workers receiving support from their company, and 9.5% (n=2,137) were sick workers not receiving support from their company. The mean age and proportion of current smokers were lower among sick workers receiving support from their company than sick workers not receiving support from their company. In contrast, household income, educational background, the number of employees at the workplace, and marriage status tended to be higher among sick workers receiving support from their company than sick workers not receiving support from their company.

Table 2 shows the ORs and adjusted ORs derived from multivariate models of mild to severe work functioning impairment. ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment in age- and sex-adjusted subjects, were significantly higher among sick workers not receiving support from their company (OR=5.61, 95% confidence interval (CI) 5.19-6.06,  $p<0.001$ ) and sick workers receiving support from their company (OR=1.80, 95% CI 1.62-2.00,  $p<0.001$ ) than healthy workers, respectively. After adjusting for household income, educational status, the number of employees at the workplace, and marriage status, ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment were likewise significantly higher in sick workers not receiving support from their

company (OR=5.49, 95%CI 5.08-5.93,  $p<0.001$ ) and sick workers receiving support from their company (OR=1.80, 95%CI 1.62-2.00,  $p<0.001$ ) than healthy workers, respectively.

We also examined the relationship after stratifying by job type: mainly desk work, mainly interpersonal communication, mainly manual labor. Among subjects engaged mainly in desk work, the ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment were significantly higher in sick workers not receiving support from their company (OR=6.70, 95%CI 5.99-7.50,  $p<0.001$ ) and sick workers receiving support from their company (OR=1.84, 95%CI 1.59-2.14,  $p<0.001$ ) than healthy workers. Likewise, among subjects engaged mainly in interpersonal communication, the ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment were significantly higher in sick workers not receiving support from their company (OR=4.67, 95%CI 4.00-5.45,  $p<0.001$ ) and sick workers receiving support from their company (OR=1.92, 95%CI 1.55-2.38,  $p<0.001$ ) than healthy workers. Similarly, among subjects engaged mainly in manual labor, the ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment were significantly higher in sick workers not receiving support from their company (OR=4.97, 95%CI 4.28-5.77,  $p<0.001$ ) and sick workers receiving support from their company (OR=1.67, 95%CI 1.35-2.07,  $p<0.001$ ) than healthy workers. Multivariate analysis showed similar trends in

the adjusted ORs of mild to severe work functioning impairment to those observed in the unadjusted models.

## Discussion

This study examined the relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment in a large population living in Japan. Workers who felt unsupported had a 5.5-fold higher OR of mild to severe work functioning impairment than those who did not need support. Meanwhile, workers who felt supported had a 1.8-fold higher OR of work functioning impairment than workers who did not need support. The reduced risk of work functioning impairment for workers receiving support suggests that a company's support of workers with illness may improve worker performance. To our knowledge, this is the first study to show a relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment in Japan.

We showed that workers with illness have higher work functioning impairment than those who are healthy. Individuals who work with health problems have been reported to experience work disability and lower work productivity<sup>6-8</sup>. There are a variety of reasons why workers with illnesses have reduced work ability. First, the

nature of their symptoms or illness can reduce workers' ability to perform their job or certain tasks. For example, pain or musculoskeletal diseases, which are typical causes of presenteeism, lead to decreased physical tolerance and hinder performance in tasks such as handling heavy objects. In addition, sleep disorders and mental health problems, which are a major cause of presenteeism, impair concentration and cognitive function<sup>29</sup>. Some illnesses require workers to restrict certain tasks, such as shift work, business trips, and work at heights, to ensure safety and to avoid worsening the illness. Second, hospitalization and hospital visits for treatment may reduce working hours and interrupt work schedules. Individuals who work while experiencing work-related disability due to presenteeism have an increased risk of future days off work, job loss, and exclusion from the labor market<sup>4-6</sup>. Appropriate support for workers, such as changing the contents of their work and adjusting their working hours, are expected to decrease the loss in work performance due to presenteeism and to reduce the risk of lost work days and unemployment.

Company support can reduce work functioning impairment among workers with illness. In this study, we found that the OR of mild to severe work functioning impairment was approximately three times higher among workers who felt unsupported than those who felt supported. People who work with illness or under poor health

experience a variety of difficulties performing their duties and continuing to work.

Support for workers with illness in the form of adjustments to work hours and shifts, job descriptions to match their work ability, and changes to the physical work environment can help them adjust to work. These actions are thought to maintain workers' ability to perform work while suppressing the decline in work functioning impairment. In addition, support from supervisors and coworkers is thought to enhance the effectiveness of these actions. Effectiveness is also considered enhanced by a good health climate, or in other words the perception shared by employees of the degree to which the team they belong to is concerned about health issues, and their care and communication of related issues. A previous study reported that greater support from supervisors and colleagues is associated with lower work productivity loss due to presenteeism<sup>30-31</sup>. In addition, a good health climate is associated with lower presenteeism<sup>32-34</sup>. The support of companies in regulating workers' health and work environment is thus expected to lead to improvements in workers' presenteeism and performance.

We observed a similar relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment across the job types examined. We divided occupations into three groups, namely primarily desk jobs, primarily interpersonal

communication, and primarily physical labor. Different job types have different degrees of psychological and physical demands. Workers with back pain who engage in physical work naturally require different support to those undergoing anti-cancer drug treatment who work at a desk. While we did not identify the details of the support provided, our results nevertheless suggest that providing support for workers based on their job type and illness and in line with their needs is useful for slowing or halting the decline in work functioning impairment across all job types. While support is increasingly being recommended for workers with illness, there remains no unified view on the best type of support to provide workers with certain illnesses and performing certain jobs. Such support is currently being implemented through collaboration among workers, employers, attending physicians, and industrial physicians. In the future, studies may identify concrete recommendations of the most effective type of support to provide according to workers' job type.

This study had some limitations. First, because it was a survey of Internet monitors, a degree of selection bias could not be unavoids. To minimize this, we selected subjects based on their region, occupation, and prefecture in terms of infection rate. Second, given the cross-sectional design, we could not determine the causal relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment.

Workers with mild work functioning impairment who are able to work may receive support more readily. Third, we did not identify workers' symptoms or disease in our study. The effect of support on the improvement in work functioning impairment may depend on the type and degree of illness or symptoms exhibited by workers, combined with their job and tasks.

In conclusion, this study showed that there is a relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment in a large population of workers across Japan. Workers with illnesses who felt they were receiving support from their companies were less likely to have work functioning impairment than those who reported not receiving support. These results suggest that support for workers with illnesses may improve their work functioning impairment.

#### Clinical Relevance

This study revealed a relationship between support for workers with illness and work functioning impairment. While workers continue to work with illnesses has been increasing, this survey shows the importance for companies to support workers with illness.

## References

1. McKeivitt C, Morgan M, Dundas R, Holland WW. Sickness absence and “working through” illness: a comparison of two professional groups. *J Public Health Med.* 1997;19(3):295-300.
2. Prins R. Sickness absence and disability: an international perspective. In: Loisel P, Anema JR, eds. *Handbook of Work Disability: Prevention and Management.* Springer; 2013:3-14.
3. EUROSTAT. hlth\_dlm040—population by type of disability, sex, age and labour status [Table]. EUROSTAT; 2011.<https://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/submitViewTableAction.do> Accessed August 25, 2021.
4. Fujino Y, Shazuki S, Izumi H, et al. Prospective cohort study of work functioning impairment and subsequent absenteeism among Japanese workers. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2016;58(7):e264-267.
5. Hansen CD, Andersen JH. Sick at work--a risk factor for long-term sickness absence at a later date? *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2009;63(5):397-402.

6. Sadatsafavi M, Rousseau R, Chen W, Zhang W, Lynd L, FitzGerald JM. The preventable burden of productivity loss due to suboptimal asthma control: a population-based study. *Chest*. 2014;145(4):787-793.
7. Dellve L, Hadzibajramovic E, Ahlborg G. Work attendance among healthcare workers: prevalence, incentives, and long-term consequences for health and performance. *J Adv Nurs*. 2011;67(9):1918-1929.
8. Merchant JA, Kelly KM, Burmeister LF, et al. Employment status matters: a statewide survey of quality-of-life, prevention behaviors, and absenteeism and presenteeism. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2014;56(7):686-698.
9. Schmitt JM, Ford DE. Work limitations and productivity loss are associated with health-related quality of life but not with clinical severity in patients with psoriasis. *Dermatology*. 2006;213(2):102-110.
10. Kinman G. Sickness presenteeism at work: prevalence, costs and management. *Br Med Bull*. 2019;129(1):69-78.
11. Zafar N, Rotenberg M, Rudnick A. A systematic review of work accommodations for people with mental disorders. *Work*. 2019;64(3):461-475.

12. Chow CM, Cichocki B, Croft B. The impact of job accommodations on employment outcomes among individuals with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(9):1126-1132.
13. Thornhill S, Williams N. Completion of the statement of fitness for work: concise guidance. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2012;12(1):63-66.
14. Dorrington S, Roberts E, Mykletun A, Hatch S, Madan I, Hotopf M. Systematic review of fit note use for workers in the UK. *Occup Environ Med.* 2018;75(7):530-539.
15. Paulides E, Geary RB, de Boer NKH, Mulder CJJ, Bernstein CN, McCombie AM. Accommodations and adaptations to overcome workplace disability in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a systematic review. *Inflamm Intest Dis.* 2019;3(3):138-144.
16. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Vital Statistics 2015. (In Japanese). <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/jinkou/kakutei15/> Accessed September 1, 2021.
17. The Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training. Survey on Balancing Work and Illness Treatment (Web-based Patient Survey)2018. (In Japanese)

<https://www.jil.go.jp/institute/research/2018/180.html> Accessed September 1, 2021.

18. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Guideline for Support of Balancing Medical Treatment and Occupational Life in the Workplace (in Japanese).

<https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000115267.html> Accessed September 1, 2021.

19. Minohara R et al. Qualitative analysis of provided information and advice from occupational physicians to attending clinical physicians in supporting an employee's work-treatment balance. *Sangyo Eiseigaku Zasshi*. 2021;63(1):6-20. (In Japanese)

20. Okubo K, Gotoh M, Shimada K, Ritsu M, Okuda M, Crawford B. Fexofenadine improves the quality of life and work productivity in Japanese patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis during the peak cedar pollinosis season. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol*. 2005;136(2):148-154.

21. dos Santos K, Kupek E, Cunha JCCB, Blank VLG. Sickness-absenteeism, job demand-control model, and social support: a case-control study nested in a cohort of hospital workers, Santa Catarina, Brazil. *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2011;14(4):609-619.

22. Spelten ER, Sprangers MAG, Verbeek JHAM. Factors reported to influence the return to work of cancer survivors: a literature review. *Psychooncology*. 2002;11(2):124-131.
23. Czeisler MÉ, Marynak K, Clarke KEN, et al. Delay or Avoidance of Medical Care Because of COVID-19-Related Concerns - United States, June 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(36):1250-1257.
24. Erol MK, Kayıkçıoğlu M, Kılıçkap M, et al. Treatment delays and in-hospital outcomes in acute myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic: A nationwide study. *Anatol J Cardiol*. 2020;24(5):334-342.
25. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide internet-based health survey of workers during the covid-19 pandemic in 2020. *J UOEH*. 2021;43(2):217-225.
26. Fujino Y, Uehara M, Izumi H, et al. Development and validity of a work functioning impairment scale based on the Rasch model among Japanese workers. *J Occup Health*. 2015;57(6):521-531.
27. Nagata T, Fujino Y, Saito K, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the work functioning impairment scale (Wfun): a method to detect workers who have health problems

affecting their work and to evaluate fitness for work. *J Occup Environ Med.*

2017;59(6):557-562.

28. R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL

<https://www.R-project.org/>.

29. Swanson LM, Arnedt JT, Rosekind MR, Belenky G, Balkin TJ, Drake C. Sleep

disorders and work performance: findings from the 2008 National Sleep

Foundation Sleep in America poll. *J Sleep Res.* 2011;20(3):487-494.

30. Saijo Y, Yoshioka E, Nakagi Y, Kawanishi Y, Hanley SJB, Yoshida T. Social

support and its interrelationships with demand-control model factors on

presenteeism and absenteeism in Japanese civil servants. *Int Arch Occup*

*Environ Health.* 2017;90(6):539-553.

31. Schmid JA, Jarczok MN, Sonntag D, Herr RM, Fischer JE, Schmidt B.

Associations between supportive leadership behavior and the costs of

absenteeism and presenteeism: an epidemiological and economic approach. *J*

*Occup Environ Med.* 2017;59(2):141-147.

32. Zanon REB, Dalmolin G de L, Magnago TSB de S, Andolhe R, Carvalho REFL de. Presenteeism and safety culture: evaluation of health workers in a teaching hospital. *Rev Bras Enferm.* 2021;74(1):e20190463.
33. Schulz H, Zacher H, Lippke S. The importance of team health climate for health-related outcomes of white-collar workers. *Front Psychol.* 2017;8:74.
34. Liu B, Lu Q, Zhao Y, Zhan J. Can the psychosocial safety climate reduce ill-health presenteeism? Evidence from Chinese healthcare staff under a dual information processing path lens. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(8):E2969.

Characteristic	Healthy group (n=16,486)		Sick subjects without support from company (n=3,765)		Sick subjects with support from company (n=2,137)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Age, mean (SD)	46.4(10.6)*		45.7(10.2)*		44.5(10.9)*	
Sex, men	8,056	49	1,862	49	983	46
Household income						
200-299million yen	1,944	12	686	18	302	14
300-499million yen	3,902	24	1,036	28	511	24
500-699million yen	3,723	23	819	22	464	22
700-899million yen	3,086	19	573	15	415	19
900million yen or more	3,831	23	651	17	445	21
education status						
Junior high school	172	1	61	2	22	1
High school	4,271	26	996	26	511	24
Vocational school/college, Universtiy, Graduate school	12,043	73	2,708	72	1,604	75
Cuurent smoker	3,958	24	1,063	28	464	22
Number of employees at the workplace						
<10	1,633	10	370	10	192	9
<100	4,759	29	1,143	30	590	28
<1000	5,134	31	1,231	33	631	30
>1000	4,960	30	1,021	27	724	34
Marriage status, married						
	9,446	57	1,938	51	1,154	53
* represents mean and SD.						

multivariate model of mild and severe work function impairment

n (>=21)	n	Model I		
		OR	95% CI	P value
	22,388			
	16,486	1.00		
	3,765	5.61	5.19 6.06	<0.001
	2,137	1.82	1.64 2.03	<0.001
	11,514			
	8,699	1.00		
	1,740	6.70	5.99 7.50	<0.001
	1,075	1.84	1.59 2.14	<0.001
	5,359			
	3,907	1.00		
	945	4.67	4.00 5.45	<0.001
	507	1.92	1.55 2.38	<0.001
	5,515			
	3,880	1.00		
	1,080	4.97	4.28 5.77	<0.001
	555	1.67	1.35 2.07	<0.001

, educational status, number of employees at the workplace and marriage status