Characteristics and mechanism of reciprocal ST-segment depression in acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

Reciprocal ST-segment depression and ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

Qijun Gao MD, Fangfang Bie MD, Yinfu Hu MD, Yafeng Chen MD, Bo Yang MD.

Department of Cardiology,First People's Hospital of Jingmen,Jingmen, Hubei Province,P.R. China (Qijun Gao, Fangfang Bie, Yinfu Hu, Yafeng Chen)

Department of Cardiology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei Province, P.R. China (Bo Yang)

Corresponding author:

Name: Yafeng Chen

E-mail: chenyafeng2020@sina.com phone number 086-917108168

Address: Department of Cardiology,First People's Hospital of Jingmen, Xiangshan Road 168, Jingmen, Hubei Province,P.R. China 448000

word count：2020

Abstract:

Background: At present, the mechanism of reciprocal ST-segment depression(RSTD) is still not clear.

Methods:

The electrocardiogram and angiography of 85 STEMI patients were retrospectively analyzed to summarize the characteristics of ST segment changes and explore the mechanism of RSTD.

Results:

A total of 85 patients were included, of which 75 were patients with RSTD (10 patients with anterior myocardial infarction had no RSTD), all 45 patients with inferior myocardial infarction had limb leads RSTD, and 37 of them had anterior lead ST segment depression.Thirty patients with anterior myocardial infarction were accompanied by mild ST segment changes in the limb leads. According to the characteristics of RSTD, it is speculated that the mechanism of RSTD is that the action potential of infarct area decreased , which could not offset the action potential in non-infarct area.

Conclusion: the mechanism of RSTD in acute myocardial infarction maybe that the negative electrode action potential of the lead was weakened or disappeared, and the positive electrode action potential could not be completely offset, resulting in ST segment depression.

Key words: Mechanism, reciprocal ST-segment depression,electrocardiogram, STEMI.

Introduction

Acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction(STEMI) is often accompanied by ST segment depression in the leads opposite to the leads showing ST segment elevation, known as reciprocal ST segment depression (RSTD), which is seen in either ischemia at distance or mirror reflection of ST segment elevation.[1,2] The RSTD mentioned in this paper refers to mirror reflection of ST segment elevation.

At present, RSTD is described as ST segment elevation in any lead that is associated with reciprocal ST segment depression in leads whose positive pole is directed opposite to the leads with ST segment elevation[3]. However , the posterior leads do not present RSTD in anterior myocardial infarction, and RSTD in anterior leads also occur in inferior myocardial infarction[4]. Therefore, the current view is not accurate, and the mechanisms of RSTD warrant further exploration.

In this study, the electrocardiograms(ECG) of patients with acute STEMI in our hospital were analyzed to summarize the characteristics of RSTD and explore the mechanism of RSTD.

Patients and Methods:

Study population:

The study design is a retrospective analysis of patients admitted to a tertiary hospital (No.1 Hospital of Jingmen City, Hubei Province, China) from January 1, 2018 to March 31, 2021. The study population included patients presenting to the emergency department with symptoms of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and ST segment elevation in their ECGs on admission.The study was approved by the No.1 Hospital of Jingmen ethics committee and oral consent was obtained from patients.

Method:

All patients subsequently underwent emergency coronary angiographies. The ECG and cardiac catheterization images were retrospectively analyzed by cardiologists.

The diagnosis of STEMI was based on the ECG criteria of ST segment deviations provided by the last consensus document.[5] RSTD was defined as ST segment depression > 0.05 mV in any leads, 40 ms after the J-point.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Patients with non-coronary artery disease or multi-vessel disease (2) Right or left bundle branch block (3)hypertrophic or dilated cardiomyopathy, and (4)significant arrhythmias including atrial fibrillation and ventricular tachycardia.

Study protocol:

Patients were divided into four groups according to their ECG on admission:

1. The anterior STEMI without RSTD; 2. The anterior STEMI with RSTD; 3. Inferior STEMI with RSTD in the anterior lead; 4. Inferior STEMI without RSTD in the anterior lead.

The number of each group and the characteristics of the ST segment changes were summarized.

Results:

A total of 85 patients were included, of which 75 were patients with RSTD (10 patients with anterior myocardial infarction had no RSTD), all 45 patients with inferior myocardial infarction had limb leads RSTD, and 37 of them had anterior lead ST segment depression(Right coronary artery occlusion was found in 28 cases and circumflex artery occlusion in 9 cases).

Thirty patients with anterior myocardial infarction were accompanied by mild ST segment changes in the limb leads. (ST segment depression ≤ 0.1 mV appeared in 25 patients and ST segment depression of 0.1–0.2 mV appeared in 5 patients). Twenty-five of the patients combined with inferior ST segment depression. The degree of ST segment depression in lead III was approximately equal to the degree of ST segment elevation in lead aVL. The degree of ST segment depression in lead aVF was about half of that in lead III, and there was no significant depression in lead II(Figure 1). There were 5 cases of anterior myocardial infarction with ST segment elevation in inferior leads (less than 0.1 mV) and RSTD in aVR or aVL lead.

All ECGs of inferior STEMI had aVL or aVR lead ST segment depression. In 34 cases, the degree of ST segment elevation of lead III was greater than that of lead II(Right coronary artery occlusion was found in 26 cases and circumflex artery occlusion in 8 cases). The degree of ST segment depression of lead aVL was significantly greater than that of lead aVR . In two cases, the degree of ST segment elevation of lead II was greater than that of lead III (circumflex artery occlusion), and in 9 cases, the degree of ST segment elevation of lead II was similar to that of lead III(right coronary artery occlusion was found in 1 case and circumflex artery occlusion in 8 cases). The ST segment depression of lead aVL and lead aVR was also similar, which was about half of the elevations of the ST segment in lead III and lead II.

Discussion

The current view regarding RSTD is not accurate. Specifically, RSTD neither necessarily occurs on the opposite side of the infarct area nor does it occur only on the opposite side of the infarct area. In present study, we noted that RSTD can occur in any lead with the infarct area as the negative pole.

Since the ECG records the potential changes of the positive and negative electrodes[6], the reason for the RSTD should be analyzed from the potential change of the positive and negative electrodes of the leads, respectively.

Transmembrane action potential(AP), formed by transmembrane ions, causes periodic changes in the potential inside myocardial cells, as well as reverse periodic changes in the membrane potential(). [7] The membrane potential can be sensed by the electrode. The potential change of positive electrode recorded by the lead is the same as the change in membrane potential (), while the potential change of negative electrode is the opposite () ,which is similar to the change of intracellular potential. Under normal conditions, all myocardium changes synchronously , there is no current within the myocardium, and this fluctuation of the extracellular potential is not shown on the ECG. When myocardial injury occurs, the action potential (AP) is weakened, the AP of the normal myocardium cannot be offset by the AP of the injured

myocardium, and ST segment changes appear. The ST segment of the lead with the injured area as a positive electrode is elevated, while the ST segment of the lead with the injured area as a negative electrode is depressed.

.

The relationship between the magnitude of ST-segment elevation and reciprocal ST-segment depression is unclear[3] . We found for the first time that the degree of ST-segment depression in limb leads can be calculated from the degree of ST-segment elevation.

The negative electrode of the standard limb leads is a single limb electrode, whereas the negative electrode of the three aV limb leads is the average value of the two limb electrodes, and this must be calculated.[7]

When the amplitude of the action potential (AAP) of one limb electrode decreased, the degree of ST segment depressions in the standard limb lead with the infarct area as the negative pole were equal to the degree of ST segment elevation in the lead with the infarct area as the positive pole, while the degree of ST segment depressions in the aV lead were only half of that in the standard limb lead. Figure 1 is an ECG of a patient with acute anterolateral wall STEMI, the ST segment of lead I elevated by 0.1mV, indicated the amplitude of the action potential (AAP) of left arm electrode reduced 0.1mV(assessed from ECG), the left arm electrode is the negative electrode of lead III, half the negative electrode of the lead aVF , so the ST segment depressed 0.1mV in lead III and 0.05mV in the lead aVF.

When two limb electrodes were affected by myocardial infarction, the electrode recording the normal myocardium should be determined first. Figure 3 shows an ECG of a patient with inferior myocardial infarction and right ventricular myocardial infarction, the normal myocardium was recorded by the left arm electrode. The ST segment of lead III elevated by 0.7 mV indicates that the leg electrode decreased by 0.7 mV. The ST segment of lead II elevated by 0.5 mV indicates that the AAP of the leg electrode was 0.5 mV less than that of the right arm electrode，so the AAP of the right arm electrode was 0.2 mV less than that of the left arm electrode. The negative

electrode of aVL is the average value of the right arm and leg electrode, AAP decreased 0.45 mV [(0.7 mV + 0.2 mV) / 2], and the ST segment decreased by 0.45 mV. The negative electrode of aVR is the average value of the left arm and leg electrode, AAP decreased 0.35 mV[(0+ 0.7 mV)/2], ST segment decreased by 0.15 mV(0.35 mV -0.2 mV), and lead I decreased by 0.2 mV.

According to the current view, anterior wall myocardial infarction should have RSTD in the posterior wall[3] , but this is not the case (Figure 3). This is because the negative pole of all leads is in the limb leads, and part of the anterior wall myocardial infarction may not involve the limb leads. In this study, there were 10 patients with anterior myocardial infarction who showed no RSTD in any leads. There were 25 patients with anterior wall myocardial infarction combined with left lateral wall myocardial infarction and 5 patients with inferior wall myocardial infarction. The range of the inferior wall or left lateral wall myocardial infarction in patients with anterior wall myocardial infarction is generally small, with slight ST segment elevation in limb leads and most patients do not have RSTD in the posterior leads.

Currently the depression in leads V1and V2 is considered the reciprocal of and similar in meaning to the ST-segment elevation in the V8 and V9.[3] However, depression in leads V1and V2 is also seen in right ventricular myocardial infarction. [8] The negative electrode of precordial leads is the Wilson's Central Terminal, which is the average value of three limb electrodes. Myocardial infarcts with ST segment elevation in limb leads may cause RSTD in precordial leads. The depression in leads V1 and V2 is the RSTD of ST elevation in the inferior leads, not the posterior or right ventricular leads. The ST segment depression of V1 was rare in right ventricular myocardial infarction, because right ventricular action potential recorded by V1 was decreased too. ST segment was mostly normal or elevated and only a few showed depression.

The reason for the absence of RSTD in the precordial leads in inferior infarction was that the precordial electrode was close to the infarct area and the AAP was also reduced. Another reason was that the AAP of the inferior myocardium was slightly weakened, which had no obvious effect on the potential of the negative electrode of

the precordial lead. Among the 13 patients with inferior myocardial infarction without ST segment depression in the anterior leads, ST segment elevation in the inferior leads was 0.1 mV in five patients, 0.1–0.2 mV in seven patients, and 0.4 mV in one patient(combined with extensive anterior wall ST segment elevation).

The link between RSTD and clinical outcomes has been extensively studied since long , and majority of the previous research suggests that RSTD is associated with clinical prognosis.[9-14] ST segment depression occurs in some patients due to multivessel lesions, and the prognosis of these patients is poor. However, even in patients without multivessel disease, RSTD may be associated with poor prognosis. RSTD is related to the degree of ST segment elevation—the more the ST segment elevation in limb leads, the greater the risk of ST segment depression in chest leads. Furthermore, the degree of RSTD between limb leads is directly related to the degree of ST segment elevation in the infarct area.

Limitations: It is difficult to obtain patients' local myocardial action potential clinically. Thus, we can only speculate about the mechanism in terms of the characteristics of ST segment change, but the mechanism we have proposed completely conforms to the characteristics of ST segment change, making it the most reasonable and scientific mechanism so far. It is expected that experiments on animals could obtain the local action potential of the myocardium and directly verify the proposed mechanism.

In summary, the mechanism of RSTD in acute myocardial infarction maybe that the AP of negative electrode of the lead was weakened or disappeared, and the AP of positive electrode could not be completely offset, resulting in ST segment depression.

Contributorship Statement

The study was designed by QG and BY, FB collected the ECG,QG drafted the manuscript, YH contributed to critical revision.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any funding.

Reference

1. Radwan HI, Ahmed AAE, Ammar AS, Roshdy HS. Relation of collateral circulation with reciprocal changes in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. J Electrocardiol. 2020 May-Jun;60:36-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2020.03.011. Epub 2020 Mar 24. PMID: 32247072.

2. Vaidya GN, Antoine S, Imam SH, Kozman H, Smulyan H, Villarreal D. Reciprocal ST-Segment Changes in Myocardial Infarction: Ischemia at Distance Versus Mirror Reflection of ST-Elevation. Am J Med Sci. 2018 Feb;355(2):162-167. doi: 10.1016/j.amjms.2017.09.004. Epub 2017 Sep 18. PMID: 29406044.

3. Rautaharju PM, Surawicz B, Gettes LS, Bailey JJ, Childers R, Deal BJ, Gorgels A, Hancock EW, Josephson M, Kligfield P, Kors JA, Macfarlane P, Mason JW, Mirvis DM, Okin P, Pahlm O, van Herpen G, Wagner GS, Wellens H; American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; American College of Cardiology Foundation; Heart Rhythm Society. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part IV: the ST segment, T and U waves, and the QT interval: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society. Endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009 Mar 17;53(11):982-91. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.12.014. PMID: 19281931.

4. Birnbaum Y, Wagner GS, Barbash GI, Gates K, Criger DA, Sclarovsky S, Siegel RJ, Granger CB, Reiner JS, Ross AM. Correlation of angiographic findings and right (V1 to V3) versus left (V4 to V6) precordial ST-segment depression in inferior wall acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 1999 Jan 15;83(2):143-8. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9149(98)00814-5. PMID: 10073811.

5. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, White HD; Executive Group on behalf of the Joint European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA)/World Heart Federation (WHF) Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial

Infarction. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018 Oct 30;72(18):2231-2264. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1038. Epub 2018 Aug 25. PMID: 30153967.

6. Bayés de Luna, A. (Ed.) (2012). Ischemia and Necrosis In Clinical electrocardiography (4th ed., pp. 216–270). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing.

7. Antoni Bayés de Luna; Diego Goldwasser; Miquel Fiol; Antoni Bayés-Genis, Surface electrocardiography. In: Valentin Fuster, Robert A. Harrington, Jagat Narula, Zubin J. Eapen, Hurst's The Heart, 14e-.McGrawHill, 2017.

8. Tierala I, Nikus KC, Sclarovsky S, Syvänne M, Eskola M; HAAMU Study Group. Predicting the culprit artery in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction and introducing a new algorithm to predict infarct-related artery in inferior ST-elevation myocardial infarction: correlation with coronary anatomy in the HAAMU Trial. J Electrocardiol. 2009 Mar-Apr;42(2):120-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2008.12.009. Epub 2009 Jan 22. PMID: 19167011.

9. Peterson ED, Hathaway WR, Zabel KM, Pieper KS, Granger CB, Wagner GS, Topol EJ, Bates ER, Simoons ML, Califf RM. Prognostic significance of precordial ST segment depression during inferior myocardial infarction in the thrombolytic era: results in 16,521 patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996 Aug;28(2):305-12. doi: 10.1016/0735-1097(96)00133-7. PMID: 8800102.

10. Kidambi A, Mather AN, Uddin A, Motwani M, Ripley DP, Herzog BA, McDiarmid A, Gunn J, Plein S, Greenwood JP. Reciprocal ECG change in reperfused ST-elevation myocardial infarction is associated with myocardial salvage and area at risk assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Heart. 2013 Nov;99(22):1658-62. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304439. Epub 2013 Sep 7. PMID: 24014279.

11. Chen TE, Lo PH, Li TC, Lin KH, Lin JJ, Hsieh LC, Chang CP, Chen YP, Chang KC, Wang HJ. Prognostic significance of reciprocal ST-segment depression in patients with acute STEMI undergoing immediate invasive intervention. Am J Emerg Med. 2012 Nov;30(9):1865-71. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2012.03.032. Epub 2012 May 23. PMID: 22633733.

12. Namdar H, Imani L, Ghaffari S, Aslanabadi N, Reshadati N, Samani Z, Davarmoin G, Moayyednia N, Nazer Y, Sarhangzadeh S, Separham A. ST-segment depression in left precordial leads in electrocardiogram of patients with acute inferior myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Interv Med Appl Sci. 2018 Dec;10(4):191-197. doi: 10.1556/1646.10.2018.19. PMID: 30792911; PMCID: PMC6376358.

13. Birnbaum Y, Herz I, Sclarovsky S, Zlotikamien B, Chetrit A, Olmer L, Barbash GI. Prognostic significance of precordial ST segment depression on admission electrocardiogram in patients with inferior wall myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996 Aug;28(2):313-8. doi: 10.1016/0735-1097(96)00173-8. PMID: 8800103.

14. Yousif H, Lo E, Taha T, Stockins B, Oakley CM. The diagnostic significance of reciprocal ST segment depression in acute myocardial infarction. Q J Med. 1989 Sep;72(269):849-55. PMID: 2616730.

Figure 1. Electrocardiogram of patients with anteriorlateral wall myocardial infarction.

Figure 2. Electrocardiogram of a patient with acute inferior wall ST segment elevation myocardial infarction and right ventricular myocardial infarction.

Figure 3. Electrocardiogram of a patient with acute anterior ST segment elevation myocardial infarction.


I
V1
V3R
II
V2
V4R
III
V3
V5R
aVR
V4
V7
aVL
V5
V8
aVF
V6
V9


I
II
III
aVR
aVL
aVF
V1
V2
V3
V4
V5
V6
V3R
V4R
V5R
V7
V8
V9


I
V1
V3R
II
V2
V4R
III
V3
V5R
aVR
V4
V7
aVL
V5
V8
aVF
V6
V9