Strong humoral immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 Spike after BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination with a sixteen-week interval between doses

Alexandra Tauzin[1,2], Shang Yu Gong[1,3], Guillaume Beaudoin-Bussières[1,2], Dani Vézina[1], Romain Gasser[1,2], Lauriane Nault[1,2], Lorie Marchitto[1,2], Mehdi Benlarbi[1], Debashree Chatterjee[1], Manon Nayrac[1,2], Annemarie Laumaea[1,2], Jérémie Prévost[1,2], Marianne Boutin[1,2], Gérémy Sannier[1,2], Alexandre Nicolas[1,2], Catherine Bourassa[1], Gabrielle Gendron-Lepage[1], Halima Medjahed[1], Guillaume Goyette[1], Yuxia Bo[4], Josée Perreault[5], Laurie Gokool[1], Chantal Morrisseau[1], Pascale Arlotto[1], Renée Bazin[5], Mathieu Dubé[1], Gaston De Serres[6], Nicholas Brousseau[6], Jonathan Richard[1,2], Roberta Rovito[7], Marceline Côté[4], Cécile Tremblay[1,2], Giulia C. Marchetti[7], Ralf Duerr[8], Valérie Martel-Laferrière[1,2,*], Daniel E. Kaufmann[1,9,*], and Andrés Finzi[1,2,3,10,*]

[1]Centre de Recherche du CHUM, Montreal, QC, H2X 0A9 Canada
[2]Département de Microbiologie, Infectiologie et Immunologie, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, H2X 0A9, Canada
[3]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H3A 2B4, Canada
[4]Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, and Center for Infection, Immunity, and Inflammation, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1H 8M5, Canada
[5]Héma-Québec, Affaires Médicales et Innovation, Quebec, QC G1V 5C3, Canada
[6]Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, Quebec, QC, H2P 1E2, Canada
[7]Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Department of Health Sciences, ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo, University of Milan, Milan, Italy.
[8]Department of Microbiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, 10016, USA
[9]Département de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, H3T 1J4, Canada

[10]Lead contact
*Correspondence: valerie.martel-laferriere.med@ssss.gouv.qc.ca (V.M.L.),
daniel.kaufmann@umontreal.ca (D.E.K.), andres.finzi@umontreal.ca (A.F.)

Summary word count: **133**

Character count: **42258**

SUMMARY

While the standard regimen of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine includes two doses

administered three weeks apart, some public health authorities decided to space them, raising

concerns about vaccine efficacy. Here, we analyzed longitudinal humoral responses including

antibody binding, Fc-mediated effector functions and neutralizing activity against the D614G strain

but also variants of concern and SARS-CoV-1 in a cohort of SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously

infected individuals, with an interval of sixteen weeks between the two doses. While the

administration of a second dose to previously infected individuals did not significantly improve

humoral responses, we observed a significant increase of humoral responses in naïve individuals

after the 16-weeks delayed second shot, achieving similar levels as in previously infected

individuals. Our results highlight strong vaccine-elicited humoral responses with an extended

interval BNT162b2 vaccination for naïve individuals.

KEYWORDS: Coronavirus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Spike glycoproteins, Delayed mRNA

vaccine regimen, Variants of concern, Variants of interest, Humoral responses, Neutralization,

ADCC

INTRODUCTION

Since the end of 2019, the etiological agent of the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread worldwide causing the current pandemic (Dong et al., 2020; World Health Organization). In the last months, several vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 have been approved in many countries, including the Pfizer/BioNtech BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. This vaccine targets the highly immunogenic trimeric Spike (S) glycoprotein that facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entry into host cells via its receptor-binding domain (RBD) that interacts with angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020) and has shown an important vaccine efficacy (Polack et al., 2020; Skowronski and De Serres, 2021).

The approved BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine regimen comprises two doses administered 3-4 weeks apart (WHO, 2021). However, at the beginning of the vaccination campaign (Winter/Spring 2021) vaccine scarcity prompted some public health agencies to extend the interval between doses in order to maximize the number of immunized individuals. This strategy was supported by results indicating that a single dose affords ~90% protection starting two weeks post vaccination, concomitant with the detection of some vaccine-elicited immune responses (Baden et al., 2021; Pilishvili, 2021; Polack et al., 2020; Skowronski and De Serres, 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021).

The rapid emergence of several variants of concerns (VOCs) and variants of interest (VOIs), which are more transmissible and in some cases more virulent (Allen et al., 2021; Brown et al., 2021; Davies et al., 2021; Fisman and Tuite, 2021; Pearson et al., 2021) remains a major public health preoccupation as the vaccine campaign advances worldwide. For example, the mutation D614G in the S glycoprotein which appeared very early in the pandemic is now present in almost all circulating strains (Isabel et al., 2020). The B.1.1.7 (Alpha) variant emerged in late 2020 in the United Kingdom and due to its increased affinity for the ACE2 receptor that leads to increased transmissibility (Davies et al., 2021), it became in just a few months a predominant strain

worldwide (Davies et al., 2021; Prévost et al., 2021; Rambaut et al., 2020). The B.1.351 (Beta)

and P.1 (Gamma) variants that first emerged in South Africa and Brazil respectively have largely

spread and are now circulating in many countries (ECDC, 2021; Tang et al., 2021). The B.1.526

(Iota) variant first identified in New York in early 2021 (Annavajhala et al., 2021a) is in an upward

trajectory in the United States (Annavajhala et al., 2021b). More recently, the B.1.617.2 (Delta)

variant which emerged in India and has a high transmissibility is now the dominant strain in several

countries (Allen et al., 2021; Dagpunar, 2021). Although several studies have shown that mRNA

vaccines protect against severe disease caused by these variants, it has also been shown that

some of them present resistance to some vaccine-elicited immune responses, notably against

neutralizing antibodies (Annavajhala et al., 2021a; Goel et al., 2021a; Planas et al., 2021a;

Puranik et al., 2021; Wall et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). Most of these studies were based on

the analysis of plasma samples collected from vaccinees following a short (3-4 weeks) interval

between doses. Little is known about vaccine-elicited immune responses with longer dose

intervals. Here, we characterized vaccine-elicited humoral responses in a cohort of SARS-CoV-2

naïve and previously infected individuals that received the two doses with an extended interval of

sixteen weeks.

RESULTS

We analyzed the longitudinal humoral responses after vaccination with the BNT162b2

mRNA vaccine in blood samples, with an interval of around 16 weeks between the two doses

(median [range]: 111 days [90–134 days]). The cohort included 22 SARS-CoV-2 naïve and 21

previously infected (PI) donors tested SARS-CoV-2 positive by nasopharyngeal swab PCR

around 9 months before their first dose (median [range]: 280 days [116-326 days]). In the cohort

of PI individuals, 10 donors did not receive the second injection, leaving 11 PI donors with two

doses. The blood samples were collected at different time points: prior the first dose of vaccine

(V0), three weeks (V1, median [range]: 21 days [13–28 days]) and three months (V2, median

[range]: 85 days [73–104 days]) after the first dose of vaccine and three weeks after the second

vaccine injection (V3, median [range]: 21 days [13–42 days]). Data collected at V0 and V1 have

been previously described (Tauzin et al., 2021). Basic demographic characteristics of the cohorts

and detailed vaccination timepoints are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1A.

Elicitation of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies against the full Spike and its receptor-binding

domain

To evaluate vaccine responses in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and PI individuals, we first

measured the presence of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies (Abs) (IgG, IgM, IgA) recognizing the

receptor-binding domain (Figure 1B-E) using an ELISA RBD assay or the native full-length S

glycoprotein expressed at the cell surface (Figure 1F-I) using a cell-based ELISA assay. Both

assays have been previously described (Anand et al., 2021; Beaudoin-Bussières et al., 2020;

Prévost et al., 2020). Prior to vaccination (V0), no SARS-CoV-2 specific Abs were detectable in

SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals, except for anti-Spike IgM (31.8% seropositivity) which are likely

to be cross-reactive antibodies against the S2 subunit (Fraley et al., 2021; Hicks et al., 2020; Ng

et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 PI individuals still had detectable Abs several months post-symptoms

onset, especially IgG, in agreement with previous observations (Anand et al., 2021; Dan et al.,

2021; Tauzin et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021b). For both groups, the first dose of vaccine induced

a significant increase of total immunoglobulins (Igs) recognizing the RBD or the Spike protein

three weeks post-vaccine (V1), with a significantly higher response for the PI group (Figure 1B-

I). At V2 (i.e., 12 weeks post vaccination), while anti-Spike total Ig levels remained stable, we

observed a decrease in anti-RBD total Ig levels in both groups, with the exception of some naïve

donors where we observed an increase. We did not detect Abs recognizing the N protein for

these donors (not shown), suggesting that they had not been infected between the two doses.

This increase could therefore be linked to a delayed response or affinity maturation of the

antibodies in the germinal center between V1 and V2. The second dose, which was administered

~16 weeks after the first one, strongly boosted the induction of anti-RBD Igs in the SARS-CoV-2

naïve group, particularly IgG and IgA which reached higher levels, albeit not statistically

significant, than those measured three weeks after the first dose (Figure 1D and E). For the PI

group, the second dose also led to an increase in the level of total anti-RBD Igs that reached

similar levels than after the first dose. Of note, the second dose in the naïve group elicited anti-

RBD IgG levels that reached the same levels than in the PI group receiving two doses and

significantly higher than PI receiving only one (Figure 1D). Similar patterns of responses were

observed when we measured the level of Abs recognizing the full-length S glycoprotein (Figure

1F-I).

Recognition of SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants and other *Betacoronaviruses*

The BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine has been developed against the original Wuhan strain.

However, SARS-CoV-2 is evolving, and many variants have emerged and spread rapidly

worldwide. Some harbor specific mutations in S that are associated with increased transmissibility

and/or immune evasion (Davies et al., 2020; Sabino et al., 2021; Tegally et al., 2020; Volz et al.,

2021). Here, we evaluated the ability of Abs elicited by the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine to recognize

different S proteins of VOCs (B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1 and B.1.612.2) and the VOI B.1.526 expressed

at the cell surface of 293T cells by flow cytometry, using a method we have previously described

(Figure 2, S1) (Gong et al., 2021; Prévost et al., 2020; Tauzin et al., 2021).

As expected, none of the SARS-CoV-2 naïve plasma samples collected at V0 were able

to recognize the SARS-CoV-2 S (D614G) or any of the variants tested here (B.1.1.7, B.1.351,

B.1.617.2, P.1, B.1.526) (Figure 2A-F). In contrast, plasma from PI individuals recognized all

tested SARS-CoV-2 variants at V0 (Figure 2A-F, S1). The first dose of vaccine strongly enhanced

the recognition of the full D614G S and all the tested variants in both groups (Figure 2A-F). Three

months after the first dose, the recognition slightly decreased but not significantly. As expected,

the second dose strongly increased recognition of all VOC Spikes in the naïve group and reached

levels that where significantly higher than after the first dose. In contrast, for the PI group, the

second dose did not result in a better recognition than after the first dose. Of note, we observed

no significant differences at V3 between PI individuals who received one or two doses, despite a

shorter period since the last dose for PI individuals who received two doses. The recognition of

all VOCs was slightly lower at V3 by the naïve group compared to the PI that received two doses

(Figure 2A-F). When we compared Spike recognition between the SARS-CoV-2 variants, we

observed that plasma from PI individuals before vaccination recognized less efficiently the

different S variants compared to the D614G S, with the exception of B.1.1.7 S (Figure S1A). After

the first and second dose, only B.1.351 and B.1.617.2 S were less efficiently recognized by

plasmas from PI individuals (Figure S1B-D). For naïve individuals, even if the vaccination strongly

increased the recognition of every VOC Spike tested, we observed that plasmas recognized the

different SARS-CoV-2 variants less efficiently compared to D614G S except for the B.1.1.7 S

(Figure S1).

We also evaluated whether vaccination elicited Abs that were able to recognize S

glycoproteins from endemic human *Betacoronaviruses*, (HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1).

Interestingly, we observed that the first but not the second dose enhanced the recognition of

HCoV-HKU1 S in the naïve group (Figure 2H). Moreover, we observed that plasma from PI donors

better recognized HCoV-HKU1 S than plasma from naïve donors at every time point studied,

suggesting that natural infection induced cross reactive Abs more efficiently than vaccination. In

contrast, both doses did not significantly improve the recognition of HCoV-OC43 S (Figure 2G).

We then evaluated the capacity of the different plasma samples to bind S from highly

pathogenic human coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV). We observed that PI

individuals did not have Abs able to recognize MERS-CoV S before vaccination, in contrast to

SARS-CoV-1 S (Figure 2I-J). This is likely related the closer genetic relationship between SARS-

CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 than MERS-CoV (Rabaan et al., 2020; Sarkar et al., 2021). As

previously observed (Tauzin et al., 2021), the first dose of vaccine significantly increased the

recognition of the MERS-CoV S (Figure 2I) in both groups. However, the second dose did not

enhance this recognition. On the other hand, both vaccine doses significantly increased the level

of recognition of the SARS-CoV-1 Spike in the naïve group (Figure 2J). In the PI group, only the

first dose significantly improved the recognition. We note that the long interval between doses

brings SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals to recognize the different variant Spikes and related HCoV

to the same extent than previously-infected individuals.

Functional activities of vaccine-elicited antibodies

We (Tauzin et al., 2021) and others (Collier et al., 2021; Goel et al., 2021b; Planas et al.,

2021b; Sahin et al., 2020) reported that three weeks post first Pfizer/BioNTech dose, SARS-CoV-

2 S specific antibodies with weak neutralizing properties are elicited. Nevertheless, these Abs

present robust Fc-mediated effector functions as measured by their capacity to mediate antibody-

dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (Tauzin et al., 2021). To obtain a better understanding of

this functional property over time, we tested all plasma samples with our previously reported

ADCC assay (Anand et al., 2021; Beaudoin-Bussieres et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021; Ullah et

al., 2021). As expected, and in agreement with the absence of SARS-CoV-2 S specific antibodies

at baseline, no ADCC activity was observed for the naïve group before vaccination (Figure 3A).

Plasma from the PI group maintained some levels of ADCC activity before vaccination, in

agreement with a longitudinal study following immune responses in convalescent donors (Anand

et al., 2021). Three weeks after the first dose, ADCC activity was elicited in both groups, but

significantly higher in the PI group. A decline in ADCC responses was observed in both groups

nine weeks after V1 (V2, i.e., 12 weeks post vaccination). The second dose strongly boosted

ADCC activity in the naïve group but remained stable for the PI groups. We noted that the levels

of ADCC activity were significantly higher in the PI group at all timepoints (Figure 3A).

Neutralizing activity in plasma is thought to play an important role in vaccine efficacy

(Jackson et al., 2020; Muruato et al., 2020; Polack et al., 2020). Accordingly, it has been recently

identified as an immune-correlate of protection in the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine efficacy trial

(Gilbert et al., 2021). To evaluate the vaccine neutralizing response over time, we measured the

capacity of plasma samples to neutralize pseudoviral particles carrying the SARS-CoV-2 S

D614G glycoprotein (Figure 3B). We did not detect a significant increase in neutralization in

plasma isolated three weeks post vaccination of the naïve group, as previously described (Tauzin

et al., 2021). Interestingly, nine weeks later (V2, i.e., 12 weeks post vaccination), we observed

increased neutralizing activity in a few donors (Figure 3B). All donors presented a significant

increase in neutralizing activity three weeks after the second dose. Importantly, the level of

neutralizing activity of double vaccinated naïve individuals reached the same levels than in the PI

group after one or two doses. In this latter group (PI), we measured low neutralizing activity before

vaccination, consistent with remaining neutralizing activity in convalescent donors after several

months post symptoms onset (Anand et al., 2021; Gaebler et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021). As

previously described, the first dose strongly increased neutralization activity (Stamatatos et al.,

2021; Tauzin et al., 2021), but this activity significantly decreased a few weeks after (V2, i.e., 12

weeks post vaccination). The second dose boosted the neutralizing activity to the levels reached

three weeks after the first dose. No difference in neutralization was observed between V1 and V3

for PI individuals. In contrast, in naïve individuals we observed a significantly higher neutralizing

activity after the second dose compared to the first one (Figure 3B). Thus, while one dose is

required to reach maximum neutralization activity in PI individuals, this activity decays over time

and a second dose is required to bring back its maximum potential. On the other hand, naïve

individuals requires both doses to achieve the same level of PI vaccinated individuals.

Neutralizing activity against variants of concern

SARS-CoV-2 is evolving, and variants of concern are emerging globally (Davies et al.,

2020; Prévost and Finzi, 2021; Sabino et al., 2021; Tegally et al., 2020; Volz et al., 2021). To

evaluate whether the long interval between the two doses impacted the capacity of vaccine-

elicited antibodies to neutralize VOCs and VOI, we measured the neutralizing activity against

pseudoviral particles bearing selected variant Spikes (Figure 4, S2). For all the variants tested,

we observed a similar pattern than for the D614G S, with neutralizing Abs mainly induced after

the second dose in the naïve group (Figure 4A-E). Previously-infected individuals followed a

different pattern. While their plasma had some levels of neutralizing activity at baseline, it gained

potency and breadth after the first dose. A second dose did not further enhance this activity.

Accordingly, we found no significant differences in neutralizing activity in plasma from PIs that

received one or two doses measured at V3 (i.e., week 19).

We also noted that, with the exception of B.1.1.7, plasma from the PI group prior to

vaccination (V0) neutralized less efficiently all pseudoviral particles bearing variant Spikes

compared to the D614G (Figure S2A). Importantly, both doses boosted the neutralizing activity

against all variants and SARS-CoV-1 Spike at V3 (Figure S2D).

Vaccination of PI individuals was shown to increase neutralization against pseudoviral particles bearing the SARS-CoV-1 Spike (Stamatatos et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021). This Spike is used as a representative variant that is even more dissimilar to the vaccine, which was based on the ancestral Wuhan strain. While only one dose was sufficient to provide SARS-CoV-1 neutralizing capacity in PI individuals, two were required in naïve individuals. Remarkably, plasma from naïve individuals reached the same level of neutralizing activity against pseudoviral particles bearing the SARS-CoV-1 Spike than PI. Thus, suggesting that the delayed boosting in naïve individuals allows antibody maturation resulting in enhanced breath (Figure 4F).

Humoral responses in individuals receiving a short dose interval regimen

We also analyzed the humoral responses of 11 SARS-CoV-2 naïve donors from a separate cohort who received their two doses of Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine three weeks apart (median [range]: 21 days [21–21 days]) (Table 1 and Figure 5A). Unfortunately, for these donors, blood samples were only collected at baseline (V0, prior to vaccination) and 22 weeks (median [range]: 152 days [147–158 days]) after the first dose, thus precluding a direct side-by-side comparison of humoral responses with our cohort of naïve individuals that received the two doses 16 weeks apart. In other words, the V3 of individuals receiving the second dose following a short interval regimen was collected ~19 weeks post boost whereas the V3 of those with a long interval corresponds to 3 weeks post boost (Figure 1A and 5A). While not a perfect match, we decided to compare the humoral responses of short interval vaccinated individuals with those from PI that received a single dose since their V3 was collected ~19 weeks post vaccination. While we observed no significant differences in total Ig recognizing the RBD or the full S (Figure 5B and F), single dose PI individuals had more anti-RBD IgG and IgA than naïve donors who received their two doses 3 weeks apart (Figure 5D and E). Despite minor differences in the overall amount of anti-RBD or anti-Spike Abs, we observed major differences related to their capacity to recognize the full Spike. Plasma from short interval vaccinated individuals was significantly less

efficient at recognizing the D614G S and all other S variants tested (Figure 5J). Major differences

were also observed, particularly related to their capacity to mediate ADCC (Figure 5K) or

neutralize pseudoviral particles bearing D614G or any of the variant Spike tested (Figure 5L).

Indeed, the naïve donors with a short interval between doses had a very low ID_{50} against all the

variant tested ~19 weeks after the vaccine series. No neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-1

was observed (Figure 5L). In contrast, plasmas from PI individual who received just one dose

presented neutralizing activity against all the SARS-CoV-2 variants but also the SARS-CoV-1

pseudoviruses (Figure 5L).

Integrated analysis of vaccine responses elicited with a sixteen-weeks interval between

doses

When studying the network of pairwise correlations among all studied immune variables

in SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals (Figure 6), we observed a sparsely interconnected network after

the first vaccine dose with focused clusters among binding and neutralization responses,

respectively. Over time, the network induced upon 1st vaccination slightly collapsed until the

delayed 2nd vaccination triggered a dense network of positive correlations involving binding

neutralization responses against several SARS-CoV-2 variants and SARS-CoV-1 (but hardly

OC43, HKU1, or MERS spike), ADCC, and memory B cell responses.

DISCUSSION

The approved regimen of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine is the administration of two doses within a short interval of 3-4 weeks. Despite the rapid approval of different vaccine platforms, generating the required doses to immunize the world population represents a daunting task (Moore and Klasse, 2020). Confronted to vaccine scarcity, some jurisdictions decided to increase the interval between doses in order to increase the number of immunized individuals. This decision led to concerns about vaccine efficacy, notably against emergent variants rapidly spreading worldwide and more resistant notably against neutralizing Abs induced by vaccination (Annavajhala et al., 2021a; Planas et al., 2021a; Puranik et al., 2021; Wall et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). Here, we measured the humoral responses of SARS-CoV-2 naïve and SARS-CoV-2 PI individuals who received their two doses sixteen weeks apart.

We observed that in the SARS-CoV-2 naïve group the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine elicited antibodies with weak neutralizing activity but strong Fc-mediated functions three weeks after the first dose (Tauzin et al., 2021). These responses declined in the following weeks in the absence of a boost. However, administration of the second dose sixteen weeks later strongly enhanced these responses, notably neutralization against some VOCs/VOIs and even the divergent SARS-CoV-1. Therefore, despite initial concerns, the long interval between the doses did not result in poor immune responses, in agreement with recent findings (Parry et al., 2021). The idea behind the strategy of delaying the second dose was to provide some level of immunity to a larger number of individuals than if the second dose would have been saved to administer them three weeks later. However, despite the immunological benefits of increasing the interval between the two doses, this also increases the probability of being infected before the boost.

Several studies have shown that vaccination of previously-infected individuals elicits strong cellular and humoral responses (Efrati et al., 2021; Lozano-Ojalvo et al., 2021; Stamatatos et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021; Urbanowicz et al., 2021). In agreement with these studies, we

found that vaccination of these individuals resulted in the induction of strong humoral responses.

These responses remained relatively stable over time. We noticed that the second dose did not

result in a significant enhancement of these responses, even with a long interval of 16 weeks

between doses. Our results demonstrate that, while the second dose boosts the humoral

response, PI individuals reach their peak of immunity after the first dose. Altogether, these results

suggest that a second dose for PI individuals might be delayed beyond sixteen weeks after the

first dose. These observations are in agreement with recent studies showing that PI individuals

had maximal humoral and CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses after the first dose of an mRNA

vaccine; the second did not strongly boost these responses (Goel et al., 2021a; Lozano-Ojalvo et

al., 2021; Painter et al., 2021).

In contrast, here we show that a delayed second vaccine boost in naïve individuals

significantly enhances several immune responses and tightens the network of linear correlations

among those. The involved immune variables were humoral and cellular responses directed

against SARS-CoV-2, including diverse variants, and SARS-CoV-1, but not or marginally against

OC43, HKU1, or MERS. Thus, the potency, quality, and concerted triggering of immune

responses appear enhanced in naïve individuals vaccinated with a prolonged interval of 16 weeks

between first and second shot, comparable to those obtained after vaccination of previously

infected individuals.

We also analyzed humoral responses in a cohort of naïve donors who received their two

doses according to the approved three-week interval. Plasma collected ~19 weeks post second

dose, had poor humoral activities with low neutralizing activity against D614G strain and even

weaker activity against some VOCs/VOIs including B.1.617.2. These results are in agreement

with recent studies showing that circulating antibody levels and neutralizing activity decline over

time (Doria-Rose et al., 2021; Goel et al., 2021a). In contrast, we observed that PI individuals who

received one dose had better responses 19 weeks after their dose.

Field effectiveness studies in Israël and the USA, where a short interval between doses is

recommended, suggest waning protection of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine series against non-

severe disease after a period of approximately 5 months (CDC, 2021; Goldberg et al., 2021; JCVI,

2021; Tartof et al., 2021). However, SARS-CoV-2 specific memory B cell and CD4+ T cell

responses remains stable for the following 6 months, likely protecting from severe disease (Goel

et al., 2021a). Whether the same kind of waning will be observed with an extended schedule

remains to be evaluated. It will be of critical importance to monitor immune responses and vaccine

effectiveness of these extended schedules over time. If the strong humoral response seen with

this extended schedule is longer-lasting than immune responses following the authorized

schedule, the need of a third dose might be delayed and this could have significant implications

regarding control of COVID-19.

To end this pandemic, it will be necessary to rapidly vaccinate the world's population,

including in countries where vaccines are poorly available. The research community around the

globe rapidly generated a wealth of data related to vaccine-elicited immune responses and

vaccine efficacy. Globally, these results suggest that the current vaccine strategy that was initially

deployed could be improved. Our results suggest that modifying the interval at which the two

doses are administered might be an important factor to take into account. It will be important to

keep in mind that a fine balance needs to be achieved in order to avoid infection between the two

doses and at the same time provide sufficient time to elicit optimal humoral responses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the donors who participated in this study. The authors thank the CRCHUM BSL3 and Flow Cytometry Platforms for technical assistance. We thank Dr. Stefan Pöhlmann (Georg-August University, Germany) for the plasmid coding for SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 S glycoproteins and Dr. M. Gordon Joyce (U.S. MHRP) for the monoclonal antibody CR3022. This work was supported by le Ministère de l'Économie et de l'Innovation du Québec, Programme de soutien aux organismes de recherche et d'innovation to A.F. and by the Fondation du CHUM. This work was also supported by a CIHR foundation grant #352417, by a CIHR operating Pandemic and Health Emergencies Research grant #177958, a CIHR stream 1 and 2 for SARS-CoV-2 Variant Research to A.F., and by an Exceptional Fund COVID-19 from the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) #41027 to A.F. and D.E.K. Work on variants presented was also supported by the Sentinelle COVID Quebec network led by the LSPQ in collaboration with Fonds de Recherche du Québec Santé (FRQS) to A.F. This work was also partially supported by a CIHR COVID-19 rapid response grant (OV3 170632) and CIHR stream 1 SARS-CoV-2 Variant Research to MC. A.F. is the recipient of Canada Research Chair on Retroviral Entry no. RCHS0235 950-232424. MC is a Tier II Canada Research Chair in Molecular Virology and Antiviral Therapeutics. V.M.L. is supported by a FRQS Junior 1 salary award. D.E.K. is a FRQS Merit Research Scholar. G.B.B. is the recipient of a FRQS PhD fellowship and J.P. is the recipient of a CIHR PhD fellowship. G.S. is supported by a scholarship from the Department of Microbiologie, Infectiologie et Immunology of Université de Montréal. R.G. and A.L. were supported by MITACS Accélération postdoctoral fellowships. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.T. and A.F. conceived the study. A.T., G.B.B., R.G., J.Prévost, M.N., J.R., D.E.K., and

A.F. designed experimental approaches. A.T., S.Y.G., G.B.B., D.V., R.G., L.N., L.M., M.Benlarbi,

D.C., M.N., A.L., J.Prévost, M.Boutin, G.S., A.N., C.B., Y.B., M.D., D.E.K., and A.F. performed,

analyzed, and interpreted the experiments. A.T. and R.D. performed statistical analysis. S.Y.G.,

G.B.B., A.L., J.Prévost, G.G.L., H.M., G.G., Y.B., J.R., M.C and A.F. contributed unique reagents.

J.Perreault, L.G., C.M., P.A., R.B., R.R., G.C.M., C.T. and V.M.-L. collected and provided clinical

samples. G.D.S., and N.B. provided scientific input related to VOC and vaccine efficacy. A.T., and

A.F. wrote the manuscript with inputs from others. Every author has read, edited, and approved

the final manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

401 The authors declare no competing interests.

  FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Elicitation of RBD- and Spike-specific antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and

previously-infected individuals.

(**A**) SARS-CoV-2 vaccine cohort design. (**B-E**) Indirect ELISA was performed by incubating

plasma samples from naïve and PI donors collected at V0, V1, V2 and V3 with recombinant

SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein. Anti-RBD Ab binding was detected using HRP-conjugated (**B**) anti-

human IgM+IgG+IgA (**C**) anti-human IgM, (**D**) anti-human IgG, or (**E**) anti-human IgA. Relative

light unit (RLU) values obtained with BSA (negative control) were subtracted and further

normalized to the signal obtained with the anti-RBD CR3022 mAb present in each plate. (**F-I**) Cell-

based ELISA was performed by incubating plasma samples from naïve and PI donors collected

at V0, V1, V2 and V3 with HOS cells expressing full-length SARS-CoV-2 S. Anti-S Ab binding

was detected using HRP-conjugated (**F**) anti-human IgM+IgG+IgA (**G**) anti-human IgM, (**H**) anti-

human IgG, or (**I**) anti-human IgA. RLU values obtained with parental HOS (negative control) were

subtracted and further normalized to the signal obtained with the CR3022 mAb present in each

plate. Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red

and black points respectively and PI donors who received just one dose by blue points. (**Left**

panels) Each curve represents the normalized RLUs obtained with the plasma of one donor at

every time point. Mean of each group is represented by a bold line. The time of vaccine dose

injections is indicated by black triangles. (**Right panels**) Plasma samples were grouped in

different time points (V0, V1, V2 and V3). Undetectable measures are represented as white

symbols, and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* $P < 0.05$; ** $P <$

0.01; *** $P < 0.001$; **** $P < 0.0001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure 2. Binding of vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants and other Betacoronaviruses.

293T cells were transfected with the indicated full-length S from different SARS-CoV-2 variants and other human *Betacoronavirus* Spike and stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with plasma from naïve or PI donors collected at V0, V1, V2 and V3 and analyzed by flow cytometry. The values represent the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) (**G,H** and **I**) or the MFI normalized by CV3-25 Ab binding (**A-F**, **J**). Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red and black points respectively and PI donors who received just one dose by blue points. (**Left panels**) Each curve represents the MFI or the normalized MFIs obtained with the plasma of one donor at every time point. Mean of each group is represented by a bold line. The time of vaccine dose injections is indicated by black triangles. (**Right panels**) Plasma samples were grouped in different time points (V0, V1, V2 and V3). Undetectable measures are represented as white symbols, and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; **** $P < 0.0001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure 3. Fc-effector function and neutralization activities in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously-infected individuals before and after Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine.

(**A**) CEM.NKr parental cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio with CEM.NKr-Spike cells and were used as target cells. PBMCs from uninfected donors were used as effector cells in a FACS-based ADCC assay. (**B**) Neutralizing activity was measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing SARS-CoV-2 S glycoproteins, with serial dilutions of plasma for 1 h at 37°C before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells. Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum dilution (ID_{50}) values were determined using a normalized non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism software. Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red and black points respectively and PI

donors who received just one dose by blue points. (**Left panels**) Each curve represents the values

obtained with the plasma of one donor at every time point. Mean of each group is represented by

a bold line. The time of vaccine dose injections is indicated by black triangles. (**Right panels**)

Plasma samples were grouped in different time points (V0, V1, V2 and V3). Undetectable

measures are represented as white symbols, and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars

indicate means ± SEM. (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001; ns, non-significant).

Figure 4. Neutralization activities against different SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and SARS-CoV-1 in

naïve and previously-infected individuals before and after Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine.

Neutralizing activity was measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing the indicated SARS-

CoV-2 VOCs or SARS-CoV-1 S glycoproteins, with serial dilutions of plasma for 1 h at 37°C

before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells. Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum dilution (ID_{50})

values were determined using a normalized non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism

software. Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red

and black points respectively and PI donors who received just one dose by blue points. (**Left**

panels) Each curve represents the values obtained with the plasma of one donor at every time

point. Mean of each group is represented by a bold line. The time of vaccine dose injections is

indicated by black triangles. (**Right panels**) Plasma samples were grouped in different time points

(V0, V1, V2 and V3). Undetectable measures are represented as white symbols, and limits of

detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001;

**** P < 0.0001; ns, non-significant).

Figure 5. Humoral responses in SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals that received a short dose

interval versus previously-infected individuals receiving only one dose.

(**A**) SARS-CoV-2 vaccine cohort design. (**B-E**) Indirect ELISA was performed by incubating

plasma samples from naïve and PI donors collected at V3 with recombinant SARS-CoV-2 RBD

protein. Anti-RBD Ab binding was detected using HRP-conjugated (**B**) anti-human IgM+IgG+IgA

(**C**) anti-human IgM, (**D**) anti-human IgG, or (**E**) anti-human IgA. Relative light unit (RLU) values

obtained with BSA (negative control) were subtracted and further normalized to the signal

obtained with the anti-RBD CR3022 mAb present in each plate. (**F-I**) Cell-based ELISA was

performed by incubating plasma samples from naïve and PI donors collected at V3 with HOS

cells expressing full-length SARS-CoV-2 S. Anti-S Ab binding was detected using HRP-

conjugated (**F**) anti-human IgM+IgG+IgA (**G**) anti-human IgM, (**H**) anti-human IgG, or (**I**) anti-

human IgA. RLU values obtained with parental HOS (negative control) were subtracted and

further normalized to the signal obtained with the CR3022 mAb present in each plate. (**J**) 293T

cells were transfected with the indicated full-length S and stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with

plasma from naïve or PI donors collected at V3 and analyzed by flow cytometry. The values

represent the MFI normalized by CV3-25 Ab binding. (**K**) CEM.NKr parental cells were mixed at

a 1:1 ratio with CEM.NKr-Spike cells and were used as target cells. PBMCs from uninfected

donors were used as effector cells in a FACS-based ADCC assay. (**L**) Neutralizing activity was

measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing SARS-CoV-2 S glycoproteins or SARS-CoV-1 S

glycoprotein, with serial dilutions of plasma for 1 h at 37°C before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells.

Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum dilution (ID_{50}) values were determined using a

normalized non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism software. PI donors who received one

dose are represented by blue points and naïve donors with a short interval between the two doses

by green points. Plasma samples were grouped at V3. Undetectable measures are represented

as white symbols, and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* $P <$

0.05; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; **** $P < 0.0001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure 6. Mesh correlations of humoral response parameters in SARS-CoV-2 naïve

individuals before and after Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine.

Edge bundling correlation plots where red and blue edges represent positive and negative

correlations between connected parameters, respectively. Only significant correlations ($p < 0.05$,

Spearman rank test) are displayed. Nodes are color coded based on the grouping of parameters

according to the legend. Node size corresponds to the degree of relatedness of correlations. Edge

bundling plots are shown for correlation analyses using four different datasets; i.e., SARS-CoV-2

naive individuals at V0, V1, V2 and V3 respectively.

Table 1. Characteristics of the vaccinated SARS-CoV-2 cohorts

		SARS-CoV-2 Naïve		SARS-CoV-2 Previously infected		
		Two doses Short interval (n=11)	Two doses Long interval (n=22)	Two doses Long interval (n=11)	One dose (n=10)	Entire cohort (n=21)
Age		40 (30-52)	51 (21-59)	44 (39-65)	56 (23-65)	47 (23-65)
Gender	Male (n)	3	7	7	2	9
	Female (n)	8	15	4	8	12
Days between symptom onset and the 1st dose [a]		N/A	N/A	274 (185-321)	280 (116-326)	280 (116-326)
Days between the 1st and 2nd dose [a]		21 (21-21)	112 (108-120)	111 (90-134)	N/A	N/A
Days between V0 and the 1st dose [a]		0 (0-7)	2 (0-49)	23 (0-95)	13 (1-73)	17 (1-95)
Days between the 1st dose and V1 [a]		N/A	21 (16-28)	20 (17-25)	20 (13-21)	20 (13-25)
Days between the 1st dose and V2 [a]		N/A	83 (73-92)	89 (83-97)	90 (84-104)	90 (83-104)
Days between V2 and the 2nd dose [a]		N/A	28 (21-38)	23 (2-42)	N/A	N/A
Days between the 1st dose and V3 [a]		152 (147-158)	134 (123-144)	138 (103-152)	134 (120-146)	137 (103-152)
Days between the 2nd dose and V3 [a]		131 (126-137)	21 (14-34)	21 (13-42)	N/A	N/A

511   [a] Values displayed are medians, with ranges in parentheses.

STAR METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Andrés Finzi (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca)

Materials availability

All unique reagents generated during this study are available from the Lead contact without restriction.

Data and code availability

The published article includes all datasets generated and analyzed for this study. Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Author (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ethics Statement

All work was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki in terms of informed consent and approval by an appropriate institutional board. Blood samples were obtained from donors who consented to participate in this research project at Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CHUM) and at Azienda Socio-Sanitaria Territoriale (ASST) Santi Paolo e Carlo, Milan. The study was approved by the respective Institutional Review Boards (no. 19.381 at CHUM and no. 2020/ST/049 at ASST Santi Paolo et Carlo). Plasma and PBMCs were isolated

by centrifugation and Ficoll gradient, and samples stored at -80°C and in liquid nitrogen,

respectively, until use.

Human subjects

No specific criteria such as number of patients (sample size), clinical or demographic were used

for inclusion, beyond PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults.

Plasma and antibodies

Plasma from SARS-CoV-2 naïve and PI donors were collected, heat-inactivated for 1 hour at

56°C and stored at -80°C until ready to use in subsequent experiments. Plasma from uninfected

donors collected before the pandemic were used as negative controls and used to calculate the

seropositivity threshold in our ELISA, cell-based ELISA, ADCC and flow cytometry assays (see

below). The RBD-specific monoclonal antibody CR3022 was used as a positive control in our

ELISA, cell-based ELISA, and flow cytometry assays and was previously described (Anand et al.,

2020; Beaudoin-Bussières et al., 2020; Meulen et al., 2006; Prévost et al., 2020). Horseradish

peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated Abs able to detect all Ig isotypes (anti-human IgM+IgG+IgA;

Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) or specific for the Fc region of human IgG (Invitrogen),

the Fc region of human IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) or the Fc region of human

IgA (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) were used as secondary Abs to detect Ab binding

in ELISA and cell-based ELISA experiments. Alexa Fluor-647-conjugated goat anti-human Abs

able to detect all Ig isotypes (anti-human IgM+IgG+IgA; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories)

were used as secondary Ab to detect plasma binding in flow cytometry experiments.

Cell lines

293T human embryonic kidney and HOS cells (obtained from ATCC) were maintained at 37°C

under 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Wisent) containing 5% fetal

bovine serum (FBS) (VWR) and 100 µg/ml of penicillin-streptomycin (Wisent). CEM.NKr CCR5+

cells (NIH AIDS reagent program) were maintained at 37°C under 5% CO_2 in Roswell Park

Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS and 100 µg/ml of penicillin-

streptomycin. 293T-ACE2 cell line was previously reported (Prévost et al., 2020). HOS and

CEM.NKr CCR5+ cells stably expressing the SARS-CoV-2 S glycoproteins were previously

reported (Anand et al., 2021).

METHOD DETAILS

Plasmids

The plasmids expressing the human coronavirus Spike glycoproteins of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-

CoV-1 (Hoffmann et al., 2013, 2020), HCoV-OC43 (Prévost et al., 2020) and MERS-CoV (Park

et al., 2016) were previously reported. The HCoV-HKU1 S expressing plasmid was purchased

from Sino Biological. The plasmids encoding the different SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants (D614G,

B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1, B.1.526 and B.1.617.2) were previously described (Beaudoin-Bussières et

al., 2020; Gong et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021).

Protein expression and purification

FreeStyle 293F cells (Invitrogen) were grown in FreeStyle 293F medium (Invitrogen) to a density

of 1 x 10^6 cells/mL at 37°C with 8 % CO_2 with regular agitation (150 rpm). Cells were transfected

with a plasmid coding for SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (Beaudoin-Bussières et al., 2020) using

ExpiFectamine 293 transfection reagent, as directed by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). One week

later, cells were pelleted and discarded. Supernatants were filtered using a 0.22 µm filter (Thermo

Fisher Scientific). The recombinant RBD proteins were purified by nickel affinity columns, as

directed by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). The RBD preparations were dialyzed against

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored in aliquots at -80°C until further use. To assess

purity, recombinant proteins were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and stained with Coomassie Blue.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

The SARS-CoV-2 RBD ELISA assay used was previously described (Beaudoin-Bussières et al.,

2020; Prévost et al., 2020). Briefly, recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD proteins (2.5 µg/ml), or

bovine serum albumin (BSA) (2.5 µg/ml) as a negative control, were prepared in PBS and were

adsorbed to plates (MaxiSorp Nunc) overnight at 4°C. Coated wells were subsequently blocked

with blocking buffer (Tris-buffered saline [TBS] containing 0.1% Tween20 and 2% BSA) for 1h at

room temperature. Wells were then washed four times with washing buffer (Tris-buffered saline

[TBS] containing 0.1% Tween20). CR3022 mAb (50 ng/ml) or a 1/250 dilution of plasma were

prepared in a diluted solution of blocking buffer (0.1 % BSA) and incubated with the RBD-coated

wells for 90 minutes at room temperature. Plates were washed four times with washing buffer

followed by incubation with secondary Abs (diluted in a diluted solution of blocking buffer (0.4%

BSA)) for 1h at room temperature, followed by four washes. HRP enzyme activity was determined

after the addition of a 1:1 mix of Western Lightning oxidizing and luminol reagents (Perkin Elmer

Life Sciences). Light emission was measured with a LB942 TriStar luminometer (Berthold

Technologies). Signal obtained with BSA was subtracted for each plasma and was then

normalized to the signal obtained with CR3022 present in each plate. The seropositivity threshold

was established using the following formula: mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative

plasma + (3 standard deviation of the mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma).

Cell-Based ELISA

Detection of the trimeric SARS-CoV-2 S at the surface of HOS cells was performed by a

previously-described cell-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Anand et al.,

2021). Briefly, parental HOS cells or HOS-Spike cells were seeded in 96-well plates (4×10^4 cells

per well) overnight. Cells were blocked with blocking buffer (10 mg/ml nonfat dry milk, 1.8 mM

$CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 25 mM Tris [pH 7.5], and 140 mM NaCl) for 30 min. CR3022 mAb (1 µg/ml)

or plasma (at a dilution of 1/250) were prepared in blocking buffer and incubated with the cells for

1h at room temperature. Respective HRP-conjugated Abs were then incubated with the samples

for 45 min at room temperature. For all conditions, cells were washed 6 times with blocking buffer

and 6 times with washing buffer (1.8 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 25 mM Tris [pH 7.5], and 140 mM

NaCl). HRP enzyme activity was determined after the addition of a 1:1 mix of Western Lightning

oxidizing and luminol reagents (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Light emission was measured with

an LB942 TriStar luminometer (Berthold Technologies). Signal obtained with parental HOS was

subtracted for each plasma and was then normalized to the signal obtained with CR3022 mAb

present in each plate. The seropositivity threshold was established using the following formula:

mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma + (3 standard deviation of the mean of pre-

pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma).

Cell surface staining and flow cytometry analysis

293T cells were co-transfected with a GFP expressor (pIRES2-GFP, Clontech) in combination

with plasmids encoding the full-length Spikes of SARS-CoV-2 variants or Spikes from different

Betacoronaviruses. 48h post-transfection, S-expressing cells were stained with the CV3-25 Ab

(Jennewein et al., 2021) or plasma (1/250 dilution). AlexaFluor-647-conjugated goat anti-human

IgM+IgG+IgA Abs (1/800 dilution) were used as secondary Abs. The percentage of transfected

cells (GFP+ cells) was determined by gating the living cell population based on viability dye

staining (Aqua Vivid, Invitrogen). Samples were acquired on a LSRII cytometer (BD Biosciences)

and data analysis was performed using FlowJo v10.7.1 (Tree Star). The seropositivity threshold

was established using the following formula: (mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative

plasma + (3 standard deviation of the mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma). The

conformational-independent S2-targeting mAb CV3-25 was used to normalize Spike expression.

CV3-25 was shown to effectively recognize all SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants (Li et al., 2021).

ADCC assay

This assay was previously described (Anand et al., 2021). For evaluation of anti-SARS-CoV-2

antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), parental CEM.NKr CCR5+ cells were mixed at

a 1:1 ratio with CEM.NKr cells stably expressing a GFP-tagged full length SARS-CoV-2 Spike

(CEM.NKr.SARS-CoV-2.Spike cells). These cells were stained for viability (AquaVivid; Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and cellular dyes (cell proliferation dye eFluor670; Thermo

Fisher Scientific) to be used as target cells. Overnight rested PBMCs were stained with another

cellular marker (cell proliferation dye eFluor450; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and used as effector

cells. Stained target and effector cells were mixed at a ratio of 1:10 in 96-well V-bottom plates.

Plasma (1/500 dilution) or monoclonal antibody CR3022 (1 µg/mL) were added to the appropriate

wells. The plates were subsequently centrifuged for 1 min at 300g, and incubated at 37°C, 5%

CO_2 for 5 hours before being fixed in a 2% PBS-formaldehyde solution. ADCC activity was

calculated using the formula: [(% of GFP+ cells in Targets plus Effectors) - (% of GFP+ cells in

Targets plus Effectors plus plasma/antibody)]/(% of GFP+ cells in Targets) x 100 by gating on

transduced live target cells. All samples were acquired on an LSRII cytometer (BD Biosciences)

and data analysis was performed using FlowJo v10.7.1 (Tree Star). The specificity threshold was

established using the following formula: (mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma +

(3 standard deviation of the mean of pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative plasma).

Virus neutralization assay

To produce the pseudoviruses, 293T cells were transfected with the lentiviral vector pNL4.3 R-E-

Luc (NIH AIDS Reagent Program) and a plasmid encoding for the indicated S glycoprotein

(D614G, B.1.1.7, P.1, B.1.351, B.1.617.2, B.1.526 and SARS-CoV) at a ratio of 10:1. Two days

post-transfection, cell supernatants were harvested and stored at -80°C until use. For the

neutralization assay, 293T-ACE2 target cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^4 cells/well in 96-

well luminometer-compatible tissue culture plates (Perkin Elmer) 24h before infection.

Pseudoviral particles were incubated with several plasma dilutions (1/50; 1/250; 1/1250; 1/6250;

1/31250) for 1h at 37°C and were then added to the target cells followed by incubation for 48h at

37°C. Then, cells were lysed by the addition of 30 µL of passive lysis buffer (Promega) followed

by one freeze-thaw cycle. An LB942 TriStar luminometer (Berthold Technologies) was used to

measure the luciferase activity of each well after the addition of 100 µL of luciferin buffer (15mM

$MgSO_4$, 15mM KPO_4 [pH 7.8], 1mM ATP, and 1mM dithiothreitol) and 50 µL of 1mM d-luciferin

potassium salt (Prolume). The neutralization half-maximal inhibitory dilution (ID_{50}) represents the

plasma dilution to inhibit 50% of the infection of 293T-ACE2 cells by pseudoviruses.

SARS-CoV-2-specific B cells characterization

To detect SARS-CoV-2-specific B cells, we conjugated recombinant RBD proteins with Alexa

Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Approximately 2×10^6 frozen PBMCs from SARS-CoV-2 naïve and prior infection donors were

prepared in Falcon® 5ml-round bottom polystyrene tubes at a final concentration of

4×10^6 cells/mL in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum

(Seradigm), Penicillin- Streptomycin (GIBCO) and HEPES (GIBCO). After a rest of 2h at 37°C

and 5% CO_2, cells were stained using Aquavivid viability marker (Biosciences) in DPBS (GIBCO)

at 4°C for 20 min. The detection of SARS-CoV-2-antigen specific B cells was done by adding the

RBD probes to the antibody cocktail (IgM BUV737, CD24 BUV805, IgG BV421, CD3 BV480,

CD56 BV480, CD14 BV480, CD16 BV480, CD20 BV711, CD21 BV786, HLA DR BB700, CD27

APC R700; CD19 BV650, CD38 BB790, CD138 BUV661, CCR10 BUV395, IgD BUV563 and IgA

PE). Staining was performed at 4°C for 30 min and cells were fixed using 1% paraformaldehyde

(Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 15 min. Stained PBMC samples were acquired on FACSymphony™

A5 Cell Analyzer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo v10.7.1 software.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis

Symbols represent biologically independent samples from SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals or

SARS-CoV-2 PI individuals. Lines connect data from the same donor. Statistics were analyzed

using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.1 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Every dataset was tested for

statistical normality and this information was used to apply the appropriate (parametric or

nonparametric) statistical test. Differences in responses for the same patient before and after

vaccination were performed using Kruskal-Wallis tests. Differences in responses between naïve

and PI individuals at each time point were measured by Mann-Whitney (V0, V1 and V2) or

Kruskal-Wallis (V3) tests. Differences in responses against the different Spikes for the same

patient were measured by Friedman tests. P values < 0.05 were considered significant;

significance values are indicated as $*$ $p < 0.05$, $**$ $p < 0.01$, $***$ $p < 0.001$, $****$ $p < 0.0001$.

Spearman's R correlation coefficient was applied for correlations. Statistical tests were two-sided

and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Software scripts and visualization

Edge bundling graphs were generated in undirected mode in R and RStudio using ggraph, igraph,

tidyverse,and RColorBrewer packages (R; R studio). Edges are only shown if $p < 0.05$, and nodes

713 are sized according to the connecting edges' r values. Nodes are color-coded according to groups

714 of parameters.

716 **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

717 Supplemental information can be found online at …

REFERENCES

Allen, H., Vusirikala, A., Flannagan, J., Twohig, K.A., Zaidi, A., Groves, N., Lopez-Bernal, J.,
Harris, R., Charlett, A., Dabrera, G., et al. (2021). Increased Household Transmission of COVID-
19 Cases Associated with SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern B.1.617.2: A national case-control
study,
https://khub.net/documents/135939561/405676950/Increased+Household+Transmission+of+C
OVID-19+Cases+-+national+case+study.pdf/7f7764fb-ecb0-da31-77b3-b1a8ef7be9aa. 21.

Anand, S.P., Prévost, J., Richard, J., Perreault, J., Tremblay, T., Drouin, M., Fournier, M.-J.,
Lewin, A., Bazin, R., and Finzi, A. (2020). High-throughput detection of antibodies targeting the
SARS-CoV-2 Spike in longitudinal convalescent plasma samples (Microbiology).

Anand, S.P., Prévost, J., Nayrac, M., Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Benlarbi, M., Gasser, R., Brassard,
N., Laumaea, A., Gong, S.Y., Bourassa, C., et al. (2021). Longitudinal analysis of humoral
immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in convalescent individuals up to eight months post-
symptom onset. Cell Rep. Med. 100290.

Annavajhala, M.K., Mohri, H., Wang, P., Nair, M., Zucker, J.E., Sheng, Z., Gomez-Simmonds, A.,
Kelley, A.L., Tagliavia, M., Huang, Y., et al. (2021a). Emergence and Expansion of the SARS-
CoV-2 Variant B.1.526 Identified in New York. medRxiv 2021.02.23.21252259.

Annavajhala, M.K., Mohri, H., Wang, P., Nair, M., Zucker, J.E., Sheng, Z., Gomez-Simmonds, A.,
Kelley, A.L., Tagliavia, M., Huang, Y., et al. (2021b). A Novel and Expanding SARS-CoV-2
Variant, B.1.526, Identified in New York. MedRxiv 2021.02.23.21252259.

Baden, L.R., El Sahly, H.M., Essink, B., Kotloff, K., Frey, S., Novak, R., Diemert, D., Spector,
S.A., Rouphael, N., Creech, C.B., et al. (2021). Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-
CoV-2 Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. *384*, 403–416.

Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Laumaea, A., Anand, S.P., Prévost, J., Gasser, R., Goyette, G.,
Medjahed, H., Perreault, J., Tremblay, T., Lewin, A., et al. (2020). Decline of Humoral Responses
against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in Convalescent Individuals. MBio *11*.

Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Chen, Y., Ullah, I., Prevost, J., Tolbert, W.D., Symmes, K., Ding, S.,
Benlarbi, M., Gong, S.Y., Tauzin, A., et al. (2021). An anti-SARS-CoV-2 non-neutralizing antibody
with Fc-effector function defines a new NTD epitope and delays neuroinvasion and death in K18-
hACE2 mice (Microbiology).

Brown, K.A., Tibebu, S., Daneman, N., Schwartz, K., Whelan, M., and Buchan, S. (2021).
Comparative Household Secondary Attack Rates associated with B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1
SARS-CoV-2 Variants. medRxiv 2021.06.03.21258302.

CDC (2021). Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines | CDC,
https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html.

Collier, D.A., De Marco, A., Ferreira, I.A.T.M., Meng, B., Datir, R.P., Walls, A.C., Kemp, S.A.,
Bassi, J., Pinto, D., Silacci-Fregni, C., et al. (2021). Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA
vaccine-elicited antibodies. Nature 1–10.

Dagpunar, J. (2021). Interim estimates of increased transmissibility, growth rate, and reproduction number of the Covid-19 B.1.617.2 variant of concern in the United Kingdom. medRxiv 2021.06.03.21258293.

Dan, J.M., Mateus, J., Kato, Y., Hastie, K.M., Yu, E.D., Faliti, C.E., Grifoni, A., Ramirez, S.I., Haupt, S., Frazier, A., et al. (2021). Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. Science eabf4063.

Davies, N.G., Barnard, R.C., Jarvis, C.I., Kucharski, A.J., Munday, J., Pearson, C.A.B., Russell, T.W., Tully, D.C., Abbott, S., Gimma, A., et al. (2020). Estimated transmissibility and severity of novel SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern 202012/01 in England. MedRxiv 2020.12.24.20248822.

Davies, N.G., Abbott, S., Barnard, R.C., Jarvis, C.I., Kucharski, A.J., Munday, J.D., Pearson, C.A.B., Russell, T.W., Tully, D.C., Washburne, A.D., et al. (2021). Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. Science *372*.

Dong, E., Du, H., and Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect. Dis. *20*, 533–534.

Doria-Rose, N., Suthar, M.S., Makowski, M., O'Connell, S., McDermott, A.B., Flach, B., Ledgerwood, J.E., Mascola, J.R., Graham, B.S., Lin, B.C., et al. (2021). Antibody Persistence through 6 Months after the Second Dose of mRNA-1273 Vaccine for Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. *384*, 2259–2261.

ECDC (2021). Risk of spread of new SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in the EU/EEA - first update, https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/COVID-19-risk-related-to-spread-of-new-SARS-CoV-2-variants-EU-EEA-first-update.pdf. 29.

Efrati, S., Catalogna, M., Abu Hamad, R., Hadanny, A., Bar-Chaim, A., Benveniste-Levkovitz, P., and Levtzion-Korach, O. (2021). Safety and humoral responses to BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination of SARS-CoV-2 previously infected and naive populations. Sci. Rep. *11*, 16543.

Fisman, D.N., and Tuite, A.R. (2021). Progressive Increase in Virulence of Novel SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Ontario, Canada. medRxiv 2021.07.05.21260050.

Fraley, E., LeMaster, C., Banerjee, D., Khanal, S., Selvarangan, R., and Bradley, T. (2021). Cross-reactive antibody immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in children and adults. Cell. Mol. Immunol. *18*, 1826–1828.

Gaebler, C., Wang, Z., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Muecksch, F., Finkin, S., Tokuyama, M., Cho, A., Jankovic, M., Schaefer-Babajew, D., Oliveira, T.Y., et al. (2021). Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Nature.

Gilbert, P.B., Montefiori, D.C., McDermott, A., Fong, Y., Benkeser, D., Deng, W., Zhou, H., Houchens, C.R., Martins, K., Jayashankar, L., et al. (2021). Immune Correlates Analysis of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy Trial. medRxiv 2021.08.09.21261290.

Goel, R.R., Painter, M.M., Apostolidis, S.A., Mathew, D., Meng, W., Rosenfeld, A.M., Lundgreen, K.A., Reynaldi, A., Khoury, D.S., Pattekar, A., et al. (2021a). mRNA Vaccination Induces Durable Immune Memory to SARS-CoV-2 with Continued Evolution to Variants of Concern. bioRxiv 2021.08.23.457229.

Goel, R.R., Apostolidis, S.A., Painter, M.M., Mathew, D., Pattekar, A., Kuthuru, O., Gouma, S.,
Hicks, P., Meng, W., Rosenfeld, A.M., et al. (2021b). Distinct antibody and memory B cell
responses in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and recovered individuals following mRNA vaccination. Sci.
Immunol. *6*.

Goldberg, Y., Mandel, M., Bar-On, Y.M., Bodenheimer, O., Freedman, L., Haas, E.J., Milo, R.,
Alroy-Preis, S., Ash, N., and Huppert, A. (2021). Waning immunity of the BNT162b2 vaccine: A
nationwide study from Israel. medRxiv 2021.08.24.21262423.

Gong, S.Y., Chatterjee, D., Richard, J., Prévost, J., Tauzin, A., Gasser, R., Bo, Y., Vézina, D.,
Goyette, G., Gendron-Lepage, G., et al. (2021). Contribution of single mutations to selected
SARS-CoV-2 emerging variants Spike antigenicity. bioRxiv 2021.08.04.455140.

Hicks, J., Klumpp-Thomas, C., Kalish, H., Shunmugavel, A., Mehalko, J., Denson, J.-P., Snead,
K., Drew, M., Corbett, K., Graham, B., et al. (2020). Serologic cross-reactivity of SARS-CoV-2
with endemic and seasonal Betacoronaviruses. MedRxiv 2020.06.22.20137695.

Hoffmann, M., Müller, M.A., Drexler, J.F., Glende, J., Erdt, M., Gützkow, T., Losemann, C., Binger,
T., Deng, H., Schwegmann-Weßels, C., et al. (2013). Differential sensitivity of bat cells to infection
by enveloped RNA viruses: coronaviruses, paramyxoviruses, filoviruses, and influenza viruses.
PloS One *8*, e72942.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens,
T.S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.-H., Nitsche, A., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2
and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell *181*, 271-280.e8.

Isabel, S., Graña-Miraglia, L., Gutierrez, J.M., Bundalovic-Torma, C., Groves, H.E., Isabel, M.R.,
Eshaghi, A., Patel, S.N., Gubbay, J.B., Poutanen, T., et al. (2020). Evolutionary and structural
analyses of SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike protein mutation now documented worldwide. Sci. Rep.
10, 14031.

Jackson, L.A., Anderson, E.J., Rouphael, N.G., Roberts, P.C., Makhene, M., Coler, R.N.,
McCullough, M.P., Chappell, J.D., Denison, M.R., Stevens, L.J., et al. (2020). An mRNA Vaccine
against SARS-CoV-2 — Preliminary Report. N. Engl. J. Med. *383*, 1920–1931.

JCVI (2021). Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI) advice on third primary
dose vaccination, https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/third-primary-covid-19-vaccine-
dose-for-people-who-are-immunosuppressed-jcvi-advice/joint-committee-on-vaccination-and-
immunisation-jcvi-advice-on-third-primary-dose-vaccination.

Jennewein, M.F., MacCamy, A.J., Akins, N.R., Feng, J., Homad, L.J., Hurlburt, N.K., Seydoux,
E., Wan, Y.-H., Stuart, A.B., Edara, V.V., et al. (2021). Isolation and Characterization of Cross-
Neutralizing Coronavirus Antibodies from COVID-19+ Subjects. bioRxiv 2021.03.23.436684.

Li, W., Chen, Y., Prévost, J., Ullah, I., Lu, M., Gong, S.Y., Tauzin, A., Gasser, R., Vézina, D.,
Anand, S.P., et al. (2021). Structural Basis and Mode of Action for Two Broadly Neutralizing
Antibodies Against SARS-CoV-2 Emerging Variants of Concern. BioRxiv Prepr. Serv. Biol.
2021.08.02.454546.

Lozano-Ojalvo, D., Camara, C., Lopez-Granados, E., Nozal, P., Del Pino-Molina, L., Bravo-
Gallego, L.Y., Paz-Artal, E., Pion, M., Correa-Rocha, R., Ortiz, A., et al. (2021). Differential effects

of the second SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine dose on T cell immunity in naive and COVID-19
recovered individuals. Cell Rep. 109570.

Meulen, J. ter, Brink, E.N. van den, Poon, L.L.M., Marissen, W.E., Leung, C.S.W., Cox, F.,
Cheung, C.Y., Bakker, A.Q., Bogaards, J.A., Deventer, E. van, et al. (2006). Human Monoclonal
Antibody Combination against SARS Coronavirus: Synergy and Coverage of Escape Mutants.
PLOS Med. *3*, e237.

Moore, J.P., and Klasse, P.J. (2020). COVID-19 Vaccines: "Warp Speed" Needs Mind Melds, Not
Warped Minds. J. Virol. *94*.

Muruato, A.E., Fontes-Garfias, C.R., Ren, P., Garcia-Blanco, M.A., Menachery, V.D., Xie, X., and
Shi, P.-Y. (2020). A high-throughput neutralizing antibody assay for COVID-19 diagnosis and
vaccine evaluation. Nat. Commun. *11*, 4059.

Ng, K.W., Faulkner, N., Cornish, G.H., Rosa, A., Harvey, R., Hussain, S., Ulferts, R., Earl, C.,
Wrobel, A.G., Benton, D.J., et al. (2020). Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-
CoV-2 in humans. Science *370*, 1339–1343.

Painter, M.M., Mathew, D., Goel, R.R., Apostolidis, S.A., Pattekar, A., Kuthuru, O., Baxter, A.E.,
Herati, R.S., Oldridge, D.A., Gouma, S., et al. (2021). Rapid induction of antigen-specific CD4+ T
cells is associated with coordinated humoral and cellular immune responses to SARS-CoV-2
mRNA vaccination. Immunity S1074761321003083.

Park, J.-E., Li, K., Barlan, A., Fehr, A.R., Perlman, S., McCray, P.B., and Gallagher, T. (2016).
Proteolytic processing of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus spikes expands virus
tropism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *113*, 12262–12267.

Parry, H., Bruton, R., Stephens, C., Brown, K., Amirthalingam, G., Otter, A., Hallis, B., Zuo, J.,
and Moss, P. (2021). Differential immunogenicity of BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 vaccines after
extended-interval homologous dual vaccination in older people. Immun. Ageing A *18*, 34.

Pearson, C.A.B., Russell, T.W., Davies, N.G., Kucharski, A.J., CMMID COVID-19 working group,
Edmunds, W.J., and Eggo, R.M. (2021). Estimates of severity and transmissibility of novel SARS-
CoV-2 variant 501Y.V2 in South Africa, https://cmmid.github.io/topics/covid19/sa-novel-
variant.html.

Pilishvili, T. (2021). Interim Estimates of Vaccine Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna
COVID-19 Vaccines Among Health Care Personnel — 33 U.S. Sites, January–March 2021.
MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. *70*.

Planas, D., Veyer, D., Baidaliuk, A., Staropoli, I., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Rajah, M.M., Planchais,
C., Porrot, F., Robillard, N., Puech, J., et al. (2021a). Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant
Delta to antibody neutralization. Nature *596*, 276–280.

Planas, D., Bruel, T., Grzelak, L., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Staropoli, I., Porrot, F., Planchais, C.,
Buchrieser, J., Rajah, M.M., Bishop, E., et al. (2021b). Sensitivity of infectious SARS-CoV-2
B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 variants to neutralizing antibodies. Nat. Med. *27*, 917–924.

Polack, F.P., Thomas, S.J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J.L., Pérez
Marc, G., Moreira, E.D., Zerbini, C., et al. (2020). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA
Covid-19 Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. *383*, 2603–2615.

Prévost, J., and Finzi, A. (2021). The great escape? SARS-CoV-2 variants evading neutralizing
responses. Cell Host Microbe *29*, 322–324.

Prévost, J., Gasser, R., Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Richard, J., Duerr, R., Laumaea, A., Anand,
S.P., Goyette, G., Benlarbi, M., Ding, S., et al. (2020). Cross-Sectional Evaluation of Humoral
Responses against SARS-CoV-2 Spike. Cell Rep. Med. *1*, 100126.

Prévost, J., Richard, J., Gasser, R., Ding, S., Fage, C., Anand, S.P., Adam, D., Vergara, N.G.,
Tauzin, A., Benlarbi, M., et al. (2021). Impact of temperature on the affinity of SARS-CoV-2 Spike
glycoprotein for host ACE2. J. Biol. Chem. 101151.

Puranik, A., Lenehan, P.J., Silvert, E., Niesen, M.J.M., Corchado-Garcia, J., O'Horo, J.C., Virk,
A., Swift, M.D., Halamka, J., Badley, A.D., et al. (2021). Comparison of two highly-effective mRNA
vaccines for COVID-19 during periods of Alpha and Delta variant prevalence.

R R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.gbif.org/fr/tool/81287/r-a-
language-and-environment-for-statistical-computing.

R studio RStudio | Open source & professional software for data science teams.
https://rstudio.com/.

Rabaan, A.A., Al-Ahmed, S.H., Haque, S., Sah, R., Tiwari, R., Malik, Y.S., Dhama, K., Yatoo,
M.I., Bonilla-Aldana, D.K., and Rodriguez-Morales, A.J. (2020). SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and
MERS-COV: A comparative overview. Infez. Med. *28*, 174–184.

Rambaut, A., Loman, N., Pybus, O., Barclay, W., Barrett, J., Carabelli, A., Connor, T., Peacock,
T., Robertson, D.L., and Volz, E. (2020). Preliminary genomic characterisation of an emergent
SARS-CoV-2 lineage in the UK defined by a novel set of spike mutations - SARS-CoV-2
coronavirus / nCoV-2019 Genomic Epidemiology.

Sabino, E.C., Buss, L.F., Carvalho, M.P.S., Prete, C.A., Crispim, M.A.E., Fraiji, N.A., Pereira,
R.H.M., Parag, K.V., Peixoto, P. da S., Kraemer, M.U.G., et al. (2021). Resurgence of COVID-19
in Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. The Lancet *397*, 452–455.

Sahin, U., Muik, A., Derhovanessian, E., Vogler, I., Kranz, L.M., Vormehr, M., Baum, A., Pascal,
K., Quandt, J., Maurus, D., et al. (2020). COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody
and T H 1 T cell responses. Nature *586*, 594–599.

Sarkar, J.P., Saha, I., Seal, A., Maity, D., and Maulik, U. (2021). Topological Analysis for
Sequence Variability: Case Study on more than 2K SARS-CoV-2 sequences of COVID-19
infected 54 countries in comparison with SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV. Infect. Genet. Evol. *88*,
104708.

Skowronski, D., and De Serres, G. (2021). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19
Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. NEJMc2036242.

Stamatatos, L., Czartoski, J., Wan, Y.-H., Homad, L.J., Rubin, V., Glantz, H., Neradilek, M.,
Seydoux, E., Jennewein, M.F., MacCamy, A.J., et al. (2021). mRNA vaccination boosts cross-
variant neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Science eabg9175.

Tang, J.W., Toovey, O.T.R., Harvey, K.N., and Hui, D.S.C. (2021). Introduction of the South
African SARS-CoV-2 variant 501Y.V2 into the UK. J. Infect. *82*, e8–e10.

Tartof, S.Y., Slezak, J.M., Fischer, H., Hong, V., Ackerson, B.K., Ranasinghe, O.N., Frankland,
T.B., Ogun, O.A., Zamparo, J.M., Gray, S., et al. (2021). Six-Month Effectiveness of BNT162B2
mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in a Large US Integrated Health System: A Retrospective Cohort Study
(Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network).

Tauzin, A., Nayrac, M., Benlarbi, M., Gong, S.Y., Gasser, R., Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Brassard,
N., Laumaea, A., Vézina, D., Prévost, J., et al. (2021). A single dose of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine
BNT162b2 elicits Fc-mediated antibody effector functions and T cell responses. Cell Host Microbe
0.

Tegally, H., Wilkinson, E., Giovanetti, M., Iranzadeh, A., Fonseca, V., Giandhari, J., Doolabh, D.,
Pillay, S., San, E.J., Msomi, N., et al. (2020). Emergence and rapid spread of a new severe acute
respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) lineage with multiple spike mutations
in South Africa. MedRxiv 2020.12.21.20248640.

Ullah, I., Prévost, J., Ladinsky, M.S., Stone, H., Lu, M., Anand, S.P., Beaudoin-Bussières, G.,
Symmes, K., Benlarbi, M., Ding, S., et al. (2021). Live imaging of SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice
reveals that neutralizing antibodies require Fc function for optimal efficacy. Immunity S1074-
7613(21)00347-2.

Urbanowicz, R.A., Tsoleridis, T., Jackson, H.J., Cusin, L., Duncan, J.D., Chappell, J.G., Tarr,
A.W., Nightingale, J., Norrish, A.R., Ikram, A., et al. (2021). Two doses of the SARS-CoV-2
BNT162b2 vaccine enhances antibody responses to variants in individuals with prior SARS-CoV-
2 infection. Sci. Transl. Med. eabj0847.

Volz, E., Mishra, S., Chand, M., Barrett, J.C., Johnson, R., Geidelberg, L., Hinsley, W.R., Laydon,
D.J., Dabrera, G., O'Toole, Á., et al. (2021). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Lineage B.1.1.7 in
England: Insights from linking epidemiological and genetic data. MedRxiv 2020.12.30.20249034.

Wall, E.C., Wu, M., Harvey, R., Kelly, G., Warchal, S., Sawyer, C., Daniels, R., Hobson, P.,
Hatipoglu, E., Ngai, Y., et al. (2021). Neutralising antibody activity against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs
B.1.617.2 and B.1.351 by BNT162b2 vaccination. The Lancet *397*, 2331–2333.

Walls, A.C., Park, Y.-J., Tortorici, M.A., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., and Veesler, D. (2020). Structure,
Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell *181*, 281-292.e6.

Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Liu, L., Iketani, S., Luo, Y., Guo, Y., Wang, M., Yu, J., Zhang, B., Kwong,
P.D., et al. (2021a). Antibody Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 Variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7.

Wang, Z., Muecksch, F., Schaefer-Babajew, D., Finkin, S., Viant, C., Gaebler, C., Hoffmann, H.-
H., Barnes, C.O., Cipolla, M., Ramos, V., et al. (2021b). Naturally enhanced neutralizing breadth
against SARS-CoV-2 one year after infection. Nature *595*, 426–431.

WHO (2021). Interim recommendations for use of the Pfizer–BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine,
BNT162b2, under Emergency Use Listing, https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-
nCoV-vaccines-SAGE_recommendation-BNT162b2-2021.1.

World Health Organization WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who.int.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1 : Recognition of SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants and *hCoronaviruses* Spike by plasma from naïve and PI donors at each time point, Related to Figure 2 and 5.
293T cells were transfected with the indicated Betacoronavirus Spike and stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with plasma collected at V0 (**A**), V1 (**B**), V2 (**C**) and V3 (**D**) and analyzed by flow cytometry. Plasma recognitions are normalized with CV3-25 binding. Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red and black points respectively, PI donors who received just one dose by blue points and naïve donors with a short interval between the two doses by green points. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001; ns, non-significant).

Figure S2 : Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants and SARS-CoV-1 Spike by plasma from naïve and PI donors at each time point, Related to Figures 3, 4 and 5.

Neutralizing activity was measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing SARS-CoV-2 S variant or SARS-CoV-1 S glycoproteins, with serial dilutions of plasma collected at V0 (**A**), V1 (**B**), V2 (**C**) and V3 (**D**) for 1 h at 37°C before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells. Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum dilution (ID50) values were determined using a normalized non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism software. Naïve and PI donors with a long interval between the two doses are represented by red and black points respectively, PI donors who received just one dose by blue points and naïve donors with a short interval between the two doses by green points. Undetectable measures are represented as white symbols, and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001; ns, non-significant).