Integrative multi-omics analysis of childhood aggressive behavior

RUNNING HEAD: Integrative multi-omics analysis of childhood aggressive behavior

Fiona A. Hagenbeek[1,2,*], Jenny van Dongen[1,2,3], René Pool[1,2], Peter J. Roetman[4], Amy C. Harms[5,6],

Jouke Jan Hottenga[1], Cornelis Kluft[7], Olivier F. Colins[4,8], Catharina E.M. van Beijsterveldt[1], Vassilios

Fanos[9], Erik A. Ehli[10], Thomas Hankemeier[5,6], Robert R. J. M. Vermeiren[4,11], Meike Bartels[1,2],

Sébastien Déjean[12], Dorret I. Boomsma[1,2,3]

[1]Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[2]Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[3]Amsterdam Reproduction & Development (AR&D) research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[4]LUMC-Curium, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Center,

Leiden, the Netherlands

[5]Division of Analytical Biosciences, Leiden Academic Center for Drug Research, Leiden University,

Leiden, the Netherlands

[6]The Netherlands Metabolomics Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands.

[7]Good Biomarker Sciences, Leiden, the Netherlands.

[8]Department Special Needs Education, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

[9]Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Cagliari and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Cagliari,

Italy.

[10]Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA.

[11]Youz, Parnassia Psychiatric Institute, the Hague, the Netherlands.

[12]Toulouse Mathematics Institute, University of Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France.

* Correspondence: Fiona A. Hagenbeek, Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit

Amsterdam, Van der Boechorststraat 7-10, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Telephone: +31

(0)20 59 82974, E-mail: f.a.hagenbeek@vu.nl

N words main text: 9,014 words

N tables: 2 tables

N figures: 3 figures

Abstract

This study introduces and illustrates the potential of an integrated multi-omics approach in investigating the underlying biology of complex traits such as childhood aggressive behavior. Using multivariate statistical methods, we integrated 45 polygenic scores (PGSs) based on genome-wide SNP data, 78,772 CpGs, and 90 metabolites for 645 twins (cases=42.0%, controls=58.0%). The single-omics models selected 31 PGSs, 1614 CpGs, and 90 metabolites, and the multi-omics biomarker panel comprised 44 PGSs, 746 CpGs, and 90 metabolites. The predictive accuracy in the test (N=277, cases=42.2%, controls=57.8%) and validation data (N=142 participants from a clinical cohort, cases=45.1%, controls=54.9%) ranged from 43.0% to 57.0% for the single- and multi-omics models. The average correlations across omics layers of omics traits selected for aggression in single-omics models ranged from 0.18 to 0.28. In the multi-omics model higher correlations were found and we describe five sets of correlational patterns with high absolute correlations ($|r| \geq 0.60$) of aggression-related omics traits selected into the multi-omics model, providing novel biological insights.

Keywords: Childhood aggression; multi-omics; polygenic scores; genetic nurturing; DNA methylation; metabolomics.

Introduction

Omics studies can lead to an improved understanding of the biological mechanisms contributing to mental health and disorders (Jakovljevic and Jakovljevic 2019). Different omics technologies, e.g., genomics, epigenomics, transcriptomics, or metabolomics, assess different aspects of the development and progression of complex traits and disorders. The analysis of a single omics layer provides a unique, but incomplete, picture of the underlying biology, whereas studies combining multiple omics layers may lead to more comprehensive insights into human biology, because the different omics layers are interrelated and interact (Wörheide et al. 2021). Multi-omics analyses can aid in biomarker discovery, diagnosis, patient classification or subtyping, evaluation of treatment response and uncovering novel insights into disease biology (Pinu et al. 2019; Subramanian et al. 2020).

Here, we present an integrative multi-omics analysis of childhood aggressive behavior. Human aggression is a complex and heterogenous behavior encompassing hostile, destructive, or injurious behavior aimed at causing physical or emotional harm to others (Anderson and Bushman 2002; Siever 2008). In several disruptive behavioral disorders, such as conduct and oppositional defiant disorders or intermittent explosive disorder, inappropriate levels of aggressive behavior are observed (Radwan and Coccaro 2020). In humans, high co-occurrence with other social, behavioral, and emotional problems is reported (Bartels et al. 2018; Whipp et al. 2021a). Childhood aggressive behavior puts a burden on children and their parents/caretakers, and is predictive of multiple adverse outcomes later in life, such as antisocial personality disorder (Whipp et al. 2019), criminal convictions (Kassing et al. 2019), lower educational attainment (Vuoksimaa et al. 2020), or negative interpersonal relationships (Fergusson et al. 2005).

Large-scale genome-wide association (GWA) studies on aggression have not yet identified significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Odintsova et al. 2019; Ip et al. 2021). Gene-based analysis for childhood aggression yielded three significantly associated genes (*ST3GAL3*,

PCDH7, and *IPO13*) (Ip et al. 2021). The polygenic score for childhood aggression does not only

significantly explain childhood aggressive behavior at age 7 (Ip et al. 2021), but it also associated

with aggression at age 12 to 41 in a Dutch sample and age 38 to 48 in an Australian sample (van der

Laan et al. 2021). Small-scale epigenetic studies (sample size range: 41-260) showed that DNA

methylation differences in various tissues associated with aggression and related traits in children

and adults (Guillemin et al. 2014; Cecil et al. 2018b, a; Mitjans et al. 2019). The first large-scale

epigenome-wide association analyses (EWAS) meta-analysis across child and adult cohorts (N =

15,324) reported 13 significant sites in peripheral blood for broad aggression across the lifespan (van

Dongen et al. 2021). Metabolomics studies (sample size range: 77-725) detected plasma and serum

metabolites associated with aggression and related traits in adults (Gulsun et al. 2016; Chen et al.

2020; Whipp et al. 2021b). And a study in 1,347 twins and 183 clinical cases found significant

associations of urinary metabolites with childhood aggression (Hagenbeek et al. 2020). These single

omics approaches hint at potentially important biological pathways for human aggression, with most

of these findings awaiting replication.

In this study, we aim to integrate multiple omics layers to construct a multi-omics biomarker

panel for childhood aggressive behavior and explore the correlations among the omics traits

included in this panel. We collected biological samples in a subproject of ACTION (Aggression in

Children: Unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies):

the ACTION Biomarker Study (Boomsma 2015; Bartels et al. 2018; Hagenbeek et al. 2020). The

ACTION Biomarker Study comprised a cohort of twins from the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR)

(Ligthart et al. 2019) and a clinical cohort of children referred to a youth psychiatry clinic (LUMC-

Curium, the Netherlands). The genome-wide SNP and DNA methylation (Illumina EPIC 850K array)

data, and the urinary amines and organic acids as measured in these cohorts were previously

included in a genome-wide genetic and epigenetic association study and a metabolomics study of

(childhood) aggression (Hagenbeek et al. 2020; van Dongen et al. 2021; Ip et al. 2021). We expand

on these single-omics studies, by integrating this data with a third metabolomics dataset, focusing

on urinary steroid hormones, and calculating transmitted and non-transmitted polygenic scores

(PGSs) for childhood aggression and a series of genetically correlated traits, such as Attention-Deficit

Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), smoking, and intelligence. The non-transmitted PGSs assess the

indirect effects of genetic variants that were not transmitted from parents to offspring, i.e., genetic

nurture, and capture the effects of the environment created by parents beyond the genetic

intergeneration transmission (Kong et al. 2018). Thus, if alleles not transmitted from parents to

offspring affect offspring outcomes, this indicates that the offspring's home-environment is

influenced by parentental genotypes, and the home-environment in turn affects offspring outcomes

(Branje et al. 2020).

We employed an analytical design comprising three phases: 1) single-omics analyses; 2) pairwise

cross-omics analyses; and 3) multi-omics analyses (**Fig. 1**) (Duruflé et al. 2020). First, we built single-

omics biomarker panels in the twin cohort, with 70% of the twin data for model training, 30% of the

twin data for model testing, and the clinical cohort for model validation. Second, we examined the

overall pairwise cross-omics correlations and the pairwise correlations of those omics traits selected

by the single-omics models in the training data. Third, using the same data split for model training,

testing and validation, we compared three multi-omics models, with different assumptions on the

correlations among the omics traits and describe the multi-omics correlations of the selected omics

traits.

Materials and methods

Study population and procedures

Participants included 1,494 twins (747 complete pairs) from the Netherlands Twin Register

(Ligthart et al. 2019), and 189 children referred to the LUMC-Curium youth psychiatry clinic in the

Netherlands that took part in the ACTION Biomarker Study (Aggression in Children: Unraveling gene-

environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies) (Boomsma 2015; Bartels et

al. 2018; Hagenbeek et al. 2020). Both cohorts collected first-morning urine samples and buccal-cell

swabs with standardized protocols (for details, see **Appendix A**). In the twin cohort, we also

collected buccal-cell swabs from parents and siblings of the twins. The current study included

participants if they had aggression status, complete omics data for all three omics layers, and all

relevant covariates (**Table S1**). Parents provided written informed consent for their children and twin

parents provided written informed consent for their own participation. Study approval was obtained

from the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University

Medical Center, Amsterdam (NTR 25th of May 2007 and ACTION 2013/41 and 2014.252), an

Institutional Review Board certified by the U.S. Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number

IRB00002991 under Federal-wide Assurance- FWA00017598; IRB/institute codes), and the Medical

Ethical Committee of Leiden University Medical Center (B17.031, B17.032 and B17.040).

Aggressive behavior

Mothers and teachers rated aggressive behavior on the Aggressive Behavior syndrome scale of

the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) or

Teacher Report Form (TRF) (Achenbach et al. 2017). We have described selection and definition of

aggression cases and controls previously (Hagenbeek et al. 2020). In brief, we selected twin pairs

based on concordance (case-case or control-control) and discordance (case-control pairs) for

mother- (93%) or teacher-rated (7%) aggressive behavior at ages 3, 7, and/or 10 years. We matched

concordant control pairs on postal code to the case-case and case-control pairs, and collection of

biological samples within regions in the Netherlands was around the same time. Cases were defined

by mother- or teacher-rated sex- and age-specific T-scores of 65 or higher (subclinical levels), or on

mother-rated age-specific thresholds on the item scores at age 3 ($\geq$ 13), age 7 ($\geq$ 5), or age 10 ($\geq$ 4)

(N = 388, **Table S1**). We denoted individuals with scores below these thresholds as controls (N = 534,

Table S1). In the clinical cohort, children with a parent-rated sex-specific T-score of $\geq$ 70 (clinical

levels) were classified as cases (N = 64), and children with T-scores of < 65 were classified as low

scoring controls (N = 78, **Table S1**). We excluded children with T-scores in the subclinical range (T-

scores of $\geq$ 65 and < 70) from this study (N = 35).

Omics measurements

Details on omics measurements are included in **Appendix B**. Genotyping was performed on

Affymetrix Axiom or Illumina GSA arrays (Ehli et al. 2017; Beck et al. 2019), and genome-wide SNP

data were available for 3,334 participants, including 1,702 parents and siblings of twins (AXIOM =

909, GSA = 2,425). Transmitted and non-transmitted polygenetic scores (PGS) were calculated for

childhood aggression and 14 other traits that showed a significant ($p < 0.02$) genetic correlation of ≤

-0.40 or ≥ 0.40 with childhood aggression (Ip et al. 2021) (**Table 1**). Thus, in total we calculated 45

PGSs: a transmitted (15), non-transmitted by mother (15), and non-transmitted by father (15) PGS

for each trait. The effects of sex, age at biological sample collection, genotyping platform, and the

first 10 genetic principal components (PCs) were regressed on the standardized PGSs and we

included residuals in the analyses.

Genome-wide DNA methylation was measured on the Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip Kit

(Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA (Moran et al. 2016)). Quality Control (QC) and normalization were

carried out with pipelines developed by the Biobank-based Integrative Omics Study (BIOS)

consortium (Sinke et al.). From the 787,711 autosomal methylation probes that survived QC, the top

10% most variable probes were included in the analyses (**Data S1**). Residual methylation levels were

obtained by regressing the effects of sex, age, percentages of epithelial and natural killer cells, EPIC

array row, and bisulfite sample plate, from the methylation β-values.

Urinary metabolomics data were generated on: 1) a liquid chromatography mass spectrometry

(LC-MS) platform targeting amines; 2) a LC-MS platform targeting steroid hormones; and 3) a gas

chromatography (GC) MS platform targeting organic acids. We excluded metabolites with a relative

standard deviation of the QC samples larger than 15% and retain 60 amines, 10 steroids, and 20

organic acids in our analyses. After QC, we normalized metabolite levels to the sample-median and

inverse normal rank transformed. We analyzed residuals obtained by regressing the effects of sex

and age on the normalized and transformed urinary metabolites.

Statistical analyses

To define multi-omics biomarker panels capable of discriminating between cases and controls and explore the correlations among the omics traits included in these panels, we employed an analytical design comprising three phases: 1) single-omics analyses; 2) pairwise cross-omics analyses; and 3) multi-omics analyses (**Fig. 1**). To avoid overfitting of the single- and multi-omics models, we randomly split the twin sample at the twin pair level into two subsets: 70% of the data for model training (training data), and 30% of the data for model testing (test data; **Table 2**). The clinical validity of the final single- and multi-omics models was evaluated in the clinical cohort (validation data; **Table 2**). We carried analyses out in the mixOmics R package (version 6.12.1) implemented in the R programming language (version 4.0.2) (R Core Team; Rohart et al. 2017).

Phase 1: Single-omics analyses

Univariate polygenic score analyses

In the training data, we first associated the transmitted- and non-transmitted PGSs with childhood aggression through generalized estimating equation (GEE) models. GEE models tested the association of each transmitted and non-transmitted PGS separately on the continuous mother-rated sum scores of the ASEBA CBCL Aggressive Behavior syndrome scale as assessed at the time of biological sample collection. All models included sex, age, genotype array, and the first 10 genetic principal components as covariates. We corrected for the correlation structure within families by using the "exchangeable" correlation structure, obtaining robust variance estimators (Rogers and Stoner 2016). A False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 5% for 45 PGSs was used to correct for multiple testing (p.adjust function in R), setting the significance threshold to $q \leq 0.05$, i.e., 5% of the significant results will be false positives (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

Multivariate single-omics models

To get a first insight into the dimensionality of the metabolomics data (90 variables), DNA methylation data (78,772 variables), and the PGSs (45 variables), we ran Principal Component

Analysis (PCA) within each omics layer in the training data (**Table S2**). To assess the ability of each of

the three omics layers to correctly classify aggression status, we applied Partial Least Square

Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) in the training data. PLS-DA involves iteratively constructing

successive latent components, where each component is a linear combination of the included omics

traits (Rohart et al. 2017). For each component, PLS-DA aims to maximize the covariance between

the residual X matrix, containing the omics data, and the Y matrix, containing the sample

classification (i.e., case-control status coded as a dummy variable). The mixOmics software requires

a user-defined maximum number of components in PLS-DA models. We chose this maximum based

on the number of PCs as determined by the elbow method in the PCA (**Fig. S1**; **Table S2**). To find the

optimal number of components to keep in each PLS-DA model, we employed 10-fold cross-validation

(CV) with 100 repeats (*perf* function; **Table S3**; **Fig. S2**).

Next, we applied sparse PLS-DA (sPLS-DA) to reduce the number of traits in each omics layer

contributing to each component. sPLS-DA includes Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator

(LASSO) penalization (e.g., *L1* penalization). LASSO shrinks the coefficients of less importance, often

highly correlated, traits to zero, removing these traits from the model (Tibshirani 1996). Thus, for

each component, sPLS-DA finds the maximum covariance between the residual X matrix containing a

subset of the omics data with non-zero coefficients (variable selection) and the Y matrix (Lê Cao et

al. 2011). We assessed the trait selection via 10-fold CV with 100 repeats (*tune* function), keeping at

least two components in the final model (**Table S3**; **Fig. S3**). CV again obtained the performance of

the final sPLS-DA model using the *perf* function (10 folds, 100 repeats; **Table S3**; **Fig. S4**).

The ability of the final single-omics models to accurately predict out-of-sample case-control

status was evaluated in the test and validation data (*predict* function). For each new observation in

the test and validation data, this function calculates the predicted class (case/control) by estimating

their predicted dummy variable (of the case-control status) using the maximum, Mahalanobis, or

Centroids (Euclidian) distance (see Rohart et al. 2017). When using the maximum distance, the

predicted class of a new observation is the class for which we observed the largest predicted dummy

value. Both the Mahalanobis and centroids distances are centroid-based distances that predict the

class of a new observation, so that the distance between its centroid and predicted scores is

minimal. To out-of-sample case-control status, we used the best performing prediction distance, as

was determined during model training (see **Table S3**).

The misclassification rates of the models, that combine the number of cases classified as controls

(false negative rate) and the number of controls classified as cases (false positive rate), was used to

evaluate how well the final models predicted case-control status. We employed a balanced

misclassification rate, the balanced error rate (BER), that corrects for imbalances in the number of

cases and controls. We used a confusion matrix, comparing the true cases and controls with the

predicted cases and controls, to calculate the sensitivity (number of cases correctly classified as

cases [true positive rate]), specificity (number of controls correctly classified as controls [true

negative rate]), and accuracy (overall correct classification). As an alternative, Receiver Operating

Characteristic (ROC) analysis assessed the Area Under the Curve (AUC) in both the test and

validation data. We obtained the ROC curve per component.

Phase 2: Pairwise cross-omics analyses

To highlight pairwise cross-omics relationships (i.e., DNA methylation-metabolomics, PGSs-

metabolomics, and PGSs-DNA methylation), Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression models were

constructed in canonical mode in the training data. Similar to canonical correlation analysis,

canonical PLS regression aims to find linear combinations of the variables (canonical variates) to

reduce the dimensionality of the data while maximizing the covariance between the variates (Rohart

et al. 2017). CV has not yet been implemented for PLS in canonical mode. Consequently, we kept the

smallest number of components as kept in either of the respective single-omics models (**Table S3**).

Therefore, we included 3 components for the DNA methylation-metabolomics model, and 2

components for the PGS-metabolomics and PGS-DNA methylation models.

The PLS models were run with two different numbers of included omics traits, 1) a PLS model that

included all 45 PGSs, 78,772 CpGs, and 90 metabolites (model 1), and 2) a PLS model that only

included the 36 PGSs, 1,614 CpGs, and 90 metabolites that were selected by the single-omics sPLS-

DA models (model 2; **Data S2**). Model 1 provides insight into the correlations among all omics traits,

while model 2 provides insight into the correlations of those omics traits that best contribute to

aggression case-control classification in the single-omics sPLS-DA models. On the omics traits

included in model 2, we performed hierarchical clustering with the Ward linkage algorithm on

Euclidean distances of the PLS variates and used the 'dendextend' R-package (Galili 2015) to extract

the two largest clusters for both of the omics layers included in the PLS models.

Phase 3: Multi-omics analyses

The multi-omics analysis was conducted through Data Integration Analysis for Biomarker

discovery using Latent cOmponents (DIABLO) in the training data. DIABLO extends PLS-DA to multi-

block PLS-DA (MB-PLS-DA), that aims at identifying correlated traits from multiple omics layers that

maximize the sample classification (Singh et al. 2019). The method requires a user-defined 'design

matrix', that specifies the expected correlations among the omics layers. The symmetric design

matrix has the number of rows and columns equal to the number of omics layers (i.e., 3), and

contains values between 0 and 1. A 'full' design matrix denotes strong positive correlations among

the omics layers and sets the values among omics layers close to or equal to one. A 'null' design

matrix denotes weak or no correlations among omics layers by setting values close to or equal to

zero. The full design matrix optimizes correlations among the omics layers, while the null design

matrix optimizes the discrimination between samples (Rohart et al. 2017; Singh et al. 2019; Duruflé

et al. 2020). We can also specify a design matrix with the empirical correlations among the omics

layers.

We compared a multi-omics model with an empirical design matrix (based on the correlations

obtained from the model 1 pairwise cross-omics PLS models; **Table S4**) to models with a null or full

design matrix. Based on the results of the single-omics sPLS-DA models (**Table S5**), we chose the

maximum number of components to include in the MB-PLS-DA models. We determined the optimal

number of components to keep in the MB-PLS-DA model with 10-fold CV and 100 repeats (*perf*

function; **Fig. S5**). We assessed the trait selection per component per omics layer via 5-fold CV with

50 repeats (*tune* function; **Table S5**; **Fig. S6**). Performance of the final MB-sPLS-DA model was

assessed with 5-fold CV (50 repeats; **Table S5**; **Fig. S7**).

The ability of the final multi-omics models to predict out-of-sample case-control status was

evaluated in the test and validation data (*predict* function), using the best performing prediction

distance as was determined during model training (see **Table S5**). The final multi-omics models were

evaluated by their balanced error rates, and the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the models

were calculated from their confusion matrices. In the multi-omics models, we calculated the ROC

curves per component for each omics layer.

Biological characterization

To facilitate biological interpretation, we describe the correlations of the PGSs, CpGs, and

metabolites, that were selected by the single-omics sPLS-DA models and those selected by the multi-

omics MB-sPLS-DA models. For the multi-omics MB-sPLS-DA models we also identified correlation

patterns that included high absolute correlations ($|r| \geq 0.60$) between omics traits of at least two

omics layers. To test for enrichment of methylation sites previously associated with other traits, we

performed trait enrichment analysis for all traits (619) in the EWAS atlas on the 18th of June 2021 (Li

et al. 2019). CpGs served as input for the trait enrichment analysis if 20 or more unique CpGs were

selected into the single-omics sPLS-DA model, the multi-omics MB-sPLS-DA models, or included in a

multi-omics MB-sPLS-DA high correlation pattern. When fewer than 20 CpGs were selected, we

manually retrieved the trait associations with the CpGs from the EWAS atlas. Similarly, we

performed trait enrichment analysis or manual retrieval of the CpGs included in the clusters as

identified for the pairwise cross-omics analyses for all traits (618) in the EWAS atlas on the 1st of July

2021.

Results

Polygenic prediction

Transmitted and non-transmitted PGSs for childhood aggression and 13 other traits were

individually not significantly associated with aggressive behavior after multiple testing correction

(**Data S3**). The transmitted PGS for ADHD were significantly associated with aggressive behavior (β =

1.16, *SE* = 0.26, *q* = 0.0003), while the non-transmitted PGSs were not (mother: β = -0.22, *SE* = 0.24, *q*

= 0.83; father: β = 0.02, *SE* = 0.23, *q* = 0.98), showing that genetic liability for ADHD associates with

increased levels of aggressive behavior.

Single-omics models for childhood aggression

We built single-omics biomarker panels for childhood aggressive behavior based on sPLS-DA

models including PGSs, DNA methylation, or metabolomics data. After extensive cross-validation the

optimal models included 11 transmitted and 25 non-transmitted PGSs (2-component model), across

all components, 1,614 CpGs (6-component model), and all 90 metabolites (2-component model)

(**Table S3**; **Data S2**). All three single-omics models showed poor separation of aggression cases and

controls on all components (**Fig. S8**). Prediction in the test data showed that model performance was

at most slightly better than random assignment of case-control status for the PGSs (BER = 0.51-0.51,

range is for the different components), for the DNA methylation data (BER = 0.44-0.51), and for the

metabolomics data (BER = 0.52-0.54; **Table S6**). In the validation data, the average classification

accuracy was better for the metabolomics model (BER = 0.48-0.51), but not for the PGS (BER = 0.50-

0.51) and DNA methylation models (BER = 0.48-0.58; **Table S6**). Similarly, the single-omics models

had low sensitivity and specificity in both the test and validation data and ROC curve analyses

showed the AUCs ranged from 0.48 to 0.58 in the test data and from 0.39 to 0.58 in the validation

data (**Table S6**). As a result, we observed a low degree of separation among cases and controls in

both the test and validation data (**Fig. S9- S10**).

Out of the 36 selected PGSs, 11 transmitted and 16 non-transmitted PGSs (75%) were selected

with high stability, i.e., included in 80% of the cross-validation rounds (**Data S2**). PGSs that were

consistently included in the sPLS-DA model for childhood aggression, included the transmitted and

both non-transmitted PGSs for childhood aggression and number of cigarettes per day, the

transmitted and non-transmitted by mother PGSs for ADHD, age at first birth, Major Depressive

Disorder (MDD), insomnia, loneliness, and Educational Attainment (EA), the transmitted and non-

transmitted by father PGSs for smoking initiation, both non-transmitted PGSs for self-reported

health, the transmitted PGSs for wellbeing spectrum and age of smoking initiation, the non-

transmitted by mother PGSs for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and intelligence, and the non-

transmitted by father PGS for childhood IQ. The remaining PGSs included in the final sPLS-DA model

had a stability ranging from 50%-78%, and included the non-transmitted by mother (childhood IQ,

wellbeing spectrum, age at smoking initiation, and smoking initiation) and non-transmitted by father

scores (intelligence, MDD, ADHD, wellbeing spectrum, and ASD).

In contrast, only 56 out of the 1,614 CpGs (3.5%) were selected with high stability (range = 0.1%-

97.9%; **Data S2**). We performed trait enrichment analyses against all reported associations in the

EWAS atlas for all selected CpGs in the sPLS-DA model. We observed the strongest enrichment for

glucocorticoid exposure (i.e., administration of corticosteroid medication (Braun et al. 2019); OR =

18.02, p = 5.34x10^{-158}), and household socioeconomic status in childhood (OR = 9.88, p = 1.18x10^{-13};

Table S7). In addition, we saw enrichment for many other traits, not obviously related to aggressive

behavior. All 90 metabolites selected by the sPLS-DA model were selected with high stability (**Data**

S2).

Pairwise cross-omics models

The average correlations between each omics layer from pairwise PLS cross-omics models including all omics traits, i.e., the correlation among all PLS variates of all components simultaneously, were $r = 0.18$ ($q = 3.19 \times 10^{-15}$) for DNA methylation-metabolomics, $r = 0.28$ ($q = 7.97 \times 10^{-24}$) for PGSs-metabolomics, and $r = 0.29$ ($q = 2.30 \times 10^{-26}$) for PGSs-DNA methylation (**Table S4**). We observed a decrease in the average correlations between the PGSs and CpGs ($r = 0.28$, $q = 9.57 \times 10^{-24}$), but not between the PGSs and metabolites or the CpGs and metabolites, when including only the omics traits selected through single-omics sPLS-DA in the pairwise PLS cross-omics models (**Table S4**). Overall, these correlations suggest that omics traits for childhood aggression from different omics layers capture largely independent information and that childhood aggression is associated with variation across different omics layers.

Pairwise DNA methylation-metabolomics correlations

In the pairwise DNA methylation-metabolomics model, we used hierarchical clustering and found two clusters of CpGs and of metabolites (**Fig. 2a**; **Data S4**). The DNA methylation cluster 1 contains 1,151 (71.3%) of the CpGs selected by the sPLS-DA models for childhood aggression. These CpGs located across the genome, have the largest number of CpGs on chromosome 1 ($N = 112$, 9.7%), and chromosome 2 ($N = 93$, 8.1%), and the strongest trait enrichments for these CpGs were observed for household socioeconomic status in childhood (OR = 12.48, $p = 4.20 \times 10^{-14}$), and psoriasis (OR = 3.70, $p = 1.18 \times 10^{-10}$; **Table S8**). The 463 (28.7%) CpGs included in cluster 2 are also located across the genome, with chromosome 3 ($N = 43$, 9.3%), and chromosome 4 ($N = 39$, 8.4%) containing the largest amount of cluster 2 CpGs, that show the strongest trait enrichment for glucocorticoid exposure (OR = 51.25, $p \leq 1.00 \times 10^{-308}$; **Table S8**). Metabolite cluster 1 contains 69 (76.7%) of the metabolites, including 55 amines, 7 organic acids and 7 steroids, while metabolite cluster 2 contains 21 metabolites (23.3%), including 5 amines, 13 organic acids, and 3 steroids.

The correlation of the CpGs included in cluster 1 with metabolites ranges from -0.20 to 0.19 (r M

= -0.001, r SD = 0.02; **Fig. 2a**; **Data S4-S5**). The correlations of the cluster 1 CpGs with the cluster 1

metabolites are lower (r M = -0.005, r SD = 0.02, r range = -0.19-0.19) than those observed with the

cluster 2 metabolites (r M = 0.01, r SD = 0.01 r range = -0.20-0.19). The CpGs included in cluster 2

have correlations ranging from -0.23 to 0.18 with metabolites (r M = -0.05, r SD = 0.01), with

predominantly negative correlations observed between these CpGs and the amines included in

metabolite cluster 1 (r M = -0.095, r SD = 0.01, r range = -0.23-0.18; **Fig. 2a**; **Data S4-S5**). We

observed the highest absolute correlations ($|r| \geq 0.20$) between metabolites of cluster 1 and CpGs

included in cluster 2, specifically, the amines 3-methoxytyramine (r M = -0.21, r SD = 0.01, r range: -

0.23 to 0.20), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA, r M = -0.20, r SD = 0.002, r range: -0.21 to -0.20),

L-glutamic acid (r = -0.20), L-phenylalanine (r M = -0.20, r SD = 0.003, r range: -0.21 to -0.20), O-

acetyl-L-serine (r = -0.20), and symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA, r M = -0.21, r SD = 0.004, r range:

-0.22 to -0.20) show negative correlations with these CpGs (**Fig. 2a**; **Data S4-S5**), showing that

increased levels of these urinary metabolites associated with hypomethylation at cluster 2 CpG sites.

Pairwise PGSs-metabolomics correlations

Hierarchical cluster of the pairwise PGSs-metabolomics model identified two clusters of PGSs and

two clusters of metabolites (**Fig. 2b**; **Table S9**). The PGS cluster 1 contains 23 of the PGSs (63.9%)

selected by the sPLS-DA model for childhood aggression (**Fig. 2b**; **Table S9**). This cluster contains 8

transmitted PGSs, 9 PGSs non-transmitted by mother and 6 non-transmitted by father, including the

transmitted and both non-transmitted PGSs for ADHD, childhood aggression, number of cigarettes

per day, and MDD, the transmitted and non-transmitted by mother PGSs for insomnia, both non-

transmitted PGSs for smoking initiation and ASD, the transmitted PGSs for age at first birth, EA, and

wellbeing spectrum, and the non-transmitted by mother PGSs for age at smoking initiation and

loneliness. The 13 PGSs (36.1%) included in cluster 2 include 3 transmitted PGSs, 6 PGSs non-

transmitted by mother, and 4 by father (**Fig. 2b**; **Table S9**). This cluster encompasses the transmitted

PGSs for age of smoking initiation, loneliness, and smoking initiation, both the non-transmitted PGSs

for childhood IQ, intelligence, self-reported health, and wellbeing spectrum, and the non-

transmitted by mother PGSs of age at first birth and EA. We observed that the PGSs for wellbeing

spectrum, smoking initiation, age at first birth, and age at smoking initiation are not assigned to the

same cluster, but included across clusters 1 and 2 (**Fig. 2b**; **Table S9**). For these four traits, we

observed a high number of opposite directions in the correlations among the metabolites and the

transmitted and non-transmitted PGSs, i.e., 66.7%, 100%, 76.7%, and 62.2%, respectively (**Fig. 2b**;

Data S6; **Table S10**).

The metabolite cluster 1 contains 46 metabolites (51.1%), all amines (76.7% of all amines) (**Fig.**

2b; **Table S9**). The remaining 14 amines, as well as all steroids and organic acids, are included in

metabolite cluster 2 (N = 44, 48.9%, **Fig. 2b**; **Table S9**). Despite these differences, these clusters are

still similar to those observed in the DNA methylation-metabolomics model, with 46 (66.7%) and 21

(47.7%) overlapping metabolites included in cluster 1 and 2, respectively.

Overall, we observed correlations ranging from -0.18 to 0.26 for the PGSs in cluster 1 with

metabolites (r M = 0.015, r SD = 0.02), and from -0.24 to 0.19 for the cluster 2 PGSs with metabolites

(r M = -0.03, r SD = 0.02; **Fig. 2b**; **Data S6**; **Table S9**). We observed the highest positive correlations (r

≥ 0.20) between the non-transmitted by father ADHD (ADHD_NTf) and smoking initiation PGSs

(smokinginitiation_NTf) with both essential and non-essential amino acids, such as L-leucine or L-

alanine (ADHD_NTf r M = 0.21, r SD = 0.01, r range: 0.21-0.22, smokinginitiation_NTf r M = 0.22, r SD

= 0.02, r range: 0.20-0.26). Similarly, the most negative correlations (r ≤ -0.20) were observed

between amino acids and the non-transmitted by father PGSs for intelligence (intelligence_NTf) and

self-reported health (selfreportedhealth_NTf, intelligence_NTf r M = -0.21, r SD = 0.01, r range: -0.22

to -0.21, selfreportedhealth_NTf r M = -0.21, r SD = 0.01, r range: -0.22 to -0.20). This shows that

characteristics tagged by ADHD, smoking initiation, intelligence, and self-reported health correlated

to parental construction of environments influencing offspring urinary amino acid levels.

 Pairwise PGSs-DNA methylation correlations

For the pairwise PGSs-DNA methylation model, we again applied hierarchical clustering to find

two clusters for the PGSs and DNA methylation data (**Fig. 2c**; **Data S7**). PGS cluster 1 contains 22 of

the PGSs (61.1%) selected by the sPLS-DA model for childhood aggression (**Fig. 2c**; **Data S7**). This

cluster contains 6 transmitted PGSs, 9 PGSs non-transmitted by mother and 7 non-transmitted by

father, including the transmitted and both non-transmitted PGSs for ADHD, and MDD, the

transmitted and the non-transmitted by mother PGSs for smoking initiation, and wellbeing

spectrum, both the non-transmitted PGSs for aggression, and number of cigarettes per day, the

transmitted PGSs for age at first birth, and EA, the non-transmitted by mother PGSs for age of

smoking initiation, insomnia, and loneliness, and the non-transmitted by father PGSs for ASD,

childhood IQ, and intelligence. The 14 PGSs (38.9%) included in cluster 2 include 5 transmitted PGSs,

6 PGSs non-transmitted by mother, and 3 by father. This cluster encompasses both the non-

transmitted PGSs for self-reported health, the transmitted PGSs for age smoking initiation,

aggression, number of cigarettes per day, insomnia, and loneliness, the non-transmitted by mother

PGSs for age at first birth, ASD, childhood IQ, EA, and intelligence, and the non-transmitted by father

PGSs for smoking initiation, and wellbeing spectrum. The clusters as identified in this model are

highly similar to those observed in the PGSs-metabolomics model, with 16 (69.6%) and 9 (69.2%)

overlapping PGSs included in cluster 1 and 2, respectively (**Table S9**; **Data S7**). Although the PGSs-

metabolomics and PGSs-DNA methylation models produce very similar clusters for the PGSs, we

observe that only the PGSs for self-reported health, ADHD, and MDD were assigned to the same

cluster, while the PGSs of only four traits had not been assigned the same cluster in the PGSs-

metabolomics model (**Table S9**; **Data S7**).

The DNA methylation cluster 1 contains 1,142 (70.8%) of the CpGs selected by the sPLS-DA

models for childhood aggression, and shows the strongest trait enrichments for household

socioeconomic status in childhood (OR = 12.58, p = 3.68x10^{-14}), and psoriasis (OR = 3.73, p = 9.55x10^{-}

^{11}; **Table S11**). The 472 (29.2%) CpGs included in cluster 2 show the strongest trait enrichment for

glucocorticoid exposure (OR = 50.00, $p \leq 1.00\times10^{-}308$; **Table S11**). The clusters as identified in this

model are nearly identical to those observed in the DNA methylation-metabolomics model, with

1,142 (99.2%) and 463 (98.1%) overlapping CpGs included in cluster 1 and 2, respectively (**Data S4**;

Data S7).

The correlations of PGSs in cluster 1 and 2 with the CpGs ranged from -0.10 to 0.15 (r M = 0.02, r

SD = 0.02) and from -0.19 to 0.11 (r M = -0.03, r SD = 0.02), respectively, and the correlations of the

CpGs in cluster 1 and 2 with the PGSs ranged from -0.15 to 0.13 (r M = 0.0002, r SD = 0.01) and from

-0.19 to 0.15 (r M = -0.005, r SD = 0.004), respectively (**Fig. 2c**; **Data S7-S8**). We observed a high

number of near zero correlations among the PGSs and CpGs, that likely contribute to the high

number of opposite direction correlations among the CpGs and the transmitted and non-transmitted

PGSs (N M = 906, N SD = 499, N range: 38-1614; **Fig. 2c**; **Data S8**; **Table S12**). The most negative

correlations ($r \leq$ -0.15) were observed between the non-transmitted by father self-reported health

PGS with CpGs of cluster 2 (r M = -0.18, r SD = 0.01, r range: -0.19 to -0.15), and the highest positive

correlations ($r \geq$ 0.15) between the cluster 2 CpGs and the non-transmitted by father ASD PGS (r M =

0.151, r SD = 0.001, r range: 0.150-0.154; **Fig. 2c**; **Data S8**). This shows that characteristics tagged by

ASD and self-reported health correlated to paternal construction of environments influencing

offspring buccal DNA methylation levels.

Multi-omics model for childhood aggression

We built multi-omics panels for childhood aggressive behavior based on multi-block sPLS-DA

(MB-sPLS-DA) models, including PGSs, DNA methylation, and metabolomics data. Here, we report

the multi-omics model with an empirical design matrix and results for the null and full design

matrices can be found in **Appendices C** and **D**, respectively. After cross-validation, the optimal 5-

component model included 14 transmitted and 30 non-transmitted PGSs, 746 CpGs, and all 90

metabolites, across all components (**Table S5**; **Data S9**). The multi-omics model showed poor

separation of aggression cases and controls both across omics blocks and within omics blocks (**Fig.**

S11). Multi-omics prediction of aggression case-control status in the test data showed an

improvement in the prediction (BER = 0.47-0.52; **Table S13**) as compared to single-omics models

including only the PGSs or metabolomics data, but not as compared to the model including only the

DNA methylation data (**Table S6**). In contrast, in the clinical cohort, the average classification

accuracy was poorer in the multi-omics model (BER = 0.53-0.57; **Table S13**) than for the single-omics

models (**Table S6**). While the sensitivity and specificity of the multi-omics model was low, ROC curve

analyses showed the AUCs ranged from 0.63 to 0.76 in the test data and the clinical cohort (**Table**

S13), which is an improvement in comparison to the single-omics models (**Table S6**). As for the

single-omics models, we observed a low degree of separation among cases and controls in both the

test data and in the clinical cohort for the multi-omics model (**Fig. S12-S13**).

The multi-omics model selected all 30 non-transmitted PGS, and except for the transmitted PGS

for childhood IQ, also all the transmitted PGSs (**Data S9**). Nine of the transmitted and 20 of the non-

transmitted PGSs had high average stability, i.e., average of how often the trait was selected across

repetitions of the cross-validation folds, to be selected to at least one of the components. The PGSs

with high stability included the transmitted and both non-transmitted PGSs for childhood

aggression, age at first birth, number of cigarettes per day, intelligence and smoking initiation, the

transmitted and non-transmitted by father PGSs for ADHD and insomnia, both the non-transmitted

PGSs for age at smoking initiation, EA, and self-reported health, the transmitted PGSs for MDD and

wellbeing spectrum, and the non-transmitted by mother PGSs for ASD and childhood IQ.

Out of the 746 CpGs selected by the multi-omics model 204 (27.3%) were also selected by the

single-omics DNA methylation model, and only cg03469862 (chr11: 68924853) was selected with

high stability to any of the components in the multi-omics model (range = 0.10-0.92; **Data S2; Data**

S9). We performed trait enrichment analyses against all reported associations in the EWAS atlas for

all selected CpGs in the MB-sPLS-DA model. We observed the strongest enrichment for gender (OR =

3.90, p = 3.97x10^{-31}), and breast cancer risk (OR = 342.60, p = 1.44x10^{-21}; **Table S14**). These CpGs also

showed enrichment for e.g., neurological and developmental disorders, such as ASD and Parkinson's

disease, cardiometabolic traits, such as obesity and Type II Diabetes, inflammatory disorders, such as

respiratory allergies and ankylosis spondylitis, pre- and perinatal risk factors, such as preterm birth

and maternal stress, and environmental exposures, such as organophosphate exposure (**Table S14**).

All 90 metabolites selected by the MB-sPLS-DA model were selected with high average stability to at

least one of the components, and only 11 metabolites showed low stability for selection in any

specific component (**Data S9**).

Correlations of omics traits selected for childhood aggression in the multi-omics model

The average correlations between each omics layer in the multi-omics model, i.e., the

correlation among all PLS variates of all components simultaneously, were $r = 0.19$ ($q = 2.13 \times 10^{-27}$)

for PGSs-DNA methylation, $r = 0.13$ ($q = 1.78 \times 10^{-12}$) for PGSs-metabolomics, and $r = 0.15$ ($q =$

3.76×10^{-16}) for DNA methylation-metabolomics. These correlations are lower than those observed

for the cross-omics models on which the design matrix was based (**Table S4**), thus, this gives a

stronger indication that omics traits from different omics levels capture largely independent

information for childhood aggression. We observed high absolute correlations ($|r| \geq 0.60$) between

2 selected PGSs, 14 CpGs, and 13 metabolite, that we summarize in five sets of correlational patterns

(**Fig. 3**; **Data S10; Table S15**).

Correlation pattern 1 comprises high negative correlations of citric and fumaric acid with

cg12886033 (chr1:65449013, r citrate = -0.61, r fumarate = -0.62), cg14508705 (chr1:172360182, r

citrate = -0.61, r fumarate = -0.62), and cg11710553 (chr4:105892960, r citrate = -0.60, r fumarate = -

0.61), and high negative correlations of fumaric acid with cg21432062 (chr3:4908643, r = -0.60),

cg22848658 (chr6:135354586, r = -0.60), and cg15841349 (chr12:129348564, r = -0.60; **Fig. 3**; **Data**

S10; Table S15). Both citric and fumaric acid are intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle.

TCA cycle metabolites play a role in histone acetylation, and histone and DNA demethylation

(Martínez-Reyes and Chandel 2020). The EWAs atlas only included cg21432062 (**Table S15**), where

hypermethylation of this CpG associates with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). This is in line with

studies that report decreased levels of citric and fumaric acid, and other TCA cycle-related

metabolites, in the serum, urine, and lesion tissue samples of patients with IBD and related disorders

(Ooi et al. 2011; Schicho et al. 2012; Dawiskiba et al. 2014). Thus, our negative correlational pattern

seems in line with the observed hypermethylation for cg21432062 and decreased levels of citric and

fumaric acid. The CpGs cg12886033, cg14508705, cg15841349, and cg22848658 are in the gene

bodies of Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding RNA 1359 (*LINC01359*), Dynamin 3 (*DNM3*),

Glycosyltransferase 1 Domain Containing 1 (*GLT1D1*), and HBS1 Like Translational GTPase (*HBS1L*),

respectively. CpGs in these genes associate with traits such as smoking, aging, down syndrome, and

various types of cancers (**Table S15**).

The second correlational pattern is characterized by high negative correlations between

isocitrate with cg05056638 (chr8:24800824, r = -0.60), cg08415582 (chr8:57030523, r = -0.60),

cg11206167 (chr5:42924367, r = -0.60), and cg20704654 (chr20:30072118, r = -0.61; **Fig. 3**; **Data**

S10; Table S15). All four CpGs associate with gender, with additional associations observed for

cg05056638 with arsenic exposure (in utero) and Leukoaraiosis, and of cg20704654 with ageing

(**Table S15**). The observation that these CpGs correlated highly with isocitrate is in line with research

that reported inclusion of plasma isocitrate in the metabolomics profile that predicts chronological

age in males and shows higher concentrations in older males (Rist et al. 2017).

Correlation pattern 3 contains the high positive correlation of homocysteine with cg13784456

(chr10:132970405, r = 0.84) and cg06144718 (chr10:133048392, r = 0.75; **Fig. 3**; **Data S10; Table**

S15). These CpGs are included in the gene body of Transcription Elongation Regulator 1 Like

(*TCERG1L*) and the EWAS atlas reported associations of CpGs in this gene with traits such as smoking,

infertility, and various types of cancer (**Table S15**). We hypothesize that correlation pattern 3 may

reflect the DNA methylation process itself, as homocysteine is involved in the methionine cycle that

transfers the methionine methyl group to DNA methyltransferase (Selhub 1999).

The fourth correlational pattern includes high positive correlations between cg03469862

(chr11:68924853) with the transmitted PGS for ADHD (r = 0.61), 3-methoxytyrosine (r = 0.63), L-

isoleucine (r = 0.66), L-leucine (r = 0.65), L-phenylalanine (r = 0.70), L-tryptophan (r = 0.60), L-valine (r

= 0.69), L-glutamine (r = 0.70), L-tyrosine (r = 0.63), and L-serine (r = 0.69; **Fig. 3**; **Data S10; Table**

S15). Look-up in the EWAS atlas showed that cg03469862 associates with prostate cancer and pre-

and post-lenalidomide treatment in patients with Myelodysplastic syndrome with isolated deletion

(5q) (**Table S15**). That higher DNA methylation of a CpG associated with prostate cancer and

Myelodysplastic syndrome is associated with higher metabolite levels, and specifically higher amino

acid levels, is corroborated by urinary metabolomics studies that report altered levels of 15

metabolites in patients with prostate cancer, including increased levels of valine (Lima et al. 2021),

and of 29 metabolites in Myelodysplastic syndrome patients, including increased levels of valine

(Yuan et al. 2021). In contrast, prostate cancer patients had decreased urinary levels of serine,

leucine, glutamine, and tyrosine (Lima et al. 2021).

The final correlation pattern comprises a high negative correlation between the non-

transmitted by father PGS for EA and cg09674340 (chr1:202509286, r = -0.65; **Fig. 3**; **Data S10; Table**

S15). This CpG is located in the 1st exon of the Protein Phosphatase 1 Regulatory Subunit 12B

(*PPP1R12B*) gene. CpGs in this gene associate with traits such as gender, smoking, and low birth

weight (**Table S15**). The negative association of a smoking-related CpG with indirect genetic effects

for EA is in line with a previous study that reported significant negative genetic correlations of

indirect parental EA effect with smoking initiation, number of cigarettes per day, and smoking

cessation (Wu et al. 2021). Similarly, the phenotypic association of low paternal educational

attainment with low offspring birth weight is well-established (e.g., Meng and Groth 2018).

Discussion

This study comprised an integrative multi-omics analysis of childhood aggressive behavior to

identify a multi-omics biomarker panel and to investigate the correlations among the omics traits

included in this panel. In our training data comprising 645 twins (cases = 42.0%, controls = 58.0%) we

applied multivariate statistical methods to analyze and integrate transmitted and paternal and

maternal non-transmitted PGSs for childhood aggression and for 14 traits genetically correlated with

aggression (45 PGSs total), 78,772 CpGs, and 90 metabolites (**Fig. 1**). We build single-omics

biomarker panels for each of the omics layers, that selected 31 PGSs, 1,614 CpGs, and 90

metabolites to discriminate between aggression cases and controls. The markers selected in single-

omics models had poor predictive performance in our test (N = 277, cases = 42.2%, controls = 57.8%)

and validation data (N = 142, cases = 45.1%, controls = 54.9%). The multi-omics panel selected 44

PGSs, 746 CpGs, and 90 metabolites, which also had poor predictive performance in our test and

validation data.

We explored the pairwise correlations of the omics traits selected by the single-omics models.

The average correlations between omics layers ranged from r = 0.18 to r = 0.28. Clustering analyses

of each omics layer included in the pairwise correlations showed high overlap in the traits included

in each cluster for the same omics layers. We frequently observed that transmitted and non-

transmitted PGSs for the same traits were assigned to different clusters, though does not seem

related to opposite correlations when comparing transmitted and non-transmitted PGSs, as all PGS

traits show such patterns (N M = 49, N SD = 23, N range: 10-90). We showed that indirect genetic

effects for ADHD, ASD, intelligence, smoking initiation, and self-reported health, correlate most

strongly with buccal DNA methylation and urinary amino acid levels in children and that higher

amino acid levels associate with hypomethylation in a cluster of CpG sites. We described five sets of

correlational patterns with high absolute correlations ($|r| \geq 0.60$) of aggression-related omics traits

selected by the multi-omics model. These multi-omics correlational patterns associate with a range

of traits that link aggression-related omics traits to biological processes related to inflammation,

carcinogens, ageing, sex differentiation, intelligence, and smoking.

This is the first multi-omics study that includes DNA methylation profiles from buccal and

metabolomics in urine. Most of the earlier large-scale omics studies were conducted in blood

samples. By obtaining omics measurements in easily accessible peripheral tissues (urine and buccal-

cells) we could obtain multi-omics data for more individuals than most previous multi-omics studies

for psychiatric traits, such as depression and suicide risk (Bhak et al. 2019) or post-traumatic stress

disorder (Dean et al. 2019), that relied on small training samples (range: 126-165). In evaluating the

validity of the PGS and multi-omics models in the clinical cohort, the non-transmitted PGSs could not

be assessed, as no parental genotypes were available in the clinical cohort. Additional validation in

cohorts with complete omics data that applied the same metabolomics and DNA methylation

platforms are of large interest, but currently do not exist. Our design is optimal in nearly all other

aspects, as the population and clinical cohorts collected all data, including biomarkers, at the same

time, using the same arrays and platforms and with similar protocols.

The single- and multi-omics models also selected nearly all the PGSs, with only the transmitted

PGSs for ASD, intelligence, childhood IQ, and self-reported health, and the non-transmitted by father

PGSs for age at first birth, EA, insomnia, and loneliness not selected to the single-omics model, and

the transmitted PGS for childhood IQ not selected to the multi-omics model (empirical design

matrix). The high selection of transmitted PGSs to the biomarker panels likely reflect the genetic

correlations of these traits with childhood aggression on which basis we included them in the

current study (Ip et al. 2021). By including both transmitted and non-transmitted PGSs in the

genomics block, this block captures the effect of parental genotypes on their offspring's rearing

environment. The non-transmitted PGSs for various traits, including childhood aggression, were

consistently retained in the single- and multi-omics models, which is in line with research that shows

associations of parenting styles with childhood aggression (Masud et al. 2019). Previous research

reported significant genetic correlations of indirect genetic EA effects with other complex traits (Wu

et al. 2021), thus the high selection of non-transmitted PGSs in our model may also reflect their

genetic correlations with childhood aggression.

In general, CpGs selected in the single- and multi-omics models were selected with low stability,

i.e., the same CpGs was infrequently selected to the models across cross-validation folds and

repeats. This low selection stability compared to the stability of PGSs and metabolites, might be

explained by the large number of CpGs tested for inclusion in the models as compared to the

number of metabolites or PGSs. The CpGs in the single- or multi-omics models did not overlap with

the top differentially methylated CpGs for physical aggression as observed in buccal-cells (Cecil et al.

2018b), and were not significantly associated with aggression in a recent blood-based EWAS meta-

analysis (van Dongen et al. 2021). Trait enrichment of the CpGs selected by the single- and multi-

omics models reported enrichment of known aggression risk factors, such as socioeconomic status

(Miller and Tolan 2019; Bellair et al. 2019; Hendriks et al. 2020), childhood malnutrition (Liu 2004;

Vaughn et al. 2016), and pre- and perinatal risk factors (Van Adrichem et al. 2020). Also of interest is

the enrichment of glucocorticoid exposure in CpGs selected by the single-omics model and the high

correlation between cortisol and cg05153029 (chr20:19769815, r = 0.61), that associates with

glucocorticoid exposure in the EWAS atlas (null design matrix MB-sPLS-DA model). Cortisol and other

hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA)-axis molecules have been implicated in aggressive behavior,

suggesting a role of the stress response system in aggressive behavior (Hagenbeek et al. 2016).

Epigenetic programming of the HPA-axis is influenced by pre- and perinatal factors, such as maternal

behavior as observed in rats (Weaver et al. 2004), and maternal stress and early life adversity

(Mulligan et al. 2012; Hompes et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2019), and lower average global DNA

methylation levels were reported in patients with Cushing's Syndrome (CS) in remission, a model for

long-standing excessive glucocorticoid (cortisol) exposure (Glad et al. 2017). Thus, epigenetic

mechanisms may mediate the association between cortisol and childhood aggression, similarly as

the DNA methylation mediates the association between cortisol stress reactivity and childhood

trauma (Wrigglesworth et al. 2019).

The single- and multi-omics models selected all 90 LC- and GC-MS metabolites for inclusion,

which might be explained by the low expression differences between aggression cases and controls

of the urinary amines and organic acids in this sample (Hagenbeek et al. 2020). Another plausible

explanation regards the fact that we generated the metabolomics data on three targeted platforms,

chosen because they cover relevant metabolites involved in neurotransmitter, inflammation, and

steroid hormone pathways that associate with aggression (Hagenbeek et al. 2016). To date, no

metabolomics platform can capture the entire metabolome. In addition, within a platform, technical

challenges may cause compounds becoming undetectable or not quantifiable. This was the case for

the steroid platform being less successful in quantifying (conjugated) sex hormones. Coverage could

also be extended to include other metabolite classes, preferably in a hypothesis-free manner by

employing non-targeted metabolomics platforms. The five sets of omics traits with high cross-omics

correlations in the multi-omics model contained 13 metabolites. These metabolites comprised 8

amino acids (L-glutamine, L-isoleucine, L-leucine, L-phenylalanine, L-serine, L-tryptophan, L-tyrosine,

and L-valine), 3 metabolites involved in the TCA cycle (citric acid, fumaric acid, and isocitrate), the

dopaminergic trace amine 3-methoxytyrosine, and homocysteine, which is involved in cysteine and

methionine metabolism. This is in line with previous studies reporting association of metabolites

with aggressive behavior (Gulsun et al. 2016; Hagenbeek et al. 2016, 2020; Chen et al. 2020).

We explored how sensitive our results are to different specifications of the design matrices and

found that these resulted in similar predictive abilities, but selected different numbers of only

partially overlapping PGSs and CpGs (**Appendices C** and **D**). In line with expectations, the predictive

ability of the null design matrix was slightly better than for the empirical design matrix, reflecting

that such a model focuses on selecting discriminatory variables (Singh et al. 2019; Duruflé et al.

2020). We expected that the model with the full design model sacrificed predictive accuracy to

select discriminatory variables that are also highly correlated, but this model had the lowest

classification error rate as compared to the models with an empirical or null design matrix in the test

and clinical data. Multi-omics models with differently specified design matrices differed not only in

how many and which PGSs and CpGs were selected, but each multi-omics model provided unique

insight into the correlations among the omics traits selected for their association with childhood

aggression. In the current study, we relied on the cross-validation results to select the number of

traits and components in the model. However, to aid in biological interpretation, other choices can

be made.

The low predictive accuracy of our single- and multi-omics models does not preclude the

potential utility of these panels for, e.g. drug target identification; while common SNPs near drug

targets often explain only small amounts of the phenotypic variance, pharmacological manipulations

can be highly effective (Timpson et al. 2018). Thus, our description of the correlations of the PGSs,

CpGs, and metabolites advances our understanding how childhood aggression-related omics traits

are interrelated and could lead to clues for potential drug targets, despite the low predictive

accuracy of childhood aggression.

By adding a fourth broad exposome block, capturing known risk factors for childhood

aggression, such as neighborhood variables (Miller and Tolan 2019), to the multi-omics model, the

influence of environmental influences can be explored. Inclusion of other omics layers, such as the

transcriptome, proteome, or a microbiome, may give other insights into the biological mechanisms

of complex traits like childhood aggression. It should be noted that with the current method,

inclusion of additional omics layers will cause an increase in the computational burden. Therefore, a

different method to integrate multi-omics data or reduction of the computational burden might be

considered. Future studies could consider reducing the number of parameters by including a smaller

number of CpGs, by for example including only the top 1% or 5% most variable probes, or by

selecting CpGs based on their known association with aggressive behavior, such as the top CpGs

from the EWAS meta-analysis for aggressive behavior (van Dongen et al. 2021).

Conclusions

Our work entails one of the first applications of multi-omics approaches to childhood

psychopathology. The approach we used was developed for dichotomous traits and classification

purposes but also gives insight into the how different omics levels associate with each other.

Classification was poor, whereas the multi-omics associations confirm well known associations

between childhood aggression and known risk factors as well as provide novel insight into the

correlational structure among omics traits from different omics levels.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

The Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) warmly thanks all twin families for their participation. LUMC-

Curium thanks all patients and their parents for participating, and clinicians for their support. NTR and

LUMC-Curium are grateful to all researchers involved in the data collection for the ACTION Biomarker

Study.

Funding

The current work is supported by the "Aggression in Children: Unraveling gene-environment

interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies" project (ACTION) and the Consortium on

Individual Development (CID). ACTION received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework

Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. CID is funded through the Gravitation

Program of the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science and the Netherlands Organization

for Scientific Research (NWO grant number 024-001-003). The Netherlands Twin Register is supported

by multiple grants from the Netherlands Organizations for Scientific Research (NWO) and Medical

Research (ZonMW): Netherlands Twin Registry Repository (NWO 480-15-001/674); Why some

children thrive (OCW Gravity program, NWO-024.001.003); Genetic influences on stability and change

in psychopathology from childhood to young adulthood (ZonMw 912-10-020); Twin family database

for behavior genomics studies (NWO 480-04-004); Twin research focusing on behavior (NWO 400-05-

717); Longitudinal data collection from teachers of Dutch twins and their siblings (NWO 481-08-011),

Twin-family-study of individual differences in school achievement (NWO-FES, 056-32-010),

Genotype/phenotype database for behavior genetic and genetic epidemiological studies (ZonMw

Middelgroot 911-09-032); the Biobank-based integrative omics study (BIOS) funded by BBMRI-NL

(NWO projects 184.021.007 and 184.033.111); the European Science Council (ERC) Genetics of Mental

Illness (ERC Advanced, 230374, PI Boomsma); Developmental trajectories of psychopathology (NIMH

1RC2 MH089995); the Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, USA; the Royal Netherlands

Academy of Science Professor Award (PAH/6635) to D.I.B.. A research visit to the University of

Toulouse (France) by F.A.H. was supported by the Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences

(FGB) Talent Fund (2019). J.D. is supported by the NWO-funded X-omics project (184.034.019). M.B. is

supported by an ERC consolidator grant (WELL-BEING 771057, PI Bartels).

Conflict of Interest

C.K. was employed by Good Biomarker Sciences (Leiden, the Netherlands). E.A.E. was employed

by the Avera Institute for Human Genetics (Sioux Falls, SD, United States). The remaining authors

declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships

that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Ethical approval

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved

by the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical

Center, Amsterdam (NTR 25th of May 2007 and ACTION 2013/41 and 2014.252), an Institutional

Review Board certified by the U.S. Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number IRB00002991

under Federal-wide Assurance- FWA00017598; IRB/institute codes), and the Medical Ethical

Committee of Leiden University Medical Center (B17.031, B17.032 and B17.040).

Informed consent

Parents provided written informed consent for their children and twin parents provided written

informed consent for their own participation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data

The standardized protocol for large scale collection of urine and buccal-cell samples in the home situation as developed for the ACTION Biomarker Study in children available at http://www.action-euproject.eu/content/data-protocols. The data of the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) ACTION Biomarker Study may be accessed, upon approval of the data access committee, through the NTR (https://tweelingenregister.vu.nl/information_for_researchers/working-with-ntr-data).

Code availability

Analysis code is available upon request from the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, F.A.H., J.D., M.B., S.D. and D.I.B.; methodology, S.D.; formal analysis, F.A.H., R.P. and J.J.H.; investigation, F.A.H., P.J.R., O.F.C., R.R.J.M.V., M.B. and D.I.B.; resources, A.C.H., C.K., E.A.E. and T.H.; data curation, C.E.M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, F.A.H., J.D., R.P., M.B. and D.I.B..; writing—review and editing, all authors; visualization, F.A.H.; supervision, J.D., M.B. and D.I.B.; funding acquisition, A.C.H., C.K., O.F.C., V.F., T.H., R.R.J.M.V., M.B. and D.I.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

References

Achenbach TM, Ivanova MY, Rescorla LA (2017) Empirically based assessment and taxonomy of

psychopathology for ages 1½–90+ years: Developmental, multi-informant, and multicultural

findings. Compr Psychiatry 79:4–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.03.006

Anderson CA, Bushman BJ (2002) Human Aggression. Annu Rev Psychol 53:27–51.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135231

Barban N, Jansen R, de Vlaming R, et al (2016) Genome-wide analysis identifies 12 loci influencing

human reproductive behavior. Nat Genet 48:1462–1472. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3698

Bartels M, Hendriks A, Mauri M, et al (2018) Childhood aggression and the co-occurrence of

behavioural and emotional problems: results across ages 3–16 years from multiple raters in six

cohorts in the EU-ACTION project. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 27:1105–1121.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-1169-1

Baselmans BML, Jansen R, Ip HF, et al (2019) Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-being

spectrum. Nat Genet 51:445–451. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0320-8

Beck JJ, Hottenga J-J, Mbarek H, et al (2019) Genetic Similarity Assessment of Twin-Family

Populations by Custom-Designed Genotyping Array. Twin Res Hum Genet 22:210–219.

https://doi.org/10.1017/thg.2019.41

Bellair PE, McNulty TL, Piquero AR (2019) Persistent material hardship and childhood physical

aggression. Aggress Violent Behav 49:101309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2019.07.004

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful

approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. B 57:289–300

Benyamin B, Pourcain B, Davis OS, et al (2014) Childhood intelligence is heritable, highly polygenic

and associated with FNBP1L. Mol Psychiatry 19:253–258.

783 https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.184

784 Bhak Y, Jeong H, Cho YS, et al (2019) Depression and suicide risk prediction models using blood-

785  derived multi-omics data. Transl Psychiatry 9:262. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-019-0595-2

786 Boomsma DI (2015) Aggression in children: unravelling the interplay of genes and environment

787  through (epi) genetics and metabolomics. J Pediatr Neonatal Individ Med 4:e040251.

788  https://doi.org/10.7363/040251

789 Branje S, Geeraerts S, de Zeeuw EL, et al (2020) Intergenerational transmission: Theoretical and

790  methodological issues and an introduction to four Dutch cohorts. Dev Cogn Neurosci

791  45:100835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2020.100835

792 Braun PR, Tanaka-Sahker M, Chan AC, et al (2019) Genome-wide DNA methylation investigation of

793  glucocorticoid exposure within buccal samples. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 73:323–330.

794  https://doi.org/10.1111/pcn.12835

795 Cecil CAM, Walton E, Jaffee SR, et al (2018a) Neonatal DNA methylation and early-onset conduct

796  problems: A genome-wide, prospective study. Dev Psychopathol 30:383–397.

797  https://doi.org/10.1017/S095457941700092X

798 Cecil CAM, Walton E, Pingault JB, et al (2018b) DRD4 methylation as a potential biomarker for

799  physical aggression: An epigenome-wide, cross-tissue investigation. Am J Med Genet Part B

800  Neuropsychiatr Genet 177:746–764. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32689

801 Chen X, Xu J, Tang J, et al (2020) Dysregulation of amino acids and lipids metabolism in schizophrenia

802  with violence. BMC Psychiatry 20:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02499-y

803 Dawiskiba T, Deja S, Mulak A, et al (2014) Serum and urine metabolomic fngerprinting in diagnostics

804  of inflammatory bowel diseases. World J Gastroenterol 20:163–174.

805  https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i1.163

Dean KR, Hammamieh R, Mellon SH, et al (2019) Multi-omic biomarker identification and validation

for diagnosing warzone-related post-traumatic stress disorder. Mol Psychiatry.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0496-z

Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, et al (2019) Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci

for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nat Genet 51:63–75.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7

Duruflé H, Selmani M, Ranocha P, et al (2020) A powerful framework for an integrative study with

heterogeneous omics data: from univariate statistics to multi-block analysis. Brief Bioinform

00:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa166

Ehli EA, Abdellaoui A, Fedko IO, et al (2017) A method to customize population-specific arrays for

genome-wide association testing. Eur J Hum Genet 25:267–270.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2016.152

Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Ridder EM (2005) Show me the child at seven: The consequences of

conduct problems in childhood for psychosocial functioning in adulthood. J Child Psychol

Psychiatry Allied Discip 46:837–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00387.x

Galili T (2015) dendextend: An R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of

hierarchical clustering. Bioinformatics 31:3718–3720.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv428

Glad CAM, Andersson-Assarsson JC, Berglund P, et al (2017) Reduced DNA methylation and

psychopathology following endogenous hypercortisolism - A genome-wide study. Sci Rep 7:1–

11. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44445

Grove J, Ripke S, Als TD, et al (2019) Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism

spectrum disorder. Nat Genet 51:431–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8

Guillemin C, Provençal N, Suderman M, et al (2014) DNA Methylation Signature of Childhood Chronic

Physical Aggression in T Cells of Both Men and Women. PLoS One 9:e86822.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086822

Gulsun M, Oznur T, Aydemir E, et al (2016) Possible relationship between amino acids, aggression

and psychopathy. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract 1501:1–10.

https://doi.org/10.3109/13651501.2016.1144771

Hagenbeek FA, Kluft C, Hankemeier T, et al (2016) Discovery of biochemical biomarkers for

aggression: A role for metabolomics in psychiatry. Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr

Genet 171:719–732. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32435

Hagenbeek FA, Roetman PJ, Pool R, et al (2020) Urinary Amine and Organic Acid Metabolites

Evaluated as Markers for Childhood Aggression: The ACTION Biomarker Study. Front Psychiatry

11:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00165

Hendriks AM, Finkenauer C, Nivard MG, et al (2020) Comparing the genetic architecture of childhood

behavioral problems across socioeconomic strata in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 29:353–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-019-01357-x

Hompes T, Izzi B, Gellens E, et al (2013) Investigating the influence of maternal cortisol and

emotional state during pregnancy on the DNA methylation status of the glucocorticoid

receptor gene (NR3C1) promoter region in cord blood. J Psychiatr Res 47:880–891.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.03.009

Ip HF, van der Laan CM, Krapohl EML, et al (2021) Genetic association study of childhood aggression

across raters, instruments, and age. Transl Psychiatry 11:413. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-

021-01480-x

Jakovljevic M, Jakovljevic I (2019) A Transdisciplinary Integrative Approach for Precision Psychiatry.

In: Kim Y-K (ed) Frontiers in Psychiatry. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp 399–428

Jansen PR, Watanabe K, Stringer S, et al (2019) Genome-wide analysis of insomnia in 1,331,010

individuals identifies new risk loci and functional pathways. Nat Genet 51:394–403.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0333-3

Jiang S, Postovit L, Cattaneo A, et al (2019) Epigenetic Modifications in Stress Response Genes

Associated With Childhood Trauma. Front Psychiatry 10:1–19.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00808

Kassing F, Godwin J, Lochman JE, Coie JD (2019) Using Early Childhood Behavior Problems to Predict

Adult Convictions. J Abnorm Child Psychol 47:765–778. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-018-

0478-7

Kong A, Thorleifsson G, Frigge ML, et al (2018) The nature of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes.

Science (80-) 359:424–428. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan6877

Lê Cao KA, Boitard S, Besse P (2011) Sparse PLS discriminant analysis: Biologically relevant feature

selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems. BMC Bioinformatics 12:.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-253

Lee JJ, Wedow R, Okbay A, et al (2018) Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-

wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. Nat Genet 50:1112–

1121. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0147-3

Li M, Zou D, Li Z, et al (2019) EWAS Atlas: A curated knowledgebase of epigenome-wide association

studies. Nucleic Acids Res. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1027

Ligthart L, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Kevenaar ST, et al (2019) The Netherlands Twin Register:

Longitudinal Research Based on Twin and Twin-Family Designs. Twin Res Hum Genet 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.1017/thg.2019.93

Lima AR, Pinto J, Amaro F, et al (2021) Advances and Perspectives in Prostate Cancer Biomarker

Discovery in the Last 5 Years through Tissue and Urine Metabolomics. Metabolites 11:181.

https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo11030181

Liu J (2004) Malnutrition at Age 3 Years and Externalizing Behavior Problems at Ages 8, 11, and 17

Years. Am J Psychiatry 161:2005–2013. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.11.2005

Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, et al (2019) Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new

insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat. Genet. 51:237–244

Martínez-Reyes I, Chandel NS (2020) Mitochondrial TCA cycle metabolites control physiology and

disease. Nat Commun 11:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13668-3

Masud H, Ahmad MS, Cho KW, Fakhr Z (2019) Parenting Styles and Aggression Among Young

Adolescents: A Systematic Review of Literature. Community Ment Health J 55:1015–1030.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-019-00400-0

Meng Y, Groth SW (2018) Fathers Count: The Impact of Paternal Risk Factors on Birth Outcomes.

Matern Child Health J 22:401–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-017-2407-8

Miller GM, Tolan PH (2019) The influence of parenting practices and neighborhood characteristics on

the development of childhood aggression. J Community Psychol 47:135–146.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22105

Mitjans M, Seidel J, Begemann M, et al (2019) Violent aggression predicted by multiple pre-adult

environmental hits. Mol Psychiatry 24:1549–1564. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0043-3

Moran S, Arribas C, Esteller M (2016) Validation of a DNA methylation microarray for 850,000 CpG

sites of the human genome enriched in enhancer sequences. Epigenomics 8:389–399.

https://doi.org/10.2217/epi.15.114

Mulligan CJ, D'Errico NC, Stees J, Hughes DA (2012) Methylation changes at NR3C1 in newborns

associate with maternal prenatal stress exposure and newborn birth weight. Epigenetics

7:853–857. https://doi.org/10.4161/epi.21180

Odintsova V V., Roetman PJ, Ip HF, et al (2019) Genomics of human aggression. Psychiatr Genet

29:170–190. https://doi.org/10.1097/YPG.0000000000000239

Ooi M, Nishiumi S, Yoshie T, et al (2011) GC/MS-based profiling of amino acids and TCA cycle-related

molecules in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Res 60:831–840. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-011-

0340-7

Pinu FR, Beale DJ, Paten AM, et al (2019) Systems biology and multi-omics integration: Viewpoints

from the metabolomics research community. Metabolites 9:1–31.

https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo9040076

R Core Team R: A language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.r-project.org/

Radwan K, Coccaro EF (2020) Comorbidity of disruptive behavior disorders and intermittent

explosive disorder. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 14:24.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-020-00330-w

Rist MJ, Roth A, Frommherz L, et al (2017) Metabolite patterns predicting sex and age in participants

of the Karlsruhe Metabolomics and Nutrition (KarMeN) study. PLoS One 12:1–21.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183228

Rogers P, Stoner J (2016) Modification of the Sandwich Estimator in Generalized Estimating

Equations with Correlated Binary Outcomes in Rare Event and Small Sample Settings. Am J Appl

Math Stat 3:243–251. https://doi.org/110.1016/j.bbi.2017.04.008

Rohart F, Gautier B, Singh A, Lê Cao KA (2017) mixOmics: An R package for 'omics feature selection

and multiple data integration. PLoS Comput Biol 13:1–20.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005752

Savage JE, Jansen PR, Stringer S, et al (2018) Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867

individuals identifies new genetic and functional links to intelligence. Nat Genet 50:912–919.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0152-6

Schicho R, Shaykhutdinov R, Ngo J, et al (2012) Quantitative metabolomic profiling of serum, plasma,

and urine by 1H NMR spectroscopy discriminates between patients with inflammatory bowel

disease and healthy individuals. J Proteome Res 11:3344–3357.

https://doi.org/10.1021/pr300139q

Selhub J (1999) Homocystein Metabolism. Annu Rev Nutr 19:217–246.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.217

Siever LJ (2008) Neurobiology of Aggression and Violence. Am J Psychiatry 165:429–442

Singh A, Shannon CP, Gautier B, et al (2019) DIABLO: An integrative approach for identifying key

molecular drivers from multi-omics assays. Bioinformatics 35:3055–3062.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty1054

Sinke L, van Iterson M, Cats D, et al DNAmArray: Streamlined workflow for the quality control,

normalization, and analysis of Illumina methylation array data.

https://molepi.github.io/DNAmArray_workflow/

Subramanian I, Verma S, Kumar S, et al (2020) Multi-omics Data Integration, Interpretation, and Its

Application. Bioinform Biol Insights 14:117793221989905.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1177932219899051

Tibshirani R (1996) Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. J R Stat Soc Ser B (Methodol)

58:267–288

Timpson NJ, Greenwood CMT, Soranzo N, et al (2018) Genetic architecture: the shape of the genetic

contribution to human traits and disease. Nat Rev Genet 19:110–124.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.101

Van Adrichem DS, Huijbregts SCJ, Van Der Heijden KB, et al (2020) Aggressive behavior during

toddlerhood: Interrelated effects of prenatal risk factors, negative affect, and cognition. Child

Neuropsychol 26:982–1004. https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2020.1769582

van der Laan CM, Morosoli-García JJ, van de Weijer SGA, et al (2021) Continuity of Genetic Risk for

Aggressive Behavior Across the Life-Course. Behav Genet. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-021-

10076-6

van Dongen J, Hagenbeek FA, Suderman M, et al (2021) DNA methylation signatures of aggression

and closely related constructs: A meta-analysis of epigenome-wide studies across the lifespan.

Mol Psychiatry 2020.07.22.215939. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-00987-x

Vaughn M, Salas-Wright C, Naeger S, et al (2016) Childhood Reports of Food Neglect and Impulse

Control Problems and Violence in Adulthood. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13:389.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13040389

Vuoksimaa E, Rose RJ, Pulkkinen L, et al (2020) Higher aggression is related to poorer academic

performance in compulsory education. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13273

Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, et al (2019) A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture

in complex traits. Nat Genet 51:1339–1348. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0481-0

Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, et al (2004) Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior.

Nat Neurosci 7:847–854. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1276

Whipp AM, Korhonen T, Raevuori A, et al (2019) Early adolescent aggression predicts antisocial

personality disorder in young adults: a population-based study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry

28:341–350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-1198-9

Whipp AM, Vuoksimaa E, Bolhuis K, et al (2021a) Teacher-rated aggression and co-occurring

behaviors and emotional problems among schoolchildren in four population-based European

cohorts. PLoS One 16:e0238667. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238667

Whipp AM, Vuoksimaa E, Korhonen T, et al (2021b) Ketone body 3-hydroxybutyrate as a biomarker

of aggression. Sci Rep 11:5813. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84635-6

Wörheide MA, Krumsiek J, Kastenmüller G, Arnold M (2021) Multi-omics integration in biomedical

research – A metabolomics-centric review. Anal Chim Acta 1141:144–162.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.10.038

Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, et al (2018) Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk

variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat Genet 50:668–681.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3

Wrigglesworth J, Ancelin ML, Ritchie K, Ryan J (2019) Association between DNA methylation of the

KITLG gene and cortisol levels under stress: a replication study. Stress 22:162–168.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890.2018.1519019

Wu Y, Zhong X, Lin Y, et al (2021) Estimating genetic nurture with summary statistics of

multigenerational genome-wide association studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci 118:e2023184118.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023184118

Yuan Y, Zhao J, Li T, et al (2021) Integrative metabolic profile of myelodysplastic syndrome based on

UHPLC–MS. Biomed Chromatogr 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.5136

Figures

Fig. 1. Overview of the biomarker identification approach for childhood aggression—details of statistical analyses and data included in each analysis.

We employed an analytical design comprising three phases: 1) single-omics analyses; 2) pairwise cross-omics analyses; and 3) multi-omics analyses. First, we performed univariate polygenic score (PGS) analysis in 70% of the twin data and built multivariate single-omics biomarker panels in the twin cohort, with 70% of the twin data for model training (training data), 30% of the twin data for model testing (test data), and the clinical cohort for model validation (validation data). Second, we examined the overall pairwise cross-omics correlations and the pairwise correlations of those omics traits selected by the single-omics models in the training data. Third, using the same data split for model training, testing and validation, we compared three multi-omics models, with different assumptions of the correlations among the omics traits, and describe the multi-omics correlations of the selected omics traits. We offer the analytical details in the **Methods** section.

Study Phase	Analyses	Design
1. Single-omics	<u>Univariate:</u> • Polygenic score analysis <u>Multivariate – model training:</u> • Principal Component Analysis (PCA) • Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) • Sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (sPLS-DA) <u>Multivariate – model testing & validation:</u> • Predict out-of-sample case-control status • Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis	<u>Training data:</u> • 70% twin cohort (N = 645) • *Cases*: 271 (42.0%) • *Controls*: 374 (58.0%) <u>Test data:</u> <u>Validation data:</u> • 30% twin cohort (N = 277) • Clinical cohort (N = 142) • *Cases:* 117 (42.2%) • *Cases:* 64 (45.1%) • *Controls:* 160 (57.8%) • *Controls:* 78 (54.9%)
2. Pairwise cross-omics	<u>All omics traits:</u> • Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis <u>Selected omics traits:</u> • Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis • Hierarchical clustering	<u>Training data:</u> • 70% twin cohort (N = 645) • *Cases*: 271 (42.0%) • *Controls*: 374 (58.0%)
3. Multi-omics	<u>Model training:</u> • Multi-block Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (MB-PLS-DA) • Multi-block sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (MB-sPLS-DA) <u>Model testing & validation:</u> • Predict out-of-sample case-control status • Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis	<u>Training data:</u> • 70% twin cohort (N = 645) • *Cases*: 271 (42.0%) • *Controls*: 374 (58.0%) <u>Test data:</u> <u>Validation data:</u> • 30% twin cohort (N = 277) • Clinical cohort (N = 142) • *Cases:* 117 (42.2%) • *Cases:* 64 (45.1%) • *Controls:* 160 (57.8%) • *Controls:* 78 (54.9%)

Fig. 2. Clustered heatmaps of the correlations obtained by the pairwise cross-omics Partial Least

Squares (PLS) regression models including only the omics traits as selected by the single-omics

sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analyses (sPLS-DA).

We generated the hierarchical clustering using the Ward linkage algorithm on Euclidean distances of

the PLS variates. For each dendrogram we identified two clusters (cluster 1 = pink, cluster 2 = blue).

We have depicted positive correlations among the omics traits in red and negative correlations in

blue. For the polygenic scores (PGSs), the '_NTm' suffix denotes PGSs non-transmitted by mother,

the '_NTf' suffix denotes the PGSs non-transmitted by father, and childhood aggression is

abbreviated as "aggression", Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder as "ADHD", Major Depressive

Disorder as "MDD", Autism Spectrum Disorder as "Autism", Educational Attainment as "EA", and

wellbeing spectrum as "wellbeing". For the metabolites, the 'amines.' prefix shows we measured

these metabolites on the Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) amines platform, the

'steroids.' prefix shows we measured these metabolites on the LC-MS steroids platform, and the

'OA.' prefix shows we measured these metabolites on the Gas Chromatography (GC-) MS organic

acids platform. **(a)** Correlation among the 1,614 CpGs and 90 metabolites included in the 3-

component DNA methylation-metabolomics PLS model, where the selected CpGs are represented in

the columns and the metabolomics traits in the rows. We included the cluster assignments and the

full correlation matrix in **Data S4-S5**. **(b)** Correlations among the 36 PGSs and 90 metabolites

included in the 2-component PGSs-metabolomics PLS model, where the selected PGSs are

represented in the columns and the metabolomics traits in the rows. We included the cluster

assignments and the full correlation matrix in **Table S9** and **Data S6**, respectively. **(c)** Correlation

among the 36 PGSs and 1,614 CpGs included in the 2-component PGSs-DNA methylation PLS model,

where the selected PGSs are represented in the columns and the CpGs in the rows. We included the

cluster assignments and the full correlation matrix in **Data S7-S8**.

Fig. 3. High cross-omics correlations of the multi-omics traits identified in the 5-component multi-block sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (MB-sPLS-DA) model including the empirical design matrix

The outer ring depicts the PGSs, CpGs, and metabolites in yellow, pink, and green, respectively. For the polygenic scores (PGSs), Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder is abbreviated as "ADHD", and Educational Attainment as "EA", and the '_NTf' suffix denotes the PGSs non-transmitted by father. For the metabolites, the 'amines.' prefix shows we measured these metabolites on the Liquid

Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) amines platform, and the 'OA.' prefix shows we

measured these metabolites on the Gas Chromatography (GC-) MS organic acids platform. The inner

plot depicts the correlations among the omics traits. Here, we depict only high absolute correlations

($|r| \geq 0.60$) between traits of at least two omics layers, with blue lines reflecting negative

correlations and red lines positive correlations. We averaged correlations across all components in

the MB-sPLS-DA model. We included the full correlation matrix in **Data S10** and the correlational

patterns in **Table S15**.

1040
1041

Tables

Table 1. Overview of the discovery genome-wide association studies to calculate polygenetic scores.

Trait [1]	N discovery GWA	Reference	Source original summary statistics
Childhood aggression	151,741	(Ip et al. 2021)	Paper accepted, summary statistics obtained from authors
Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	20,183 cases & 35,191 controls	(Demontis et al. 2019)	http://ipsych.au.dk/downloads/data-download-agreement-adhd-european-ancestry-gwas-june-2017
Major Depressive Disorder	135,458 cases & 344,901 controls	(Wray et al. 2018)	https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads/
Autism Spectrum Disorder	18,381 cases & 27,969 controls	(Grove et al. 2019)	https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads/
Loneliness	355,583	http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/	https://www.dropbox.com/s/nf4jl3mdppu1ng8/2020.gwas.imputed_v3.both_sexes.tsv.bgz?dl=0
Insomnia	1,331,010	(Jansen et al. 2019)	https://ctg.cncr.nl/documents/p1651/Insomnia_sumstats_Jansenetal.txt.gz
Self-reported Health	359,681	http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/	https://www.dropbox.com/s/aawh07hlhldbckc/2178.gwas.imputed_v3.both_sexes.tsv.bgz?dl=0
Smoking initiation (Ever/never smoked)	1,232,091	(Liu et al. 2019)	https://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstream/handle/11299/201564/SmokingInitiation.txt.gz?sequence=27&isAllowed=y
Age of smoking initiation	94,891	(Watanabe et al. 2019)	https://atlas.ctglab.nl/ukb2_sumstats/f.2867.0.0_res.EUR.sumstats.MACfilt.txt.gz
Cigarettes per day	37,334	(Liu et al. 2019)	https://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstream/handle/11299/201564/CigarettesPerDay.txt.gz?sequence=17&isAllowed=y
Childhood IQ	17,989	(Benyamin et al. 2014)	http://ssgac.org/documents/CHIC_Summary_Benyamin2014.txt.gz
Educational Attainment[5]	1,131,881	(Lee et al. 2018)	https://www.dropbox.com/s/ho58e9jmytmpaf8/GWAS_EA_excl23andMe.txt?dl=0
Age at first birth	251,151	(Barban et al. 2016)	http://sociogenome.com/material/GWASresults/AgeFirstBirth_Pooled.txt.gz
Wellbeing spectrum	2,370,390	(Baselmans et al. 2019)	https://surfdrive.surf.nl/files/index.php/s/Ow1qCDpFT421ZOO/download?path=%2FMultivariate_GWAMA_sumstats%2FN_GWAMA&files=N_GWAMA_WBspectrum_no23andME.txt.gz
Intelligence	269,867	(Savage et al. 2018)	https://ctg.cncr.nl/documents/p1651/SavageJansen_IntMeta_sumstats.zip

[1] In the (supplementary) tables and figures childhood aggression is abbreviated as "aggression", Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder as "ADHD", Major Depressive Disorder as "MDD", Autism Spectrum Disorder as "Autism", Educational Attainment as "EA", and wellbeing spectrum as "wellbeing".

Table 2. Demographics of the training, test, and validation data.

	Training data (70% twin cohort)			Test data (30% twin cohort)			Validation data (Clinical cohort)		
	Controls	Cases	Total	Controls	Cases	Total	Controls	Cases	Total
N (%)	374 (58.0%)	271 (42.0%)	645 (100%)	160 (57.8%)	117 (42.2%)	277 (100%)	78 (54.9%)	64 (45.1%)	142 (100%)
N (%) complete twin pairs	128	80	293	56	35	128	-	-	-
Mean (SD) age	9.4 (1.9)	9.6 (1.8)	9.5 (1.9)	9.6 (1.9)	9.6 (1.9)	9.6 (1.9)	10.8 (1.7)	9.6 (1.7)	10.2 (1.8)
Range age	6.1 - 12.7	6.1 - 12.9	6.1 - 12.9	5.6 - 12.6	5.8 - 12.8	5.6 - 12.8	6.5 - 13.4	6.3 - 13.3	6.3 - 13.4
N (%) females	199 (53.2%)	116 (42.8%)	315 (48.8%)	81 (50.6%)	55 (47.0%)	136 (49.1%)	20 (25.6%)	19 (29.7%)	39 (27.5%)
N (%) MZ twins	299 (80.0%)	228 (84.1%)	527 (81.7%)	118 (73.7%)	108 (92.3%)	226 (81.6%)	-	-	-
Mean (SD) aggression score [1]	3.3 (4.1)	7.3 (5.8)	5.0 (5.3)	3.1 (4.0)	7.6 (6.6)	5.0 (5.7)	6.1 (3.4)	21.1 (4.8)	12.9 (8.5)

Notes: MZ, monozygotic.

[1] Measured with the mother-rated Aggressive Behavior syndrome scale of the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL). The ASEBA CBCL Aggressive Behavior scores in the clinical cohort include 90% mother report and 10% father report.