medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Effectiveness of the Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S COVID Vaccine

14 September 2021

Jennifer M. Polinski, ScD, MPH, MSc[1]
Andrew R. Weckstein, BA[1]
Michael Batech, DrPH[1]
Carly Kabelac, BS[1]
Tripthi Kamath[2], PhD
Raymond Harvey[2], MPH
Sid Jain[2], BS
Jeremy A. Rassen, ScD[1]
Najat Khan[2], PhD
Sebastian Schneeweiss, MD, ScD[1,3]

Affiliations:
1) Aetion Inc., New York, NY
2) Janssen R&D Data Science, Spring House, PA
3) Division of Pharmacoepidemiology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Abstract: 250 words; Text: 2,788 words; 2 Tables, 3 Figures

Address correspondence to
Sebastian Schneeweiss, MD, ScD, Division of Pharmacoepidemiology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 1 Brigham Circle, Suite 3030, Boston, MA 02120. sschneeweiss@bwh.harvard.edu

Funding
This work was funded by Janssen R&D

Conflict of interest
Dr. Polinski, Mr. Weckstein, Dr. Batech, Ms. Kabelac and Dr. Rassen are employees of and own stock options or equity in Aetion, a software-enabled healthcare analytics company.
Dr. Kamath, Mr. Harvey, Mr. Jain and Dr. Khan are employees of Janssen R&D, the manufacturer of the studied vaccine Ad26.COV2.S.
Dr. Schneeweiss (ORCID# 0000-0003-2575-467X) is participating in investigator-initiated grants to the Brigham and Women's Hospital from Boehringer Ingelheim unrelated to the topic of this study. He is a consultant to Aetion Inc. of which he owns equity. His interests were declared, reviewed, and approved by the Brigham and Women's Hospital in accordance with their institutional compliance policies.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the following team members for critical feedback during the development of the study protocol and/or review of findings: Deb Ricci (Janssen), Khaled Sarsour (Janssen), Jennifer Hayden (Janssen), Xiaoying Wu (Janssen), Sophia Gallucci (Aetion), Jen Thorburn (Aetion), Michelle Skornicki (Aetion), Rouhi Abdollahi (Janssen), Kristopher Standish (Janssen), Lev Demirdjian (Janssen), Daniel Backenroth (Janssen), and Jerry Sadoff (Janssen). We would like to also thank Andrew Kress (HealthVerity) for his support with technical data questions, and the many people involved in the technical implementation process and independent quality control, Julie Schiffman (Aetion), Hanaya Raad (Aetion), Julia Pitino (Aetion), Lexie Rubens (Aetion), and Tancy Zhang (Aetion).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Importance: Vaccination against the SARS-CoV-2 virus is critical to control the pandemic. Randomized trials demonstrated efficacy of the single-dose Ad26.COV2.S COVID vaccine but data on longer-term protection in clinical practice and effectiveness against variants are needed.

Objective: To assess the effectiveness of Ad26.COV2.S in preventing COVID infections and COVID-related hospitalizations in clinical practice, the longer-term stability of its protective effect and effectiveness against Delta variants.

Design: Cohort study of newly Ad26.COV2.S-vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals.

Setting: U.S. insurance claims data through July 2021.

Participants: Individuals 18 years and older newly vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S and up to 10 unvaccinated individuals matched exactly by age, sex, date, location, comorbidity index plus 17 COVID-19 risk factors via propensity score (PS) matching.

Intervention: Vaccination with Ad26.COV2.S versus no vaccination.

Main outcomes: We estimated vaccine effectiveness (VE) for observed COVID-19 infection and COVID-19-related hospitalization, nationwide and stratified by age, immunocompromised status, calendar time, and states with high incidence of the Delta variant. We corrected VE estimates for under-recording of vaccinations in insurance data.

Results: Among 390,517 vaccinated and 1,524,153 matched unvaccinated individuals, VE was 79% (95% CI, 77% to 80%) for COVID-19 and 81% (79% to 84%) for COVID-19-related hospitalizations. VE was stable over calendar time. Among states with high Delta variant incidence, VE during June/July 2021 was 78% (73% to 82%) for infections and 85% (73% to 91%) for hospitalizations. VE for COVID-19 was higher in individuals <50 years (83%; 81% to 85%) and lower in immunocompromised patients (64%; 57% to 70%). All estimates were corrected for under-recording; uncorrected VE was 69% (67% to 71%) and 73% (69% to 76%), for COVID-19 and COVID-19-related hospitalization, respectively.

Conclusions: These non-randomized data across U.S. clinical practices show high and stable vaccine effectiveness of Ad26.COV2.S over time before the Delta variant emerged to when the Delta variant was dominant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

With the global morbidity and mortality associated with the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the rapid spread of new variants, a temporal and geographical understanding of vaccine effectiveness (VE) in clinical practice is critical to inform public health recommendations. On February 27, 2021, the Food and Drug Administration issued an Emergency Use Authorization for Janssen's single dose COVID-19 vaccine, Ad26.COV2.S,[1] based on results from a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized controlled trial (RCT), ENSEMBLE.[2] The overall efficacy estimate for preventing moderate-severe observed COVID-19 at least 14 days post-vaccination was 66% globally (74% US) and overall efficacy at least 28 days after vaccination was >84% against severe disease.[3]

Despite the efficacy observed in the RCT, questions remain about generalizability to patients in clinical practice, and longer-term effectiveness. VE may be affected by disease variants, such as the Delta (B.1.617.2, AY.1, AY.2, AY.3) which emerged in late May 2021 and soon became the dominant variant across the U.S. by July.[4] A recent study showed the effectiveness of Ad26.COV2.S and other COVID-19 vaccines in ambulatory and inpatient care settings using data through June 22, 2021.[5] However, the study included less than 1,500 subjects who had received Ad26.COV2.S vaccination which limits the interpretation of findings, its generalizability and any statements on the protection against Delta variants, in addition to general drawbacks of the test-negative study design.[6]

As recommendations and guidelines change in response to a rapidly-changing disease landscape, population-based healthcare databases may observe VE, longer-term effectiveness, and COVID-related health outcomes with little delay. We utilized national U.S. claims data to assess the VE

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

of Ad26.COV2.S in preventing breakthrough COVID-19 infections or COVID-19-related hospitalizations from March 2021 through July 2021. We specifically assessed VE during June and July in four U.S. states with early and high prevalence of the Delta variant.[7]

Methods

Data

We analyzed de-identified patient-level claims data from March 1, 2020 through July 31, 2021 submitted to insurance companies by U.S. providers of inpatient, outpatient, pharmacy, and laboratory services, and aggregated by HealthVerity.[8] The claims were anonymously linked across >70 different health data sources using a unique, encrypted and non-identifiable patient token derived from identifiable information known only to the provider. This token allows for cross-database longitudinal capture of diagnosis and procedure codes, COVID-19 laboratory test orders and results, and pharmacy dispensing information.[9] Cohorts for the current study were identified from a larger dataset of 160 million individuals with any record of lab orders, diagnoses, or treatments broadly related to COVID (COVID-related utilization), or COVID vaccinations from December 2019 to July 2021.

This study was approved by the New England institutional review board. These data have been used in previous studies.[10–12] They belong to third parties and cannot be shared publicly, however, upon reasonable request, researchers may get access to the data and analytics infrastructure for prespecified collaborative analyses.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Population and Exposure

Individuals 18 or older who received a single dose of Ad26.COV2.S between March 1, 2021 and July 17, 2021 entered the study cohort on the day of vaccination. Each participant was matched on the same day to up to 10 referent individuals with no evidence of vaccination with a COVID-19 vaccine at that time, with matching by location (3-digit ZIP), age within 4 years, sex, and general health status captured in a comorbidity score (**Suppl. S1**).[13] We required at least one medical and pharmacy claim during 365 days before cohort entry to ensure each individual's activity in the system. Those with observed COVID-19 or receipt of any COVID-19 vaccine during the 365 days before cohort entry were excluded (**Suppl. S2**).

Follow-up and Outcomes

Follow-up in each group started 14 days after cohort entry[14] and continued until the earliest of the occurrence of an outcome, receipt of any COVID-19 vaccine, death, or July 31, 2021.

The outcome of observed COVID-19 was defined by either recording of an in- or outpatient ICD-10-CM diagnosis code of U07.1 (85% of cases) in any position, and/or a recorded positive SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic PCR or nucleic acid amplification test result (15%). The co-primary outcome was COVID-19-related hospitalization, defined as any claim for an inpatient stay with a discharge diagnosis of COVID-19 or a recorded infection within 21 days before admission.

Participant characteristics

Participant characteristics, including a range of factors associated with severe COVID-19 disease,[15] were assessed during the 365 days before cohort entry (**Suppl. S3** for all definitions):

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, pulmonary fibrosis, cystic fibrosis, HIV, immunocompromised status after transplantation, liver disease, malignancies, cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, obesity, heart failure, sickle-cell disease, thalassemia, diabetes mellitus, chronic neurologic conditions, and an overall comorbidity score.[13] We measured healthcare utilization intensity, a marker of patients' baseline health state and surveillance, including the number of pharmacy or medical claims in 365 days before cohort entry, and recent medical or pharmacy claims in the 60 days before.

Statistical analysis

To ensure balance between treatment groups in the absence of baseline randomization, and in addition to the 1:10 risk-set sampling by time, location, age, sex, and comorbidity score described above, we further matched the risk-set sampled population using propensity scores (PS). Each vaccinated individual was PS-matched with up to 4 unvaccinated individuals with a caliper of ±0.01. Propensity scores were estimated with logistic regression including all pre-exposure patient characteristics with no further variable selection. The resulting balance of characteristics between the vaccinated and PS-matched unvaccinated individuals was assessed by computing the absolute standardized difference for each covariate.[16] A value <0.10 indicates little imbalance between treatment groups and little effect on residual confounding.[17]

We computed incidence rates per 1,000 person-years for vaccinated and unvaccinated participants and hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).[18] VE in percent was computed as (1-HR)x100. Kaplan-Meier curves with 95% CIs and Schoenfeld residuals were plotted to test whether VE changes over time since vaccination.[19]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Additional analyses included stratification by calendar months March 2021 through July 2021 to determine whether there was a consistent vaccine effect over calendar time (**Suppl. S5**), stratification by age (<50 and ≥50 years; <60 and ≥60), stratification by U.S. region (West, Northeast, Midwest, South), and an analysis of immunocompromised individuals. To examine VE in a time and region where a large fraction of new cases were patients infected with the Delta variant, we separately analyzed data from four states with highest COVID-19 incidence and high proportion of the Delta variant in June and July (Florida, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Missouri, hereafter "high-Delta-incidence states").[20]

Given the expedited national vaccination effort, a sizable proportion of COVID-19 vaccinations were administered by employers, mass vaccination sites, pharmacies, and other settings where often no health insurance claims were submitted. The CDC reported that 57% of US residents 12 years and older were vaccinated as of July 22, 2021, while only 34% were recorded among the same people in our claims data, which confirms substantial under-recording (**Suppl. S4**). As a result, it is highly likely that a substantial proportion of the unvaccinated group in claims data was in fact vaccinated and thus observed VE estimates will appear lower than indeed true. To compensate, we conservatively assumed 40% under-recording of vaccinations and applied a correction factor to all VE estimates using standard methods for correcting exposure misclassification. In sensitivity analyses, we varied the under-recording assumption from 0% to 70% (**Tables S5 and S6**). [21]

We conducted two additional sensitivity analyses to explore potential bias related to outcome capture and population sampling. First, we narrowed COVID-19 outcome definitions to require a positive nucleic acid amplification test result (**Table S7**). Second, to minimize potential bias

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

related to non-differential sampling of vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals at the data extraction stage, we required all individuals to have evidence of potentially COVID-related utilization before cohort entry (**Table S8**).

All analyses were performed using the Aetion Evidence Platform v4.13 (including R v3.4.2), which has been scientifically validated by accurately repeating a range of previously-published studies[22] and by replicating[23] or predicting clinical trial findings.[24] All transformations of the raw data are preserved for full reproducibility and audit trails are available, including a quality check of the data ingestion process.

Results

The study cohort included a total of 390,517 vaccinated U.S. individuals and 1,524,153 matched individuals with no recording of vaccination from March 1, 2021 through July 17, 2021 (**Figure 1**). The two-stage matching by time, location, socio-demographic variables, and risk factors resulted in highly similar groups with absolute standardized mean differences ≤0.02 across all characteristics, well below 0.10 (**Table 1**). In high-Delta-incidence states, 29,079 vaccinated individuals were similarly well-balanced when compared to 113,325 unvaccinated individuals, with all absolute standardized mean differences ≤0.03 (**Table S2**), well below the 0.10 threshold. Subgroups defined by age category, immunocompromised status, and geography were similarly well balanced (**Tables S1 and S2**). A majority of event-free individuals reached the end of the study period (99.3% of vaccinated and 81.9% of matched unvaccinated), with 18.1% of unvaccinated individuals censored for receipt of COVID-19 vaccine. In the national cohort, 1,232 vaccinated (12.0/1,000 person-years) and 13,505 unvaccinated individuals (39.5/1,000 PY) had an observed COVID-19 (**Table 2**). VE for observed COVID-19 was 79% (95% CI, 77% to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

80%). Incidence rates of COVID-19-related hospitalization were 2.4/1,000 PY in vaccinated and 9.1/1,000 PY in unvaccinated individuals resulting in a corrected VE of 81% (79% to 84%). VE for observed COVID-19 was higher in patients <50 years of age (83%; 81% to 85%); than in patients ≥50 (75%; 74% to 77%). Immunocompromised individuals had lower VE (64%; 57% to 70%) compared to non-immunocompromised individuals (79%; 78% to 81%). There was some regional variation in VE (**Table S4**).

In high-Delta-incidence states, rates of observed COVID-19 were higher in both groups than in the national cohort, with incidence of 19.4/1,000 PY (vaccinated) v. 61.4/1,000 PY (unvaccinated) (**Table 2**). In these states, VE for observed COVID-19 was 79% (75% to 83%) overall and 78% (73% to 82%) during June and July (**Table 2**), the months where Delta variant incidence was highest; for COVID-19-related hospitalization VE was 83% (74% to 89%) overall and 85% (73% to 91%) during June and July. VE within subgroups of the high-Delta-incidence states for both outcomes were similar to the national cohort with VE for COVID-19 of 81% in patients <50 years of age and 84% for COVID-related hospitalization in patients >50 years (**Table S3**).

Examining the incidence of observed COVID-19 and COVID-19-related hospitalization as a function of time since vaccination, we observed sustained and stable VE starting 14 days after vaccination to a maximum of 152 days after vaccination (**Figure 2**). Inspection of Schoenfeld residual plots indicate that hazard ratios were constant over time and that there was no VE reduction during the observable follow-up time (**Figure S2**).

An analysis by calendar months from March 2021 through July 2021 showed no meaningful variation in VE over calendar time. The VE for observed COVID-19 rose slightly until May to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

81% (79% to 83%) and remained at a high level until the end of the follow-up period in July (77%; 74% to 79%) when the Delta variant was spreading widely nationally (**Figure 3a**). The monthly VE estimates for COVID-19-related hospitalization were equally stable (**Figure 3b**). In the high-Delta-incidence states we saw no decline in the VE with respect to hospitalizations during the peak Delta variant months of June and July (**Figure 3d)** and some more variability in the VE for observed COVID-19 **(Figure 3c**).

Results in a sensitivity analysis defining COVID-19 using nucleic acid amplification test results were similar to primary findings (**Table S7**). When we required all participants to meet the additional criterion of COVID-related utilization before cohort entry, the corrected VE was reduced to 56% (53%, 60%) for COVID-19 and 57% (49%, 64%) for COVID-19-related hospitalization (**Table S8**).

Discussion

In U.S. clinical practice from March through July 2021, the single-dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine showed effective protection against observed COVID-19 and COVID-19-related hospitalization with no evidence of reduced effectiveness over the maximum study duration. In states with early emergence and high incidence of the Delta variant, we found equally high and sustained vaccine effectiveness (VE). These results provide evidence that the VE observed in the ENSEMBLE trial translates into clinical practice, lasts over at least 152 days post-vaccination, and remains effective amid high Delta variant incidence. Although we saw high VE in the US through July 2021, when the delta variant was dominant per CDC sequence data, we did not have sequence-specific information in our real-world data, hence we do not know with certainty the delta

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

variant-specific vaccine effectiveness. We plan to update this Ad26.COV2.S VE study with monthly data refreshes to expeditiously identify any changes in VE.[25]

VE varied by age subgroup, with patients <50 having a higher VE than those ≥50 years of age. This is consistent with the age-dependent variation in VE observed in the ENSEMBLE trial and with evidence on the immune response to the BNT162b2 vaccine by age.[3,26,27] Results from our population-based study suggest that immunocompromised patients who are vaccinated with a single dose have slightly reduced yet still substantial protection against COVID-19 and COVID-19-related hospitalizations for at least 150 days.

Although lacking baseline randomization, vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals were exactly matched by age, sex, comorbid-risk, calendar date, and location, followed by additional PS-matching on 17 risk factors for COVID-19 severity. In addition, we excluded individuals with prior COVID to avoid misclassifying post-COVID symptoms as new infections. Collectively, these techniques reduced the possibility of residual confounding. Unlike RCTs, the timeliness at which these analyses can be performed in national data -- and updated as external circumstances change -- address an otherwise un-addressable public health need. Further, our national and regional view of the data allow for characterization of VE in clinical practice, taking into account changing prevalence of variants, variations in government mandates, individual behavior, and other quickly-evolving factors.

This study is mainly based on the data that flows from U.S. healthcare providers to insurance companies for reimbursement and are available sooner than traditional adjudicated ("closed") insurance claims. While other studies have used these "open" claims data to study COVID-19,[10] the data (a) include no specific listing of who is enrolled in an insurance plan, making the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

denominator challenging to precisely estimate at a given point in time and (b) potentially lack complete capture of all longitudinal healthcare interactions for any given patient. Our study therefore required the occurrence of provider-based medical and pharmacy claims unrelated to COVID during baseline to restrict our population to those with more complete outcome capture, making it unlikely that there is differential capture of information between the groups. Further, the lack of meaningful month-to-month changes in VE demonstrating sustained protection of the vaccine is unlikely to be affected by this.

As a result of mass vaccination efforts by a range of public and private organizations, where health insurance information may not have been required, collected or submitted, a substantial fraction of COVID-19 vaccine administrations were not recorded in insurance data.[28] Given the under-recording of COVID-19 vaccinations in insurance claims data which leads to an underestimation of the true effect size, we empirically estimated the level of under-recording in our data source (**Suppl. S4**) and applied standard correction methods to all VE estimates.[29] Even if one would falsely assume 0% under-recording and completely disregard the necessary correction, the VE estimates would decline by about 10 percentage points as illustrated in **Tables S5 and S6**. The resulting biased and underestimated VE of 69% (67% to 71%) for infections and 73% (69% to 76%) for hospitalizations demonstrates substantial vaccine protection.

Recent seroprevalence data indicate a meaningful proportion of undocumented infections.[30] Assuming such outcome misclassification would be non-differential regarding the vaccination status, this would likely lead to an underestimation of the reported VE on observed COVID-19 but would not affect the VE for COVID-related hospitalizations.[29] Additionally, COVID-19 outcomes captured with ICD-10 diagnosis codes in claims data may indicate more severe cases

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

requiring physician consult as opposed to individuals with asymptomatic or mild to moderate disease who may be less likely to seek professional care and generate claims.[31,32] While it is unclear how exactly the infection severity definition compares with definitions used in RCTs, we suggest comparing our findings with the RCT's severe, or moderate to severe, definition.[2] Consistency of VE results across sensitivity analyses with lab-only outcome definitions provides further evidence for the robustness of our primary results (**Table S7**).

Finally, VE estimates were directionally consistent but lower in magnitude than primary results in sensitivity analyses requiring all vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals to have evidence of COVID-related utilization before cohort entry (**Table S8**). While this sensitivity analysis may reduce potential selection bias introduced during the data extraction stage by picking sicker unvaccinated individuals, it may also include more individuals with undiagnosed COVID before cohort entry, and thus may together represent the lower bound of VE.

These non-randomized population-based data from clinical practice in the US demonstrate the high and stable effectiveness of Ad26.COV2.S across high-risk patient subgroups and in geographic areas of high Delta variant incidence. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues and variants evolve the observed effectiveness may change in the future as new variants emerge. Our data and data to be generated over the coming months using this system of claims data contribute important and otherwise unattainable evidence to policymakers, physicians, and patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee February 26, 2021 Meeting Presentation - Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) Application for Ad26.COV2.S [Internet]. 2021; Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/146217/download
2. A Study of Ad26.COV2.S for the Prevention of SARS-CoV-2-Mediated COVID-19 in Adult Participants (ENSEMBLE). clinicaltrials.gov [Internet] (NCT04505722). Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04505722
3. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021;384(23):2187–201.
4. COVID Data Tracker Monitoring Variants [Internet]. CDC Covid Data Tracker. 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 30];Available from: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#variant-proportions
5. Thompson MG, Stenehjem E, Grannis S, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines in Ambulatory and Inpatient Care Settings. New England Journal of Medicine 2021.
6. Dean NE, Hogan JW, Schnitzer ME. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness and the Test-Negative Design. New England Journal of Medicine 2021.
7. CDC. COVID Data Tracker [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2021 Sep 1];Available from: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker
8. HealthVerity Marketplace [Internet]. HealthVerity. [cited 2021 Aug 30];Available from: https://healthverity.com/solutions/healthverity-marketplace/
9. Harvey RA, Rassen JA, Kabelac CA, et al. Association of SARS-CoV-2 Seropositive Antibody Test With Risk of Future Infection. JAMA Intern Med 2021;181(5):672.
10. Gordon DE, Hiatt J, Bouhaddou M, et al. Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms. Science 2020;370(6521):eabe9403.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

11. Schneeweiss MC, Leonard S, Weckstein A, Schneeweiss S, Rassen J. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone-System inhibitor use in patients with observed COVID-19 and prevention of serious events: a cohort study in commercially insured patients in the US. medRxiv 2020. preprint.
12. Murk W, Gierada M, Fralick M, Weckstein A, Klesh R, Rassen JA. Diagnosis-wide analysis of COVID-19 complications: an exposure-crossover study. CMAJ 2020;193(1):E10–8.
13. Gagne JJ, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Levin R, Schneeweiss S. A combined comorbidity score predicted mortality in elderly patients better than existing scores. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(7):749–59.
14. Evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness: Interim Guidance. [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 15];Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccine_effectiveness-measurement-2021.1
15. Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V. A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Phase 3 Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Ad26.COV2.S for the Prevention of SARS-CoV-2-mediated COVID-19 in Adults Aged 18 Years and Older [Internet]. clinicaltrials.gov; 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 31]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04505722
16. Franklin JM, Rassen JA, Ackermann D, Bartels DB, Schneeweiss S. Metrics for covariate balance in cohort studies of causal effects. Stat Med 2014;33(10):1685–99.
17. Austin PC. Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. Stat Med 2009;28(25):3083–107.
18. Cox DR. Regression Models and Life-Tables. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol 1972;34(2):187–202.
19. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations. J Am Stat

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Assoc 1958;53(282):457–81.

20. The Delta variant is driving up COVID rates. See the states where it's most prevalent [Internet]. Fortune. [cited 2021 Aug 30];Available from: https://fortune.com/2021/07/21/delta-variant-covid-cases-states-where-its-most-prevalent/
21. Rothman KJ, Lash TL, VanderWeele TJ, Haneuse S. Modern epidemiology. Fourth edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
22. Kim SC, Solomon DH, Rogers JR, et al. Cardiovascular Safety of Tocilizumab Versus Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Multi-Database Cohort Study. Arthritis Rheumatol Hoboken NJ 2017;69(6):1154–64.
23. Franklin JM, Patorno E, Desai RJ, et al. Emulating Randomized Clinical Trials with Nonrandomized Real-World Evidence Studies: First Results from the RCT DUPLICATE Initiative. Circulation 2020;143(10):1002-13.
24. Patorno E, Schneeweiss S, Gopalakrishnan C, Martin D, Franklin JM. Using real-world data to predict findings of an ongoing phase IV cardiovascular outcome trial: cardiovascular safety of linagliptin versus glimepiride. Diabetes Care 2019;42(12):2204–10.
25. Schneeweiss S, Gopalakrishnan C, Bartels DB, et al. Sequential Monitoring of the Comparative Effectiveness and Safety of Dabigatran in Routine Care. Circ Cardiovasc QualOutcomes 2019;12(2):e005173.
26. Collier DA, Ferreira IATM, Kotagiri P, et al. Age-related immune response heterogeneity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2. Nature 2021;596(7872):417–22.
27. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2020;383(27):2603–15.
28. secretary-becerra-letter-to-issuers-providers.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 6];Available

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

from: https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/secretary-becerra-letter-to-issuers-providers.pdf

29. Rothman KJ, Lash TL, VanderWeele TJ, Haneuse S. Modern epidemiology. Fourth edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
30. Kalish H, Klumpp-Thomas C, Hunsberger S, et al. Undiagnosed SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity during the first 6 months of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. Sci Transl Med 2021;13(601):eabh3826.
31. Accorsi EK, Qiu X, Rumpler E, et al. How to detect and reduce potential sources of biases in studies of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Eur J Epidemiol 2021;36(2):179–96.
32. Bhatt AS, McElrath EE, Claggett BL, et al. Accuracy of ICD-10 Diagnostic Codes to Identify COVID-19 Among Hospitalized Patients. J GEN INTERN MED 2021;36(8):2532–5.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Characteristics of Ad26.COV2.S vaccinated and matched unvaccinated individuals in the national cohort

n (%) or mean +/- SD unless otherwise noted	**Vaccinated group (Exposed) n=390,517**	**Unvaccinated group (Referent) n=1,524,153**	**Absolute standardized difference**
Age, mean (sd)	55.05 (17.31)	54.94 (17.42)	0.006
Female sex; n (%)	219,989 (56.3%)	858,322 (56.3%)	0.000
Cerebrovascular disease; n (%)	14,150 (3.6%)	55,693 (3.7%)	0.002
Chronic kidney disease; n (%)	20,421 (5.2%)	78,451 (5.1%)	0.004
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; n (%)	40,375 (10.3%)	159,065 (10.4%)	0.003
Cystic fibrosis*; n (%)	23 (0.0%)	95 (0.0%)	0.000
HIV; n (%)	1,244 (0.3%)	5,473 (0.4%)	0.007
Hypertension; n (%)	120,810 (30.9%)	470,438 (30.9%)	0.002
Immunocompromised from blood transplant*; n (%)	3 (0.0%)	28 (0.0%)	0.003
Immunocompromised from organ transplant; n (%)	1,433 (0.4%)	6,048 (0.4%)	0.005
Liver disease; n (%)	15,910 (4.1%)	61,785 (4.1%)	0.001
Malignancies; n (%)	17,434 (4.5%)	68,418 (4.5%)	0.001
Moderate-to-severe asthma; n (%)	3,504 (0.9%)	14,214 (0.9%)	0.004
Neurologic conditions; n (%)	110,394 (28.3%)	431,470 (28.3%)	0.001
Obesity; n (%)	58,856 (15.1%)	228,861 (15.0%)	0.002
Pulmonary fibrosis; n (%)	1,969 (0.5%)	8,268 (0.5%)	0.005
Serious heart conditions; n (%)	38,895 (10.0%)	153,329 (10.1%)	0.003
Sickle-cell disease; n (%)	160 (0.0%)	754 (0.0%)	0.004
Thalassemia; n (%)	190 (0.0%)	768 (0.1%)	0.001
Type 1 diabetes; n (%)	4,120 (1.1%)	16,135 (1.1%)	0.000
Type 2 diabetes; n (%)	60,410 (15.5%)	233,175 (15.3%)	0.005
Gagne combined comorbidity score, mean (sd)	0.64 (1.56)	0.63 (1.55)	0.003
Count of medical claims	12.76 (33.33)	12.91 (31.17)	0.005
Count of pharmacy claims	17.97 (18.88)	17.83 (18.50)	0.008
Recent medical claim**	192,474 (49.3%)	749,810 (49.2%)	0.002
Recent pharmacy claim**	277,989 (71.2%)	1,077,266 (70.7%)	0.011
Index months			0.006
March 2021; n (%)	146,576 (37.5%)	572,459 (37.6%)	-
April 2021; n (%)	144,449 (37.0%)	566,750 (37.2%)	-
May 2021; n (%)	68,082 (17.4%)	264,268 (17.3%)	-
June 2021; n (%)	25,020 (6.4%)	96,188 (6.3%)	-
July 2021; n (%)	6,390 (1.6%)	24,488 (1.6%)	-
U.S. Region			0.002

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Northeast; n (%)	53,406 (13.7%)	208,572 (13.7%)	-
Midwest; n (%)	87,045 (22.3%)	338,650 (22.2%)	-
South; n (%)	162,865 (41.7%)	636,225 (41.7%)	-
West; n (%)	87,201 (22.3%)	340,706 (22.4%)	-
State			0.011

Characteristics reported for population matched with risk-set sampling and propensity scores. Unless otherwise noted, demographic variables are assessed at cohort entry (index) and comorbidities and clinical utilization variables are assessed during the 1 year before cohort entry.
*Variables not included in propensity score models.
**Recent medical and pharmacy claims were defined as claims beginning during the 60 days before cohort entry.

Table 2. Incidence and vaccine effectiveness for COVID-19 and COVID-19-related hospitalizations, nationally and by subgroup

	Vaccinated group			Unvaccinated group			Hazard Ratios (95% CI)	Vaccine Effectiveness (95% CI)	Vaccine Effectiveness (95% CI)
	N events	Person-years	Incidence rate	N events	Person-years	Incidence rate	As observed	As observed	Corrected for vaccine under-recording
National cohort									
Observed COVID-19	1,232	102,864	11.98	13,505	341,511	39.54	0.31 (0.29, 0.33)	69% (67%, 71%)	79% (77%, 80%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	243	103,023	2.36	3,119	343,153	9.09	0.27 (0.24, 0.31)	73% (69%, 76%)	81% (79%, 84%)
Subgroups									
Age < 50									
Observed COVID-19	381	32,913	11.58	5,093	108,603	46.90	0.25 (0.23, 0.28)	75% (72%, 77%)	83% (81%, 85%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	32	32,965	0.97	528	109,282	4.83	0.21 (0.15, 0.30)	79% (70%, 85%)	86% (80%, 90%)
Age >= 50									
Observed COVID-19	851	69,951	12.17	8,368	232,614	35.97	0.35 (0.32, 0.37)	65% (63%, 68%)	75% (74%, 77%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	211	70,058	3.01	2,475	233,572	10.60	0.29 (0.26, 0.34)	71% (66%, 74%)	80% (77%, 82%)
Age < 60									
Observed COVID-19	677	55,400	12.22	7,986	181,315	44.04	0.28 (0.26, 0.31)	72% (69%, 74%)	81% (79%, 82%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	71	55,494	1.28	1,180	182,352	6.47	0.21 (0.16, 0.26)	79% (74%, 84%)	86% (83%, 89%)
Age >= 60									
Observed COVID-19	555	47,463	11.69	5,430	160,049	33.93	0.35 (0.32, 0.39)	65% (61%, 68%)	75% (73%, 78%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	172	47,529	3.62	1,904	160,631	11.85	0.32 (0.27, 0.37)	68% (63%, 73%)	78% (74%, 81%)
Immunocompromised									

Observed COVID-19	128	7,162	17.87	942	24,883	37.86	0.48 (0.40, 0.58)	52% (42%, 60%)	64% (57%, 70%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	39	7,177	5.43	301	24,994	12.04	0.46 (0.33, 0.65)	54% (35%, 67%)	68% (54%, 77%)
Not immunocompromised									
Observed COVID-19	1,104	95,702	11.54	12,584	316,542	39.75	0.30 (0.28, 0.32)	70% (68%, 72%)	79% (78%, 81%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	204	95,846	2.13	2,809	318,058	8.83	0.25 (0.22, 0.29)	75% (71%, 78%)	83% (80%, 85%)
High-Delta-incidence States**									
Observed COVID-19	149	7,668	19.43	1,587	25,832	61.44	0.31 (0.26, 0.37)	69% (63%, 74%)	79% (75%, 83%)
June - July 2021 only***	110	4,491	24.49	1,084	14,814	73.17	0.33 (0.27, 0.40)	67% (60%, 73%)	78% (73%, 82%)
COVID-19-related hospitalization	23	7,680	2.99	306	25,967	11.78	0.26 (0.17, 0.39)	74% (61%, 83%)	83% (74%, 89%)
June - July 2021 only***	12	4,500	2.67	171	14,918	11.46	0.23 (0.13, 0.41)	77% (59%, 87%)	85% (73%, 91%)

Unless otherwise noted, incident rates are reported per 1,000 person-years and vaccine effectiveness (VE) estimates (observed and corrected) are calculated using hazard ratios with reported 95% confidence interval limits. Corrected VE estimates are adjusted for under-recording of vaccinations in claims data using the approach described in **Suppl. S4**.
** High-Delta-incidence States (early Delta states) include Arkansas, Florida, Louisiana, and Missouri.
*** For June and July 2021 results within four states (Arkansas, Florida, Louisiana, and Missouri) with high prevalence of the Delta variant of concern, incident rate ratios (IRR) after PS matching are reported instead of hazard ratios and VE is estimated using (1-IRR)x100 for patients contributing follow-up time from June 1, 2021 through July 31, 2021.

Figure 1. Study population CONSORT diagram



*Match ratios reflect the maximum number of unvaccinated matches allowed for each vaccinated individual, however, some exposed individuals will have fewer than the maximum number of matches. During risk-set sampling, each vaccinated individual was RSS matched with *up to* 10 unvaccinated individuals; for propensity score matching, each vaccinated individual was PS matched with *up to* 4 unvaccinated individuals.

Figure 2. COVID-19-related outcomes by time since vaccination (+14 days) in national cohort

2a) Time to observed COVID-19



2b) Time to COVID-19-related hospitalization



Figure 2a: Observed (uncorrected) VE for observed COVID-19 was 69% (67%, 71%). After correction for under-recording, VE was 79% (77%, 80%). Inspection of Schoenfeld residual plots indicate that the hazard ratio was constant over time and that there was no VE reduction during the observable follow-up time (**Figure S2a**).

Figure 2b: Observed (uncorrected) VE for COVID-19-relation hospitalization was 73% (69%, 76%). After correction for under-recording, VE was 81% (79%, 84%). Inspection of Schoenfeld residual plots indicate that the hazard ratio was constant over time and that there was no VE reduction during the observable follow-up time (**Figure S2b**).

Figures 2a and 2b: Follow-up day 0 is equivalent to 14 days post-index, as follow-up for outcomes begins 14 days after vaccination or matched index date. Kaplan-Meier plots include 95% confidence intervals around the survival function.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.21263385; this version posted September 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3. Vaccine effectiveness by month (March 2021 – July 2021)



See **Suppl. S5** and **Figure S3** for further detail on methods used to calculate monthly VE estimates.
All vaccine effectiveness estimates are corrected for under-recording (see **Suppl. S4**).
*Insufficient outcome count for March-specific effectiveness calculations in Figure 3d.