Four-week forecasts of COVID-19 epidemic trajectories in South Africa, Chile, Peru and Brazil: a model evaluation

Wim Delva[1,2,3,4*], Emanuel M. Dominic[1,2], Roxanne Beauclair[1,2], Rachid Ouifki[5], Matthys Kroon[1], Heinrich Volmink[6], and Elton Dorkin[7]

[1]Wimmy (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, South Africa
[2]The South African Department of Science and Innovation-National Research Foundation (DSI-NRF) Centre of Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA), Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa
[3]Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa
[4]International Centre for Reproductive Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
[5]Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
[6]Independent
[7]Anglo American, Johannesburg, South Africa
[*]wimdelva@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction
From the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, epidemiological models have been used in a number of ways to aid governments and organizations in efficient planning of resources and decision making. These models have elucidated important epidemiological transmission parameters, in addition to making short-term projections.
Methods
We constructed a compartmental mathematical model for the transmission, detection and prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infections for regions where Anglo American has mining operations. We fitted the model to publicly available data and used it to make short-term projections. Finally, we evaluated how the model performed by comparing short-term projections to actual confirmed cases, retrospectively.
Findings
The average forecast errors for four-week-ahead projections ranged between 1% and 8% in all the countries and regions considered in this study. All but one region had more than 75% of the true values falling within the range of four-week-ahead projections. The quality of the projections improved with time as expected due to increased historical data.
Conclusion
Our model produced four-week forecasts with a sufficiently high level of accuracy to guide operational and strategic planning for business continuity and COVID-19 responses in Anglo American mining sites.

Introduction

Since December 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic has done untold damage to the health of individuals, healthcare systems, and economies across the world. As of 23 August 2021, the WHO estimated over 211 million confirmed cases and 4.4 million deaths[1]. These numbers continue to compound daily as more infectious variants of the SARS-CoV-2 virus spread rapidly through populations. Thus, countries across the world have adopted different measures to mitigate the spread of infection, and prevent an overload of the health care systems. A variety of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) that have been proposed and used encompass travel bans, domestic movement restrictions, social distancing, contact tracing of infected cases, self-isolation of symptomatic people, mandatory use of face-masks in public, and shielding of high-risk populations. Vaccines have been rolled out rapidly over the past 8 months, but vaccine coverage remains highly unequal across countries, with poorer countries in the global South lagging far behind[2].

From the beginning of the pandemic, epidemiological models have been used in a number of ways to aid governments and organizations in efficient planning of resources and decision making[3,4]. They have been instrumental in the comparison of the relative impacts of different interventions (e.g. social distancing, restrictions on air travel, school closures, use of face masks, etc.), on the trajectory of the epidemic[3,5–10]. More recently, models have explored a wide range of biological and behavioural

aspects of COVID-19 vaccination programmes, including vaccine efficacy, emerging variants, social determinants of vaccine access and uptake, waning immunity, and changes in behaviour due to vaccination impact[11–15]. Models have elucidated important epidemiological transmission parameters, and have produced short-term projections of cases, hospitalizations, and deaths that can be expected in different populations[16–19].

Despite the usefulness of epidemiological models, it can be difficult to make accurate predictions[20,21]. Forecasting relies on the use of accurate data about the population for which it is making projections in order to calibrate the model[16]. Some problems modellers face with regard to data quality range from under-diagnosis of COVID-19 cases, and delays in reporting of case counts, hospitalizations, and deaths[21]. Moreover, it can be difficult to gain access to key data such as average duration of infection and length of stay in hospital for the populations being studied. There is also a trade-off to be made between having too simplistic and too complex models. If you have models that are overly simple, the predictions may be invalid because they have not captured the full dynamics of biology (e.g. virus evolution) and behaviour of individuals in a population (e.g. societal norms changing through time in regards to mask wearing). When models are too complex, they may become overly sensitive to small changes in parameters that are context-specific and difficult to estimate accurately[16].

COVID-19 models, in particular, have problems with non-identifiability. Since there is still a paucity of publicly available data to calibrate models to, modellers tend to fit their data to confirmed cases and deaths only. The problem with this, is that there are many combinations of parameters that can produce a good fit to the data, yet have drastically different projected results[22,23]. Thus, it is often difficult to know if predictions are accurate, even if the model fits the available data well.

We constructed a mathematical model to assist Anglo American – a leading multinational mining company with operations in over 50 countries in the world and over 90 000 permanent employees worldwide[24] –with their efforts to minimize the spread of COVID-19 among their workforce. We developed separate spatially homogeneous models for countries where Anglo American has most of their mining operations - Brazil, Peru, Chile, and South Africa. Additionally, we also constructed separate models for three South African provinces: Limpopo, Northern Cape and North West. The models were calibrated to publicly available data on laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections and deaths in these regions, and then four-week projections were made. Our primary objective here was to evaluate how the model performed when comparing short-term projections to actual confirmed cases, retrospectively.

Methods

Model structure and flow

We developed a compartmental model for the transmission and detection of SARS-CoV-2 infections. Figure 1 shows the health states and possible transitions between them. The model assumes that all people except for the seed infections are initially



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the disease transmission model. The capital letters represent different health states and the arrows represent transitions between the states. The letters above the arrows represents the rates of the transitions.

susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Upon infection, they transition to become "Exposed (E_u)" but not yet infectious and not yet symptomatic. After a few days, they transition to the pre-symptomatic state (I_{1u}) during which they are still asymptomatic,

but now infectious, albeit less than during the next state of infection. From the pre-symptomatic state they may transition to either I_{2u}, I_{mu} or I_{su}. In these three states, they are respectively asymptomatic, mildly symptomatic, or severely symptomatic and very ill. People in the former two states will recover without needing hospital care. Severely ill patients, however, will either die (D_s) before they reach a hospital, or they will be hospitalised (H). Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection can happen while in any of the infection states, but is more likely for symptomatic and severely symptomatic patients - in the absence of a contact tracing programme. Three events may occur among hospitalised patients: they may recover and be discharged (R_h), they may die (D_h) or they may require an admission to a critical care unit (C). The rate of hospitalisation and admission to critical care is not capped. People may leave the critical care unit either because they die (D_c) or they are moved into a Post Critical care hospital (P) ward, from which they will transition to the recovered state (R_c). The model is formally represented by a system of differential equations, given in the Supplementary information section at the end of this article.

Data

The model was calibrated to publicly available data (which we refer to as target features) on case counts and deaths. For the general South African population, and the South African provinces (Limpopo, Northern Cape and North West), we used data reported by the National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD) and the South African National Department of Health (DoH). The dataset was collated by the Data Science for Social Impact research group at the University of Pretoria[25]. For all the other regions (Brazil, Chile, Peru), we used data from Johns Hopkins University (JHU) Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) Github repository[26]. To ensure data consistency and quality, we compared these public data with data reported by Woldometer[27].

Model parameterization and calibration

Model parameters were informed by various epidemiological studies that estimated quantities (e.g. r_{mu}; time from onset of symptoms to recovery for mildly symptomatic cases) based upon COVID-19 outbreaks in their respective populations. Most studies presented point estimates in the form of means and standard deviations or medians and inter-quartile ranges. Thus, we derived the bounds of the parameters' prior distributions based upon descriptions of the spread of data around these point estimates. For some parameters (e.g. r_{md}; time from diagnosis to recovery for mildly symptomatic cases), we did not have access to studies that directly quantified these particular parameters, and thus we made educated assumptions based on the priors for other parameters (e.g. r_{mu} and d_m). Table 3 in the Supplementary information section at the end of this report shows the model parameters and table 4 gives a brief description of the parameters. The first seven parameters determine the effective contact rate between people susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection and people who are infected and infectious (in compartments I_{1*}, I_{2*}, I_{m*} or I_{s*}), taking into account the seasonal variation in effective contact rates (b_b). All the other parameters (excluding h_{ceil} and c_{ceil}) determine the rate at which people transition between the health states in the model, as illustrated in Figure 1. Parameters h_{ceil} and c_{ceil} represent limits of the hospital and critical care capacity. For this modelling exercise, we kept the hospital and critical care limits uncapped by setting very large values for these two parameters. All these parameters drive the model's features (i.e. cumulatively diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infections and cumulative COVID-19 related deaths).

Informally, model calibration can be thought of as the process of searching for parameter values that produce model features that match the target features. We conducted a two-step calibration process. The first step involved manually adjusting the model parameters and then visually investigating the model fit. The second step involved using the model parameters from the first step and then applying a simple accept / reject Approximate Bayesian Computation scheme[28]. Sampling from the parameters' prior distributions (a mix of unconditional uniform distributions and conditional distributions), the method filters through the explored parameter space and only retains parameter combinations that produce model features close to the target features. The distance between model features and target features was quantified by the relative Root-Mean-Squared-Error (RMSE), where the error terms were calculated by comparing the empirically observed time series of cumulatively diagnosed COVID-19 infections and cumulative deaths against the equivalent time series produced by the model. All time series of cumulative counts were rescaled by dividing all entries in the time series by the last (i.e. highest) value of the observed cumulative count. Due to high COVID-19 mortality underreporting that has been experienced in many regions[29], we decided to give the our target features different weights during the calibration process. The cumulative cases time series was given a weight of 1 for all the regions. For the deaths time series, South Africa, Brazil, Peru, Chile and Limpopo were given a weight of 0.5 while Northern Cape and North West were given a weight of 0 (meaning that the model was not fitted to the deaths time series in these regions).

A total of one million parameter combinations were sampled from the prior distribution, and applied a tolerance threshold for the RMSE of 0.05. Thus, parameter combinations were retained if their associated model features stayed within 5% from the target features on average. We then narrowed down to get a more precisely calibrated model by setting the tolerance threshold for the RMSE at 0.001 and increasing this tolerance by 0.001 sequentially until at least forty parameter combinations were retained. The calibration scheme produced a posterior distribution for the parameters, consisting of multiple parameter combinations. This calibrated model was then used to generate projections of the number of COVID-19 deaths, active cases

(stratified by severity), diagnosed and undiagnosed cases as well as the number of hospitalisations. In this paper, we only focus on projected COVID-19 cases. The model was re-calibrated once every month and the model projections updated.

Model evaluation

We evaluated the performance of the model in making short term projections using frequently used summary metrics discussed below. To assess the difference between the model projections and the observed case counts, we computed the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), a summary measure of the accuracy of forecasts. It expresses the error in the forecasts as a percentage. MAPE is defined as:

$$\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\frac{|y_t - \hat{y}_t|}{y_t} \tag{1}$$

where y_t and $\hat{y}_t$ denote the truth and the projected value at time t respectively, and n is the number of projections. The smaller the MAPE, the better.

To measure the of sharpness of the model, defined as the ability of the model to generate predictions within a narrow range of possible values, we used the median absolute deviation (MAD). MAD is a common statistic used to measure the spread out of a set of data. It is a property of the projections only and is defined as:

$$\text{MAD} = \text{median}(|\hat{y}_{it} - \text{median}(\hat{y}_t)|) \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{y}_{it}$ denotes the projected value from each calibration at time t. A small MAD value means that the model is sharp while a large value means that the model is blurred.

We also computed the percentage of true values contained in the interquartile range and those contained between the range of all projections (between the minimum and maximum projections).

Results

COVID-19 projections

The calibrated models produced projections of cumulative cases of COVID-19. For all the regions, we conducted four-week ahead forecasts. Figure 2 shows the observed and predicted COVID-19 cases together with prediction intervals for different regions for each prediction period.

The first forecast period covered September 9 - October 6, 2020. The average forecasts for South Africa and Limpopo were very close to the observed data and the range of projections produced were narrow. During the second and third forecast periods covering October 14 - November 10, 2020 and November 4 - December 2, 2020 respectively, the actual number of confirmed COVID-19 cases were contained in the prediction intervals in most of the regions. The prediction intervals were generally narrow as well. The model performed poorly in predicting a new wave of infection as seen in the case of South Africa during the fourth forecast period which covered December 14 - January 11, 2021. The model failed to accurately predict the increase in cases during the second wave of infections in December as seen in the plots for South Africa, Limpopo, Northern Cape and North West. The fifth forecast period covered January 13 - February 9, 2021. During this forecast period, the actual number of confirmed COVID-19 cases were contained in the prediction intervals in all of the regions. However, in some regions such as Chile and Limpopo, the mean estimates for the confirmed cases were far from the observed cases.

Model evaluation

Figure 3 shows the overall model performance for difference regions. In all the regions, the forecast error increased as the forecast horizon increased. Nevertheless, the overall percentage forecast error for the four-week-ahead forecast was below 5% in all the regions besides Limpopo and Northern Cape. At one-week-ahead, most models had between 40% and 60% of the true values contained in the interquartile range. Peru had 100% of the true values contained in the interquartile range for one-week-ahead forecasts while Chile had the least number of true values falling within the interquartile range (35%). In most of the regions, the percentage of true values contained in the interquartile range decreased with time. All the regions had 60% or more of the true values falling within the range of model projections for the four-week-ahead projections. Brazil's model was the most blurred model because it had the largest median absolute deviation values across the forecast horizon. This model exhibited rapid decrease in sharpness as the forecast horizon increased. Table 1 in the supplementary information section provides more information on overall performance of the model.

Next, we analysed the model performance at different calibration time points for all the regions (Figure 4). The percentage forecast error for all the regions and all calibration time points was below 22%. In the first three rounds of forecasts, the forecast



Figure 2. Cumulative COVID-19 confirmed cases together with forecasts and prediction intervals for different regions and origins (five time points) from September 2020 to January 2021. The red lines indicate the observed data and the blue lines indicate the projected mean cumulative cases. The shaded area indicates the minimum and maximum range.



Figure 3. Overall model performance for the four week ahead forecasts across different regions.

error was decreasing with time but then started increasing due to the second wave of infections. The percentage of true values contained in the interquartile range do not seem to have improved in subsequent forecasts, although there was variation in different regions. Table 2 in the supplementary information section shows the accuracy metrics for each region and calibration origin.



Figure 4. Model performance at each calibration time point.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has continued to spread rapidly throughout the world. Several models have been developed to study the transmission dynamics and make short-term projections into the future about expected cases, hospitalisations and deaths[16–19]. We developed a compartmental model and calibrated it to publicly available data. We assumed that these data are accurate and reliable. The main objective here was to evaluate how the model performed when comparing short-term projections to actual confirmed cases, retrospectively.

Our model performed very well in producing short term projections with reported case counts falling within the range of projections. We obtained an overall forecast error of below 8% on average for four-week-ahead projections in all the countries and regions. As expected, the performance of the projections declined as the forecast horizon increased. This is because many processes that shape the epidemic continue to evolve as the disease evolves, most crucially processes at the molecular level (viral evolution, giving rise to viral variants with substantially different infectivity levels) as well as socio-political processs at the population level (government decisions to tighten or relax lockdown regulations). Neither of these sets of processes were explicitly captured by the model.

As the epidemic continues to evolve, more data will become available. The model's parameter estimates will become more accurate, and the model-based inference will be less affected by parameter uncertainty. One such model-based epidemiological metric that may prove to be useful for continuous monitoring and evaluation of the COVID-19 response is the ratio of the moving averages of newly infected and newly recovered patients. An increase in this metric would indicate that the epidemic is getting worse, while a decrease would indicate the opposite.

Resurgence of the second wave of infections in different regions resulted in poor forecasts. Future improvements to the

model involve redefining the effective contact rate ($\frac{b_b}{FOIad\,just}$). As lockdown regulations are loosened, we expect more contacts and hence high likelihood of increase in transmission.

Our model had an explicit observation process built-in to it. This was done for two reasons: firstly, it allowed us to calibrate the model to the available empirical data, since that data is based upon laboratory confirmed infections - which by definition exclude undiagnosed infections. Secondly, it will allow us to model the effect of interventions (e.g. amplified screening initiatives) that might change how many cases get diagnosed (i.e. what we observe in the real world). However, the challenge is that to date, there is very little literature on epidemiological parameters of those who are undiagnosed versus diagnosed. This influenced the uncertainties for relative infectiousness. For example, we assumed that being diagnosed likely makes a person relatively less infectious, because knowledge of infection would cause a case to modify their behaviour resulting in contact with fewer people through self-isolation. However, it is possible that diagnosed people with severe symptoms could be better at infecting others since those who remain undiagnosed likely have milder symptoms, which is suggestive of lower viral loads[30]. A related challenge is that we have almost no direct evidence to inform the various detection rates (parameters d_e, d_1, d_2, d_m and d_s) in the model. That in turn makes it virtually impossible to estimate what the impact of intensified contact tracing or screening might be. Relevant improvements in the South African SARS-CoV-2 surveillance system would therefore be immensely helpful in reducing parameter uncertainty and subsequently the uncertainty around model-based estimates and projections.

Our assumptions about the average time spent in each of the different compartments may also require future adjustments. Published estimates of duration spent in hospital or ICU, were not specific enough for our purposes. For instance, for ρ and δ_c, we used published estimates of length of stay in ICU. The estimate we based our priors on aggregated people together who may have died in ICU with those who were discharged back to a non-ICU hospital bed once they started to get better. Because of this, we made the assumption that those who don't die have a longer time in ICU than those who do. This may affect how quickly all hospital and ICU beds fill up and how long they stay occupied, which in turn may affect the number total deaths resulting from the model.

The results presented here may also be influenced by the assumptions we made regarding disease severity, particularly in regards to the proportion of cases that remain completely asymptomatic throughout the duration of infection. It has been estimated that as many as 70% or more of infections[31,32] could be asymptomatic. However, these studies do not follow-up cases past the full duration of the incubation period in order to see if symptoms develop later. Here, we assumed between 20-40% would be asymptomatic, based upon a systematic review of studies that estimated the proportion of asymptomatic cases when cases were followed-up for at least 14 days to determine their final symptoms status[33]. If asymptomatic cases are more common than we assumed, then we may expect fewer people to become cases in our model, since they are assumed to be less infectious than symptomatic cases.

In conclusion, even though limited data and considerable uncertainty around the transmission dynamics posed constraints to the accuracy and precision of our model forecasts, our model produced four-week forecasts with a sufficiently high level of accuracy to guide operational and strategic planning for business continuity and COVID-19 responses in Anglo American mining sites.

Several aspects of the model are being revised, in response to emerging knowledge that was not available at the start of the model development. In particular, waning immunity after naturally acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection, and the increasing coverage of COVID vaccines, yet with imperfect protection against infection, are important new features that are being added to the model. With respect to model calibration, comparison of smoothed time series of daily new cases and deaths rather than cumulative cases and deaths is being implemented.

References

1. World Health Organization. Weekly Operational Update on COVID-19 (As of 23 August 2021). Tech. Rep. (2021).

2. UNDP. Global dashboard for vaccine equity (2021).

3. Holmdahl, I. & Buckee, C. Wrong but Useful â What Covid-19 Epidemiologic Models Can and Cannot Tell Us. *New Engl. J. Medicine* **383**, 303–305, DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2016822 (2020).

4. Currie, C. S. *et al.* How simulation modelling can help reduce the impact of COVID-19. *J. Simul.* **14**, 83–97, DOI: 10.1080/17477778.2020.1751570 (2020).

5. Kissler, S., Tedijanto, C., Lipsitch, M. & Grad, Y. H. Social distancing strategies for curbing the COVID-19 epidemic, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.22.20041079 (2020).

6. Kissler, S. M., Tedijanto, C., Goldstein, E., Grad, Y. H. & Lipsitch, M. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science* **368**, 860–868, DOI: 10.1126/science.abb5793 (2020).

7. Kucharski, A. J. *et al.* Effectiveness of isolation, testing, contact tracing and physical distancing on reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in different settings. *medRxiv* 2020.04.23.20077024, DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.23.20077024 (2020).

8. Flaxman, S. *et al.* Estimating the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 in Europe. *Nature* **584**, 257–261, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2405-7 (2020).

9. Hellewell, J. *et al.* Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *The Lancet Glob. Heal.* **8**, e488–e496, DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30074-7 (2020).

10. Van Zandvoort, K. *et al.* Response strategies for COVID-19 epidemics in African settings: a mathematical modelling study. *BMC Medicine* **18** (2020).

11. Wagner, C. E. *et al.* Vaccine nationalism and the dynamics and control of sars-cov-2. *Science* DOI: 10.1126/science.abj7364 (2021). https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2021/08/16/science.abj7364.full.pdf.

12. Bubar, K. M. *et al.* Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine prioritization strategies by age and serostatus. *Science* eabe6959, DOI: 10.1126/science.abe6959 (2021).

13. Ma, K. C., Menkir, T. F., Kissler, S., Grad, Y. H. & Lipsitch, M. Modeling the impact of racial and ethnic disparities on covid-19 epidemic dynamics. *Elife* **10**, DOI: 10.7554/eLife.66601 (2021).

14. Gozzi, N. O., Bajardi, P. & Perra, N. The importance of non-pharmaceutical interventions during the COVID-19 vaccine rollout. *medRxiv* 2021.01.09.21249480, DOI: 10.1101/2021.01.09.21249480 (2021).

15. Swan, D. A. *et al.* COVID-19 vaccines that reduce symptoms but do not block infection need higher coverage and faster rollout to achieve population impact, DOI: 10.1101/2020.12.13.20248142 (2020).

16. Jewell, N. P., Lewnard, J. A. & Jewell, B. L. Predictive Mathematical Models of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Underlying Principles and Value of Projections, DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.6585 (2020).

17. Crokidakis, N. Data analysis and modeling of the evolution of COVID-19 in Brazil. *arXiv* (2020). 2003.12150.

18. Uhlig, S., Nichani, K., Uhlig, C. & Simon, K. Modeling projections for COVID-19 pandemic by combining epidemiological, statistical, and neural network approaches, DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.17.20059535 (2020).

19. Romero-Severson, E. O., Hengartner, N., Meadors, G. & Ke, R. Change in global transmission rates of COVID-19 through May 6 2020. *PLOS ONE* **15**, e0236776, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236776 (2020).

20. Gnanvi, J. E., Salako, K. V., Kotanmi, G. B. & Glèlè Kakaï, R. On the reliability of predictions on Covid-19 dynamics: A systematic and critical review of modelling techniques. *Infect. Dis. Model.* **6**, 258–272, DOI: 10.1016/j.idm.2020.12.008 (2021).

21. Ioannidis, J. P., Cripps, S. & Tanner, M. A. Forecasting for COVID-19 has failed. *Int. J. Forecast.* DOI: 10.1016/j.ijforecast.2020.08.004 (2020).

22. Roda, W. C., Varughese, M. B., Han, D. & Li, M. Y. Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic? *Infect. Dis. Model.* **5**, 271–281, DOI: 10.1016/j.idm.2020.03.001 (2020).

23. Massonis, G., Banga, J. R. & Villaverde, A. F. Structural identifiability and observability of compartmental models of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annu. Rev. Control.* DOI: 10.1016/j.arcontrol.2020.12.001 (2020). 2006.14295.

24. American, A. At a glance: Anglo american (2020).

25. Marivate, V. & Combrink, H. M. Use of available data to inform the covid-19 outbreak in south africa: A case study. *Data Sci. J.* **19**, 1–7 (2020).

26. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track covid-19 in real time. *The Lancet infectious diseases* **20**, 533–534 (2020).

27. Worldometer covid-19 coronavirus pandemic (2020).

28. Tavaré, S., Balding, D. J., Griffiths, R. C. & Donnelly, P. Inferring coalescence times from dna sequence data. *Genetics* **145**, 505–518 (1997).

29. e Silva, L. V. *et al.* Covid-19 mortality underreporting in brazil: analysis of data from government internet portals. *J. Med. Internet Res.* **22**, e21413 (2020).

30. Li, R. *et al.* Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2). *Sci. (New York, N.Y.)* **493**, 489–493, DOI: 10.1126/science.abb3221 (2020).

31. Emery, J. C. *et al.* The contribution of asymptomatic sars-cov-2 infections to transmission - a model-based analysis of the diamond princess outbreak. *medRxiv* DOI: 10.1101/2020.05.07.20093849 (2020). https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/05/11/2020.05.07.20093849.full.pdf.

32. Inui, S. *et al.* Chest CT Findings in Cases from the Cruise Ship "Diamond Princess" with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Radiol. Cardiothorac. Imaging* (2020).

33. Buitrago-Garcia, D. C. *et al.* The role of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections: rapid living systematic review and meta-analysis. *medRxiv* 2020.04.25.20079103, DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.25.20079103 (2020).

34. Rees, E. M. *et al.* Covid-19 length of hospital stay: a systematic review and data synthesis. *BMC medicine* **18**, 1–22, DOI: 10.1186/s12916-020-01726-3 (2020).

35. Huang, C. *et al.* Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet* **395**, 497–506, DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5 (2020).

36. Gaythorpe, K. *et al.* Symptom progression of COVID-19. *Imp. Coll. Lond. COVID-19 Response Team* 1–10 (2020).

37. Zhou, F. *et al.* Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet* **395**, 1054–1062, DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3 (2020).

38. Yang, L. *et al.* Epidemiological and Clinical Features of 200 Hospitalized Patients with Corona Virus Disease 2019 in Yichang, China: A Descriptive Study. *SSRN Electron. J.* **15**, DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3552862 (2020).

39. Tindale, L. *et al.* Transmission interval estimates suggest pre-symptomatic spread of COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2020.03.03.20029983, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.03.20029983 (2020).

40. Wu, B. *et al.* Epidemiological and Clinical Features of Imported and Local Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hainan, China. *The Lancet* DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3555222 (2020).

41. WHO. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Tech. Rep. (2020).

42. Salje, H. *et al.* Estimating the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in France To cite this version : HAL Id : pasteur-02548181. *pasteur-02548181* (2020).

Supplementary Information

Model performance

Table 1. Overall model performance

Forecast horizon	Region	MAE	MAPE	Sharpness (MAD)	Percent of true values between 25th and 75th percentile	Percent of true values n between 5th and 95th percentile	Percent of true values between maximum and minimum projections
1	Brazil	145285.40	2.70	159819.80	42.90	88.60	100.00
2	Brazil	178504.30	3.30	179440.10	32.90	84.30	95.70
3	Brazil	214628.20	3.90	196402.80	31.40	82.90	90.50
4	Brazil	256611.80	4.60	216821.20	29.30	77.10	85.70
1	Chile	14470.40	2.50	11584.10	34.30	91.40	100.00
2	Chile	17819.80	3.00	12069.50	27.10	85.70	100.00
3	Chile	21275.50	3.50	12508.00	24.80	76.20	100.00
4	Chile	24957.10	4.10	13152.70	23.60	67.10	95.00
1	Peru	8377.70	1.00	19128.70	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Peru	11987.40	1.30	20642.30	91.40	100.00	100.00
3	Peru	16811.60	1.80	22294.50	74.30	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	22965.00	2.40	24201.60	65.70	95.00	100.00
1	South Africa	14345.40	1.50	15621.90	51.40	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	16949.90	1.80	16640.20	61.40	91.40	100.00
3	South Africa	25122.10	2.50	18231.80	56.20	87.60	100.00
4	South Africa	36769.40	3.40	20348.80	47.10	78.60	94.30
1	Limpopo	1462.50	4.20	547.10	60.00	60.00	74.30
2	Limpopo	1359.50	4.30	676.90	62.90	68.60	77.10
3	Limpopo	1784.40	5.60	837.70	60.00	71.40	78.10
4	Limpopo	2643.70	7.80	925.10	51.10	70.10	76.60
1	Northern Cape	542.40	3.10	524.90	62.90	77.10	97.10
2	Northern Cape	711.90	4.00	609.10	48.60	64.30	81.40
3	Northern Cape	952.90	5.00	708.00	45.70	56.20	67.60
4	Northern Cape	1258.70	6.30	767.50	43.10	51.10	59.90
1	North West	1115.50	2.70	714.70	45.70	80.00	88.60
2	North West	1314.80	3.20	837.90	42.90	71.40	84.30
3	North West	1482.00	3.70	953.90	48.60	67.60	76.20
4	North West	1822.50	4.50	999.40	50.40	65.00	71.50

Table 2. Model performance at different time points.

Forecast hori-zon	Region	Calibration date	MAE	MAPE	Sharpness (MAD)	Percent of true values between 25th and 75th percentile	Percent of true values n between 5th and 95th percentile	Percent of true values between maximum and minimum projections
1	Brazil	2020-09-08	198553.50	4.60	86391.50	0.00	42.90	100.00
2	Brazil	2020-09-08	271178.60	6.10	96282.90	0.00	21.40	78.60
3	Brazil	2020-09-08	354965.20	7.80	106962.60	0.00	14.30	52.40
4	Brazil	2020-09-08	446591.10	9.50	116356.60	0.00	10.70	39.30
1	Brazil	2020-10-13	200928.60	3.90	118789.90	0.00	100.00	100.00
2	Brazil	2020-10-13	223836.30	4.20	124242.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
3	Brazil	2020-10-13	249674.10	4.60	135053.40	0.00	100.00	100.00
4	Brazil	2020-10-13	270493.40	4.90	148113.70	0.00	100.00	100.00
1	Brazil	2020-11-04	98213.90	1.70	127708.70	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Brazil	2020-11-04	150545.80	2.60	140312.60	57.10	100.00	100.00
3	Brazil	2020-11-04	211105.20	3.60	146982.20	38.10	100.00	100.00
4	Brazil	2020-11-04	284780.80	4.70	153138.40	28.60	75.00	89.30
1	Brazil	2020-12-14	196628.60	2.70	147524.50	14.30	100.00	100.00
2	Brazil	2020-12-14	223663.00	3.10	177999.00	7.10	100.00	100.00
3	Brazil	2020-12-14	237313.80	3.20	205022.40	19.00	100.00	100.00
4	Brazil	2020-12-14	263705.90	3.50	237683.80	17.90	100.00	100.00
1	Brazil	2021-01-12	32102.60	0.40	318684.20	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Brazil	2021-01-12	23298.00	0.30	358363.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Brazil	2021-01-12	20082.50	0.20	387993.30	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Brazil	2021-01-12	17487.70	0.20	428813.60	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	Chile	2020-09-08	8877.90	2.00	6917.50	0.00	100.00	100.00
2	Chile	2020-09-08	11315.50	2.60	7354.50	0.00	100.00	100.00
3	Chile	2020-09-08	13900.60	3.10	7656.50	0.00	81.00	100.00
4	Chile	2020-09-08	16963.60	3.70	8012.50	0.00	60.70	82.10
1	Chile	2020-10-13	5428.10	1.10	6361.50	71.40	100.00	100.00
2	Chile	2020-10-13	7156.60	1.40	6494.90	35.70	100.00	100.00
3	Chile	2020-10-13	8847.60	1.80	6687.80	23.80	100.00	100.00
4	Chile	2020-10-13	10637.40	2.10	6817.20	17.90	100.00	100.00
1	Chile	2020-11-04	4905.50	0.90	13627.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Chile	2020-11-04	5874.90	1.10	14816.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Chile	2020-11-04	6865.90	1.30	16122.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Chile	2020-11-04	7992.30	1.50	17662.20	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	Chile	2020-12-14	15748.00	2.70	9973.40	0.00	100.00	100.00
2	Chile	2020-12-14	19508.30	3.30	10043.20	0.00	100.00	100.00
3	Chile	2020-12-14	24046.40	4.00	9961.10	0.00	81.00	100.00
4	Chile	2020-12-14	30005.30	4.90	10211.80	0.00	60.70	92.90
1	Chile	2021-01-12	37392.40	5.60	21040.30	0.00	57.10	100.00
2	Chile	2021-01-12	45243.90	6.60	21639.00	0.00	28.60	100.00
3	Chile	2021-01-12	52717.20	7.50	22112.10	0.00	19.00	100.00
4	Chile	2021-01-12	59187.20	8.30	23059.90	0.00	14.30	100.00
1	Peru	2020-09-08	7062.70	1.00	17791.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	peru	2020-09-08	13105.20	1.70	19989.90	85.70	100.00	100.00
3	Peru	2020-09-08	20023.00	2.60	21574.60	57.10	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	2020-09-08	30146.60	3.80	24286.90	42.90	100.00	100.00
1	Peru	2020-10-13	20338.60	2.30	27241.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Peru	2020-10-13	25354.20	2.90	29797.10	100.00	100.00	100.00

3	Peru	2020-10-13	30931.90	3.50	32880.20	66.70	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	2020-10-13	36204.00	4.00	35779.60	50.00	100.00	100.00
1	Peru	2020-11-04	6822.10	0.70	20618.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Peru	2020-11-04	7315.60	0.80	21484.40	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Peru	2020-11-04	7927.40	0.80	22567.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	2020-11-04	8293.60	0.90	23753.10	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	Peru	2020-12-14	2555.80	0.30	13161.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Peru	2020-12-14	1490.50	0.20	13547.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Peru	2020-12-14	2097.30	0.20	13849.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	2020-12-14	3923.50	0.40	14236.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	Peru	2021-01-12	5109.40	0.50	16829.10	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Peru	2021-01-12	12671.30	1.20	18392.90	71.40	100.00	100.00
3	Peru	2021-01-12	23078.10	2.10	20599.80	47.60	100.00	100.00
4	Peru	2021-01-12	36257.10	3.20	22951.70	35.70	75.00	100.00
1	South Africa	2020-09-08	2476.50	0.40	2929.50	85.70	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	2020-09-08	2425.80	0.40	2934.00	71.40	100.00	100.00
3	South Africa	2020-09-08	4146.80	0.60	3016.80	47.60	100.00	100.00
4	South Africa	2020-09-08	6589.50	1.00	3152.80	35.70	75.00	85.70
1	South Africa	2020-10-13	12150.00	1.70	12191.60	42.90	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	2020-10-13	11204.80	1.60	13698.30	71.40	100.00	100.00
3	South Africa	2020-10-13	10329.10	1.50	14996.20	81.00	100.00	100.00
4	South Africa	2020-10-13	9416.40	1.30	16340.30	85.70	100.00	100.00
1	South Africa	2020-11-04	1985.60	0.30	18154.30	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	2020-11-04	5104.50	0.70	19135.40	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	South Africa	2020-11-04	9531.30	1.30	20456.10	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	South Africa	2020-11-04	15210.40	2.00	21995.10	75.00	100.00	100.00
1	South Africa	2020-12-14	29367.60	3.20	18618.90	14.30	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	2020-12-14	47809.80	5.00	21585.20	7.10	57.10	100.00
3	South Africa	2020-12-14	69065.50	6.80	24740.20	4.80	38.10	100.00
4	South Africa	2020-12-14	97055.40	8.90	28619.90	3.60	28.60	85.70
1	South Africa	2021-01-12	25747.10	2.00	26215.20	14.30	100.00	100.00
2	South Africa	2021-01-12	18204.60	1.40	25848.00	57.10	100.00	100.00
3	South Africa	2021-01-12	32537.90	2.30	27949.80	47.60	100.00	100.00
4	South Africa	2021-01-12	55575.40	3.80	31635.80	35.70	89.30	100.00
1	Limpopo	2020-09-08	171.30	1.20	326.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Limpopo	2020-09-08	114.60	0.80	327.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Limpopo	2020-09-08	182.20	1.20	329.90	95.20	100.00	100.00
4	Limpopo	2020-09-08	299.70	2.00	339.50	71.40	100.00	100.00
1	Limpopo	2020-10-13	82.90	0.50	434.30	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Limpopo	2020-10-13	65.30	0.40	506.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Limpopo	2020-10-13	65.50	0.40	586.20	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Limpopo	2020-10-13	112.00	0.60	679.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	Limpopo	2020-11-04	381.00	2.10	567.20	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Limpopo	2020-11-04	503.50	2.80	643.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Limpopo	2020-11-04	653.00	3.60	731.10	85.70	100.00	100.00
4	Limpopo	2020-11-04	810.60	4.40	820.70	64.30	100.00	100.00
1	Limpopo	2020-12-14	848.80	4.30	175.00	0.00	0.00	28.60
2	Limpopo	2020-12-14	1765.90	8.20	187.80	0.00	0.00	14.30
3	Limpopo	2020-12-14	3387.20	13.90	194.00	0.00	0.00	9.50
4	Limpopo	2020-12-14	6228.50	21.10	202.10	0.00	0.00	7.10
1	Limpopo	2021-01-12	5828.70	12.80	1232.00	0.00	0.00	42.90
2	Limpopo	2021-01-12	4348.40	9.10	1720.00	14.30	42.90	71.40

3	Limpopo	2021-01-12	4634.10	9.10	2347.10	19.00	57.10	81.00
4	Limpopo	2021-01-12	6142.80	11.40	2782.60	16.00	48.00	76.00
1	Northern Cape	2020-09-08	1218.10	9.30	416.10	0.00	0.00	85.70
2	Northern Cape	2020-09-08	1602.60	11.40	447.00	0.00	0.00	57.10
3	Northern Cape	2020-09-08	2086.60	13.90	514.70	0.00	0.00	38.10
4	Northern Cape	2020-09-08	2683.00	16.90	606.30	0.00	0.00	28.60
1	Northern Cape	2020-10-13	401.20	2.00	590.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Northern Cape	2020-10-13	516.20	2.50	657.10	85.70	100.00	100.00
3	Northern Cape	2020-10-13	502.50	2.40	724.20	90.50	100.00	100.00
4	Northern Cape	2020-10-13	417.20	2.00	800.10	92.90	100.00	100.00
1	Northern Cape	2020-11-04	383.60	1.70	327.30	57.10	100.00	100.00
2	Northern Cape	2020-11-04	582.50	2.60	374.70	28.60	78.60	92.90
3	Northern Cape	2020-11-04	779.60	3.40	408.30	19.00	52.40	61.90
4	Northern Cape	2020-11-04	961.50	4.20	443.20	14.30	39.30	46.40
1	Northern Cape	2020-12-14	255.80	1.10	237.50	57.10	85.70	100.00
2	Northern Cape	2020-12-14	517.90	2.10	243.00	28.60	42.90	57.10
3	Northern Cape	2020-12-14	872.50	3.50	251.40	19.00	28.60	38.10
4	Northern Cape	2020-12-14	1408.50	5.40	258.50	14.30	21.40	28.60
1	Northern Cape	2021-01-12	453.50	1.50	1052.60	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	Northern Cape	2021-01-12	340.40	1.10	1323.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	Northern Cape	2021-01-12	523.00	1.70	1641.40	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	Northern Cape	2021-01-12	771.20	2.40	1844.60	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	North West	2020-09-08	524.70	1.90	371.90	28.60	100.00	100.00
2	North West	2020-09-08	813.30	2.90	392.20	14.30	57.10	100.00
3	North West	2020-09-08	1118.10	3.90	404.00	9.50	38.10	66.70
4	North West	2020-09-08	1434.50	5.00	412.70	7.10	28.60	50.00
1	North West	2020-10-13	345.90	1.10	781.80	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	North West	2020-10-13	473.10	1.50	915.10	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	North West	2020-10-13	530.40	1.60	1039.30	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	North West	2020-10-13	524.70	1.60	1137.60	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	North West	2020-11-04	162.10	0.50	398.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
2	North West	2020-11-04	121.10	0.40	454.30	100.00	100.00	100.00
3	North West	2020-11-04	200.00	0.60	509.70	100.00	100.00	100.00
4	North West	2020-11-04	324.20	0.90	575.10	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	North West	2020-12-14	1012.40	2.80	210.70	0.00	0.00	42.90
2	North West	2020-12-14	1786.80	4.70	226.30	0.00	0.00	21.40
3	North West	2020-12-14	2835.70	7.20	240.10	0.00	0.00	14.30
4	North West	2020-12-14	4416.50	10.50	251.40	0.00	0.00	10.70
1	North West	2021-01-12	3532.20	7.00	1810.20	0.00	100.00	100.00
2	North West	2021-01-12	3379.80	6.50	2201.40	0.00	100.00	100.00
3	North West	2021-01-12	2726.00	5.20	2576.20	33.30	100.00	100.00
4	North West	2021-01-12	2483.60	4.70	2814.40	44.00	100.00	100.00

Model parameters

Table 3. Model parameter values used in the model calibration for all the regions and calibration time points. Time-varying parameters have a corresponding start date. Delta column shows the range within which we allowed the parameters to vary. Fixed parameters have delta value equivalent to zero. Parameters that have blank values for some calibration time points indicate that those parameters were not part of the simulation model.For instance ddf and hdf were not parameters during model calibration for the first time point across all the regions.

		2020/09/08		2020/10/13		2020/11/04		2020/12/14		2021/01/12			
Region	Parameter	Value	Start date	Value	Start date	Value	Start date	Value	Start date	Value	Start date	Delta	Reference
Brazil	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	b_b	0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.10	Assumption
Brazil	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
Brazil	d_1	0.01		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_2	0.021		0.003		0.003		0.003		0.003		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_m	0.21		0.008		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_m	0.25	2020-04-15			0.008	2020-06-01	0.008	2020-06-01	0.008	2020-06-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_m	0.25	2020-05-20			0.011	2020-07-20	0.011	2020-07-20	0.011	2020-07-20	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_m									0.013	2020-11-15	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	ddf			0.1		0.1		0.1		0.1		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	δ_{su}	0.03		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.25	WHO mission
Brazil	δ_{su}	0.021	2020-05-01									0.25	WHO mission
Brazil	δ_{su}	0.013	2020-07-15									0.25	WHO mission
Brazil	δ_c	0.04		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.25	[34]
Brazil	δ_c	0.032	2020-05-01									0.25	[34]
Brazil	δ_c	0.017	2020-07-15									0.25	[34]
Brazil	δ_h	0.03		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.25	[34]
Brazil	δ_h	0.023	2020-05-01									0.25	[34]
Brazil	δ_h	0.013	2020-07-15									0.25	[34]
Brazil	η_u	0.15		0.27		0.27		0.27		0.27		0.25	[35–40]
Brazil	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	hdf			0.25		0.22		0.22		0.22		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	hdf					0.25	2020-06-01	0.25	2020-06-01	0.25	2020-06-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	hdf							0.5	2020-10-15	0.5	2020-10-15	0.25	Assumption

Brazil	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
Brazil	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
Brazil	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	[34]
Brazil	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Brazil	ρ	0.12		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.25	[34]
Brazil	θ	0.02		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.25	[35,37,42]
Brazil	FOIadjust	1		1.2		1.2		1.2		1.2		0.00	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust	0.61	2020-03-16	0.32	2020-04-05	0.3	2020-04-10	0.3	2020-04-10	0.3	2020-04-10	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust	0.36	2020-04-15	0.3	2020-05-01	0.26	2020-05-01	0.26	2020-05-01	0.26	2020-05-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust	0.18	2020-05-20	0.21	2020-06-01	0.18	2020-06-01	0.18	2020-06-01	0.18	2020-06-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust			0.24	2020-07-01	0.23	2020-07-01	0.23	2020-07-01	0.23	2020-07-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust			0.2	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust							0.29	2020-10-20	0.34	2020-11-01	0.25	Assumption
Brazil	FOIadjust									0.3	2020-12-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Chile	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Chile	b_b	0.49		0.49		0.49		0.49		0.49		0.10	Assumption
Chile	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Chile	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
Chile	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Chile	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Chile	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
Chile	d_1	0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01		0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_2	0.021		0.021		0.021		0.021		0.021		0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_m	0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_m	0.13	2020-06-01	0.12	2020-06-01	0.12	2020-06-01	0.12	2020-06-01	0.12	2020-06-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_m	0.07	2020-07-01	0.059	2020-07-01	0.06	2020-07-01	0.06	2020-07-01	0.06	2020-07-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_m			0.062	2020-08-15	0.07	2020-08-15	0.07	2020-08-15	0.07	2020-08-15	0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_m									0.09	2020-12-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	d_s	0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	Assumption
Chile	ddf			0.1		0.1		0.1		0.1		0.25	Assumption
Chile	ddf									0.15	2020-12-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Chile	δ_{su}	0.009		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.25	WHO mission
Chile	δ_{su}	0.0067	2020-04-20									0.25	WHO mission
Chile	δ_c	0.03		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.25	[34]
Chile	δ_c	0.02	2020-04-20									0.25	[34]

Chile	δ_h	0.02		0.015		0.015		0.015		0.015		0.25	[34]
Chile	δ_h	0.013	2020-04-20									0.25	[34]
Chile	η_u	0.09		0.33		0.33		0.33		0.33		0.25	[35–40]
Chile	η_u									0.37	2020-12-01	0.25	[35–40]
Chile	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Chile	hdf			0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	Assumption
Chile	hdf									0.5	2020-12-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
Chile	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
Chile	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	[34]
Chile	r_h									0.37	2020-07-01	0.25	[34]
Chile	r_h									0.4	2020-12-01	0.25	[34]
Chile	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Chile	ρ	0.12		0.13		0.13		0.13		0.13		0.25	[34]
Chile	θ	0.02		0.025		0.025		0.025		0.025		0.25	[35, 37, 42]
Chile	FOIadjust	1		1.2		1.4		1.4		1.4		0.00	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust	0.62	2020-03-23	0.41	2020-04-01	0.49	2020-03-25	0.49	2020-03-25	0.49	2020-03-25	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust	0.48	2020-04-15	0.4	2020-05-12	0.44	2020-04-15	0.44	2020-04-15	0.44	2020-04-15	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust	0.29	2020-05-15	0.19	2020-06-01	0.4	2020-05-14	0.4	2020-05-14	0.4	2020-05-14	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust	0.18	2020-06-01			0.19	2020-06-01	0.19	2020-06-01	0.19	2020-06-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust	0.16	2020-06-15			0.21	2020-08-15	0.21	2020-08-15	0.21	2020-08-15	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust							0.23	2020-10-01	0.23	2020-10-01	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust							0.21	2020-10-15	0.21	2020-10-15	0.25	Assumption
Chile	FOIadjust									0.25	2020-11-20	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	b_b	0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.10	Assumption
Limpopo	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
Limpopo	d_1	0.01		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	d_2	0.021		0.0003		0.0003		0.0003		0.0003		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	d_m	0.21		0.0008		0.0008		0.0008		0.0008		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	d_m	0.16	2020-05-01									0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	d_m	0.15	2020-08-15									0.25	Assumption

Limpopo	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	ddf			0.1		0.1		0.1		0.1		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	$\delta_h adjust$	1		0.92		0.92		0.92		0.92		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	$\delta_h adjust$	0.38	2020-05-15									0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	δ_{su}	0.004		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.25	WHO mission
Limpopo	δ_c	0.04		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.25	[34]
Limpopo	δ_h	0.03		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.25	[34]
Limpopo	η_u	0.18		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	[35–40]
Limpopo	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	hdf			0.2		0.2		0.2		0.2		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
Limpopo	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
Limpopo	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	[34]
Limpopo	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	ρ	0.12		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.25	[34]
Limpopo	θ	0.2		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.25	[35,37,42]
Limpopo	FOIadjust	1		1.3		1.3		1.3		1.3		0.00	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.4	2020-04-01	1	2020-04-11	1	2020-04-11	1.3		1.3		0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.77	2020-04-20	0.82	2020-04-20	0.82	2020-04-20	1	2020-04-11	1	2020-04-11	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.8	2020-06-15	1	2020-06-15	1	2020-06-15	0.82	2020-04-20	0.82	2020-04-20	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.7	2020-07-01	0.8	2020-07-01	0.8	2020-07-01	1	2020-06-15	1	2020-06-15	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.5	2020-07-15	0.48	2020-07-15	0.48	2020-07-15	0.8	2020-07-01	0.8	2020-07-01	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.24	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.48	2020-07-15	0.48	2020-07-15	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust	0.2	2020-08-15	0.45	2020-09-01	0.45	2020-09-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.21	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust							0.45	2020-09-01	0.45	2020-09-01	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust							0.35	2020-10-11	0.35	2020-10-11	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust									1	2020-11-15	0.25	Assumption
Limpopo	FOIadjust									1	2020-12-15	0.25	Assumption
North West	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
North West	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
North West	b_b	0.22		0.22		0.22		0.22		0.22		0.10	Assumption

North West	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
North West	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
North West	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
North West	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
North West	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
North West	d_1	0.01		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
North West	d_2	0.021		0.0005		0.0005		0.0005		0.0005		0.25	Assumption
North West	d_m	0.21		0.002		0.002		0.002		0.002		0.25	Assumption
North West	d_m	0.21	2020-05-15									0.25	Assumption
North West	d_m	0.3	2020-06-01									0.25	Assumption
North West	d_m	0.08	2020-08-01									0.25	Assumption
North West	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
North West	ddf			0.13		0.13		0.13		0.13		0.25	Assumption
North West	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
North West	$\delta_h adjust$	0.3	2020-05-15									0.25	Assumption
North West	δ_{su}	0.004		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.25	WHO mission
North West	δ_c	0.021		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.25	[34]
North West	δ_h	0.013		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.25	[34]
North West	δ_h	0.013	2020-07-01									0.25	[34]
North West	δ_h	0.021	2020-08-01										[34]
North West	η_u	0.18		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25			[35–40]
North West	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
North West	hdf			0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	Assumption
North West	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
North West	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
North West	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	[34]
North West	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
North West	ρ	0.12		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.25	[34]
North West	θ	0.2		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.25	[35, 37, 42]

North West	FOIadjust	1		1.3		1.3		1.3		1.3		0.00	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust	0.88	2020-05-10	0.95	2020-06-25	0.95	2020-06-25	0.95	2020-06-25	0.95	2020-06-25	0.25	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust	0.82	2020-06-13	0.35	2020-07-08	0.35	2020-07-08	0.35	2020-07-08	0.35	2020-07-08	0.25	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust	0.56	2020-07-20	0.27	2020-08-01	0.27	2020-08-01	0.27	2020-08-01	0.27	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust	0.25	2020-08-01	0.53	2020-08-18	0.58	2020-08-21	0.58	2020-08-21	0.58	2020-08-21	0.25	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust							0.4	2020-10-10	0.4	2020-10-10	0.25	Assumption
North West	FOIadjust									1.1	2020-11-15	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	b_b	0.24		0.24		0.24		0.24		0.24		0.10	Assumption
Northern Cape	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
Northern Cape	d_1	0.01		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_2	0.021		0.0002		0.0002		0.0002		0.0002		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_2	0.1	2020-07-01									0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_m	0.21		0.0008		0.0008		0.0008		0.0008		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_m	0.5	2020-07-01					0.0015	2020-08-01	0.0015	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_m	0.3	2020-08-01									0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	ddf			0.2		0.2		0.2		0.2		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption

Northern Cape	$\delta_h adjust$	0.55	2020-05-22									0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	δ_{su}	0.004		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.0035		0.25	WHO mission
Northern Cape	δ_c	0.02		0.023		0.023		0.027		0.027		0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	δ_h	0.02		0.0025		0.0025		0.003		0.0035		0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	δ_h									0.003	2020-09-01	0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	δ_h									0.0035	2020-11-15	0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	η_u	0.18		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25		0.25	[35–40]
Northern Cape	η_u									0.2	2020-11-15	0.25	[35–40]
Northern Cape	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Northern Cape	hdf			0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
Northern Cape	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
Northern Cape	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.32		0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	r_h									0.44	2020-09-01	0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	ρ	0.12		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.25	[34]
Northern Cape	θ	0.2		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.25	[35, 37, 42]
Northern Cape	FOIadjust	1		1		1		1		1		0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust	0.15	2020-04-02	0.85	2020-05-15	0.85	2020-05-15	0.85	2020-05-15	0.85	2020-05-15	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust	0.95	2020-04-27	1	2020-05-28	1	2020-05-28	1	2020-05-28	1	2020-05-28	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust	0.5	2020-07-20	0.59	2020-07-12	0.59	2020-07-12	0.58	2020-07-12	0.58	2020-07-12	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust							0.4	2020-10-10	0.44	2020-10-10	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust									1.2	2020-11-25	0.25	Assumption
Northern Cape	FOIadjust									1.4	2020-12-21	0.25	Assumption
Peru	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Peru	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Peru	b_b	0.71		0.71		0.71		0.71		0.71		0.10	Assumption
Peru	c_{12u}	0.083		0.092		0.092		0.092		0.092		0.25	Assumption
Peru	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.25	Assumption

Peru	c_{1su}	0.083		0.062		0.062		0.062		0.062		0.25	Assumption
Peru	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Peru	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
Peru	d_1	0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01		0.25	Assumption
Peru	d_2	0.021		0.0012		0.0009		0.0009		0.0009		0.25	Assumption
Peru	d_m	0.22		0.0032		0.002		0.002		0.002		0.25	Assumption
Peru	d_m	0.2	2020-05-15			0.0018	2020-06-10	0.0018	2020-06-10	0.0018	2020-06-10	0.25	Assumption
Peru	d_m	0.18	2020-07-15			0.03	2020-07-25	0.03	2020-07-25	0.03	2020-07-25	0.25	Assumption
Peru	d_m									0.024	2020-11-01	0.26	Assumption
Peru	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
Peru	ddf			0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Peru	ddf					0.5	2020-07-25	0.5	2020-07-25	0.5	2020-07-25	0.25	Assumption
Peru	ddf									0.4	2020-11-01	0.26	Assumption
Peru	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
Peru	δ_{su}	0.014		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.25	WHO mission
Peru	δ_{su}	0.02	2020-07-16									0.25	[34]
Peru	δ_c	0.035		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.035		0.25	[34]
Peru	δ_c	0.05	2020-07-16									0.25	[34]
Peru	δ_h	0.02		0.015		0.015		0.015		0.015		0.25	[34]
Peru	δ_h	0.035	2020-07-16									0.25	[34]
Peru	η_u	0.09		0.33		0.33		0.33		0.33		0.25	[35–40]
Peru	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
Peru	hdf			0.7		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.25	Assumption
Peru	hdf					0.7	2020-07-25	0.7	2020-07-25	0.7	2020-07-25	0.25	Assumption
Peru	hdf									0.6	2020-11-01	0.26	Assumption
Peru	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
Peru	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
Peru	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.35		0.25	[34]
Peru	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
Peru	ρ	0.12		0.13		0.13		0.13		0.13		0.25	[34]
Peru	θ	0.02		0.025		0.025		0.025		0.025		0.25	[35, 37, 42]
Peru	FOIadjust	1		1		1.8		1.8		1.8		0.00	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.28	2020-03-31	0.25	2020-04-15	0.5	2020-03-25	0.5	2020-03-25	0.5	2020-03-25	0.00	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.28	2020-04-15	0.17	2020-05-01	0.18	2020-04-15	0.18	2020-04-15	0.18	2020-04-15	0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.25	2020-05-01	0.3	2020-05-15	0.15	2020-06-10	0.15	2020-06-10	0.15	2020-06-10	0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.14	2020-05-15	0.12	2020-05-25					0.19	2020-11-01	0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.13	2020-06-01	0.22	2020-07-01							0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.12	2020-06-15	0.24	2020-07-15							0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.14	2020-07-01	0.12	2020-08-15							0.25	Assumption

Peru	FOIadjust	0.18	2020-07-15	0.2	2020-09-01							0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.16	2020-08-15									0.25	Assumption
Peru	FOIadjust	0.14	2020-09-01									0.25	Assumption
South Africa	a_{1d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{1u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{2d}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{2u}	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{md}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{sd}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	a_{su}	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	b_b	0.52		0.52		0.52		0.52		0.52		0.10	Assumption
South Africa	c_{12u}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	c_{1mu}	0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.39		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	c_{1su}	0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.083		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	c_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	c_{e1u}	0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.29		0.10	[30]
South Africa	d_1	0.01		0.0001		0.0001		0.0001		0.0001		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_2	0.021		0.00046		0.00046		0.00046		0.00046		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_2	0.04	2020-07-01									0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_2	0.01	2020-07-25									0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_m	0.25		0.0011		0.005		0.005		0.005		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_m	0.32	2020-05-01			0.0031	2020-05-05	0.0031	2020-05-05	0.0031	2020-05-05	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_m	0.5	2020-07-01			0.0008	2020-08-01	0.0008	2020-07-15	0.0008	2020-07-15	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_m	0.1	2020-07-25					0.002	2020-08-01	0.002	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_m	0.05	2020-08-01					0.0032	2020-09-15	0.0032	2020-09-15	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	d_s	0.25		0		0		0		0		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	ddf			0.2		0.2		0.2		0.2		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	ddf					0.12	2020-08-01	0.12	2020-08-01	0.12	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	$\delta_h adjust$	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption

South Africa	$\delta_h adjust$	0.44	2020-04-30			0.9	2020-06-15	0.9	2020-06-15	0.9	2020-06-15	0.00	Assumption
South Africa	δ_{su}	0.0075		0.0022		0.0022		0.0022		0.0022		0.25	WHO mission
South Africa	δ_c	0.04		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.023		0.25	[34]
South Africa	δ_h	0.02		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.0025		0.25	[34]
South Africa	η_u	0.18		0.2		0.2		0.2		0.2		0.25	[35–40]
South Africa	h_{ceil}	1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		1E+10		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	hdf			0.28		0.32		0.32		0.32		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	hdf					0.17	2020-08-01	0.17	2020-08-01	0.17	2020-08-01	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	r_{2u}	0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.097		0.25	[41]
South Africa	r_{mu}	0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.096		0.10	[41]
South Africa	r_h	0.35		0.35		0.28		0.28		0.28		0.25	[34]
South Africa	r_h							0.33	2020-09-01	0.33	2020-09-01	0.26	[34]
South Africa	r_p	0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.3		0.25	Assumption
South Africa	ρ	0.12		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.19		0.25	[34]
South Africa	ρ							0.25	2020-09-01	0.25	2020-09-01		
South Africa	θ	0.2		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.022		0.25	[35, 37, 42]
South Africa	FOIadjust	1		1		1		1		1		0.00	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust	0.32	2020-03-31	0.35	2020-04-20	0.35	2020-04-20	0.35	2020-04-20	0.35	2020-04-20	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust	0.4	2020-05-05	0.4	2020-05-15	0.36	2020-05-15	0.36	2020-05-15	0.36	2020-05-15	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust	0.28	2020-06-14	0.35	2020-06-21	0.34	2020-06-15	0.35	2020-06-15	0.35	2020-06-15	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust	0.11	2020-07-30	0.12	2020-07-16	0.18	2020-07-15	0.13	2020-07-20	0.13	2020-07-20	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust			0.25	2020-09-01	0.25	2020-08-25	0.25	2020-09-05	0.25	2020-09-05	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust							0.29	2020-10-01	0.29	2020-10-01	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust							0.45	2020-11-01	0.57	2020-11-10	0.25	Assumption
South Africa	FOIadjust									0.56	2020-12-15	0.25	Assumption

Table 4. Description of model parameters

Parameter	Description
a_{1d}	Relative infectivity of diagnosed, pre-symptomatic people I_{1d}
a_{1u}	Relative infectivity of undiagnosed, pre-symptomatic people I_{1u}
a_{2d}	Relative infectivity of diagnosed, asymptomatic people I_{2d}
a_{2u}	Relative infectivity of undiagnosed, pre-symptomatic people I_{2u}
a_{md}	Relative infectivity of diagnosed people with mild symptoms I_{md}
a_{sd}	Relative infectivity of diagnosed people with severe symptoms I_{sd}
a_{su}	Relative infectivity of undiagnosed people with severe symptoms I_{su}
b_b	Effective contact rate, which encompasses all of the biological and behavioral considerations that influence contacts between individuals that lead to transmission
c_{12u}	Inverse of the average stay in the I_{1u} state for undiagnosed and asymptomatic people
c_{1mu}	Inverse of the average stay in the I_{1u} state for undiagnosed and mildly symptomatic people
c_{1su}	Inverse of the average stay in the I_{1u} state for undiagnosed and severely symptomatic people
c_{ceil}	This is the maximum number of people who can be in critical care facility at a single time step.
c_{e1u}	Inverse of the average stay in the E_u state (undiagnosed and infected but not yet infectious)
d_1	Inverse of the average time for people in the I_{1u} state (pre-symptomatic) until they get diagnosed with coronavirus infection, while still pre-symptomatic
d_2	Inverse of the average time for people in the I_{2u} state (infectious but asymptomatic) until they get diagnosed with coronavirus infection, while still infectious and asymptomatic
d_m	Inverse of the average time for people in the I_{mu} state (mildly symptomatic) until they get diagnosed with coronavirus infection, while still infectious and mildly symptomatic
d_s	Inverse of the average time for people in the I_{su} state (severely symptomatic) until they get diagnosed with coronavirus infection, while still infectious and severely symptomatic
ddf	This is the fraction of deaths that happened outside of the hospital where COVID-19 is identified as the cause of death
δ_{su}	Inverse of average time till death for people with severe symptoms I_{su} who die without any hospitalisation
δ_c	Inverse of average time till death for people who die while admitted to critical care
δ_h	Inverse of average time till death for hospitalised people who die without any stay in critical care
$\delta_h adjust$	This is the factor by which you multiply the δ_h parameter if you want to decrease the rate at a specific point in time
η_u	Inverse of average time till hospitalisation for undiagnosed people with severe symptoms I_{su}
h_{ceil}	The maximum number of people who can be in the hospital at a given time
hdf	The fraction of all people who go to the hospital with COVID-19 that are actually diagnosed with COVID-19
r_{2u}	Inverse of the average stay in the I_{2u} state (asymptomatic, undiagnosed)
r_{mu}	This is the rate at which undiagnosed mildly infected individuals recover
r_h	This is the rate at which hospitalised individuals recover, without going to critical care
r_p	This is the rate at which individuals in the post-critical care ward recover
ρ	This is the rate that those in the critical care ward move to the post-critical care ward
θ	This is the rate that those who are hospitalised move to the critical care ward
FOIadjust	This is the factor by which you multiply the force of infection if you want to decrease the rate at a specific point in time

Model equations

The model is formally represented by a system of differential equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\frac{dS}{dt} = & -\beta(t)\frac{S}{N} \\
\frac{dE_u}{dt} = & \beta(t)\frac{S}{N} - (c_{e1u} + d_e)E_u \\
\frac{dI_{1u}}{dt} = & c_{e1u}E_u - (c_{12u} + c_{1mu} + c_{1su} + d_1)I_{1u} \\
\frac{dI_{2u}}{dt} = & c_{12u}I_{1u} - (r_{2u} + d_2)I_{2u} \\
\frac{dI_{mu}}{dt} = & c_{1mu}I_{1u} - (r_{mu} + d_m)I_{mu} \\
\frac{dI_{su}}{dt} = & c_{1su}I_{1u} - (\eta_u(H) + d_s + \delta_{su}(H))I_{su} \\
\frac{dR_{2u}}{dt} = & r_{2u}I_{2u} \\
\frac{dR_{mu}}{dt} = & r_{mu}I_{mu} \\
\frac{dE_d}{dt} = & d_eE_u - c_{e1u}E_d \\
\frac{dI_{1d}}{dt} = & c_{e1u}E_d + d_1I_{1u} - (c_{12d} + c_{1md} + c_{1sd})I_{1d} \\
\frac{dI_{2d}}{dt} = & c_{12d}I_{1d} + d_2I_{2u} - r_{2d}I_{2d} \\
\frac{dI_{md}}{dt} = & c_{1md}I_{1d} + d_mI_{mu} - r_{md}I_{md} \\
\frac{dI_{sd}}{dt} = & c_{1sd}I_{1d} + d_sI_{su} - (\eta_d(H) + \delta_{sd}(H))I_{sd} \\
\frac{dR_{2d}}{dt} = & r_{2d}I_{2d} \\
\frac{dR_{md}}{dt} = & r_{md}I_{md} \\
\frac{dH}{dt} = & \eta_u(H)I_{su} + \eta_d(H)I_{sd} - (r_h + \delta_h(C) + \theta(C))H \\
\frac{dR_h}{dt} = & r_hH \\
\frac{dD_h}{dt} = & \delta_h(C)H \\
\frac{dC}{dt} = & \theta(C)H - (\rho + \delta_c)C \\
\frac{dP}{dt} = & \rho C - r_pP \\
\frac{dR_c}{dt} = & r_pP \\
\frac{dD_c}{dt} = & \delta_cC \\
\frac{dV}{dt} = & d_eE_u + d_1I_{1u} + d_2I_{2u} + d_mI_{mu} + (\eta_u(H)hdf + d_s + \delta_{su}(H)ddf)I_{su}
\end{cases}
$$

with

$$\beta(t) = b\left(a_{1u}I_{1u} + a_{2u}I_{2u} + I_{mu} + a_{su}I_{su} + a_{1d}I_{1d} + a_{2d}I_{2d} + a_{md}I_{md} + a_{sd}I_{sd}\right)$$

and V representing the number of confirmed cases.

Hospital and critical care capacity limitations

The capacity for hospitals and critical care units to admit patients is not unlimited in South Africa - as in most countries. Defining v as the hospital capacity limit, the effective rate of hospitalisation is: ηH while $H < v$. When H starts to exceed v, it becomes $\eta e^{x\left(1-\frac{H}{v}\right)}$, which is ≈ 0 for large values of x. Similarly, the effective rate of critical care admission is: θC while $C < w$. When C starts to exceed w, it becomes $\theta e^{x\left(1-\frac{C}{w}\right)}$.

Basic reproductive number

The basic reproductive number R_0 is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{bA}{B}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(c_{1su}c_{e1u}d_s\xi_d + \psi c_{1sd}Y_s\right)a_{sd}r_{md}r_{2d}Z_mZ_2 \\ &+ \left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}(\xi_d r_{2d}r_{md}c_{e1u}a_{1u} + ((a_{1d}r_{2d} + a_{2d}c_{12d})r_{md} + a_{md}c_{1md}r_{2d})\,\psi)Z_m \\ +c_{1mu}c_{e1u}d_m\xi_d a_{md}r_{2d} \\ +(a_{2d}d_2 + a_{2u}r_{2d})\xi_d r_{md}c_{12u}c_{e1u}Z_m \\ +a_{su}c_{1su}c_{e1u}\xi_d r_{md}r_{2d}Z_mZ_2\end{array}\right)Z_2\right)Y_s\right)X_d \end{aligned}$$

$$B = r_{2d}r_{md}Z_mZ_2\xi_u\xi_d Y_s X_d W$$

with

$$\begin{cases} X_d = \eta_d + \delta_{sd}, \\ Z_2 = r_{2u} + d_2, \\ Z_m = r_{mu} + d_m, \\ Y_s = \eta_u + d_s + \delta_{su} \\ \xi_u = c_{12u} + c_{1mu} + c_{1su} + d_1, \\ \xi_d = c_{12d} + c_{1md} + c_{1sd} \\ \psi = c_{e_1u}d_1 + d_e\xi_u \\ W = c_{e1u} + d_e \end{cases}$$

Effective reproductive number

The effective reproductive number $R_e(t)$ is given by

$$R_e = \frac{bA(t)}{B(t)}\frac{S(t)}{N(t)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \left(c_{1su}c_{e1u}d_s\xi_d + \psi c_{1sd}Y_s(t)\right)a_{sd}r_{md}r_{2d}Z_mZ_2 \\ &+ \left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}(\xi_d r_{2d}r_{md}c_{e1u}a_{1u} + ((a_{1d}r_{2d} + a_{2d}c_{12d})r_{md} + a_{md}c_{1md}r_{2d})\,\psi)Z_m \\ +c_{1mu}c_{e1u}d_m\xi_d a_{md}r_{2d} \\ +(a_{2d}d_2 + a_{2u}r_{2d})\xi_d r_{md}c_{12u}c_{e1u}Z_m \\ +a_{su}c_{1su}c_{e1u}\xi_d r_{md}r_{2d}Z_mZ_2\end{array}\right)Z_2\right)Y_s(t)\right)X_d(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$B(t) = r_{2d}r_{md}Z_mZ_2\xi_u\xi_d X_d W Y_s(t)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} X_d(t) = \eta_d(H(t)) + \delta_{sd}(H(t)), \\ Y_s(t) = \eta_u(H(t)) + d_s + \delta_{su}(H(t)) \end{cases}$$