

1 **Perceptions towards mask use in school children during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic:**  
2 **the Ciao Corona Study**

3  
4 Priska Ammann MSc<sup>†\*</sup>, Agne Ulyte MD PhD<sup>†\*</sup>, Sarah R Haile PhD, Milo A Puhan MD PhD,  
5 Susi Kriemler MD<sup>†#</sup>, Thomas Radtke PhD<sup>†#</sup>

6  
7 Department of Epidemiology, Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute (EBPI),  
8 University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zürich, Switzerland

9

10

11 Total word count: 2'885

12

13 <sup>†\*</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

14 <sup>†#</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Corresponding author**

23 Thomas Radtke, PhD

24 Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute (EBPI)

25 University of Zurich

26 Hirschengraben 84

27 8001 Zürich, Switzerland

28 Tel: +41 44 634 63 82

29 E-mail: [thomas.radtke@uzh.ch](mailto:thomas.radtke@uzh.ch)

30

31 **ABSTRACT**

32 **Background:** Mask wearing contributes to the reduction of transmission of severe acute  
33 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). In Switzerland, compulsory use of masks  
34 was introduced in indoor public spaces and later in schools. In the canton of Zurich, masks were  
35 introduced for secondary school children (grades 7-9) from November 2020, and for primary  
36 school children (grades 4-6) from February 2021– along with other protective measures against  
37 SARS-CoV-2. This study explored perceptions towards the usefulness of masks in school and  
38 public in a cohort of children and adolescents in the canton of Zurich, Switzerland, in January –  
39 May 2021.

40  
41 **Methods:** School children aged 10 to 17 years enrolled in *Ciao Corona*, a prospective school-  
42 based cohort study, responded to nested online surveys between January 12 to March 24 2021  
43 (Q1) and March 10 to May 16 2021 (Q2). Secondary school children were surveyed at Q1 and  
44 Q2, and primary school children at Q2 only. Surveys for parents and their children included  
45 questions on children’s perception of the usefulness of masks and mask wearing behavior.  
46 Associations between perceived usefulness of masks and child’s school level, gender, and  
47 parents’ educational attainment were analyzed with Pearson’s and McNemar’s chi-squared tests.  
48 Free-text comments provided by children were classified into categories of expressed attitude  
49 towards mask wearing.

50  
51 **Results:** 595 (54% girls) and 1118 (52% girls) school children responded to online  
52 questionnaires at Q1 and Q2, respectively. More than half of school children perceived masks to  
53 be useful at school (Q1:60% and Q2:57%) and in public (Q1:69% and Q2:60%). Girls perceived  
54 masks as useful more often than boys (at Q2 at school: 61% *versus* 53%, in public: 64% *versus*  
55 57%), and children of parents with high educational attainment more often than those of parents  
56 with lower educational attainment (at Q2 at school: 61% *versus* 49%, in public: 63% *versus*  
57 54%). There were no differences in the perceived usefulness of masks among children in primary  
58 versus secondary school. At Q1 and Q2 each, about 20% of children provided individual  
59 statements about masks, of which 36% at Q1 and 16% at Q2 reported side-effects and discomfort  
60 such as skin irritations, headache or difficulties breathing during physical education.

61

62 **Conclusion:** Approximately 60% of school children perceived masks at school and in public  
63 places as useful. A small but non-negligible proportion of children reported discomfort and side-  
64 effects that should be considered to ensure high adherence to mask wearing among school  
65 children.

66  
67 Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04448717

68 <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04448717>

69

70 **Keywords:** mask<sub>1</sub>, school<sub>2</sub>, children<sub>3</sub>, SARS-CoV-2<sub>4</sub>, school closure<sub>5</sub>, preventive measures<sub>6</sub>

71

## 72 **CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD STATEMENT**

73

74 Worldwide about 150 countries fully closed their schools at some point during the coronavirus  
75 pandemic, while other countries – such as Switzerland – kept schools open almost all the time.  
76 However, among other protective measures, children in secondary school (aged approximately  
77 14-16 years) had to wear masks since November 2020, and older children in primary school  
78 (aged 11-13 years) – since February 2021.

79 As part of the large study *Ciao Corona* based in schools in Switzerland, we wanted to  
80 learn how children perceive the usefulness of masks in school and public. Children and their  
81 parents completed questionnaires in January-March (595 secondary school children) and March-  
82 May 2021 (1118 secondary and primary school children).

83 We found that about 60% of children perceived masks to be useful at school and in  
84 public. Girls perceived masks as useful more often than boys, and children of parents with  
85 university or college education more often than those of parents with lower education. About 7-  
86 9% of children reported side-effects and discomfort such as skin irritations, headache or  
87 difficulties breathing during physical education. Although side-effects were not frequently  
88 reported, they should be considered to ensure high adherence to mask wearing among school  
89 children.

## 90 INTRODUCTION

91 The contribution of school children to the spread of SARS-CoV-2 remains a controversial  
92 topic. In 2020-2021, policy decisions on schools ranged internationally from a complete closure  
93 over many months to keeping them fully open throughout the pandemic.<sup>1,2</sup> While children rarely  
94 develop severe health outcomes<sup>3-5</sup>, SARS-CoV-2 infection rates among children and  
95 adolescents are generally similar to those in adults.<sup>6,7</sup> However, major outbreaks of clustered  
96 SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools are rare.<sup>7-9</sup> Both the specific characteristics of SARS-CoV-2  
97 infection in children (lower viral load and more frequent asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic  
98 infections) and the effective mitigation measures taken up by schools, including mask wearing of  
99 adults and children, could have contributed to the limited spread in schools.<sup>10-12</sup> Despite this  
100 uncertainty, numerous countries implemented nationwide school closures to confine the  
101 diffusion of SARS-CoV-2 infections.<sup>2,13</sup> Worldwide, about 150 countries fully closed their  
102 schools at some point in 2020-2021, while only a few countries partially closed schools or kept  
103 schools open during the pandemic.<sup>14</sup> In Switzerland, schools were open with preventive  
104 measures in place, except during a 6-week nationwide lockdown from March 16 to May 10,  
105 2020. Subsequently, schools were instructed to implement protective measures (e.g., social  
106 distancing, frequent hand washing, implementation of class bubbles in schools). Wearing masks  
107 became compulsory in public transport and in situations where physical distance (2m, later 1.5m)  
108 could not be maintained from July 2020 for all persons beyond 12 years age. From November  
109 2020 on, masks became compulsory for adults and secondary school children (grade 7 and  
110 higher) in educational settings. From February 2021, wearing of masks was extended to primary  
111 school children in the middle school level (grade 4 and higher).

112 Although masks have been shown to reduce the risk of community SARS-CoV-2  
113 transmission<sup>15-19</sup>, prolonged wearing of masks may be perceived as a burden and can be  
114 associated with potential side effects, such as headaches or skin irritation in adults and  
115 children.<sup>20,21</sup> Willingness to wear masks is influenced<sup>20,21</sup> by perceived benefits and social norms,  
116 and refusal by negative attitudes or side effects.<sup>22,23</sup> To this date, the authors are unaware of any  
117 study investigating the perceived usefulness and attitudes towards mask wearing in children and  
118 adolescents. Hence, this study explored school children's perceptions towards the usefulness of  
119 masks in- and outside the school setting from randomly selected schools in the canton of Zürich,

120 Switzerland. In a second step, the study focused on the potential association between perception  
121 of usefulness and school level (secondary *versus* primary school), gender, and parents'  
122 educational attainment<sup>24</sup>. In a third step, changes of perception over time were documented in  
123 secondary school children.  
124

## 125 **METHODS**

### 126 ***Ciao Corona study***

127  
128 *Ciao Corona* is a prospective cohort study investigating SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in children  
129 and clustering of seropositive children within school classes in the Canton of Zurich,  
130 Switzerland. Details about the study design, main research questions and testing procedures can  
131 be found elsewhere.<sup>7,25</sup> In brief, three testing phases (T1: June/July 2020, T2: October/November  
132 2020, T3: March/April 2021) were completed between June 2020 and April 2021 including  
133 about 2500 school children aged 6 to 16 years longitudinally. At each testing phase, venous  
134 blood samples were taken for SARS-CoV-2 serologic analysis. Seroprevalence in children who  
135 were ever seropositive by T2 was 6.6% (95% credible interval (CrI) 4.0% to 8.9%), and  
136 increased to 16.4% (12.1% to 19.5%) by T3 (March/April 2021).<sup>26</sup> Online questionnaires were  
137 distributed to parents to assess children's symptoms, possible SARS-CoV-2 infections, and  
138 lifestyle. Parents of the participating children were asked to fill in a baseline questionnaire  
139 together with their child. They received further bi-monthly online follow-up questionnaires until  
140 March/May 2021.

141 Questions on mask wearing were asked at two time points: first, in the follow-up  
142 questionnaire in early 2021 (Q1: January 12 to March 24, 2021), and second, in late spring of  
143 2021 (Q2: March 10 to May 16 2021; integrated into a follow-up for participants enrolled earlier,  
144 and the baseline questionnaire for participants enrolled in March-April 2021). Questions  
145 included children's perception of the usefulness of masks in the school setting and in public,  
146 mask wearing practices (i.e., type of mask, storage, and wear time), and an open question for  
147 comments on masks (details in online supplementary material, Table S1). Questions on masks at  
148 Q1 were addressed only to secondary school children (grades 8-9, age 13-17 years), and to both  
149 primary (grades 5-6, age 10-13 years) and secondary school children at Q2 because masks were  
150 compulsory for primary school children of middle school level only from the end of January  
151 2021.

### 152 **Data preparation and analysis**

153

154 A total of 1302 of 2974 (44%) children who participated in at least one of the *Ciao Corona*  
155 testing rounds completed at least one question about masks in at least one of the Q1 and Q2  
156 questionnaires. Variables extracted from the questionnaires were perceived usefulness of masks  
157 (i.e., 5-point Likert scale: 1: not at all useful, 2: somewhat useful 3: neither useful nor useless, 4:  
158 quite useful, and 5: very useful) at school and in public, school level (middle or upper), child's  
159 gender and parents' highest educational attainment as well as residence (urban/rural). For the  
160 analysis, the 5-point Likert scale used for the assessment of perceived mask usefulness was  
161 merged into three categories: "somewhat or not useful" (Likert scale values 1-2), "neutral"  
162 (Likert scale value 3), and "useful" (Likert scale values 4-5). High educational attainment was  
163 defined as at least one parent having at least a technical college or university degree.

164 Two authors (PA, TR) developed six categories for the free-text comments by identifying  
165 homogenous themes in the free text responses: 1) general rejection and frustration; 2) general  
166 acceptance; 3) side effects and discomfort; 4) uncertainty and mistrust; 5) situation-specific  
167 complaints (e.g., during sports); and 6) not categorizable. These two authors independently  
168 categorized free-text responses to the open question on masks into the defined categories.  
169 Discrepancies in categorization were discussed among the two authors and consensus achieved  
170 through discussion.

171 Descriptive statistics included summary of participants' key characteristics (age, gender,  
172 school level, rural or urban residence, and parents' highest educational attainment). Associations  
173 between school level, gender, parents' highest educational attainment and perceived usefulness  
174 of masks were investigated with Pearson's and McNemar's chi-squared tests. In addition, we  
175 examined the differences in perceived usefulness between the two measurement points Q1 and  
176 Q2 on a population (cross-sectional) and individual (longitudinal) levels. Data from Q2 was used  
177 for the main cross-sectional analyses, with data from Q1 presented in the Supplemental material.  
178 Data analysis was performed with R version 4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2020).<sup>27</sup>

179 **RESULTS**

180 **Study population characteristics**

181

182 Participant characteristics are given in Table 1. 595 (54% girls) study participants responded to  
183 at least one question on masks in the online survey at Q1, and 1118 (52% girls) participants at  
184 Q2. At Q2, 596 middle level school children and 522 upper level school children completed the  
185 questionnaire. The majority of children had at least one parent with a Swiss nationality, and at  
186 least one parent with a higher educational attainment (Table 1).

187

188 **Table 1** Participant characteristics

	<b>January – March 2021 (Q1)</b>	<b>April – May 2021 (Q2)</b>
	<b><i>N</i> = 595</b>	<b><i>N</i> = 1118</b>
Age (years)	14.3±0.7	12.8±1.6
Gender, n (% girls)*	320 (54%)	580 (52%)
School location (urban), n (%)	239 (46%)	427 (46%)
RT-PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, n (%)**	21 (4%)	43 (4%)
<b>School level ***</b>		
Middle level (grades 5–6)	-	596 (53%)
Upper level (grades 8–9)	595 (100%)	522 (47%)
<b>Socioeconomic status</b>		
Either parent with Swiss nationality, n (%)	503 (85%)	974 (87%)
Either parent with high educational attainment, n (%)	349 (60%)	783 (71%)

189 Data are mean±standard deviation (SD) or count (%). RT-PCR, real time polymerase chain reaction; SARS-

190 CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

191 \* 3 (Q1) and 2 (Q2) children reported “other” as their gender.

192 \*\*RT-PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection was defined as at least one RT-PCR positive test reported in any  
193 questionnaire by 24 March 2021 (Q1), or by 16 May (Q2).

194 \*\*\*For school children, mask use was compulsory from October 29, 2020, for grade 7 and higher, and from  
195 January 25, 2021, for grade 4 and higher.

196

197 **Perception of mask usefulness**

198


199 At Q1, the majority of children and adolescents perceived masks as useful, both at school (n =  
200 357, 60%) and in public (n = 408, 69%). At Q2, 57% (638) participants perceived masks as  
201 useful at school, and 60% (675) in public. At Q2, masks were perceived as useful at school by  
202 354 (59%) of primary and 284 (55%) of secondary school children ( $p=0.2$ ), and in public by 366  
203 (61%) of primary and 309 (59%) of secondary school children ( $p=0.2$ ). Detailed responses to the  
204 questions of mask usefulness at Q1 and Q2 for both school levels are provided in Supplementary  
205 Table S2.

206 At Q2, girls perceived mask wearing as useful more often than boys both at school (61%  
207 vs 53%,  $p = .018$ ; Figure 1A) and in public (64% vs 57%,  $p = .026$ ); Figure S1). The difference  
208 between girls and boys was similar at Q1 (at school: 63% vs 56%,  $p=.2$ ; in public: 71% vs 67%,  
209  $p=.3$ ; Supplementary Table S3).

210 At Q2, 71% (781 of 1095 with reported information) of participating children had at least  
211 one parent with high educational attainment. Children of parents with higher educational  
212 attainment were more likely to perceive mask wearing as useful at school (61% vs 49%,  $p <$   
213  $0.001$ ; Figure 1B) and in public (63% vs 54%,  $p =0.019$ ; Figure S1). At Q1, the difference was  
214 somewhat smaller for perceived usefulness at school (63% vs 57%,  $p=0.3$ ), and similar to that in  
215 Q2 for usefulness in public (74% vs 63%,  $p=0.026$ ; Supplementary Table S3).

216

217



218  
219 **Figure 1** Comparison of perceived usefulness of mask wearing at school between boys and girls  
220 (A) and according to parents' educational attainment (B) at Q2

221 Two children indicated their gender as "other". Higher educational attainment was defined as at least one  
222 parent having at least a technical college or university degree.

223

#### 224 **Longitudinal comparison among secondary school children between Q1 and Q2**

225

226 From the secondary school children participating at Q1, 75% (446 of 595) answered the question  
227 on perceived usefulness of mask wearing at school and 75% (447 of 595) on mask wearing in  
228 public at Q2. Overall, the proportion of children perceiving masks as useful was smaller at Q2  
229 than at Q1 ( $p_{\text{school}} = 0.06$ ,  $p_{\text{public}} < 0.001$ ; Table 2). 17% of children reported a lower perception of  
230 mask usefulness at school at Q2 than at Q1, and 12% reported a higher perception of mask  
231 usefulness. 23% reported a lower perception of mask usefulness in public at Q2 than at Q1, and  
232 10% reported a higher perception of mask usefulness.

233

234

235 **Table 2** Comparison of perceived usefulness of mask wearing at school (n = 446) and in public  
 236 (n = 447) between secondary school children at Q1 and Q2

<b>School</b>	<b>Q2</b>			<i>p</i> -value*
<b>Q1</b>	Useful	Neutral	Somewhat or not useful	
Useful	206 (46%)	20 (4%)	46 (10%)	0.06
Neutral	13 (3%)	5 (1%)	11 (2%)	
Somewhat or not useful	26 (6%)	14 (3%)	105 (24%)	
<b>Public</b>				
Useful	230 (52%)	21 (5%)	66 (15%)	< 0.001
Neutral	10 (2%)	5 (1%)	14 (3%)	
Somewhat or not useful	23 (5%)	11 (2%)	67 (15%)	

237 \* McNemar's chi-squared test.

238

239 **Free-text comments on masks**

240

241 A total of 120 (20%) children provided a free-text comment about masks at Q1, and 228 (20%)  
 242 at Q2. Children who perceived mask wearing as somewhat or not useful both at school and in  
 243 public were more likely to leave a comment, both at Q1 ( $p_{\text{public}} = 0.02$ ,  $p_{\text{school}} < 0.001$ ), and Q2  
 244 ( $p_{\text{public}} = 0.006$ ,  $p_{\text{school}} = 0.001$ ). At Q1, 95 (80%) comments were categorized as either general or  
 245 situation-specific mask rejection, complaints or mistrust, in comparison to 140 (61%) such  
 246 comments at Q2. Most comments mentioned side effects and discomfort [36% at Q1 (7% of all  
 247 children), 18% at Q2 (4% of all children)] and general rejection/frustration (23% at Q1, 21% at  
 248 Q2). Table 3 shows some examples of comments about masks for each of the six defined  
 249 categories.

250

251 **Table 3** Examples of free-text comments on an open question on mask wearing at Q1 and Q2.

<b>Response category and comment examples*</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>
	<i>N</i> = 120	<i>N</i> = 228
<b>General rejection and frustration</b>	28 (23%)	48 (21%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Masks are annoying”</li> <li>• “Hopefully, there will soon be a life without masks!”</li> <li>• “I hate masks”</li> <li>• “All the students are annoyed by the masks”</li> </ul>		
<b>Uncertainty and mistrust</b>	12 (10%)	10 (4%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Masks make no sense, everything is just fear mongering”</li> <li>• “Completely useless”</li> <li>• “Why do we have to wear masks in class but not in school sports? There, the distance can also not be maintained...”</li> <li>• “Masks are useless against viruses and have no effect on infection!”</li> </ul>		
<b>General acceptance</b>	15 (13%)	37 (16%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “For me, wearing masks in everyday life makes a lot of sense. The only thing that bothers me are people who think it is not important, because they believe they won’t get sick. In school, I find that wearing masks would need to be better controlled, because many do not follow the rules or see each other at lunch without a mask and share food or drinks.”</li> <li>• “They do not look nice, but are currently the least evil, so there is worse.”</li> </ul>		
<b>Side-effects and discomfort</b>	43 (36%)	41 (18%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “I get too little air, so that I have headaches more often.”</li> <li>• “My skin is very unclean. I miss seeing the whole face of people around me.”</li> <li>• “Because of the masks, I have skin rashes and my ears hurt.”</li> <li>• “I think that wearing masks is very bad. You can hardly breathe, and I can concentrate less and less in school. I find this idea very bad, because in your free time children talk to each other without a mask anyway”.</li> </ul>		

<b>Situation-specific complaints</b>	12 (10%)	41 (18%)
• “Very annoying while doing sports!!!!”		
• “It's unbearable in gym class!”		
• “It is very uncomfortable to breathe during sports”		
<b>Not categorizable</b>	10 (8%)	51 (22%)
• “More fabric masks”		
• “No”		

---

252 \*Individual responses were translated from German.

253

### 254 **Mask type, storage and daily wear time**

255

256 The majority of children reported using disposable masks (69% at Q1 and 76% at Q2) or a  
257 combination of disposable and fabric masks (21% at Q1 and 15% at Q2) (see Supplementary  
258 Table S4). When not worn, masks were most commonly carried in a pocket or fastened around  
259 the arm, and less frequently stored in a plastic or fabric bag. Mean reported daily wear times of  
260 masks were about 6 hours for middle level school children, and 7 hours for upper level school  
261 children (Table S4).

## 262 DISCUSSION

263

264 This study explored the perception of the usefulness of masks at school and in public among  
265 children and adolescents from randomly selected schools enrolled in the *Ciao Corona* study,  
266 from January to May 2021 in the canton of Zurich, Switzerland. We found that approximately  
267 60% of participants perceive wearing of masks as useful, both at school and in public. Girls  
268 valued the usefulness of masks higher than boys, and children of at least one parent with a higher  
269 education (i.e., technical college or university degree) perceived masks as more useful compared  
270 to those of parents of lower educational background. Perceived usefulness of wearing masks in  
271 public, but not at school, decreased over time. Among all survey participants, a small proportion  
272 of school children reported general side effects and discomfort (7% at Q1 and 4% at Q2) or  
273 situation-specific complaints (2% at Q1 and 4% at Q2) associated with masks.

274 In this study, we found a 60% acceptance of wearing masks with a large proportion of  
275 school children reporting to perceive them as useful at school (57-60%) and in public (60-69%).  
276 Some survey participants providing free-text comments about masks reported on the necessity of  
277 wearing masks to protect others, and some children even complained about others not wearing  
278 their masks according to school rules. On the other hand, roughly one third of the survey  
279 participants did not perceive masks in school-settings as useful. Among those, participants  
280 provided specific free-text comments about masks significantly more frequently, indicating a  
281 bias towards negative comments. Such comments were sometimes rather non-informative  
282 (“masks are useless”, “I hate masks”), but others pointed towards physical discomfort and side-  
283 effects, such as fatigue, decreased concentration, headaches, or acne. Mandatory wearing of  
284 masks was often criticized for specific situations, especially during physical education classes  
285 but also during breaks.

286 Complaints about masks, particularly of their side-effects, need to be considered on an  
287 individual basis. In settings with potential widespread transmission and where physical distance  
288 of at least one meter cannot be guaranteed, the use of masks for children aged 6 years and older  
289 is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children’s  
290 Fund (UNICEF).<sup>28</sup> As schools were fully open in Switzerland during the SARS-CoV-2  
291 pandemic, except for a 6-week nationwide lockdown, the wearing of masks was introduced for  
292 school children grades 4 and higher along with other protective measures to keep transmission

293 rates as low as possible. Importantly, the current evidence for the use of masks during the SARS-  
294 CoV-2 pandemic in the pediatric population including benefit–harm evaluation is limited.  
295 Laboratory-based experimental studies investigating short-term effects of various types of masks  
296 (e.g., surgical mask, FFP2, or N95 respirators) worn at rest and during exercise on physiological  
297 variables and individuals’ perception of breathing are controversial.<sup>29–31</sup> Long-term studies and  
298 benefit-harm assessments of the burden of masks and other mitigation measures, complete  
299 closures of schools<sup>32–34</sup>, and effect on SARS-CoV-2 transmission mitigation would be needed to  
300 evaluate the role of masks in the pediatric population. Yet, as masks are usually only one of  
301 several implemented mitigation measures, it will always be challenging to tease out the unique  
302 effect of masks on infection control.

303 Children whose parents had a higher educational background and girls perceived masks  
304 as more useful. In contrast, we did not see a difference between perception of middle and upper  
305 school level children, as a proxy of age. Associations between gender and education with the  
306 willingness to wear masks has been shown in previous studies in adults.<sup>24,35,36</sup> For example, in an  
307 analysis of almost 10’000 shoppers, female gender, older age, and urban location were associated  
308 with higher odds of an individual to wear a mask.<sup>24</sup> Hence, schools could provide tailored  
309 information about masks to reach different groups of children, and to actively engage them in a  
310 dialogue about potential benefits and harms.

311 Although the absolute difference was small, the proportion of school children rating  
312 masks as useful in public was higher than the proportion rating masks useful at school (60%  
313 *versus* 57% at Q2). One potential explanation could stem from the prolonged wearing of masks  
314 in school-settings where masks, on average, are worn between six and eight hours a day, as  
315 reported by participants (Supplementary Table S4). Outside the school-setting, masks are usually  
316 only required short-term in certain places, such as public transport or supermarkets. Secondly,  
317 children and adolescents may feel safer in a classroom environment than in public due to the  
318 implementation of various additional measures as part of a school’s protection concept, and thus  
319 perceive mask wearing there as relatively less useful. In addition, the proportion of children  
320 perceiving mask wearing as useful slightly decreased from January to April 2021, at school from  
321 60% to 57% and in public from 69% to 60%, respectively. This could be related to the longer  
322 overall exposure of wearing masks at Q2 compared to Q1, as well as to the lower community  
323 incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infections at Q2.

324 This study has a number of limitations. First, the online questionnaires nested within the  
325 *Ciao Corona* study were usually completed by parents/legal guardians. Parents were explicitly  
326 encouraged to complete the part of the questionnaires about masks together with their children or  
327 to let their child respond to these questions alone. Nevertheless, it is not entirely clear to what  
328 extent children's perceptions towards masks were reported by children themselves, and thus  
329 captured correctly, as social desirability bias is possible. Second, children's behaviour and  
330 perceptions are largely influenced by their parents', teachers', and peers' beliefs and  
331 behaviour.<sup>37,38</sup> Thus, it is also likely that parents who were skeptical towards certain restrictions  
332 and measures implemented during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic did not take part in the *Ciao*  
333 *Corona* study, and did not allow their children to participate, resulting in potential selection bias  
334 in the study population. Third, side-effects reported by some survey participants cannot be  
335 (clinically) verified and would require a different study design. Finally, our study sample  
336 consisted of a larger proportion of parents with high educational background (60% at Q1 and  
337 71% at Q2) than would be expected in the general population.<sup>39</sup> Consequently, our findings  
338 likely do not reflect the entire spectrum of perceptions about the usefulness of masks in the  
339 general population, and may to some extent overestimate the perceived value of masks in schools  
340 and public.

## 341 **CONCLUSION**

342  
343 In summary, our findings suggest that 60% of children perceive masks as a useful measure to  
344 mitigate SARS-CoV-2 transmission at school and in public, with slightly higher perception of  
345 usefulness among girls and families of higher socio-economic background. Certain complaints of  
346 some children, such as physical discomfort and side-effects due to the prolonged wearing of  
347 masks, should be addressed in order to ensure the maintenance of mask wearing, and ultimately  
348 protect the health and well-being of children and adults at schools and beyond.

349

350 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

351 The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, on  
352 reasonable request.

353

354 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

355 SK and MAP initiated the cohort study in which this study is embedded. AU, PA, TR and SK  
356 designed the research questions and the study, and were responsible for the study organization  
357 and procedures. PA was responsible for the organization of testing at schools in 2021. PA and  
358 TR were responsible for the online surveys and provided guidance to participants, if needed. AU,  
359 SRH, and PA carried out the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to the interpretation of  
360 study findings. PA and TR wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors critically  
361 reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

362

363 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

364 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or  
365 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

366

367 **FUNDING**

368 This study is part of *Corona Immunitas* research network, coordinated by the Swiss School of  
369 Public Health (SSPH+), and funded by fundraising of SSPH+ that includes funds of the Swiss  
370 Federal Office of Public Health and private funders (ethical guidelines for funding stated by  
371 SSPH+ will be respected), by funds of some Cantons of Switzerland and by institutional funds of  
372 the Universities. Additional funding, specific to this study is available from the University of  
373 Zurich Foundation. The funder/sponsor did not have any role in the design and conduct of the  
374 study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or  
375 approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

376

377 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

378 We kindly thank all children and their parents for their participation in this study and for  
379 completing the online surveys.

380

381 **ETHICS APPROVAL**

382 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland (2020-  
383 01336). All participants provided written informed consent before being enrolled in the study.

384

385

386 **REFERENCES**

387

- 388 (1) Goldstein, E.; Lipsitch, M.; Cevik, M. On the Effect of Age on the Transmission of SARS-  
389 CoV-2 in Households, Schools, and the Community. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 223 (3), 362–  
390 369. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa691>.
- 391 (2) Viner, R. M.; Russell, S. J.; Croker, H.; Packer, J.; Ward, J.; Stansfield, C.; Mytton, O.;  
392 Bonell, C.; Booy, R. School Closure and Management Practices during Coronavirus  
393 Outbreaks Including COVID-19: A Rapid Systematic Review. *Lancet Child Adolesc.*  
394 *Health* 2020, 4 (5), 397–404. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30095-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30095-X).
- 395 (3) Hoang, A.; Chorath, K.; Moreira, A.; Evans, M.; Burmeister-Morton, F.; Burmeister, F.;  
396 Naqvi, R.; Petershack, M.; Moreira, A. COVID-19 in 7780 Pediatric Patients: A  
397 Systematic Review. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020, 24.  
398 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100433>.
- 399 (4) Levin, A. T.; Hanage, W. P.; Owusu-Boaitey, N.; Cochran, K. B.; Walsh, S. P.; Meyerowitz-  
400 Katz, G. Assessing the Age Specificity of Infection Fatality Rates for COVID-19:  
401 Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Public Policy Implications. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.*  
402 2020, 35 (12), 1123–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00698-1>.
- 403 (5) Shekerdemian, L. S.; Mahmood, N. R.; Wolfe, K. K.; Riggs, B. J.; Ross, C. E.; McKiernan,  
404 C. A.; Heidemann, S. M.; Kleinman, L. C.; Sen, A. I.; Hall, M. W.; Priestley, M. A.;  
405 McGuire, J. K.; Boukas, K.; Sharron, M. P.; Burns, J. P.; International COVID-19 PICU  
406 Collaborative. Characteristics and Outcomes of Children With Coronavirus Disease 2019  
407 (COVID-19) Infection Admitted to US and Canadian Pediatric Intensive Care Units.  
408 *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020, 174 (9), 868–873. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.1948>.
- 409 (6) Stringhini, S.; Wisniak, A.; Piumatti, G.; Azman, A. S.; Lauer, S. A.; Baysson, H.; Ridder,  
410 D. D.; Petrovic, D.; Schrempft, S.; Marcus, K.; Yerly, S.; Vernez, I. A.; Keiser, O.; Hurst,  
411 S.; Posfay-Barbe, K. M.; Trono, D.; Pittet, D.; Gétaz, L.; Chappuis, F.; Eckerle, I.;  
412 Vuilleumier, N.; Meyer, B.; Flahault, A.; Kaiser, L.; Guessous, I. Seroprevalence of Anti-  
413 SARS-CoV-2 IgG Antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): A Population-  
414 Based Study. *The Lancet* 2020, 396 (10247), 313–319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31304-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31304-0).
- 415

- 416 (7) Ulyte, A.; Radtke, T.; Abela, I. A.; Haile, S. R.; Berger, C.; Huber, M.; Schanz, M.;  
417 Schwarzmueller, M.; Trkola, A.; Fehr, J.; Puhan, M. A.; Kriemler, S. Clustering and  
418 Longitudinal Change in SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence in School Children in the Canton of  
419 Zurich, Switzerland: Prospective Cohort Study of 55 Schools. *BMJ* 2021, 372, n616.  
420 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n616>.
- 421 (8) Armann, J. P.; Kirsten, C.; Galow, L.; Kahre, E.; Haag, L.; Dalpke, A.; Lück, C.; Berner, R.  
422 SARS-CoV-2 Transmissions in Students and Teachers: Seroprevalence Follow-up Study  
423 in a German Secondary School in November and December 2020. *BMJ Paediatr. Open*  
424 2021, 5 (1), e001036. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001036>.
- 425 (9) Li, X.; Xu, W.; Dozier, M.; He, Y.; Kirolos, A.; Theodoratou, E. The Role of Children in  
426 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: A Rapid Review. *J. Glob. Health* 10 (1), 011101.  
427 <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.011101>.
- 428 (10) Davies, N. G.; Klepac, P.; Liu, Y.; Prem, K.; Jit, M.; Eggo, R. M. Age-Dependent Effects  
429 in the Transmission and Control of COVID-19 Epidemics. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 26 (8), 1205–  
430 1211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9>.
- 431 (11) Lee, B.; Raszka, W. V. COVID-19 in Children: Looking Forward, Not Back. *Pediatrics*  
432 2021, 147 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-029736>.
- 433 (12) Zimmerman, K. O.; Akinboyo, I. C.; Brookhart, M. A.; Boutzoukas, A. E.; McGann, K.  
434 A.; Smith, M. J.; Panayotti, G. M.; Armstrong, S. C.; Bristow, H.; Parker, D.; Zadrozny,  
435 S.; Weber, D. J.; Benjamin, D. K.; Collaborative, F. the A. S. Incidence and Secondary  
436 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Schools. *Pediatrics* 2021, 147 (4).  
437 <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-048090>.
- 438 (13) Walsh, S.; Chowdhury, A.; Braithwaite, V.; Russell, S.; Birch, J.; Ward, J.; Waddington,  
439 C.; Brayne, C.; Bonell, C.; Viner, R.; Mytton, O. Do School Closures and School  
440 Reopenings Affect Community Transmission of COVID-19? A Systematic Review of  
441 Observational Studies. *medRxiv* 2021, 2021.01.02.21249146.  
442 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.02.21249146>.
- 443 (14) UNICEF. COVID-19 and School Closures. One year of education disruption  
444 <https://data.unicef.org/resources/one-year-of-covid-19-and-school-closures/> (accessed  
445 2021 -07 -04).

- 446 (15) Brooks, J. T.; Butler, J. C. Effectiveness of Mask Wearing to Control Community Spread  
447 of SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA* 2021, 325 (10), 998–999.  
448 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.1505>.
- 449 (16) Rader, B.; White, L. F.; Burns, M. R.; Chen, J.; Brilliant, J.; Cohen, J.; Shaman, J.;  
450 Brilliant, L.; Kraemer, M. U. G.; Hawkins, J. B.; Scarpino, S. V.; Astley, C. M.;  
451 Brownstein, J. S. Mask-Wearing and Control of SARS-CoV-2 Transmission in the USA:  
452 A Cross-Sectional Study. *Lancet Digit. Health* 2021, 3 (3), e148–e157.  
453 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30293-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30293-4).
- 454 (17) Lopes, H.; Middleton, J.; De Guchtanaere, A.; Hadjipanayis, A. COVID-19 and the Use of  
455 Masks by Children. Statement From the Association of Schools of Public Health in the  
456 European Region and the European Academy of Paediatrics. *Front. Pediatr.* 2021, 9.  
457 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2021.580150>.
- 458 (18) Howard, J.; Huang, A.; Li, Z.; Tufekci, Z.; Zdimal, V.; Westhuizen, H.-M. van der; Delft,  
459 A. von; Price, A.; Fridman, L.; Tang, L.-H.; Tang, V.; Watson, G. L.; Bax, C. E.; Shaikh,  
460 R.; Questier, F.; Hernandez, D.; Chu, L. F.; Ramirez, C. M.; Rimoin, A. W. An Evidence  
461 Review of Face Masks against COVID-19. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2021, 118 (4).  
462 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014564118>.
- 463 (19) Chu, D. K.; Akl, E. A.; Duda, S.; Solo, K.; Yaacoub, S.; Schünemann, H. J.; Chu, D. K.;  
464 Akl, E. A.; El-harakeh, A.; Bognanni, A.; Lotfi, T.; Loeb, M.; Hajizadeh, A.; Bak, A.;  
465 Izcovich, A.; Cuello-Garcia, C. A.; Chen, C.; Harris, D. J.; Borowiack, E.; Chamseddine,  
466 F.; Schünemann, F.; Morgano, G. P.; Schünemann, G. E. U. M.; Chen, G.; Zhao, H.;  
467 Neumann, I.; Chan, J.; Khabisa, J.; Hneiny, L.; Harrison, L.; Smith, M.; Rizk, N.; Rossi, P.  
468 G.; AbiHanna, P.; El-khoury, R.; Stalteri, R.; Baldeh, T.; Piggott, T.; Zhang, Y.; Saad, Z.;  
469 Khamis, A.; Reinap, M.; Duda, S.; Solo, K.; Yaacoub, S.; Schünemann, H. J. Physical  
470 Distancing, Face Masks, and Eye Protection to Prevent Person-to-Person Transmission of  
471 SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Lancet*  
472 2020, 395 (10242), 1973–1987. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31142-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31142-9).
- 473 (20) Lazzarino, A. I.; Steptoe, A.; Hamer, M.; Michie, S. Covid-19: Important Potential Side  
474 Effects of Wearing Face Masks That We Should Bear in Mind. *BMJ* 2020, 369, m2003.  
475 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m2003>.

- 476 (21) Rosner, E. Adverse Effects of Prolonged Mask Use among Healthcare Professionals  
477 during COVID-19. <https://doi.org/10.23937/2474-3658/1510130>.
- 478 (22) Irfan, M.; Akhtar, N.; Ahmad, M.; Shahzad, F.; Elavarasan, R. M.; Wu, H.; Yang, C.  
479 Assessing Public Willingness to Wear Face Masks during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Fresh  
480 Insights from the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021,  
481 *18* (9), 4577. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094577>.
- 482 (23) Taylor, S.; Asmundson, G. J. G. Negative Attitudes about Facemasks during the COVID-  
483 19 Pandemic: The Dual Importance of Perceived Ineffectiveness and Psychological  
484 Reactance. *PLOS ONE* 2021, *16* (2), e0246317.  
485 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246317>.
- 486 (24) Haischer, M. H.; Beilfuss, R.; Hart, M. R.; Opielinski, L.; Wrucke, D.; Zirgaitis, G.;  
487 Uhrich, T. D.; Hunter, S. K. Who Is Wearing a Mask? Gender-, Age-, and Location-  
488 Related Differences during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *PLOS ONE* 2020, *15* (10),  
489 e0240785. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240785>.
- 490 (25) Ulyte, A.; Radtke, T.; Abela, I. A.; Haile, S. R.; Braun, J.; Jung, R.; Berger, C.; Trkola, A.;  
491 Fehr, J.; Puhan, M. A.; Kriemler, S. Seroprevalence and Immunity of SARS-CoV-2  
492 Infection in Children and Adolescents in Schools in Switzerland: Design for a  
493 Longitudinal, School-Based Prospective Cohort Study. *Int. J. Public Health* 2020, *65* (9),  
494 1549–1557. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-020-01495-z>.
- 495 (26) Ulyte, A.; Radtke, T.; Abela, I. A.; Haile, S. R.; Ammann, P.; Berger, C.; Trkola, A.; Fehr,  
496 J.; Puhan, M. A.; Kriemler, S. Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence and Clusters in  
497 School Children from June 2020 to April 2021 Reflect Community Transmission:  
498 Prospective Cohort Study Ciao Corona. *medRxiv* 2021, 2021.07.19.21260644.  
499 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.19.21260644>.
- 500 (27) *R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation*  
501 *for Statistical Computing*; Vienna, Austria, 2020.
- 502 (28) World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund. Advice on the  
503 use of masks for children in the community in the context of COVID-19: annex to the  
504 advice on the use of masks in the context of COVID-19  
505 [https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC\\_Masks-Children-2020.1](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_Masks-Children-2020.1).

- 506 (29) Goh, D. Y. T.; Mun, M. W.; Lee, W. L. J.; Teoh, O. H.; Rajgor, D. D. A Randomised  
507 Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Safety, Fit, Comfort of a Novel N95 Mask in Children. *Sci.*  
508 *Rep.* 2019, 9 (1), 18952. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55451-w>.
- 509 (30) Smart, N. R.; Horwell, C. J.; Smart, T. S.; Galea, K. S. Assessment of the Wearability of  
510 Facemasks against Air Pollution in Primary School-Aged Children in London. *Int. J.*  
511 *Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17 (11), 3935. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113935>.
- 512 (31) Eberhart, M.; Orthaber, S.; Kerbl, R. The Impact of Face Masks on Children-A Mini  
513 Review. *Acta Paediatr. Oslo Nor.* 1992 2021, 110 (6), 1778–1783.  
514 <https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.15784>.
- 515 (32) Ma, Z.; Idris, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zewen, L.; Wali, A.; Ji, Y.; Pan, Q.; Baloch, Z. The Impact of  
516 COVID-19 Pandemic Outbreak on Education and Mental Health of Chinese Children  
517 Aged 7–15 Years: An Online Survey. *BMC Pediatr.* 2021, 21 (1), 95.  
518 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-021-02550-1>.
- 519 (33) Rajmil, L.; Hjern, A.; Boran, P.; Gunnlaugsson, G.; Camargo, O. K. de; Raman, S. Impact  
520 of Lockdown and School Closure on Children’s Health and Well-Being during the First  
521 Wave of COVID-19: A Narrative Review. *BMJ Paediatr. Open* 2021, 5 (1), e001043.  
522 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001043>.
- 523 (34) Lee, J. Mental Health Effects of School Closures during COVID-19. *Lancet Child*  
524 *Adolesc. Health* 2020, 4 (6), 421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30109-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30109-7).
- 525 (35) Chuang, Y.; Liu, J. C.-E. Who Wears a Mask? Gender Differences in Risk Behaviors in  
526 the COVID-19 Early Days in Taiwan. *Econ. Bull.* 2020, 40 (4), 2619–2627.
- 527 (36) Rieger, M. O. To Wear or Not to Wear? Factors Influencing Wearing Face Masks in  
528 Germany during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Soc. Health Behav.* 2020, 3 (2), 50.  
529 [https://doi.org/10.4103/SHB.SHB\\_23\\_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/SHB.SHB_23_20).
- 530 (37) Shelus, V. S.; Frank, S. C.; Lazard, A. J.; Higgins, I. C. A.; Pulido, M.; Richter, A. P. C.;  
531 Vandegrift, S. M.; Vereen, R. N.; Ribisl, K. M.; Hall, M. G. Motivations and Barriers for  
532 the Use of Face Coverings during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Messaging Insights from  
533 Focus Groups. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17 (24), 9298.  
534 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249298>.

- 535 (38) Wilson, R. F. Factors Influencing Risk for COVID-19 Exposure Among Young Adults  
536 Aged 18–23 Years — Winnebago County, Wisconsin, March–July 2020. *MMWR Morb.*  
537 *Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 2020, 69. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6941e2>.
- 538 (39) Statista Research Department. Bildungsstand der Wohnbevölkerung im Kanton Zürich  
539 nach höchster abgeschlossener Ausbildung im Jahr 2019  
540 [https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/1241630/umfrage/bildungsstand-der-](https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/1241630/umfrage/bildungsstand-der-bevoelkerung-im-kanton-zuerich/)  
541 [bevoelkerung-im-kanton-zuerich/](https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/1241630/umfrage/bildungsstand-der-bevoelkerung-im-kanton-zuerich/) (accessed 2021 -07 -15).  
542