

Child and caregiver mental health during COVID-19

Authors:

*Anna M. H. Price^{1,2,3}, Mary-Anne Measey^{2, 4}, Monsurul Hoq⁵, Anthea Rhodes^{2,3,6, ¶}, Sharon Goldfeld^{1,2,3,¶}

Affiliations[^]:

1. Centre for Community Child Health, The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Vic
2. Population Health, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Vic
3. Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic
4. Health Services Research Unit, The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Vic
5. Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, Murdoch children's Research Institute, Parkville, Vic
6. Department of General Medicine, The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Vic

[^]All Australia,

*Corresponding author, anna.price@mcri.edu.au

[¶] AR and SG are joint senior authors.

Word Count: 1200 words

ABSTRACT

There are urgent calls for research into the mental health consequences of living through the COVID-19 pandemic. We describe caregiver and child mental health over 12 months using Australia's only nationally representative, repeated cross-sectional survey of caregivers with children (0-17 years). N=2020 caregivers in June 2020, N=1434 in September 2020, and N=2508 in July 2021 provided data. Caregivers rated their mental health (Kessler-6), and impacts of the pandemic on their own and their children's mental health. Data were weighted using national distribution of age, gender, number of children, state/territory and neighbourhood-level disadvantage. Mental health measures worsened over time. There was an unequal distribution of impacts based on caregiver gender, child age and family socioeconomic characteristics. Negative impacts were more common with current or cumulative lockdown. The indirect impacts of COVID-19 are real and concerning. Mental health must be central to the immediate and ongoing pandemic responses for families and children.

What is known about this topic?

- The global evidence shows that, for general adult populations, psychological distress peaked in the first months of the COVID-19 pandemic before appearing to rebound.
- Less is known about long-term mental health consequences of living through the pandemic, especially for caregivers and children. There are urgent calls for research.
- Due to low infection rates, Australia's experience can provide insight into the mental health impacts of lockdown without the compounding direct harms of the virus.

What this study adds:

- From June 2020 to July 2021, caregivers reported declining mental health and increasing negative mental health impacts of COVID-19 for themselves and their children.
- There was an unequal distribution of mental health impacts based on caregiver gender, child age and family socioeconomic characteristics.
- Lockdown (current or cumulative) was detrimental for caregivers' and children's mental health. Pandemic response and recovery planning must consider families' mental health.

INTRODUCTION

Since the COVID-19 pandemic began over 18 months ago, balancing the direct harms of the virus with the indirect harms of public health restrictions has been a global challenge. Australia enacted some of the strictest public health measures in the world to effectively suppress infection. With just 15% of the population (of 25 million) fully vaccinated by the end of July 2021, stay-at-home orders (‘lockdown’) have been the country’s main measure of disease control.(1) Families with children are some of the most affected by lockdown, required to juggle work, school closures and childcare roles.(2,3) Despite having “greater impact on human activity than any other non-communicable illness”,(2) mental health appears to have been given little consideration in the majority of Australia’s lockdown policies. With future variants and pandemics likely, Australia’s experience offers insight into the mental health impacts of lockdown, without the compounding direct harms of the virus.

In a review of the global mental health evidence from the first year of the pandemic, Akinin et al., reported a peak in adults’ psychological distress in the early months.(2) While many studies reported a decline to pre-pandemic levels by mid-2020,(2) the authors found that mental health inequities were sustained or exacerbated for adults who are young, female, child-rearing, or with fewer socioeconomic resources.(2,3) Despite the pandemic potentially changing the lives of a generation of children, longitudinal data on child mental health are limited.(3) There are urgent calls for research into the “long-term mental health consequences of living through the pandemic”,(2) which prioritises children.(3) To this end, we aimed to describe the impact of the pandemic on caregiver and child mental health using Australia’s only nationally representative, repeated cross-sectional survey of families during the pandemic. We hypothesised that, as the pandemic continued, (i) measures of poor mental health and negative mental health impacts would increase, (ii) there would be an unequal distribution of these impacts based on caregiver gender, child age and family socioeconomic characteristics, and (iii) negative impacts would be more common with current or cumulative lockdown.

METHODS

Design and procedure: The Royal Children’s Hospital (RCH) National Child Health Poll comprises periodic cross-sectional surveys of ~2000 Australian caregivers of children (0-17 years). Data collection is contracted to the Online Research Unit who draw a nationally representative sample of caregivers from their panel, comprising adults aged 18 years or older, who live in Australia and have internet access. Surveys are administered in sixth grade-equivalent English, anonymous, and respondents are remunerated with points exchangeable for department store gift vouchers. Polls were conducted: 15-23 June 2020, after a first national lockdown (March-May) eased; 15-29 September 2020, when only metropolitan residents of Victoria were in a second, stricter lockdown (July-November); and 20-29 July 2021 (when multiple states/territories were in and out of lockdown (June onwards)). The RCH Human Research Ethics Committee approved this research (February 2020, #35254).

Measures: The surveys captured the demographic, socioeconomic and lockdown characteristics described in Table 1. Caregivers self-reported their anxiety and depression symptoms in the last 4 weeks using the 6-item Kessler-6 (K6), comprising 5-point scales (1 “none” to 5 “all of the time”), dichotomised into clinically significant “poor mental health” (total score 19+) versus not (total score 6-18).(4) Caregivers also rated the impact of the pandemic on their own and each of their children’s

mental health, using a 5-point item adapted from the UK Young Minds Matter Study, dichotomized into negative (“small negative/large negative”) versus positive (“none/small positive/large positive”).

Analysis: Families were assigned the Australian Bureau of Statistics’ (ABS) Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) Index of Relative Disadvantage. To reduce effects of non-response and non-coverage, data were weighted using ABS distributions for age, gender, number of children per family, state/territory and SEIFA. Mental health measures were described for each survey with weighted proportions, overall and by demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. Child data were adjusted for family cluster.

RESULTS

In June 2020, 2020 of 2697 (75%) caregivers approached provided data for themselves and 3411 children. In September 2020, 1434/1769 (81%) caregivers provided data for 2553 children. In July 2021, 2508/3925 (64%) provided data for 4327 children. The proportions of families in lockdown were 0% (June 2020), 29% (September 2020) and 56% (July 2021). Demographic characteristics were similar across the three surveys. Children’s mean age was 9.1 years (standard deviation (SD) 5.0) and 48% were female. Caregivers’ mean age was 42 years (SD 9.1), 54% were female, and each caregiver cared for 1.7 children on average (SD 0.8). One-quarter were sole caregivers, 57% had a university degree, 24% were born outside Australia, and 22% spoke a language other than English at home. Job/income loss due to COVID-19 was similar, ranging 27-28% across Polls. Low household income increased over Polls (15%, 17%, 19%, respectively), as did being unable to afford essential items (26%, 28%, 33%, respectively).

Table 1 shows that female (compared with male) caregivers more commonly reported poorer mental health (K6) and negative impacts of the pandemic on their mental health. Poor mental health (K6) was more common for caregivers of younger children (pre-school and school age), while negative mental health impacts were more common for older children. Poorer mental health (K6) was more common for all the characteristics traditionally related to lower socioeconomic status (e.g. sole-caregiving, low education, home language other than English, job/income loss, low-income, couldn’t afford essential items, and SEIFA), except for country of birth. In contrast, caregivers with characteristics related to higher socioeconomic status generally reported more negative mental health impacts for themselves and their children, except for job/income loss (and essential items, which showed no consistent differences between categories). After the June 2020 survey, when lockdowns were differentially implemented across states/territories, declining mental health (the K6 and negative impact measures) was evident for current and cumulative experiences of lockdown.

Table 1. Mental health experiences of Australian caregivers and children during the COVID-19 pandemic in weighted proportions

Family Characteristic		N (caregiver / child)			Poor caregiver mental health (K6) ^a			Negative impact on caregiver ^b			Negative impact on child ^b		
		June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21
Overall	All	2020 / 3411	1434 / 2553	2508 / 4327	16.9	18.6	22.3	47.4	51.0	57.8	29.4	35.1	36.5
Child age in years ^c	0-4	515 / 628	400 / 520	904 / 1291	17.8	16.7	23.1	50.3	52.5	56.4	12.8	20.1	21.6
	5-12	1105 / 1565	816 / 1319	1127 / 1961	16.2	22.7	23.2	48.7	49.9	59.7	33.0	37.9	42.7
	13-17	947 / 1218	521 / 714	748 / 1075	12.0	14.5	18.4	42.5	50.1	58.6	38.3	45.1	48.4
Child gender ^c	F	1293 / 1664	842 / 1242	1222 / 2013	15.9	18.0	23.4	45.7	50.6	56.6	28.7	35.0	37.5
	M	1334 / 1747	891 / 1311	1376 / 2314	17.2	21.4	24.5	49.0	51.4	58.9	30.0	35.2	35.7
Caregiver gender	F	1003 / 1699	758 / 1361	1458 / 2553	17.7	17.3	24.1	49.8	54.6	59.9	29.9	37.2	36.7
	M	1017 / 1712	676 / 1192	1050 / 1774	15.7	20.6	17.8	44.1	45.6	52.6	29.1	32.0	36.0
One-caregiver family	Yes	462 / 727	282 / 469	704 / 1115	36.0	36.5	36.7	42.8	36.2	46.7	27.3	38.5	26.0
	No	1558 / 2684	1152 / 2084	1804 / 3212	10.0	14.8	16.7	49.1	54.1	62.1	30.1	36.5	40.6
Caregiver education ^d	Y12	326 / 566	239 / 436	422 / 752	15.4	25.7	32.7	40.3	44.0	49.4	24.4	26.4	31.9
	Cert.	518 / 853	390 / 701	693 / 1206	20.6	19.3	20.5	48.9	56.7	60.2	32.8	37.8	39.5
	Uni.	1176 / 1992	805 / 1416	1393 / 2369	15.4	16.1	19.4	48.8	50.3	59.5	29.1	36.4	36.3
Caregiver born outside Australia	Yes	546 / 881	329 / 543	622 / 1032	12.2	11.5	19.2	42.1	49.2	56.8	26.2	32.9	32.7
	No	1444 / 2477	1078 / 1973	1827 / 3207	18.3	20.0	23.1	49.6	51.8	58.4	30.8	36.0	37.5
Home language other than English	Yes	413 / 678	324 / 539	600 / 971	20.4	21.3	29.8	40.2	48.1	55.5	24.3	32.1	34.0
	No	1607 / 2733	1110 / 2014	1908 / 3356	15.8	17.9	19.9	49.5	51.9	58.6	30.8	36.0	37.3
Job/income loss during COVID-19 ^e	Yes	559 / 922	381 / 665	711 / 1186	22.7	26.3	30.7	51.1	60.8	66.8	29.5	42.7	46.8
	No	1461 / 2489	1053 / 1888	1797 / 3141	14.9	15.7	19.2	46.2	47.3	54.5	29.3	32.2	32.7
Low income (<\$1000) ^f	Yes	254 / 415	212 / 382	427 / 708	26.8	30.4	36.0	54.2	46.5	52.4	28.6	33.8	31.1
	No	1480 / 2537	1040 / 1868	1782 / 3109	15.1	16.5	18.0	45.3	49.9	58.4	28.4	34.5	37.2

Family Characteristic		N (caregiver / child)			Poor caregiver mental health (K6) ^a			Negative impact on caregiver ^b			Negative impact on child ^b		
		June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21	June '20	Sept '20	July '21
Couldn't afford essential items ^e	Yes	527 / 868	400 / 699	822 / 1398	36.6	37.3	38.9	50.9	46.1	58.2	31.3	35.4	35.5
	No	1493 / 2543	1034 / 1854	1686 / 2929	8.8	12.0	12.5	46.0	52.8	57.6	28.6	35.0	37.1
SEIFA quintile (1=most disadvantage, 5=least disadvantage)	1	213 / 361	172 / 324	327 / 577	21.7	19.9	30.5	42.2	47.7	54.5	32.4	32.5	35.0
	2	273 / 446	245 / 439	391 / 672	19.8	24.3	23.2	46.4	52.8	58.6	24.8	35.6	36.1
	3	370 / 648	250 / 456	511 / 892	15.9	18.1	23.1	52.9	52.5	56.6	30.0	36.5	34.2
	4	457 / 783	319 / 559	571 / 979	17.4	20.4	17.8	44.0	50.1	58.2	30.1	32.8	35.2
	5	705 / 1170	448 / 775	707 / 1206	9.0	10.8	16.7	51.1	51.5	66.7	30.3	37.6	45.9
Current lockdown ^h	Yes	0 / 0	419 / 707	1416 / 2409	n/a	23.3	30.0	n/a	58.9	60.3	n/a	46.9	42.0
	No	2020 / 3411	1015 / 1846	1092 / 1918	16.9	16.8	16.0	47.4	47.9	55.8	29.4	30.4	32.0
Cumulative lockdown (after June 2020, Vic=most, Other=least) ^h	Vic	612 / 1000	473 / 804	746 / 1262	17.4	22.5	28.3	48.6	58.2	65.3	28.1	45.0	46.1
	NSW	617 / 1030	449 / 807	791 / 1344	20.7	17.6	26.0	49.4	49.8	60.3	29.3	36.7	42.9
	Other	791 / 1381	512 / 942	971 / 1721	12.1	15.7	17.4	44.2	44.6	53.9	30.6	22.2	28.3

Data weighted using national demographic distributions for age, gender, number of children, state/territory and Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Disadvantage (SEIFA).

^a Kessler-6 (K6) dichotomized into a binary “poor mental health” (total score 19 or more) versus not (total score 6-18).

^b Dichotomised into negative (“small negative/large negative”) versus positive (“none/small positive/large positive”).

^c Each caregiver could be counted in more than one child category if they had children of various genders or ages, but only once per category.

^d Highest education coded as Year 12 or less (up to the end of high/secondary school); vocational training certificate; university degree.

^e Job loss by one or two adults, or reduction in income, due to COVID-19.

^f Low-income defined according to Australian definitions of income-poverty. Missing 767 caregivers who preferred not to report income.

^g Any one or more of mortgage or rent; electricity, gas, water bills; food; healthcare; prescription medicines; home or car insurance; mobile phone bills; internet, in the last month.

^h No Australians were in lockdown for the June 2020 Poll, so the proportion is for the whole cohort (see ‘Overall’ at top of Table). For the September 2020 Poll, only residents of metropolitan Melbourne in the state of Victoria were in lockdown (Y) versus all other Australians (N). In July 2021, many states/territories were going in and out of lockdown, and this question was asked directly (Y/N).

DISCUSSION

In the only nationally representative and repeated cross-sectional survey of Australian families over 12 months of the COVID-19 pandemic, caregivers self-reported declining mental health and increasing negative mental health impacts for themselves and their children. There were unequal distributions of mental health impacts based on caregiver gender, child age and family socioeconomic characteristics, and negative impacts were more common for families experiencing current or cumulative lockdown.

Our findings align with and extend the Pulse of the Nation (TPTN) survey of Australian parents to August 2020.⁽⁵⁾ Notably, this increase in psychological distress is not reflected in general population data.^(2,4,5) In our study, substantially more caregivers reported clinically significant levels of mental health symptoms (K6) (average 18%) throughout the pandemic than representative Australian adult data collected pre-pandemic (8% in 2017) or during the first national lockdown (11%).⁽⁵⁾

Study strengths include the large representative samples, high response rates, repeated measures of caregiver and child mental health, and surveying female and male caregivers. Lack of pre-pandemic data limits interpretation of changes over time from pre-pandemic levels. The reliance on caregiver-report, from only one caregiver per household, means the child rating may be biased by caregiver perception.

Australia's reliance on lockdown has meant caregivers must concurrently parent, work, and facilitate remote schooling at the detriment of their own and their children's mental health. The COVID-19 infection rate cannot be the only measure of success for Australia. Response and recovery planning must prioritise and incorporate supports for families' mental health and socioeconomic wellbeing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND FUNDING

We thank all families who took part in the Royal Children's Hospital National Child Health Polls. The National Child Health Polls are funded by The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation. This work was supported by The Healthier Wealthier Families project, which was supported by the Helen Macpherson Smith Trust Impact Grant #9523 and The Corella Fund. The Murdoch Children's Research Institute (MCRI) administered research grants for the work and provided infrastructural support (as study sponsor) to its staff but played no role in the conduct or analysis of the research. Research at the MCRI is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. Author AP was supported by The Erdi Foundation Child Health Equity (COVID-19) Scholarship. Author SG was supported by a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (#1155290).

COMPETING INTERESTS

None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Mathieu, E., Ritchie, H., Ortiz-Ospina, E., Roser, M., Hasell, J., Appel, C., Giattino, C., et al. A global database of COVID-19 vaccinations. *Nature Human Behaviour* 5, 947–953 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01122-8> [last accessed 25 August 2021].
2. Akinin, L. B., De Neve, J. E., Dunn, E. W., Fancourt, D., Goldberg, E., Helliwell, J., Jones, S. P., et al. (2021). Mental Health During the First Year of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review and Recommendations for Moving Forward. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zw93g> (in press, pre-edited)[last accessed 25 August 2021].
3. Centre for Mental Health. Addressing inequities in mental health research exacerbated by Covid-19. A report by the Mental Health Research Group. 6 July 2021. <https://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/publications/fit-for-purpose> [last accessed 25 August 2021]
4. Edwards, B., Biddle, N., Gray, M., & Sollis, K. (2020). Initial impacts of COVID-19 on mental health in Australia. https://csmr.cass.anu.edu.au/sites/default/files/docs/2020/6/Mental_health_before_and_during_the_COVID_crisis.pdf [last accessed 25 August 2021].
5. Broadway, B., Payne, A. A., Salamanca, N. Coping with COVID-19: Rethinking Australia. Melbourne Institute: Applied Economic & Social Research, the University of Melbourne. 2020.