

Behavioural barriers to COVID-19 testing in Australia:

Two national surveys to identify barriers and estimate prevalence by health literacy level

Authors:

Carissa Bonner, Carys Batcup, Julie Ayre, Kristen Pickles, Erin Cvejic, Tessa Copp, Samuel Cornell, Rachael Dodd, Jennifer Isautier, Brooke Nickel, Kirsten McCaffery

Affiliations:

Sydney Health Literacy Lab, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health
The University of Sydney

Correspondence:

Dr Carissa Bonner

Rm 128A, Edward Ford Building A27 | The University of Sydney | NSW | 2006

T +61 2 9351 7125 | F +61 2 9351 5049

E carissa.bonner@sydney.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Background: COVID-19 testing and contact tracing has been crucial in Australia's prevention strategy. However, testing for COVID-19 is far from optimal, and behavioural barriers are unknown. Study 1 aimed to identify the range of barriers to testing. Study 2 aimed to estimate prevalence in a nationally relevant sample to target interventions.

Methods: Study 1: National longitudinal COVID-19 survey from April-November 2020. Testing barriers were included in the June survey (n=1369). Open responses were coded using the COM-B framework (capability-opportunity-motivation). Study 2: Barriers from Study 1 were presented to a new nationally representative sample in November to estimate prevalence (n=2869). Barrier prevalence was analysed by health literacy level using Chi square tests.

Results: Study 1: 49% strongly agreed to get tested for symptoms, and 69% would self-isolate. Concern about pain was the top barrier from a provided list (11%), but 32 additional barriers were identified from open responses and coded to the COM-B framework. Study 2: The most prevalent barriers were motivation issues (e.g. don't believe symptoms are COVID-19: 28%, few local cases: 18%). Capability issues were also common (e.g. not sure symptoms are bad enough: 19%, not sure whether symptoms need testing: 15%). Many barriers were more prevalent amongst people with low compared to high health literacy, including motivation (preference to self isolate: 21% vs 12%, pain: 15% vs 9%) and capability (not sure symptom needs testing: 12% vs 8%, not sure how to test: 11% vs 4%).

Conclusion: Even in a health system with free and widespread access to COVID-19 testing, motivation and capability barriers were prevalent issues, particularly for people with lower health literacy. This study highlights the importance of diagnosing behaviour barriers to target public health interventions for COVID-19 and future pandemics.

BACKGROUND

Individual behaviour is crucial to the control of COVID-19, but research on non-pharmaceutical strategies for COVID-19 prevention has been underfunded¹. The first step to develop such strategies is diagnosing what behavioural barriers must be addressed to increase compliance with COVID-19 prevention. A key prevention behaviour that has been overlooked in proposed models of COVID-19 prevention is COVID-19 testing².

The successful COVID-19 prevention strategy in Australia has been dependent on people getting tested when they have been in contact with a case, or have COVID-19 symptoms (e.g. fever, cough, sore throat). Community members must then self-isolate at home until they return a negative result. This test-trace-isolate strategy has been used to determine the need for short-term localised restrictions until clusters are brought under control³. According to the COM-B model⁴, such COVID-19 prevention behaviours can be conceptualised in terms of three main drivers: physical/psychological *capability* (e.g. having the physical ability to drive to and walk up the stairs to access a testing centre, and knowing what to do if you have symptoms), physical/social *opportunity* (e.g. the availability of testing centres in your area, and social norms that make self-isolation acceptable), and automatic/reflective *motivation* (e.g. getting into the habit of staying home when you have symptoms, and believing that it's important to get tested if you develop symptoms)².

There is little research on COVID-19 testing behaviours given the very new nature of this issue, but emerging literature and media reports suggest different barriers exist across countries. For example, countries such as Tanzania have major issues with opportunity barriers in terms of limited access to COVID-19 tests and fake testing kits⁵. Cost may be a barrier in other countries such as the UK and US where health insurance may not cover the testing. This disproportionately affects certain groups such as immigrant and non-citizen communities, who may also fear financial and legal repercussions from testing positive⁶. Testing may be limited to certain criteria (e.g. only if you have symptoms, regardless of exposure to COVID-19 cases) due

to lack of supply or staff resource issues⁷. There may also be issues of delivering tests and transporting samples for remote areas⁸. Finally, there may be issues with inadequate communication and low community knowledge about which symptoms require testing and the process to follow⁷.

In terms of opportunity barriers, Australia is fortunate to have efficient and free testing widely available, although this can vary by location. Testing clinics have been set up all around the country, which includes drive through options to minimise contact with others, and results are generally sent by text message within 2 days^{9,10}. However, the process can take longer and is unpredictable where demand increases in new outbreak areas such as Victoria in July-September 2020¹¹⁻¹³.

For capability barriers, public health messages have focused on basic processes but we know certain groups are less likely to understand and act on COVID-19 prevention advice. For example in Australia, people with lower health literacy and those who speak a language other than English were less likely to be able to identify COVID-19 symptoms and prevention measures¹⁴. Younger people, men and those with less education were more likely to agree with COVID-19 misinformation about prevention, management and cure¹⁵. These groups likely need different communication strategies to ensure everyone *understands* the message and takes appropriate *action*.

To address motivation, messaging trials have explored different strategies to increase intentions to follow COVID-19 prevention advice, e.g. framing the behaviour as helping the community rather than avoiding individual risk¹⁶, or testing identity-based messages such as “don’t be a spreader”¹⁷. However, emerging research in this area has neglected testing as a key behavioural outcome for COVID-19 prevention. We need to understand the behavioural barriers² to testing in order to develop and evaluate interventions to address these issues for managing COVID-19 more effectively now. With new variants and unequal access, the vaccine rollout is not guaranteed to eliminate COVID-19 in the near future, so we will be reliant on testing for many

months and even years to come¹⁸. Better understanding of testing barriers is a pressing issue for COVID-19, but also essential to improve the management of future pandemics.

AIM

We conducted two Australian studies to address a major gap in our understanding about how to improve COVID-19 prevention. Study 1 aimed to identify the *range* of barriers to COVID-19 testing. Study 2 aimed to estimate the *prevalence* of barriers to COVID-19 testing, to target interventions to the most important issues.

METHOD

Study 1: Barrier identification

The Sydney Health Literacy Lab (SHeLL) has been conducting a national longitudinal survey in Australia since April 2020^{14,19}. The original sample was recruited via an online market research panel, supplemented with social media advertising (n=4326). The social media users were followed-up monthly from April-July. A list of possible testing barriers provided to the authors by the NSW Department of Health was included in the June 2020 survey (n=1369), along with intentions to test and self-isolate if symptomatic. These were worded as: “over the next 4 weeks, I plan to get tested if I have COVID-19 symptoms (cough, sore throat, fever)” and “over the next 4 weeks, I plan to stay home if I have COVID-19 symptoms (cough, sore throat, fever)”; with 1-7 response options from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Open responses to “other” test barriers were also collected. Descriptive analyses are reported as percentages for the survey results. The test barriers identified from the survey responses were categorised using the COM-B framework⁴ by two researchers who have been trained in the use of this framework (CB and CAB). CAB conducted the initial coding, and this was reviewed by CB with discussion of issues that could be coded in different ways until consensus was reached. All barriers could be categorised within the COM-B components.

Study 2: Barrier prevalence

All barriers identified in Study 1 were presented to a new nationally representative sample in November 2020, recruited through online market research company Dynata with quotas for age, gender, education and state (n=2034). Participants were asked 'Below are some of the reasons why people don't want to get tested, or can't get tested, for COVID-19. Please select the reasons that apply to you. Pick as many or as few as you want. If I get COVID-19 symptoms (signs of having COVID-19), I might not get tested because...'. They were also presented with the option 'None of these apply to me'. Participants were then prompted to rank their selected barriers in order of importance ('Now please re-order the answers you gave to the last question, from the most important reason at the top to the least important. Don't worry about it being exactly right, this will just help us understand broadly which reasons are more important to people.'). We included all barriers identified in study 1 except physical opportunity barriers, as these could not be addressed through a messaging intervention which was the intended use of the results. Comparisons of barrier prevalence between low and high health literacy groups were based on independent Chi square tests. Health literacy was measured using Chew et al.'s validated Health Literacy Screener²⁰.

RESULTS

The sample demographics are shown in Table 1. Study 1 had under-representation of male gender (32%) and lower education (14.5%), with a relatively small sample for low health literacy (8.9%). Quota sampling in Study 2 resulted in a more representative sample for male gender (49.5% male) and lower education (34.6%), which increased the sample for low health literacy (16.5%).

Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of samples in Study 1 and Study 2.

Variable	Study 1 (N=1369)	Study 2 (n=2034)
Age (years), mean (SD)	44.7 (16.7)	45.6 (16.8)
Age group, n (%)		
18 to 25 years	232 (16.9%)	282 (13.9%)
26 to 40 years	372 (27.2%)	625 (30.7%)
41 to 55 years	344 (25.1%)	502 (24.7%)
56 to 90 years	421 (30.8%)	625 (30.7%)
Gender, n (%)		
Male	433 (31.6%)	1006 (49.5%)
Female	911 (66.5%)	1024 (50.3%)
Other / prefer not to say	25 (1.8%)	4 (0.2%)
Highest level of educational attainment, n (%)		
High school or less	198 (14.5%)	704 (34.6%)
Certificate I-IV	140 (10.2%)	733 (36.0%)
University education	1031 (75.3%)	597 (29.4%)
Health literacy, n (%)		
Adequate (high)	1170 (91.1%)	1699 (83.5%)
Inadequate (low)	199 (8.9%)	335 (16.5%)
State, n (%)		
Australian Capital Territory	48 (3.5%)	41 (2.0%)
New South Wales	721 (52.7%)	642 (31.6%)
Northern Territory	5 (0.4%)	19 (0.9%)
Queensland	183 (13.4%)	422 (20.7%)
South Australia	63 (4.6%)	140 (6.9%)
Tasmania	55 (4.0%)	46 (2.3%)
Victoria	205 (15.0%)	511 (25.1%)
Western Australia	90 (6.6%)	213 (10.5%)
Region, n (%)		
Rural	342 (25.0%)	591 (29.1%)
Metropolitan	1027 (75.0%)	1443 (70.9%)

Study 1: Barrier identification (June 2020, n=1369)

The results of Study 1 survey questions are reported in Table 2. Around half (49.1%) of people strongly agreed they would get tested if they had COVID-19 symptoms (cough, fever, sore throat), but most people agreed to some extent that they would get tested if they had symptoms (83.9%). For self-isolation, 69% strongly agreed they would stay home if they had symptoms. The most common barriers selected from the list provided were that testing is painful (11.2%), not knowing how to get tested (7.1%), and worry about getting infected at the testing centre (5.9%). Many participants (9.9%) indicated other reasons, with 136 open responses that included many additional barriers to testing than those provided in the survey question. Table 3 maps all

the barriers identified in open survey responses to the COM-B drivers of behaviour, covering all components.

Table 2: Study 1 testing/self-isolation intentions and testing barriers (June 2020, n=1369)

Intention to get tested if symptomatic in next 4 weeks	n (%)
Strongly agree	674 (49.1%)
Agree	376 (27.4%)
Somewhat agree	101 (7.4%)
Neither agree nor disagree	72 (5.2%)
Somewhat disagree	40 (2.9%)
Disagree	49 (3.6%)
Strongly disagree	60 (4.4%)
Intention to self-isolate if symptomatic in next 4 weeks	n (%)
Strongly agree	951 (69.3%)
Agree	310 (22.6%)
Somewhat agree	53 (3.9%)
Neither agree nor disagree	12 (0.9%)
Somewhat disagree	14 (1.0%)
Disagree	6 (0.4%)
Strongly disagree	26 (1.9%)
Testing barriers (note: multiple options could be selected)	n (%)
Testing is painful	153 (11.2%)
Other (please detail) – see Table 3	136 (9.9%)
I don't know how, when and where to get tested	98 (7.1%)
I'm worried I will get infected with COVID-19 at the testing clinic	81 (5.9%)
I'll forget to get tested	33 (2.4%)
I'm worried about what others think of me	32 (2.3%)
It's too difficult or expensive to get tested	29 (2.1%)
Testing doesn't work / I don't trust the results	17 (1.2%)
No one else is getting tested	11 (0.8%)

Table 3: Study 1 COVID-19 testing behaviour barriers mapped to the COM-B model (June 2020)

COM-B	Barrier	Example quotes from “other” barriers (10% of sample): open survey responses
Physical capability	My disability means I can't get a test	<i>“Fear of injury from getting tested - I have a deviated septum and narrow sinuses”</i>
	I need a ramp/ disability provisions for the testing centre	<i>“Mobility (powerwheelchair use) and suppressed immune system make travel difficult”</i> <i>“Testing facilities can't accommodate my disability so it's better for me just to stay home”</i>
	I physically can't drive/access testing centre	<i>“I'm homebound due to severe disability so I know arranging testing will be super hard”</i>
Psychological capability	I have been getting conflicting information or being told not to get tested even with symptoms	<i>“When I first got sore throat, headache, aches, cough, and partner very lethargic I got tested, and had the impression from testers that it wasn't necessary with only those symptoms”</i>
	I'm not sure how to get tested	<i>“I dont know how to drive so I dont know how to get to places that test”</i>
	I'm not sure my symptoms are bad enough	<i>“Believing that I don't meet the threshold eg when is a cough a cough or a runny nose with spicy food a runny nose? Otherwise I would get tested.”</i>
	I'm not sure this symptom is one that needs testing	<i>“I am not sure whether I would be eligible to be tested”</i>
Physical opportunity	The testing centres are hard to access - too far away from me	<i>“Hard to get to where I can get tested”</i>
	The opening hours of the testing centres don't suit me	<i>“Being able to get to the testing clinic within opening hours when caring for children”</i>
	I don't want to take public transport	<i>“Public transport required to get to testing centre is inappropriate”</i>
	I will need to take time off work	<i>“hard to find the time with increased workload”</i>
	I don't have enough time to get tested	<i>“Just tooooooo busy - work during COVID”</i>
	I don't have childcare	<i>“Depends on if I can get childcare and can find the time”</i>
	If I get tested, it will impact me financially	<i>“My husbands test took 10 days to get results. Which meant he could not work. He is self employed which means he also did not get paid and lost 8 days of income”</i>
Social opportunity	I am worried what others will think of me having a test/being positive	<i>“I will certainly feel worried about what others think of me, especially people who lives in the same household”</i>

Reflective motivation	I had a bad experience when I got tested previously	<i>"we had a bad experience getting my son tested ... I can't afford to be off work for 5 days just to chase down a negative result"</i>
	I think the process of testing or pre-testing requirements is too much hassle/pointless	<i>"There is a very tiny chance it will actually be COVID-19 so waste of time."</i>
	I think the test is painful	<i>"It hurt last time I got tested"</i>
	I am worried about spreading my illness	<i>"Have to ask someone to take me to a clinic and don't want to get them sick"</i>
	I'm worried I will catch covid myself whilst getting tested or en route	<i>"I'm immunocompromised so don't want to be around others in case I get sick (not specifically [SIC] covid)"</i>
	I don't want to hear that I'm positive	<i>"Will only get tested if I have a fever. Also, "too scared" to know the results!"</i>
	I don't think the testing works or results are reliable enough	<i>"the accuracy of tests does concern me a bit"</i>
	I don't want to self-isolate after the test	<i>"requirement to quarantine between test and getting results"</i>
	I would prefer to self-isolate instead (or do another type of test)	<i>"Don't think it's necessary to test, just isolate"</i>
	Symptoms due to something else	<i>"The symptoms are the same as my normal June sniffles I wouldn't bother, unless I get other unusual symptoms"</i>
	I have already got tested for these symptoms and was negative	<i>"I was tested last week. If this residual cough remains I am unlikely to get retested. If I get new symptoms I would get retested"</i>
	I have the symptoms but will wait for them to get worse first/threshold	<i>"I'll get tested if the symptoms last for more than 24 hours" "if its just something like runny nose but no other symptoms show up - No but if I have more than 1 then I'll get tested"</i>
	I don't believe it can be covid as it's so rare	<i>"No community transmission, highly unlikely to be COVID-19"</i>
	I will only get a test if advised to by my GP	<i>"Get tested if my dr refers me to be tested"</i>
Automatic motivation	I think getting tested may result in problems with my visa or with official bodies	<i>"Might be excluded from starting a new job"</i>
	Misinformation or myths/different views on managing COVID	<i>"I believe in herd immunity"</i>
Automatic motivation	I am scared of the test	<i>"Afraid of the uncomfortable test"</i>

Study 2: Barrier prevalence (November 2020, n=2034)

Table 4 shows the prevalence and importance of barriers selected, from those participants who selected any barrier (941, 46.3%). The top barriers were related to motivation: 'I know what symptoms I have and don't believe they are COVID-19 ones e.g. hayfever/normal cold' (selected by 28%, with 10% ranking it most important), and 'It is unlikely I have COVID-19 because there aren't many cases in my area' (18% selected, with 5% ranking it most important). Capability issues were also common: 'I'm not sure my symptoms are bad enough' (19% selected, with 5% ranking it most important), and 'I'm not sure this symptom is one that needs testing' (15% selected, with 3% ranking it most important). Social opportunity issues were uncommon: 6% were worried what others might think if they got a positive COVID-19 test, and 4% worried what others would think if they got tested at all. Physical opportunity issues were not specifically listed as explained in methods, but frequent responses for other issues included disabilities, access (especially distance to travel to a testing centre) and time restrictions.

When we compared participants with low versus high health literacy, we found very similar issues arose for the top 10 barriers, covering reflective motivation and psychological capability. However, there were significant differences in the proportion of selections for the two groups. People with low health literacy were more likely to select certain capability issues: I'm not sure how to get tested, I'm not sure if this symptom needs testing; and motivation issues: I would prefer to self-isolate instead, I think the test is painful, I'm worried about spreading my illness, I'm worried I will catch COVID-19, I don't want to hear that I'm positive, I don't trust people who are asking me to take a test (Table 5).

Table 4: Study 2 prevalence of barriers in nationally representative sample (November 2020, n=2034)

COM-B category	Barrier selected from x	Number of selections (%)	Number of people to rank it first (%)
Reflective motivation	I know what symptoms I have and don't believe they are COVID-19 ones e.g. hayfever/normal cold	562 (27.6)	200 (9.8)
Psychological capability	I'm not sure my symptoms are bad enough	387 (19.0)	109 (5.4)
Reflective motivation	It is unlikely I have COVID-19 because there aren't many cases in my area	366 (18.0)	104 (5.1)
Reflective motivation	I would prefer to self-isolate instead	341 (16.8)	73 (3.6)
Psychological capability	I'm not sure this symptom is one that needs testing	306 (15.0)	66 (3.2)
Reflective motivation	I think the test is painful	276 (13.6)	67 (3.3)
Reflective motivation	I'm worried I will catch COVID-19 myself whilst getting tested or on the way to the testing centre	219 (10.8)	34 (1.7)
Reflective motivation	I am worried about spreading my illness on the way to the testing centre	207 (10.2)	39 (1.9)
Reflective motivation	I will only get tested if my GP tells me I should	187 (9.2)	63 (3.1)
Reflective motivation	I have already got tested for these symptoms and was negative	150 (7.4)	34 (1.7)
Reflective motivation	I think the process of testing is too much effort	148 (7.3)	15 (0.7)
Reflective motivation	I don't want to take public transport	143 (7.0)	31 (1.5)
Psychological capability	I'm not sure how to get tested	123 (6.0)	27 (1.3)
Reflective motivation	I don't want to self-isolate after the test	118 (5.8)	16 (0.8)
Social opportunity	I am worried what others will think of me being positive for COVID-19	117 (5.8)	29 (1.4)
Psychological capability	I have been told not to get tested even if I have symptoms	113 (5.6)	33 (1.6)
Reflective motivation	I had a bad experience when I got tested before	89 (4.4)	10 (0.5)
Reflective motivation	I have the symptoms but will wait for them to get worse first	88 (4.3)	9 (0.4)
Reflective motivation	I don't want to take up resources for testing so that others can't get tested	88 (4.3)	8 (0.4)
Reflective motivation	I don't want to hear that I'm positive for COVID-19	85 (4.2)	5 (0.2)

Social opportunity	I am worried what others will think of me having a test	75 (3.7)	6 (0.3)
Reflective motivation	I don't trust people who are asking me to take a test	72 (3.5)	16 (0.8)
Reflective motivation	I don't think the testing works or results are reliable enough	66 (3.2)	15 (0.7)
Psychological capability	I don't understand why I need to get tested	48 (2.4)	12 (0.6)
Reflective motivation	I think getting tested may result in problems with my visa or with official bodies	33 (1.6)	5 (0.2)

Table 5: Study 2 frequency of barrier selection by health literacy level (November 2020, n=2034)

BARRIER	Low health literacy (n=334)	High health literacy (n=1681)	p-value
I would prefer to self-isolate instead	71 (21.3)	194 (11.5)	<.001*
I know what symptoms I have and don't believe they are COVID-19 ones e.g. hayfever/normal cold	61 (18.3)	241 (14.3)	.066
I think the test is painful	49 (14.7)	154 (9.2)	.002*
I'm not sure my symptoms are bad enough	45 (13.5)	182 (10.8)	.16
I'm not sure this symptom is one that needs testing	41 (12.3)	134 (8.0)	.011*
I am worried about spreading my illness on the way to the testing centre	39 (11.7)	105 (6.2)	<.001*
It is unlikely I have COVID-19 because there aren't many cases in my area	37 (11.1)	159 (9.5)	.36
I'm worried I will catch COVID-19 myself whilst getting tested or on the way to the testing centre	37 (11.1)	104 (6.2)	.001*
I'm not sure how to get tested	36 (10.8)	59 (3.5)	<.001*
I will only get tested if my GP tells me I should	30 (9.0)	126 (7.5)	.35
I don't want to take public transport	24 (7.2)	80 (4.8)	.067
I have already got tested for these symptoms and was negative	24 (7.2)	71 (4.2)	.020
I think the process of testing is too much effort	24 (7.2)	78 (4.6)	.053
I don't want to hear that I'm positive for COVID-19	21 (6.3)	42 (2.5)	<.001*
I have been told not to get tested even if I have symptoms	18 (5.4)	65 (3.9)	.20
I don't trust people who are asking me to take a test	17 (5.1)	45 (2.7)	.020*
I am worried what others will think of me being positive for COVID-19	16 (4.8)	66 (3.9)	.47
I don't want to take up resources for testing so that others can't	15 (4.5)	41 (2.4)	.037

get tested			
I am worried what others will think of me having a test	14 (4.2)	43 (2.6)	.10
I don't think the testing works or results are reliable enough	13 (3.9)	44 (2.6)	.20
I had a bad experience when I got tested before	12 (3.6)	49 (2.9)	.51
I don't understand why I need to get tested	9 (2.7)	34 (2.0)	.44
I don't want to self-isolate after the test	9 (2.7)	46 (2.7)	.97
I have the symptoms but will wait for them to get worse first	6 (1.8)	45 (2.7)	.35
I think getting tested may result in problems with my visa or with official bodies	6 (1.8)	21 (1.2)	.43

Note: Comparison of proportions using independent chi-squared tests. * indicates significance at 0.05 level.

DISCUSSION

These results provide new insights into behavioural barriers to COVID-19 testing, which is central to understanding and controlling the pandemic. Study 1 identified a wide range of barriers to COVID-19 testing that have not previously been described in the COVID-19 literature. These covered all three behavioural drivers in the COM-B model (capability, opportunity and motivation). Study 2 found that the motivation and capability barriers were far more prevalent than opportunity barriers in Australia. The top six most frequently selected barriers were the same as the most important barriers, covering psychological capability and reflective motivation. These issues were around misunderstanding COVID-19 symptoms, preferring to self-isolate rather than get a painful test, and believing there are few local cases. Many of these issues were more prevalent amongst people with lower health literacy. The large range of barriers identified in this study indicate that testing is a complex issue, so it requires a multifaceted approach to improve uptake depending on key barriers in different communities.

The most prevalent category of barriers in this study was motivation (e.g. don't believe symptoms are COVID-19), which is likely to influence both testing intentions and behaviours. To address low intentions to test we need to identify and address the specific and wide-ranging concerns that individuals have. For some people, online symptom checkers could be a channel to provide tailored information, where users could be prompted for key concerns to link them to the most relevant information^{21,22}, as opposed to searching for this in long 'Frequently Asked Questions' pages on government health websites. However, symptom checkers are not always reliable²³, and may not be accessed by some groups such as culturally and linguistically diverse communities. Public health messaging could be better targeted to key motivation issues in different communities to address this. Our findings suggest a need to make it clear that self isolation means 14 days not just a few days while you have symptoms, so this is not seen as a good reason to avoid a painful test. We could also appeal to community benefits of testing, as this may be more motivating than individual risk in areas with low rates of COVID-19²⁴. To address gaps between testing intentions and actual behaviour, people could be supported to

plan in advance for the inconvenience of testing and self isolation; for example, managers could have a plan worked out in advance with their employees for how they will notify work and change shifts or work from home. Setting goals in advance has been shown to change various health-related behaviours^{25,26}, and this strategy has been applied in Australia to help communities plan for bushfire management²⁷.

For capability barriers (e.g. not sure which symptoms need testing), we know that government information about COVID-19 in Australia and in many countries is difficult to understand for the average person based on readability analyses^{28,29}, and that communities with different language needs are not being adequately addressed³⁰. We have also identified that certain groups are more susceptible to misinformation, such as younger people and men¹⁹. Addressing different information needs in the community may require using less traditional communication channels³¹ and different spokespeople to reach these groups, such as social media influencers³².

Although the Australian testing system is free and widely accessible, there are still important opportunity barriers particularly around financial losses and work expectations that require system changes. The state of Victoria addressed this issue during an outbreak in 2020 by providing financial support for those who were self isolating following a COVID-19 test, to support casual workers and others without access to sick pay³³. There are also social opportunity issues where stigma and perceived judgment by others could prevent individuals from getting tested, which we have seen in other infectious disease conditions such as HIV³⁴.

The study occurred in a context where risk of COVID-19 has been relatively low compared to many other countries worldwide. Testing behaviour has been a key part of identifying and controlling emerging COVID-19 outbreaks. This will likely become more relevant in countries where vaccine rollout enables the current large number of new cases to be contained. Our findings from June 2020 are very similar to September results from national flu tracking data, which show 51% of people who experienced COVID-19 symptoms did not get tested³⁵. We found 51% of people did not “strongly agree” they would get tested, but most people agreed to some

extent and addressing perceived barriers may improve this overall positive orientation to COVID-19 testing. Another survey conducted in Australia in August 2020 found much higher rates of testing avoidance, and reported 85% of respondents who had symptoms had not been tested³⁶. The effect of vaccine rollout on testing intentions in Australia remains to be seen, with only a small proportion of the population currently vaccinated and none at the time of data collection³⁷.

Future research

We have registered a trial to test a tailored intervention to address the capability and motivation issues identified in this study³⁸ (ACTRN12620001355965), but broader system approaches are also needed to address opportunity issues. This may include working with the media to avoid the identification and stigmatisation of individuals with positive COVID-19 test results, which has repeatedly happened where a single case is linked to a new outbreak³⁹. Our findings provide a starting point for other surveys to identify and address local testing barriers. Media reports and anecdotal data from frontline health professionals may be a useful way to quickly identify emerging local issues that could supplement our list of testing barriers to make it more relevant to local communities.

Strengths and limitations

Our initial study only provided a limited list of testing barriers proposed by the NSW Department of Health, but this sample was not representative and did not cover a large number of “other” responses. We addressed this in our second study with a nationally representative sample and a full list of barriers. However, even the second study was not representative of all community groups, particularly those from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds which has been identified as a key area of need in Australia. We are currently conducting a separate survey with these groups using interpreters to conduct the survey in preferred languages, as a partnership with Western Sydney Local health District. The findings may not be generalisable to other countries, particularly where COVID-19 prevalence is too high for a test and trace approach to be feasible, and where opportunity issues such as cost or physical access to testing is a problem.

However, understanding COVID-19 testing barriers can help all countries better prepare for the next pandemic where a test-trace-isolate system can be used.

Conclusion

This paper uncovers a wide range of barriers to COVID-19 testing that had not previously been identified in the COVID-19 prevention literature. The prevalence estimates show that motivation and capability issues need to be addressed in Australia in order to increase testing behaviour, particularly for groups with lower health literacy. Interventions to improve testing uptake need to identify key barriers for target populations, and specifically address these using evidence-based behaviour change techniques. Our findings support broader advice from international experts in behaviour change, highlighting the importance of diagnosing behavioural barriers in order to increase adherence with COVID-19 prevention behaviours².

REFERENCES

1. Michie S, West R. Behavioural, environmental, social, and systems interventions against covid-19. *BMJ*. 2020;370. doi:10.1136/bmj.m2982
2. West R, Michie S, James Rubin G, Amlôt R. Applying principles of behaviour change to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *Nat Hum Behav*. doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0887-9
3. COVID-19 (Coronavirus) statistics. NSW Health. Published 2020. Accessed April 24, 2021. https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/news/Pages/20201231_00.aspx
4. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci*. 2011;6(1):42. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-42
5. Nakkazi E. Obstacles to COVID-19 control in east Africa. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(6):660. Accessed September 8, 2020. www.thelancet.com/infection
6. Capps R, Gelatt J. *Barriers to COVID-19 Testing and Treatment: Immigrants without Health Coverage in the United States.*; 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/covid-19-testing-treatment-immigrants-health-insurance>
7. Frieden J. Lack of Health Literacy a Barrier to Grasping COVID-19. Published June 10, 2020. Accessed September 8, 2020. <https://www.medpagetoday.com/infectiousdisease/covid19/87002>
8. Bruton B, Edwards N. Barriers to mass testing for COVID-19 in Africa. Atlantic Council. Published April 17, 2020. Accessed September 8, 2020. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/africasource/barriers-to-mass-testing-for-covid-19-in-africa/>
9. What you need to know about coronavirus (COVID-19) | Australian Government Department of Health. Australian Government Department of Health. Published September 10, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.health.gov.au/news/health-alerts/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov-health-alert/what-you-need-to-know-about-coronavirus-covid-19>
10. Maasdorp J. How long should it take to get a COVID-19 test result? Here's the breakdown for each state. ABC News. Published August 11, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020.

- <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-08-11/how-long-should-it-take-to-get-a-coronavirus-covid19-test-result/12545150>
11. Hamilton-Smith L. Parklands Christian College hit by coronavirus scare to remain closed another week as test result delays stretch patience. ABC News. Published August 4, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-08-04/coronavirus-queensland-parkland-christian-college-test-delays/12518538>
 12. Gibson B, Dalton T. Coronavirus swabs trucked to Melbourne for testing causes delay in results. ABC News. Published March 31, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-03-31/coronavirus-swabs-trucked-to-city-causing-result-delays/12102568>
 13. No new coronavirus cases in SA, as Health Minister blames test result delays on IT glitch. ABC News. Published August 10, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-08-10/sa-coronavirus-test-week-long-wait-times-because-of-it-issues/12541590>
 14. McCaffery K, Dodd R, Cvejic E, et al. Disparities in COVID-19 related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours by health literacy. *Public Heal Res Pract.* 2020;30(4):30342012. doi:<https://doi.org/10.17061/phrp30342012>
 15. Pickles K, Cvejic E, Nickel B, et al. COVID-19 Misinformation Trends in Australia: Prospective Longitudinal National Survey. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23(1):e23805. doi:10.2196/23805
 16. Jordan J, Yoeli E, Rand DG. Don't get it or don't spread it? Comparing self-interested versus prosocially framed COVID-19 prevention messaging. *PsyArXiv Prepr.* Published online 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://psyarxiv.com/yuq7x/>
 17. Yonemitsu, F., Ikeda, A., Yoshimura, N., Takashima, K., Mori, Y., Sasaki, K., Qian, K. & Y. Warning 'Don't spread' vs. 'Don't be a spreader' to prevent the COVID-19 pandemic. *R Soc Open Sci.* 2020;In press.
 18. Michie S, Bullen C, Lazarus J V, et al. New COVID variants have changed the game, and vaccines will not be enough. We need global "maximum suppression." *The Conversation.* Published April 6, 2021. Accessed April 24, 2021. <https://theconversation.com/new-covid-variants-have-changed-the-game-and-vaccines-will-not-be-enough-we-need-global-maximum-suppression-157870>

19. Pickles K, Cvejic E, Nickel B, et al. COVID-19: Beliefs in misinformation in the Australian community. *medRxiv Prepr Serv Heal Sci*. Published online August 6, 2020:2020.08.04.20168583. Accessed September 8, 2020. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.04.20168583v1>
20. Wallace LS, Rogers ES, Roskos SE, Holiday DB, Weiss BD. Brief report: Screening items to identify patients with limited health literacy skills. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21(8):874-877. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00532.x
21. healthdirect Symptom Checker. Health Direct. Published 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.healthdirect.gov.au/symptom-checker/tool/basic-details>
22. Coronavirus (COVID-19) interactive self-assessment. Department of Health and Human Services Victoria. Published June 19, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2020. <https://www.dhhs.vic.gov.au/coronavirus-self-assessment>
23. Dunn AG. Will online symptom checkers improve health care in Australia? *Med J Aust*. 2020;212(11):512-513. doi:10.5694/mja2.50621
24. Ayre J, Cvejic E, McCaffery K, et al. Contextualising COVID-19 prevention behaviour over time in Australia: Patterns and long-term predictors from April to July 2020 in an online social media sample. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(6):e0253930. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253930>
25. Gollwitzer PM, Sheeran P. Implementation Intentions and Goal Achievement: A Meta-analysis of Effects and Processes. *Adv Exp Soc Psychol*. 2006;38:69-119. doi:10.1016/S0065-2601(06)38002-1
26. Kwasnicka D, Penseu J, White M, Sniehotta FF. Does planning how to cope with anticipated barriers facilitate health-related behaviour change? A systematic review. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2013;7(2):129-145. doi:10.1080/17437199.2013.766832
27. Plan and prepare - NSW Rural Fire Service. NSW Rural Fire Service. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/plan-and-prepare>
28. Basch CH, Mohlman J, Hillyer GC, Garcia P. Public Health Communication in Time of Crisis: Readability of On-Line COVID-19 Information. Published online 2020. doi:10.1017/dmp.2020.151
29. Mishra V, Dexter JP. Comparison of Readability of Official Public Health Information About COVID-19 on Websites of International Agencies and the Governments of 15 Countries. *JAMA*

- Netw open.* 2020;3(8):e2018033. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.18033
30. Grey A. Multilingual Australia is missing out on vital COVID-19 information. No wonder local councils and businesses are stepping in. *The Conversation*. Published June 29, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://theconversation.com/multilingual-australia-is-missing-out-on-vital-covid-19-information-no-wonder-local-councils-and-businesses-are-stepping-in-141362>
 31. Cockburn P. Young Australians avoid COVID-19 news so traditional health messaging doesn't work - ABC News. ABC News. Published August 7, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/science/2020-08-07/why-young-people-are-avoiding-covid-news/12531468>
 32. Bonner C, Nickel B, Pickles K. Young men are more likely to believe COVID-19 myths. So how do we actually reach them? *The Conversation*. Published August 10, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://theconversation.com/young-men-are-more-likely-to-believe-covid-19-myths-so-how-do-we-actually-reach-them-143745>
 33. Department of Health and Human Services Victoria | \$450 Coronavirus (COVID-19) Test Isolation Payment. Victoria State Government. Published August 18, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.dhhs.vic.gov.au/employee-isolation-payment-covid-19>
 34. Findlay J. Concerns stigma and fear might put people off from being tested for COVID-19 - ABC News. ABC News. Published September 18, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-09-17/covid-19-stigma-could-be-a-barrier-to-testing-hiv-advocate-says/12603948>
 35. Australia Reports | Flutracking.net. FluTracking. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://info.flutracking.net/reports-2/australia-reports/>
 36. Grundy E, Mangiarulo M, Slattery P. SCRUB COVID-19 Survey Wave 7: How the health and compliance of Australians is changing over time - BehaviourWorks Australia. Behaviour Works Australia. Published September 2, 2020. Accessed September 22, 2020. <https://www.behaviourworksaustralia.org/scrub-covid-19-survey-wave-7-how-the-health-and-compliance-of-australians-is-changing-over-time/>
 37. Mannix L. Did Australia get its vaccine strategy right? *The Sydney Morning Herald*. Published April 16, 2021. Accessed April 24, 2021. <https://www.smh.com.au/national/did-australia-get-its->

[vaccine-strategy-right-20210415-p57jey.html](#)

38. ANZCTR - Registration. Accessed March 25, 2021.

<https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?id=380916&isReview=true>

39. Southerton C. Queensland's coronavirus controversy: past pandemics show us public shaming could harm public health. The Conversation. Published July 31, 2020. Accessed April 24, 2021. <https://theconversation.com/queenslands-coronavirus-controversy-past-pandemics-show-us-public-shaming-could-harm-public-health-143699>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the participants of the longitudinal COVID-19 survey for their ongoing participation in this research. This study was not specifically funded, but in-kind support was provided by authors with research fellowships.

CB is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)/Heart Foundation Early Career Fellowship (#1122788).

RD is supported by a University of Sydney fellowship (#197589).

KM is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Principal Research Fellowship (#1121110).