Long-term immunogenicity of BNT162b2 vaccination in the elderly and in younger health care workers

Pinkus Tober-Lau1*, Tatjana Schwarz2*, Kanika Vanshylla3*, David Hillus1*, Henning Gruell3,4, EICOV/COVIM Study Group, Norbert Suttorp1, Irmgard Landgraf5, Kai Kappert6,7, Joachim Seybold8, Christian Drosten2*, Florian Klein3,4,9#, Florian Kurth1,10#, Victor Max Corman2§#, Leif Erik Sander1§#

1Department of Infectious Diseases and Respiratory Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany
2Institute of Virology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany, and German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF), partner site Charité, 10117 Berlin, Germany
3Laboratory of Experimental Immunology, Institute of Virology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany
4German Center for Infection Research, Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany
5Hausarztpraxis am Agaplesion Bethanien Sophienhaus, 12163 Berlin, Germany
6Institute of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Chemistry and Pathobiochemistry, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany
7Labor Berlin - Charité Vivantes GmbH, 13353 Berlin, Germany.
8Medical Directorate, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany
9Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), University of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany
10Department of Tropical Medicine, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine and Department of Medicine I, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20359, Hamburg, Germany

*these authors contributed equally
§shared senior authors
#Address correspondence to:
leif-erik.sander@charite.de; victor.corman@charite.de; florian.kurth@charite.de

EICOV/COVIM Study Group:
Elisa T. Helbig; Lena J. Lippert; Charlotte Thibeault; Friederike Münn; Janine Michel; Patricia Tscheak; Marie Luisa Schmidt; Johanna Riege; Leon Bergfeld; Lara Maria Jeworowski; Claudia Conrad; Stefanie Jentsch; Chantal Dang-Heine; Kai Kappert; Rudolf Tauber; Sebastian Kühn; Sevda Senaydin; Mira Risham; Sein Schmidt; Maria Rönnefarth; Christof von Kalle; Alexander Krakovich; Piotr Kopankiewicz; Harald Bias; Denise Treue; Dana Briesemeister; Jenny Schlesinger; Andreas Hetey; Michelle Lisy; Luisa Kegel; Annelie Richter; Ben Al-Rim; Birgit Maeß; Kerstin Behn; Saskia Zvoric; Isabelle Schellenberger; Christina Pley; Georg Schwantitz; Viktoria Schenk; Norma Bethke; Claudia Höls; Sebastian Dietze; Christian Pfeifer; Doris Frey; Julie-Anne Gabelich; Ute Gläser; Sarah Steinbrecher; Lara Bardtke; Anna Hiller; Sophia Brumhard; Kai Pohl; Daniel Wendisch; Philipp Georg; Anne-Sophie Sinnigen; Carolin Rubisch; Lisbeth Hasler; Angela Sanchez Rezza; Pedro de Macedo Gomes; Paolo Kroneberg; Alexandra Horn; Willi Koch; Paula Stubbemann;

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Abstract:

COVID-19 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 is highly immunogenic and effective, but recent studies have indicated waning anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune responses over time. Increasing infection rates has led authorities in several countries to initiate booster campaigns for vulnerable populations, including the elderly. However, the durability of vaccine-induced immunity in the elderly is currently unknown. Here, we describe interim results of a prospective cohort study comparing immune responses in a cohort of vaccinated elderly persons to those in healthcare workers (HCW), measured six months after first immunisation with BNT162b2. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1-, full Spike- and RBD-IgG seropositivity rates and IgG levels at six months were significantly lower in the elderly compared to HCW. Serum neutralization of Delta VOC measured by pseudovirus neutralisation test was detectable in 43/71 (60.6%, 95%CI: 48.9-71.1) in the elderly cohort compared to 79/83 in the HCW cohort (95.2%, 95%CI: 88.3-98.1) at six months post vaccination. Consistent with the overall lower antibody levels, SARS-CoV-2-S1 T cell reactivity was reduced in the elderly compared to HCW (261.6 mIU/ml, IQR:141.5-828.6 vs 1198.0 mIU/ml, IQR: 593.9-2533.6, p<0.0001). Collectively, these findings suggest that the established two-dose vaccination regimen elicits less durable immune responses in the elderly compared to young adults. Given the recent surge in hospitalisations, even in countries with high vaccination rates such as Israel, the current data may support booster vaccinations of the elderly. Further studies to determine long-term effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in high-risk populations and the safety and effectiveness of additional boosters are needed.

Main text:

COVID-19 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 (Comirnaty) is highly immunogenic and effective against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Recent studies have indicated decreasing anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels, but largely stable vaccine efficacy six months after initial vaccination. The emergence of variants of concern (VOC), particularly Delta (B.1.617.2), has raised concerns about waning protection, particularly in risk populations such as the elderly, who display reduced immune responses to BNT162b2 vaccination compared to younger adults. In light of increasing infection rates caused by the Delta VOC, authorities in several countries, including in the United States, Israel, and Germany, are discussing or have recently begun offering a booster dose to vulnerable populations, such as all persons over 60 years of age. However, the durability of vaccine-induced immunity in the elderly is currently unknown. Following up on a previous analysis, we describe interim results of a prospective cohort study comparing immune responses in a cohort of vaccinated elderly persons to those in healthcare workers (HCW), measured six months after first immunisation with BNT162b2.

Six-month follow-up visits were completed by 107 HCW (median age 35 [IQR: 30-48] years, 60.7% female) and 82 elderly persons (median age 82.5 [IQR: 78-87] years, 74.4% female) (Appendix Table 1). Twelve participants were excluded from further analysis due to RT-PCR- or serologically confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection at baseline or before the second vaccination (Appendix Table 1). Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1-IgG seropositivity rates at six months
were lower in the elderly (48/80, 60.0%, 95%CI: 49.0-70.0) compared to HCW (95/97, 97.9%, 95%CI: 92.8-99.6; p<0.0001). Median anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1-IgG levels were also lower in the elderly (1.2 S/Co, IQR: 0.5-2.2) than in HCW (median 3.2 S/Co, IQR: 2.4-4.1, p<0.0001) (Fig 1A). Similar results were obtained for serum anti-RBD- and anti-full spike-IgG levels (Appendix Fig. S1B, C). In line with reduced seropositivity and antibody levels, we observed significantly lower surrogate virus neutralization (sVNT) titres among the elderly (56.6%, IQR: 30.1-69.6) compared to HCW (88.1%, IQR: 79.3-93.1, p<0.0001) (Appendix Fig. S1D). Pseudovirus neutralization test (pNT) revealed a significant decline of serum neutralization of Delta VOC from two months after first vaccination (i.e. four weeks after second vaccination). Serum neutralization of Delta VOC was detectable in 43/71 (60.6%, 95%CI: 48.9-71.1) in the elderly cohort compared to 79/83 in the HCW cohort (95.2%, 95%CI: 88.3-98.1) at six months post vaccination (Fig. 1B). Similarly, neutralization of Alpha VOC was observed in 79/83 (95.2%, 95%CI: 88.3-98.1) HCW versus 49/71 (69.0%, 95%CI: 57.5-78.6) of the elderly cohort six months after vaccination (Fig. S1E). Mean neutralizing titres for both Alpha and Delta VOCs were significantly lower in the elderly cohort (geometric mean ID₅₀ Alpha: 20.15, 95%CI:15.34-26.46; Delta: 14.5, 95%CI: 11.5-18.2) compared to HCW (Alpha: 134.4, 95%CI:104.2-173.4; Delta: 72.7, 95%CI: 58.7-89.9, p<0.0001). The recent rise of viral variants carrying immune escape spike mutations like E484K, may further reduce immunity in the elderly. Binding capacity of serum antibodies to RBDs with distinct mutation patterns (K417N/T, L425R, T478K, E484K/Q, N501Y, and E484Q) found in six known SARS-CoV-2 variants were significantly lower in the elderly compared to the HCW cohort (p<0.0001 for all RBDs, Appendix Fig. S1F). Consistent with the antibody responses, SARS-CoV-2-S1 T cell reactivity was reduced in the elderly compared to HCW (261.6 mIU/ml, IQR:141.5-828.6 vs 1198.0 mIU/ml, IQR: 593.9-2533.6, p<0.0001) (Fig. 1C).

The study provides evidence of waning immunity to COVID-19 vaccination in the elderly, with 39.4% of elderly participants lacking detectable serum neutralizing activity against the Delta variant six months after first vaccination with BNT162b2. Reassuringly, neutralizing capacity was still detectable in the vast majority of young adults. Decline in antibody levels in the first six months post vaccination is generally expected and has also been observed for COVID-19 vaccines. In our study, all investigated immunogenicity markers were markedly reduced in the elderly compared to younger HCW six months post vaccination. These data suggest that the established two-dose vaccination regimen elicits less durable immune responses in the elderly compared to young adults. Analyses of the phase 3 efficacy trial of BNT162b2 show that vaccine efficacy against symptomatic disease remains at 91% through a six-month follow-up. However, the Delta VOC was not prevalent during the observation period and the majority (59.3%) of the study participants were younger, aged 16-55 (median 51). Given the recent surge in cases and hospitalisations, even in countries with high vaccination rates like Israel, and evidence of increasing breakthrough infections, our data may support booster vaccinations of the elderly, given the strongly increased risk of severe disease and death with older age. Studies to determine long-term effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in high-risk populations are needed.
Figure 1: BNT162b2 induced SARS-CoV-2 antibody and T cell response six months after vaccination in HCW and elderly persons. (A) Anti-S1 IgG in serum measured by a microarray-based immunoassay, (B) serum pseudovirus neutralization against the Delta VOC two and six months after vaccination measured by pNT, and (C) SARS-CoV-2 S1 specific T cell response detected by IGRA. Dotted horizontal lines indicate the manufacturer's threshold for anti-S1 IgG ≥1 S/Co (A) and the lower limit of detection (1:10 dilution) for pNT (B). Horizontal lines within plotted data regions indicate the median and interquartile range, except for pNT, where the geometric mean and 95% confidence interval is shown. P values (all <0.0001) were calculated by non-parametric Mann Whitney U test. S/Co: signal-to-cutoff, pNT: pseudovirus neutralization test, ID<sub>50</sub>: 50% inhibition dilution, IGRA: interferon-γ release assay, IU: international units.
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Figure S1: Anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein, RBD, and full spike IgG response 6 months after vaccination. (A) Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N, (B) RBD- (C) and full-spike IgG measured in the serum of BNT162b2 vaccinated HCW and elderly persons six months after the first vaccination. (D) Neutralizing capacity was measured by sVNT and (E) serum neutralization against Alpha (B.1.1.7) VOC detected by pNT in vaccinated HCW and elderly persons six months after the first vaccination. (F) Binding capacity of serum IgG against six different RBDs of SARS-CoV-2 variants carrying the indicated mutations in HCW and elderly, measured by ELISA. Dotted lines indicate the manufacturer’s threshold values: for anti-N, anti-RBD, and anti-full spike IgG ≥1 S/Co, for sVNT >30%, and the lower limit of detection (1:10 dilution) for pNT. Lines indicate the median and interquartile range except for pNT, where the geometric mean and 95% confidence interval are shown. P values were calculated by the non-parametric Mann Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. S/Co: signal-to-cutoff, N: nucleocapsid protein, RBD: receptor-binding domain, sVNT: surrogate virus neutralization test, ACE2: angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, ID50: 50% inhibition dilution.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>healthcare workers (HCW)</th>
<th>elderly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n, %)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.7%</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, %)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0-48.0</td>
<td>78.0-87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h/o SARS-CoV-2 infection (n, %)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included in analysis (n, %)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosing interval (days)*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-21</td>
<td>21-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling interval 2 months post 1st vaccination (days)*</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48-52</td>
<td>46-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling interval 6 months post 1st vaccination (days)*</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>161-168</td>
<td>172-175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristics of the elderly and healthcare workers (HCW) cohorts who completed six-month follow-up visits. All data are presented as median (IQR), unless indicated otherwise. h/o: history of SARS-CoV-2 infection at baseline or before 2nd vaccination, determined by serology or PCR test. *Excluding participants with past infection with SARS-CoV-2.
Study participants

Study participants were recruited in the EICOV, COVIMMUNIZE, and COVIM studies, three prospective cohort studies conducted under the auspices of Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice. EICOV and COVIMMUNIZE studies were approved by the local ethics committee of Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin (EA4/244/20, EA4/245/20), and COVIM was approved by the Federal Institute for Vaccines and Biomedicines (Paul Ehrlich Institute) and by the ethics committee of the state of Berlin (EudraCT-2021–001512–28). Written informed consent was obtained by all participants, according to local and national regulations.

Blood sampling was conducted before 1st vaccination, 4±1 weeks after the 1st and 2nd vaccinations, respectively, and 6±1 months after the 1st vaccination. SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) of oropharyngeal swabs were performed during study visits in order to detect concomitant infections. Study participants were eligible for analysis of immunogenicity if they had completed a course of two vaccinations with BNT162b2 and the first dose was administered at least 22 weeks before the 6-month visit.

Antibody assessment and Interferon-γ Release of SARS-CoV-2–Specific T Cells

Blood samples were tested for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, neutralizing capacity, and T cell reactivity as previously described. In brief, SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies were quantified using the commercially available SeraSpot® Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG microarray-based immunoassay including nucleocapsid and spike as antigens (Seramun Diagnostica GmbH, https://www.seramun.com), allowing for differentiation between infection and vaccine-induced immune responses. Functional neutralization capacity was investigated using a commercially available ELISA-based SARS-CoV-2 RBD-ACE2 binding inhibition assay (surrogate SARS-CoV-2 neutralization test (sVNT) cPass (medac GmbH, https://international.medac.de). A lentivirus-based SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus neutralization assay (pNT) was employed to determine serum 50% inhibitory dilutions (ID50) against Alpha (B.1.1.7) and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants of concern. SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell responses were measured by an interferon-γ release assay (IGRA) of S1 stimulated T cells in whole blood using a commercially available kit (EUROIMMUN AG, https://www.euroimmun.de). SARS-CoV-2 RBD variants antibody testing was performed using RBD proteins (provided by InVivo BioTech Services GmbH, Hennigsdorf, Germany). Different RBD proteins were printed in an array format in a similar manner as done for the screening SeraSpot® Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG microarray (Seramun Diagnostica GmbH, https://www.seramun.com) and tested the same way.
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