

1 Using routine emergency department data for syndromic surveillance of 2 acute respiratory illness in Germany, week 10-2017 to 10-2021

3 **Authors:** T. Sonia Boender*¹, Wei Cai¹, Madlen Schranz^{1,2}, Theresa Kocher^{1,3}, Birte Wagner¹,
4 Alexander Ullrich¹, Silke Buda¹, Rebecca Zöllner⁴, Felix Greiner^{5,6,7}, Michaela Diercke¹, Linus
5 Grabenhenrich³

6 **Affiliations:**

- 7 1) Robert Koch Institute, Department for Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Berlin, Germany
- 8 2) Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and
9 Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Public Health, Berlin, Germany
- 10 3) Robert Koch Institute, Department for Methodology and Research Infrastructure, Berlin,
11 Germany
- 12 4) Health Protection Authority, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 13 5) Department of Trauma Surgery, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Magdeburg,
14 Germany
- 15 6) AKTIN – Emergency Department Data Registry, Magdeburg/Aachen, Germany
- 16 7) Institute for Occupational and Maritime Medicine (ZfAM), University Medical Center
17 Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Germany

18 *Corresponding author: Dr Sonia Boender, BoenderS@rki.de

19 **Key words (MeSH Terms):** Public Health Surveillance; COVID-19; Respiratory Tract Infections;
20 Emergency Service, Hospital.

21 **Word count:** 246 abstract; 3,570 main body; 51 references; tables: 1 main, 2 supplementary
22 figures: 5 main, 5 supplementary.

23 **Conflict of interest statement:** None declared.

24 **Funding:** The Robert Koch Institute is an Institute within the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of
25 Health. This work was funded by the Innovation Committee of the Federal Joint Committee
26 (*Innovationsfonds des Gemeinsamen Bundesausschusses, G-BA*) [ESEG project, grant number
27 01VSF17034]. The implementation and operation of the AKTIN – Emergency Department Data
28 Registry is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

29 **Abstract**

30 Background

31 The Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic expanded the need for timely information
32 on acute respiratory illness on the population level.

33 Aim

34 We explored the potential of routine emergency department data for syndromic surveillance of
35 acute respiratory illness in Germany.

36 Methods

37 We included routine attendance data from emergency departments who continuously transferred
38 data between week 10-2017 and 10-2021, with ICD-10 codes available for >75% of the
39 attendances. Case definitions for acute respiratory illness (ARI), severe ARI (SARI), influenza-
40 like illness (ILI), respiratory syncytial virus disease (RSV) and COVID-19 were based on a
41 combination of ICD-10 codes, and/or chief complaints, sometimes combined with information on
42 hospitalisation and age.

43 Results

44 We included 1,372,958 attendances from eight emergency departments. The number of
45 attendances dropped in March 2020, increased during summer, and declined again during the
46 resurgence of COVID-19 cases in autumn and winter of 2020/2021. A pattern of seasonality of acute
47 respiratory infections could be observed. By using different case definitions (i.e. for ARI, SARI,
48 ILI, RSV) both the annual influenza seasons in the years 2017-2020 and the dynamics of the
49 COVID-19 pandemic in 2020-2021 were apparent. The absence of the 2020/2021 flu season was
50 visible, parallel to the resurgence of COVID-19 cases. The percentage SARI among ARI cases
51 peaked in April-May 2020 (17%) and November 2020-January 2021 (14%).

52 Conclusion

53 Syndromic surveillance using routine emergency department data has the potential to monitor the
54 trends, timing, duration, magnitude and severity of illness caused by respiratory viruses, including
55 both influenza and SARS-CoV-2.

56 Introduction

57 The response to the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic expanded the need for
58 timely information on acute respiratory illness on the population level, to trigger public health
59 action, and support healthcare planning. Therefore, robust surveillance systems of respiratory
60 illnesses are essential to monitor the burden of disease, and therewith inform public health to take
61 appropriate and timely measures. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as the
62 associated public health and social measures to contain the spread of the virus, have affected
63 the transmission of not only seasonal influenza, but also other respiratory viruses in Germany (1,
64 2). These changes in the epidemiological situation affect routine surveillance systems for
65 monitoring the epidemiology and virology of respiratory illnesses (3). Therefore, further
66 investigation of the impact on population health, as well as the healthcare system is required.

67 As public health institutes are planning to transition from COVID-19 emergency surveillance to
68 routine surveillance of all respiratory pathogens, syndromic surveillance of acute respiratory
69 illness – i.e. cross-pathogen symptomatic cases – plays an important role (4). In Germany, certain
70 syndromic surveillance systems for acute respiratory illnesses (ARI) have been implemented
71 before the pandemic: internet-based syndromic monitoring of ARI in the general population
72 (*GrippeWeb*, “FluWeb”)(5); sentinel surveillance of ARI consultations in primary care, including
73 virological surveillance (Influenza Working Group(6)) and sentinel surveillance of severe acute
74 respiratory illnesses (SARI) among hospitalised patients using ICD-10 diagnostic codes
75 (ICOSARI)(7). In addition, defined respiratory diseases as COVID-19 and influenza are monitored
76 through mandatory notifications by clinicians and laboratories, within the framework of the
77 Protection against Infection Act.

78 The availability of electronically collected routine medical data, such as emergency department
79 data, allows for an extended approach in applied epidemiology and public health surveillance (8).
80 Syndromic surveillance using routine emergency department data can be a fast approach to
81 report and detect changes in healthcare utilization, without creating an additional administrative
82 burden. In the United Kingdom (9), France (10) and other countries, syndromic surveillance
83 systems based on emergency department data have been established (11, 12), and have
84 previously provided timely public health insight at extraordinary times such as extreme weather
85 events (13) or mass gatherings (e.g. the Olympic Games in 2012 (14)), as well as during the
86 COVID-19 pandemic (15).

87 Previous analyses of emergency department data in Germany successfully detected syndromes
88 to monitor unspecific gastrointestinal infections, including their seasonal fluctuation (16), as well
89 as a first description of ARI cases (17). Since end of June 2020, the weekly Emergency
90 Department Situation Report presents data from the routine documentation of up to 21 selected
91 emergency departments in Germany (18). These reports showed that in parallel to the COVID-19
92 pandemic and the associated public health and social measures starting mid-March 2020,
93 attendances dropped(19). Nation-wide public health and social measures commenced in
94 February-March 2020, including a “contact ban” allowing a maximum of two people, closure of
95 most public spaces including schools, non-essential shops, and many business (20-22). By April-
96 May 2020, physical distancing measures were gradually eased. During the summer months of
97 2020, emergency department attendances partially increased again (19). Large-scale testing
98 focusing on symptomatic suspected cases, contact persons and vulnerable settings stayed in
99 place, as well as the use of face-masks, physical distancing and a quarantine for people returning
100 from areas classified as high-risk. During the resurgence of cases in the fall of 2020, many public
101 health and social measures were re-implemented, including closure of most public spaces
102 (including non-essential shops and hospitality), a working from home policy, and the call to reduce
103 personal contacts to the bare minimum (21). By December 2020, non-essential shops and
104 schools and were also closed. During the resurgence of COVID-19 cases and implementation of
105 public health and social measures during the fall and winter of 2020-2021, the number of
106 attendances decreased again to about one quarter below the 2019 average Gradual lifting of
107 measures started from March 2021, followed by the introducing a “3G” policy for shops, sports,
108 and hospitality (i.e. requiring proof of either a negative COVID-19 lateral flow test (*‘Getestet’*),
109 completed course of vaccination (*‘Geimpft’*), or recent recovery (*‘Genesen’*) (21). Emergency
110 attendances remained below 2019 average until the time of analysis and writing (April 2021) (18).

111 In this work, we aimed to describe emergency department attendances for acute respiratory
112 illness in Germany over time, for the purpose of the development and implementation of
113 syndromic surveillance. Findings of this study facilitated the implementation of surveillance
114 indicators of acute respiratory illness in the weekly emergency department surveillance reports
115 (23), and cross-pathogen syndromic surveillance of symptomatic cases of respiratory illness in
116 Germany overall(4). Furthermore, we explore the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, and its
117 associated public health and social measures, on these presentations of acute respiratory illness.

118

119 **Methods**

120 Study design

121 We conducted a retrospective observational study to explore the potential of routine emergency
122 department data for syndromic surveillance of acute respiratory illness in Germany. Following a
123 process of data curation, quality management and exploration, and defining syndromic case
124 definitions, we described emergency department attendances over time for the period of 6 March
125 2017 up to and including 13 March 2021, to provide insight into presentation for acute respiratory
126 illness.

127 Setting

128 Data used in this analysis was provided through two networks of German emergency departments
129 which continuously transfer anonymized routine health data to the Robert Koch Institute (RKI,
130 Germany's national public health institute)(24): the AKTIN – Emergency Department Data
131 Registry (25) and the ESEG-project ("*Erkennung und Steuerung Epidemischer Gefahrenlagen*";
132 translation: detection and control of epidemic situations <http://www.rki.de/eseg>). Germany has
133 over 1,000 emergency departments that differ in size and level of care
134 (basic/extended/comprehensive emergency care) (26). There are no barriers to accessibility, as
135 patients can be admitted by ambulance, referred by a general practitioner or even by themselves
136 as "walk-in" patients. Emergency departments participate and share data on a voluntary basis. At
137 the RKI, these routine health data from the different sources are merged and processed using
138 the NoKeDa (*NotaufnahmeKernDatensatz*) data model (27), when the date of visit, age, and sex
139 were available. Observations were recorded by visit (attendance) and not by person; multiple
140 visits by the same person cannot be linked.

141 Study population

142 Emergency attendance data was exported from the RKI server on 13 April 2021, using SUMO-
143 DB Release 3.0.3 (database population). In addition to the database release, data quality
144 summary reports were generated, including information on completeness of attendances and
145 variables. The data availability and quality could be assessed, based on the descriptive statistics
146 and data visualisation of data quality summary. For the purpose of this work, a subset of
147 emergency departments was included (study population): departments who continuously
148 transferred attendance data (at least one admission per day) for the period of 6 March 2017 (week

149 10-2017) up to and including 13 March 2021 (week 10-2021), with ICD-10 diagnostic codes for
150 >75% of the attendances.

151 Data protection and ethics

152 In AKTIN and ESEG, individual patient consent is not provided for the context of the emergency
153 situation and the technical and organizational measures provided. The AKTIN-register received
154 medical ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of the Otto von Guericke University
155 Magdeburg, Medical Faculty (160/15) (28). The AKTIN scientific board approved regular/daily
156 data query and transmission to RKI (Project-ID 2019-003). Due to the anonymized nature of the
157 data, an ethical approval was not necessary for ESEG data, as disclosed by the ethics committee
158 of the physician's chamber Hesse. The data were transferred anonymously. The data model uses
159 a minimal set of data points, or categorisation of specific data elements (e.g. age in years and
160 groups, instead of date of birth), which ensures personal data protection, and defines an approved
161 list of variables. The data protection officer of the RKI has approved the use of anonymized case-
162 based emergency department data using the NoKeDa model for research purposes (BDS/ISB,
163 09-01-2019).

164 Variables

165 The following variables were included for analysis: emergency department/hospital, age
166 (collected in age-groups: 0-2 years, 3-4 years, followed by 5-year groups, and 80+ years), sex
167 (male/female/other), triage (codes 1 to 5, higher code meaning less urgent), diagnosis (ICD-10
168 codes, when labelled confirmed/suspected), chief complaints (according to the Canadian
169 Emergency Department Information System – Presenting Complaint List [CEDIS-PCL](29) or
170 Manchester Triage System [MTS] (30)), referral, mode of transport, disposition (e.g. hospital
171 admission) and isolation. Potential missing data refers to the absence of documentation; all data
172 are based on routine emergency care at the respective sites, based on routine documentation
173 practices.

174 Case definitions

175 We defined a set of case definitions in agreement with the established syndromic surveillance
176 systems of acute respiratory infections at RKI and based on (inter)national standards (31, 32).
177 Case definitions were based on a combination of ICD-10 diagnostic codes, or chief complaints,
178 sometimes combined with disposition and age. Case criteria and case classifications (i.e.
179 probable, confirmed) for acute respiratory illness (ARI), severe acute respiratory illness (SARI),

180 influenza-like illness (ILI), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and Coronavirus disease 2019
181 (COVID-19) are summarised in **Supplemental Table 1**. Combined case definitions were used for
182 the primary analysis; i.e. cases of ILI, RSV and COVID-19 were either probable and/or confirmed.

183 Statistical analysis

184 Attendances and cases were analysed as aggregated counts by week. Weekly numbers of all
185 cases that met the case definitions (see above and **Supplemental Table 1**) were plotted as
186 absolute counts, as well as number of cases per 1,000 attendances to adjust for fluctuations in
187 attendances (i.e. a change in the denominator) over time. Cases of ARI and SARI were explored
188 as individual indicators, as well as through calculating the percentage of severe cases among ARI
189 cases (SARI/ARI). Probable and confirmed cases of ILI, RSV-Disease and COVID-19 were
190 explored as separate and combined overall case numbers (probable + confirmed cases).

191 The number of weekly attendances were described and summarised for the period before and
192 during the COVID-19 pandemic (2 March 2020, week 10/2020). These different phases of the
193 COVID-19 pandemic in Germany have been categorised following Schilling et. al (33): 0) pre-
194 pandemic and sporadic cases up to and including week 09/2020, 1) first COVID-19 wave week
195 10/2020-20-2020; 2) summer plateau week 21/2020-39/2020; 3) second COVID-19 wave week
196 40/2020-10/2021. Because the number of weekly attendances were not normally distributed,
197 Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for simple comparisons of the distribution of weekly cases per
198 1,000 attendances before and during the pandemic. Next, time series were decomposed to
199 visually investigate the seasonality and trend over time; a decomposition of the COVID-19 time
200 series of one year was not possible because the series was too short (less than two periods).

201 Data were analysed using R statistical software, using the *tidyverse*, *xts* and *zoo* packages (34).
202 The aggregated data of the attendances and cases (ARI, SARI, ILI, RSV, COVID-19) by week
203 used for the analysis, are provided in the **Supplemental Material**.

204

205 **Results**

206 Consequently, the study population included data of 1,372,958 attendances between 6 March
207 2017 (week 10-2017) up to and including 13 March 2021 (week 10-2021) from eight emergency
208 departments (**Table 1**). The included departments provided comprehensive (5 departments) and

209 extended (3 departments) emergency care in seven federal states of Germany (Baden-
 210 Württemberg, Bavaria, Berlin, Brandenburg, Hesse, Lower Saxony, Saxony).

211 **Table 1. Summary of total emergency department attendances, between 6 March 2017**
 212 **(week 10-2017) up to and including 13 March 2021 (week 10-2021), for the full observation**
 213 **period, and stratified for the time before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.**
 214

Period (weeks)	10/2017 - 09/2020		10/2020 - 10/2021		Total	
Attendances	N=1,082,850		N=290,108		N=1,372,958	
Age (in years)	N	%	N	%	N	%
0-2	56,356	5.2	18,682	6.4	75,038	5.5
3-4	40,817	3.8	7,209	2.5	48,026	3.5
5-9	56,330	5.2	11,479	4.0	67,809	4.9
10-14	43,080	4.0	9,383	3.2	52,463	3.8
15-19	49,574	4.0	11,580	4.0	61,154	4.5
20-39	183,765	17.0	47,380	16.3	231,145	16.8
40-59	269,240	24.9	75,096	25.9	344,336	25.1
+60-79	227,639	21.0	65,311	22.5	292,950	21.3
80+	156,049	14.4	43,988	15.2	200,037	14.6
Sex						
Female	524,790	48.5	140,161	48.3	664,951	48.4
Male	557,971	51.5	149,937	51.7	707,908	51.6
Other	89	0.0	10	0.0	99	0.0
Triage						
Immediate	11,494	1.1	3,795	1.3	15,289	1.1
Very Urgent	140,416	13.0	42,924	14.8	183,340	13.4
Urgent	394,089	36.4	116,371	40.1	510,460	37.2
Standard	442,466	40.9	102,638	35.4	545,104	39.7
Non-urgent	47,274	4.4	13,041	4.5	60,315	4.4
Missing	47,111	4.4	11,339	3.9	58,450	4.3
Transport						
Patient transport	25,134	2.3	6,289	2.2	31,423	2.3
Ambulance	102,827	9.5	42,768	14.7	145,595	10.6
Emergency ambulance	55,512	5.1	16,889	5.8	72,401	5.3
Helicopter	30,092	2.8	274	0.1	30,366	2.2
Other	170,045	15.7	65,628	22.6	235,673	17.2
Missing	699,240	64.6	158,260	54.6	857,500	62.5
Referral						
Hospital/transfer	15,561	1.4	5,022	1.7	20,583	1.5
Ambulatory emergency service outside hospital	16,636	1.5	4,294	1.5	20,930	1.5
Ambulatory emergency practice within hospital	10,196	0.9	2,354	0.8	12,550	0.9

Period (weeks)	10/2017 - 09/2020		10/2020 - 10/2021		Total	
Not referred by physician	258,764	23.9	89,385	30.8	348,149	25.4
Other	133,834	12.4	17,805	6.1	151,639	11.0
Emergency service	191,286	17.7	65,478	22.6	256,764	18.7
Medical practitioner	54,711	5.1	16,092	5.5	70,803	5.2
Missing	401,862	37.1	89,678	30.9	491,540	35.8
Disposition						
Death	5,788	0.5	109	0.0	5,897	0.4
Discharge against medical advice	6,611	0.6	2,170	0.7	8,781	0.6
Treatment discontinued by patient	2,406	0.2	589	0.2	2,995	0.2
Discharge to home	119,779	11.1	42,374	14.6	162,153	11.8
Release for further treatment by a physician	15,343	1.4	4,480	1.5	19,823	1.4
No physician contact	1,774	0.2	212	0.1	1,986	0.1
Inpatient admission - operational unit	3,577	0.3	1,482	0.5	5,059	0.4
Inpatient admission - monitoring unit	18,495	1.7	7,322	2.5	25,817	1.9
Inpatient admission - regular ward	73,260	6.8	26,125	9.0	99,385	7.2
External transfer	31,770	2.9	820	0.3	32,590	2.4
Other	42,173	3.9	75	0.0	42,248	3.1
Missing	761,874	70.4	204,350	70.5	966,224	70.4

215

216 The general characteristics of the emergency department attendances are summarized in Table
 217 1 (**Table 1**). The overall sex (52% female) and age (6% under 2 years, 8% 3-9 years; 8% 10-19
 218 years; 17% 20-39 years; 25% 40-59 years; 21% 60-79 years; 15% 80+ years) distributions
 219 remained similar over the full observation period, with the largest decrease in attendances under
 220 those 0-19 years of age (**Supplemental Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 1**).

221 Over time, the absolute number of weekly emergency department attendances dropped during
 222 the first and second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany (**Figure 1**). The median number
 223 of attendances per week dropped from 6,886 (interquartile range [IQR]: 6,733 - 7,104) before the

224 pandemic (<week 10/2020), to 4,741 (IQR: 4,498 – 5,124; $P < .001$), during the first COVID-19
225 wave. Following a recovery phase approaching pre-pandemic attendance rates (median 6,133;
226 IQR: 6,010 - 6,202; $P < .001$) during the summer plateau (week 21/2020-39/2020), the weekly
227 attendances declined again to 4,950 (IQR: 4,512-5,212; $P < .001$) during the second COVID-19
228 wave during autumn and winter of 2020/2021 (week 40/2020-10/2021). The weekly attendances
229 differed when comparing the respective phases to the pre-pandemic period, as summarised in
230 **Supplemental Figure 2**. The highest number of 8,412 attendances per week was counted in
231 week 09/2018, and the lowest number of 4,096 attendances per week was counted in week
232 12/2020.

233 Cases of ARI, SARI, ILI, RSV-disease and COVID-19 over time

234 During the full, four-year observation period, seasonal patterns were visible for ARI, SARI, ILI,
235 and RSV-disease cases per week, per 1,000 attendees (**Figure 2**), as well as per absolute weekly
236 case counts (**Supplemental Figure 2**). The decomposed time series analysis suggested
237 seasonal factors of ARI, SARI, ILI, and RSV cases (**Figure 3**).

238 In the winter of 2020/2021, both the absolute and relative ARI case counts were lower than in
239 previous years, and showed a downward trend (**Figure 2a and 3a**). Conversely, the trend of SARI
240 cases remained fairly stable over time, with a small downward trend in the final months of winter
241 (**Figure 2b and 3b**). This pattern was also visible when assessing the % of severe (i.e. SARI)
242 among ARI cases (**Figure 4**). The % of SARI cases among ARI cases fluctuated around a median
243 of 6.9% (IQR: 5.3-8.3), was lower in the year 2017 (median 4.7% [IQR: 3.4-6.2]), fairly stable in
244 the years 2018 (6.6% [IQR: 5.1-8.0]) and 2019 (7.4% [IQR: 6.4-8.4]). The %SARI cases among
245 ARI cases occasionally exceeded 10%, but was only observed in single weeks during pre-
246 pandemic times: week 18/2017, week 30/2018, week 37/2018; week 8/2019, week 20/2019, and
247 week 37/2019. Twice during the pandemic, the % of SARI cases among ARI cases exceeded
248 10% for five subsequent weeks in a row: week 15/2020 to 19/2020 with a peak at week 18/2020
249 (17.1%) and week 48/2020 to week 2/2021 with a peak at week 53/2020 (14.2%), as displayed
250 in **Figure 4**.

251 After March 2020, the expected seasonal increases in cases of ILI and RSV cases was reduced
252 (**Figure 2c-d and 3c-d**). In parallel, COVID-19 cases were recorded from March 2020 onwards
253 (**Figure 5**). Visual inspection of COVID-19 cases showed two periods of increased case numbers,
254 despite the drop of overall attendances, during April-May of 2020 and a resurgence of cases starting
255 in October 2020, which was decreased again at the end of the observation period (March 2021).

256 Overall, 17% of COVID-19 cases were also cases of SARI (all COVID-19 cases are by definition
257 ARI cases). Of note, weekly ILI, RSV and COVID-19 cases are plotted by probable and possible
258 case classifications, as well as the combined case definition, in **Supplemental Figure 4**.

259 **Discussion**

260 This descriptive study indicates proof of principle for syndromic surveillance of acute respiratory
261 illness in emergency departments in Germany, directly feeding into routine emergency
262 department surveillance reporting (23). Based on a selection of eight departments by voluntary
263 participation, a clear pattern of seasonality respiratory illness (i.e. ARI, SARI, ILI and RSV-
264 disease) could be observed. In addition, both the annual influenza seasons in the years 2017-
265 2020 and the dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020-2021 were apparent. The more
266 severe flu 2017/2018 season was visible in the emergency department data, through a higher
267 peak of attendances due to ARI compared to the 2018/2019 and 2019/2020, which is consistent
268 with the severity of the three influenza seasons observed in the other syndromic surveillance
269 systems (33, 35, 36). Of note, the abrupt and early end of the 2019/2020 flu wave could be visually
270 identified, as described based on sentinel surveillance in Germany (37), as well as in Denmark,
271 Norway and Sweden (38). The striking absence of a flu season in 2020/2021 (1, 39), was also
272 visible, in parallel to the resurgence of COVID-19 cases during the fall and winter in 2020/2021. In
273 2020 and the beginning of 2021, the percentage of SARI cases among ARI cases peaked twice
274 for five subsequent weeks in April-May 2020 and November 2020-January 2021, which was the
275 same time the first wave and resurgence of COVID-19 cases peaked in Germany (40). The reduction
276 in ILI and RSV cases during the pandemic period could, at least in part, be explained by the
277 influence of public health and social measures on overall disease transmission, as observed by
278 other surveillance systems in Germany (1, 2), and internationally (41).

279 Overall emergency department attendances dropped substantially following the start of the
280 COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, increased during summer, and declined again during the
281 resurgence of the pandemic during the autumn and winter of 2020/2021. The shift in attendances
282 required a baseline adjustment for case reporting (change in denominator), by standardising the
283 case count per 1,000 attendances. This reduction in attendances could have been partially due
284 to the advisories to reduce physical contact with healthcare services, and to use telephone
285 services instead (at least before visiting), when possible. This observation fits the overall reduction
286 in hospitalisation as reported by other German hospitals (42, 43). In addition, a reluctance to visit
287 health care facilities due to a fear to be exposed to SARS-CoV-2 (44), could also be a reason for

288 a reduction in emergency department attendances. Similar trends were observed in emergency
289 departments in other countries, including the UK (45) and USA (46).

290 The findings of this study should be interpreted taking the following limitations into account. First,
291 the selected data are a convenience sample and are not representative for emergency
292 departments in Germany as a whole. Differences on the level of individual departments, as well
293 as differences between emergency departments, played a limited role in the analysis and
294 interpretation. This study aimed to explore the possibility and feasibility to use these routine data
295 for public health surveillance. In addition, structural changes at the department, including active
296 interference with patient flows with respiratory complaints, could have affected reported
297 attendances and case counts. To fully understand trends in the data, being it due to a change in
298 infection dynamics, healthcare seeking behaviour, the COVID-19 pandemic and public health and
299 social measures, or structural changes at the emergency department, more information is
300 needed. This type of investigation is, however, beyond the scope of this work. The strength of the
301 current approach of passive surveillance using routine documentation has its own advantages
302 being fast (timely reporting) without creating an additional burden on the healthcare workers.
303 Furthermore, case definitions were based on routine documentation, designed for triage and
304 clinical documentation in the emergency department, not designed for surveillance purposes. The
305 syndromic case definitions heavily rely on clinical presentation, and laboratory diagnosis is often
306 not available, or not foreseen. Shortness of breath, for example, may be a cardiac symptom as
307 well, and is not always caused by a respiratory pathogen (47). Furthermore, information on
308 hospitalisation (disposition), a key variable for SARI cases, was not always available, leading to
309 potential underreporting of SARI cases. In addition, ICD-10 codes for COVID-19 diagnosis were
310 not available from the beginning of the pandemic, leading to underreporting of the true number of
311 cases.

312 As of November 2021, the syndromic surveillance indicators based on the ARI, SARI and
313 confirmed-ILI case definitions in this paper are included in the weekly reporting of the Emergency
314 Department Surveillance in Germany, by the RKI (23). This updated version of the report includes
315 a data quality table of each variable of the current week. Moving forward, the current ongoing,
316 systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of emergency department data
317 for use in public health action, needs to be evaluated, to make targeted recommendations to
318 improve quality, efficiency, and usefulness of the system (48). Especially in the context of the
319 COVID-19 pandemic, targeted analyses looking into the potential shifts in attendances during
320 different phases of the pandemic are ongoing. Triangulation of data sources and formal

321 comparison with established surveillance systems, including COVID-19 case notifications (49),
322 and other syndromic and virological surveillance systems for acute respiratory infections (5, 7),
323 will help to address under-ascertainment, to understand changes in consultation behaviour during
324 public health emergencies and improve interpretation of the data.

325 The COVID-19 pandemic poses a new challenge for syndromic surveillance, adding an additional
326 respiratory pathogen to the set of illnesses under monitoring and surveillance. Syndromic
327 surveillance in emergency departments can help provide oversight of the healthcare utilisation,
328 independent of the pathogen, for overall public health surveillance and healthcare monitoring (50).
329 Moving forward, increased COVID-19 vaccination roll-out and subsequent (de-escalation of)
330 public health and social measures, will have effects on circulation of other respiratory viruses as
331 well. In the near future, this type of almost real-time syndromic surveillance can help to timely
332 inform public health decision making, moving forward in the next phases of the pandemic.

333 Conclusions

334 The current analysis of four years of emergency department data identified syndromic cases of
335 (severe) acute respiratory illness, and could differentiate between ILI, RSV and COVID-19 cases,
336 which should be further investigated. Clear patterns of seasonality and trends over time were
337 identified, for all case definitions, in line with findings reported from established ARI, SARI, ILI and
338 virological surveillance systems (51). Syndromic surveillance of ARI and SARI in the emergency
339 department could contribute to the overall burden estimates of acute respiratory infections in the
340 upper and lower respiratory tract, which is essential in the transition transfer from COVID-19
341 emergency surveillance to routine surveillance of all respiratory pathogens (4). Syndromic
342 surveillance using routine emergency department data has the potential to complement
343 established indicator based, syndromic and virological surveillance systems used to monitor the
344 timing, duration, magnitude and severity of epidemics caused by respiratory viruses, including
345 RSV, influenza virus and SARS-CoV-2.

346


347 References

- 348 1. Oh D-Y, Buda S, Biere B, Reiche J, Schlosser F, Duwe S, et al. Trends in respiratory virus
349 circulation following COVID-19-targeted nonharmaceutical interventions in Germany, January -
350 September 2020: an analysis of national surveillance data. *The Lancet Regional Health - Europe*.
351 2021;Accepted for publication.
- 352 2. Ullrich A, Schranz M, Rexroth U, Hamouda O, Schaade L, Diercke M, et al. Impact of
353 COVID-19 pandemic and associated non-pharmaceutical interventions on other notifiable
354 infectious diseases in Germany: an analysis of national surveillance data during week 1-2016 –
355 week 32-2020. *The Lancet Regional Health - Europe*. 2021;Accepted for publication.
- 356 3. WHO. Interpreting influenza surveillance data in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.
357 Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2020 28 August 2020.
- 358 4. ECDC. COVID-19 surveillance guidance - Transition from COVID-19 emergency
359 surveillance to routine surveillance of respiratory pathogens. Stockholm: European Centre for
360 Disease Prevention and Control; 2021 October 2021.
- 361 5. Bayer C, Renschmidt C, an der Heiden M, Tolksdorf K, Herzhoff M, Kaersten S, et al.
362 Internet-based syndromic monitoring of acute respiratory illness in the general population of
363 Germany, weeks 35/2011 to 34/2012. *Euro Surveill*. 2014;19(4).
- 364 6. RKI. Bericht zur Epidemiologie der Influenza in Deutschland Saison 2018/19. Robert
365 Koch-Institut; 2019.
- 366 7. Buda S, Tolksdorf K, Schuler E, Kuhlen R, Haas W. Establishing an ICD-10 code based
367 SARI-surveillance in Germany - description of the system and first results from five recent
368 influenza seasons. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1):612.
- 369 8. Chiolerio A, Buckeridge D. Glossary for public health surveillance in the age of data
370 science. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020;74(7):612-6.
- 371 9. PHE. Emergency Department Syndromic Surveillance System (EDSSS) Public Health
372 England; 2021 [updated 27 January 2021. 19 August 2014;[Available from:
373 [https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/syndromic-surveillance-systems-and-](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/syndromic-surveillance-systems-and-analyses#emergency-department-syndromic-surveillance-system)
374 [analyses#emergency-department-syndromic-surveillance-system](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/syndromic-surveillance-systems-and-analyses#emergency-department-syndromic-surveillance-system).
- 375 10. France Sp. Surveillance des urgences et des décès SurSaUD®: Santé publique France;
376 [Available from: [https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/surveillance-syndromique-sursaud-](https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/surveillance-syndromique-sursaud-R/bulletins-sursaud-R-sos-medecins-oscour-mortalite)
377 [R/bulletins-sursaud-R-sos-medecins-oscour-mortalite](https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/surveillance-syndromique-sursaud-R/bulletins-sursaud-R-sos-medecins-oscour-mortalite).
- 378 11. Hughes HE, Edeghere O, O'Brien SJ, Vivancos R, Elliot AJ. Emergency department
379 syndromic surveillance systems: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1891.
- 380 12. Triple SP. Assessment of syndromic surveillance in Europe. *Lancet*.
381 2011;378(9806):1833-4.
- 382 13. Hughes HE, Morbey R, Hughes TC, Locker TE, Shannon T, Carmichael C, et al. Using an
383 Emergency Department Syndromic Surveillance System to investigate the impact of extreme cold
384 weather events. *Public Health*. 2014;128(7):628-35.
- 385 14. Elliot AJ, Hughes HE, Hughes TC, Locker TE, Shannon T, Heyworth J, et al. Establishing
386 an emergency department syndromic surveillance system to support the London 2012 Olympic
387 and Paralympic Games. *Emergency medicine journal : EMJ*. 2012;29(12):954-60.
- 388 15. Hughes HE, Hughes TC, Morbey R, Challen K, Oliver I, Smith GE, et al. Emergency
389 department use during COVID-19 as described by syndromic surveillance. *Emergency medicine*
390 *journal : EMJ*. 2020;37(10):600-4.
- 391 16. Schranz M. Syndromic surveillance using emergency department data for the monitoring
392 of unspecific acute gastrointestinal infections. Berlin, Germany: Charité – Universitätsmedizin &
393 Robert Koch-Institut; 2019.
- 394 17. Greiner F, Brammen D, Erdmann B, Walcher F, Ziehm D. Vergleich von akuten
395 respiratorischen Erkrankungen (ARE) in der Notaufnahme eines Schwerpunktversorgers mit

- 396 Daten der ARE-Surveillance des Niedersächsischen Landesgesundheitsamtes zwischen 2013
397 und 2016. Gesundheitswesen (Bundesverband der Ärzte des Öffentlichen Gesundheitsdienstes
398 (Germany)). 2017;79(04):A57.
- 399 18. SUMO-Team. Notaufnahme-Situationsreport. Robert Koch-Institut; 2021.
- 400 19. Boender TS, Greiner F, Kocher T, Schirrmeister W, Majeed RW, Bienzeisler J, et al.
401 Inanspruchnahme deutscher Notaufnahmen während der COVID-19-Pandemie – der
402 Notaufnahme-Situationsreport (SitRep). Epidemiologisches Bulletin. 2020(27):3--5.
- 403 20. Wieler LH, Rexroth U, Gottschalk R. Emerging COVID-19 success story: Germany's push
404 to maintain progress2021. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-exemplar-germany>.
- 405 21. Gesundheit Bf. Coronavirus-Pandemie (SARS-CoV-2): Chronik bisheriger Maßnahmen
406 und Ereignisse 2020-2022 [updated 10-02-2022. Available from:
407 <https://www.bundesgesundheitsministerium.de/coronavirus/chronik-coronavirus.html>.
- 408 22. Schilling J, Tolksdorf K, Marquis A, Faber M, Pfoch T, Buda S, et al. Die verschiedenen
409 Phasen der COVID-19-Pandemie in Deutschland: Eine deskriptive Analyse von Januar 2020 bis
410 Februar 2021. Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung - Gesundheitsschutz. 2021.
- 411 23. SUMO. Emergency Department Situation Report (SitRep) - 17-11-2021. Berlin, Germany:
412 Robert Koch Institute; 2021.
- 413 24. Grabenhenrich L, Schranz M, Boender S, Kocher T, Esins J, Fischer M. [Real-time data
414 from medical care settings to guide public health action]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt,
415 Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz. 2021;64(4):412-7.
- 416 25. Brammen D, Greiner F, Kulla M, Otto R, Schirrmeister W, Thun S, et al. AKTIN - The
417 German Emergency Department Data Registry - real-time data from emergency medicine :
418 Implementation and first results from 15 emergency departments with focus on Federal Joint
419 Committee's guidelines on acuity assessment. Medizinische Klinik, Intensivmedizin und
420 Notfallmedizin. 2022;117(1):24-33.
- 421 26. Spitzenverband. Prognose der Krankenhäuser mit Basisnotfallstufe, erweiterter oder
422 umfassender Notfallstufe (§ 136c Absatz 4 SGB V), Stand 16.03.2021. Spitzenverband; 2021.
- 423 27. NoKeda - Notaufnahme-Kerndatenmodell für Public-Health-Surveillance und
424 Versorgungsforschung [Internet]. 2020. Available from: [https://art-decor.org/art-decor/decor-scenarios--aktin-
425 ?id=&effectiveDate=&datasetId=2.16.840.1.113883.2.6.60.3.1.6&datasetEffectiveDate=2018-
426 08-
427 17T00%3A00%3A00&conceptId=2.16.840.1.113883.2.6.60.3.2.6.20365&conceptEffectiveDate=
428 2019-02-02T14%3A36%3A20](https://art-decor.org/art-decor/decor-scenarios--aktin-?id=&effectiveDate=&datasetId=2.16.840.1.113883.2.6.60.3.1.6&datasetEffectiveDate=2018-08-17T00%3A00%3A00&conceptId=2.16.840.1.113883.2.6.60.3.2.6.20365&conceptEffectiveDate=2019-02-02T14%3A36%3A20).
- 429
- 430 28. Brammen D, Greiner F, Kulla M, Otto R, Schirrmeister W, Thun S, et al. Das AKTIN-
431 Notaufnahmeregister – kontinuierlich aktuelle Daten aus der Akutmedizin. Medizinische Klinik -
432 Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin. 2020.
- 433 29. Grafstein E, Bullard MJ, Warren D, Unger B, Group CNW. Revision of the Canadian
434 Emergency Department Information System (CEDIS) Presenting Complaint List version 1.1.
435 CJEM. 2008;10(2):151-73.
- 436 30. Christ M, Grossmann F, Winter D, Bingisser R, Platz E. Modern Triage in the Emergency
437 Department. Dtsch Arztebl International. 2010;107(50):892-8.
- 438 31. Fitzner J, Qasmieh S, Mounts AW, Alexander B, Besselaar T, Briand S, et al. Revision of
439 clinical case definitions: influenza-like illness and severe acute respiratory infection. Bull World
440 Health Organ. 2018;96(2):122-8.
- 441 32. WHO. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza: World Health
442 Organisation; 2013.
- 443 33. Schilling J, Buda S, Fischer M, Goerlitz L, Grote U, Haas W, et al. Retrospektive
444 Phaseneinteilung der COVID-19-Pandemie in Deutschland bis Februar 2021. Epidemiologisches
445 Bulletin 2021(15):3--12.

- 446 34. Hohle M. Surveillance: An R package for the monitoring of infectious diseases.
447 *Computation Stat.* 2007;22(4):571-82.
- 448 35. Zöllner R, Boender S, Schranz M, Grabenhenrich L, Schellein O, Loza-Mencía E, et al.
449 ESEG - Erkennung und Steuerung Epidemischer Gefahrenlagen. Ergebnisbericht zum
450 Innovationsfonds-Projekt 01VVF17034 (2022). Gemeninsamer Bundesausschuss -
451 Innovationsausschuss; 2022 Forthcoming.
- 452 36. RKI. Infektionsepidemiologische Jahrbuch meldepflichtiger Krankheiten für 2020. Robert
453 Koch-Institut; 2021.
- 454 37. Goerlitz L, Dürrwald R, an der Heiden M, Buchholz U, Preuß U, Prahm K, et al. Erste
455 Ergebnisse zum Verlauf der Grippewelle in der Saison 2019/20: Mit 11 Wochen vergleichsweise
456 kürzere Dauer und eine moderate Anzahl an Influenza-bedingten Arztbesuchen.
457 *Epidemiologisches Bulletin.* 2020;2020(16):3--6.
- 458 38. Emborg H-D, Carnahan A, Bragstad K, Trebbien R, Brytting M, Hungnes O, et al. Abrupt
459 termination of the 2019/20 influenza season following preventive measures against COVID-19 in
460 Denmark, Norway and Sweden. *Eurosurveillance.* 2021;26(22):2001160.
- 461 39. Adlhoch C, Mook P, Lamb F, Ferland L, Melidou A, Amato-Gauci AJ, et al. Very little
462 influenza in the WHO European Region during the 2020/21 season, weeks 40 2020 to 8 2021.
463 *Eurosurveillance.* 2021;26(11):2100221.
- 464 40. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Weekly Situation Report from the Robert Koch
465 Institute: Calendar week 17/2021. Robert Koch Institute.
- 466 41. Maharaj AS, Parker J, Hopkins JP, Gournis E, Bogoch, II, Rader B, et al. The effect of
467 seasonal respiratory virus transmission on syndromic surveillance for COVID-19 in Ontario,
468 Canada. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2021;21(5):593-4.
- 469 42. Slagman A, Behringer W, Greiner F, Klein M, Weismann D, Erdmann B, et al. Medical
470 Emergencies During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Deutsches Arzteblatt international.* 2020;117(33-
471 34):545-52.
- 472 43. Kapsner LA, Kampf MO, Seuchter SA, Gruendner J, Gulden C, Mate S, et al. Reduced
473 Rate of Inpatient Hospital Admissions in 18 German University Hospitals During the COVID-19
474 Lockdown. *Frontiers in Public Health.* 2021;8(1018).
- 475 44. Mantica G, Riccardi N, Terrone C, Gratarola A. Non-COVID-19 visits to emergency
476 departments during the pandemic: the impact of fear. *Public Health.* 2020;183:40-1.
- 477 45. Wyatt S, Mohammed MA, Fisher E, McConkey R, Spilsbury P. Impact of the SARS-CoV-
478 2 pandemic and associated lockdown measures on attendances at emergency departments in
479 English hospitals: a retrospective database study. *The Lancet Regional Health - Europe.*
480 2021:100034.
- 481 46. Hartnett KP, Kite-Powell A, DeVies J, Coletta MA, Boehmer TK, Adjemian J, et al. Impact
482 of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Emergency Department Visits - United States, January 1, 2019-
483 May 30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(23):699-704.
- 484 47. Mockel M, Searle J, Muller R, Slagman A, Storchmann H, Oestereich P, et al. Chief
485 complaints in medical emergencies: do they relate to underlying disease and outcome? The
486 Charité Emergency Medicine Study (CHARITEM). *European journal of emergency medicine :
487 official journal of the European Society for Emergency Medicine.* 2013;20(2):103-8.
- 488 48. German RR, Lee LM, Horan JM, Milstein RL, Pertowski CA, Waller MN, et al. Updated
489 guidelines for evaluating public health surveillance systems: recommendations from the
490 Guidelines Working Group. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2001;50(RR-13):1-35; quiz CE1-7.
- 491 49. Gesetz zur Verhütung und Bekämpfung von Infektionskrankheiten beim Menschen
492 (Infektionsschutzgesetz - IfSG), (2000).
- 493 50. Elliot AJ, Harcourt SE, Hughes HE, Loveridge P, Morbey RA, Smith S, et al. The COVID-
494 19 pandemic: a new challenge for syndromic surveillance. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2020;148:e122.
- 495 51. Buchholz U, Buda S, Prahm K, Preuß U, Streib V, Haas W. GrippeWeb-Wochenbericht.
496 Robert Koch-Institut; 2021. p. 4.



497 **Figures**



498

499 **Figure 1. Weekly emergency department attendances, between 6 March 2017 (week 10-**
500 **2017) up to and including 13 March 2021 (week 10-2021).**



501



502

503 Figure 2A: acute respiratory illness (ARI)

Figure 2B: severe acute respiratory illness (SARI)



504



505 Figure 2C: influenza-like-illness (ILI)

Figure 2D: respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)

506



507 **Figure 2. Weekly case counts (ARI, SARI, ILI, RSV) per 1,000 emergency department attendances, between 6 March 2017**
 508 **and 13 March 2021.**

509



510
511 Figure 3A: acute respiratory illness (ARI)

Figure 3B: severe of acute respiratory illness (SARI)




512
513 Figure 3C: influenza-like-illness (ILI)

Figure 3D: respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)

514 **Figure 3. Decomposed time series of the weekly case counts, per 1,000 emergency department attendances, between 6**
515 **March 2017 and 13 March 2021.**


516



517

518 **Figure 4. Proportion (%) of severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) cases among cases of**
519 **acute respiratory illness (ARI), between 6 March 2017 and 13 March 2021.**

520



521

522 **Figure 5. Summary of COVID-19 cases per week, per 1,000 emergency department**
523 **attendances, between 6 March 2020 and 13 March 2021.**

524