medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title page

Clinical features, demography and predictors of outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a tertiary care hospital in India-A cohort study

Arunmozhimaran Elavarasi[1]*; Hari Krishna Raju Sagiraju[2]*; Rohit Kumar Garg;[3] Brajesh Ratre;[4] Prashant Sirohiya; [4] Nishkarsh Gupta; [4] Rakesh Garg;[4] Anuja Pandit;[4] Saurabh Vig;[4] Ram Nalwa;[4] Balbir Kumar;[4] Ved Prakash Meena; [3] Naveet Wig; [3] Saurabh Mittal;[5]Saurabh Pahuja;[5] Karan Madan[5]; Randeep Guleria; [5] Anant Mohan; [5] Tanima Dwivedi;[6]Ritu Gupta;[6] Ashima Jain Vidyarthi;[7] Laxmitej Wundawalli;[8] Angel Rajan Singh;[8] Sheetal Singh; [8] Sunil Kumar;[9] Sushma Bhatnagar[4]#

*Equal contributorship

1 Department of Neurology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

2 Department of Preventive Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

3 Department of Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

4 Department of Onco-anesthesia and Palliative Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

5 Department of Pulmonary medicine, critical care and Sleep disorders, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

6 Department of Laboratory Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

7 Department of Microbiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

8 Department of Hospital Administration, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

9 Department of Surgical Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

#Corresponding Author

Prof Sushma Bhatnagar

Head, Department of Onco-anesthesia and Palliative care

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

sushmabhatnagar1@gmail.com

Mobile:- +91-9811326453

Number of words: 3195

Number of tables: 6

Number of supplementary tables: 2

Number of references: 14

Number of figures: 2

Conflicts of interest: None of the authors report any conflict of interest

Funding: No funding was obtained

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Contributorship statement

- Arunmozhimaran Elavarasi was involved in the conceptualization of the study, study design, patient care, data collection, statistical analysis, and in writing the first draft of the manuscript
- Hari Krishna Raju Sagiraju was involved in the conceptualization of the study, study design, patient care, data collection, statistical analysis, and critique of the manuscript
- Rohit Kumar Garg was involved in the conceptualization of the study, study design, patient care and in the critique of the manuscript
- Brajesh Ratre, Prashant Sirohiya, Nishkarsh Gupta, Rakesh Garg, Anuja Pandit, Saurabh Vig, Ram Nalwa, Balbir Kumar were involved in study design, patient care and in the critique of the manuscript
- Ved Prakash Meena was involved in the study design, patient care and in the critique of the manuscript
- Naveet Wig was involved in the study design, patient care and in the critique of the manuscript
- Saurabh Mittal, Saurabh Pahuja, Karan Madan and Anant Mohan were involved in the study design, patient care and critique of the manuscript
- Randeep Guleria was involved in the conceptualization of the study and final critique and review of the manuscript
- Tanima Dwivedi and Ritu Gupta were involved in study conceptualization, in analyzing the laboratory parameters and in the critique of the manuscript
- Ashima Jain Vidyarthi was involved in study conceptualization, in doing reporting the microbiology and in the critique of the manuscript
- Laxmitej Wundawalli, Angel Rajan Singh and Sheetal Singh were involved in coordinating staff for patient care, data collection and in the critique of the manuscript.
- Sunil Kumar was involved in the study design, patient care and in the critique of the manuscript
- Sushma Bhatnagar was involved in the conceptualization of the study, convening of the working group, study design, patient care, and in final critique and review of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Clinical features, demography and predictors of outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a tertiary care hospital in India-A cohort study

Abstract

Background

The 'second wave' of the COVID-19 pandemic hit India from early April 2021 to June 2021 and more than 400,000 cases per day were reported in the country. We describe the clinical features, demography, treatment trends, baseline laboratory parameters of a cohort of patients admitted at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi with SARS-CoV-2 infection and their association with the outcome.

Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study describing the clinical, laboratory and treatment patterns of consecutive patients admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Multivariate logistic regression models were fitted to identify the clinical and biochemical predictors of developing hypoxia, deterioration during the hospital stay and death.

Findings

A total of 2080 patients were included in the study. The case fatality rate was 19.5%. Amongst the survivors, the median duration of hospital stay was 8 (5-11) days. Out of 853 (42.3%%) of patients who had COVID-19 Acute respiratory distress syndrome at presentation, 340 (39.9%) died. Patients aged 45-60 years [OR (95% CI): 1.8 (1.2-2.6)p =0.003] and those aged >60 years [OR (95%CI): 3.4 (2.3-5.2), p<0.001] had a higher odds of death as compared to the 18-44 age group. Vaccination reduced the odds of death by 30% [OR (95% CI): 0.7 (0.5-0.9), p=0.036]. Patients with hyper inflammation at baseline as suggested by leucocytosis [OR (95% CI): 2.1 (1.4-3.10), p <0.001], raised d-dimer >500 mg/dL [OR (95% CI): 3.2 (2.2-4.6), p <0.001] and raised C-reactive peptide >0.5 mg/L [OR (95% CI): 3.8 (1.1-13), p=0.037] had higher odds of death. Patients who were admitted in the second week had lower odds of death and those admitted in the third week had higher odds of death.

Interpretation

This is the largest cohort of patients admitted with COVID-19 from India reported to date and has shown that vaccination status and early admission during the inflammatory phase can change the course of illness of these patients. Strategies should be made to improve vaccination rates and early admission of patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 to improve outcomes.

Funding: No funding was received

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

The COVID-19 pandemic has been ravaging the world since December 2019 and the cases in various regions are being reported in waves. We found that the case fatality rates ranging from 1.4% to 28.3% have been reported in the first wave in India. Older age and the presence of comorbidities are known predictors of mortality. There are no reports regarding the effectiveness of vaccination, correlation of mortality with the timing of admission to the health care facility and inflammatory markers in the 'second wave' of the COVID-19 pandemic in India.

Added-value of this study

This study reports the real-world situation where patients get admitted at varying time points of their illness due to the mismatch between the availability of hospital beds and the rising number of COVID-19 patients during the pandemic. It reports the odds of developing severe hypoxia necessitating oxygen therapy and death thus helping identify priority groups for admission.

Implications of all the available evidence

This study found increased odds of requiring oxygen support or death in patients older than 45 years of age, with comorbidities, and those who had hyper-inflammation with raised C-reactive peptide, d-dimer or leukocytosis. Patients who were admitted in the second week of illness had lower odds of death as compared to those admitted in the third week implying that treatment with corticosteroids in the second week of the illness during the 'inflammatory phase' could lead to reduced mortality. These findings would help triage patients and provide guidance for developing admission policy during times where hospital beds are scarce. Vaccination was found to reduce the odds of deterioration or death and should be fast-tracked to prevent further 'waves' of the pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

The SARS-CoV-2 infection has been declared a pandemic by the world health organization. To date, more than 200 million people have been infected by the virus and more than 4.26 million have died.[1]

The disease manifestation ranges from being completely asymptomatic and being detected only on screening due to a history of contact or may present with rapidly progressive hypoxic respiratory failure due to pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

The clinical features, demographic profile, severity at baseline and the case fatality rates differ between various geographic regions. We present these features and the predictors of outcomes in the Indian scenario during the 'second wave' of the COVID-19 pandemic in patients who were admitted to AIIMS, Jhajjar COVID-19 treatment facility. In the period from April to June 2021, this part of India was significantly affected by COVID-19 with many patients with nearly 30 percent positivity rates of tests conducted. The objectives of this study were to characterize the demographic, clinical, laboratory and imaging features, treatment trends and hospital outcomes in patients SARS-CoV-2 infection and to study the factors determining the outcomes in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study conducted at a tertiary care teaching institute at the National Cancer Institute, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Jhajjar, India. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional review board. The protocol was designed keeping in mind the STROBE checklist for observational studies. The National Cancer Institute, AIIMS, Jhajjar is a dedicated oncology center that was converted into a designated COVID-19 treatment facility. This referral institute catered to a wide area of the northern part of India and COVID-19 patients were referred here from Delhi as well as from the nearby states of Haryana, Punjab, Rajasthan Uttar Pradesh and Bihar.

From the hospital electronic database, we included all consecutive patients admitted from the COVID-19 screening area of the hospital. We retrospectively abstracted the clinical data using a structured data capture form from the case files, screening forms and treatment sheets. Laboratory parameters and results of other biochemical & microbiological reports were obtained from the hospital's electronic patient information portal. The demographic parameters like age, gender, comorbidity status, vaccination status, vital parameters including oxygen saturation at presentation, treatment administered, course during the hospital stay, lab parameters at baseline and during the hospital stay and the outcomes in terms of discharge or death at end of hospitalization, were collected. June 21 was considered to be the cutoff date to calculate case fatality rates.

Case definitions

SARS-CoV-2 infection: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA detected on throat swab by RT-PCR or NAAT or SARS-CoV-2 antigen detected on Rapid antigen test.

Hypoxia: Any patient with oxygen saturation less than 94% on room air or needing oxygen >21% to maintain saturation on a ventilator was considered to be hypoxic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

COVID-Pneumonia: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection as described above with breathlessness and chest infiltrates on chest Xray or CT scan of the chest

COVID-19 ARDS: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia as described above with symptoms and hypoxia developing in 7 or fewer days from onset along with ARDS as per Berlin Definition 2012.[2]

COVID-19 severity[3]

Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: Patients without symptoms of COVID-19 and positive for SARS-CoV-2 as described above.

Mild COVID-19: Patients with baseline oxygen saturation ≥94% without breathlessness but with other symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 such as fever, sore throat, myalgia, fatigue etc.

Moderate COVID-19: Patients with breathlessness and other symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 as described above, and with oxygen saturation ≥94%

Severe COVID-19: Patients with COVID-19 symptoms as described above with an oxygen saturation <94% or PaO2/FiO2<300 or respiratory rate >30/min.

Renal dysfunction:

Biochemistry report with a creatinine>1 mg/dL during the hospital stay or reduced urine output less than 0.5 mL per kg per hour or <400 mL per day or requiring hemodialysis for metabolic acidosis, hyperkalemia or encephalopathy due to renal dysfunction, as described above.

Hospital-acquired infection

Biological samples from tracheal aspirates, urine, or blood cultures showing pathogens known to be associated with nosocomial infections.

Deteriorated during hospital stay: Patients who were not hypoxic at presentation, but went on to develop hypoxia; those who were on a face mask or non-rebreather mask receiving oxygen who went on to need high flow oxygen devices, non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation or those who needed renal replacement therapy for acute kidney injury which developed during the course of COVID-19.

Death: Patients who died due to any cause during the hospital stay

Death due to COVID-19 death: Death in which COVID-19 is the proximate or underlying cause of death according to the International guidelines for certification and classification (coding) of COVID-19as cause of death[4]

COVID-19 associated death: Cases where the associated COVID-19 infection could have aggravated the consequences of the primary illness or accident leading to death according to the International guidelines for certification and classification (coding) of COVID-19.[4]

Discharge: Persons with SARS-CoV-2 infection who were discharged alive from the hospital. This includes those who were discharged home, those who left against medical advice and those who were transferred to another medical facility as described below.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Discharged home: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection who were discharged alive from the hospital after recovery and the destination from the hospital was home.

Left against medical advice: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection who were discharged alive from the hospital before reaching the discharged home criteria as described above. Such patients may continue their treatment at another hospital or choose to go home.

Transfer: Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection who were discharged alive from the hospital to another health care facility to allow continued medical care for their primary illness or COVID-19 related complications.

The data collected for the purpose of the study were de-identified and analyzed. The patients included in this analysis will also be used in other reports to study subgroups and to answer other research questions.

Statistical analysis

The data were summarized using means and standard deviations for normal data and medians and interquartile ranges (p25-p75) for non-parametric data and means were compared using the 't test' and medians using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The categorical data were summarized as proportions and compared using the Chi2 test or Fisher's exact as appropriate. All statistical tests were performed with the use of a two-sided type I error rate of 5%. Missing data were not imputed and the summary parameters were calculated with the available data and the denominators (n) for each parameter was mentioned.

Univariate analysis was done to compare the various parameters between those who were discharged and those who died. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was done with models developed by including those that were found to be significant on univariate analysis as well as parameters of clinical relevance. We also included those parameters which we thought would influence the outcomes based on available scientific literature. Sensitivity analysis was done by dropping such parameters and by comparing the various models obtained by dropping them. Kaplan Meier survival probabilities were estimated by baseline severity status and were compared. All analysis was performed using STATA-Version 13.0 software.

Results

A total of 2080 patients were admitted to our COVID-19 facility during the period from April – June 2021. Amongst these, 17 were admitted as caregivers of the patients and 46 were still admitted at the facility as of the cut-off date of the study. Figure 1 shows the sample recruitment for the analysis of this study.

Case fatality rate and cause of death

Out of the 2080 admitted patients, there were 406 deaths, which amounted to a case fatality rate of 19.5%. In our cohort, 89 (21.9%) patients died less than 48 hours after admission. The majority of patients 342(84.2%) died due to refractory hypoxia. We had 28 patients (6.9%) who died due to myocarditis or sudden cardiac events such as pulmonary thromboembolism or myocardial infarction.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Three patients were dead when they arrived at the emergency department. A minority of patients had acute kidney injury (5/406) and chronic kidney disease and uremia (2/406) as the cause of death. The other proximate causes of death were fall with head injury in one patient, SLE and related complications in two patients, febrile neutropenia and other complications of malignancy or chemotherapy (COVID-19 associated deaths) in eight patients, fungal pneumonia in 2 patients and mucor with fungal sepsis in four patients. The time to death and fraction of patients who were discharged at each time point has been categorized based on the severity of COVID-19 at presentation and the unadjusted odds are depicted in Figure 2.

Clinical features and baseline characteristics

Table 1 shows the sample characteristics of the 2017 patients who form the analysis cohort (Figure-1). Since this was a retrospective cohort study, we had missing data and it has been mentioned as to what proportion of the data points were missing for each of the parameters. 1763 (87.3%) patients were admitted due to COVID-19 symptoms and 99 (4.9%) patients were admitted for primarily non-COVID-19 indications such as malignancy, pancreatitis etc. and were found to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 during routine testing during the hospital stay. In our cohort, males 1355(65%) outnumbered females 725 (35%). We had 1572 patients who were discharged from the hospital cured or improved, 13 patients who left against medical advice and 26 patients who were transferred to other medical facilities for continuing care.

We found that around half of the patients (47%) had severe disease at presentation (oxygen saturation of <94% at baseline). Tachycardia, tachypnea and hypoxia at presentation were associated with increased odds of mortality on univariate analysis. Patients who had breathlessness and those who were drowsy or in altered sensorium had a higher odds of death. Sore throat, fatigue, myalgia, chest pain, gastrointestinal symptoms, loss of smell and taste were reported in higher proportions by patients who survived. This is likely due to the higher proportion of patients with severe disease, who probably could not narrate the history due to their respiratory distress. Breathlessness and altered sensorium on the other hand can be assessed by the examiner and could have been more accurately captured thus attaining significant differences between those who survived and those who died. Of note, 13.8% of patients presented with COVID-19 pneumonia and 47.4% of patients presented with COVID-19 related ARDS.

Laboratory parameters

The biochemical parameters of the cohort at baseline are detailed in Table 2. We found that patients with hyper inflammation as evidenced by leucocytosis, elevated C-reactive peptide and d-dimer were associated with higher odds of death.

Treatment trends

The patients were treated by the team of intensive care physicians according to the clinical condition based on the national guidelines, institute protocols and latest scientific literature subject to availability of the interventions. In summary, almost all patients who were hypoxic and were on oxygen were treated with corticosteroids. All hypoxic patients without significant thrombocytopenia or other clear contraindications were treated with prophylactic doses of anticoagulation. The proportion of patients

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

who were discharged and those who expired receiving various medications is detailed in Table 3. As expected, patients with severe disease were more likely to be treated with drugs such as pulse methylprednisolone, tocilizumab and remdesivir. Out of 256 patients who were treated with non-invasive ventilation, 221(86.3%) succumbed while only 13.7% of patients survived. Similarly, 250 patients received invasive mechanical ventilation, thereby representing 13.7% of the total cohort. Only 2.4% of those who received mechanical ventilation could be discharged and the rest succumbed to the illness.

Predictors of outcome

We analyzed the predictors of (i) developing severe illness needing oxygen therapy, (ii) deterioration during the hospital stay as defined above using the clinical and laboratory parameters at baseline are depicted in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. Predictors for the development of critical illness (as defined above) are depicted in supplementary table-1. The independent predictors of death were derived using logistic regression analysis and 4 models were created using the clinically relevant parameters as covariates. Adjusting for baseline clinical characteristics (Table-6, Model-1), age>=45years, having comorbid conditions, Severe illness at presentation and hospitalization in the 3rd week of illness were independently associated with increased odds of death. Vaccination and hospitalization in the 2nd week of illness reduced the odds of death by 30% (OR 0.7 95% CI 0.5-0.9 p-value 0.008) and 36% (OR 0.64 95% CI 0.48-0.86 p-value 0.003) respectively. The factors predicting deterioration in patients who were not hypoxic at baseline have been described in Supplementary table-2.

Leukocytosis, thrombocytopenia, elevated d-dimer, C-reactive peptide and creatinine at baseline independently predicted mortality after adjusting for other baseline and treatment characteristics. (Table-6, Model-3).

Discussion

This study describes the demography and clinical profile of the patients admitted to our facility during the 'second wave' of the COVID-19 pandemic. Few points are worthy of elaboration. Though the vaccination program had been started around 3 months before the rapid ascent in the curve, less than 2% of the patients who were admitted had received both the doses of vaccine at least 2 weeks before the infection and 14.2% were partially vaccinated. The designated COVID-19 facility catered to predominantly patients who were getting admitted due to COVID-19 pneumonia. We had around 5% of patients who had other indications for admission such as malignancy. We found that getting admitted for other indications had a higher odds of death as compared to those who were admitted for COVID-19. Similarly, another interesting finding was that patients who got admitted in the third week [OR 3.3 95% CI 1.1-9.7 p=0.027] after symptom onset had a higher odds of death as compared to those getting admitted in the first week of onset of symptoms, while the odds of death was significantly lower [OR 0.6 95% CI 0.5-0.8 95% CI 0.001] when admitted during the second week of onset of symptoms compared to week-1. This probably could be because the initial first week was associated with fulminant viral pneumonia and those who present with severe disease at this early viraemic stage had a higher odds of death. Likewise, those who presented in the third week are likely to be those with inflammatory damage due to the 'cytokine storm'. These patients who presented in the third week are likely those who had

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

not received corticosteroid therapy in the second week of the illness when the inflammatory phase had set in, probably leading to an increase in mortality. However, this hypothesis needs further study.

Our findings were different from the study by Chauhan et al.[5] that showed more proportion of fever, fatigue, myalgia, abdominal pain in those who died as compared to those who survived. However, the study by Bairwa et al.[6] concurred with the clinical symptomatology of our study. The case fatality rate of our cohort was 19.5% which was similar to that in multiple other studies.[5–9] Another cohort from our center during the 'first wave' of the pandemic reported a Case fatality rate of 1.4%.[10] However a study from a tertiary care hospital in New Delhi reported a CFR of 28.3%.[11]The cohort of patients reported by Gupta et al.[12] had a case fatality rate of 9.5% while the study by Wang et al.[13] reported a CFR of 4.3%. These differences could be due to various factors such as different strains of the virus, vaccination rates, usage of antiviral/anti-inflammatory agents and monoclonal antibodies, due to study design factors such as the proportion of patients still admitted and undergoing treatment at the end of the study or due to other baseline differences. Similar to these studies, a higher proportion of those with comorbidities and those with severe illness succumbed to the illness.

Patients treated with methylprednisolone pulse therapy, remdesivir and tocilizumab had a higher odds of death as reflected in Table 4. This apparent paradox may be due to confounding by indication. Understandably, patients with severe disease and those with critical illness are more likely to be treated with these agents.

In our cohort, we had 1067 patients who needed oxygen and only 63% of them survived. This implies a mortality rate of 37% in patients who needed some form of oxygen therapy or mechanical ventilation. This reflects the severe nature of COVID-19 pneumonia and ARDS in this cohort of patients. More than 47% of patients of our cohort had ARDS due to COVID-19 pneumonia. Respiratory failure necessitating mechanical ventilation had a very poor prognosis with only 13.7% of those receiving non-invasive ventilation and 2.4% of those receiving invasive mechanical ventilation being discharged. It was disappointing that, almost 86% of patients who had to be ventilated died. This is probably due to the fulminant viral pneumonia in the initial week and hyperinflammatory lung disease during the second and third weeks of the illness. This is different from the historical mortality rates of ARDS.[14] Similarly, all 12 patients who developed barotrauma-related pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum succumbed. The presence of renal dysfunction irrespective of the need for renal replacement therapy and hypotension were also independently associated with increased odds of mortality.

Our study includes a large cohort of patients which allows us to explore the various factors associated with severe illness, deterioration during hospitalization and death. However, it has a few limitations. Due to the retrospective nature of the data collection, few files had been misplaced or lost and nearly 10% of data points were missing. However, due to the large sample size of 2080 patients, we were able to find several independent risk factors for these outcomes. Similarly, due to the observational nature of the study, it is not possible to draw conclusions regarding causation. It is difficult to comment on the efficacy of for example high dose methylprednisolone pulses in preventing in-hospital deterioration because the drug had been administered at varying time points in the patients rather than a protocolized administration. In some patients, it had been administered once they needed oxygen

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

administered through a high-flow nasal cannula while in others it was administered while on the verge of respiratory failure needing non-invasive ventilation. Similarly, the treatment was decided by the individual clinicians based on their discretion and thus subject to confounding by indication, thus precluding definite causation from being inferred. Other inflammatory markers such as raised ferritin and IL-6 were also associated with increased odds of death, however, due to the low number of patients in whom these parameters were available at baseline, it was not included in the logistic regression models.

Conclusion

This is the largest cohort of COVID-19 patients that has been reported from the Southeast Asian region. Our study has also reported the relationship between the time of presentation and its association with mortality. We believe that early admission to the hospital, especially during the inflammatory phase, could make a difference by reducing mortality. Though during the first week or the viremic phase, we have no definite intervention to prevent mortality. Even remdesivir, which is an antiviral drug is effective in patients who are hypoxic but not critically ill and not in the early phase of the illness. Based on the available data, we could also conclude that vaccination has an impact on reducing the odds of death. However, once the patient develops ARDS related to COVID-19 necessitating respiratory support, the prognosis is dismal. Early administration of corticosteroids early in the inflammatory phase seems to be the only intervention that could have possibly changed the course of illness in our patients.

Data sharing: data collected for the study, including individual participant data and a data dictionary defining each field in the set, will be made available to others upon reasonable request to be routed through our Institute ethics committee with an appropriate protocol

References

1. COVID Live Update: 200,672,639 Cases and 4,265,820 Deaths from the Coronavirus - Worldometer. Accessed August 4, 2021. https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/

2. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: The Berlin Definition. *JAMA*. 2012;307(23). doi:10.1001/jama.2012.5669

3. Clinical Spectrum. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines. Accessed July 19, 2021. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/overview/clinical-spectrum/

4. Guidelines_Cause_of_Death_COVID-19.pdf. Accessed July 19, 2021. https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/Guidelines_Cause_of_Death_COVID-19.pdf

5. Chauhan NK, Shadrach BJ, Garg MK, et al. Predictors of Clinical Outcomes in Adult COVID-19 Patients Admitted to a Tertiary Care Hospital in India: an analytical cross-sectional study. *Acta Bio-Medica Atenei Parm*. 2021;92(3):e2021024. doi:10.23750/abm.v92i3.10630

6. Bairwa M, Kumar R, Ajmal M, Bahurupi Y, Kant R. Predictors of critical illness and mortality based on symptoms and initial physical examination for patients with SARS-CoV-2: A retrospective cohort study. *J Infect Public Health*. 2021;14(8):1028-1034. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2021.06.010

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

7. Gregoriano C, Koch D, Haubitz S, et al. Characteristics, predictors and outcomes among 99 patients hospitalised with COVID-19 in a tertiary care centre in Switzerland: an observational analysis. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:w20316. doi:10.4414/smw.2020.20316

8. Albalawi O, Alharbi Y, Bakouri M, et al. Clinical characteristics and predictors of mortality among COVID-19 patients in Saudi Arabia. *J Infect Public Health*. 2021;14(8):994-1000. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2021.06.005

9. Zhang J-J, Cao Y-Y, Tan G, et al. Clinical, radiological, and laboratory characteristics and risk factors for severity and mortality of 289 hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *Allergy*. 2021;76(2):533-550. doi:10.1111/all.14496

10. Mohan A, Tiwari P, Bhatnagar S, et al. Clinico-demographic profile & hospital outcomes of COVID-19 patients admitted at a tertiary care centre in north India. *Indian J Med Res*. 2020;152(1 & 2):61-69. doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1788_20

11. Aggarwal A, Shrivastava A, Kumar A, Ali A. Clinical and Epidemiological Features of SARS-CoV-2 Patients in SARI Ward of a Tertiary Care Centre in New Delhi. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2020;68(7):19-26.

12. Gupta N, Ish P, Kumar R, et al. Evaluation of the clinical profile, laboratory parameters and outcome of two hundred COVID-19 patients from a tertiary centre in India. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis Arch Monaldi Mal Torace*. 2020;90(4). doi:10.4081/monaldi.2020.1507

13. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020;323(11):1061-1069. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1585

14. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, Patterns of Care, and Mortality for Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Intensive Care Units in 50 Countries. *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):788. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0291

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1: Patient recruitment and outcomes



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure-2: Kaplan-Meier survival estimates by COVID-19 baseline severity.



Number at risk:

Asymptomatic-No hypoxia	100	14	1	0	0	0
Mild	592	160	42	17	8	0
Moderate	248	65	16	3	1	0
Severe	827	390	103	32	10	0

Figure Legends: Asymptomatic-Patients without symptoms of COVID-19
Mild-Patients with symptoms of COVID-19, but no breathlessness, SpO2 at baseline ≥94%
Moderate-Patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and breathlessness, SpO2 at baseline ≥94%
Severe-Patients with COVID-19 and severe hypoxia, SpO2 at baseline <94%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical profile of patients at baseline

Variable	Total n(%)	Discharge n(%)	Death n(%)	p-value
Age [Mean (SD)] (n=2017)	47·4 (17·6)	44·8 (17·2)	57·5 (15·4)	<0·001
Days of Hospital Stay [Median (IQR)] (n=2017)	7 (5-11)	8 (5-11)	7 (3-12)	0·022
Sex (n,%) (n=2017)				
Female	697 (34·6)	565 (35·1)	132 (32·5)	0·333
Male	1320 (65·4)	1046 (64·9)	274 (67·5)	
Primary indication for admission (n=1862)				
Covid	1748 (93·8)	1369 (94·0)	379 (93·4)	0·203
Mucormycosis associated with COVID-19	15 (0·8)	14 (1)	1 (0·3)	
Non-covid	99 (5·3)	73 (5)	26 (6·4)	
COVID symptoms at baseline (n=2004)	n=2004 ()			
Asymptomatic	125 (6·2)	118 (7·4)	7 (1·7)	<0·001
Symptomatic	1879 (93·8)	1482 (92·6)	397 (98·3)	
Vaccine protection (n=1818)	n=1818 ()			
Not vaccinated	1314 (72·3)	1020 (71·2)	294 (76·4)	0·037
Symptoms<2wks of Dose1	215 (11·8)	173 (12·1)	42 (10·9)	
Partially vaccinated	258 (14·2)	210 (14·7)	48 (12·5)	
Fully vaccinated	31 (1·7)	30 (2·1)	1 (0·3)	
Symptoms (n=1794)	n=1794 ()			
Fever n(%)	1371 (76·4)	1084 (77·6)	287 (72·3)	0·028
Breathlessness	910 (50·7)	625 (44·7)	285 (71·8)	<0·001
Dry cough	945 (52·7)	725 (51·9)	220 (55·4)	0·215
Cough with Expectoration	214 (11·9)	168 (12)	46 (11·6)	0·812
Rhinitis	75 (4·2)	65 (4·7)	10 (2·5)	0·061
Sore throat	335 (18·7)	282 (20·2)	53 (13·4)	0·002
Fatigue	293 (16·3)	241 (17·3)	52 (13·1)	0·048
Myalgia	338 (18·8)	282 (20·2)	56 (14·1)	0·006
Chest pain	134 (7·5)	114 (8·2)	20 (5)	0·037
Gastrointestinal (Nausea/Vomiting/Diarrhea)	220 (12·3)	199 (14·2)	21 (5·3)	<0·001
Drowsiness	17 (1)	9 (0·6)	8 (2)	0·013
Loss of smell	148 (8·3)	131 (9·4)	17 (4·3)	0·001
Loss of taste	149 (8·3)	139 (10)	10 (2·5)	<0·001
Comorbidity status (n=1873)	n=1873 ()			
Any Comorbidity	978 (52·2)	715 (48·6)	263 (65·3)	<0·001
1 comorbidity	615 (32·8)	470 (32)	145 (36)	<0·001
≥2 comorbidities	363 (19·4)	245 (16·7)	118 (29·3)	
Hypertension	457 (24·4)	329 (22·4)	128 (31·8)	<0·001
Diabetes	437 (23·3)	308 (21)	129 (32)	<0·001
CAD	96 (5·1)	62 (4·2)	34 (8·4)	0·001
Neurological	29 (1·6)	25 (1·7)	4 (1)	0·308
CLD	5 (0·3)	4 (0·3)	1 (0·3)	0·934
Malignancy	102 (5·5)	75 (5·1)	27 (6·7)	0·211
Asthma/COPD	54 (2·9)	36 (2·5)	18 (4·5)	0·032
Hematological	13 (0·7)	9 (0·6)	4 (1)	0·495
CKD	25 (1·3)	15 (1)	10 (2·5)	0·024
Hypothyroid	86 (4·6)	63 (4·3)	23 (5·7)	0·227
Temperature at baseline (n=1611)				
Febrile at baseline	15 (0·9)	14 (1·1)	1 (0·3)	0·186
Heart rate at baseline (n=1704)				
Tachycardia at baseline	801 (47)	604 (44·1)	197 (59)	<0·001
Respiratory rate at baseline (n=1679)				
Tachypnea at baseline	335 (20)	222 (16·4)	113 (35·2)	<0·001
Oxygen status at presentation (n=1819)				
On oxygen	415 (22·8)	244 (17·1)	171 (43·3)	<0·001
On room air	1404 (77·2)	1180 (82·9)	224 (56·7)	
Baseline Covid Severity (n=1801)				
Asymptomatic_No Hypoxia	100 (5·6)	99 (7·0)	1 (0·3)	<0·001
Mild (Symptomatic_No Hypoxia)	599 (33·3)	571 (40·6)	28 (7·1)	
Moderate (SpO2≥94% /COVID-19 pneumonia/Breathlessness)	249 (13·8)	224 (15·9)	25 (6·4)	
Severe (Hypoxic-Sp02<94%/COVID-19 ARDS)	853 (47·4)	513 (36·5)	340 (86·3)	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2: Laboratory parameters at baseline

	Discharges		Deaths		
Parameter	Available observations	Mean(SD) or Median (p25-p75)	Available observations	Mean(SD) or Median (p25-p75)	p value*
Hemoglobin (mg/dL)	1322	12·9 (2·2)	334	12·7 (2·2)	0·051
Leucocyte count (cells/mm^3)	1320	6·8 (4·8-9·9)	332	11·6 (8·1-15·9)	<0·001
Neutrophil (cells/mm^3)	1287	4·8 (2·8-7·8)	320	10·0 (6·6-13·9)	<0·001
Lymphocytes (cells/mm^3)	1288	1·0 (0·7-1·5)	320	0·6 (0·4-0·8)	<0·001
LDH (U/L)	1159	328 (241-460)	271	654 (501-890)	<0·001
T· Bilirubin (mg/dL)	1341	0·5 (0·4-0·7)	339	0·6 (0·4-0·9)	<0·001
ALT (U/L)	1329	45 (27-79)	338	53 (31-91)	0·003
AST (U/L)	1329	42 (30-68)	338	61 (39-98)	<0·001
Globulin (g/dL)	1315	2·6 (0·4)	336	2·7 (0·5)	0·002
A/G ratio	1314	1·5 (1·4-1·7)	334	1·3 (1·1-1·5)	<0·001
Albumin (g/dL)	1329	3·9 (0·5)	337	3·5 (0·5)	<0·001
Urea (mg/dL)	1351	30 (21·4-43·0)	338	59·9 (42·8-87·7)	<0·001
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1351	0·7 (0·6-0·9)	338	0·9 (0·7-1·3)	<0·001
Calcium (mg/dL)	1323	8·6 (3·6)	338	8·1 (0·8)	<0·001
Phosphate (mg/dL)	1323	3·0 (2·5-3·5)	338	3·1 (2·5-4·0)	0·005
Sodium (m Eq/L)	1341	137·2 (8·3)	339	139·2 (9·7)	<0·001
Potassium (m Eq/L)	1341	4·5 (4·1-4·9)	339	4·7 (4·2-5·2)	<0·001
Inflammatory markers					
• Ferritin (ng/mL)	473	365·4 (135·2-878)	116	1096·5 (712·5-1650)	<0·001
• D-Dimer (ng/mL)	1154	201·5 (121-347)	271	622 (337-2550)	<0·001
• CRP (mg/dL)	1286	2·6 (0·8-7·4)	301	9·0 (5·1-16·0)	<0·001
• IL-6 (pg/mL)	581	9·1 (3·4-22·6)	195	40·5 (14·4-104·2)	<0·001
Categorical	***n***	***n(%)***	***n***	***n(%)***	***chi2-pvalue***
Hemoglobin<12g/dl	*1322*	*378 (28·6)*	*334*	*111 (33·2)*	*0·097*
Thrombocytopenia(<1·5Lac)	*1329*	*269 (20·4)*	*334*	*74 (22·2)*	*0·439*
Leucopenia	*1320*	*199 (15·1)*	*332*	*15 (4·5)*	<0·001
LDH>246U/L	*1159*	*853(73·6)*	*271*	*269 (99·3)*	<0·001
Total Bilirubin>1·2mg/dl	*1341*	*60 (4·5)*	*339*	*29 (8·6)*	*0·003*
ALT >49U/L	*1329*	*605 (45·5)*	*338*	*179 (53·0)*	*0·014*
AST>=34U/L	*1329*	*889 (66·9)*	*338*	*275 (81·4)*	*<0·001*
Urea >=50mg/dl	*1351*	*242 (17·9)*	*338*	*213 (63·0)*	*<0·001*
Creatinine>=1·0mg/dl	*1351*	*53 (3·9)*	*338*	*88 (26·0)*	*<0·001*
Hyperferritinemia (>322 ng/mL)	473	250 (52·9)	116	105 (90·5)	*<0·001*
d-dimer >500 ng/ml	1154	188 (16·3)	271	155 (57·2)	*<0·001*
IL-6 >4·4 pg/ml	581	410 (70·6)	195	188 (95·4)	*<0·001*
CRP >0·5 mg/dl	1286	1031 (80·2%)	301	298 (99·0%)	*<0·001*

Notes: p-values for means are calculated by t-test and for medians by Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3: Treatment offered and in-hospital complications

	Total	Discharged	Died	chi2/Exact
Parameter (n)	n (% of observations who received the intervention)	n (%)*	n (%)*	p value
Oxygen n=1831	1025 (56·0)	626 (61·1)	399 (38·9)	<0·001
HFNC n=1808	138 (7·6)	42 (30·4)	96 (69·6%)	<0·001
NIV n=1823	256 (14·0)	35 (13·7)	221 (86·3%)	<0·001
Invasive MV n=1830	250 (13·7)	6 (2·4)	244 (97·6)	<0·001
ICU admission n=2017	239 (11·9)	99 (41·4)	140 (58·6)	<0·001
Corticosteroid use (n=1813)	1094 (60·3)	722 (66·0)	372 (34·0)	<0·001
Pulse methylprednisolone n=1752	165 (9·4)	52 (31·5)	113 (68·5)	<0·001
Inhaled steroids n=1761	357 (20·3)	247 (69·2)	110 (30·8)	<0·001
Anticoagulation therapy n=1796	988 (55·0)	658 (66·6)	330 (33·4)	<0·001
Ivermectin n=1758	283 (16·1)	235 (83·0)	48 (17·0)	0·115
Doxycycline n=1757	324 (18·4)	246 (75·9)	78 (24·1)	0·072
Minocycline n= 1757	38 (2·2)	29 (76·3)	9 (23·7)	0·615
Azithromycin n=1754	247 (14·1)	195 (79·0)	52 (21·1)	0·750
Ceftriaxone n=1757	286 (16·3)	172 (60·1)	114 (39·9)	<0·001
Levofloxacin n=1760	234 (13·3)	98 (41·9)	136 (58·1)	<0·001
Tocilizumab n=1755	37 (2·1)	8 (21·6)	29 (78·4)	<0·001
Remdesivir n=1755	403 (23·0)	257 (63·8)	146 (36·2)	<0·001
Zinc n=1687	486 (28·8)	440 (90·5)	46 (9·5)	<0·001
Hyperglycemia n=1660	402 (24·2)	258 (64·2)	144 (35·8)	<0·001
Renal dysfunction n=1831	340 (18·6)	152 (44·7)	188 (55·3)	<0·001
Hypotension n=1800	95 (5·3)	3 (3·2)	92 (96·8)	<0·001
Hemodialysis n=2017	20 (1·0)	6 (30·0)	14 (70·0)	<0·001
Dialysis for AKI	5 (0·25)	1 (20·0)	4 (80·0)	<0·001

*n denotes the number of patients who received the intervention and % denotes the proportion of patients who were discharged or died after receiving the intervention

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 4: Factors predicting the development of hypoxia requiring Oxygen Support

		Oxygen Support during the hospital stay	
		Model-1	Model-2
		OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value
Age (Ref: 18-45yrs)			
	<18 years	**0·5 (0·2-0·9), 0·035**	**0·2 (0·1-0·8), 0·022**
	45-60 years	**2·8 (2·1-3·8), <0·001**	**1·9(1·3-2·8), 0·001**
	>60yrs	**2·8 (2-4), <0·001**	**1·6 (1·0-2·6), 0·044**
Male (Ref: Female)		1·2 (1·0-1·6), 0·094	1·0 (0·7-1·5), 0·846
Vaccinated		**0·6 (0·5-0·8), <0·001**	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·047**
Symptom onset to Hospitalization (Ref: 1week)			
	2weeks	1·2 (0·9-1·5), 0·248	1·0 (0·7-1·4), 0·970
	3 or more weeks	1·9 (0·47-8·1), 0·362	2·3 (0·3-20), 0·463
	Asymptomatic	**0·3 (0·2-0·6), <0·001**	**0·1 (0·04-0·3), <0·001**
Symptoms reported (Ref: No)			
	Breathlessness	**6·4 (5-8·2), <0·001**	**3·7 (2·7-5·2), <0·001**
	Dry cough	**1·3 (1-1·7), 0·021**	
	Rhinitis	**0·5 (0·3-0·8), 0·012**	
	Sore throat	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·021**	
	Fatigue	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·037**	
Comorbidities (Ref: No)			
	1	**1·4 (1-1·8), 0·032**	1·3 (0·9-1·9), 0·171
	2 or more	**1·7 (1·2-2·5), 0·003**	1·6 (1·0-2·6), 0·055
Lab Parameters (Ref: No)			
Leucocyte Count (Ref: Normal)			
	Leukopenia		**0·4 (0·2-0·6), <0·001**
	Leukocytosis		**2·8 (1·8-4·3), <0·001**
D-Dimer>500 (Ref:=<500)			**2·5 (1·6-3·8), <0·001**
CRP high (Ref: Normal)			**5·7 (3·4-9·7), <0·001**
Creatinine>1·0 mg/dl			**1·7 (1·1-2·8), 0·024**

Notes: Model-1: Adjusting for baseline clinical parameters, Model-2: Model-1 plus baseline lab parameters· Age, gender and comorbidities are included in all models· Only symptoms and lab parameters with significant p-value are included in these final models·

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 5: Factors predicting deterioration during the hospital stay

	Deterioration during the hospital stay	
	Model-1	Model-2
	OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value
Age (Ref: 18-45yrs)		
<18 years	0·6 (0·3-1·4), 0·217	0·5 (0·1-2·0), 0·336
45-60 years	**1·8 (1·3-2·4), <0·001**	1·5 (1·0-2·2), 0·040
>60years	**2·6 (1·9-3·6), <0·001**	1·8 (1·2-2·7), 0·008
Male (Ref: Female)	1·2 (0·9-1·5), 0·168	0·9 (0·7-1·3), 0·660
Primary Condition (Ref: Covid)		
Non-Covid	**2·1 (1·2-3·7), 0·012**	0·9 (0·4-2·2), 0·825
mucor	1·7 (0·1-20·4), 0·686	
Vaccinated	0·8 (0·6-1·0), 0·067	0·8 (0·6-1·1), 0·140
Time to Admission from Symptom Onset (Ref: 1wk)		
2weeks	**0·7 (0·5-0·8), 0·001**	**0·6 (0·4-0·8), 0·001**
3 or more weeks	**3·1 (1·1-9·6), 0·046**	2·0 (0·4-10·0), 0·412
Asymptomatic	1·0 (0·3-3·7), 0·948	2·3 (0·1-39·0), 0·572
Comorbidities (Ref: No)		
1	**1·3 (1·02-1·7), 0·037**	1·3 (0·9-1·9), 0·107
2 or more	**1·6 (1·2-2·2), 0·004**	1·5 (1·0-2·2), 0·078
Baseline COVID-19 severity (Ref: Mild)		
Asymptomatic – No hypoxia	**0·2 (0·1-0·8), 0·026**	0·1 (0·0-2·2), 0·146
Moderate	**2·4 (1·7-3·5), <0·001**	**1·6 (1·0-2·6), 0·032**
Severe	**3·0 (2·3-4·0), <0·001**	1·1 (0·7-1·6), 0·697
Symptoms reported (Ref: No)		
Fever	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·020**	
Dry cough	**1·3 (1·1-1·7), 0·020**	**1·6 (1·2-2·2), 0·002**
Lab Parameters (Ref: No)		
Thrombocytopenia		**1·8 (1·2-2·6), 0·004**
Leucocyte Count (Ref: Normal)		
Leukopenia		**0·5 (0·3-0·8), 0·005**
Leukocytosis		**2·1 (1·5-2·9), <0·001**
D-Dimer>500 (Ref:=<500)		**2·5 (1·8-3·4), <0·001**
CRP high (Ref: Normal)		**6·0 (2·8-13·0), <0·001**
Creatinine>1·0 mg/dl		**2·2 (1·5-3·2), <0·001**

Notes: Model-1: Adjusting for baseline clinical parameters, Model-2: Model-1 plus baseline lab parameters· Age, gender and comorbidities are included in all models· Only symptoms and lab parameters with significant p-value are included in these final models·

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 6: Factors predicting death

	Model-1	Model-2	Model-3	Model-4
	OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value
Age (Ref: 18-45years)				
<18 years	0·5 (0·1-1·7), 0·268	0·6 (0·1-5·8), 0·683	2·2 (0·4-13), 0·367	2 (0·2-18), 0·519
45-60 years	**1·8 (1·2-2·6), 0·003**	**2 (1·2-3·3), 0·012**	1·5 (0·8-2·8), 0·220	1·4 (0·7-2·8), 0·294
>60 years	**3·4 (2·3-5·2), <0·001**	**2·8 (1·6-4·9), <0·001**	**2·5 (1·3-4·8), 0·007**	**2·4 (1·2-4·8), 0·010**
Male (Ref: Female)	1·2 (0·89-1·6), 0·223	1 (0·67-1·5), 0·981	1 (0·6-1·7), 0·940	1·1 (0·7-1·9), 0·734
Primary Condition (Ref: Covid)				
Non Covid	**3·5 (1·7-7·1), 0·001**	1·2 (0·4-3·5), 0·714	0·8 (0·3-2·6), 0·737	0·5 (0·1-1·8), 0·285
Mucor	0·4 (0·1-3·6), 0·412	0·9 (0·1-11), 0·932		
Vaccinated	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·036**	**0·6 (0·4-0·9), 0·023**	**0·5 (0·3-0·9), 0·015**	**0·5 (0·3-0·9), 0·039**
Symptom onset to Hospitalization (Ref: 1Week)				
2 Weeks	**0·6 (0·5-0·9), 0·003**	**0·6 (0·4-0·9), 0·028**	**0·5 (0·3-0·8), 0·003**	**0·5 (0·3-0·8), 0·004**
3 or more weeks	**3·3 (1·1-9·9), 0·034**	1·2 (0·2-6·5), 0·842	0·8 (0·6-6·2), 0·833	0·3 (0·01-16), 0·564
Asymptomatic	1·1 (0·3-4·2), 0·907	6·3 (0·2-143), 0·247	**12 (0·5-309), 0·126**	0·01 (0-·), 0·984
Comorbidities (Ref: No)				
1	**1·5 (1·1-2), 0·023**	**1·6 (1-2·5), 0·045**	**2·1 (1·2-3·7), 0·006**	**1·9 (1-3·3), 0·035**
2 or more	**1·8 (1·2-2·6), 0·002**	1·6 (1-2·6), 0·072	**2·1 (1·1-4), 0·017**	1·8 (0·9-3·4), 0·080
Baseline COVID-19 severity (Ref: Mild)				
Asymptomatic - No hypoxia	**0·1 (0·01-0·9), 0·049**	0·03 (0·01-1·1), 0·054	**0·02 (0·01-0·82), 0·040**	5799 (0-·), 0·987
Moderate	**2·2 (1·2-4), 0·010**	1·5 (0·73-3·2), 0·256	1·5 (0·7-3·6), 0·323	1·4 (0·6-3·5), 0·442
Severe	**12 (7·4-18), <0·001**	**4·5 (2·6-7·9), <0·001**	**2·4 (1·2-4·8), 0·012**	**2·4 (1·2-5), 0·019**
Symptoms reported (Ref: No)				
Fever	**0·6 (0·4-0·8), 0·002**			
Gastrointestinal	**0·5 (0·3-0·8), 0·008**	**0·4 (0·2-0·9), 0·020**	**0·3 (0·1-0·7), 0·008**	**0·2 (0·1-0·6), 0·006**
Loss of Taste	**0·5 (0·2-0·9), 0·026**			
Lab Parameters (Ref: No)				
Leucocyte Count (Ref: Normal)				
Leukopenia		0·6 (0·2-1·4), 0·198	0·7 (0·3-2), 0·527	0·8 (0·3-2·3), 0·73
Leukocytosis		**2·1 (1·4-3·1), <0·001**	**1·9 (1·1-3), 0·013**	**1·9 (1·2-3·2), 0·013**
Thrombocytopenia<1·5lac (Ref:>=1·5lac)		**2·5 (1·5-4), <0·001**	**2·2 (1·2-4), 0·007**	**2·1 (1·2-3·9), 0·016**
d-dimer>500 (Ref:=<500)		**3·2 (2·2-4·6), <0·001**	**4·4 (2·8-7), <0·001**	**4·4 (2·7-7·3), <0·001**
CRP high (Ref: Normal)		**3·8 (1·1-13), 0·037**		
Creatinine>1·0mg/dl		**2·5 (1·6-3·9), <0·001**	**3 (1·8-5·1), <0·001**	
Treatment (Ref: No)				
Methylprednisolone pulse (>250 mg/day)			**6·2 (3·2-12), <0·001**	**5·8 (2·9-12), <0·001**
Levofloxacin			**5 (2·9-8·8), <0·001**	**3·8 (2-7·1), <0·001**
Tocilizumab			**6·3 (1·3-31), 0·023**	**5·2 (0·96-28), 0·056**
Zinc			**0·2 (0·1-0·4), <0·001**	**0·3 (0·2-0·5), <0·001**
Anticoagulant therapy			**2·4 (1·2-4·9), 0·013**	**2·1 (1-4·3), 0·051**
In Hospital Complications				
Renal Dysfunction				**3·5 (2-6·2), <0·001**
Hypotension				**29 (6·3-133), <0·001**

Notes: Model-1: Adjusting for baseline clinical parameters, Model-2: Model-1 plus baseline lab parameters, Model-3: Model-2 plus treatment characteristics, Model-4: Model-3 plus in-hospital complications· Age, gender and comorbidities are included in all models· Only symptoms, lab and treatment parameters with significant p-value are included in these final models·

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Supplementary Table 1: Logistic regression model for developing Critical Illness

	Model-1	Model-2
	OR (95%CI), p-value	OR (95%CI), p-value
Age (Ref: 18-45yrs)		
<18 years	0·9 (0·2-3·1), 0·818	--
45-60 years	**2·1 (1·4-3·1), <0·001**	**2·5 (1·5-4·1), 0·001**
>60 years	**2·8 (1·8-4·2), <0·001**	**2·5 (1·5-4·4), 0·001**
Male (Ref: Female)	**1·4 (1-1·8), 0·048**	1·3 (0·9-1·9), 0·223
Vaccinated	**0·7 (0·5-0·9), 0·023**	**0·6 (0·4-0·9), 0·035**
Symptom onset to Hospitalization (Ref: 1week)		
2weeks	**0·7 (0·6-0·9), 0·040**	0·8 (0·5-1·1), 0·152
3 or more weeks	**6·9 (2·1-22), 0·001**	3·9 (0·6-27·0), 0·166
Asymptomatic	1·3 (0·4-4·7), 0·712	7·3 (0·3-164·0), 0·211
Comorbidities (Ref: No)		
1	**1·4 (1·0-2·0), 0·035**	1·5 (1·0-2·3), 0·081
2 or more	**1·9 (1·3-2·8), 0·001**	**1·7 (1·1-2·8), 0·029**
Baseline COVID-19 severity (Ref: Mild)		
Asymptomatic – No Hypoxia	0·22 (0·02-2·5), 0·221	0·03 (0·01-1·4), 0·075
Moderate	**2·4 (1·2-4·5), 0·009**	1·8 (0·8-3·7), 0·134
Severe	**11 (6·6-17·0), <0·001**	**4·9 (2·7-8·7), <0·001**
Symptoms reported (Ref: No)		
Gastrointestinal	**0·6 (0·3-0·9), 0·034**	**0·4 (0·2-0·9), 0·04**
Lab Parameters (Ref: No)		
Leucocyte Count (Ref: Normal)		
Leukopenia		0·6 (0·3-1·5), 0·316
Leukocytosis		**2·1 (1·4-3·1), <0·001**
Thrombocytopenia<1·5lac (Ref:>=1·5lac)		**2·4 (1·5-3·9), <0·001**
D-Dimer>500ng/ml (Ref: ≤500ng/ml)		**2·9 (2·0-4·2), <0·001**
CRP >0·5mg/dl (Ref: ≤0·5mg/dl)		**3·8 (1·1-13·0), 0·036**
Creatinine>1·0mg/dl (Ref: ≤1·0mg/dl)		**2·0 (1·3-3·2), 0·001**

Notes: Model-1: Adjusting for baseline clinical parameters, Model-2: Model-1 plus baseline lab parameters· Age, gender and comorbidities are included in all models· Only symptoms and lab parameters with significant p-value are included in these final models·

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.10.21261855; this version posted August 12, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Supplementary Table 2: Factors predicting deterioration during hospital stay amongst those with no hypoxia at Baseline

	Model-1	Model-2
	OR(95%CI), p-value	OR(95%CI), p-value
Age (Ref: 18-45years)		
<18 years	0·78 (0·32-1·88), 0·578	0·5 (0·1-2·1), 0·365
45-60 years	**2·1 (1·38-3·19), 0·001**	1·4 (0·8-2·4), 0·188
>60 years	**2·44 (1·52-3·91), <0·001**	1·5 (0·8-2·7), 0·213
Male (Ref: Female)	**1·53 (1·07-2·18), 0·02**	1·1 (0·72-1·8), 0·588
Vaccinated	0·71 (0·48-1·05), 0·085	0·8 (0·49-1·3), 0·317
Comorbidities (Ref: No)		
1	**1·5 (1·02-2·22), 0·041**	1·2 (0·7-1·9), 0·553
2 or more	**2·13 (1·31-3·45), 0·002**	1·4 (0·8-2·6), 0·258
Baseline COVID-19 severity (Ref: Mild)		
Asymptomatic -No hypoxia	**0·36 (0·14-0·94), 0·036**	
Moderate	**2·22 (1·56-3·15), <0·001**	
Symptoms reported (Ref: No)		
Dry cough	**1·49 (1·05-2·1), 0·025**	
Gastrointestinal Symptoms	**1·95 (1·28-2·97), 0·002**	
Fever		**2·3 (1·3-4), 0·003**
Lab Parameters (Ref: No)		
Leucocyte Count (Ref: Normal)		
Leukopenia		**0·5 (0·3-0·9), 0·026**
Leukocytosis		**2·3 (1·3-4·0), 0·003**
D-Dimer>500ng/ml (Ref: ≤500ng/ml)		**1·7 (1·0-3·0), 0·047**
CRP >0·5mg/dl (Ref: ≤0·5mg/dl)		**9·4 (3·7-24·0), <0·001**
Creatinine>1·0mg/dl (Ref: ≤1·0mg/dl)		**2·2 (1·2-3·9), 0·010**

Notes: Model-1: Adjusting for baseline clinical parameters, Model-2: Model-1 plus baseline lab parameters· Age, gender and comorbidities are included in all the models· Only symptoms and lab parameters with significant p-value are included in these final models·