

# Altered interpersonal distance regulation in autism spectrum disorder

5 Kinga Farkas<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Orsolya Pesthy<sup>3,4</sup>, Anna Guttengéber<sup>4</sup>, Anna Szonja Weigl<sup>5</sup>, András Veres<sup>6</sup>,  
6 Anna Szekely<sup>7</sup>, Eszter Komoróczy<sup>1</sup>, Bálint Szuromi<sup>1</sup>, Karolina Janacsek<sup>7,2,8</sup>, János M.  
7 Réthelyi<sup>1</sup>, Dezső Németh<sup>2,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Brain, Memory and Language Research Group, Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> Doctoral School of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>5</sup> Lyon Neuroscience Research Center (CRNL), Université de Lyon, Centre Hospitalier Le Vinatier, Lyon, France

<sup>6</sup> Obimon Systems Ltd., Budapest, Hungary

<sup>7</sup> MTA-ELTE Lendület Adaptation Research Group, Institute of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>8</sup> Centre for Thinking and Learning, Institute for Lifecourse Development, School of Human Sciences, Faculty of Education, Health and Human Sciences, University of Greenwich, London, United Kingdom

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: [farkas.kinga@med.semmelweis-univ.hu](mailto:farkas.kinga@med.semmelweis-univ.hu) (KF)

**Keywords:** autism, social distance, interpersonal space, personal space, heart rate variability

#### **Author contributions**

28 KF, OP, AG, DN contributed to the design and implementation of the research and developed  
29 the theoretical formalism/background. KF, AW, OP performed the computations. KF  
30 designed the figures. KF, OP, AG, BS, DN wrote the manuscript. OP and AG carried out the  
31 experiment. BS and EK contributed to sample preparation. DN, KJ, and RJ supervised the  
32 project. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shaping the research, analysis, and  
33 manuscript.

## 34 Abstract

35 Interpersonal distance regulation is an essential element of social communication. Its  
36 impairment in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is widely acknowledged among practitioners,  
37 but only a handful of studies reported empirical research in real-life settings focusing mainly  
38 on children. Interpersonal distance in adults with ASD and related autonomic functions  
39 received less attention. Here, we measured interpersonal distance along with heart rate  
40 variability (HRV) in adults with ASD, and tested the modulatory effects of eye-contact and  
41 attribution. Twenty-two adults diagnosed with ASD and 21 matched neurotypical controls  
42 participated in our study from 2019 October to 2020 February. Our experimental design  
43 combined the modified version of the stop distance paradigm with HRV measurement  
44 controlling for eye contact between the experimenter and the participant to measure  
45 interpersonal distance. Our results showed a greater preferred distance in ASD. These results  
46 were altered with eye contact. Moreover, we found lower baseline HRV and reduced HRV  
47 reactivity in ASD; however, these autonomic measurements could not predict preferred  
48 interpersonal distance. Our study highlights the importance of interpersonal space regulation  
49 in ASD and the need for sophisticated experimental designs to grasp the complexity and  
50 underlying factors of distance regulation in typical and atypical populations.

## 51      **Introduction**

52            Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by  
53   persistent difficulties in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts,  
54   such as abnormal social approach or failure to initiate or respond to social interactions; and  
55   restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests, or activities [1]. At the neural level,  
56   cortical [2,3], subcortical [4,5], and autonomic [6,7] neural alterations can be observed,  
57   including developmental, structural and functional differences [8–10] in parallel to the  
58   pervasive cognitive [11,12], behavioural and physiological disturbances in ASD. However,  
59   one of the key components of social behavior, namely interpersonal distance regulation, has  
60   received relatively less attention in ASD research (see exceptions: [13–16]) even though its  
61   impairment in ASD is widely acknowledged among practitioners. Our study aims to measure  
62   the interpersonal distance regulation and a related physiological parameter (heart rate  
63   variability, HRV) during this task and test the modulatory effect of two relevant factors in  
64   social communication: eye contact and attribution of self or the other, in autism spectrum  
65   disorder.

66            Finding the appropriate social distance can be seen as the first step of physical social  
67   interactions. It is widely believed among practitioners that people with ASD keep a greater or  
68   abnormal distance [17], violations of personal space occur also more often in ASD in  
69   childhood [18]. However, it is challenging to measure this phenomenon experimentally with  
70   high ecological validity, and the results are inconsistent. In autistic participants, preference  
71   for both closer [15,19–21] or farther distance [16,22] can be found in the literature. Among  
72   these studies, only one measured interpersonal distance in adult ASD; they found no  
73   difference between study groups [18]. Studies applying electrophysiological or imaging  
74   methods usually present video recordings of an approaching individual [14] or use virtual  
75   reality displays [23,24] to measure interpersonal distance regulation in ASD. We argue that

76 virtual displays might be useful in training or therapeutic settings, but they cannot take into  
77 account several sensory modalities of the scene while measuring the behavioural and  
78 physiological reactions in interpersonal interactions. In the present study, we measured the  
79 interpersonal distance among adult participants with ASD in an experimental setting with  
80 personal presence as close as possible to real-life situations.

81 Despite its relevance, empirical studies on interpersonal distance regulation of  
82 participants with ASD were conducted only in the past few years. The nomenclature of the  
83 concept is still not unified. *Personal space* [16,19,22], *social- or physical distance* [18], and  
84 *interpersonal space and -distance* [13,14,16] are all commonly used. When measuring the  
85 physical distance between two people in one dimension, we use the term *interpersonal*  
86 *distance*. The Stop Distance Paradigm [25] is the most commonly used method for measuring  
87 interpersonal distance regulation. This method is considered an ecological measure of  
88 permeability and flexibility of interpersonal space regulation [13]. It can be combined with  
89 various factors. Previous studies suggested that the presence of eye contact [19], the role  
90 played during the experiment (active approaching or passive indicating) [22], and social  
91 interactions as experimental interventions [14,16] might influence interpersonal distance.  
92 Most of the studies examined children or adolescents with autism [16,19,22] and found larger  
93 preferred interpersonal distance in ASD, except one study [19]. The only study using the Stop  
94 Distance Paradigm among adults found no difference between groups in terms of  
95 interpersonal distance preferences [18]. Since different results were gained in different  
96 conditions, the question arises as to what extent these factors contribute to the outcome  
97 behaviour.

98 Social communication and interactions are tremendously complex processes that can  
99 be altered in autism at cognitive, behavioural, and physiological levels. At the highest level,  
100 theory of mind difficulties can be observed in ASD [26,27]. To capture this phenomenon

101 during the interpersonal distance measurement in a simplified way, we added a new  
102 condition. First, participants had to make a decision based on their own personal preference,  
103 next, they were asked to estimate the comfortable distance for the experimenter. This latter  
104 situation requires third order mentalization, the mobilisation of theory of mind ability. We  
105 called this the attribution dimension. The processing of facial expressions, particularly that of  
106 the eye region, is highly relevant in the regulation of social behaviour, including interpersonal  
107 distance. Facial emotion processing and emotion recognition is altered in autism [8,28–31].  
108 Constraining eye contact led to an exaggerated increase of amygdala activation, while  
109 decreased eye contact was associated with diminished amygdala response to faces in ASD  
110 [4,32–34]. In addition, unconsciously avoided eye contact in ASD results in further  
111 difficulties of reading socially important signals [35,36]. These results suggest that altered  
112 amygdala functioning, including the regulation of eye contact, might have a substantial role  
113 in the disturbances of several aspects of social behaviour, such as personal proximity or  
114 interpersonal space regulation [25,37,38]. Therefore, in addition to the attribution dimension,  
115 eye contact and no eye contact conditions were introduced to investigate the effect of these  
116 two factors, which are relevant for social communication.

117 Physiological response to sensory, social, and emotional stimuli is suggested to be  
118 altered in ASD in general, however, the methodology used is highly variable and the results  
119 are inconsistent [39]. Since the classic electrophysiological experiment of Hutt et al. showed  
120 hyperarousal in children with ASD [40], the majority of studies that measured autonomic  
121 regulation (pupilometry, skin conductance, or cardiac measures) found atypical resting-state  
122 functions indicating either hyper- or hypoarousal in ASD according to a recent review [41].  
123 Recent studies aimed to overcome these limitations by measuring heart rate variability  
124 (HRV): heart rate is affected by both sympathetic and parasympathetic modulatory effects;  
125 thus, its variability might be a good marker of autonomic regulation, as higher HRV reflects

126 parasympathetic activity [42]. Furthermore, a study found an association between HRV and  
127 cognitive flexibility in healthy individuals [43]. A recent meta-analysis showed that heart rate  
128 variability is reduced in ASD: baseline HRV and HRV reactivity during social stress were  
129 significantly lower in participants with ASD, but HRV reactivity performing cognitive tasks  
130 did not differ [44]. The reduced variability in the heart rate indicates an altered  
131 parasympathetic-sympathetic balance in ASD, suggesting the predominance of sympathetic  
132 activity and less flexible switching between autonomic states in ASD compared to  
133 neurotypicals. For these reasons, we measured interpersonal distance along with heart rate  
134 variability to examine their putative alterations and their relationship in ASD.

135 In this study, our main goal was to establish a comprehensive design to measure  
136 interpersonal distance and autonomic functions in ASD. Our first hypothesis was that in adult  
137 ASD we observe greater interpersonal distance. Second, we hypothesized that interpersonal  
138 distance is modulated by eye contact and attribution. Finally, we aimed to determine the role  
139 of autonomic functions in interpersonal distance regulation in ASD, expecting decreased  
140 baseline HRV and reduced HRV reactivity during the interpersonal distance task in ASD. It  
141 was also hypothesized that autonomic regulation, as characterized by HRV, could predict the  
142 preferred interpersonal distance in both study groups.

143 **Materials and methods**

144 **Participants**

145 In total, 45 adults participated in our research. Two neurotypical participants were  
146 excluded due to errors during data collection. The final sample consisted of forty-three  
147 participants, 22 were diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder without intellectual disability  
148 or language impairment, and 21 were neurotypical controls. The two groups did not differ in  
149 age, gender, and education (Table 1). All participants with ASD were diagnosed by trained  
150 clinicians, the diagnoses were confirmed with Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R)  
151 and Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, IV-module (ADOS-IV.) [45,46]. Twelve  
152 participants had one or more comorbid disorders (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (5),  
153 obsessive-compulsive disorder (3), generalized anxiety disorder (2), bipolar disorder (1),  
154 depression (1), and schizophrenia (1)). Participants with ASD were recruited from the  
155 outpatient unit of the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Semmelweis University.  
156 Neurotypical control participants were recruited by advertisement.

157 Participants (and legal guardians if applicable) provided written informed consent and did not  
158 receive financial compensation for their attendance. The study was conducted in accordance  
159 with the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the Regional and Institutional  
160 Committee of Science and Research Ethics, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary  
161 (SERKEB No.: 145/2019) from October 2019 to February 2020. The experiment took place  
162 at the Laboratory of Brain, Memory and Language Lab, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest.

163 **Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics.**

164

	ASD (N=22)	NTP (N=21)		Statistic	p	effect size			
	N (M/F)	N (M/F)		$\chi^2$	p				
Gender	18/4	14/7		1.296	0.255				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mann-Whitney U	p	r	95% CI lower, upper	
Age (years)	27.59	7.25	25.86	6.44	191.00	0.336	0.173	-0.173, 0.481	
Education (years)	15.64	3.69	16.00	3.33	248.50	0.677	-0.076	-0.401, 0.267	
AQ	31.09	6.63	15.05	5.63	18.50	<0.001	0.920	0.845, 0.959	
MZQ	51.68	9.53	38.29	9.30	71.50	<0.001	0.690	0.462, 0.833	
AAS	anxious	22.64	5.77	16.81	6.06	114.50	0.005	0.504	0.203, 0.718
	avoidant	41.23	8.80	31.71	8.12	93.50	<0.001	0.595	0.324, 0.776
ASRS	Part A	13.23	4.02	9.52	3.84	110.50	0.003	0.522	0.225, 0.730
	Part B	26.64	9.19	16.62	5.83	88.00	<0.001	0.619	0.358, 0.790
STAI-T		55.46	11.63	44.29	9.09	105.50	0.002	0.543	0.254, 0.743
ADOS-IV.		9.96	3.35	-	-	-	-	-	
ADI-R		34.82	7.74	-	-	-	-	-	
	N (Y/N/n.a.)	N (Y/N/n.a.)		$\chi^2$	p				
Caffeine	regular	18/2/2	15/5/1	1.558	0.212				
	within 12h	13/7/2	13/6/2	0.051	0.821				
Smoking		2/18/2	4/16/1	0.784	0.376				
Exercise		18/4/0	17/3/1	0.076	0.782				

165 ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder, NTP: Neurotypical Participant, N: sample size, SD: standard deviation, r:  
166 rank biserial correlation, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, M: male, F: female, Y: yes, N: no, n.a.: not  
167 available, AQ: Autism-Spectrum Quotient, MZQ: Mentalization Questionnaire, AAS: Adult Attachment Scale,  
168 ASRS: Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale, STAI-T: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory - Trait, ADOS-IV: Autism  
169 Diagnostic Observation Schedule - IV. module, ADI-R: Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised

170

## 171 Experimental paradigm - interpersonal distance task

172 In our study, we measured social distance regulation. Participants underwent an  
173 interpersonal distance measurement, a modified version of the stop-distance paradigm [25].  
174 In all conditions, the participant and the experimenter started from the opposite endpoints of  
175 the tape measure (five meters) stuck on the ground. They were asked to consciously focus on  
176 comfortable social distance overall eight times with the following order. First, (1) participants  
177 were approaching actively and were asked to stop where it was still comfortable for them.  
178 Next, (2) participants were approaching actively and were asked to stop where they thought it  
179 was still comfortable for the experimenter. Then (3) participants stood passively and were  
180 asked to stop the experimenter where it was still comfortable for them, finally, (4)

181 participants stood passively and were asked to stop the experimenter where they thought it  
182 was still comfortable for the experimenter. Participants repeated this procedure twice, with  
183 and without eye contact: either the experimenter was looking at the participant (eye contact  
184 condition), or at the papers she was holding (no eye contact condition). The order of these  
185 two conditions was randomised across participants (Fig 1). During the statistical analysis  
186 active and passive conditions were pooled (averaged) together.

187 **Fig 1. Experimental setting.** The modified version of the stop distance Paradigm. First, (1)  
188 participants were approaching actively and were asked to stop where it was still comfortable  
189 for them. Next (2) participants were approaching actively and were asked to stop where they  
190 thought it was still comfortable for the experimenter. Then (3) participants stood passively  
191 and were asked to stop the experimenter where it was still comfortable for them; finally (4)  
192 participants stood passively and were asked to stop the experimenter where they thought it  
193 was still comfortable for the experimenter. Participants repeated this procedure twice with  
194 and without eye contact; the order of the latter two conditions was randomised across  
195 participants.

## 196 Heart rate monitor

197 A wearable device Polar H10 was placed on participants' chests which recorded heart  
198 rate (HR) during the whole experiment. We measured cardiac interbeat intervals (RR  
199 intervals) using Polar H10 heart rate monitor chest strap (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele,  
200 Finland) [47], which has been shown to be a valid device to measure RR interval signals [48].  
201 The HR monitor was connected to a Samsung Galaxy Tablet via Bluetooth. We used the Elite  
202 HRV application to export the recorded RR intervals as .txt files. We measured heart rate  
203 variability (HRV) at two different conditions for a duration of 60 seconds: 1) at baseline and  
204 2) during the intentional interpersonal distance task (1 minute after starting the distance task)  
205 using the Root Mean Square of Successive RR interval Differences (RMSSD) method [49].  
206 Additionally, we calculated RMSSD at the preceding ten-second time window of trigger  
207 points set by researchers. These triggers corresponded to the time when participants arrived at  
208 their final location of each condition.

209 **Distance measuring: Obimon Prox**

210 In order to synchronize the distance data with the HRV data, both the experimenter  
211 and the participant wore a distance measuring device. The Obimon Prox [50] measures the  
212 distance and the relative orientation between two wearable devices in real-time. The devices  
213 use Ultra Wide Band (UWB) technology and the Symmetrical Double Sided Two-Way  
214 Ranging (SDS-TWR) method [51] to both determine the distance between each other by  
215 emitting very short and low power radio transmissions and measure the so-called time-of-  
216 flight (ToF) with very high precision between transmission and reception. The resolution of  
217 the measurement is in the range of a few centimetres, while the absolute precision is  
218 approximately 10 centimetres. The relative orientation is defined as the difference between  
219 the angles between the two devices taking the Earth's magnetic field as a reference. For  
220 increased precision, the device uses sensor fusion involving magnetometer, accelerometer,  
221 and gyroscope sensors. The results of the measurements are collected over Bluetooth LE  
222 wireless technology to a laptop computer and evaluated in real-time.

223 **Procedure**

224 Participants wore a Polar H10 and Obimon Prox device during the whole experiment.  
225 They placed the wearable device on themselves before the experiment started, then they  
226 waited five minutes while calibrating and registering 60 seconds of resting heart rate and  
227 HRV.

228 Next, participants completed the interpersonal distance task. Then, after a short break,  
229 they completed a computerized neurocognitive test battery - measuring working memory,  
230 executive functions, attention, inhibition, implicit learning, faux pas. These results are not  
231 reported in this paper. Finally, they completed computerized versions of self-report  
232 questionnaires (AQ: Autism-Spectrum Quotient, MZQ: Mentalization Questionnaire, AAS:

233 Adult Attachment Scale, ASRS: Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale, STAI-T: State-Trait  
234 Anxiety Inventory – Trait; see Table 1 and Supporting information, S1 File).

235 To avoid the sensory over-reactivity effect, experimenters did not wear any jewellery,  
236 perfume, and had been asked not to eat spicy food before the experiment, they wore simple,  
237 casual, non-coloured clothes (jeans and black T-shirt). The room was curtained and  
238 artificially evenly lit.

## 239 **Data preprocessing and analysis**

240 Preparation of HRV data was carried out using Python 3.7 with NumPy 1.20.1 [52],  
241 pandas 1.2.3 [53], and SciPy 1.6.1 [54] data processing packages. Since the samples were  
242 measured at a different rate for the Polar H10 (one sample per second) and the Obimon prox  
243 (one sample per milliseconds) devices, we resampled the Obimon data by taking the median  
244 for each second. Missing data were dropped from the analysis. To synchronize HRV with the  
245 proximity data we needed to obtain the timestamps for each file containing the RR intervals.  
246 The first timestamp was obtained from the name of the file which indicated the start time of  
247 the recording. Since the exported files only contained the RR intervals without a timestamp  
248 for each sample, the interval values themselves were used to create the time elapsed since the  
249 first sample. As RR intervals annotate the time between two successive heartbeats, it was  
250 possible to append the value of the RR interval to the time of the previous sample. After  
251 obtaining the timestamps, data points were replaced with the median if they indicated RR of  
252 1200 milliseconds (ms) or above, or if their absolute Z score was higher than 2. Triggers  
253 added to the distance data (see Distance measuring) were adjusted manually if needed. HRV  
254 was estimated as the root mean square of successive RR interval differences (RMSSD) since  
255 this measurement is relatively resistant to by-products caused by breathing [55], and can be

256 obtained for a shorter (10 seconds) period of time [56]. Calculations were done by the  
257 following formula (1):

258

259 
$$RMSSD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (RR_{i+1} - RR_i)^2}$$
 (1)

260

261 Baseline HR and HRV were measured and calculated for 60 seconds (s) at baseline,  
262 reactive HR and HRV were measured during interpersonal distance task one minute after  
263 starting the explicit paradigm (from +60 s to +120 s).

264 Furthermore, we calculated RMSSD around time points where interpersonal distance  
265 data were reported. To calculate RMSSD for each explicit condition, eight local minimums of  
266 the distance data were determined from data recorded by Obimon Prox. These eight time  
267 points indicate the shortest distances between the participant and the experimenter,  
268 corresponding to the time point when the reported distance was reached. RMSSD was  
269 calculated for an interval starting 10 seconds prior to reaching the reported distance.

270 **Statistical analysis**

271 Statistical analysis was accomplished using R Version 3.6.3 [57], RStudio Version  
272 1.2.1335 [58], and JASP Version 0.14.0.0 [59]. First, to measure if the two study groups do  
273 differ regarding age, gender, education, caffeine intake, smoking, exercise and scores on  
274 questionnaires, we conducted nonparametric Mann-Whitney U (Wilcoxon rank-sum) tests  
275 and a Chi-square test. To measure the effect of different conditions and study groups on the  
276 distance and HRV data, mixed-design ANOVA tests were applied, while in the case of  
277 significant interaction effects post hoc tests with Bonferroni correction were used. As there  
278 was already a baseline difference in HRV between the two groups, HRV values were  
279 standardised in the interpersonal conditions for the further comparisons. Associations  
280 between distance, HRV, and scores of psychometric questionnaires and diagnostic tests were

281 analysed with Spearman's rank-order correlations. Analyses were performed and  
282 visualizations were created with R-packages *dplyr* [60], *ggplot2*[61], *psych* [62], *gridExtra*  
283 [63], *ggbpbr* [64], *readxl* [65], *corrplot* [66], *Hmisc* [67], *varian* [68].

284 **Results**

285 **Is preferred interpersonal distance different in ASD?**

286 Participants with ASD set significantly greater distances than NTP participants on a  
287 group level. For the descriptive statistics of all conditions see supplementary material (Table  
288 S1). To test if the different conditions had different modulatory effects in the two study  
289 groups, we used two-way mixed-design ANOVA on the interpersonal distance as dependent  
290 variable, where the between-subject factor was the Group (ASD/NTP), within-subject factor  
291 Condition (Eye contact, Attribution). The Group main effect  $F(1,41)=8.999$ ,  $p=.005$ ,  
292  $\eta^2_p=0.180$  was significant, participants with ASD preferred larger distances in general (mean  
293 difference=35.980, 95% CI [11.757, 60.203]) (Fig 2). According to the post-hoc power  
294 analysis, the group difference was detected with 98% power. Levene's test showed that the  
295 variances were equal.

296 **Fig 2. Interpersonal distance in cm.** Dots represent the mean of distance data of eight  
297 conditions for each individual. The top and the bottom of the box show the upper (Q3) and  
298 lower (Q1) quartiles, the line dividing the box represents the median, and notches show a  
299 95% confidence interval around the median. Asterix indicates significant group difference.  
300 Orange: neurotypical participants, blue: participants with ASD.  
301

302 **Does eye contact or attribution affect interpersonal distance?**

303 The main effect of the conditions of eye contact, or attribution resulted in the  
304 following. Eye-contact ( $M_{\text{eye}}(SD) = 88.756$  (47.616),  $M_{\text{no\_eye}}(SD) = 83.442$  (40.116),  
305  $F(1,41)_{\text{eye\_cont}} = 3.005$ ,  $p=.091$ ,  $\eta^2_p = 0.068$ , 95% CI [-0.859, 11.267]) showed a trend, and  
306 attribution ( $M_{\text{self}}(SD) = 84.913$  (53.165),  $M_{\text{other}}(SD) = 87.285$  (37.378),  $F(1,41)_{\text{attrib}}=0.248$ ,

307  $p=.621$ ,  $\eta^2_p =0.006$ , 95% CI [-12.691, 7.671]) did not have a significant main effect,  
308 indicating that participants attributed similar comfortable personal distance to the  
309 experimenter as to themselves (Fig 4 a-b).

310 In the further analysis, we tested if the conditions influence each other's effect. We  
311 found a trend in Eye-contact  $\times$  Attribution interaction ( $F(1,41)=3.011$ ,  $p=.090$ ,  $\eta^2_p =0.068$ )  
312 but no significant Group  $\times$  Condition interaction. Post-hoc test revealed that there was the  
313 biggest difference between NTP and ASD participants when they had to maintain eye contact  
314 and they had to set the comfortable distance for themselves ( $t=3.426$ ,  $p=.030$ , 95% CI [2.248,  
315 90.457]) after adjusting p-values for multiple comparisons by Bonferroni-correction in post  
316 hoc tests.

## 317 **Are heart rate and heart rate variability altered in ASD?**

318 In general, participants with ASD had a slightly higher heart rate ( $M_{baseline}=90.65$ ,  
319  $SD=12.95$ ;  $M_{interpersonal}=96.66$ ,  $SD=12.49$ ) than NTP participants ( $M_{baseline}=87.06$ ,  $SD=15.74$ ;  
320  $M_{interpersonal}=91.68$ ,  $SD=15.08$ ) (Figure 3), however, these differences were not significant.  
321 Measuring the effect of the conditions we used mixed-design ANCOVA, with the between-  
322 subject variable of Group (ASD/NTP), and within-subject variable Condition  
323 (baseline/interpersonal). As caffeine intake could influence the heart rate, we included actual  
324 caffeine use as a covariate, but it did not change the results. The Group main effect was not  
325 statistically significant ( $F(1,35)=0.875$ ,  $p=.356$ ,  $\eta^2_p =0.024$ ), the main effect of Conditions  
326 was significant ( $F(1,35)=38.068$ ,  $p<.001$ ,  $\eta^2_p =0.521$ ), but the Condition  $\times$  Group interaction  
327 was not ( $F(1,35)=0.647$ ,  $p=.427$ ,  $\eta^2_p =0.018$ ). It means, that in both groups we measured the  
328 highest HR during the intentional interpersonal distance task, and it was significantly higher  
329 than baseline ( $t_{ASD}=5.866$ ,  $p<.001$ , 95% CI [2.554, 9.454],  $t_{NTP}=3.846$ ,  $p=.003$ , 95% CI

330 [1.260, 7.977]) according to the post-hoc tests, where  $p$  values were adjusted by using  
331 Bonferroni-correction. Levene's test showed that the variances were equal (Figure 3a).

332 Heart rate variability (HRV) was higher in the NTP group ( $M_{baseline}=48.26$ ,  $SD=26.68$ ;  
333  $M_{interpersonal}=31.60$ ,  $SD=16.11$ ) than in ASD ( $M_{baseline}=32.90$ ,  $SD=15.97$ ;  $M_{interpersonal}=26.57$ ,  
334  $SD=8.79$ ). Again, measuring the different effects of the conditions we used mixed-design  
335 ANOVA, with the same within- and between-subject variables described above. The group  
336 main effect ( $F(1,35)=3.470$ ,  $p=.071$ ,  $\eta^2_p = 0.090$ ) showed a trend. The condition main effect  
337 ( $F(1,35)=22.744$ ,  $p<.001$ ,  $\eta^2_p = 0.394$ ) and Group  $\times$  Condition interaction ( $F(1,35)=4.598$ ,  
338  $p=.039$ ,  $\eta^2_p = 0.116$ ) were significant. Post-hoc test showed significant difference between  
339 the baseline and interpersonal condition ( $t=4.769$ ,  $p<.001$ , 95% CI [6.600, 16.383]), but this  
340 difference originates from the significant difference within the NTP group ( $t_{NTP}=4.956$ ,  
341  $p<.001$ , 95% CI [7.258, 26.059]), whereas HRV did not differ significantly in ASD group  
342 between the two conditions ( $t_{ASD}=1.831$ ,  $p=.276$ , 95% CI [-3.333, 15.982]) (Figure 3b). The  
343 more pronounced difference between baseline HRV and HRV during the task (reflective  
344 HRV) suggests a greater reactive capacity of autonomic regulation in NTPs.

345 **Fig 3. Heart rate and heart rate variability.** Panel A: Baseline and reactive (interpersonal  
346 conditions) heart rate in beat per minute (bpm). Panel B: Baseline and reactive (interpersonal  
347 conditions) heart rate variability (RMSSD). Error bars: standard error of the mean. Asterix  
348 indicates significant group difference. Orange line: neurotypical participants, blue line:  
349 participants with ASD.

### 350 Does eye contact or attribution affect interpersonal heart rate variability?

351 HRV during the interpersonal distance task (measured before the time point reported  
352 distance was reached) was numerically higher in the NTP group, but the difference was not  
353 significant between groups. For descriptive statistics see Supplementary material (Table S2).  
354 As the baseline HRV was higher in NTP group, we used standardized HRV here. When we  
355 tested the effect of the conditions and their interactions, neither the main effect of eye contact

356 or attribution, and their interaction with the group, nor the group effect ( $F(1,32)=0.0002$ ,  
357  $p=.988$ ) were significant using repeated-measures ANOVA. (Fig 4 c-d). Autonomic  
358 functioning might be influenced by smoking, exercise, regular caffeine consumption, or the  
359 actual caffeine intake before the experiment. There was no difference between groups (see  
360 Table 1), however, including these variables as covariates did not change the results.

361 The interpersonal distance (Panels a-b) and heart rate variability data measured by the  
362 RMSSD method (Panels c-d) are presented in Fig 4 to introduce their characteristics in  
363 different conditions in the two study groups.

364 **Fig 4. Interpersonal distance and HRV differences in different conditions.** Panel a-b  
365 Interpersonal distance in cm. Panel a: With or without eye contact, Panel b: Attribution to self  
366 or the other. Panel c-d Heart rate variability in explicit conditions at a reported distance.  
367 Panel c: With or without eye contact, Panel d: Attribution to self or the other. Error bars:  
368 standard error of the mean. Asterix indicates significant group difference. Orange line:  
369 neurotypical participants, blue line: participants with ASD.

370 **Is there any correlation between HRV, distance, and psychometric data?**

371 **Exploratory analysis**

372 Correlation analysis was highly exploratory, due to the small sample size, but it might  
373 be suitable for further hypothesis generation. We found a relatively high consistency of  
374 distance and HRV data (Fig 5), suggesting both the measured distance and HRV data indicate  
375 reliably a similar construct. Intentional interpersonal distance and baseline HRV data show a  
376 weak negative correlation in NTP participants, suggesting that higher heart rate variability  
377 fosters prosocial activity and neurotypical participants with greater autonomic regulation  
378 could approach the experimenter more (Fig 5, upper triangle). In the case of participants with  
379 ASD, however, we found less consistent association between distance and HRV results (Fig  
380 5, lower triangle). Correlation between the mean interpersonal distance and HRV during the  
381 interpersonal distance task, was not significant, however, it tend to point in different  
382 directions in the two groups (Fig 6).

383 **Fig 5. Correlations between interpersonal distance and heart rate variability at the**  
384 **baseline and during the intentional interpersonal distance conditions.** Dist = distance,  
385 HRV = heart rate variability, preHRV = 10s RMSSD, Eye = eye contact, No eye = no eye  
386 contact, Active = active moving, Passive = standing, Self = attribution to self, Other =  
387 attribution to the other conditions. Upper triangle: neurotypical participants, lower triangle:  
388 participants with ASD. Warm colors refer to positive, cold colors refer to negative Spearman  
389 rank correlation  $\rho$  values, grey asterisk marks the significant  $p$  values after (fdr) correcting  
390 for multiple comparisons.

391 **Fig 6. Correlation between mean distance (in cms) and HRV (60 s) during interpersonal**  
392 **distance task in the two groups.** Orange line: neurotypical participants, blue line:  
393 participants with ASD

394       Results of psychometric questionnaires showed weak or no association with distance  
395 and HRV results (Fig 7); however, the association with psychometric questionnaires in ASD  
396 showed a different pattern than in NTP. High trait anxiety level, poor mentalization, and  
397 attachment were weakly associated with greater interpersonal distance ASD (Fig 7, lower  
398 triangle, first column), but neither of these correlations remained significant after correction  
399 for multiple comparisons, only HRV at baseline and during the interpersonal condition, AQ  
400 and mentalization scores were correlated in ASD group.

401 **Fig 7. Correlations between interpersonal distance, heart rate variability at the baseline**  
402 **and during the interpersonal distance conditions, and psychometric data.** HRV = heart  
403 rate variability, AQ = Autism-spectrum Quotient, AAS = Adult Attachment Scale, MZQ =  
404 Mentalization Questionnaire, STAI-T = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, Trait, ADI = Autism  
405 Diagnostic Interview-Revised, ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule. Upper  
406 triangle: neurotypical participants, lower triangle: participants with ASD. Warm colors refer  
407 to positive, cold colors refer to negative Spearman rank correlation  $\rho$  values, grey asterisk  
408 marks the significant  $p$  values after (fdr) correcting for multiple comparisons.

409 **Discussion**

410 Our study aimed to investigate interpersonal distance regulation and the underlying  
411 autonomic response regulation in autism spectrum disorder. To this end, we introduced a  
412 methodology combining interpersonal distance measurement and physiological parameter  
413 registration in an interpersonal experimental setting in groups of adult participants with ASD  
414 and their matched neurotypical controls. We found increased interpersonal distance in ASD.  
415 The difference was the most pronounced when the experimenter maintained eye contact and  
416 requested to determine the comfortable distance for themselves during the interpersonal  
417 distance task. Moreover, we found decreased baseline heart rate variability and decreased  
418 HRV reactivity in ASD.

419 Interpersonal distance was measured using a modified version of the stop distance  
420 paradigm to assess how far participants prefer to stand from another person and whether there  
421 is a difference between ASD and NTP group in this respect. Participants were directly  
422 instructed to define a still comfortable distance from the experimenter. Usually, in a stop  
423 distance paradigm, the participant and the experimenter are facing each other at the endpoints  
424 of a 300 to 600 cm long line along which the participants set their preferred interpersonal  
425 distance. In our experiment, we have chosen 500 cm as the initial distance, which includes all  
426 four distance zones of interpersonal space (intimate, personal, social and public) according to  
427 Hall's proxemic rules [69]. During this task, participants set distances on average within the  
428 personal space (far zone ~75 - 120 cm, close zone ~45 - 75 cm, the zones between the  
429 intimate distance and personal distance). However, as expected, participants with ASD set  
430 significantly greater distances than NTPs: around the far end of the personal space, or even  
431 farther. The social space (the zone between personal and social distance 120 - 370 cm) is  
432 reserved for strangers or new acquaintances [69]. We can speculate that this display can

433 change the non-verbal message conveyed by a set distance in real-life interpersonal  
434 situations.

435 Prior to our experiment, five studies examined interpersonal space regulation in ASD,  
436 four of which studied children or adolescent populations. These findings uniformly suggest  
437 that interpersonal space regulation is altered in ASD in childhood, autistic children preferred  
438 significantly larger interpersonal distance than neurotypical control participants [16,22]. A  
439 study examining adolescents with ASD also concluded that their space regulation was  
440 altered. Interestingly, this conclusion was derived from opposing results: adolescents with  
441 ASD preferred shorter interpersonal distance than neurotypical controls [19]. Although, in  
442 this study from Japan, neurotypical participants preferred longer personal distances (cca. 130  
443 - 150 cm depending on condition) than in other cohorts, and the distances preferred by ASD  
444 participants were comparable to our and Kennedy et al.'s results, the only adult study  
445 observed no differences between participants with ASD and neurotypical controls [18] (cca.  
446 70 – 100 cm). This raises the possibility of cultural differences in social rules and customs,  
447 including personal space arrangement. Our results suggested that adult individuals (a  
448 homogenous white Caucasian, Central European sample) with ASD prefer greater  
449 interpersonal distance than neurotypicals.

450 Several factors can influence the interpersonal distance between the experimenter and  
451 the participants [13,19,22]. Eye contact has been shown to affect the preferred interpersonal  
452 distance of ASD and neurotypical adolescents: in eye contact conditions when participants  
453 held passive roles, they preferred larger interpersonal distance, regardless of which study  
454 group they belonged to, and this effect did not emerge when holding active roles [19]. In our  
455 study we found a weak modulatory effect of eye contact, participants with ASD tend to set  
456 slightly greater distances in conditions with eye contact. We can speculate that eye contact  
457 might cause distress and evoke avoidance in ASD, instead of an appetitive or comforting

458 positive reinforcement, in line with the “eye avoidance” hypothesis [33,34]. Future studies  
459 directly examining this phenomenon and testing whether and how eye contact plays a role,  
460 seem warranted.

461 Reciprocal social interactions are impaired in ASD, leading to a weaker adaptation to  
462 another person’s perspective. Therefore, we also introduced a condition that requires higher  
463 order mentalization. We tested what the participant thought was a comfortable distance for  
464 the experimenter. Considering the theory of mind difficulties in ASD, we hypothesized that  
465 participants with ASD will show less difference along the attribution dimension than NTPs.  
466 We found no difference in ASD accordingly, although there was no significant difference  
467 between the self and other attribution conditions in the NTP group either. It is interesting,  
468 however, that we measured the biggest distance in ASD and biggest difference between NTP  
469 and ASD participants when they had to maintain eye contact and they had to set a  
470 comfortable distance for themselves. These results might suggest that participants with ASD  
471 are capable of modifying their behaviour to some extent according to others’ aspect, in  
472 contrast with previous results [70,71], but to test this hypothesis further studies are needed.

473 An invention in our experimental design is that we combined interpersonal distance  
474 measurement with heart rate registration. In social behaviour parasympathetic regulation, the  
475 flexibility of vagal tone plays an important role according to Porges’ polyvagal theory [72–  
476 74]. Higher resting HRV was found to be associated with cooperative behaviour, using less  
477 disengagement and more socially adaptive emotion regulation strategies among healthy  
478 adults [75,76]. Variables influenced by parasympathetic regulation (e.g. respiratory sinus  
479 arrhythmia) are related to emotion recognition and symptom severity in ASD [77]. In line  
480 with the results of previous studies corroborating altered autonomic nervous system  
481 functioning in ASD [41,44,78], we found reduced baseline heart rate variability in  
482 participants with ASD spectrum disorder. The average heart rate (reflecting sympathetic

483 activity) was slightly higher in the ASD group than in neurotypicals, but this difference was  
484 not significant.

485 In social situations, not just the baseline but also the regulatory capacity must be taken  
486 into account. Previous studies showed that the HRV decrease induced by participating in a  
487 social situation was lower, assuming a decreased regulatory capacity in the ASD group [44].  
488 We used the RMSSD method to measure HRV in order to capture the parasympathetic  
489 regulation rather than sympathetic arousal [49]. Psychotropic medication, caffeine intake,  
490 smoking, and exercising habits might influence autonomic regulation [79–82], but study  
491 groups in this study did not differ in actual or regular caffeine intake, smoking and exercising  
492 habits (Table 1). There were no significant differences between participants who took  
493 medication and those who did not, consequently, we argue that the results are attributable to  
494 autism. We found a significant HRV decrease in interpersonal setting compared to the  
495 baseline in NTP, but not in ASD group, which confirms previous research findings of  
496 decreased regulatory capacity of participants with ASD in social situations.

497 Additionally, we calculated HRV during the social distance regulation task in 10 s  
498 time periods, applying ultra-short-term analysis [56] exactly at the time point when  
499 participants arrived at the reported distance in order to take a closer look at the relationship of  
500 interpersonal distance and autonomic regulation. To test experimentally the more nuanced  
501 aspects of interpersonal distance regulation, we assessed the modulatory effect of eye contact  
502 and attribution. We did not find a significant difference between study conditions regarding  
503 the 10 s HRV metrics, and results did not directly support our hypothesis that HRV predicts  
504 the interpersonal distance. Nevertheless, we can speculate that due to the inherently lower  
505 baseline HRV in ASD, the diminished capacity of reactive decrement might have prevented  
506 the further fine-tuning during the interpersonal task. Reduced regulatory capacity, combined  
507 with elevated amygdala reactivity could lead to early exhaustion even during minimal social

508 interaction. This can raise the possibility that the larger interpersonal distance is the  
509 consequence of the early exhaustion of regulatory capacity, and by keeping the distance they  
510 might avoid a more severe autonomic disturbance in social situations. To further test this  
511 hypothesis in real-life situations, applying widely available wearable devices might be useful.  
512 This experience gained could later be used, for example, to develop biofeedback tools for  
513 social communication training for people with autism spectrum disorder.

## 514 **Limitations and further directions**

515 Despite the most careful planning, every study has its limitations. In this study, we  
516 examined adult participants with ASD trying to fill a gap in this research area and measure  
517 interpersonal distance and autonomic regulation simultaneously. We recruited participants  
518 with average or above-average intellectual abilities, which increases the likelihood of  
519 adaptive skill acquisition. To overcome this limitation inclusion of a broader spectrum of  
520 autistic participants is needed in future studies.

521 We found greater interpersonal distance in ASD measured by the modified version of  
522 the stop distance paradigm, but there was no difference between study groups regarding heart  
523 rate variability during that part of the experiment. In subsequent research, HRV differences  
524 should be measured at fixed distances as well, e.g. closer than the comfortable range for  
525 neurotypicals, farther than the comfortable range for participants with ASD, and at  
526 intermediate distances. Subjective rating of the level of comfort (both by participants and by  
527 the experimenter) might help to gain a better insight of how correctly the experimenter's  
528 perspective can be estimated by the participants.

529 Unfortunately, we have had to finish the data collection due to the beginning of the  
530 COVID-pandemic. As the introduced social distancing measures deeply affect our senses of  
531 interpersonal distance, we cannot continue with the original study design. We plan to  
532 investigate the effect of this unforeseen but global intervention by repeating our experiment

533 when the pandemic subsides, and the social aspects of the situation are consolidated.  
534 Additional conditions with and without wearing face masks might be considered, too. These  
535 subsequent studies will be able to show us whether autistic people have been affected  
536 differently than neurotypicals by social distancing measures.

## 537 Conclusion

538 Interpersonal distance regulation is a relevant nonverbal part of social  
539 communication. It reflects the individual needs for personal space and the ability to read  
540 others' intentions. Together with other biomarkers of autonomic functions, this might express  
541 how demanding a simple social interaction can be for people with ASD. In this study, we  
542 introduced a new experimental design to measure these factors together, in a basic social  
543 interaction setting. Participants with ASD preferred larger interpersonal distance. The  
544 difference was most pronounced when they had to approach the experimenter actively with  
545 maintained eye contact. Participants with ASD had lower baseline heart rate variability and  
546 decreased heart rate variability reactivity than neurotypicals; however, their HRV and its  
547 changes in different conditions during the social distance task did not differ significantly.  
548 This raises the possibility that regulatory capacities were exhausted sooner, at a farther  
549 distance in this group, as part of the compensatory avoidant behaviour in ASD. We believe  
550 that applying this experimental design could also be beneficial in studying other psychiatric  
551 conditions, such as borderline personality disorder, social phobia, or psychosis. These results  
552 could further expand our understanding of interpersonal distance regulation in autism  
553 spectrum disorder.

554 **Acknowledgment**

555 This research was supported by the National Brain Research Program (project 2017-  
556 1.2.1-NKP- 2017-00002, PI: D. N.); the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K  
557 128016, PI: D. N., OTKA PD 124148, PI: K. J.); the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of  
558 the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (to K. J.); the New National Excellence Program of the  
559 Ministry for Innovation and Technology (ÚNKP-19-2-I-ELTE-332, A. G.); the IDEXLYON  
560 Fellowship of the University of Lyon as part of the Programme Investissements d'Avenir  
561 (ANR-16-IDEX-0005 to D. N.) and Higher Education Institutional Excellence Programme of  
562 the Ministry of Human Capacities in Hungary, within the framework of the Neurology  
563 thematic program of Semmelweis University (to K. F., E. K., B. Sz., J. R.).

## 564 References

- 565 1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fifth  
566 Edition. American Psychiatric Association; 2013  
<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596>
- 568 2. Ecker C. The neuroanatomy of autism spectrum disorder: An overview of structural  
569 neuroimaging findings and their translatability to the clinical setting. *Autism Int J Res Pract.*  
570 2017;21(1):18–28. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361315627136>
- 571 3. Herringshaw AJ, Ammons CJ, DeRamus TP, Kana RK. Hemispheric differences in language  
572 processing in autism spectrum disorders: A meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. *Autism Res Off J Int Soc Autism Res.* 2016;9(10):1046–57. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1599>
- 574 4. Hadjikhani N, Åsberg Johnels J, Zürcher NR, Lassalle A, Guillon Q, Hippolyte L, et al. Look  
575 me in the eyes: constraining gaze in the eye-region provokes abnormally high subcortical  
576 activation in autism. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):3163. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03378-5>
- 577 5. Langen M, Bos D, Noordermeer SDS, Nederveen H, van Engeland H, Durston S. Changes in  
578 the development of striatum are involved in repetitive behavior in autism. *Biol Psychiatry.*  
579 2014;76(5):405–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.08.013>
- 580 6. Gomez IN, Flores JG. Diverse Patterns of Autonomic Nervous System Response to Sensory  
581 Stimuli Among Children with Autism. *Curr Dev Disord Rep* 2020;7(4):249–57.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40474-020-00210-0>
- 583 7. Kushki A, Brian J, Dupuis A, Anagnostou E. Functional autonomic nervous system profile in  
584 children with autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Autism.* 2014;5(1):39.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-5-39>
- 586 8. Philip RCM, Dauvermann MR, Whalley HC, Baynham K, Lawrie SM, Stanfield AC. A  
587 systematic review and meta-analysis of the fMRI investigation of autism spectrum disorders.  
588 *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2012;36(2):901–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.10.008>
- 589 9. Thye MD, Bednarz HM, Herringshaw AJ, Sartin EB, Kana RK. The impact of atypical sensory  
590 processing on social impairments in autism spectrum disorder. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.*  
591 2018;29:151–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2017.04.010>
- 592 10. Wood ET, Cummings KK, Jung J, Patterson G, Okada N, Guo J et al. Sensory over-responsivity  
593 is related to GABAergic inhibition in thalamocortical circuits. *Transl Psychiatry.* 2021;11(1):1–  
594 10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-01154-0>
- 595 11. Demetriou EA, DeMayo MM, Guastella AJ. Executive Function in Autism Spectrum Disorder:  
596 History, Theoretical Models, Empirical Findings, and Potential as an Endophenotype. *Front  
597 Psychiatry.* 2019;10:753. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00753>
- 598 12. Hill EL. Executive dysfunction in autism. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2004;8(1):26–32.  
599 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2003.11.003>
- 600 13. Candini M, di Pellegrino G, Frassinetti F. The plasticity of the interpersonal space in autism  
601 spectrum disorder. *Neuropsychologia.* 2020;147:107589.  
602 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2020.107589>

- 603 14. Massaccesi C, Groessing A, Rosenberger LA, Hartmann H, Candini M, di Pellegrino G et al.  
604 Neural Correlates of Interpersonal Space Permeability and Flexibility in Autism Spectrum  
605 Disorder. *Cereb Cortex*. 2021; 31(6): 2968–2979. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaa404>
- 606 15. Lough E, Hanley M, Rodgers J, South M, Kirk H, Kennedy DP, Riby DM. Violations of  
607 Personal Space in Young People with Autism Spectrum Disorders and Williams Syndrome:  
608 Insights from the Social Responsiveness Scale. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015;45(12):4101–8.  
609 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-015-2536-0>
- 610 16. Gessaroli E, Santelli E, di Pellegrino G, Frassinetti F. Personal Space Regulation in Childhood  
611 Autism Spectrum Disorders. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(9) e74959  
612 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074959>
- 613 17. Perry A, Levy-Gigi E, Richter-Levin G, Shamay-Tsoory SG. Interpersonal distance and social  
614 anxiety in autistic spectrum disorders: A behavioral and ERP study. *Soc Neurosci*.  
615 2015;10(4):354–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2015.1010740>
- 616 18. Kennedy DP, Adolphs R. Violations of Personal Space by Individuals with Autism Spectrum  
617 Disorder. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(8). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103369>
- 618 19. Asada K, Tojo Y, Osanai H, Saito A, Hasegawa T, Kumagaya S. Reduced Personal Space in  
619 Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(1).  
620 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146306>
- 621 20. Ingram DH, Mayes SD, Troxell LB, Calhoun SL. Assessing children with autism, mental  
622 retardation, and typical development using the Playground Observation Checklist. *Autism Int J*  
623 Res Pract. 2007;11(4):311–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361307078129>
- 624 21. Pedersen J, Schelde T. Behavioral aspects of infantile autism: an ethological description. *Eur*  
625 *Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;6(2):96–106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00566672>
- 626 22. Candini M, Giuberti V, Manattini A, Grittani S, Pellegrino G di, Frassinetti F. Personal space  
627 regulation in childhood autism: Effects of social interaction and person's perspective. *Autism Res*.  
628 2017;10(1):144–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1637>
- 629 23. Mul C, Cardini F, Stagg SD, Sadeghi Esfahlani S, Kiourtsoglou D, Cardelluccio P, Aspell JE.  
630 Altered bodily self-consciousness and peripersonal space in autism. *Autism [Internet]*.  
631 2019;23(8):2055–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361319838950>
- 632 24. Simões M, Mouga S, Pereira AC, de Carvalho P, Oliveira G, Castelo-Branco M. Virtual Reality  
633 Immersion Rescales Regulation of Interpersonal Distance in Controls but not in Autism  
634 Spectrum Disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2020;50(12):4317–28.  
635 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04484-6>
- 636 25. Kennedy DP, Gläscher J, Tyszka JM, Adolphs R. Personal Space Regulation by the Human  
637 Amygdala. *Nat Neurosci*. 2009;12(10):1226–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2381>
- 638 26. Baron-Cohen S. Mindblindness: An Essay on Autism and Theory of Mind. MIT Press; 1997.  
639 206 p. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/4635.003.0001>
- 640 27. Baron-Cohen S, Leslie AM, Frith U. Does the autistic child have a ‘theory of mind’? *Cognition*.  
641 1985;21(1):37–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(85\)90022-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(85)90022-8)
- 642 28. Black MH, Chen NTM, Iyer KK, Lipp OV, Bölte S, Falkmer M, Tan T, Girdler S. Mechanisms  
643 of facial emotion recognition in autism spectrum disorders: Insights from eye tracking and

- 644 electroencephalography. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017;80:488–515.  
645 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.06.016>

646 29. Monteiro R, Simões M, Andrade J, Castelo Branco M. Processing of Facial Expressions in  
647 Autism: a Systematic Review of EEG/ERP Evidence. *Rev J Autism Dev Disord*.  
648 2017;4(4):255–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-017-0112-6>

649 30. Teh EJ, Yap MJ, Rickard Liow SJ. Emotional Processing in Autism Spectrum Disorders:  
650 Effects of Age, Emotional Valence, and Social Engagement on Emotional Language Use. *J*  
651 *Autism Dev Disord*. 2018;48(12):4138–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3659-x>

652 31. Wieckowski AT, Flynn LT, Richey JA, Gracanin D, White SW. Measuring change in facial  
653 emotion recognition in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Autism Int J Res Pract*.  
654 2020;24(7):1607–28. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320925334>

655 32. Dalton KM, Nacewicz BM, Johnstone T, Schaefer HS, Gernsbacher MA, Goldsmith HH,  
656 Alexander AL, Davidson RJ. Gaze fixation and the neural circuitry of face processing in autism.  
657 *Nat Neurosci*. 2005;8(4):519–26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1421>

658 33. Tanaka JW, Sung A. The “eye avoidance” hypothesis of autism face processing. *J Autism Dev*  
659 *Disord*. 2016;46(5):1538–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1976-7>

660 34. Tottenham N, Hertzog ME, Gillespie-Lynch K, Gilhooly T, Millner AJ, Casey BJ. Elevated  
661 amygdala response to faces and gaze aversion in autism spectrum disorder. *Soc Cogn Affect*  
662 *Neurosci*. 2014; 9(1):106–17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst050>

663 35. Joseph RM, Ehrman K, McNally R, Keehn B. Affective response to eye contact and face  
664 recognition ability in children with ASD. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc JINS*. 2008;14(6):947–55.  
665 <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21155166>

666 36. Madipakkam AR, Rothkirch M, Dziobek I, Sterzer P. Unconscious avoidance of eye contact in  
667 autism spectrum disorder. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):13378. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13945-5>

668 37. Frank DW, Dewitt M, Hudgens-Haney M, Schaeffer DJ, Ball BH, Schwarz NF, et al. Emotion  
669 regulation: Quantitative meta-analysis of functional activation and deactivation. *Neurosci*  
670 *Biobehav Rev*. 2014;45:202–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.06.010>

671 38. LeDoux J. The amygdala. *Curr Biol*. 2007;17(20):R868–74.  
672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.08.005>

673 39. Lydon S, Healy O, Reed P, Mulhern T, Hughes BM, Goodwin MS. A systematic review of  
674 physiological reactivity to stimuli in autism. *Dev Neurorehabilitation*. 2016;19(6):335–55.  
675 <https://doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2014.971975>

676 40. Hutt SJ, Hutt C, Lee D, Ounsted C. A behavioural and electroencephalographic study of autistic  
677 children. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1965;3(3):181–97. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956\(65\)90028-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(65)90028-2)

678 41. Arora I, Bellato A, Ropar D, Hollis C, Groom MJ. Is autonomic function during resting-state  
679 atypical in Autism: A systematic review of evidence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2021;125:417–  
680 41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.02.041>

681 42. Laborde S, Mosley E, Thayer JF. Heart Rate Variability and Cardiac Vagal Tone in  
682 Psychophysiological Research – Recommendations for Experiment Planning, Data Analysis,  
683 and Data Reporting. *Front Psychol*. 2017;8:213. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213>

- 685 43. Colzato LS, Jongkees BJ, de Wit M, van der Molen MJW, Steenbergen L. Variable heart rate  
686 and a flexible mind: Higher resting-state heart rate variability predicts better task-switching.  
687 Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2018;18(4), 730–738 <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-018-0600-x>
- 688 44. Cheng Y-C, Huang Y-C, Huang W-L. Heart rate variability in individuals with autism spectrum  
689 disorders: A meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2020;118:463–71.  
690 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.08.007>
- 691 45. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a  
692 diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental  
693 disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 1994;24(5):659–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02172145>
- 694 46. Lord C, Rutter M, Goode S, Heemsbergen J, Jordan H, Mawhood L, Schopler E. Autism  
695 diagnostic observation schedule: a standardized observation of communicative and social  
696 behavior. J Autism Dev Disord. 1989;19(2):185–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02211841>
- 697 47. Saario T. Polar Electro Oy. Kempele, Finland: Suncom Systems; 2019. www.polar.com
- 698 48. Gilgen-Ammann R, Schweizer T, Wyss T. RR interval signal quality of a heart rate monitor and  
699 an ECG Holter at rest and during exercise. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2019;119(7):1525–32.  
700 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-019-04142-5%20>
- 701 49. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An Overview of Heart Rate Variability Metrics and Norms. Front Public  
702 Health. 2017;5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258>
- 703 50. Veres A. Obimon Prox [Internet]. Obimon Systems Ltd.; 2019. <http://obimon.com>
- 704 51. Neirynck. An alternative double-sided two-way ranging method. 13th Workshop on Positioning,  
705 Navigation and Communications (WPNC); 2016.
- 706 52. Harris CR, Millman KJ, van der Walt SJ, Gommers R, Virtanen P, Cournapeau D et al. Array  
707 programming with NumPy. Nature. 2020;585(7825):357–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- 709 53. Reback J, McKinney W, jbrockmendel, Van den Bossche J, Augspurger T, Cloud P et al.  
710 pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas 1.0.3. Zenodo; 2020.  
711 <https://zenodo.org/record/3715232#.YKkKa6j7SUm>
- 712 54. Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, et al. SciPy 1.0:  
713 fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nat Methods. 2020;17(3):261–72.  
714 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>
- 715 55. Hill LK, Siebenbrock A. Are all measures created equal? Heart rate variability and respiration -  
716 biomed 2009. Biomed Sci Instrum. 2009;45:71–6. PMID: 19369742
- 717 56. Salahuddin L, Cho J, Jeong MG, Kim D. Ultra short term analysis of heart rate variability for  
718 monitoring mental stress in mobile settings. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc IEEE Eng  
719 Med Biol Soc Annu Int Conf. 2007;2007:4656–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2020.594880>
- 720 57. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R  
721 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 722 58. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. 2020. <https://rstudio.com/>
- 723 59. JASP Team. JASP. 2020. <https://jasp-stats.org/>

- 724 60. Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K, RStudio. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation.  
725 2020. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>
- 726 61. Kassambara A. ‘ggplot2’ Based Publication Ready Plots [R package ggpibr version 0.4.0].  
727 Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN); 2020. <https://CRAN.R->  
728 project.org/package=ggpibr
- 729 62. Revelle W. Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research [R package  
730 psych version 2.1.3]. Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN); 2021. <https://CRAN.R->  
731 project.org/package=psych
- 732 63. Auguie B. Miscellaneous Functions for ‘Grid’ Graphics [R package gridExtra version 2.3].  
733 Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN); 2017 <https://CRAN.R->  
734 project.org/package=gridExtra
- 735 64. Kassambara A. ggpibr: ‘ggplot2’ Based Publication Ready Plots 2020 <https://CRAN.R->  
736 project.org/package=ggpibr
- 737 65. Wickham H, Bryan J, readxl: Read Excel Files 2019. <https://CRAN.R->  
738 project.org/package=readxl
- 739 66. Wei T, Simko V, Levy M, Xie Y, Jin Y, Zemla J. corrplot: Visualization of a Correlation  
740 Matrix. 2017. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=corrplot>
- 741 67. Harrell FE et al. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. 2020. <https://CRAN.R->  
742 project.org/package=Hmisc
- 743 68. Wiley J. varian: Variability Analysis in R. Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN); 2016.  
744 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=varian>
- 745 69. Hall ET. The Hidden Dimension. Anchor Books; 1966.
- 746 70. Frith U, Morton J, Leslie AM. The cognitive basis of a biological disorder: autism. Trends  
747 Neurosci. 1991;14(10):433–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236\(91\)90041-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(91)90041-R)
- 748 71. Livingston LA, Carr B, Shah P. Recent Advances and New Directions in Measuring Theory of  
749 Mind in Autistic Adults. J Autism Dev Disord. 2019;49(4):1738–44.  
750 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3823-3>
- 751 72. Muscatello RA, Vandekar SN, Corbett BA. Evidence for decreased parasympathetic response to  
752 a novel peer interaction in older children with autism spectrum disorder: a case-control study. J  
753 Neurodev Disord. 2021;13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-020-09354-x>
- 754 73. Porges SW. The Polyvagal Theory: phylogenetic contributions to social behavior. Physiol  
755 Behav. 2003;79(3):503–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384\(03\)00156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00156-2)
- 756 74. Porges SW. The polyvagal theory: New insights into adaptive reactions of the autonomic  
757 nervous system. Cleve Clin J Med. 2009;76:S86–90. <https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.76.s2.17>
- 758 75. Beffara B, Bret AG, Vermeulen N, Mermilliod M. Resting high frequency heart rate variability  
759 selectively predicts cooperative behavior. Physiol Behav. 2016; 1;164(Pt A):417–28.  
760 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.06.011>

- 761 76. Geisler FCM, Kubiak T, Siewert K, Weber H. Cardiac vagal tone is associated with social  
762 engagement and self-regulation. *Biol Psychol.* 2013;93(2):279–86.  
763 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.02.013>
- 764 77. Bal E, Harden E, Lamb D, Van Hecke AV, Denver JW, Porges SW. Emotion Recognition in  
765 Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: Relations to Eye Gaze and Autonomic State. *J*  
766 *Autism Dev Disord.* 2010;40(3):358–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-009-0884-3>
- 767 78. Thapa R, Alvares GA, Zaidi TA, Thomas EE, Hickie IB, Park SH, Guastella AJ. Reduced heart  
768 rate variability in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* 2019;12(6):922–30.  
769 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2104>
- 770 79. Alvares GA, Quintana DS, Hickie IB, Guastella AJ. Autonomic nervous system dysfunction in  
771 psychiatric disorders and the impact of psychotropic medications: a systematic review and meta-  
772 analysis. *J Psychiatry Neurosci JPN.* 2016;41(2):89–104. <https://doi.org/10.1503/jpn.140217>
- 773 80. Benjamim CJR, Kliszczewicz B, Garner DM, Cavalcante TCF, da Silva AAM, Santana MDR,  
774 Valenti VE. Is Caffeine Recommended Before Exercise? A Systematic Review To Investigate  
775 Its Impact On Cardiac Autonomic Control Via Heart Rate And Its Variability. *J Am Coll Nutr.*  
776 2020;39(6):563–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2019.1705201>
- 777 81. Hautala AJ, Kiviniemi AM, Tulppo MP. Individual responses to aerobic exercise: the role of the  
778 autonomic nervous system. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2009;33(2):107–15.  
779 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.04.009>
- 780 82. Middlekauff HR, Park J, Moheimani RS. Adverse effects of cigarette and noncigarette smoke  
781 exposure on the autonomic nervous system: mechanisms and implications for cardiovascular  
782 risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014; 21;64(16):1740–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.06.1201>

783

784 **Supporting information**

785

786 **S1 Table. Social distance in intentional conditions. Descriptive statistics and group**  
**differences.**

788 ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder, NTP: Neurotypical Participant, N: sample size, SD:  
789 standard deviation


790

791 **S2 Table. HRV in explicit conditions. Descriptive statistics and group differences.**

792 ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder, NTP: Neurotypical Participant, N: sample size, SD:  
793 standard deviation

794

795 **S1 File. Questionnaires**



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.06.21261686>; this version posted January 3, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

