medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Estimated pediatric SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Arkansas over the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic

Karl W. Boehme, PhD[1,2,3#], Joshua L. Kennedy, MD[4,5,6,#], Jessica Snowden, MD[4], Shana M. Owens, PhD[1], Marianne Kouassi, BS[1], Ryan L. Mann, BS[1], Amairani Paredes, BS[1], Claire Putt, BA[4], Laura James, MD[4], Jing Jin, MPH[7], Ruofei Du, PhD[7], Catherine Kirkpatrick, BS[6], Zeel Modi, BA[5], Katherine Caid, BS[5], Namvar Zohoori, MD, MPH, PhD[7, 8], Atul Kothari, MD[5, 8, 9], Bobby L. Boyanton, Jr., MD[10], and J. Craig Forrest, PhD[1,2,3]

[1] Department of Microbiology & Immunology, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[2] Center for Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Inflammatory Responses, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[3] Winthrop P. Rockefeller Cancer Institute, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[4] Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[5] Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[6] Arkansas Children's Research Institute, Little Rock, AR.
[7] Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[8] Arkansas Department of Health, Little Rock, AR.
[9] Department of Bioinformatics, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[10] Departments of Pathology, Arkansas Children's Hospital and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR

[#]These authors contributed equally to the work.

[‡] Correspondence to:
Karl W. Boehme
Mailing address: 4301 W. Markham Dr. #511, Little Rock, AR 72205
Phone: (501) 686-5189
Email: kwboehme@uams.edu

J. Craig Forrest
Mailing address: 4301 W. Markham Dr. #511, Little Rock, AR 72205
Phone: (501)
Email: jcforrest@uams.edu

Joshua L. Kennedy
Mailing address: 13 Children's Way, Slot 512-13, Little Rock, AR 72205
Phone: (501) 364-1060
Email: jlkennedy@uams.edu

Running Title: Pediatric SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Arkansas

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV2, serology, antibody, child, epidemiology

Word count: 2,999

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Importance: Determining the SARS-CoV-2 infection rate in children is important for decisions about protective measures for the 2021–2022 school year.

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in serum samples from pediatric patients in the state of Arkansas as an indicator of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Design: The study is a survey of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity in children in Arkansas over the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. From April 2020 through April 2021, remnant serum samples were collected across five time periods (waves): April 2–May 6, June 6–August 10, September 8–October 17, November 7–December 17, 2020 and April 5–April 28, 2021.

Setting: The multi-site survey collected remnant serum samples from four clinics in Arkansas.

Participants: The study population consisted of 2400 convenience serum samples from children ages 1–18 who visited hospitals or regional clinics in Arkansas for non-COVID19-related reasons.

Exposures: Infection with SARS-CoV-2.

Main outcomes and measures: The presence of IgM and/or IgG antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike and Nucleoprotein were determined using a two-step ELISA. Seroprevalence was estimated by demographic group, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, metropolitan status, SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing, and co-morbidities. Association with SARS-CoV-2 antibody reactivity was determined by demographic group.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results: SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence rose from 7.9% in Wave 1 (95%CI, 4.9-10.9%) to 25.8% in Wave 5 (95% CI, 22.2-29.3%). Hispanic children had a significantly higher risk of having antibodies than non-Hispanic white children in Wave 3 (RR 2.82, 95% CI, 1.81-4.38), Wave 4 (RR 1.76, 95% CI, 1.03-3.00), and Wave 5 (RR 2.37, 95% CI, 1.69-3.33) Similarly, black children also showed a significantly higher risk of having antibodies than white children in Wave 3 (RR 1.71, 95% CI, 1.03-2.84) and Wave 5 (RR 1.59, 95% CI, 1.08-2.34).

Conclusions and relevance: Our data indicate that more children have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 than indicated by diagnostic testing. With the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants, recognition of long-term effects of SARS-CoV-2, and the lack of an authorized pediatric SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, these results highlight the importance of including children in SARS-CoV-2 public health, clinical care, and research strategies. These findings are important for state and local officials as they consider measures to limit SARS-CoV-2 spread in schools and daycares for the 2021–2022 school year.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in late 2019 and spread globally to cause the coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.[1,2] SARS-CoV-2 has infected nearly 200 million and killed more than 4 million people worldwide, causing massive disruptions to daily life and untold economic losses.[3] SARS-CoV-2 was first detected in the United States in early 2020 and has caused over 600,000 deaths.[4-6] Children were thought to be important for driving SARS-CoV-2 transmission.[7,8] In March 2020, schools nationwide closed for in-person instruction and classes moved online to curtail SARS-CoV-2 spread.[7,8] However, pediatric infection rates, and thereby the potential level of immunity in children, is not known.[9,10] Because approval of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines for those 12 years and under is not expected until late 2021, knowing infection rates in children is important information for school officials as they consider risks and protection measures for the 2021–2022 school year.

Children typically experience less severe COVID-19 and may be more likely to have asymptomatic infections, allowing them to unknowingly spread SARS-CoV-2.[11] With asymptomatic infections estimated as high as 50%, estimating true infection rates remains challenging.[12,13] Although nucleic acid testing can identify active SARS-CoV-2 cases, most infections clear within two weeks and leave asymptomatic cases undocumented.[12-14] Antibodies generated against prior infections can last for months to years.[10,15] The presence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the blood can indicate that a person was infected at some point in the pandemic. Serological studies can capture asymptomatic and symptomatic cases, and more accurately estimate of how many people have been infected with SARS-CoV-2.[10,15] Here, we present the results of a seroprevalence study of children ages 1–18 years of age who visited hospitals or regional clinics in Arkansas for non-COVID19-related reasons over the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

METHODS

Human specimens. All human specimens were obtained with oversight from the UAMS Institutional Review Board (IRB), and waiver of consent and HIPAA applied. Remnants of serum samples collected for routine, non-COVID-19-related clinical laboratory tests were obtained from Arkansas Children's Hospital (ACH, Little Rock, AR), Arkansas Children's Northwest (Springdale, AR), and UAMS Family Medical Centers (Ft. Smith, AR and Pine Bluff, AR). Samples were de-identified prior to testing. Inclusion criteria were ages 1–18 years and Arkansas resident. Samples were excluded with the following diagnosis codes: immunodeficiency (primary immune deficiency (D80–D89)), transplant recipient (codes beginning with Z94), and cancer (C00–D49). Samples from patients receiving chemotherapy (prior two months), steroids (prior 30 days), and/or intravenous immunoglobulin (prior 6 months) were excluded. Samples were selected by pathologists at each clinic after they were considered remnant. Clinical and demographic variables were stored in a secure REDCap database[16,17] and included age, sex, race/ethnicity, zip code, and county of residence. Metropolitan status was determined by cross-referencing zip codes with the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy (FORHP) data files identifying non-metropolitan counties and rural census tracts.

Protein production and purification. HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's minimum essential media (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated calf serum (CS, VWR), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 100 U/mL penicillin/100 µg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). Briefly, 15 cm dishes seeded with 9×10^6 cells on the preceding day were transfected with 20 µg of pCAGGS-SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD-C-terminal 6-His tag (BEI Resources), pCAGGS SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 ectodomain Spike glycoprotein gene-C-terminal 6-His tag, or pCMV3 2019-nCoV nucleoprotein-C-terminal 6-His tag (Sino Biological) using polyethylamine (PEI) at a 1:3 ratio. DNA:PEI mixtures were incubated at RT for 10 mins and added to cells with the media volume reduced to 5 mL/dish. After 4–6 hours, the media volume was raised to 25 mL. SARS-CoV-2 RBD and Spike ectodomain proteins were purified

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

as described.[18] Nucleoprotein (N) was isolated as described above under denaturing conditions.[19] Protein concentration was measured by DC Protein Assay (BioRad). Purified proteins were confirmed by Coomassie and western blot using antigen-specific antibodies and stored at −80°C.

ELISAs. Serum was inactivated at 56˚C for 1 h and initially screened by ELISA specific for the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein.[20-26] Commercial anti-RBD (Sino Biologicals; 1:2500 dilution) and SARS-CoV-2-RT-PCR-positive patient sera (1:50 dilution) served as positive controls. Purified human IgG (Sigma; 1:2500 dilution) and pre-COVID-19 patient sera (1:50 dilution) served as negative controls. Serum IgM and IgG were detected using horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgM+IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch; diluted 1:5000 in PBS-T + 1% milk) and SureBlue TMB 1-Component Peroxidase Substrate (SeraCare; 75 µL). After 5 min, the reaction was terminated using TMB Stop Solution (SeraCare; 75 µL). The OD_{450} was measured using a FluoStar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech). The final OD_{450} was calculated by subtracting the mean OD_{450} of blank wells from the mean OD_{450} of duplicate samples. The statistical cutoff for RBD binding was defined as the mean OD_{450} + 3 standard deviations of pre-COVID-19 sera.[26]

Confirmation RBD-positive specimens was performed using a Four-Antigen Confirmation Test (FACT) ELISA. An additional 5% of negative sera were randomly selected and tested in parallel. Plates were coated with 2 µg/mL RBD, spike, nucleoprotein, or bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma Aldrich) and FACT ELISA was performed as above. Confirmed positive samples were defined by (i) a mean signal for any viral antigen over 0.6 OD_{450}, (ii) BSA-subtracted viral antigen value >0.3 OD_{450}, (iii) and at least two positive antigens (RBD+/Spike+, RBD+/N+, Spike+/N+, or RBD+/Spike+/N+). The sensitivity and specificity of the assay were 94.6% and 100%, respectively, based on 37 pre-COVID-19 and 19 RT-PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2-positive sera.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Statistical analyses. The descriptive analysis was conducted for the study population demographics by wave. SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity rates were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI) using exact binomial distributions. The 2019 U.S. Census Bureau Arkansas state population estimates (age≤18) were used to calculate the standardized positivity rates.[27] The age- and sex-standardized positivity rates were reported for each wave. Univariable and multivariable analyses were performed to examine the relationship between variables and the SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity rate for each wave. Relative risk was estimated by modified Poisson regressions with robust error variance as the measure to characterize association effects.[28] For multivariable analyses, factors being considered were age group, sex, and race/ethnicity. The statistical significance level was set at 0.05. We conducted all analyses using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Enrollment and demographic representation. We collected 2400 total remnant pediatric serum samples across five collection periods: Wave 1, April 2–May 6, 2020 (n=316); Wave 2, June 6–August 10, 2020, (n=300); Wave 3, September 8–October 17, 2020, (n=594); Wave 4, November 7–December 17, 2020, (n=600); and Wave 5, April 5–April 28, 2021, (n=590) (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics for the study. The 1–4 years age group had the fewest samples (n=328, 13.7%) while the 10–14 years group had the most samples (n=795, 33.2%). Females represented 54.8% (n=1311), whereas males represented 45.2% (n=1082) of total samples analyzed. The racial/ethnic distribution of the study population was 55.8% non-Hispanic white (n=1289), 22.5% non-Hispanic black (n=520), and 15.2% Hispanic (n=351). A total of 151 specimens (6.5%) were collected from patients that did not identify as white, black, or Hispanic. Most samples were collected from children living in urban (n=1564, 75.3%) compared to rural areas (n=513, 24.7%). Obesity was the most common co-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

morbidity reported (n=337, 14.1%), followed by asthma (n=239, 10.0%), diabetes mellitus (n=113, 4.7%), and hypertension (n=111, 4.6%) (Supplemental table 1).

Estimates of seroprevalence. Table 2 shows the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence rates by age, sex, and race/ethnicity, and the age- and sex-standardized estimates. The antibody positivity rate for Wave 1 was 7.9% (95% CI, 4.9%-10.9%), which increased in Wave 2 to 9.7% (95% CI, 6.3%-13.0%) and Wave 3 to 16.2% (95% CI, 13.2%-19.1%), followed by a slight decrease to 13.2% (95% CI, 10.5%-15.9%) in Wave 4. The seroprevalence rate was the highest in Wave 5 at 25.8% (95% CI, 22.2%-29.3%). The overall trend was statistically significant ($p<0.0001$ using the Cochran-Armitage trend test). When standardized to match Arkansas population demographics,[27] seroprevalence rates followed a similar trend to the non-adjusted rates, increasing over Waves 1, 2, and 3, with a decrease in Wave 4 and a peak of 24.2% (95% CI, 22.2%-29.3%) in Wave 5 (Figure 2A). The 1–4 year-old age group had the highest seroprevalence rates in Wave 1 (10.7%), Wave 2 (15.2%), and Wave 3 (19.8%), but the lowest in Wave 4 (7.2%) and Wave 5 (16.0%). The 15-18 year-old group had the highest percentage of reactive specimens in Wave 4 (14.8%) and 10-14 year-olds were the highest in Wave 5 (30.9%). No statistically-significant difference was observed between males and females.

Hispanics had the highest seroprevalence rate in each wave, reaching a maximum of 43.7% in Wave 5 (Figure 2B). White persons had a higher seroprevalence rate in Waves 1 and 2, but the lowest in Waves 3, 4, and 5. Concomitantly, the antibody reactivity rate in black persons was lower compared to white persons in Waves 1 and 2, but higher in Waves 3, 4, and 5. The highest seroprevalence rates for white (17.9%) and black (28.2%) children was observed in Wave 5. Notably, the peak seroprevalence for Hispanic children was 5.5-fold higher compared to white children and 1.6-fold higher than black children.

There was no significant marginal association between age, sex, or metropolitan status and the likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (Supplemental table 2). However, Hispanic and black children were more likely to test positive for antibodies than white

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

children during multiple waves after adjusting for age and sex. Hispanic children showed a higher relative risk of testing positive compared to white chldren in Wave 3 (RR 2.82 95% CI, 1.81-4.38), Wave 4 (RR 1.76 95% CI, 1.03-3.00), and Wave 5 (RR 2.37 95% CI 1.69-3.33). Blacks also showed a higher risk of having antibodies compared to white children in Wave 3 (RR 1.71 95% CI, 1.03-2.84) and Wave 5 (RR 1.59 95% CI, 1.08-2.34). The risk of testing positive for antibodies was higher for children of other races/ethnicities in Wave 3 (RR 2.59 95% CI, 1.36-4.92) and Wave 5 (RR 2.14 95% CI, 1.35-3.40).

Children with asthma (unadjusted RR 4.83 95% CI, 1.96-11.87; *P*=0.003) or diabetes (unadjusted RR 4.17 95% CI, 1.49-11.67; *P*=0.007) had higher risk of having antibodies of SARS-CoV-2 than children who did not have asthma or diabetes in Wave 1 (Supplemental table 3). However, this difference was not observed in the remaining waves. PCR testing was performed for 714 of 2400 total specimens, with 38 positive PCR tests reported (Supplemental table 4). A positive RT-PCR test was significantly associated with antibody positivity in Waves 2 through 5 (Supplemental table 5).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that by the end of April 2021, approximately 25% of children in Arkansas had SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies. This percentage is much higher than the total number of confirmed cases, which on April 28, 2021 was 11% for the total population of Arkansas (335,288 positive cases according the Arkansas Department of Health, population of 3,011,524 according to 2019 census data). This finding indicates that those children had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and are likely to have at least some natural immunity. Conversely, our findings suggest that most children in Arkansas have not been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and remain susceptible to infection. Although COVID-19 has been less severe in children than adults early in the pandemic, emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant in May 2021 has driven up infection and hospitalization rates, including among those under 18 years of age.[11,29,30]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Developing multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C), a severe inflammatory disorder that results from a current or recent SARS-CoV-2 infection, is also a risk for those under 18 years.[31-33] Increased SARS-CoV-2 transmission rates combined with a highly susceptible pediatric population raises the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 could spread rapidly in schools and daycares during the upcoming school year. More children infected with SARS-CoV-2 could lead to an increase in the number of severe COVID-19 and MIS-C cases, and a rise in pediatric deaths.

The first SARS-CoV-2 infections in Arkansas were reported in March 2020 (Figure 1).[34] Arkansas schools suspended in-person learning on March 15, 2020 and many activities where children congregate during the summer were closed. We found that the seroprevalence rate in children increased modestly between spring and summer, suggesting that these protective measures effectively limited SARS-CoV-2 spread among children in Arkansas. The larger increase in seroprevalence for September/October (Wave 3), corresponded with the start of the 2020-2021 school year. However, masks and social distancing measures were in place, and many Arkansas students began the school year as remote learners. These actions likely reduced SARS-CoV-2 spread in schools, as reported outbreaks were relatively rare. Despite a dramatic increase in SARS-CoV-2 cases in Arkansas during November and December, the seroprevalence rate in children dropped during Wave 4.[35] The decrease suggests that preventative measures in schools were effective at limiting SARS-CoV-2 spread among children during this time. However, seroprevalence increased sharply in Wave 5 to approximately 25%. Waves 4 and 5 were approximately three months apart and included the winter holidays, when children were outside the controlled school setting, and the corresponding nationwide surge in COVID-19 cases. Our data confirm that the surge also impacted the pediatric population, correlating with a large increase in the number of SARS-CoV-2-exposed children.

It is well appreciated that underrepresented racial and ethnic groups are disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.[36] We found that Hispanic children were

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

more likely to have SARS-CoV-2 antibodies compared to white children in all waves. Similarly, black children were more likely to have antibodies than white children in Wave 3 and Wave 5. The increase in SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Hispanic and black children corresponded to an increase in antibody level in Hispanic and black adults during the same timeframe.(REF-Kennedy preprint) Higher antibody prevalence in Hispanic and black children may reflect multiple socioeconomic factors, including income inequality, economic stability, work circumstances, and housing.[37] Hispanic and black parents may be less likely to hold jobs that allow them to work remotely, which increases their potential exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in the workplace and limits their ability to utilize remote learning or in home childcare to decrease their children's SARS-CoV-2 exposure.[38,39] Residing with parents with a higher risk of workplace SARS-CoV-2 exposure combined with increased school/daycare attendance could drive infection rates in minority children. Our results underscore that the pandemic exacerbated existing racial and ethnic disparities.

Our data also highlight the importance of vaccinating children against SARS-CoV-2. General vaccinations in Arkansas began in January 2021 for those over 18 years and, and were expanded to 16 years and older on March 30, 2021.[40] The CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommended the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine for 12-15 year-olds on May 10, 2021.[40] Wave 5 samples were collected in April 2021, after the expansion of vaccinations to those 16 years and up but prior to inclusion of 12-15 year-olds. Only 8 of 145 total 16-18 year-olds in reported being vaccinated during Wave 5 and no subjects reported full vaccination at least two weeks prior to sample collection. Thus, our data reflect the pediatric seroprevalence rate in Arkansas prior to widespread vaccination efforts in children and adolescents. As authorization to vaccinate children younger than 12 years will not occur until late 2021, our findings indicate that most children in Arkansas remain susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection entering the 2021–2022 school year. Importantly, we found that the seroprevalence rate in younger children was lower than for older children and adolescents, which strongly emphasizes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the ongoing risk of infection for a vulnerable part of the population that cannot yet be vaccinated. This is a critical consideration for policy makers as more infectious variants emerge that exhibit increased infection and likelihood of causing sever disease in younger portions of the population.

Limitations

Remnant serum samples may not provide an accurate representation of the Arkansas population. The strengths and limitations of convenience samples are described elsewhere.[41] As our study samples were collected from health clinics, our sampling method may favor subjects who were more ill or more willing to seek health care. It is possible that our seroprevalence rates are overestimated due to potential cross reactivity between SARS-CoV-2 antigens and seasonal coronaviruses.[42] Some uninfected individuals, particularly children and adolescents, have antibodies that react with the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein.[42] Further, we measured a combination of IgM and IgG antibody isotypes, as opposed to testing solely for IgG.[43] Although studies indicate that IgG and IgM responses to SARS-CoV-2 can develop simultaneously, some individuals develop detectable IgM and IgG antibodies at different times.[44,45] Consequently, we may identify individuals with IgM and/or IgG, whereas other assays may only identify specimens with IgG. IgM also can be more non-specific than IgG.[46] Together, these limitations may cause an overestimation of antibody reactivity. However, inclusion of multiple SARS-CoV-2 antigens and the BSA control limit the non-specific reactions detected in our assay.

CONCLUSIONS

Analysis of remnant samples collected from children and adolescents in Arkansas demonstrate a steady increase in SARS-CoV-2 infection during the first 8 months of the pandemic, followed by a more rapid increase to 25% by the end of April 2021. This finding is notable, as it is more than twice the number of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 diagnoses in the state

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

on the final collection date. No obvious comorbidities were identified for seropositivity in children. Racial and ethnic disparities exist, with Hispanic and black children being at increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to white children. We conclude that SARS-CoV-2 infections in children are more common than previously recognized. With the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants, recognition of long-term effects of SARS-CoV-2 even after mild or asymptomatic infections, and the lack of an authorized pediatric SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, these results highlight the importance of including children in SARS-CoV-2 public health, clinical care, and research strategies. Until vaccines are approved for those under 12 years, it is important that protective measures are enacted, particularly in settings where children are grouped together, to limit the risk of infection, super-spreader events, severe disease, long-term sequelae, and death. This is especially true in states like Arkansas with low vaccination rates, which is currently experiencing a new surge in infections and hospitalizations in younger age-groups caused by more infectious SARS-CoV-2 variants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funds from the state of Arkansas through the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, the UAMS Time-Sensitive COVID-10 Research Award Program, the UAMS Translational Research Institute (UL1TR000039, TL1TR003109, and UL1TR003107), and the Center for Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Inflammatory Response (P20 GM103625).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Timeline of Arkansas COVID-19 milestones and sample collection waves. The schematic shows the timeline for relevant study-related events. The sample collection periods are indicated by blue boxes. The age- and sex-standardized seroprevalence rate for each wave is indicated as the percent (95% CI).

Figure 2 (A) Age- and sex-standardized seroprevalence rates by wave. (B) Percent reactive specimens for each race/ethnicity group by wave. Error bars indicate 95% CI.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Author Contributions: Drs. Boehme, Forrest, and Kennedy had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the analysis.

Study concept and design: Boehme, Forrest, Kennedy, Snowden

Acquisition, analysis or interpretation of the data: Boehme, Boyanton, Caid, Forrest, Owens, Kennedy, Kirkpatrick, Kothari, Kouassi, Mann, Modi, Paredes, Putt, Zohoori

Draft of the manuscript: Boehme, Forrest, Kennedy, Owens

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Boehme, Forrest, James, Kennedy, Owens, Snowden

Statistical analysis: Jin, Du

Obtained funding: Boehme, Forrest, James, Kennedy

Administrative, technical, or material support: Boehme, Boyanton, Forrest, James, Kennedy, Snowden

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in wuhan, china: The mystery and the miracle. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(4):401-402.
2. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in china, 2019. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(8):727-733.
3. World health organization. *WHO Coronavirus Dashboard* https://covid19.who.int/.
4. Team CC-R, Jorden MA, Rudman SL, et al. Evidence for limited early spread of covid-19 within the united states, january-february 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(22):680-684.
5. United states centers for disease control and prevention. *COVID Data Tracker* https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home.
6. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the united states. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(10):929-936.
7. Jean-Baptiste CO, Herring RP, Beeson WL, Dos Santos H, Banta JE. Stressful life events and social capital during the early phase of covid-19 in the u.S. *Soc Sci Humanit Open.* 2020;2(1):100057.
8. Bayham J, Fenichel EP. Impact of school closures for covid-19 on the us health-care workforce and net mortality: A modelling study. *Lancet Public Health.* 2020;5(5):e271-e278.
9. Hobbs CV, Drobeniuc J, Kittle T, et al. Estimated sars-cov-2 seroprevalence among persons aged <18 years - mississippi, may-september 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2021;70(9):312-315.
10. Bajema KL, Wiegand RE, Cuffe K, et al. Estimated sars-cov-2 seroprevalence in the us as of september 2020. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2021;181(4):450-460.
11. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiology of covid-19 among children in china. *Pediatrics.* 2020;145(6).
12. Oran DP, Topol EJ. Prevalence of asymptomatic sars-cov-2 infection. *Ann Intern Med.* 2021;174(2):286-287.
13. Oran DP, Topol EJ. The proportion of sars-cov-2 infections that are asymptomatic : A systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2021;174(5):655-662.
14. United states centers for disease control and prevention. *CDC Diagnostic Tests fof COVID-19* https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/testing.html.
15. Havers FP, Reed C, Lim T, et al. Seroprevalence of antibodies to sars-cov-2 in 10 sites in the united states, march 23-may 12, 2020. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020.
16. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (redcap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(2):377-381.
17. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The redcap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform.* 2019;95:103208.
18. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, et al. Sars-cov-2 seroconversion in humans: A detailed protocol for a serological assay, antigen production, and test setup. *Curr Protoc Microbiol.* 2020;57(1):e100.
19. Mark J, Li X, Cyr T, Fournier S, Jaentschke B, Hefford MA. Sars coronavirus: Unusual lability of the nucleocapsid protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2008;377(2):429-433.
20. Leclercq I, Batejat C, Burguiere AM, Manuguerra JC. Heat inactivation of the middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses.* 2014;8(5):585-586.
21. Yunoki M, Urayama T, Yamamoto I, Abe S, Ikuta K. Heat sensitivity of a sars-associated coronavirus introduced into plasma products. *Vox Sang.* 2004;87(4):302-303.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

22. Rabenau HF, Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Preiser W, Doerr HW. Stability and inactivation of sars coronavirus. *Med Microbiol Immunol.* 2005;194(1-2):1-6.
23. Kariwa H, Fujii N, Takashima I. Inactivation of sars coronavirus by means of povidone-iodine, physical conditions and chemical reagents. *Dermatology.* 2006;212 Suppl 1:119-123.
24. Duan SM, Zhao XS, Wen RF, et al. Stability of sars coronavirus in human specimens and environment and its sensitivity to heating and uv irradiation. *Biomed Environ Sci.* 2003;16(3):246-255.
25. Chang L, Yan Y, Wang L. Coronavirus disease 2019: Coronaviruses and blood safety. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2020;34(2):75-80.
26. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, et al. A serological assay to detect sars-cov-2 seroconversion in humans. *Nat Med.* 2020;26(7):1033-1036.
27. United states census bureau. *Quickfacts: Arkansas* 2021; https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/AR. Accessed May 14, 2021.
28. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2004;159(7):702-706.
29. Havers FP, Whitaker M, Self JL, et al. Hospitalization of adolescents aged 12-17 years with laboratory-confirmed covid-19 - covid-net, 14 states, march 1, 2020-april 24, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2021;70(23):851-857.
30. Dougherty K, Mannell M, Naqvi O, Matson D, Stone J. Sars-cov-2 b.1.617.2 (delta) variant covid-19 outbreak associated with a gymnastics facility - oklahoma, april-may 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2021;70(28):1004-1007.
31. Dufort EM, Koumans EH, Chow EJ, et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children in new york state. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(4):347-358.
32. Feldstein LR, Rose EB, Horwitz SM, et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in u.S. Children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(4):334-346.
33. Ouldali N, Yang DD, Madhi F, et al. Factors associated with severe sars-cov-2 infection. *Pediatrics.* 2021;147(3).
34. James A, Eagle L, Phillips C, et al. High covid-19 attack rate among attendees at events at a church - arkansas, march 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(20):632-635.
35. Arkansas department of health. *COVID-19 Tracker* https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/633006d0782b4544bd5113a314f6268a/. Accessed July 20, 2021.
36. Khunti K, Platt L, Routen A, Abbasi K. Covid-19 and ethnic minorities: An urgent agenda for overdue action. *BMJ.* 2020;369:m2503.
37. United states bureau of labor statistics. *Labor force characteristics by race and ethnicity, 2019* https://www.bls.gov/opub/reports/race-and-ethnicity/2019/home.htm. Accessed July 22, 2021.
38. Yancy CW. Covid-19 and african americans. *JAMA.* 2020;323(19):1891-1892.
39. Ambrose AJH. Inequities during covid-19. *Pediatrics.* 2020;146(2).
40. Arkansas pharmacists association. *COVID Vaccine* 2021; https://www.arrx.org/covid-vaccine. Accessed May 30, 2021.
41. Shook-Sa BE, Boyce RM, Aiello AE. Estimation without representation: Early severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 seroprevalence studies and the path forward. *J Infect Dis.* 2020;222(7):1086-1089.
42. Ng KW, Faulkner N, Cornish GH, et al. Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to sars-cov-2 in humans. *Science.* 2020;370(6522):1339-1343.
43. Oved K, Olmer L, Shemer-Avni Y, et al. Multi-center nationwide comparison of seven serology assays reveals a sars-cov-2 non-responding seronegative subpopulation. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2020;29:100651.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

44. Long QX, Liu BZ, Deng HJ, et al. Antibody responses to sars-cov-2 in patients with covid-19. *Nat Med.* 2020;26(6):845-848.
45. Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic sars-cov-2 infections. *Nat Med.* 2020;26(8):1200-1204.
46. Shah J, Liu S, Potula HH, et al. Igg and igm antibody formation to spike and nucleocapsid proteins in covid-19 characterized by multiplex immunoblot assays. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2021;21(1):325.

Table 1. Demographics of sampled populations in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

	Number (%)[a]					
Characteristic	**Wave 1 (n = 316)**	**Wave 2 (n = 300)**	**Wave 3 (n = 594)**	**Wave 4 (n = 600)**	**Wave 5 (n = 590)**	**Overall (N = 2400)**
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
Age category						
1-4 years	56 (17.7)	33 (11)	81 (13.6)	83 (13.9)	75 (12.8)	328 (13.7)
5-9 years	61 (19.3)	75 (25)	112 (18.9)	116 (19.5)	142 (24.2)	506 (21.1)
10-14 years	90 (28.5)	98 (32.7)	205 (34.5)	214 (36.0)	188 (32)	795 (33.2)
15-18 years	109 (34.5)	94 (31.3)	196 (33)	182 (30.6)	183 (31.1)	764 (31.9)
Sex						
Female	161 (50.9)	155 (51.7)	324 (54.5)	338 (56.8)	333 (56.5)	1311 (54.8)
Male	155 (49.1)	145 (48.3)	270 (45.5)	257 (43.2)	255 (43.2)	1082 (45.2)
Race/Ethnicity						
White	178 (56.5)	154 (52.2)	327 (57.2)	312 (54.5)	318 (57.2)	1289 (55.8)
Black	91 (28.9)	53 (18.0)	117 (20.5)	150 (26.2)	109 (19.6)	520 (22.5)
Hispanic	28 (8.9)	64 (21.7)	95 (16.6)	77 (13.4)	87 (15.7)	351 (15.2)
Other	18 (5.7)	24 (8.1)	33 (5.8)	34 (5.9)	42 (7.6)	151 (6.5)
Metropolitan status[b]						
Urban	Not Reported	251 (83.7)	458 (77.1)	424 (71.3)	431 (73.3)	1564 (75.3)
Rural	Not Reported	49 (16.3)	136 (22.9)	171 (28.7)	157 (26.7)	513 (24.7)

[a]Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included race/ethnicity (n=1) and metropolitan status (n=316). Missing values in Wave 2 included race/ethnicity (n=5). Missing values in Wave 3 included race/ethnicity (n=22). Missing values in Wave 4 included age category (n=5), sex (n=5), race/ethnicity (n=27), and metropolitan status (n=5). Missing values in Wave 5 included age category (n=4), sex (n=2), race/ethnicity (n=34), and metropolitan status (n=2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Age-specific, sex-specific, race/ethnicity-specific seroprevalence estimates in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

	Persons reactive, % (95% CI) (No.)				
Characteristic	**Wave 1 (n = 316)**	**Wave 2 (n = 300)**	**Wave 3 (n = 594)**	**Wave 4 (n = 600)**	**Wave 5 (n = 590)**
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021
Age category					
1-4 years	10.7 (2.4-19.1) (6)	15.2 (2.2-28.1) (5)	19.8 (10.9-28.6) (16)	7.2 (1.54-12.9) (6)	16.0 (7.5-24.5) (12)
5-9 years	4.9 (0.0-10.5) (3)	8.0 (1.7, 14.3) (6)	18.8 (11.4-26.1) (21)	12.9 (6.7-19.1) (15)	19.0 (12.5,-25.5) (27)
10-14 years	8.9 (2.9-14.9) (8)	11.2 (4.9-17.6) (11)	14.2 (9.3-19.0) (29)	14.0 (9.3-18.7) (30)	30.9 (24.2-37.5) (58)
15-18 years	7.3 (1.4-12.3) (8)	7.4 (2.0-12.9) (7)	15.3 (10.2-20.4) (30)	14.8 (9.6-20.0) (27)	29.5 (22.8-36.2) (54)
Sex					
Female	8.7 (4.3-13.1) (14)	9.0 (4.5-13.6) (14)	17.3 (13.1-21.4) (56)	13.6 (9.9-17.3) (46)	27.0 (22.2-31.8) (90)
Male	7.1 (3.0-11.2) (11)	10.3 (5.3-15.4) (15)	14.8 (10.6-19.1) (40)	12.5 (8.4-16.5) (32)	23.9 (18.7-29.2) (61)
Race/Ethnicity					
White	9.0 (4.7-13.2) (16)	9.7 (5.0-14.5) (15)	10.7 (7.3-14.1) (35)	11.5 (8.0-15.1) (36)	17.9 (13.7-22.2) (57)
Black	5.5 (0.7-10.3) (5)	3.8 (0.0-9.1) (2)	17.1 (10.2-24.0) (20)	15.3 (9.5-21.2) (23)	28.4 (19.8-37.0) (31)
Hispanic	10.7 (0.0-22.9) (3)	14.1 (5.3-22.8) (9)	29.5 (20.1-38.8) (28)	20.8 (11.5-30.0) (16)	43.7 (33.0-54.3) (38)
Other	5.6 (0.0 -7.3) (1)	12.5 (0.0-26.8) (3)	27.3 (11.2-43.4) (9)	2.9 (0.0-8.9) (1)	35.7 (20.6-50.8) (15)
Total	7.9 (4.9-10.9) (25)	9.7 (6.3-13.0) (29)	16.2 (13.2-19.1) (96)	13.2 (10.5-15.9) (79)	25.8 (22.2-29.3) (152)
Age- and sex-Standardized seroprevalence rate[a], % (95% CI)	8.6 (5.1-12.1)	9.8 (6.1-13.6)	16.9 (13.3-20.6)	12.5 (9.6-15.5)	24.2 (20.1-28.2)

[a]Standardized positivity rates were calculated based on the 2019 U.S. Census Bureau Arkansas state population estimates (age ≤ 18).[27]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Age- and sex-adjusted association with SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity by time period.

	Adjusted RR (95% CI)				
Characteristic	**Wave 1 (n = 316)**	**Wave 2 (n = 300)**	**Wave 3 (n = 594)**	**Wave 4 (n = 600)**	**Wave 5 (n = 592)**
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021
Age category					
1-4 years	1.66 (0.55-5.02)	1.89 (0.67-5.35)	1.41 (0.82-2.43)	0.62 (0.26-1.45)	0.50 (0.28-0.90)
5-9 years	0.65 (0.18-2.41)	0.97 (0.34-2.74)	1.46 (0.88-2.41)	0.95 (0.52-1.75)	0.72 (0.47-1.09)
10-14 years	1.23 (0.46-3.29)	1.36 (0.54-3.43)	0.95 (0.59-1.53)	1.04 (0.64-1.68)	0.90 (0.66-1.24
15-18 years	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Sex					
Female	1.37 (0.61-3.06)	0.88 (0.44-1.75)	1.04 (0.71-1.52)	1.12 (0.73-1.73)	1.06 (0.80-1.40)
Male	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Race/Ethnicity					
Black	0.59 (0.23-1.54)	0.41 (0.09-1.83)	1.71 (1.03-2.84)	1.31 (0.80-2.14)	1.59 (1.08-2.34)
Hispanic	1.21 (0.37-3.93)	1.53 (0.70-3.37)	2.82 (1.81-4.38)	1.76 (1.03-3.00)	2.37 (1.69-3.33)
Other	0.54 (0.07-4.36)	1.25 (0.41-3.81)	2.59 (1.36-4.92)	0.25 (0.04-1.80)	2.14 (1.35-3.40)
White	Ref	Ref	Ref[a]	Ref	Ref[a]

[a]Indicates P value < 0.0001 for race/ethnicity in the statistical model.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplemental table 1. Co-morbidities of sampled populations in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 29, 2021.

	Number (%)[a]					
Characteristic	**Wave 1 (n = 316)**	**Wave 2 (n = 300)**	**Wave 3 (n = 594)**	**Wave 4 (n = 600)**	**Wave 5 (n = 590)**	**Overall (N = 2400)**
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
Respiratory viral panel within 6 months	Not Reported	34 (11.3)	35 (5.9)	25 (4.2)	Not reported	94 (6.3)
Asthma	12 (3.8)	31 (10.3)	68 (11.5)	62 (10.4)	66 (11.2)	239 (10.0)
Hypertension	16 (5.1)	16 (5.3)	25 (4.2)	34 (5.7)	20 (3.4)	111 (4.6)
Obesity	14 (4.4)	46 (15.3)	83 (14.0)	109 (18.3)	85 (14.4)	337 (14.1)
Diabetes	10 (3.2)	15 (5.0)	30 (5.1)	27 (4.5)	31 (5.3)	113 (4.7)
Autoimmune disease	Not Reported	7 (2.3)	14 (2.4)	19 (3.2)	23 (3.9)	63 (3.0)

[a] Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included respiratory virus panel performed within 6 months (n = 316) and autoimmune disease (n = 316), Missing values in Wave 4 included respiratory virus panel performed within 6 months (n = 5), asthma (n = 5), hypertension (n = 5), obesity (n = 5), diabetes (n = 5), and autoimmune disease (n = 5). Missing values in Wave 5 included Respiratory viral panel within 6 months (n = 590).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplemental table 2. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and demographic characteristics by time period.

	Wave 1 (n = 316)			Wave 2 (n = 300)			Wave 3 (n = 594)			Wave 4 (n = 600)			Wave 5 (n = 590)		
Characteristic[a]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*** [b]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95%CI)**	*P* [b]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	*P* [b]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*** [b]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	*P* [b]
Total	25 (7.9)			29 (9.7)			96 (16.2)			79 (13.2)			152 (25.8)		
Age category			0.690			0.539			0.560			0.410			0.0[illegible]
1-4	6 (10.7)	1.46 (0.53-4.00)		5 (15.2)	2.03 (0.69-5.97)		16 (19.8)	1.29 (0.75-2.23)		6 (7.2)	0.49 (0.21-1.14)		12 (16.0)	0.54 (0.31-0.95)	
5-9	3 (4.9)	0.67 (0.18-2.43)		6 (8.0)	1.07 (0.38-3.06)		21 (18.8)	1.23 (0.74-2.03)		15 (12.9)	0.87 (0.48-1.57)		27 (19.0)	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	
10-14	8 (8.9)	1.21 (0.47-3.10)		11 (11.2)	1.51 (0.61-3.72)		29 (14.2)	0.92 (0.58-1.48)		30 (14.0)	0.95 (0.58-1.53)		58 (30.9)	1.05 (0.77-1.43)	
15-18	8 (7.3)	Ref		7 (7.5)	Ref		30 (15.3)	Ref		27 (14.8)	Ref		54 (29.5)	Ref	
Sex			0.600			0.701			0.417			0.679			0.3[illegible]
Female	14 (8.7)	1.23 (0.57, 2.62)													
Male	11 (7.1)	Ref		14 (9.0)	0.87 (0.44, 1.74)		56 (17.3)	1.17 (0.80, 1.69)		46 (13.6)	1.09 (0.72, 1.67)		90 (27.0)	1.13 (0.85, 1.50)	
				15 (10.3)	Ref		40 (14.8)	Ref		32 (12.5)	Ref		61 (23.9)	Ref	
Race/ethnicity			0.706			0.352			<0.0001			0.060			<0.0001
Black	5 (5.5)	0.61 (0.23-1.62)		2 (3.8)	0.39 (0.09-1.64)		20 (17.1)	1.60 (0.96-2.65)		23 (15.3)	1.33 (0.82-2.16)		31 (28.4)	1.59 (1.09-2.32)	
Hispanic	3 (10.7)	1.19 (0.37-3.83)		9 (14.1)	1.44 (0.67-3.13)		28 (29.5)	2.75 (1.77-4.28)		16 (20.8)	1.80 (1.06-3.07)		38 (43.7)	2.44 (1.74-3.41)	
Others	1 (5.6)	0.62 (0.09-4.39)		3 (12.5)	1.28 (0.40-4.10)		9 (27.3)	2.55 (1.34-4.83)		1 (2.9)	0.25 (0.04-1.80)		15 (35.7)	1.99 (1.25-3.18)	
White	16 (9.0)	Ref		15 (9.7)	Ref		35 (10.7)	Ref		36 (11.5)	Ref		57 (17.9)	Ref	
Metropolitan status						0.091			0.602			0.876			0.0[illegible]
Urban	NA	NA	NA	28 (11.2)	5.47 (0.76-39.24)		76 (16.6)	1.13 (0.72-1.78)		55 (13.0)	0.96 (0.61-1.52)		101 (23.4)	0.74 (0.55-0.98)	
Rural				1 (2.0)	Ref		20 (14.7)	Ref		23 (13.5)	Ref		50 (31.9)	Ref	

[a] Missing values from Table 1 were not included in the analysis.
[b] *P*-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplemental table 3. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and comorbidities by time period.

	Wave 1 (n = 316)			Wave 2 (n = 300)			Wave 3 (n = 594)			Wave 4 (n = 600)			Wave 5 (n = 590)		
Characteristic[a]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95%CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**
Total	25 (7.9)			29 (9.7)			96 (16.2)			79 (13.2)			152 (25.8)		
Respiratory virus panel within 6 months						0.090			0.517						
Yes	NA	NA	NA	6 (17.7)	2.04 (0.89-4.65)		7 (20.0)	1.26 (0.63-2.50)		0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No				23 (8.7)	Ref		89 (15.9)	Ref		78 (13.7)					
Asthma			0.003			0.192			0.099			0.231			0.7[illegible]
Yes	4 (33.3)	4.83 (1.96-11.87)		5 (16.1)	1.81 (0.74-4.40)		6 (8.8)	0.52 (0.23-1.13)		5 (8.1)	0.59 (0.25-1.40)		16 (24.2)	0.93 (0.60-1.47)	
No	21 (6.9)	Ref		24 (8.9)	Ref		90 (17.1)	Ref		73 (13.7)	Ref		136 (26.0)	Ref	
Hypertension						0.643			0.258			0.775			0.30[illegible]
Yes	0	NA	NA	1 (6.3)	0.63 (0.09-4.37)		6 (24.0)	1.52 (0.74-3.13)		5 (14.7)	1.13 (0.49-2.61)		7 (35.0)	1.38 (0.74-2.54)	
No	25 (8.3)			28 (9.9)	Ref		90 (15.8)	Ref		73 (13.0)	Ref		145 (25.4)	Ref	
Obesity						0.763			0.607			0.589			0.61[illegible]
Yes	1 (7.1)	0.90 (0.13-6.18)	0.914	5 (10.9)	1.15 (0.46-2.86)		15 (18.1)	1.14 (0.69-1.88)		16 (14.7)	1.15 (0.69-1.91)		20 (23.5)	0.90 (0.60-1.36)	
No	24 (8.0)	Ref		24 (9.5)	Ref		81 (15.9)	Ref		62 (12.8)	Ref		132 (26.1)	Ref	
Diabetes			0.007						0.938			0.391			
Yes	3 (30.0)	4.17 (1.49-11.67)		0	NA	NA	5 (16.7)	1.03 (0.45-2.35)		2 (7.4)	0.55 (0.14-2.14)		12 (38.7)	1.55 (0.97-2.46)	
No	22 (7.2)	Ref		29 (10.2)			91 (16.1)	Ref		76 (13.4)	Ref		140 (25.0)	Ref	
Autoimmune disease						0.670			0.849			0.739			
Yes	NA	NA	NA	1 (14.3)	1.49 (0.24-9.49)		2 (14.3)	0.88 (0.24-3.22)		2 (10.5)	0.80 (0.21-3.01)		7 (30.4)	1.19 (0.63-2.24)	
No				28 (9.6)	Ref		94 (16.2)	Ref		76 (13.2)	Ref		145 (25.6)	Ref	

[a] Missing values from Table 1 were not included in the analysis.
[b] *P*-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplemental table 4. PCR test history and results from sampled populations in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

	Number (%)[a]					
Characteristic	**Wave 1 (n = 316)**	**Wave 2 (n = 300)**	**Wave 3 (n = 594)**	**Wave 4 (n = 600)**	**Wave 5 (n = 590)**	**Overall (N = 2400)**
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test administered						
Yes	64 (20.3)	82 (27.3)	160 (26.9)	173 (29.1)	235 (39.8)	714 (29.8)
No	252 (79.7)	218 (72.7)	434 (73.1)	422 (70.9)	355 (60.2)	1681 (70.2)
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result						
Positive	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	6 (3.8)	11 (6.4)	20 (8.6)	38 (5.3)
Negative	64 (100)	81 (98.8)	154 (96.2)	162 (93.6)	214 (91.5)	675 (94.7)

[a] Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 252). Missing values in Wave 2 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 218). Missing values in Wave 3 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 434). Missing values in Wave 4 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test administered (n = 5) and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 427). Missing values in Wave 5 included SARS-CoV-2 PCR test result (n = 356)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplemental table 5. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing by time period.

	Wave 1 (n = 316)			Wave 2 (n = 300)			Wave 3 (n = 594)			Wave 4 (n = 600)			Wave 5 (n = 590)		
Characteristic[a]	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95%CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**	**No., (%)**	**RR (95% CI)**	***P*[b]**
Total	25 (7.9)			29 (9.7)			96 (16.2)			79 (13.2)			152 (25.8)		
COVID-19 test administered			0.585			0.178			0.589			0.655			0.49
Yes	4 (6.3)	0.75 (0.27-2.11)		11 (13.4)	1.62 (0.80-3.29)		28 (17.5)	1.12 (0.75-1.67)		21 (12.1)	0.90 (0.56-1.44)		57 (24.3)	0.91 (0.68-1.20)	
No	21 (8.3)	Ref		18 (8.3)	Ref		68 (15.7)	Ref		57 (13.5)	Ref		95 (26.8)	Ref	
COVID-19 PCR result						<0.0001			<0.0001			<0.0001			<0.000
Positive	0	NA	NA	1 (100)	8.10 (4.53-14.47)		4 (66.7)	4.28 (2.18-8.40)		8 (72.7)	9.06 (4.80-17.10)		17 (85.0)	4.55 (3.25-6.35)	
Negative	4 (6.3)			10 (12.4)	Ref		24 (15.6)	Ref		13 (8.0)	Ref		40 (18.7)	Ref	

[a] Missing values from Table 1 were not included in the analysis.
[b] *P*-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592; this version posted August 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .


First SARS-CoV-2 cases reported in AR
Public schools in AR closed
Start of 2020-2021 school year in AR
Opening of generalized vaccinations in AR
Approval to vaccinate ages 16 years and older
Approval to vaccinate ages 12 and older
April 2020
June 2020
August 2020
October 2020
December 2020
February 2021
April 2021
June 2021
Wave 1
Wave 2
Wave 3
Wave 4
Wave 5
March 2020
May 2020
July 2020
September 2020
November 2020
January 2021
March 2021
May 2021
8.6% (95% CI, 5.1%-12.1%)
9.8% (95% CI, 6.1%-13.6%)
16.9% (95% CI, 13.3%-20.6%)
12.5% (95% CI, 9.6%-15.5%)
24.2% (95% CI, 20.1%-28.2%)


A
Adjusted seropositivity rate (%)
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
1
2
3
4
5
Wave number
B
Reactive specimens (%)
60
40
20
0
White
Black
Hispanic
Other
1
2
3
4
5
Wave number