Pediatric SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Arkansas over the

first year of the COVID-19 pandemic

Karl W. Boehme, PhD[1,2,3#], Joshua L. Kennedy, MD[4,5,6,#], Jessica Snowden, MD[4], Shana M. Owens, PhD[1], Marianne Kouassi, BS[1], Ryan L. Mann, BS[1], Amairani Paredes, BS[1], Claire Putt, BA[4], Laura James, MD[4], Jing Jin, MPH[7], Ruofei Du, PhD[7], Catherine Kirkpatrick, BS[6], Zeel Modi, BA[5], Katherine Caid, BS[5], Namvar Zohoori, MD, MPH, PhD[7, 8], Atul Kothari, MD[5, 8, 9], Bobby L. Boyanton, Jr., MD[10], and J. Craig Forrest, PhD[1,2,3]

[1] Department of Microbiology & Immunology, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[2] Center for Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Inflammatory Responses, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[3] Winthrop P. Rockefeller Cancer Institute, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[4] Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[5] Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[6] Arkansas Children's Research Institute, Little Rock, AR.
[7] Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[8] Arkansas Department of Health, Little Rock, AR.
[9] Department of Bioinformatics, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
[10] Departments of Pathology, Arkansas Children's Hospital and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR

[#]These authors contributed equally to the work.

Running Title: Pediatric SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Arkansas

FOOTNOTES

‡ **Corresponding authors**:

Karl W. Boehme

Mailing address: 4301 W. Markham Dr. #511, Little Rock, AR 72205

Phone: (501) 686-5189

Email: kwboehme@uams.edu

J. Craig Forrest

Mailing address: 4301 W. Markham Dr. #511, Little Rock, AR 72205

Phone: (501)

Email: jcforrest@uams.edu

Joshua L. Kennedy

Mailing address: 13 Children's Way, Slot 512-13, Little Rock, AR 72205

Phone: (501) 364-1060

Email: jlkennedy@uams.edu

Funding: We acknowledge funding from the state of Arkansas through the Coronavirus Aid,

Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, the UAMS Time-Sensitive COVID-10 Research

Award Program, the UAMS Translational Research Institute (UL1TR000039, TL1TR003109,

and UL1TR003107), and the Center for Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Inflammatory

Response (P20 GM103625). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, and

interpretation.

Conflicts of interest: None

ABSTRACT (Word count: 200)

Background: SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence studies have largely focused on adults but little is

known about spread in children. We determined SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in children and

adolescents from Arkansas over the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. **Methods:** We tested

remnant serum samples from children from 1–18 years who visited Arkansas hospitals or clinics

for non-COVID19-related reasons from April, 2020 through April, 2021 for SARS-CoV-2

antibodies. We used univariable and multivariable regression models to determine association

between seropositivity and participant characteristics. **Results:** Among 2400 participants,

seroprevalence rose from 7.9% in April/May 2020 (95% CI, 4.9-10.9%) to 25.8% in April 2021

(95% CI, 22.2-29.3%). Hispanic and black children had a significantly higher association with

antibody positivity than white children in multiple sampling periods. **Conclusions:** By spring

2021, most children in Arkansas had not been infected with SARS-CoV-2. With the emergence

of SARS-CoV-2 variants, recognition of long-term effects of COVID-19, and the lack of an

authorized pediatric SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, these results highlight the importance of including

children in SARS-CoV-2 public health, clinical care, and research strategies. These findings are

important for state and local officials as they consider measures to limit SARS-CoV-2 spread in

schools and daycares for the 2021–2022 school year.

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV2, serology, serum, antibody, child, epidemiology

TEXT (Word count: 3,152)

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in late 2019

and spread globally to cause the coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [1, 2].

SARS-CoV-2 has infected nearly 200 million and killed more than 4 million people worldwide,

causing massive disruptions to daily life and untold economic losses [3]. SARS-CoV-2 was first

detected in the United States in early 2020 and has caused over 600,000 deaths [4-6] Children

were thought to be important for driving SARS-CoV-2 transmission [7, 8]. In March 2020,

schools nationwide closed for in-person instruction and classes moved online to curtail SARS-

CoV-2 spread [7, 8]. However, pediatric infection rates, and thereby the potential level of

immunity in children, is not known [9, 10]. Because approval of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines for those

12 years and under is not expected until late 2021, knowing infection rates in children is

important information for school officials as they consider risks and protection measures for the

2021–2022 school year.

Children typically experience less severe COVID-19 and may be more likely to have

asymptomatic infections, allowing them to unknowingly spread SARS-CoV-2 [11]. With

asymptomatic infections estimated as high as 50%, estimating true infection rates remains

challenging [12, 13]. Although nucleic acid testing can identify active SARS-CoV-2 cases, most

infections clear within two weeks and leave asymptomatic cases undocumented [12-14].

Antibodies generated against prior infections can last for months to years [10, 15]. The

presence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the blood can indicate that a person was infected at

some point in the pandemic. Serological studies can capture asymptomatic and symptomatic

cases, and more accurately estimate of how many people have been infected with SARS-CoV-2

[10, 15]. Here, we present the results of a seroprevalence study of children ages 1–18 years of

age who visited hospitals or regional clinics in Arkansas for non-COVID19-related reasons over

the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

    Human specimens. All human specimens were obtained with oversight from the UAMS

Institutional Review Board (IRB), and waiver of consent and HIPAA applied. Remnants of serum

samples collected for routine, non-COVID-19-related clinical laboratory tests were obtained from

Arkansas Children's Hospital (ACH, Little Rock, AR), Arkansas Children's Northwest

(Springdale, AR), and UAMS Family Medical Centers (Ft. Smith, AR and Pine Bluff, AR).

Samples were de-identified prior to testing. Inclusion criteria were ages 1–18 years and

Arkansas resident. Samples were excluded with the following diagnosis codes:

immunodeficiency (primary immune deficiency (D80–D89)), transplant recipient (codes

beginning with Z94), and cancer (C00–D49). Samples from patients receiving chemotherapy

(prior two months), steroids (prior 30 days), and/or intravenous immunoglobulin (prior 6 months)

were excluded. Samples were selected by pathologists at each clinic after they were considered

remnant. Clinical and demographic variables were stored in a secure REDCap database [16,

17] and included age, sex, race/ethnicity, zip code, and county of residence. Metropolitan status

was determined by cross-1.0 (Ref)erencing zip codes with the Federal Office of Rural Health

Policy (FORHP) data files identifying non-metropolitan counties and rural census tracts.

    Protein production and purification. HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's

minimum essential media (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated calf serum

(CS, VWR), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 100 U/mL penicillin/100 µg/mL streptomycin

(Invitrogen). Briefly, 15 cm dishes seeded with 9×10^6 cells on the preceding day were

transfected with 20 µg of pCAGGS-SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD-C-terminal 6-His tag (BEI

Resources), pCAGGS SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 ectodomain Spike glycoprotein gene-C-

terminal 6-His tag, or pCMV3 2019-nCoV nucleoprotein-C-terminal 6-His tag (Sino Biological)

using polyethylamine (PEI) at a 1:3 ratio. DNA:PEI mixtures were incubated at RT for 10 mins

and added to cells with the media volume reduced to 5 mL/dish. After 4–6 hours, the media

volume was raised to 25 mL. SARS-CoV-2 RBD and Spike ectodomain proteins were purified

as described [18]. Nucleoprotein (N) was isolated as described above under denaturing

conditions [19]. Protein concentration was measured by DC Protein Assay (BioRad). Purified

proteins were confirmed by Coomassie and western blot using antigen-specific antibodies and

stored at –80°C.

ELISAs. Serum was inactivated at 56°C for 1 h and initially screened by ELISA specific

for the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein [20-26]. Commercial anti-

RBD (Sino Biologicals; 1:2500 dilution) and SARS-CoV-2-RT-PCR-positive patient sera (1:50

dilution) served as positive controls. Purified human IgG (Sigma; 1:2500 dilution) and pre-

COVID-19 patient sera (1:50 dilution) served as negative controls. Serum IgM and IgG were

detected using horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgM+IgG (Jackson

ImmunoResearch; diluted 1:5000 in PBS-T + 1% milk) and SureBlue TMB 1-Component

Peroxidase Substrate (SeraCare; 75 µL). After 5 min, the reaction was terminated using TMB

Stop Solution (SeraCare; 75 µL). The OD_{450} was measured using a FluoStar Omega plate

reader (BMG Labtech). The final OD_{450} was calculated by subtracting the mean OD_{450} of blank

wells from the mean OD_{450} of duplicate samples. The statistical cutoff for RBD binding was

defined as the mean OD_{450} + 3 standard deviations of pre-COVID-19 sera [26].

Confirmation RBD-positive specimens was performed using a Four-Antigen Confirmation

Test (FACT) ELISA. An additional 5% of negative sera were randomly selected and tested in

parallel. Plates were coated with 2 µg/mL RBD, spike, nucleoprotein, or bovine serum albumin

(BSA; Sigma Aldrich) and FACT ELISA was performed as above. Confirmed positive samples

were defined by (i) a mean signal for any viral antigen over 0.6 OD_{450}, (ii) BSA-subtracted viral

antigen value >0.3 OD_{450}, (iii) and at least two positive antigens (RBD+/Spike+, RBD+/N+,

Spike+/N+, or RBD+/Spike+/N+). The sensitivity and specificity of the assay were 94.6% and

100%, respectively, based on 37 pre-COVID-19 and 19 RT-PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2-

positive sera.

Statistical analyses. The descriptive analysis was conducted for the study population

demographics by wave. SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity rates were reported with 95%

confidence intervals (CI) using exact binomial distributions. The 2019 U.S. Census Bureau

Arkansas state population estimates (age ≤ 18) were used to calculate the standardized

positivity rates [27]. The age- and sex-standardized positivity rates were reported for each wave.

Univariable and multivariable analyses were performed to examine the relationship between

variables and the SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity rate for each wave Relative risk was

estimated by modified Poisson regressions with robust error variance as the measure to

characterize association effects [28]. For multivariable analyses, factors being considered were

age group, sex, and race/ethnicity. The statistical significance level was set at 0.05. We

conducted all analyses using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Enrollment and demographic representation. We collected 2400 total remnant

pediatric serum samples across five collection periods (waves): Wave 1, April 2 – May 6, 2020

(n = 316); Wave 2, June 6 – August 10, 2020, (n = 300); Wave 3, September 8 – October 17,

2020, (n = 594); Wave 4, November 7 – December 17, 2020, (n = 600); and Wave 5, April 5 –

April 28, 2021, (n = 590) (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics for the

study. The 1 – 4 years age group had the fewest samples (n = 328, 13.7%) while the 10 – 14

years group had the most samples (n = 795, 33.2%). Females represented 54.8% (n = 1311),

whereas males represented 45.2% (n = 1082) of total samples analyzed. The racial/ethnic

distribution of the study population was 55.8% non-Hispanic white (n = 1289), 22.5% non-

Hispanic black (n = 520), and 15.2% Hispanic (n = 351). A total of 151 specimens (6.5%) were

collected from patients that did not identify as white, black, or Hispanic. Most samples were

collected from children living in urban (n = 1564, 75.3%) compared to rural areas (n = 513,

24.7%). Obesity was the most common co-morbidity reported (n = 337, 14.1%), followed by

asthma (n = 239, 10.0%), diabetes mellitus (n = 113, 4.7%), and hypertension (n = 111, 4.6%)

(Supplemental table 1).

Estimates of seroprevalence. Table 2 shows the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence rates

by age, sex, and race/ethnicity, and the age- and sex-standardized estimates. The antibody

positivity rate for Wave 1 was 7.9% (95% CI, 4.9%-10.9%), which increased in Wave 2 to 9.7%

(95% CI, 6.3%-13.0%) and Wave 3 to 16.2% (95% CI, 13.2%-19.1%), followed by a slight

decrease to 13.2% (95% CI, 10.5%-15.9%) in Wave 4. The seroprevalence rate was the highest

in Wave 5 at 25.8% (95% CI, 22.2%-29.3%). The overall trend was statistically significant

(p<0.0001 using the Cochran-Armitage trend test). When standardized to match Arkansas

population demographics [27], seroprevalence rates followed a similar trend to the non-adjusted

rates, increasing over Waves 1, 2, and 3, with a decrease in Wave 4 and a peak of 24.2% (95%

CI, 22.2%-29.3%) in Wave 5 (Figure 2). The 1 – 4 year-old age group had the highest

seroprevalence rates in Wave 1 (10.7%), Wave 2 (15.2%), and Wave 3 (19.8%), but the lowest

in Wave 4 (7.2%) and Wave 5 (16.0%). The 15 – 18 year-old group had the highest percentage

of reactive specimens in Wave 4 (14.8%) and 10 – 14 year-olds were the highest in Wave 5

(30.9%). No statistically-significant difference was observed between males and females.

Hispanics had the highest seroprevalence rate in each wave, reaching a maximum of

43.7% in Wave 5. White persons had a higher seroprevalence rate in Waves 1 and 2, but the

lowest in Waves 3, 4, and 5. Concomitantly, the antibody reactivity rate in black persons was

lower compared to white persons in Waves 1 and 2, but higher in Waves 3, 4, and 5. The

highest seroprevalence rates for white (17.9%) and black (28.2%) children was observed in

Wave 5. Notably, the peak seroprevalence for Hispanic children was 5.5-fold higher compared

to white children and 1.6-fold higher than black children.

There was no significant marginal association between age, sex, or metropolitan status

and the likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (Supplemental table 2).

However, Hispanic and black children were more likely to test positive for antibodies than white

children during multiple waves after adjusting for age and sex. Hispanic children showed a

higher relative risk of testing positive compared to white children in Wave 3 (RR 2.82 95% CI,

1.81-4.38), Wave 4 (RR 1.76 95% CI, 1.03-3.00), and Wave 5 (RR 2.37 95% CI 1.69-3.33).

Blacks also showed a higher risk of having antibodies compared to white children in Wave 3

(RR 1.71 95% CI, 1.03-2.84) and Wave 5 (RR 1.59 95% CI, 1.08-2.34). The risk of testing

positive for antibodies was higher for children of other races/ethnicities in Wave 3 (RR 2.59 95%

CI, 1.36-4.92) and Wave 5 (RR 2.14 95% CI, 1.35-3.40).

Children with asthma (unadjusted RR 4.83 95% CI, 1.96-11.87; $P = 0.003$) or diabetes

(unadjusted RR 4.17 95% CI, 1.49-11.67; $P = 0.007$) had higher risk of having antibodies of

SARS-CoV-2 than children who did not have asthma or diabetes in Wave 1 (Supplemental table

3). However, this difference was not observed in the remaining waves. PCR testing was

performed for 714 of 2400 total specimens, with 38 positive PCR tests reported (Supplemental

table 4). A positive RT-PCR test was significantly associated with antibody positivity in Waves 2

through 5 (Supplemental table 5).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that by the end of April 2021, approximately 25% of children in

Arkansas had SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies. This percentage is much higher than the total

number of confirmed cases, which on April 28, 2021 was 11% for the total population of

Arkansas (335,288 positive cases according the Arkansas Department of Health, population of

3,011,524 according to 2019 census data). This finding indicates that those children had been

infected with SARS-CoV-2 and are likely to have at least some natural immunity. Conversely,

our findings suggest that most children in Arkansas have not been infected with SARS-CoV-2

and remain susceptible to infection. Although COVID-19 has been less severe in children than

adults early in the pandemic, emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant in May 2021 has

driven up infection and hospitalization rates, including among those under 18 years of age [11,

29, 30]. Developing multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C), a severe

inflammatory disorder that results from a current or recent SARS-CoV-2 infection, is also a risk

for those under 18 years [31-33]. Increased SARS-CoV-2 transmission rates combined with a

highly susceptible pediatric population raises the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 could spread

rapidly in schools and daycares during the upcoming school year. More children infected with

SARS-CoV-2 could lead to an increase in the number of severe COVID-19 and MIS-C cases,

and a rise in pediatric deaths.

The first SARS-CoV-2 infections in Arkansas were reported in March 2020 (Figure 1)

[34]. Arkansas schools suspended in-person learning on March 15, 2020 and many activities

where children congregate during the summer were closed. We found that the seroprevalence

rate in children increased modestly between spring and summer, suggesting that these

protective measures effectively limited SARS-CoV-2 spread among children in Arkansas. The

larger increase in seroprevalence for September/October (Wave 3), corresponded with the start

of the 2020 – 2021 school year. However, masks and social distancing measures were in place,

and many Arkansas students began the school year as remote learners. These actions likely

reduced SARS-CoV-2 spread in schools, as reported outbreaks were relatively rare. Despite a

dramatic increase in SARS-CoV-2 cases in Arkansas during November and December, the

seroprevalence rate in children dropped during Wave 4 [35]. The decrease suggests that

preventative measures in schools were effective at limiting SARS-CoV-2 spread among children

during this time. However, seroprevalence increased sharply in Wave 5 to approximately 25%.

Waves 4 and 5 were approximately three months apart and included the winter holidays, when

children were outside the controlled school setting, and the corresponding nationwide surge in

COVID-19 cases. Our data confirm that the surge also impacted the pediatric population,

correlating with a large increase in the number of SARS-CoV-2-exposed children.

It is well appreciated that underrepresented racial and ethnic groups are

disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic [36]. We found that Hispanic children

were more likely to have SARS-CoV-2 antibodies compared to white children in all waves.

Similarly, black children were more likely to have antibodies than white children in Wave 3 and

Wave 5. The increase in SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Hispanic and black children corresponded

to an increase in antibody level in Hispanic and black adults during the same timeframe.(REF-

Kennedy preprint) Higher antibody prevalence in Hispanic and black children may reflect

multiple socioeconomic factors, including income inequality, economic stability, work

circumstances, and housing [37]. Hispanic and black parents may be less likely to hold jobs that

allow them to work remotely, which increases their potential exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in the

workplace and limits their ability to utilize remote learning or in home childcare to decrease their

children's SARS-CoV-2 exposure [38, 39]. Residing with parents with a higher risk of workplace

SARS-CoV-2 exposure combined with increased school/daycare attendance could drive

infection rates in minority children. Our results underscore that the pandemic exacerbated

existing racial and ethnic disparities.

Our data also highlight the importance of vaccinating children against SARS-CoV-2.

General vaccinations in Arkansas began in January 2021 for those over 18 years and, and were

expanded to 16 years and older on March 30, 2021 [40]. The CDC's Advisory Committee on

Immunization Practices recommended the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine for 12-15 year-olds on May

10, 2021 [40]. Wave 5 samples were collected in April 2021, after the expansion of vaccinations

to those 16 years and up but prior to inclusion of 12 – 15 year-olds. Only 8 of 145 total 16 – 18

year-olds in reported being vaccinated during Wave 5 and no subjects reported full vaccination

at least two weeks prior to sample collection. Thus, our data reflect the pediatric seroprevalence

rate in Arkansas prior to widespread vaccination efforts in children and adolescents. As

authorization to vaccinate children younger than 12 years will not occur until late 2021, our

findings indicate that most children in Arkansas remain susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection

entering the 2021 – 2022 school year. Importantly, we found that the seroprevalence rate in

younger children was lower than for older children and adolescents, which strongly emphasizes

the ongoing risk of infection for a vulnerable part of the population that cannot yet be

vaccinated. This is a critical consideration for policy makers as more infectious variants emerge

that exhibit increased infection and likelihood of causing sever disease in younger portions of

the population.

Limitations

Remnant serum samples may not provide an accurate representation of the Arkansas

population The strengths and limitations of convenience samples are described elsewhere [41].

As our study samples were collected from health clinics, our sampling method may favor

subjects who were more ill or more willing to seek health care It is possible that our

seroprevalence rates are overestimated due to potential cross reactivity between SARS-CoV-2

antigens and seasonal coronaviruses [42]. Some uninfected individuals, particularly children

and adolescents, have antibodies that react with the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein [42]. Further,

we measured a combination of IgM and IgG antibody isotypes, as opposed to testing solely for

IgG [43]. Although studies indicate that IgG and IgM responses to SARS-CoV-2 can develop

simultaneously, some individuals develop detectable IgM and IgG antibodies at different times

[44, 45]. Consequently, we may identify individuals with IgM and/or IgG, whereas other assays

may only identify specimens with IgG. IgM also can be more non-specific than IgG [46].

Together, these limitations may cause an overestimation of antibody reactivity. However,

inclusion of multiple SARS-CoV-2 antigens and the BSA control limit the non-specific reactions

detected in our assay.

CONCLUSIONS

Analysis of remnant samples collected from children and adolescents in Arkansas

demonstrate a steady increase in SARS-CoV-2 infection during the first 8 months of the

pandemic, followed by a more rapid increase to 25% by the end of April 2021. This finding is

notable, as it is more than twice the number of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 diagnoses in the state

on the final collection date. No obvious comorbidities were identified for seropositivity in

children. Racial and ethnic disparities exist, with Hispanic and black children being at increased

risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to white children. We conclude that SARS-CoV-2

infections in children are more common than previously recognized. With the emergence of

SARS-CoV-2 variants, recognition of long-term effects of SARS-CoV-2 even after mild or

asymptomatic infections, and the lack of an authorized pediatric SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, these

results highlight the importance of including children in SARS-CoV-2 public health, clinical care,

and research strategies. Until vaccines are approved for those under 12 years, it is important

that protective measures are enacted, particularly in settings where children are grouped

together, to limit the risk of infection, super-spreader events, severe disease, long-term

sequelae, and death. This is especially true in states like Arkansas with low vaccination rates,

which is currently experiencing a new surge in infections and hospitalizations in younger age-

groups caused by more infectious SARS-CoV-2 variants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funds from the state of Arkansas through the

Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, the UAMS Time-Sensitive

COVID-10 Research Award Program, the UAMS Translational Research Institute

(UL1TR000039, TL1TR003109, and UL1TR003107), and the Center for Microbial Pathogenesis

and Host Inflammatory Response (National Institutes of Health, National Institute for General

Medical Sciences P20 GM103625).

 FIGURE LEGENDS

333 **Figure 1** Timeline of Arkansas COVID-19 milestones and sample collection waves. The

334 schematic shows the timeline for relevant study-related events. The sample collection periods

335 (waves) are indicated by blue boxes. The age- and sex-standardized seroprevalence rate for

336 each wave is indicated as the percent (95% CI).

338 **Figure 2** Age- and sex-standardized seroprevalence rates by wave. Error bars indicate 95% CI.

REFERENCES

1. Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China: The mystery and the miracle. J Med Virol **2020**; 92:401-2.

2. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med **2020**; 382:727-33.

3. World Health Organization. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/.

4. Team CC-R, Jorden MA, Rudman SL, et al. Evidence for Limited Early Spread of COVID-19 Within the United States, January-February 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2020**; 69:680-4.

5. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home.

6. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med **2020**; 382:929-36.

7. Jean-Baptiste CO, Herring RP, Beeson WL, Dos Santos H, Banta JE. Stressful life events and social capital during the early phase of COVID-19 in the U.S. Soc Sci Humanit Open **2020**; 2:100057.

8. Bayham J, Fenichel EP. Impact of school closures for COVID-19 on the US health-care workforce and net mortality: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health **2020**; 5:e271-e8.

9. Hobbs CV, Drobeniuc J, Kittle T, et al. Estimated SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence Among Persons Aged <18 Years - Mississippi, May-September 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2021**; 70:312-5.

10. Bajema KL, Wiegand RE, Cuffe K, et al. Estimated SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence in the US as of September 2020. JAMA Intern Med **2021**; 181:450-60.

11. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 Among Children in China. Pediatrics **2020**; 145.

12. Oran DP, Topol EJ. Prevalence of Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Ann Intern Med

2021; 174:286-7.

13. Oran DP, Topol EJ. The Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 Infections That Are Asymptomatic : A

Systematic Review. Ann Intern Med **2021**; 174:655-62.

14. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at:

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/testing.html.

15. Havers FP, Reed C, Lim T, et al. Seroprevalence of Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites

in the United States, March 23-May 12, 2020. JAMA Intern Med **2020**.

16. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data

capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing

translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform **2009**; 42:377-81.

17. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international

community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform **2019**; 95:103208.

18. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Humans: A

Detailed Protocol for a Serological Assay, Antigen Production, and Test Setup. Curr Protoc

Microbiol **2020**; 57:e100.

19. Mark J, Li X, Cyr T, Fournier S, Jaentschke B, Hefford MA. SARS coronavirus: unusual

lability of the nucleocapsid protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **2008**; 377:429-33.

20. Leclercq I, Batejat C, Burguiere AM, Manuguerra JC. Heat inactivation of the Middle East

respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Influenza Other Respir Viruses **2014**; 8:585-6.

21. Yunoki M, Urayama T, Yamamoto I, Abe S, Ikuta K. Heat sensitivity of a SARS-associated

coronavirus introduced into plasma products. Vox Sang **2004**; 87:302-3.

22. Rabenau HF, Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Preiser W, Doerr HW. Stability and

inactivation of SARS coronavirus. Med Microbiol Immunol **2005**; 194:1-6.

23. Kariwa H, Fujii N, Takashima I. Inactivation of SARS coronavirus by means of povidone-

iodine, physical conditions and chemical reagents. Dermatology **2006**; 212 Suppl 1:119-23.

24. Duan SM, Zhao XS, Wen RF, et al. Stability of SARS coronavirus in human specimens and

environment and its sensitivity to heating and UV irradiation. Biomed Environ Sci **2003**; 16:246-

55.

25. Chang L, Yan Y, Wang L. Coronavirus Disease 2019: Coronaviruses and Blood Safety.

Transfus Med Rev **2020**; 34:75-80.

26. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2

seroconversion in humans. Nat Med **2020**; 26:1033-6.

27. United States Census Bureau. Available at: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/AR.

Accessed May 14 2021.

28. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. Am

J Epidemiol **2004**; 159:702-6.

29. Havers FP, Whitaker M, Self JL, et al. Hospitalization of Adolescents Aged 12-17 Years with

Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1, 2020-April 24, 2021.

MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2021**; 70:851-7.

30. Dougherty K, Mannell M, Naqvi O, Matson D, Stone J. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta)

Variant COVID-19 Outbreak Associated with a Gymnastics Facility - Oklahoma, April-May 2021.

MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2021**; 70:1004-7.

31. Dufort EM, Koumans EH, Chow EJ, et al. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children in

New York State. N Engl J Med **2020**; 383:347-58.

32. Feldstein LR, Rose EB, Horwitz SM, et al. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in U.S.

Children and Adolescents. N Engl J Med **2020**; 383:334-46.

33. Ouldali N, Yang DD, Madhi F, et al. Factors Associated With Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection.

Pediatrics **2021**; 147.

34. James A, Eagle L, Phillips C, et al. High COVID-19 Attack Rate Among Attendees at Events

at a Church - Arkansas, March 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2020**; 69:632-5.

35. Arkansas Department of Health. Available at:

https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/633006d0782b4544bd5113a314f6268a/. Accessed

July 20 2021.

36. Khunti K, Platt L, Routen A, Abbasi K. Covid-19 and ethnic minorities: an urgent agenda for

overdue action. BMJ **2020**; 369:m2503.

37. United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. Available at:

https://www.bls.gov/opub/reports/race-and-ethnicity/2019/home.htm. Accessed July 22 2021.

38. Yancy CW. COVID-19 and African Americans. JAMA **2020**; 323:1891-2.

39. Ambrose AJH. Inequities During COVID-19. Pediatrics **2020**; 146.

40. Arkansas Pharmacists Association. Available at: https://www.arrx.org/covid-vaccine.

Accessed May 30 2021.

41. Shook-Sa BE, Boyce RM, Aiello AE. Estimation Without Representation: Early Severe Acute

Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Seroprevalence Studies and the Path Forward. J Infect

Dis **2020**; 222:1086-9.

42. Ng KW, Faulkner N, Cornish GH, et al. Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-

CoV-2 in humans. Science **2020**; 370:1339-43.

43. Oved K, Olmer L, Shemer-Avni Y, et al. Multi-center nationwide comparison of seven

serology assays reveals a SARS-CoV-2 non-responding seronegative subpopulation.

EClinicalMedicine **2020**; 29:100651.

44. Long QX, Liu BZ, Deng HJ, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with

COVID-19. Nat Med **2020**; 26:845-8.

45. Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic

SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat Med **2020**; 26:1200-4.

46. Shah J, Liu S, Potula HH, et al. IgG and IgM antibody formation to spike and nucleocapsid

proteins in COVID-19 characterized by multiplex immunoblot assays. BMC Infect Dis **2021**;

21:325.

Table 1. Sample population demographics for SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing in Arkansas from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

| Characteristic | Number (%)[a] | | | | | |
	Wave 1 (n = 316)	Wave 2 (n = 300)	Wave 3 (n = 594)	Wave 4 (n = 600)	Wave 5 (n = 590)	Overall (N = 2400)
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
Age category						
1-4 years	56 (17.7)	33 (11)	81 (13.6)	83 (13.9)	75 (12.8)	328 (13.7)
5-9 years	61 (19.3)	75 (25)	112 (18.9)	116 (19.5)	142 (24.2)	506 (21.1)
10-14 years	90 (28.5)	98 (32.7)	205 (34.5)	214 (36.0)	188 (32)	795 (33.2)
15-18 years	109 (34.5)	94 (31.3)	196 (33)	182 (30.6)	183 (31.1)	764 (31.9)
Sex						
Female	161 (50.9)	155 (51.7)	324 (54.5)	338 (56.8)	333 (56.5)	1311 (54.8)
Male	155 (49.1)	145 (48.3)	270 (45.5)	257 (43.2)	255 (43.2)	1082 (45.2)
Race/Ethnicity						
White	178 (56.5)	154 (52.2)	327 (57.2)	312 (54.5)	318 (57.2)	1289 (55.8)
Black	91 (28.9)	53 (18.0)	117 (20.5)	150 (26.2)	109 (19.6)	520 (22.5)
Hispanic	28 (8.9)	64 (21.7)	95 (16.6)	77 (13.4)	87 (15.7)	351 (15.2)
Other	18 (5.7)	24 (8.1)	33 (5.8)	34 (5.9)	42 (7.6)	151 (6.5)
Metropolitan status[b]						
Urban	Not Reported	251 (83.7)	458 (77.1)	424 (71.3)	431 (73.3)	1564 (75.3)
Rural	Not Reported	49 (16.3)	136 (22.9)	171 (28.7)	157 (26.7)	513 (24.7)

[a]Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included race/ethnicity (n = 1) and metropolitan status (n = 316). Missing values in Wave 2 included race/ethnicity (n = 5). Missing values in Wave 3 included race/ethnicity (n = 22). Missing values in Wave 4 included age category (n = 5), sex (n = 5), race/ethnicity (n = 27), and metropolitan status (n = 5). Missing values in Wave 5 included age category (n = 4), sex (n = 2), race/ethnicity (n = 34), and metropolitan status (n = 2).

Table 2. Age-specific, sex-specific, race/ethnicity-specific SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence estimates in Arkansas from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

Characteristic	Persons reactive, % (95% CI) (No.)				
	Wave 1 (n = 316)	Wave 2 (n = 300)	Wave 3 (n = 594)	Wave 4 (n = 600)	Wave 5 (n = 590)
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021
Age category					
1-4 years	10.7 (2.4-19.1) (6)	15.2 (2.2-28.1) (5)	19.8 (10.9-28.6) (16)	7.2 (1.54-12.9) (6)	16.0 (7.5-24.5) (12)
5-9 years	4.9 (0.0-10.5) (3)	8.0 (1.7, 14.3) (6)	18.8 (11.4-26.1) (21)	12.9 (6.7-19.1) (15)	19.0 (12.5,-25.5) (27)
10-14 years	8.9 (2.9-14.9) (8)	11.2 (4.9-17.6) (11)	14.2 (9.3-19.0) (29)	14.0 (9.3-18.7) (30)	30.9 (24.2-37.5) (58)
15-18 years	7.3 (1.4-12.3) (8)	7.4 (2.0-12.9) (7)	15.3 (10.2-20.4) (30)	14.8 (9.6-20.0) (27)	29.5 (22.8-36.2) (54)
Sex					
Female	8.7 (4.3-13.1) (14)	9.0 (4.5-13.6) (14)	17.3 (13.1-21.4) (56)	13.6 (9.9-17.3) (46)	27.0 (22.2-31.8) (90)
Male	7.1 (3.0-11.2) (11)	10.3 (5.3-15.4) (15)	14.8 (10.6-19.1) (40)	12.5 (8.4-16.5) (32)	23.9 (18.7-29.2) (61)
Race/Ethnicity					
White	9.0 (4.7-13.2) (16)	9.7 (5.0-14.5) (15)	10.7 (7.3-14.1) (35)	11.5 (8.0-15.1) (36)	17.9 (13.7-22.2) (57)
Black	5.5 (0.7-10.3) (5)	3.8 (0.0-9.1) (2)	17.1 (10.2-24.0) (20)	15.3 (9.5-21.2) (23)	28.4 (19.8-37.0) (31)
Hispanic	10.7 (0.0-22.9) (3)	14.1 (5.3-22.8) (9)	29.5 (20.1-38.8) (28)	20.8 (11.5-30.0) (16)	43.7 (33.0-54.3) (38)
Other	5.6 (0.0 -7.3) (1)	12.5 (0.0-26.8) (3)	27.3 (11.2-43.4) (9)	2.9 (0.0-8.9) (1)	35.7 (20.6-50.8) (15)
Total	7.9 (4.9-10.9) (25)	9.7 (6.3-13.0) (29)	16.2 (13.2-19.1) (96)	13.2 (10.5-15.9) (79)	25.8 (22.2-29.3) (152)

[a]Standardized positivity rates were calculated based on the 2019 U.S. Census Bureau Arkansas state population estimates (age ≤ 18).[27]

451   **Table 3. Age- and sex-adjusted association with SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity by time period.**

	Adjusted RR (95% CI)				
Characteristic	**Wave 1** (n = 316)	**Wave 2** (n = 300)	**Wave 3** (n = 594)	**Wave 4** (n = 600)	**Wave 5** (n = 592)
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021
Age category					
1-4 years	1.66 (0.55-5.02)	1.89 (0.67-5.35)	1.41 (0.82-2.43)	0.62 (0.26-1.45)	0.50 (0.28-0.90)
5-9 years	0.65 (0.18-2.41)	0.97 (0.34-2.74)	1.46 (0.88-2.41)	0.95 (0.52-1.75)	0.72 (0.47-1.09)
10-14 years	1.23 (0.46-3.29)	1.36 (0.54-3.43)	0.95 (0.59-1.53)	1.04 (0.64-1.68)	0.90 (0.66-1.24
15-18 years	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)
Sex					
Female	1.37 (0.61-3.06)	0.88 (0.44-1.75)	1.04 (0.71-1.52)	1.12 (0.73-1.73)	1.06 (0.80-1.40)
Male	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)
Race/Ethnicity					
Black	0.59 (0.23-1.54)	0.41 (0.09-1.83)	**1.71 (1.03-2.84)**	1.31 (0.80-2.14)	**1.59 (1.08-2.34)**
Hispanic	1.21 (0.37-3.93)	1.53 (0.70-3.37)	**2.82 (1.81-4.38)**	**1.76 (1.03-3.00)**	**2.37 (1.69-3.33)**
Other	0.54 (0.07-4.36)	1.25 (0.41-3.81)	**2.59 (1.36-4.92)**	0.25 (0.04-1.80)	**2.14 (1.35-3.40)**
White	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)[a]	1.0 (Ref)	1.0 (Ref)[a]

453   [a]Indicates *P* value < 0.0001 for race/ethnicity in the statistical model.

454   Statistically significant values are shown in bold text.

Supplemental table 1. Co-morbidities of sampled populations in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 29, 2021.

Characteristic	Number (%)[a]					
	Wave 1 (n = 316)	Wave 2 (n = 300)	Wave 3 (n = 594)	Wave 4 (n = 600)	Wave 5 (n = 590)	Overall (N = 2400)
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
Respiratory viral panel within 6 mo.	NR	34 (11.3)	35 (5.9)	25 (4.2)	NR	94 (6.3)
Asthma	12 (3.8)	31 (10.3)	68 (11.5)	62 (10.4)	66 (11.2)	239 (10.0)
Hypertension	16 (5.1)	16 (5.3)	25 (4.2)	34 (5.7)	20 (3.4)	111 (4.6)
Obesity	14 (4.4)	46 (15.3)	83 (14.0)	109 (18.3)	85 (14.4)	337 (14.1)
Diabetes	10 (3.2)	15 (5.0)	30 (5.1)	27 (4.5)	31 (5.3)	113 (4.7)
Autoimmune disease	NR	7 (2.3)	14 (2.4)	19 (3.2)	23 (3.9)	63 (3.0)

[a] Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included respiratory virus panel performed within 6 months (n = 316) and autoimmune disease (n = 316), Missing values in Wave 4 included respiratory virus panel performed within 6 months (n = 5), asthma (n = 5), hypertension (n = 5), obesity (n = 5), diabetes (n = 5), and autoimmune disease (n = 5). Missing values in Wave 5 included Respiratory viral panel within 6 months (n = 590).

NR = not reported

Supplemental table 2. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and demographic characteristics by time period.

Wave number	Characteristic[a]	Age category				Sex		Race/ethnicity				Metropolitan status	
		1-4 years	5-9 years	10-14 years	15-18 years	Female	Male	Black	Hispanic	Others	White	Urban	Rural
Wave 1 (n = 316)	No., (%)	6 (10.7)	3 (4.9)	8 (8.9)	8 (7.3)	14 (8.7)	11 (7.1)	5 (5.5)	3 (10.7)	1 (5.6)	16 (9.0)	NR	NR
	RR (95% CI)	1.46 (0.53-4.00)	0.67 (0.18-2.43)	1.21 (0.47-3.10)	1.0 (Ref)	1.23 (0.57, 2.62)	NC	0.61 (0.23-1.62)	1.19 (0.37-3.83)	0.62 (0.09-4.39)	1.0 (Ref)	NC	NC
	P-value[b]	0.690				0.600		0.706				NC	
Wave 2 (n = 300)	No., (%)	5 (15.2)	6 (8.0)	11 (11.2)	7 (7.5)	14 (9.0)	15 (10.3)	2 (3.8)	9 (14.1)	3 (12.5)	15 (9.7)	28 (11.2)	1 (2.0)
	RR (95% CI)	2.03 (0.69-5.97)	1.07 (0.38-3.06)	1.51 (0.61-3.72)	1.0 (Ref)	0.87 (0.44, 1.74)	1.0 (Ref)	0.39 (0.09-1.64)	1.44 (0.67-3.13)	1.28 (0.40-4.10)	1.0 (Ref)	5.47 (0.76-39.24)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.539				0.701		0.352				0.091	
Wave 3 (n = 594)	No., (%)	16 (19.8)	21 (18.8)	29 (14.2)	30 (15.3)	56 (17.3)	40 (14.8)	20 (17.1)	28 (29.5)	9 (27.3)	35 (10.7)	76 (16.6)	20 (14.7)
	RR (95% CI)	1.29 (0.75-2.23)	1.23 (0.74-2.03)	0.92 (0.58-1.48)	1.0 (Ref)	1.17 (0.80-1.69)	1.0 (Ref)	1.60 (0.96-2.65)	2.75 (1.77-4.28)	2.55 (1.34-4.83)	1.0 (Ref)	1.13 (0.72-1.78)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.560				0.417		**<0.0001**				0.602	
Wave 4 (n = 600)	No., (%)	6 (7.2)	15 (12.9)	30 (14.0)	27 (14.8)	46 (13.6)	32 (12.5)	23 (15.3)	16 (20.8)	1 (2.9)	36 (11.5)	55 (13.0)	23 (13.5)
	RR (95% CI)	0.49 (0.21-1.14)	0.87 (0.48-1.57)	0.95 (0.58-1.53)	1.0 (Ref)	1.09 (0.72-1.67)	1.0 (Ref)	1.33 (0.82-2.16)	1.80 (1.06-3.07)	0.25 (0.04-1.80)	1.0 (Ref)	0.96 (0.61-1.52)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.410				0.679		0.060				0.876	
Wave 5 (n = 590)	No., (%)	12 (16.0)	27 (19.0)	58 (30.9)	54 (29.5)	90 (27.0)	61 (23.9)	31 (28.4)	38 (43.7)	15 (35.7)	57 (17.9)	101 (23.4)	50 (31.9)
	RR (95% CI)	0.54 (0.31-0.95)	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	1.05 (0.77-1.43)	1.0 (Ref)	1.13 (0.85-1.50)	1.0 (Ref)	1.59 (1.09-2.32)	2.44 (1.74-3.41)	1.99 (1.25-3.18)	1.0 (Ref)	0.74 (0.55-0.98)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.018				0.395		**<0.0001**				0.035	

[a] Missing values from Supplemental table 1 were not included in the analysis.

[b] P-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

Statistically significant values are shown in bold text.

467 Supplemental table 3. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and comorbidities by time period.

Wave number	Characteristic[a]	Respiratory virus panel within 6 months		Asthma		Hypertension		Obesity		Diabetes		Autoimmune disease	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Wave 1 (n = 316)	No., (%)	NR	NR	4 (33.3)	21 (6.9)	0	25 (8.3)	1 (7.1)	24 (8.0)	3 (30.0)	22 (7.2)	NR	NR
	RR (95% CI)	ND	ND	4.83 (1.96-11.87)	1.0 (Ref)	ND	ND	0.90 (0.13-6.18)	1.0 (Ref)	4.17 (1.49-11.67)	1.0 (Ref)	ND	ND
	P-value[b]	ND		**0.003**		ND		0.914		**0.007**		ND	
Wave 2 (n = 300)	No., (%)	6 (17.7)	23 (8.7)	5 (16.1)	24 (8.9)	1 (6.3)	28 (9.9)	5 (10.9)	24 (9.5)	0 (0.0)	29 (10.2)	1 (14.3)	28 (9.6)
	RR (95% CI)	2.04 (0.89-4.65)	1.0 (Ref)	1.81 (0.74-4.40)	1.0 (Ref)	0.63 (0.09-4.37)	1.0 (Ref)	1.15 (0.46-2.86)	1.0 (Ref)	NC	1.0 (Ref)	1.49 (0.24-9.49)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.090		0.192		0.643		0.763		NC		0.670	
Wave 3 (n = 594)	No., (%)	7 (20.0)	89 (15.9)	6 (8.8)	90 (17.1)	6 (24.0)	90 (15.8)	15 (18.1)	81 (15.9)	5 (16.7)	91 (16.1)	2 (14.3)	94 (16.2)
	RR (95% CI)	1.26 (0.63-2.50)	1.0 (Ref)	0.52 (0.23-1.13)	1.0 (Ref)	1.52 (0.74-3.13)	1.0 (Ref)	1.14 (0.69-1.88)	1.0 (Ref)	1.03 (0.45-2.35)	1.0 (Ref)	0.88 (0.24-3.22)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.517		0.099		0.258		0.607		0.938		0.849	
Wave 4 (n = 600)	No., (%)	0 (0.0)	78 (13.7)	5 (8.1)	73 (13.7)	5 (14.7)	73 (13.0)	16 (14.7)	62 (12.8)	2 (7.4)	76 (13.4)	2 (10.5)	76 (13.2)
	RR (95% CI)	ND	ND	0.59 (0.25-1.40)	1.0 (Ref)	1.13 (0.49-2.61)	1.0 (Ref)	1.15 (0.69-1.91)	1.0 (Ref)	0.55 (0.14-2.14)	1.0 (Ref)	0.80 (0.21-3.01)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	ND		0.231		0.775		0.589		0.391		0.739	
Wave 5 (n = 590)	No., (%)	NR	NR	16 (24.2)	136 (26.0)	7 (35.0)	145 (25.4)	20 (23.5)	132 (26.1)	12 (38.7)	140 (25.0)	7 (30.4)	145 (25.6)
	RR (95% CI)	ND	ND	0.93 (0.60-1.47)	1.0 (Ref)	1.38 (0.74-2.54)	1.0 (Ref)	0.90 (0.60-1.36)	1.0 (Ref)	1.55 (0.97-2.46)	1.0 (Ref)	1.19 (0.63-2.24)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	ND		0.767		0.308		0.616		0.067		0.590	

469 [a] Missing values from Supplemental table 1 were not included in the analysis.

470 [b] P-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

471 Statistically significant values are shown in bold text.

472 NR = not reported; ND = not determined

Supplemental table 4. PCR test history and results from sampled populations in Arkansas during 5 periods of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing from April 2, 2020 to April 28, 2021.

Characteristic	Number (%)[a]					
	Wave 1 (n = 316)	Wave 2 (n = 300)	Wave 3 (n = 594)	Wave 4 (n = 600)	Wave 5 (n = 590)	Overall (N = 2400)
Collection dates	April 2 – May 6, 2020	June 6 – August 10, 2020	September 8 – October 17, 2020	November 7 – December 17, 2020	April 5 – April 28, 2021	April 2, 2020 – April 28, 2021
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test administered						
Yes	64 (20.3)	82 (27.3)	160 (26.9)	173 (29.1)	235 (39.8)	714 (29.8)
No	252 (79.7)	218 (72.7)	434 (73.1)	422 (70.9)	355 (60.2)	1681 (70.2)
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result						
Positive	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	6 (3.8)	11 (6.4)	20 (8.6)	38 (5.3)
Negative	64 (100)	81 (98.8)	154 (96.2)	162 (93.6)	214 (91.5)	675 (94.7)

[a] Percentages calculated from non-missing values for all periods. Missing values in Wave 1 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 252). Missing values in Wave 2 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 218). Missing values in Wave 3 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 434). Missing values in Wave 4 included SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test administered (n = 5) and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test result (n = 427). Missing values in Wave 5 included SARS-CoV-2 PCR test result (n = 356).

Supplemental table 5. Marginal association between SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity and SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing by time period.

RT-PCR testing by time period.

Wave number	Characteristic[a]	COVID-19 test administered		COVID-19 PCR result	
		Yes	No	Positive	Negative
Wave 1 (n = 316)	No., (%)	4 (6.3)	21 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	4 (6.3)
	RR (95% CI)	0.75 (0.27-2.11)	1.0 (Ref)	ND	ND
	P-value[b]	0.585		NA	
Wave 2 (n = 300)	No., (%)	11 (13.4)	18 (8.3)	1 (100)	10 (12.4)
	RR (95% CI)	1.62 (0.80-3.29)	1.0 (Ref)	8.10 (4.53-14.47)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.178		**<0.0001**	
Wave 3 (n = 594)	No., (%)	28 (17.5)	68 (15.7)	4 (66.7)	24 (15.6)
	RR (95% CI)	1.12 (0.75-1.67	1.0 (Ref)	4.28 (2.18-8.40)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.589		**<0.0001**	
Wave 4 (n = 600)	No., (%)	21 (12.1)	57 (13.5)	8 (72.7)	13 (8.0)
	RR (95% CI)	0.90 (0.56-1.44)	1.0 (Ref)	9.06 (4.80-17.10)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.655		**<0.0001**	
Wave 5 (n = 590)	No., (%)	57 (24.3)	95 (26.8)	17 (85.0)	40 (18.7)
	RR (95% CI)	0.91 (0.68-1.20)	1.0 (Ref)	4.55 (3.25-6.35)	1.0 (Ref)
	P-value[b]	0.498		**<0.0001**	

[a] Missing values from Table 1 were not included in the analysis.

[b] *P*-value indicates whether the positivity differs between the category of a respective variable.

Statistically significant values are shown in bold text.

ND = not determined

Figure 2