

24 SARS-CoV-2 data on average. However, significant variability between the impact of
25 population normalisation at different sites, which is not evident from previous WBE studies
26 focussed on a single site, is also revealed. Critically, it is demonstrated that while the impact
27 of normalisation on SARS-CoV-2 trends is small on average, it is not reasonable to conclude
28 that it is always insignificant. When averaged across many sites, normalisation strengthens
29 the correlation between wastewater SARS-CoV-2 data and indicators of prevalence;
30 however, confidence in the improvement is low. Lastly, it is noted that most data were
31 collected during periods of national lockdown and/or local restrictions, and thus the impacts
32 and benefits of population normalisation are expected to be higher when normal travel habits
33 resume.

34 Keywords: COVID-19, normalisation, SARS-CoV-2, wastewater-based epidemiology

35 **INTRODUCTION**

36 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been widely recognised as a valuable tool for
37 monitoring the circulation of COVID-19 (e.g. European Commission 2020, Ahmed et al.
38 2020, Wade et al. 2020 , Prado et al. 2021, Westhaus et al. 2021), and is currently being used
39 in at least 54 countries worldwide (University of California 2021). The concentration, or load,
40 of RNA fragments from the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
41 have been shown to correlate well with clinical case data (Hoffmann et al. 2021, Huisman et
42 al. 2021), and can complement clinical surveillance (Medema et al. 2020). WBE can also
43 provide a useful early warning of emergence or re-emergence of the disease, and timely
44 insights for public health interventions, with previous studies having shown that SARS-CoV-
45 2 can be detected in wastewater several days before cases are reported (Medema et al. 2020).
46 Estimation of population size is a major source of uncertainty in WBE and multiple studies
47 have highlighted the importance of accounting for fluctuations (e.g. due to commuters,

48 students and tourists) (Daughton 2012, Béen et al 2014, Chen et al. 2014, O'Brien et al. 2014,
49 Hou et al. 2021, Wade et al. 2021). Census data, for example, may provide population
50 estimates, but this is infrequently updated, only accounts for the permanent residential
51 population (Lai et al. 2011), and does not address transient changes (Daughton 2012). The
52 design capacity of sewage treatment works (STWs) may also be used to estimate population
53 size, but this too is not usually reflective of the real-time load in the system (Hou et al. 2021).
54 Instead, it is preferable to use a dynamic estimate of the actual population in the catchment,
55 for example based on by-products of human metabolism in wastewater (Zuccaro et al. 2008).
56 To date, population normalisation using dynamic population estimates has been investigated
57 for WBE applications such as illicit drug monitoring (Béen et al. 2014) and monitoring of
58 pharmaceutical use (Zhang et al. 2019). However, in the case of COVID-19 monitoring,
59 wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration (i.e. not a population-normalised load per
60 capita value) is still typically reported and considered as an indicator of prevalence (e.g.
61 Karthikeyan et al. 2021, Saththasivam et al. 2021, Prado et al. 2021). This study, therefore,
62 aims to investigate whether population normalisation i) significantly alters the SARS-CoV-2
63 trends revealed by WBE, and ii) is beneficial and/or necessary to provide an understanding of
64 prevalence from wastewater SARS-CoV-2 concentrations. This will facilitate better-informed
65 interpretation of wastewater SARS-CoV-2 data and provide insights into whether changes in
66 concentration correspond to a change in prevalence or are the result of fluctuations in
67 population. The knowledge provided will also be of increasing use moving forward, as
68 restrictions on movement are likely to be eased or lifted, and population mobility increases.
69 The availability of alternative indicators of SARS-CoV-2 prevalence for benchmarking of the
70 wastewater-based insights makes this study particularly interesting, as similar 'gold standard'
71 data has not been available for previous studies that have investigated population
72 normalisation for WBE in the context of applications such as illicit drug-use monitoring.

73 Furthermore, due to the widespread implementation of WBE for SARS-CoV-2 monitoring in
74 England, this study is able to analyse data from 394 different sewerage network sites of
75 various types (STW, in-network and near-to-source), thus providing insights into variability
76 between sites, which past studies have not addressed.

77 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

78 **Wastewater sampling and analysis**

79 Analysis is based on a total of 41,968 wastewater samples, collected from 176 STWs, 202 in-
80 network sites and 16 near-source sites during the period 22nd July 2020 to 25th June 2021;
81 these represent all sites covered under the Environmental Monitoring for Health Protection
82 (EMHP) programme in England having a minimum of 14 samples measuring SARS-CoV-2
83 above the limit of quantification (LOQ) and associated ammoniacal nitrogen (NH₃-N) and
84 orthophosphate (PO₄³⁻) data available. Samples were collected four to seven days per week,
85 either as a grab sample (80%) or composite sample (20%), and transported to laboratories at 4
86 °C. Grab samples were taken once a day during peak flow, composite samples collected over
87 a 24-hour period. Concentrations of the N gene from the SARS-CoV-2 virus in each sample
88 were quantified using Reverse Transcriptase qPCR (RT-qPCR). Where concentrations are
89 below the practical limit of detection (LOD) or limit of quantification (LOQ), values equal to
90 half the corresponding limit (variable between laboratories) are used for visualisation of
91 trends in the following analysis but are omitted from evaluation of the impacts of
92 normalisation and any calculation of correlation coefficients due to their uncertainty.

93 NH₃-N and PO₄³⁻ concentrations were determined using colorimetric assays; samples with
94 NH₃-N or PO₄³⁻ concentrations reported as <LOD, <LOQ or zero (0.92% of total) are omitted
95 from analyses. Samples with an NH₃-N concentration below 12 mg/l (lower bound of the

96 typical range of NH₃-N concentrations for wastewater (Henze et al. 2001)) are categorised as
97 dilute.

98 Flow rates were monitored at a subset of the sites (14 STWs and 4 near-to-source) at two
99 minute intervals, and resampled to provide daily values. Further operational and technical
100 details are available in Wade et al. (2020), Hoffman et al. (2021) and Jones et al. (2020).

101 **Mean population estimates**

102 The mean population served by sampling sites is estimated based on Office for National
103 Statistics (ONS) mid-2019 population estimates (Office for National Statistics, 2020),
104 aggregated at lower-layer super output area (LSOA) level and projected onto the
105 corresponding catchment. There is sufficient information available to estimate the mean
106 population for 96.6% of STWs and 97.5% of network sites, but no near-to-source sites.

107 **Population normalisation**

108 NH₃-N and PO₄³⁻ concentrations in the wastewater are used for the population normalisation,
109 since such traditional water quality parameters are routinely monitored (Lai et al. 2011) and
110 have been widely used to estimate real-time population size (e.g. Rico et al. 2017, Xiao et al.
111 2019, Béen et al 2014). They are also less time consuming and expensive to monitor, and
112 potentially subject to less uncertainty than other biomarkers that may be present at lower
113 concentrations (Xiao et al. 2019). Two approaches are considered, depending on data
114 availability, as outlined below: 1) using dynamic population estimates based on NH₃-N and
115 PO₄³⁻; and 2) normalising using only the NH₃-N and PO₄³⁻ concentrations.

116 ***Using dynamic population estimates***

117 For each site with daily flow rate data (Q_d) and a mean population estimate (\bar{P}) available, the
118 daily discharge per capita of each water quality parameter (x) is estimated using Eq. 1, based
119 on samples collected during periods of national lockdown (5th November to 2nd December

120 2020 and 5th January to 8th March 2021) (when population variability is expected to be
121 suppressed):

$$x_b = \frac{(\overline{X_{b,d}Q_d})}{\overline{P}} \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

122 Where b indicates the water quality parameter (NH₃-N or PO₄³⁻), X the concentration and d
123 the day.

124 Dynamic population estimates (P) for each site on day d are then obtained using Eq. 2
125 (yielding one estimate based on NH₃-N and another on PO₄³⁻), and the SARS-CoV-2 gene
126 copies (gc) per capita per day (L) using Eq. 3 (or directly with Eq. 4). Uncertainty in the P_d
127 and L_d estimates resulting from uncertainty in x_b is quantified based on the standard deviation
128 of the daily discharge per capita of the water quality parameter during the lockdown period.

$$P_d = \frac{X_{b,d}Q_d}{x_b} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$$L_d = \frac{S_dQ_d}{P_d} \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

$$L_d = \frac{S_dx_b}{X_{b,d}} \quad \text{Eq. 4}$$

129 Where S is the SARS-CoV-2 concentration (gc/l).

130 ***Using water quality parameter concentrations***

131 For sites without flow data and an ONS population estimate, there is insufficient information
132 to calculate population-normalised SARS-CoV-2 loads by the above approach, as x cannot be
133 calculated. Therefore, the SARS-CoV-2 gc per unit of water quality parameter ($S_d/X_{b,d}$) is
134 calculated for each sample instead. Eq. 4 shows that, if x_b is assumed to be constant, the
135 $S_d/X_{b,d}$ values will be directly proportional to the population-normalised values and, thus,
136 reveal the same trends. This approach has wider applicability as its data requirements are

137 lower; however, as x is site-specific, the values it provides are not comparable between sites.

138 Furthermore, uncertainty resulting from any variability in x at a given site cannot be

139 quantified.

140 **Clinical case and prevalence indicators**

141 Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics are evaluated against three indicators of clinical cases and

142 prevalence at a site level:

143 1. Positivity rates from the ONS Coronavirus Infection Survey (CIS) (Office for

144 National Statistics 2021) (estimate of the percentage of the population testing

145 positive). These are available for 46 STW sites until 8th February 2021 (prevalence

146 was too low beyond this point to provide sub-regional estimates).

147 2. The test positivity rate, based on Pillar 1 and 2 cases reported by NHS Test and

148 Trace at LSOA level, projected onto wastewater sampling catchments and

149 aggregated by specimen date. These are available for 170 STW and 197 in-network

150 sites. Pillar 1 data captures swab testing in Public Health England labs and NHS

151 hospitals, and health and care workers; Pillar 2 captures swab testing for the wider

152 population (Department of Health & Social Care 2020).

153 3. Total number of Pillar 1 and 2 cases, calculated as above. This is converted to cases

154 per 100,000 using mean population estimates when required to compare sites on a

155 like-for-like basis.

156 Zero values for each metric are omitted from analyses when the corresponding sample is not

157 already omitted due to the SARS-CoV-2 concentration being below the LOQ (0% of

158 positivity rates from the CIS and 6.38% of Pillar 1 and 2 positivity rate and case numbers), as

159 these cannot be captured in a log model.


160 It is noted that none of the reference metrics are expected to provide a perfect measure of
161 prevalence in the upstream population; there remains uncertainty and, thus, complete
162 agreement with wastewater data is not a realistic expectation.

163 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

164 **Normalisation using dynamic population estimates**

165 *Site-specific water quality parameter loads*

166 The mean daily per capita loads of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ($x_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$) and PO_4^{3-} ($x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$) for 12 STW sites with
167 flow data and an ONS population estimate are shown in Figure 1; error bars indicate the
168 standard deviation. The mean values vary significantly between sites, with $x_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$ values in
169 the range 4,388 - 36,704 mg/d/capita, and $x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ 528 - 3,172 mg/d/capita. All $x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ estimates
170 are within the typical range reported in the literature (400 – 4,500 mg/d/capita (Metcalf &
171 Eddy 2013)), but $x_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$ values are above the expected range (3,000 – 12,000 mg/d/capita
172 (Metcalf & Eddy 2013)) in 58% of sites. This may be attributed partly to unusually high
173 $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations (median above the expected range (Metcalf & Eddy 2013) in 25% of
174 sites), but also to the use of grab sampling (and thus a potentially inaccurate estimate of daily
175 load) at some sites. The degree of variation between sites also suggests that the mean
176 population estimates used in the calculation of $x_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$ and $x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ may be inaccurate,
177 potentially due to uncertainty in the mapping of STW catchments to LSOAs. Industrial
178 discharges may also influence these parameters (Lai et al. 2011, Castiglioni et al. 2012) and
179 contribute to variability between sites, and the high $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations suggest significant
180 industrial contribution.



181

182 *Figure 1. Estimated daily per capita water quality parameter loads, based on samples*
 183 *collected during periods of national lockdown. Only available for sites with flow data and an*
 184 *ONS population estimate. N indicates the number of samples upon which the estimate is*
 185 *based.*

186 Standard deviation also varies considerably between sites; in the best case, it represents only
 187 2.0% of the mean (for $x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ at STW38), suggesting a high degree of certainty in population
 188 estimates at this site. In the worst case, it represents 85.1% of the mean (for $x_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ at STW28),
 189 suggesting population estimates at this site will be subject to a very high degree of
 190 uncertainty. Where standard deviation is high, this indicates that either population was not
 191 constant during the lockdown periods or that the assumption of constant $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and PO_4^{3-}
 192 discharge per capita is not valid for this site (for example, due to variable contributions from
 193 industry and surface runoff).

194 These results confirm that, although SARS-CoV-2 concentrations may be normalised using
 195 only the water quality parameter concentrations, these values will not be directly comparable
 196 between sites due to the site-specific nature of relationships between these parameters and
 197 population.


198 ***SARS-CoV-2 gc per day per capita***

199 Separate SARS-CoV-2 gc per day per capita estimates are generated using i) X_{NH3-N} and x_{NH3-N} ,
200 N , and ii) X_{PO43^-} and x_{PO43^-} . Agreement between the estimates is strong at all 12 sites, with a
201 minimum Pearson's correlation coefficient (based on \log_{10} values) of $r = 0.959$, and a mean
202 of $r = 0.992$. Estimates for all sites are provided in the Supplementary Information (SI),
203 Figure S1, and correlation coefficients in Table S1.

204 ***Impact on trends identified***

205 Estimated SARS-CoV-2 gc per day per capita values are not directly proportional to the
206 SARS-CoV-2 concentrations at any site, indicating that population normalisation changes the
207 SARS-CoV-2 trends identified in WBE. However, for the 12 sites analysed, correlation
208 between the metrics is very strong (mean $r = 0.981$), suggesting that the impact of population
209 normalisation is small. Figure 5a shows the trends in SARS-CoV-2 concentration and
210 population-normalised metrics at the site where they exhibit a weaker correlation (i.e. where
211 population normalisation has a greater impact). While the relative magnitudes of some peaks
212 are altered, the same broad trends are identifiable. Figures 5b and 5c illustrate the extent to
213 which the concentration and population-normalised metrics deviate from a perfect linear
214 relationship. These show that population-normalisation increases the SARS-CoV-2 value the
215 most in samples that are affected by dilution, suggesting that the impact of normalisation may
216 be partially attributed to variable levels of dilution rather than varying population; however,
217 the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 concentration remains imperfect even if dilute samples
218 are omitted from the regression analyses.

219 Results for all 12 sites are summarised in the SI, Table S2.



220

221 *Figure 2. Impact of population normalisation on SARS-CoV-2 trends identified in wastewater*
 222 *at an example site: a) SARS-CoV-2 metrics as a time series, showing trends; b) correlation*
 223 *between SARS-CoV-2 gc/l and SARS-CoV-2 gc/d/capita estimated using $X_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$ and $X_{\text{NH}_3\text{-N}}$;*
 224 *and c) correlation between SARS-CoV-2 gc/l and SARS-CoV-2 gc/d/capita estimated using*
 225 *$X_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$ and $X_{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}$. Squares indicate dilute samples; crosses indicate <LOQ samples*
 226 *(including <LOD); vertical lines indicate standard deviation of estimate; grey shading*
 227 *indicates period under full national lockdown.*

228 ***Impact on correlation with indicators of prevalence***

229 Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 concentration exhibits a moderate correlation with Pillar 1 and 2
 230 positivity and with Pillar 1 and 2 case rates when each of the 12 sites is considered
 231 independently (mean correlation coefficients $r = 0.640$ and $r = 0.687$ respectively, based on
 232 log₁₀ values). Note that these correlation coefficients are based on time-synchronised data and


233 do not account for any potential lead in the wastewater signals, and are thus likely to be an
234 underestimate.

235 In 92% of sites, this correlation is strengthened when using population-normalised
236 wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics instead (mean correlation coefficients increased to $r =$
237 0.667 and $r = 0.712$ if normalising using $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$; $r = 0.668$ and $r = 0.712$ if normalising using
238 PO_4^{3-}), suggesting that population normalisation is beneficial if using wastewater data to
239 provide a better understanding of SARS-CoV-2 prevalence. However, although the
240 improvement is relatively consistent across sites, 95% confidence intervals for the r values
241 are wider than the increase in r in all cases, indicating that confidence in the improvements is
242 low. The relatively minor impact of population normalisation may be attributed to most of the
243 data having been collected during periods of national lockdown and/or local restrictions, and
244 thus suppressed population variability. Individual correlation coefficients for each site are
245 provided in the SI, Table S3 and Table S4.

246 Population normalisation may also improve the comparability of SARS-CoV-2 data from
247 different sites, since it enables loads to be expressed as a per capita value; therefore, a
248 comparison of the correlation between prevalence-related metrics and different wastewater
249 SARS-CoV-2 metrics across all sites simultaneously is provided in Figure 3. Again, this
250 shows that population normalisation based on either $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ or PO_4^{3-} strengthens the
251 correlation between prevalence indicators (Pillar 1 and 2 positivity rate or cases per 100,000)
252 and wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics, although confidence in this increase is low since the
253 95% confidence intervals for the r values with and without normalisation overlap.

254 In the majority of cases, the correlations with population-normalised wastewater metrics
255 identified in Figure 3 are weaker than when sites are analysed individually (true for 100% of
256 sites when evaluating correlations with case numbers, and for 83 - 92% of sites when

257 evaluating correlations with positivity rate). This suggests that population-normalisation
258 alone is insufficient to enable direct inter-site comparison of wastewater SARS-CoV-2 levels,
259 and that other site-specific characteristics to which concentrations are sensitive (such as
260 hydraulic retention time and sampling technique (Li et al. 2021, Wade et al. 2021)) must be
261 accounted for. Potential inaccuracies in estimation of the mean population, as discussed
262 above, may also contribute to the ineffectiveness of population-normalisation for improving
263 inter-site comparisons.



264
265 *Figure 3. Correlation between prevalence indicators and wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics*
266 *across all sites with enough data to estimate daily SARS-CoV-2 loads per capita. Different*
267 *colours represent different sites.*

268 **Normalisation using water quality parameter concentrations only**

269 ***SARS-CoV-2 gc per unit of water quality parameter***

270 Normalisation using NH₃-N and PO₄³⁻ concentrations provides two separate estimates for
271 SARS-CoV-2 gc per unit of water quality parameter, both of which are expected to be
272 directly proportional to SARS-CoV-2 gc per day per capita, and thus directly proportional to


273 each other. Correlation between SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg NH₃-N and gc/mg PO₄³⁻ varies between
274 the 394 sites analysed, with a maximum correlation coefficient (based on log₁₀ values) of $r =$
275 0.999, minimum of $r = 0.592$ and mean of $r = 0.956$. On average, the correlation is weakest at
276 near-to-source sites (mean $r = 0.929$, $N = 16$) and similarly strong at STW and network sites
277 (mean $r = 0.956$, $N = 176$ and mean $r = 0.958$, $N = 202$ respectively). This suggests weaker
278 confidence in the results of normalisation for near-to-source sites; however, this mean is
279 based on only a small number of sites and still indicates a very strong correlation on average.
280 The distribution of correlation coefficients at all sites is provided in the SI, Figure S2.

281 ***Impact on trends identified***

282 Analysis of the impact of normalisation using water quality parameter concentrations on the
283 trends identified with WBE provides insights into the impact of population normalisation
284 across a larger number of sites (since population-normalised values are directly proportional
285 to those normalised with NH₃-N and PO₄³⁻ concentrations only), including different site
286 types. Figure 4 shows how the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 concentration (gc/l) with a)
287 SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg NH₃-N, and b) SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg PO₄³⁻ (an indicator of the extent to
288 which normalisation alters the SARS-CoV-2 trends) varies between the 394 sites. Across all
289 sites, the average correlation is high ($r = 0.950$ if normalising with NH₃-N, $r = 0.934$ with
290 PO₄³⁻), again suggesting that normalisation has little impact on trends. However, there is
291 considerable variability between sites, with 5% of sites having a correlation coefficient below
292 0.8 based on normalisation with NH₃-N and/or PO₄³⁻; therefore, it cannot be concluded more
293 generally that the impact of population normalisation is negligible.

294 Figure 4 also reveals differences in the effects of normalisation between site type. Sites with
295 the lowest correlation coefficients (i.e. where the impacts of population normalisation are
296 greatest) are all either in-network or STW sites. This is somewhat counterintuitive since


297 greater variability in population may be expected at near-to-source sites; however, it is also
298 noted that only 16 near-to-source sites are included in the analysis, compared with 176 STW
299 and 202 in-network, and therefore confidence in their representativeness of that site type
300 more widely is lower. Figure 4 also shows that correlation coefficients are typically greater
301 when dilute samples are omitted from the analysis, suggesting that the normalisation is
302 addressing variable levels of dilution as well as population.



303
304 *Figure 4. Distribution of Pearson correlation coefficients across all sites for correlation*
305 *between SARS-CoV-2 concentration (gc/l) and normalised metrics: a) SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg*
306 *NH₃-N, and b) SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg PO₄³⁻. Samples with SARS-CoV-2 concentrations below*
307 *LOQ are omitted from calculation of correlation coefficients.*

308 To illustrate the impact of normalisation using water quality parameters, Figure 5 provides
309 the results for an example site with a (relatively) low correlation between SARS-CoV-2
310 concentration and SARS-CoV-2 per unit of NH₃-N or PO₄³⁻. Relatively high levels of SARS-
311 CoV-2 mean that changes in the trends are of particular interest here. Figure 5a shows that
312 normalisation reduces the relative magnitude of several peaks with high SARS-CoV-2
313 concentrations; the sample collected on 1st April ('A' in Figure 5a), for example, has the 9th
314 highest concentration recorded during the monitoring period, but this ranks only 70th when
315 normalised with NH₃-N, or 69th when normalised with PO₄³⁻. This is significant as, based on

316 concentrations, this sample may suggest concerning levels of COVID-19 in the upstream
 317 population, whereas once normalised it appears less exceptional. Conversely, there are also
 318 occasions where normalisation increases the relative significance of SARS-CoV-2 detection
 319 (e.g. 17th January, ‘B’ in Figure 5a) and may, therefore, reveal high SARS-CoV-2 loads that
 320 would be obscured if relying on concentrations alone.




321
 322 *Figure 5. Example of the impact of normalisation using NH₃-N or PO₄³⁻ on SARS-CoV-2*
 323 *trends identified in wastewater: a) All results as a time series, showing trends; b) correlation*
 324 *between SARS-CoV-2 gc/l and SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg NH₃-N; and c) correlation between SARS-*
 325 *CoV-2 gc/l and SARS-CoV-2 gc/mg PO₄³⁻. Squares indicate dilute samples; crosses indicate*
 326 *<LOQ samples (including <LOD); grey shading indicates period under full national*
 327 *lockdown.*

328 Figure 5b and Figure 5c show the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 concentration and
329 normalised metrics. Notably, they show that omitting samples affected by dilution has
330 negligible impact on the lines of best fit or the strength of the correlations. This indicates that
331 alteration in the SARS-CoV-2 trends provided by normalisation cannot be attributed (solely)
332 to the requirement for flow normalisation to account for dilution effects, and that the impacts
333 of population change are important.

334 ***Impact on correlation with indicators of prevalence***

335 The change in correlation between prevalence and wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics resulting
336 from the use of gc/mg NH₃-N or PO₄³⁻ instead of gc/l at each site individually is shown in
337 Figure 6. A summary of the mean changes, including a breakdown by site type, is provided in
338 Table 1. These correlation coefficients are identical to those that are calculated using
339 population-normalised values, given $L_d \propto S_d/X_{b,d}$, and thus provide insights into the benefits
340 (or otherwise) of population normalisation.



341

342 *Figure 6. Comparison of correlation between prevalence indicators and wastewater SARS-*
 343 *CoV-2 concentration and correlation between prevalence indicators and normalised*
 344 *wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics. Pearson correlation coefficients (r values) are calculated*
 345 *using log₁₀ prevalence and wastewater metrics. Percentages indicate proportion of sites*
 346 *above/below y = x line.*

347 Table 1 shows that on average, across all sites, correlation with all three indicators of
 348 prevalence is improved by normalising the wastewater SARS-CoV-2 using either NH₃-N or
 349 PO₄³⁻. However, as for population normalisation, increases in the mean correlation
 350 coefficients are small (maximum 0.077), of low confidence (95% confidence intervals
 351 overlap in all cases), and are statistically significant (based on a Mann-Whitney U test with
 352 significance level $\alpha = 0.05$) only for correlation with the CIS positivity rate. Figure 6 also
 353 shows that the benefits are not universal for either STW or in-network sites, and there is no
 354 indication that the sites with the weakest correlations (i.e. where improvement is most
 355 needed) are improved more consistently or more significantly.

356 The wastewater SARS-CoV-2 concentrations are most strongly correlated with the CIS
357 positivity estimate (mean $r = 0.564$, compared with $r = 0.196$ and $r = 0.254$ for the Pillar 1
358 and 2 positivity and cases respectively), and the improvement provided by normalisation is
359 most consistent here too, with the correlation strengthened for at least 95% of sites
360 (depending on the water quality parameter selected). However, the impacts of normalisation
361 shown in Table 1 are not directly comparable between prevalence indicators, as results
362 related to correlation with CIS data are based on a smaller sample of the sites than those
363 related Pillar 1 and 2 data. Results based on only the 44 sites with ONS data (SI, Table S5)
364 show a stronger correlation with metrics based on Pillar 1 and 2 data, but still weaker (on
365 average) than with the CIS positivity estimate. Normalisation continues to increase the mean
366 correlation coefficients.

367 On average, correlations shown here between wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics and
368 prevalence indicators are lower than those calculated for the 12 sites to which population
369 normalisation was applied. For all metrics except the CIS positivity estimate (which was not
370 available for sites with population normalisation), this difference is statistically significant
371 (based on $\alpha = 0.05$), indicating that the 12 sites used for population normalisation are not
372 representative of wastewater sampling sites more widely and that conclusions drawn from
373 these cannot be assumed to be applicable to other sites. However, for both the full and
374 reduced sets of sites, normalisation is shown, on average, to strengthen the correlation
375 between prevalence metrics and wastewater metrics. In both cases, it is also found that the
376 relative increase in the mean correlation coefficients provided by normalisation is less than
377 that provided by using Pillar 1 and 2 cases instead of positivity, thus highlighting the
378 importance of the choice of prevalence indicator.

379 *Table 1. Summary of the impact of using population normalised wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metrics instead of SARS-CoV-2 concentration on*
 380 *correlation with prevalence indicators for each site. Pearson correlation coefficients are calculated using log₁₀ prevalence and wastewater*
 381 *metrics.*

Reference indicator of prevalence	Site type	Number of sites with data	Correlation between prevalence indicator and SARS-CoV-2 gc/l			Proposed wastewater SARS-CoV-2 metric	Correlation between prevalence indicator and proposed wastewater metric			Percentage of sites with increased correlation	Change in correlation coefficient			Significant difference ($\alpha = 0.05$)
			Mean	Min	Max		Mean	Min	Max		Mean	Min	Max	
CIS positivity rate	Sewage treatment works	44	0.564	0.133	0.841	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.637	0.229	0.867	100.0	0.073	0.013	0.198	✓
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.641	0.242	0.861	97.7	0.077	-0.002	0.210	✓
Pillar 1 and 2 positivity rate	Network	196	0.192	-0.945	0.779	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.227	-0.982	0.903	72.4	0.035	-0.353	0.313	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.218	-0.920	0.714	66.3	0.026	-0.449	0.306	✗
	Sewage treatment works	170	0.201	-0.640	0.719	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.207	-0.703	0.747	61.2	0.006	-0.574	0.335	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.222	-0.708	0.746	62.9	0.021	-0.286	0.375	✗
	Any	366	0.196	-0.945	0.779	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.218	-0.982	0.903	67.2	0.021	-0.574	0.335	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.220	-0.920	0.746	64.8	0.023	-0.449	0.375	✗
Pillar 1 and 2 total cases	Network	194	0.267	-0.564	0.791	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.292	-0.738	0.769	67.5	0.026	-0.253	0.448	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.275	-0.678	0.775	59.8	0.008	-0.485	0.302	✗
	Sewage treatment works	170	0.240	-0.542	0.768	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.251	-0.543	0.791	68.8	0.011	-0.580	0.384	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.238	-0.577	0.794	55.9	-0.002	-0.382	0.317	✗
	Any	364	0.254	-0.564	0.791	gc/mg NH ₃ -N	0.273	-0.738	0.791	68.1	0.019	-0.580	0.448	✗
						gc/mg PO ₄ ³⁻	0.258	-0.678	0.794	58.0	0.003	-0.485	0.317	✗

382

383 **Implications**

384 This study has shown that SARS-CoV-2 data can be normalised using $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ or PO_4^{3-}
385 concentrations to account for population, and both provide strongly correlated results. If a
386 site-specific daily per capita load of either $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and PO_4^{3-} is known or can be calculated,
387 then per capita SARS-CoV-2 loads can be calculated. However, although the results illustrate
388 that the relationship between water quality parameter load and population size is highly site-
389 specific, they also show that normalisation based on this alone is insufficient to enable direct
390 comparison of SARS-CoV-2 loads between sites. Alternatively, SARS-CoV-2 concentrations
391 can be normalised without knowledge of these site-specific water quality parameter loads
392 and, whilst this does not provide per capita values, it does provide the same impact on trends
393 and correlation with prevalence indicators. This approach also has the benefit of lower data
394 requirements, facilitating wider application, but does not enable quantification of uncertainty
395 in the normalised SARS-CoV-2 value resulting from uncertainty in the daily water quality
396 parameter load per capita.

397 Normalisation of wastewater SARS-CoV-2 data with $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ or PO_4^{3-} is shown, on average,
398 to have little impact on the overall trends. This suggests that the significance of fluctuations
399 in the upstream population size is typically negligible in comparison with that of variability in
400 the total SARS-CoV-2 loads, which matches previous observations regarding the impact of
401 population change in WBE for illicit drug monitoring (Béén et al. 2014). However, this study
402 also reveals significant variability between the impact of population normalisation at different
403 sites, which is not evident from previous WBE studies that focus on a single site. Critically,
404 this research demonstrates that while the impact of normalisation on SARS-CoV-2 trends is
405 small on average, it is not reasonable to conclude that it is always insignificant.

406 When averaged across a large number of sites, normalisation using either population
407 estimates or water quality parameter concentrations strengthens the correlation between

408 wastewater SARS-CoV-2 data and reference indicators of prevalence. However, confidence
409 in this improvement is low and, as with the impact on trends, there is significant variability in
410 the benefit (or otherwise) between sites.

411 Lastly, it is noted most of the data used in this study was collected during periods of national
412 lockdown and/or local restrictions, and thus movement of people is expected to have been
413 significantly lower than usual. Variations in population size, and thus the impacts and
414 benefits of population normalisation, are expected to increase when normal travel habits
415 resume.

416 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

417 The sampling, testing and data analysis of wastewater in England is funded by the United
418 Kingdom Government (Department of Health and Social Care).

419 **DISCLAIMER**

420 The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the
421 views or policies of the Department of Health and Social Care.

422 **REFERENCES**

423 Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J., Choi, P., Kitajima, M.,
424 Simpson, S., Li, J., Tschärke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W., Zaugg, J., Dierrens, L.,
425 Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K. and Mueller, J. 2020. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2
426 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of
427 COVID-19 in the community. *Science of the Total Environment* 728, 138764.

428 Béen, F., Rossi, L., Ort, C., Rudaz, S., Delemont, O. and Esseiva, P. 2014. Population
429 Normalization with Ammonium in Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: Application to Illicit
430 Drug Monitoring. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(14), 8162-8169.

431 Castiglioni, S., Bijlsma, L., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Hernández, F., Reid, M., Ort, C., Thomas,
432 K., van Nuijjs, A., Voogt, P. and Zuccato, E. 2013. Evaluation of Uncertainties Associated
433 with the Determination of Community Drug Use through the Measurement of Sewage Drug
434 Biomarkers. *Environmental Science & Technology* 77(3), 1452-1460.

435 Chen, C., Kostakis, C., Gerber, J., Tschärke, B., Irvine, R. and White, J. 2014. Towards
436 finding a population biomarker for wastewater epidemiology studies. *Science of the Total
437 Environment* 487, 621-628.

438 Daughton, C.G. 2012. Real-time estimation of small-area populations with human
439 biomarkers in sewage. *Science of the Total Environment* 414, 6-21.

440 Department of Health & Social Care. 2020. COVID-19 testing data: methodology note.
441 Available at: [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-testing-
442 data-methodology/covid-19-testing-data-methodology-note](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-testing-data-methodology/covid-19-testing-data-methodology-note). Accessed 22 July 2021.

443 European Commission. 2020 Building a European Health Union: Reinforcing the EU's
444 resilience for cross-border health threats, –Brussels, 11.11.2020 COM(2020) 724.

445 Henze, M., Harremoës, P., Jansen, J. and Arvin, E. 2001. *Wastewater Treatment: Biological
446 and Chemical Processes*. 3rd edition, Springer, Berlin.

447 Hoffmann, T., McIntyre-Nolan, S., Bunce, J., Grimsley, J., Hart, A., Lo Jacamo, A., Morvan,
448 M., Robins, K., Wade, M., Watts, G., Engeli, A. and Henderson, G. 2021. Current
449 environmental monitoring cannot constrain the effect of vaccines on SARS-CoV-2
450 transmission: Report for SAGE 08/04/2021.

451 Hou, C., Chu, T., Chen, M., Hua, Z., Xu, P., Xu, H., Wang, Y., Liao, J. and Di, B. 2021.
452 Application of multi-parameter population model based on endogenous population

453 biomarkers and flow volume in wastewater epidemiology. *Science of the Total Environment*
454 759, 143480.

455 Huisman, J., Scire, J., Caduff, L., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Kull, A.,
456 Scheidegger, A., Stachler, E., Boehm, A., Hughes, B., Knudson, A., Topol, A., Wigginton,
457 K., Wolfe, M., Kohn, T., Ort, C., Stadler, T. and Julian, T. 2021. Wastewater-based
458 estimation of the effective reproductive number of SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv. doi:
459 10.1101/2021.04.29.21255961.

460 Karthikeyan, S., Ronquillo, N., Belda-Ferre, P., Alvarado, D., Javidi, T., Longhurst, C.A. and
461 Knight, R. 2021. High-Throughput Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 Detection Enables
462 Forecasting of Community Infection Dynamics in San Diego County. *Msystems* 6(2).

463
464 Lai, F., Ort, C., Gartner, C., Carter, S., Prichard, J., Kirkbride, P., Bruno, R., Hall, W.,
465 Eaglesham, G., Mueller, J. 2011. Refining the estimation of illicit drug consumptions from
466 wastewater analysis: Co-analysis of prescription pharmaceuticals and uncertainty assessment.
467 *Water Research* 45(15), 4437-4448.

468 Li, X., Zhang, S., Shi, J., Luby, S. and Jiang, G. 2021. Uncertainties in estimating SARS-
469 CoV-2 prevalence by wastewater-based epidemiology. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 415,
470 129039.

471 Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R. and Brouwer, A. 2020. Presence of
472 SARS-Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence
473 in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. *Environmental Science & Technology*
474 *Letters* 7(7), 511-516.

- 475 Metcalf & Eddy. Inc., AECOM, 2014. Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Resource
476 Recovery. 5th edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 477 O'Brien, J., Thai, P., Eaglesham, G., Ort, C., Scheidegger, A., Carter, S., Lai, F. and Mueller,
478 J. 2014. A Model to Estimate the Population Contributing to the Wastewater Using Samples
479 Collected on Census Day. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(1), 517-525.
- 480 Office for National Statistics, 2020. Estimates of the population for the UK, England and
481 Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. Available at:
482 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/population](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/datasets/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesscotlandandnorthernireland)
483 [estimates/datasets/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesscotlandandnorthernireland](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/datasets/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesscotlandandnorthernireland).
484 Accessed 22 July 2021.
- 485 Office for National Statistics, 2021. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey: England.
486 Available at:
487 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsandd](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/datasets/coronaviruscovid19infectionsurveydata)
488 [iseases/datasets/coronaviruscovid19infectionsurveydata](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/datasets/coronaviruscovid19infectionsurveydata). Accessed 22 July 2021.
- 489 Prado, T., Fumian, T.M., Mannarino, C.F., Resende, P.C., Motta, F.C., Eppinghaus, A.L.F.,
490 do Vale, V.H.C., Braz, R.M.S., de Andrade, J.D.R., Maranhao, A.G. and Miagostovich, M.P.
491 2021. Wastewater-based epidemiology as a useful tool to track SARS-CoV-2 and support
492 public health policies at municipal level in Brazil. *Water Research* 191.
- 493 Rico, M., Andres-Costa, M.J. and Pico, Y. 2017. Estimating population size in wastewater-
494 based epidemiology. Valencia metropolitan area as a case study. *Journal of Hazardous*
495 *Materials* 323, 156-165.
- 496 Saththasivam, J., El-Malah, S.S., Gomez, T.A., Jabbar, K.A., Remanan, R., Krishnankutty,
497 A.K., Ogunbiyi, O., Rasool, K., Ashhab, S., Rashkeev, S., Bensaad, M., Ahmed, A.A.,
498 Mohamoud, Y.A., Malek, J.A., Abu Raddad, L.J., Jeremijenko, A., Abu Halaweh, H.A.,

- 499 Lawler, J. and Mahmoud, K.A. 2021. COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak monitoring using
500 wastewater-based epidemiology in Qatar. *Science of the Total Environment* 774.
- 501 University of California 2021 COVID19Poops Dashboard. Available at:
502 <https://www.covid19wbec.org/covidpoops19>. Accessed 22 July 2021.
- 503 Wade, M., Jones, D., Singer, A., Hart, A., Corbishley, A., Spence, C., Morvan, M., Zhang,
504 C., Pollock, M., Hoffmann, T., Singleton, P., Grimsley, J., Bunce, J., Engeli, A. and
505 Henderson, G. 2020 Wastewater COVID-19 Monitoring in the UK: Summary for SAGE –
506 19/11/20.
- 507 Wade, M., LoJacomio, A., Armenise, E., Brown, M., Bunce, J., Cameron, G., Fang, Z.,
508 Farkas, K., Gilpin, D. Graham, D., Jasmine, G., Hart, H., Hoffman, T., Jackson, K., Jones, D.,
509 Lilley, C., McGrath, J., McKinley, J., McSparron, C., Nejad, B., Morvan, M., Quintela-
510 Baluja, M., Roberts, A., Singer, A., Souque, C., Speight, V., Sweetapple, C., Watts, G.,
511 Weightman, A. and Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. 2021. Understanding and managing uncertainty
512 and variability for wastewater monitoring beyond the pandemic: Lessons learned from the
513 United Kingdom National COVID-19 Surveillance Programmes. *Earth and Space Science*
514 Open Archive, doi:10.1002/essoar.10507606.2
- 515 Westhaus, S., Weber, F., Schiwy, S., Linnemann, V., Brinkmann, M., Widera, M., Greve, C.,
516 Janke, A., Hollert, H., Wintgens, T. and Ciesek, S. 2021. *Science of the Total Environment*
517 751, 141750.
- 518 Xiao, Y., Shao, X., Tan, D., Yan, J., Pei, W., Wang, Z., Yang, M. and Wang, D. 2019.
519 Assessing the trend of diabetes mellitus by analyzing metformin as a biomarker in
520 wastewater. *Science of the Total Environment* 688. 281-287.
- 521 Zhang, Y. Duan, L., Wang, B., Du, Y., Cagnetta, G., Huang, J., Blaney, L. and Yo, G. 2019.
522 Wastewater-based epidemiology in Beijing, China: Prevalence of antibiotic use in flu season

523 and association of pharmaceuticals and personal care products with socioeconomic
524 characteristics. *Environment International* 125, 152-160.

525 Zuccato, E., Chiabrando, C., Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R. and Fanelli, R. 2008. Estimating
526 Community Drug Abuse by Wastewater Analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 116(8)
527 1027-1032.

FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS ONLY

