

1 **Monitoring COVID-19 spread in Prague local neighborhoods based on the presence of SARS-CoV-2**  
2 **RNA in wastewater collected throughout the sewer network**

3 **Authors:** Kamila Zdenkova<sup>1</sup>, Jana Bartackova<sup>2</sup>, Eliska Cermakova<sup>1</sup>, Katerina Demnerova<sup>1</sup>, Alzbeta  
4 Dostalkova<sup>3</sup>, Vaclav Janda<sup>2</sup>, Zuzana Novakova<sup>4</sup>, Michaela Rumlova<sup>3</sup>, Jana Rihova Ambrozova<sup>2</sup>, Klara  
5 Skodakova<sup>2</sup>, Iva Swierczkova<sup>5</sup>, Petr Sykora<sup>4</sup>, Dana Vejmelkova<sup>2</sup>, Jiri Wanner<sup>2</sup>, Jan Bartacek<sup>2</sup>

6  
7 <sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague,  
8 Czechia

9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Water Technology and Environmental Engineering, University of Chemistry and  
10 Technology Prague, Czechia

11 <sup>3</sup> Department of Biotechnology, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Czechia

12 <sup>4</sup> Pražské vodovody a kanalizace, a.s., Czechia

13 <sup>5</sup> Military Health Institute, Military Medical Agency, Tychonova 1, 160 01 Prague 6, Czechia  
14

15

16

17

ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

18

19

20

21 **Submitted to:** Water Research

22

23 **Highlights:**

- 24 • SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence was measured at 24 locations in the Prague sewer network
- 25 • Residential areas (100–13 000 inhab.), transport hubs, hospitals etc. were included
- 26 • Consistent wastewater monitoring by RT-mqPCR took place from August 2020 – May 2021
- 27 • The sampling of major Prague sewers correlated well with clinical-based data
- 28 • Grab samples can identify COVID-19 hotspots in local neighborhoods

29

30 **Abstract**


31 Many reports have documented that the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the influents of municipal  
32 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) correlates with the actual epidemic situation in a given city.  
33 However, few data have been reported thus far on measurements upstream of WWTPs, i.e.  
34 throughout the sewer network. In this study, the monitoring of the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in  
35 Prague wastewater was carried out at selected locations of the Prague sewer network from August  
36 2020 through May 2021. Various locations such as residential areas of various sizes, hospitals, city  
37 center areas, student dormitories, transportation hubs (airport, bus terminal), and commercial areas  
38 were monitored together with four of the main Prague sewers. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was  
39 determined by reverse transcription – multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-mqPCR)  
40 after the precipitation of nucleic acids with PEG8000 and RNA isolation with TRIzol™ Reagent. The  
41 number of copies of the gene encoding SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N1) per liter of wastewater was  
42 compared with the number of officially registered COVID-19 cases in Prague. Although the data  
43 obtained by sampling wastewater from the major Prague sewers were more consistent than those  
44 obtained from the small sewers, the correlation between wastewater-based and clinical-testing data  
45 was also good for the residential areas with more than 1 000 registered inhabitants. It was shown that  
46 monitoring SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater sampled from small sewers could identify isolated

47 occurrences of COVID-19-positive cases in local neighborhoods. This can be very valuable while  
48 tracking COVID-19 hotspots within large cities.

49

50 **Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, wastewater-based epidemiology, Prague, RT-mqPCR, COVID-19 epidemic**

51 **Graphical abstract**



52

53

## 54 **Introduction**

55 Even though the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic hit Czechia mildly from March to May 2020, the  
56 numbers of patients rose sharply later in October. Since then, the Czech Republic remained one of the  
57 most severely affected countries worldwide until April 2021. At the same time, the Czech population  
58 was relatively reluctant to undergo clinical testing, resulting in an extremely high rate of positively  
59 tested patients, 30 to 40% of which were proved to be COVID-positive. This situation led to a health  
60 crisis which was extremely difficult to manage by the state authorities. In such a situation, an accurate  
61 and timely system for the monitoring of the pandemic is critically needed.

62 Since the first papers by Medema et al. (2020) reporting the possibility of detecting the presence of  
63 SARS-CoV-2 (the virus responsible for the COVID-19 disease) in sewage, hundreds of papers describing  
64 the correlation between viral RNA in wastewater and the COVID-19 pandemic have been published. It  
65 has been repeatedly shown that there is a close relation between gene copy numbers (typically genes  
66 E, N1, N2, N3, S, or RdRp) in wastewater and the actual epidemic situation in the respective catchment  
67 area (Heijnen et al. 2021, Randazzo et al. 2020, Saththasivam et al. 2021). Moreover, this relation can  
68 be mathematically modeled (Hart and Halden 2020).

69 Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 RNA fragments (genes N1, N2, and N3) have been detected in wastewater, at a  
70 level corresponding to a little over 25 positive cases per 1000 persons (Hong et al. 2021). This can be  
71 explained by the high production of viral particles in the feces of infected patients, which can be  
72 anywhere between  $10^3$  and  $10^7$  SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies in a gram of feces (Wölfel et al. 2020). Most  
73 authors estimated that around 50% of COVID-19 patients excrete SARS-CoV-2 RNA in feces (Chan et  
74 al. 2020, Cheung et al. 2020, Wong et al. 2020), but some studies suggest that this may be true for up  
75 to 100% of COVID-19 patients (Papoutsis et al. 2021).

76 The vast majority of SARS-CoV-2 monitoring studies in major cities all around the world focused on  
77 sewage sampling at the entrance of the cities' wastewater treatment plants – WWTP (Ahmed et al.  
78 2021b, Zhou et al. 2021). Sampling WWTP influent has many advantages: the sampling can be

79 thoroughly controlled, it is easy to collect 24-hour composite samples that accurately represent  
80 sewage composition, and a large number of people are taken into account with a relatively limited  
81 number of samples. The downside of this approach is that the relation between the COVID-19  
82 pandemic and wastewater-based data is largely averaged over the entire society while having  
83 relatively limited informative value for epidemiologists. I.e. epidemic outbreak spots within a city  
84 cannot be identified, and focused control measures cannot be taken.

85 If sampled upstream in the sewer network, specific neighborhoods can be targeted based on their  
86 importance for pandemic monitoring. Unfortunately, limited data have been published in this respect  
87 so far. Goncalves et al. (2021) had been detecting SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the hospital area over a short  
88 period of two weeks. Similarly Acosta et al. (2021) monitored wastewater from three hospitals showing  
89 that viral burden correlated with increasing hospitalized cases as well as hospital-associated  
90 transmissions. Ahmed et al. (2021a) sampled four main sewers in Bangladesh, but again, few samples  
91 were analyzed (6 sampling days within one month) to assess their epidemiological value. Saguti et al.  
92 (2021) reported results from 4 sampling sites throughout the city of Gothenburg (Sweden), but the  
93 sampling in these subareas only covered 4 weeks, and the correlation with epidemic data was unclear.  
94 Also, Baldovin et al. (2021) reported data for 4 sampling sites (pumping stations) throughout Padova  
95 (Italy) that each represented a large portion of the city.

96 Sofar the most detailed study using decentralized wastewater monitoring published very recently  
97 Mota et al. (2021) who monitored 17 locations within the sewer network of Belo Horizonte (Brazil)  
98 from May through August 2020 and showed that hotspots could be identified in the city based on data  
99 generated by decentralized sewage monitoring, rather than based on clinical data. The locations  
100 monitored in the latter study represented still relatively large catchment areas representing from  
101 approximately 12 thousand to 1.4 million inhabitants with the majority of the areas representing  
102 several tens of thousands of inhabitants. Long-term data of similar nature, preferably from even

103 smaller locations, are very much needed from other places to confirm the usefulness of such  
104 monitoring.

105 From July 2020 through March 2021, we monitored the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in sewage  
106 sampled biweekly at 14 locations throughout the Prague sewer network. Within these locations were  
107 residential areas with apartment buildings and family houses, commercial area, areas dominated by  
108 student dormitories, or Prague's main airport. From late March through the end of May 2021, we  
109 expanded the monitoring to 24 locations including four of Prague's main sewers and taking samples  
110 up to three times a week. In this paper, we report the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in sewage and its  
111 correlation with the epidemic situation in Prague.

112 Following the preliminary study performed in summer 2020 by Mlejnkova et al. (2020) at WWTPs  
113 throughout the Czech Republic, this is the first consistent study on the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in  
114 Prague wastewater. After the very recent paper by Mota et al. (2021), this is the first European study  
115 thoroughly reporting long-term data for local neighborhoods of different types within one city.

## 116 **Materials and Methods**

### 117 **Sampling campaigns**

118 The sampling took place in two main periods: (1) a long-term monitoring, where grab samples were  
119 taken every other week at 14 locations (Locations 1 to 14, Tab. 1) within the Prague sewer network  
120 and (2) an intensive monitoring (2 to 3 samples per week) in spring 2021 was done in the same  
121 locations as the long-term monitoring. In addition, the intensive monitoring included another eight  
122 local neighborhoods and four of Prague main sewers (24-hour composite samples).

### 123 **Description of the neighborhoods monitored in this study**

124 The local neighborhoods (Locations 1 to 22) monitored in this study (Fig. 1A) were selected based on  
125 their epidemiological importance to achieve an appropriate diversity of wastewater sources. The main  
126 sewers (Fig. 1B) were selected to cover a large portion of Prague's wastewater (to obtain data


127 representative for the whole of Prague) and to observe deviations between data obtained from large  
128 wastewater sources. Note that wastewater from Sewer ACK, the main influent to Prague's WWTP,  
129 mainly represents the combination of sewers C and K, and therefore to some extent should give similar  
130 results.

131

132 **Tab. 1. Sampling sites monitored in this study**

Location no.	Type of neighborhood	Number of officially registered residents	Type of samples	Separate sewer for stormwater
<b>Local neighborhoods sampled within Prague's sewer system</b>				
<i>- Location sampled from August 2020 till May 2021</i>				
1	Family houses 1	105	grab	Yes
2	Family houses 2	974	grab	Yes
3	Family houses 3	10 152	grab	No
4	Apartment buildings 1	5 869	grab	No
5	Apartment buildings 2	7 075	grab	Yes
6	Apartment buildings 3	1 153	grab	Yes
7	Hospital & Residential area	13 148	grab	No
8	City center	1 628	grab	No
9	Office buildings	99	grab	No
10	Shopping mall	1 478	grab	Yes
11	University dormitories	98	grab	No
12	Industrial area	2 340	grab	No
13	Airport	n.a.*	grab/24-hours composite	Yes
14	Airport	n.a.*	grab	Yes
<i>- Locations sampled 6 weeks from April through May 2021</i>				
15	Family houses 4	1 789	grab	No
16	Apartment buildings 4	6 457	grab	Yes
17	Apartment buildings 5	12 085	grab	No
18	Apartment buildings 6	3 453	grab	No
19	Hospital area	---	grab	No
20	City center 2	9 738	grab	No
21	University dormitories, Apartment houses	1 874	grab	Yes
22	Bus terminal	1 318	grab	No
<b>Major Prague's sewer's sampled from 22 March through 21 May 2021</b>				
S1	Main sewer ACK – main influent to Prague's central WWTP	n.a.*	24-hours composite	
S2	Main sewer C	n.a.*	24-hours composite	
S3	Main sewer F	n.a.*	24-hours composite	
S4	Main sewer K	n.a.*	24-hours composite	

133 \* n.a. – not available



134  
135

136 Fig. 1. Illustration of the areas served by the sewers sampled in this study: A – Local neighborhoods  
137 (the areas on the map are kept anonymous to protect privacy rights); B – Major sewers of Prague ACK,  
138 C, F, and K. The red line shows the border of the area served by sewer ACK. This sewer combines sewers  
139 A, C, C1, and K and is considered the main influent to Prague's WWTP.

#### 140 **Wastewater samples**

141 In local neighborhoods (Locations 1 – 22), 500mL grab samples were taken directly from the sewer and  
142 subsequently delivered to the lab within one to two hours. The sampling time was approximately  
143 constant for each location and chosen to represent the morning peak (between 7 and 10 a.m.).

144 From the main sewers (Locations S1 – S4), 1L 24-hour flow-controlled composite samples were taken.

#### 145 **Samples processing**

146 At the beginning of the monitoring (July through September 2020), the procedure reported by Wu et  
147 al. (2020) was used. The sample was first pasteurized at 60 °C for 90 min and subsequently filtered  
148 through a 0.45µm nitrocellulose membrane filter (Sigma Aldrich, UK). For each sample, several filters  
149 were used due to filter clogging until 40 mL of filtrate was produced. The filtrate was mixed with 4 g of  
150 polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8000 (Sigma Aldrich, UK) and 0.9 g NaCl (Penta, Czechia) in a 50mL Falcon  
151 tube. After all the contents had dissolved, the tube was centrifuged at 12 000 g and 4 °C for 30 min.  
152 The supernatant was discarded and the tubes with precipitate were centrifuged at 12 000 g and 4 °C  
153 for another 5 min. The liquid was discarded by pipette, and 500 µL of TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen  
154 ThermoFisher, USA) was added to the pellet. The pellet with TRIzol™ Reagent was either directly  
155 processed or kept at – 80 °C until RNA extraction (for max. 4 weeks period). After the optimization of

156 the procedure (end of September 2020, data not shown), the pasteurization was omitted and the  
157 vacuum filtration was replaced with centrifugation at 4,600 g and 4 °C for 30 min. The supernatant was  
158 processed like the filtrate in the procedure above. Another centrifugation step before adding TRIzol™  
159 Reagent, which served for more thorough removal of the rest of the water, was added to the protocol  
160 from mid-March 2021. This second centrifugation was performed at 12,000 g, 4 °C for 5 minutes, the  
161 supernatant was removed by pipette, and TRIzol™ Reagent was added to the pellet.

## 162 **RNA extraction**

163 After PEG precipitation, TRIzol-chloroform extraction was used for total RNA isolation according to the  
164 manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific). Since the RNA was finally isolated from  
165 a total volume of 80 mL of wastewater, two pellets each initially obtained from 40 mL of wastewater  
166 and re-suspended in 500 µL of TRIzol™ Reagent were combined to obtain 1 mL of TRIzol™ suspension.  
167 To control the total RNA isolation process, 800 copies of spike ssRNA (EURM-019) were added directly  
168 into the sample (suspension of 1 mL of TRIzol™ Reagent and pellets from 80 mL of the sample). Then,  
169 200 µL of chloroform (Penta, Czechia) was added, the sample was vortexed thoroughly for 15 s, and  
170 incubated for 13 min at room temperature. After incubation, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g  
171 for 15 min at 4 °C in a pre-cooled centrifuge. The aqueous phase containing RNA was transferred into  
172 a fresh tube and 500 µl of 2-propanol was added. After 8 min of incubation at room temperature, RNA  
173 was pelleted by centrifugation (10 min, 12 000 g, 4 °C). Next, the supernatant was removed and the  
174 pellet was washed by adding 1.4 mL of 75% ethanol (Penta, Czechia). The sample was then centrifuged  
175 at 7 500 g for 5 min at 4 °C. Finally, the obtained pellet of RNA was briefly dried and resuspended in 50  
176 µL of nuclease-free water (NFW, Top-Bio, Czechia).

## 177 **Reverse transcription – multiplex quantitative real-time PCR (RT-mqPCR)**

178 Reverse transcription and PCR amplification were conducted in a single tube at a reaction volume of  
179 20 µl containing a 1x EliZyme OneS Probe Kit (Elisabeth Pharmacon; Czechia), primers (0.4 µM each)  
180 and probes (0.2 µM each), nuclease-free water, and 5 µl of RNA in each reaction (undiluted and two-

181 fold dilution). The sequences of all primers and probes used in the multiplex RT-qPCR are listed in Table  
182 2. The oligonucleotides were synthesized by Metabion International AG (Planegg, Germany). Primers  
183 and probes used for RT-mqPCR were complementary to the SARS-CoV-2 genome. The sequences for  
184 the detection of the N1 nucleocapsid-encoding gene were adopted from the CDC protocol (CDC 2020),  
185 for spike protein from the EURM-019 product list (JRC 2020), and for the RNA-dependent RNA  
186 polymerase (RdRp) gene from Corman et al. (2020). All RT-mqPCRs were performed using a 7500 Real-  
187 Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA), and the data were analyzed using  
188 the 7500 Software v2.0.6. The fluorescence channels were evaluated separately: the FAM fluorophore  
189 detected nucleocapsid and spike protein-encoding gene fragments (72 bp and 83 bp, respectively),  
190 TAMRA was used for the RdRp of SARS-CoV-2 (100 bp), and HEX was used for the RdRp of SARS-CoV-  
191 2, SARS-CoV, and bat-SARS-related coronaviruses (CoVs). Only the FAM channel was used for gene  
192 quantification. The serial dilution method was applied for inhibition testing in each sample. Undiluted  
193 RNA and 2x diluted RNA (dilution in NFW from Promega, Madison, WI, USA) were used. The samples  
194 were analyzed in duplicates. The positive control (target RNA) and no template control (NFW) were  
195 run on every RT-mqPCR plate to exclude false (negative or positive) results.

196 The conditions for transcription and amplifications were as follows: reverse transcription for 10 min at  
197 53 °C, initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min followed by 45 cycles of 5 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C.

198 The EURM-019 synthetic single-stranded RNA (synthetic ssRNA) fragments of SARS-CoV-2 were used  
199 as an *in vitro*-transcribed RNA standard (JRC 2020). This universal synthetic ssRNA of 880 nts contains  
200 the target regions that can be amplified by all the RT-qPCR assays listed in Table 1. The procedures for  
201 verifying the methodology (quantification, amplification efficiency E, R<sup>2</sup> coefficient, repeatability,  
202 estimation of the Limit of Detection (LOD), and Limit of Quantification (LOQ)) met the criteria of the  
203 JRC Technical report on the verification of analytical methods for GMO testing (Hougs et al. 2017).  
204 Absolute quantification was carried out by comparing with the standard synthetic ssRNA. Calibration

205 curves were constructed in all experimental runs. The efficiency and  $R^2$  fulfill the parameters defined  
 206 in Hougs et al. (2017).

207 Table 2: Primers and probes used in this study.

Name	Target	Sequence [5'–3']	Size [bp]	Ref.
CDC_Wu_N1-F	N1 nucleocapsid	GACCCCAAATCAGCGAAAT	72	(CDC 2020)
CDC_Wu_N1-R		TCTGGTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG		
CDC_Wu_N1-P		FAM–ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC-BHQ1		
CRM_S-F	Spike protein	GACATACCCATTGGTGCAGG	83	(JRC 2020)
CRM_S-R		TGACTAGCTACACTACGTGCC		
CRM_S-P*		FAM-AGACTCAGACTAATTCTCCTCGGCG-BHQ1		
RdRp_SARSr-F	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	GTGARATGGTCATGTGTGGCGG	100	(Corman et al. 2020)
RdRp_SARSr-R		CARATGTTAAASACTATTAGCATA		
RdRp_SARSr-P2_v2019		TAMRA-CAGGTGGAACCTCATCAGGAGATGC-BHQ2		
RdRp_SARSr-P1-general*		HEX-CCAGGTGGWACRTCATCMGGTGATGC-BHQ1		


208 \* these were not added from March 2021 onwards

## 209 Results and Discussion

### 210 The course of the COVID-19 epidemic in Prague


211 After successfully controlling the first wave of the COVID-19 epidemic in spring 2020, the Czech  
 212 government eased the anti-COVID restrictions during summer 2020. This approach resulted in a  
 213 massive increase in COVID-positive cases from October to November (a second wave) which was  
 214 counteracted on 14 October by strict measures that included closing shops, restaurants, and other  
 215 services, closing most of the schools and student dormitories, restricting free movement and public  
 216 gatherings, etc. The third wave of new COVID-19 cases in December 2020 and January 2021 followed  
 217 a partial easing of anti-epidemic measures in December (opening shops). The fourth wave started in  
 218 February 2021 (probably resulting from the spread of new, more infectious variants of the SARS-CoV-  
 219 2 virus). The situation in Prague was very similar to the rest of the Czech Republic, and the maximum  
 220 numbers of newly reported cases per day were as high as 1 708, 2 065, and 2 037 in the second, third,

221 and fourth wave, respectively (Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic 2021). The maximum number  
222 of active COVID-19 cases reached 1 506 per hundred thousand inhabitants on 9 March (Fig. 2).




223 Fig. 2: Active cases of COVID-19 disease registered in Prague from August 2020 until the end of May  
224 2021 as reported by the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (2021). The blue lines indicate the  
225 time of the most important milestones of the epidemic. The grey area marks the period of intensive  
226 monitoring.  
227


228




229



230



231



232 Fig. 3: SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene concentration in wastewater (logarithmic scale) and the number of  
 233 registered positive cases of COVID-19 as reported by the Czech Ministry of health at selected locations  
 234 monitored since August 2020. A green line – positive cases in Prague per 100 thousand inhabitants  
 235 estimated from clinical data; Filled blue points – decimal logarithm of N1 gene copy number per liter  
 236 of wastewater; Empty blue points – negative samples (N1 gene under detection limit); Orange points  
 237 – samples with unknown PCR inhibitors; Green diamonds – number of positive cases per 100 thousand

238 inhabitants estimated based on N1 gene copy number in wastewater (based on the correlation shown  
239 in Fig. 4).

#### 240 **Correlation of N1 gene concentration in sewage with epidemic data based on clinical testing**

##### 241 *The correlation at small sewers in the long-term sampling*

242 The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected at all locations of the Prague sewer network chosen  
243 for this study. However, the frequency of positive samples, N1 gene concentrations, and the  
244 correlation between N1 gene concentration and the number of positive COVID-19 cases were  
245 dramatically different between different locations (Fig. 3). Overall, 46% of all tested samples were  
246 positive, 47% were negative and 7% could not be evaluated due to PCR inhibition. The relatively large  
247 portion of negative samples is given by the fact that a disproportionately high number of the samples  
248 were collected during the period of the declining epidemic (22 March – 23 May 2021, Fig. 2.). The  
249 numbers of N1 gene copies in the positive samples ranged from  $10^1$  to  $10^7$  per L of wastewater.

250 To demonstrate the value of the data measured in wastewater for the monitoring of COVID-19  
251 epidemics, N1 gene copy numbers per L of wastewater on a logarithmic scale were correlated to the  
252 estimated active cases in Prague based on clinical testing (Fig. 4). Only the positive samples were taken  
253 into account for the correlation analysis.

254 The highest correlation between N1 concentration in wastewater and clinical epidemic data was  
255 observed at locations 3 and 10 (determination factor  $R^2$  higher than 0.5). As shown in Fig. 4, N1 gene  
256 concentrations correlated well (determination factor  $R^2$  typically from 0.35 – 0.55) with the clinical  
257 epidemic data in predominantly residential areas with more than 1 000 inhabitants (Locations 3, 5, 6,  
258 or 7) and the residential area dominated by a shopping mall (Location 10, Fig. 4C).

259 A surprisingly good agreement was observed among the trend lines obtained for all residential areas  
260 except for Location 6 (Fig. 4F), showing that the correlation equations can be extrapolated from one  
261 location to another. Indeed, the correlation equation obtained for Location 3 was used to estimate the  
262 number of active COVID-19 cases per 100 thousand inhabitants for all the other small locations

263 (Location 1 to 22). The good agreement with the data based on clinical testing is demonstrated in Fig.  
264 3 for selected locations. This suggests that wastewater-based data can in some cases (e.g. very small  
265 areas or areas with a prevalence of unregistered individuals) be more realistic than the data based on  
266 clinical testing, because even if certain persons are identified by clinical testing as infected, localizing  
267 their activities is often impossible.

268 Unlike with large predominantly residential areas, the correlation was weak at most locations  
269 dominated by activities other than regular living such as the city center (Location 8, Fig. 4G), student  
270 dormitories (Location 11, Fig. 4H), office area (Location 9), or at the airport WWTP (Locations 13 and  
271 14). A weak correlation was also observed in the small residential areas (Locations 1, 2, and 4). E.g. the  
272 samples from Location 1 representing a confined luxury residential resort were predominantly  
273 negative or with low copy numbers (up to  $10^{2.3}$  copies per L wastewater).


274 It was not clear whether a close correlation between the copy number of N1 gene detected in  
275 wastewater and epidemic data should be expected for all monitored locations. Besides the fact that  
276 the real number of infected individuals active at a given location was unknown, the effect of time must  
277 also be taken into account when interpreting the data. On some occasions, wastewater-based data  
278 exhibit a 1 to 2 weeks lead (Location 11 – student dormitories – in the second wave and Location 3 and  
279 5 – residential areas – in the third wave) or delay in epidemic progression compared to the epidemic  
280 data (Location 6 – residential area – in the second wave, Fig. 3).

281 Some studies highlighted the predictive value of SARS-CoV-2 RNA monitoring in wastewater, claiming  
282 that the increase in copy numbers in wastewater (Ahmed et al. 2020, Haramoto et al. 2020, Sherchan  
283 et al. 2020, Wu et al. 2020a) or sewage sludge (Peccia et al. 2020) precedes the rise of clinically  
284 detected COVID-19 cases by up to two weeks. Medema et al. (2020) detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in  
285 sewage from Amersfoort (the Netherlands) 6 days before the first clinical cases were reported, and La  
286 Rosa et al. (2021) showed that the virus was circulating in Italy even in December 2019. Similarly,  
287 during the SARS epidemic in 2002, Wang et al. (2005) detected coronavirus RNA originating from the


288 feces of patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV infection in sewage up to 8 days prior to the outbreak of  
289 the epidemic.

290 Unfortunately, this predictive value was not consistently demonstrated in our research. The reason for  
291 this observation could be the low frequency of sampling, which was chosen in this study for capacity  
292 reasons.


293




294



295



296



297 Fig. 4. Correlation between N1 gene copy number in wastewater (in logarithmic scale) and the number  
 298 of active cases of COVID-19 in Prague in small locations where grab samples were collected. A – D:  
 299 Residential areas with more than 1 000 officially registered inhabitants; E: Area combining shopping  
 300 mall and residential area; F: The comparison of the polynomial trend lines for larger residential areas  
 301 (over 1 000 residents) derived from figures A – E. G – An example of a location that is not predominantly  
 302 residential.

303

304 *The correlation observed in the main Prague sewers and small sewers during the intensive sampling*  
305 *campaign*


306 The major sewers, representing large regions of Prague, were only monitored during the intensive  
307 sampling campaign (22 March – 21 May 2021, Fig. 2), when the COVID-19 epidemic had already sharply  
308 declined. However, the logarithmic correlation between N1 gene copy numbers and the number of  
309 active COVID-19 cases in Prague was as good as for the best-correlating residential areas with a  
310 determination factor  $R^2$  from 0.48 to 0.68 (Fig. 5). Again, the trend lines obtained for individual main  
311 sewers were very similar to each other (Fig. 5E), and the calibration performed with the data from  
312 Sewer 1 (Fig. 5A) was successfully used to estimate the number of active COVID-19 cases for all the  
313 other main sewers (Fig. 5B, C, and D).

314 The averaged trend line obtained for larger residential areas (Locations 3, 5, 7, and 10) was less steep  
315 than the trend line for the main sewers (Fig. 5F). Besides other factors, this was given by the fact that  
316 at the respective locations that cover in total less than 2.5% of Prague's population, there was a very  
317 low probability of the occurrence of positive cases during the periods with low COVID-19 incidence.  
318 Indeed, few positive samples were detected at Locations 1 to 22 when the number of positive cases in  
319 Prague was lower than 300 per 100 thousand inhabitants (Fig. 3).

320 The data for the main sewers came almost exclusively from the period of epidemic decline, when the  
321 wastewater-based data were biased by the long excretion of viral RNA in stools, which might persist  
322 for up to 2 weeks after the patient is considered COVID-19-negative (Hong et al. 2021, Wu et al. 2020b).  
323 The decline in SARS-CoV-2 RNA occurrence in Prague wastewater lagged up to 2 weeks behind the  
324 decrease in positive samples in clinical data (Fig. 6E). Nevertheless, positive samples were observed in  
325 all main sewers, even though as little as 100 positive cases per 100 thousand inhabitants were  
326 registered based on clinical testing (Fig. 6). Interestingly, following the week of 2 – 8 May, when all  
327 samples from the main sewers were negative, the numbers of N1 gene copies in the samples from the

328 main sewers started to grow again. Clinical data has not confirmed this trend by the time of writing  
329 this report.


330 The decrease in N1 gene copy numbers in the samples collected at small locations (Locations 1 – 22)  
331 was generally not delayed compared to the clinical data (Fig. 3, e.g. Locations 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and  
332 others). However, positive samples were repeatedly identified at locations such as 5 (Family houses;  
333 Fig. 3), 19 (Hospital; data not shown), and 22 (Bus terminal; data not shown), even while the total  
334 number of positive cases in Prague were well below 100 per 100 thousand inhabitants. This  
335 observation indicated that problematic areas with the occurrence of infected individuals could be  
336 identified despite the low average epidemic numbers. As a result, targeted epidemic measures can be  
337 applied in these areas.



338

339

340 Fig. 5. Correlation between N1 gene copy number in wastewater measured in composite 24h samples  
 341 collected at main Prague sewers and the number of active cases of COVID-19 in Prague. A – D: Main  
 342 sewers ACK, C, F, and K; E: The comparison of the polynomic trend lines derived from figures A – D; F:  
 343 The comparison of averaged trend lines for the residential areas (3, 5, 7, and 10) and the main sewers.  
 344 The error bars represent standard deviations between individual locations.



348 Fig. 6. Decline in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater during the so-far final phase of the  
 349 COVID-19 epidemic in Prague, as observed in the major (stem) sewers individually (A – D) and the  
 350 average from COVID-19 cases estimates for all main sewers (E). Blue dots - number of N1 gene copies  
 351 per L of wastewater (logarithmic scale); Blue open circles – negative samples; Green diamonds –  
 352 Number of COVID-19 cases (estimate based on wastewater data); Green line – Number of COVID-19  
 353 cases (estimate based on clinical testing).

354 **Epidemiological value of wastewater-based data on SARS-CoV-2 occurrence in Prague wastewater**

355 After the very recent paper by Mota et al. (2021) reporting decentralized monitoring of the sewers of  
356 Belo Horizonte (Brazil) for three months in 2020, this is the first European study comparing the  
357 epidemic relevance of data collected during 10 months at local neighborhoods of different sizes  
358 (approx. 100 to 14 000 registered residents) and areas covering large parts (main sewers S2, S3, and  
359 S4) or almost an entire city (main sewer S1) of 1.32 mil. inhabitants. The correlation between  
360 wastewater-based and clinical testing-based data is surprisingly good for residential areas with more  
361 than 1 000 registered inhabitants and is approximately as good as the same correlation obtained for  
362 the main sewers. Moreover, the data obtained from the small residential areas seemed to be quicker  
363 than those from the major sewers, at least during the decline of the COVID-19 pandemic.


364 Mota et al. (2021) showed that COVID-19 hotspots could be identified in the city based on data  
365 generated by decentralized sewage monitoring especially in vulnerable neighborhoods such as favelas,  
366 which tend to be densely populated and with limited sanitation infrastructure. Our study shows on  
367 long-term data that epidemic hotspots escaping the attention of governmental authorities may occur  
368 also in a major European city where such vulnerable areas are not expected.

369 Huisman et al. (2021) showed the feasibility of Reproduction factor ( $R_e$ ) estimation from the analysis  
370 of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater independently of clinical data. The correlation between the results  
371 of wastewater analyses and epidemic data in Prague published in this article supports this conclusion.  
372 This finding is very important, as the estimation of  $R_e$  from wastewater can be done in real time, is  
373 robust, rapid, low cost, and applicable for various wastewater matrices.

374 Last, but not least, we showed that grab samples could be as informative as 24h composite samples.  
375 This might be due to precise time-dependent sampling (typically during the morning peak) and  
376 avoidance of the effects of weather conditions (the samples were not collected shortly after major  
377 precipitation events). As the correlation between the number of N1 copies and COVID-19 cases was  
378 logarithmic, regular variations in wastewater flow (even hundreds of %) had a negligible effect on the

379 numbers of gene copies per L of wastewater. Indeed, also Black et al. (2021) showed that grab samples  
380 may be of high epidemiological value when the time of sampling is chosen appropriately.

381 The representativeness of the concentration-based data was demonstrated by a comparison of the  
382 correlation for gene N1 copies per L of wastewater and gene N1 copies per hour (concentration  
383 multiplied by average daily wastewater flow; Fig. 7), which is often used for correlation with clinical-  
384 based data (Huisman et al. 2021). The determination factor ( $R^2 = 0.481$ ) was almost identical to the  
385 one obtained for the concentration of N1 gene copies ( $R^2 = 0.478$ ), shown in Fig. 5D. The same was  
386 true for the other main sewers (data not shown). Therefore, the measurements of SARS-CoV-2 RNA  
387 concentration seem to be sufficient for the estimation of COVID-19 cases, even without knowing the  
388 exact wastewater flow at the time of sampling. Additionally, these data are usable for epidemic  
389 monitoring without using complex mathematical models such as the one presented by Hart and Halden  
390 (2020).



391  
392 Fig. 7. Correlation between N1 gene copy number detected per hour in wastewater sampled from one  
393 of the main Prague sewers and the number of active cases of COVID-19 in Prague.

### 394 **The inhibition of RT-qPCR**

395 Wastewater is a complex matrix that contains several potential inhibitors of reverse transcription as  
396 well as PCR. Such inhibitors include humic acids, fulvic acids, humic material, metal ions, polyphenols  
397 from the environment, and complex polysaccharides, bile salts, lipids, and urate from stools (Schrader  
398 et al., 2012). These inhibitors of the molecular biology assay should be removed during sample  
399 preparation for RT and PCR, mainly during RNA isolation.

400 We used the TRIzol™ isolation method, as it is reliable and relatively inexpensive. Other studies also  
401 recommended this method for municipal wastewater samples. E.g. Torii et al. (2021) observed the  
402 highest recovery of phage RNA while using pre-concentration by PEG followed by TRIzol™ RNA  
403 isolation. Even though the RT-qPCR was inhibited in some samples collected in our study, this was  
404 relatively rare and did not jeopardize the interpretation of our data.

## 405 **Conclusions**

406 This paper reports the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater collected at different locations  
407 within a large city, such as residential areas of various sizes, hospitals, city center areas, student  
408 dormitories, transportation hubs, and commercial areas within a large city (Prague, Czechia). The data  
409 obtained at the main Prague sewers were more consistent than those obtained from the small sewers.  
410 However, the correlation between wastewater-based data and those from clinical testing was good  
411 for the residential areas with more than 1 000 registered inhabitants. The latter was true despite the  
412 fact that only grab samples were collected from the small sewers. This study also shows that  
413 monitoring SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater sampled from small sewers can identify an isolated  
414 occurrence of COVID-19-positive cases in local neighborhoods. This can be highly valuable while  
415 tracking COVID-19 hotspots within a large city.

## 416 **Acknowledgments**

417 This work was financially supported by Czech Technological Agency TA CR grant no. SS01020112  
418 “Technology for the removal of antibiotic resistance from sewage sludge applied in agriculture”. The  
419 authors also wish to thank PVK a.s., VZU, and UCT Prague for their generous financial and material  
420 support. We also wish to thank Jiri Jarkovsky of the Institute of Health Information and Statistics of the  
421 Czech Republic for providing epidemic data for the areas under study. The valuable assistance of Karel  
422 Behounek (Aqua-Contact, v.o.s.) and Irena Novakova (Prague Airport) during wastewater sampling is  
423 greatly appreciated, as well as the dedicated lab work of Nelly Matouskova (Czech Health Institute),  
424 Marco Lopez, Sara Doubovska, Katarina Hanusova, and Dominik Tomanek (students of UCT Prague).  
425 Finally, we thank to Christof Uisk (student of UCT Prague) for creating the maps of the catchment areas  
426 of all sewers.

427

## 428 References

- 429 Acosta, N., Bautista, M.A., Hollman, J., McCalder, J., Beaudet, A.B., Man, L., Waddell, B.J., Chen, J., Li,  
430 C., Kuzma, D., Bhatnagar, S., Leal, J., Meddings, J., Hu, J., Cabaj, J.L., Ruecker, N.J., Naugler, C., Pillai,  
431 D.R., Achari, G., Ryan, M.C., Conly, J.M., Frankowski, K., Hubert, C.R. and Parkins, M.D. (2021) A  
432 multicenter study investigating SARS-CoV-2 in tertiary-care hospital wastewater. viral burden  
433 correlates with increasing hospitalized cases as well as hospital-associated transmissions and  
434 outbreaks. *Water Research* 201.
- 435 Ahmed, F., Islam, M.A., Kumar, M., Hossain, M., Bhattacharya, P., Islam, M.T., Hossen, F., Hossain, M.S.,  
436 Islam, M.S., Uddin, M.M., Islam, M.N., Bahadur, N.M., Didar-ul-Alam, M., Reza, H.M. and Jakariya, M.  
437 (2021a) First detection of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in the vicinity of COVID-19 isolation Centre in  
438 Bangladesh: Variation along the sewer network. *Science of The Total Environment* 776.
- 439 Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J.W., Choi, P.M., Kitajima, M., Simpson,  
440 S.L., Li, J., Tschärke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W.J.M., Zaugg, J., Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K.V.  
441 and Mueller, J.F. (2020) First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia:  
442 A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. *Science of The Total  
443 Environment* 728.
- 444 Ahmed, W., Tschärke, B., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Choi, P., Clarke, L., Dwyer, J., Edson, J.,  
445 Nguyen, T.M.H., O'Brien, J.W., Simpson, S.L., Sherman, P., Thomas, K.V., Verhagen, R., Zaugg, J.L. and  
446 Mueller, J.F. (2021b) SARS-CoV-2 RNA monitoring in wastewater as a potential early warning system  
447 for COVID-19 transmission in the community: A temporal case study. *Science of The Total Environment*  
448 761, 9.
- 449 Baldovin, T., Amoruso, I., Fonzo, M., Buja, A., Baldo, V., Cocchio, S. and Bertonecello, C. (2021) SARS-  
450 CoV-2 RNA detection and persistence in wastewater samples: An experimental network for COVID-19  
451 environmental surveillance in Padua, Veneto Region (NE Italy). *Science of The Total Environment* 760.
- 452 Black, J., Aung, P., Nolan, M., Roney, E., Poon, R., Hennessy, D., Crosbie, N.D., Deere, D., Jex, A.R., John,  
453 N., Baker, L., Scales, P.J., Usher, S.P., McCarthy, D.T., Schang, C., Schmidt, J., Myers, S., Begue, N.,  
454 Kaucner, C., Thorley, B., Druce, J., Monis, P., Lau, M. and Sarkis, S. (2021) Epidemiological evaluation  
455 of sewage surveillance as a tool to detect the presence of COVID-19 cases in a low case load setting.  
456 *Science of The Total Environment* 786.
- 457 CDC (2020) Division of Viral Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.  
458 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.pdf>. Accessed  
459 on 2020 30 June.
- 460 Corman, V.M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D.K., Bleicker, T., Brunink, S.,  
461 Schneider, J., Schmidt, M.L., Mulders, D.G., Haagmans, B.L., van der Veer, B., van den Brink, S.,  
462 Wijsman, L., Goderski, G., Romette, J.L., Ellis, J., Zambon, M., Peiris, M., Goossens, H., Reusken, C.,  
463 Koopmans, M.P. and Drosten, C. (2020) Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time  
464 RT-PCR. *Euro Surveill* 25(3).
- 465 Goncalves, J., Koritnik, T., Mioc, V., Trkov, M., Boljesic, M., Berginc, N., Proscenc, K., Kotar, T. and Paragi,  
466 M. (2021) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in hospital wastewater from a low COVID-19 disease  
467 prevalence area. *Science of The Total Environment* 755, 7.
- 468 Haramoto, E., Malla, B., Thakali, O. and Kitajima, M. (2020) First environmental surveillance for the  
469 presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and river water in Japan. *Science of The Total Environment*  
470 737, 8.
- 471 Hart, O.E. and Halden, R.U. (2020) Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 surveillance by  
472 wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally: Feasibility, economy, opportunities and  
473 challenges. *Science of The Total Environment* 730.
- 474 Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., de Graaf, M., Molenkamp, R., Koopmans, M.P.G. and Medema, G. (2021)  
475 Droplet Digital RT-PCR to detect SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in wastewater. *medRxiv*,  
476 2021.2003.2025.21254324.

477 Hong, P.Y., Rachmadi, A.T., Mantilla-Calderon, D., Alkahtani, M., Bashawri, Y.M., Al Qarni, H., O'Reilly,  
478 K.M. and Zhou, J. (2021) Estimating the minimum number of SARS-CoV-2 infected cases needed to  
479 detect viral RNA in wastewater: To what extent of the outbreak can surveillance of wastewater tell us?  
480 *Environmental Research* 195.

481 Hougs, L., Gatto, F., Goerlich, O., Grohmann, L., Lieske, K., Mazzara, M., Narendja, F., Ovesna, J.,  
482 Papazova, N. and Scholtens, I. (2017) Verification of analytical methods for GMO testing when  
483 implementing interlaboratory validated methods.

484 Huisman, J.S., Scire, J., Caduff, L., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Kull, A., Scheidegger,  
485 A., Stachler, E., Boehm, A.B., Hughes, B., Knudson, A., Topol, A., Wigginton, K.R., Wolfe, M.K., Kohn, T.,  
486 Ort, C., Stadler, T. and Julian, T.R. (2021) Wastewater-based estimation of the effective reproductive  
487 number of SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv*, 2021.2004.2029.21255961.

488 Chan, V.W., Chiu, P.K., Yee, C.H., Yuan, Y., Ng, C.F. and Teoh, J.Y. (2020) A systematic review on COVID-  
489 19: urological manifestations, viral RNA detection and special considerations in urological conditions.  
490 *World J Urol*.

491 Cheung, K.S., Hung, I.F.N., Chan, P.P.Y., Lung, K.C., Tso, E., Liu, R., Ng, Y.Y., Chu, M.Y., Chung, T.W.H.,  
492 Tam, A.R., Yip, C.C.Y., Leung, K.H., Fung, A.Y., Zhang, R.R., Lin, Y., Cheng, H.M., Zhang, A.J.X., To, K.K.W.,  
493 Chan, K.H., Yuen, K.Y. and Leung, W.K. (2020) Gastrointestinal Manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 Infection  
494 and Virus Load in Fecal Samples From a Hong Kong Cohort: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.  
495 *Gastroenterology* 159(1), 81-95.

496 JRC (2020) Certified reference materials of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, Material  
497 information sheet EURM-019\_April 3 2020.pdf. <https://crm.jrc.ec.europa.eu/p/EURM-019>. Accessed  
498 on 30 June 2020.

499 La Rosa, G., Mancini, P., Ferraro, G.B., Veneri, C., Iaconelli, M., Bonadonna, L., Lucentini, L. and  
500 Suffredini, E. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating in northern Italy since December 2019: Evidence  
501 from environmental monitoring. *Science of The Total Environment* 750, 8.

502 Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R. and Brouwer, A. (2020) Presence of SARS-  
503 Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the Early Stage  
504 of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 7(7), 511-516.

505 Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (2021) COVID - 19: Overview of the current situation in the  
506 Czech Republic. <https://onemocneni-aktualne.mzcr.cz/covid-19/kraje/PHA>. Accessed on 23 May 2021.

507 Mlejnkova, H., Sovova, K., Vasickova, P., Ocenaskova, V., Jasikova, L. and Juranova, E. (2020)  
508 Preliminary Study of Sars-Cov-2 Occurrence in Wastewater in the Czech Republic. *International Journal*  
509 *of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17(15), 9.

510 Mota, C.R., Bressani-Ribeiro, T., Araújo, J.C., Leal, C.D., Leroy-Freitas, D., Machado, E.C., Espinosa, M.F.,  
511 Fernandes, L., Leão, T.L., Chamhum-Silva, L., Azevedo, L., Morandi, T., Freitas, G.T.O., Costa, M.S.,  
512 Carvalho, B.O., Reis, M.T.P., Melo, M.C., Ayrimoraes, S.R. and Chernicharo, C.A.L. (2021) Assessing  
513 spatial distribution of COVID-19 prevalence in Brazil using decentralised sewage monitoring. *Water*  
514 *Research* 202.

515 Papoutsis, A., Borody, T., Dolai, S., Daniels, J., Steinberg, S., Barrows, B. and Hazan, S. (2021) Detection  
516 of SARS-CoV-2 from patient fecal samples by whole genome sequencing. *Gut Pathogens* 13(1).

517 Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D.E., Grubaugh, N.D., Kaplan, E.H., Casanovas-Massana, A., Ko, A.I., Malik,  
518 A.A., Wang, D., Wang, M.K., Warren, J.L., Weinberger, D.M., Arnold, W. and Omer, S.B. (2020)  
519 Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection dynamics. *Nature*  
520 *Biotechnology* 38(10), 1164+.

521 Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simon, P., Allende, A. and Sanchez, G. (2020) SARS-  
522 CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence area. *Water Research*  
523 181, 8.

524 Saguti, F., Magnil, E., Enache, L., Churqui, M.P., Johansson, A., Lumley, D., Davidsson, F., Dotevall, L.,  
525 Mattsson, A., Trybala, E., Lagging, M., Lindh, M., Gisslen, M., Brezicka, T., Nystrom, K. and Norder, H.  
526 (2021) Surveillance of wastewater revealed peaks of SARS-CoV-2 preceding those of hospitalized  
527 patients with COVID-19. *Water Research* 189, 8.

528 Saththasivam, J., El-Malah, S.S., Gomez, T.A., Jabbar, K.A., Remanan, R., Krishnankutty, A.K., Ogunbiyi,  
529 O., Rasool, K., Ashhab, S., Rashkeev, S., Bensaad, M., Ahmed, A.A., Mohamoud, Y.A., Malek, J.A., Abu  
530 Raddad, L.J., Jeremijenko, A., Abu Halaweh, H.A., Lawler, J. and Mahmoud, K.A. (2021) COVID-19 (SARS-  
531 CoV-2) outbreak monitoring using wastewater-based epidemiology in Qatar. *Science of The Total*  
532 *Environment* 774, 145608.

533 Sherchan, S.P., Shahin, S., Ward, L.M., Tandukar, S., Aw, T.G., Schmitz, B., Ahmed, W. and Kitajima, M.  
534 (2020) First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North America: A study in Louisiana, USA.  
535 *Science of The Total Environment* 743, 6.

536 Torii, S., Furumai, H. and Katayama, H. (2021) Applicability of polyethylene glycol precipitation  
537 followed by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction for the detection of SARS-  
538 CoV-2 RNA from municipal wastewater. *Science of The Total Environment* 756, 10.

539 Wang, X.W., Li, J.S., Guo, T.K., Zhen, B., Kong, Q.X., Yi, B., Li, Z., Song, N., Jin, M., Xiao, W.J., Zhu, X.M.,  
540 Gu, C.Q., Yin, J., Wei, W., Yao, W., Liu, C., Li, J.F., Ou, G.R., Wang, M.N., Fang, T.Y., Wang, G.J., Qiu, Y.H.,  
541 Wu, H.H., Chao, F.H. and Li, J.W. (2005) Concentration and detection of SARS coronavirus in sewage  
542 from Xiao Tang Shan Hospital and the 309th Hospital. *Journal of Virological Methods* 128(1-2), 156-  
543 161.

544 Wölfel, R., Corman, V.M., Guggemos, W., Seilmaier, M., Zange, S., Müller, M.A., Niemeyer, D., Jones,  
545 T.C., Vollmar, P., Rothe, C., Hoelscher, M., Bleicker, T., Brünink, S., Schneider, J., Ehmann, R.,  
546 Zwirgmaier, K., Drosten, C. and Wendtner, C. (2020) Virological assessment of hospitalized patients  
547 with COVID-2019. *Nature* 581(7809), 465-469.

548 Wong, M.C.S., Huang, J., Lai, C., Ng, R., Chan, F.K.L. and Chan, P.K.S. (2020) Detection of SARS-CoV-2  
549 RNA in fecal specimens of patients with confirmed COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Infection*  
550 81(2), e31-e38.

551 Wu, F.Q., Zhang, J.B., Xiao, A., Gu, X.Q., Lee, W.L., Armas, F., Kauffman, K., Hanage, W., Matus, M.,  
552 Ghaeli, N., Endo, N., Duvallet, C., Poyet, M., Moniz, K., Washburne, A.D., Erickson, T., Chai, P.,  
553 Thompson, J. and Alm, E. (2020a) SARS-CoV-2 Titers in Wastewater Are Higher than Expected from  
554 Clinically Confirmed Cases. *Msystems* 5(4), 9.

555 Wu, Y., Guo, C., Tang, L., Hong, Z., Zhou, J., Dong, X., Yin, H., Xiao, Q., Tang, Y., Qu, X., Kuang, L., Fang,  
556 X., Mishra, N., Lu, J., Shan, H., Jiang, G. and Huang, X. (2020b) Prolonged presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral  
557 RNA in faecal samples. *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 5(5), 434-435.

558 Zhou, N.A., Tharpe, C., Meschke, J.S. and Ferguson, C.M. (2021) Survey of rapid development of  
559 environmental surveillance methods for SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater. *Science of The Total*  
560 *Environment* 769.

561