

## **Are culturally vibrant communities healthier? Relationships between performing arts activity and health outcomes in the 500 largest US cities**

J. Matt McCrary<sup>1,2</sup>

Michael Großbach<sup>1</sup>

Eckart Altenmüller<sup>1</sup>

*<sup>1</sup>Institute of Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine, Hannover University of Music Drama and Media; Hannover, Germany*

*<sup>2</sup>Prince of Wales Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney; Sydney, Australia*

### Corresponding Author:

J. Matt McCrary  
Institute for Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine  
Hannover University for Music, Drama and Media  
Neues Haus 1  
30175 Hannover  
Germany  
[j.matt.mccrary@gmail.com](mailto:j.matt.mccrary@gmail.com)

**KEYWORDS:** Public Health; Music; Dance; Theatre; Epidemiology

1 **Abstract**

2 **Aims:** Recent reviews have demonstrated broad links between performing arts participation (*e.g.*  
3 *music-making; dancing; acting*) and receptive engagement (*e.g. listening to music; attending a*  
4 *dance/theatre performance*) and improved health, including reduced disease and mortality risk.  
5 However, no investigations to date have interrogated the links between community-level  
6 performing arts activity (*i.e. participation + receptive engagement*) and health outcomes – *i.e. do*  
7 *the performing arts help create healthy communities?* This study aims to address this question by  
8 examining links between performing arts activity and health outcomes across 500 cities in the  
9 United States.

10 **Methods:** Secondary analysis of demographic, health outcome, performing arts activity  
11 (estimated by annual performing arts revenue), and preventive/unhealthy behaviour data for 500  
12 large cities in the United States – data extracted from the US Centers for Disease Control 500  
13 Cities Project, Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers Database, and US Census. Links between performing  
14 arts activity and 12 health/disease outcomes were evaluated using a series of hierarchical beta  
15 regression models which progressively controlled for demographic variables and  
16 preventive/unhealthy behaviour prevalence.

17 **Results:** The 500 analysed US cities comprise 33.4% of the total US population and 84,010  
18 performing arts businesses (total annual revenue \$27.84 billion). No significant associations  
19 were found between performing arts activity and nine of twelve health outcomes in fully adjusted  
20 models ( $p \geq .17$ ). Statistically significant relationships ( $p < .01$ ) between increased performing arts  
21 activity and increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke  
22 were determined to be clinically equivocal.

23 **Conclusions:** This study contributes to a growing body of conflicting epidemiologic evidence  
24 regarding the impact of the performing arts on health/disease and mortality outcomes, evaluated  
25 using a range of disparate methodologies. A consensus, psychometrically rigorous approach is  
26 required to address this prevailing uncertainty in future epidemiologic studies examining effects  
27 of performing arts activities both within and across countries and communities.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

## 42 **Introduction**

43 Recent systematic and scoping reviews have demonstrated broad links between performing arts  
44 participation (*e.g. music-making; dancing; acting*) and receptive engagement (*e.g. listening to*  
45 *music; attending a dance or theatre performance*) and improved health and wellbeing (1, 2).  
46 Included amongst these broad health benefits are suggestive links between the performing arts  
47 and a reduced risk of early mortality and non-communicable diseases including cancer, dementia  
48 and cardiovascular disease (3-7). However, no investigations to date have interrogated the links  
49 between community-level performing arts activity (*i.e. participation + receptive engagement*)  
50 and health outcomes – in other words, *do the performing arts help create healthy communities?*  
51 In addition to being directly health-promoting activities, the performing arts are also substantial  
52 contributors to culture in communities in the United States, with culture also independently noted  
53 to substantially impact health (8). The performing arts (*i.e. music, dance, theatre*) are the most  
54 popular artistic modalities in the United States - 43% of adults attend performing arts events at  
55 least once annually; 74% engage with the performing arts using electronic media (*e.g. television;*  
56 *online*); and 40% participate in the performing arts (*i.e. play an instrument, sing, dance, act*) at  
57 least once every year (9). This study aims to provide insights into the impact of the performing  
58 arts on community health by examining links between performing arts activity and health  
59 outcomes across 500 cities in the United States.

60

## 61 **Methods**

62 Study aims were addressed through secondary analyses of data obtained from the US Centers for  
63 Disease Control and Prevention 500 Cities Project (10), Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers Database  
64 (11), and the US Census Bureau (12).

65 *US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 500 Cities Project (10) – Health Outcomes;*  
66 *Unhealthy & Preventive Behaviours*

67 The 500 Cities Project uses small area estimation methods (13) to approximate the prevalence of  
68 13 health outcomes, 9 preventive behaviours, and 5 unhealthy behaviours in the 497 largest US  
69 cities (as per 2010 US census). Additionally, to ensure representation from each US state, data  
70 from the largest cities in Vermont, West Virginia and Wyoming are included. The 2019 release  
71 of 500 Cities Project data was used in the present study.

72 Included health outcomes are: *arthritis; asthma; cancer; chronic kidney disease; chronic*  
73 *obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); coronary heart disease; diabetes; high blood pressure;*  
74 *high cholesterol; poor mental health; poor physical health; tooth loss (all teeth); and stroke.*

75 Included unhealthy behaviours are: *binge drinking; smoking, physical activity; obesity; and*  
76 *insufficient sleep.* Included preventive behaviours are (restricted to appropriate age groups as  
77 relevant): *annual dental visit; annual medical check-up; breast cancer screening*

78 *(mammography); cervical cancer screening (Papanicolaou smear); cholesterol screening;*  
79 *colorectal cancer screening (colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, faecal occult blood test); core set of*  
80 *clinical preventive services for older adults; health insurance coverage; and high blood pressure*  
81 *medication adherence.* All data are reported as an age-adjusted % prevalence of the respective  
82 health outcome or behaviour for each of the 500 included cities. Full details of the 500 Cities  
83 Project can be found in (10).

84

85 *Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers (11) – Performing Arts Activity*

86 Performing arts activity was estimated for each of the 500 cities included in the 500 Cities

87 Project by extracting annual revenue (2019) data for performing arts-related for- and non-profit

88 entities from the Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers database. Hoovers is the world’s largest commercial  
89 financial database including over 170 million businesses, and has been previously used to  
90 estimate cultural activity in US cities and analyse relationships between cultural activity and  
91 health outcomes (14). Performing arts-related entities were identified by eight-digit Standard  
92 Industrial Classification (SIC) codes (15), with 78 codes related to performing arts participation  
93 and/or receptive engagement selected for inclusion (Supplementary Table 1). All included SIC  
94 codes are related to participation and/or receptive engagement with live performing arts. SIC  
95 codes related to recorded performing arts were explicitly excluded given more tenuous links  
96 between revenue and performing arts participation/receptive engagement.

97 *US Census Bureau (12) – Demographic covariates*

98 Population, median per capita income and racial (% White, African-American, Hispanic) data  
99 were extracted from the most recent US Census (2010) for each of the 500 cities included in the  
100 500 Cities Project. Demographic data were extracted to serve as covariates given the  
101 demonstrated impact of economic and racial disparities on health outcomes (16, 17).

102 *Statistical analyses*

103 Relationships between performing arts activity and each health outcome were analysed using a  
104 series of hierarchical beta regression models. Beta regression has been shown to be ideal for  
105 effectively modelling proportion outcomes (*i.e. the 13 included health outcomes*) which are  
106 limited to the interval [0,1](18). Hierarchical modelling enabled consideration of established  
107 social and economic relationships between cities contained within the same metropolitan area;  
108 for example, hierarchical models could appropriately treat Santa Monica, Los Angeles (city), and  
109 Long Beach as related cities with the Los Angeles metropolitan area, rather than completely  
110 independent entities (19). Hierarchical models included two levels: Level 1 = metropolitan areas

111 including at least one of the analysed 500 cities; Level 2 = the individual 500 cities, coded and  
112 stratified by metropolitan area. Metropolitan areas were defined using Combined Statistical Area  
113 designations assigned by the US Office of Management and Budget in reflection of social and  
114 economic links between cities (20, 21).

115 Four hierarchical beta regression models were created using the *PROC GLIMMIX* procedure in  
116 SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) to analyse the relations between performing arts  
117 activity and each of the 13 health outcomes across the 500 included cities:

118 Model 1 – completely unadjusted

119 Model 2 – adjusted for demographic covariates (*median annual per capita income;*  
120 *population size; % White; % African-American; % Hispanic*)

121 Model 3 – adjusted for everything in Model 2 + prevalence of five unhealthy behaviours

122 Model 4 – adjusted for everything in Model 3 + prevalence of nine preventive behaviours

123 All models exceed recommended ratios of observation to predictor variables; additionally, a  
124 priori designation of covariates has been shown to minimize potential risks of overfitting (22).

125 Variance Inflation Factors for predictor variables (*i.e. performing arts activity*) were checked to  
126 ensure that potentially problematic levels of multicollinearity (Variance Inflation Factor > 10)

127 were not present (23). Using the logit link, odds ratios were derived from parameter estimates of

128 each model (18); odds ratios are multipliers describing the impact of a \$1 billion increase in

129 performing arts revenue on the % prevalence of each health outcome. Analyses of cancer

130 prevalence were excluded due to particularly tight clustering of cancer prevalence data which

131 precluded accurate modelling. Missing cervical cancer screening data from 47 cities were

132 multiply imputed (10 imputations) using the *PROC MI* procedure (SAS v9.4); Model 4

133 parameter estimates were averaged across the 10 multiply imputed datasets using the *PROC*  
 134 *MIANALYZE* procedure (SAS v9.4). Data for all other investigated variables were complete.

	<b>Median</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
Annual performing arts revenue (\$ - millions)	7.56	0.29	4186.22
Population	106,106	42,417	8,175,133
Median annual per capita income (\$)	29,031	14,509	90,042
<b><i>Racial Demographics (%)</i></b>			
White	72.2	12.3	96.8
African-American	9.7	0.0	84.9
Hispanic	16.4	1.0	96.8
<b><i>Health Outcomes (% prevalence)</i></b>			
Arthritis	22.0	13.3	33.9
Asthma	9.4	6.7	14.2
Chronic kidney disease	3.1	2.1	4.8
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	6.1	3.1	11.3
Coronary heart disease	5.7	3.5	8.8
Diabetes	10.3	5.6	20.3
High blood pressure	30.0	20.7	47.3
High cholesterol	29.5	24.1	34.1
Poor mental health	13.6	8.3	19.6
Poor physical health	12.7	7.0	20.5
Teeth lost (all)	14.5	5.1	31.8
Stroke	3.1	1.8	6.1
<b><i>Unhealthy Behaviours (% prevalence)</i></b>			
Binge drinking	17.7	6.2	25.4
Current smoker	17.2	7.9	29.6
Insufficient sleep	35.4	24.5	50.1
Physical inactivity	26.2	12.9	45.4
Obesity	30.5	15.3	49.1
<b><i>Preventive Behaviours (% prevalence)</i></b>			
Annual dental	63.4	41.8	81.5
Annual medical	67.9	54.2	81.3
Breast cancer screening	74.9	60.0	83.5
Cervical cancer screening	78.6	67.7	85.9
Cholesterol screening	79.8	70.2	85.2
Colon cancer screening	64.6	43.2	77.7
Health insurance	15.1	4.8	43.9
High blood pressure medication adherence	57.1	46.6	69.7
Preventive services – older men	34.0	19.4	53.3
Preventive services – older women	32.1	17.5	46.6

135 **Table 1.** Descriptive demographic, health outcome and behavioural data for the 500 analysed  
 136 cities.

137

138 **Results**

139 The 500 analysed US cities comprise 33.4% of the total U.S. population (103,020,808 people)  
140 and 84,010 performing arts businesses with a total annual revenue of \$27.84 billion. Of this total  
141 performing arts revenue, \$10.42 billion went to performing artists, \$11.84 billion went to  
142 performing arts production/support services, \$3.30 billion went to performing arts venues, and  
143 \$2.27 billion went to performing arts education. Median, minimum and maximum values for  
144 demographic and health and behavioural outcomes across the 500 analysed cities are detailed in  
145 Table 1.

146 <<INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE>>

147 Fully adjusted regression models (Model 4) demonstrate statistically significant associations  
148 between increased performing arts activity and increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease,  
149 coronary heart disease, and stroke ( $p < .01$ ; Table 2). Odds ratios indicate that a \$1 billion increase  
150 in performing arts revenue is linked to increases in chronic kidney disease, coronary heart  
151 disease, and stroke by factors of 1.06, 1.13, and 1.11, respectively (Figure 1). For a hypothetical  
152 city of median population, performing arts revenue and incidence of health outcomes, Model 4  
153 demonstrates that a 100% increase in performing arts revenue would be associated with an  
154 additional 2, 6, and 3 cases of chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke,  
155 respectively. No other significant links between performing arts activity and health outcomes  
156 were found in fully adjusted models (Model 4;  $p \geq .17$ ).

157

158

159

Outcome	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4		
	Parameter estimate	SE	p	Parameter estimate	SE	p	Parameter estimate	SE	p	Parameter estimate	SE	p
Arthritis	-0.045	0.039	0.25	0.062	0.059	0.29	0.011	0.039	0.78	0.009	0.037	0.81
Asthma	0.008	0.026	0.77	0.065	0.053	0.22	0.034	0.043	0.43	-0.018	0.037	0.53
Chronic kidney disease	0.047	0.027	0.09	0.103**	0.035	<0.01	0.061*	0.027	0.02	0.061**	0.023	<0.01
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	-0.00001	0.050	0.99	0.225**	0.081	<0.01	0.063	0.046	0.17	0.059	0.042	0.17
Coronary heart disease	0.025	0.034	0.47	0.207***	0.052	<0.001	0.115***	0.024	<0.001	0.120***	0.023	<0.001
Diabetes	0.077	0.044	0.08	0.057	0.063	0.37	0.001	0.036	0.98	0.036	0.033	0.28
High blood pressure	0.021	0.042	0.62	-0.029	0.061	0.63	-0.069	0.039	0.08	-0.012	0.035	0.73
High cholesterol	0.009	0.018	0.60	0.006	0.041	0.88	-0.017	0.035	0.62	0.022	0.032	0.51
Poor mental health	-0.001	0.036	0.97	0.169*	0.055	0.02	0.076*	0.035	0.03	0.041	0.031	0.19
Poor physical health	0.022	0.042	0.60	0.188**	0.059	<0.01	0.081*	0.034	0.02	0.043	0.029	0.14
Teeth lost (all)	0.071	0.067	0.29	0.376***	0.113	<0.001	0.177*	0.078	0.02	0.041	0.063	0.52
Stroke	0.064	0.037	0.09	0.204***	0.045	<0.001	0.122***	0.030	<0.001	0.102***	0.025	<0.001

160

161 **Table 2.** Hierarchical beta regression results describing the relationships between performing arts activity and all health outcomes \* -  
162 p<.05; \*\* - p<.01; p<.001. Model 1: Unadjusted. Model 2: Adjusted for population size, median annual per capita income, and racial  
163 demographics (% White; % African-American; % Hispanic). Model 3: Adjusted for all in Model 2 + prevalence of unhealthy  
164 behaviours. Model 4: Adjusted for all in Model 3 + prevalence of preventive behaviours.

165

## 166 Discussion

167 This secondary analysis of performing arts activity and health outcome data across 500 US cities  
168 representing 1/3 of the total US population revealed minimal links between community-level  
169 performing arts activity and health outcomes. Fully adjusted models only revealed statistically  
170 significant relationships between performing arts activity and an increased incidence of three of  
171 twelve investigated health outcomes: chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke  
172 incidence. However, the public health implications of these statistically significant links are  
173 equivocal – models indicate that considerable 100% increases in performing arts revenue would  
174 be linked to only single digit increases in disease incidence in most analysed cities. Such small  
175 but statistically significant associations in observational epidemiologic research have been shown  
176 to be most likely the result of uncontrolled bias and thus unlikely to be credible (24-26). Further,  
177 performing arts participation has been shown to positively impact chronic kidney disease,  
178 coronary heart disease and stroke risk factors (*e.g. body composition; inflammation*) in prior  
179 studies (1); no links between performing arts activity and increased incidence or risk factors for  
180 these diseases have been reported (1, 2). Accordingly, the results of this analysis are judged to  
181 illustrate an absence of *clinically* significant associations between performing arts activity and  
182 health outcomes – the remainder of the discussion has been written on this basis.

183 Given the broad benefits of performing arts participation and receptive engagement related to a  
184 range of health outcomes (1, 2), the absence of links between community-level performing arts  
185 activity and health outcomes in the present study was unexpected. However, null results of the  
186 present study are far from the exception in epidemiologic studies investigating performing arts  
187 impact. Only one of three studies found a positive effect of performing arts activity on all-cause  
188 mortality (5, 27, 28), while two of three studies have demonstrated protective effects of

189 performing arts activity on dementia incidence (3, 7, 29). Methods of evaluating performing arts  
190 participation and receptive engagement vary across this and prior epidemiologic studies, with a  
191 notable dearth of validated and/or psychometrically tested approaches. Taken together, variation  
192 in the content and psychometric rigour of evaluation methods seems likely to be at least partially  
193 responsible for the present prevalence of mixed results.

194 In the present study, use of annual performing arts revenue to estimate performing arts activity is  
195 a notably indirect assessment approach and a key limitation. The authors hypothesized that the  
196 health impact of the performing arts would be robust enough to tolerate such indirect estimation  
197 methods, particularly given the availability of a wide array of behavioural and demographic  
198 covariates which would theoretically permit the detection of smaller effects. However, results  
199 indicate that the effects of performing arts activity may be smaller and/or more specific than  
200 predicted. Additionally, associations between performing arts revenue and participation/receptive  
201 engagement may be weaker than prior research (14) suggests. And alternately, performing arts  
202 activity may simply have no effect on broad health/disease outcomes. Further epidemiologic  
203 research using a consensus, psychometrically tested approach to evaluating performing arts  
204 activity is required to more confidently and precisely quantify performing arts effects.

205 Notably, studies which more directly assessed performing arts activity – e.g. questionnaires  
206 regarding the type and/or frequency of performing arts activities – have also returned conflicting  
207 null and positive results (3, 5, 7, 27-29). This suggests that direct assessment methods are not  
208 inherently the solution. However, one prior study interrogating links between dancing and  
209 cardiovascular disease mortality provides guidance regarding an approach that could be  
210 expanded to evaluate performing arts more broadly (6). This study used a validated interviewer-  
211 administered questionnaire to quantify the frequency, duration *and* physical intensity of dancing,

212 finding that moderate, but not light, intensity dancing was linked to reduced cardiovascular  
213 disease mortality.

214 While physical intensity is not relevant to many forms of performing arts participation and  
215 receptive engagement, direct assessment of the physiologic response to performing arts activity  
216 appears likely to facilitate better evaluations of performing arts' impact on health outcomes.  
217 Physiologic responses to performing arts have been shown to be highly variable, even within the  
218 same type of performing arts participation or receptive engagement – in a particularly clear  
219 example, performing or listening to the same piece of music can elicit a significant physiologic  
220 response in one individual but no response in another, both on average and during emotional  
221 'peaks' in the music (1, 30-35). Evidence from other domains, in particular physical activity, has  
222 demonstrated clear links between the short-term physiologic responses to activities and their  
223 long-term impact on disease and mortality (36, 37). Similar links between short-term physiologic  
224 responses to performing arts activities and long-term health outcomes seem likely, underscoring  
225 the prospective importance of evaluating these physiologic responses in future epidemiologic  
226 research.

## 227 **Conclusions**

228 This study contributes to a growing body of conflicting epidemiologic evidence regarding the  
229 health impacts of performing arts activity, revealing no relationships between community-level  
230 performing arts activity and health/disease outcome across 500 cities in the US. However,  
231 considering the context, results should be interpreted as an impetus to refine and consolidate  
232 presently disparate evaluation methods, rather than as conclusive insights regarding the impact of  
233 performing arts activity on community-level health. A consensus, psychometrically rigorous

234 method of evaluating performing arts participation and receptive engagement is required to  
235 address this prevailing uncertainty in future epidemiologic studies.

## 236 **Funding**

237 The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship,  
238 and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship from the  
239 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

## 240 **Conflicts of Interest**

241 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

242

243


## 244 **References**

- 245 1. McCrary J, Redding E, Altenmüller E. Performing arts as a health resource? An umbrella review  
246 of the health impacts of music and dance participation. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(6):e0252956.
- 247 2. Fancourt D, Finn S. What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well-  
248 being? A scoping review. 2020.
- 249 3. Verghese J, Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Hall CB, Derby CA, Kuslansky G, et al. Leisure activities and the  
250 risk of dementia in the elderly. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003;348(25):2508-16.
- 251 4. Bygren LO, Johansson S-E, Konlaan BB, Grjibovski AM, Wilkinson AV, Sjöström M. Attending  
252 cultural events and cancer mortality: A Swedish cohort study. *Arts & Health*. 2009;1(1):64-73.
- 253 5. Bygren LO, Konlaan BB, Johansson S-E. Attendance at cultural events, reading books or  
254 periodicals, and making music or singing in a choir as determinants for survival: Swedish interview  
255 survey of living conditions. *BMJ*. 1996;313(7072):1577-80.
- 256 6. Merom D, Ding D, Stamatakis E. Dancing participation and cardiovascular disease mortality: a  
257 pooled analysis of 11 population-based British cohorts. *American journal of preventive medicine*.  
258 2016;50(6):756-60.
- 259 7. Balbag MA, Pedersen NL, Gatz M. Playing a musical instrument as a protective factor against  
260 dementia and cognitive impairment: A population-based twin study. *International Journal of Alzheimer's*  
261 *Disease*. 2014;2014.
- 262 8. Napier AD, Ancarno C, Butler B, Calabrese J, Chater A, Chatterjee H, et al. Culture and health.  
263 *The Lancet*. 2014;384(9954):1607-39.
- 264 9. National Endowment for the Arts. U.S. Patterns of Arts Participation: A full report from the 2017  
265 Survey of Public Participation in the Arts. 2017.
- 266 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and  
267 Health Promotion - Division of Population Health. 500 Cities Project Data [online].  
268 <https://www.cdc.gov/places/about/500-cities-2016-2019/index.html2019>.

- 269 11. Dun & Bradstreet. Hoovers. [www.dnb.com](http://www.dnb.com)2019.
- 270 12. US Census Bureau. Census. [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)2010.
- 271 13. Wang Y, Holt JB, Zhang X, Lu H, Shah SN, Dooley DP, et al. Comparison of methods for estimating  
272 prevalence of chronic diseases and health behaviors for small geographic areas: Boston validation study,  
273 2013. *Preventing chronic disease*. 2017;14:E99.
- 274 14. American Association of Retired People Public Policy Institute. AARP Livability Index. 2018.
- 275 15. Dun & Bradstreet. Firmographic code tables - SIC 8-Digit Code. [https://www.dnb.com/utility-](https://www.dnb.com/utility-pages/dnb-demographic-firmographic-code-tables.html)  
276 [pages/dnb-demographic-firmographic-code-tables.html](https://www.dnb.com/utility-pages/dnb-demographic-firmographic-code-tables.html)2019.
- 277 16. Kaplan GA, Pamuk ER, Lynch JW, Cohen RD, Balfour JL. Inequality in income and mortality in the  
278 United States: analysis of mortality and potential pathways. *Bmj*. 1996;312(7037):999-1003.
- 279 17. National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2015: With special feature on racial  
280 and ethnic health disparities. 2016.
- 281 18. Ferrari S, Cribari-Neto F. Beta regression for modelling rates and proportions. *Journal of applied*  
282 *statistics*. 2004;31(7):799-815.
- 283 19. Clark JS, Gelfand AE. Hierarchical modelling for the environmental sciences: statistical methods  
284 and applications: OUP Oxford; 2006.
- 285 20. US Office of Management and Budget. Revised delineations of metropolitan statistical areas,  
286 micropolitan statistical areas, and combined statistical areas, and guidance on uses of the delineations in  
287 these areas. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Bulletin-20-01.pdf>; 2020.
- 288 21. US Census Bureau. Delineation Files. [https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-files/time-](https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-files/time-series/demo/metro-micro/delineation-files.html)  
289 [series/demo/metro-micro/delineation-files.html](https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-files/time-series/demo/metro-micro/delineation-files.html)2020.
- 290 22. Babyak MA. What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to  
291 overfitting in regression-type models. *Psychosomatic medicine*. 2004;66(3):411-21.
- 292 23. Hair JF. Multivariate data analysis. 2009.
- 293 24. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. False alarms and pseudo-epidemics: the limitations of observational  
294 epidemiology. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2012;120(4):920-7.
- 295 25. Ioannidis JP. Why most discovered true associations are inflated. *Epidemiology*. 2008;640-8.
- 296 26. Young SS, Karr A. Deming, data and observational studies: a process out of control and needing  
297 fixing. *Significance*. 2011;8(3):116-20.
- 298 27. Konlaan BB, Bygren LO, Johansson S-E. Visiting the cinema, concerts, museums or art exhibitions  
299 as determinant of survival: a Swedish fourteen-year cohort follow-up. *Scandinavian Journal of Public*  
300 *Health*. 2000;28(3):174-8.
- 301 28. Løkken BI, Rangul V, Merom D, Ekholm O, Krokstad S, Sund ER. Are Playing Instruments, Singing  
302 or Participating in Theatre Good for Population Health? Associations with Self-Rated Health and All-  
303 Cause Mortality in the HUNT3 Study (2006–2008), Norway. *Music and Public Health: Springer*; 2018. p.  
304 33-54.
- 305 29. Hughes TF, Chang C-CH, Vander Bilt J, Ganguli M. Engagement in reading and hobbies and risk of  
306 incident dementia: the MoVIES project. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*®.  
307 2010;25(5):432-8.
- 308 30. Salimpoor VN, Benovoy M, Longo G, Cooperstock JR, Zatorre RJ. The rewarding aspects of music  
309 listening are related to degree of emotional arousal. *PLoS one*. 2009;4(10):e7487.
- 310 31. Lynar E, Cvejic E, Schubert E, Vollmer-Conna U. The joy of heartfelt music: An examination of  
311 emotional and physiological responses. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2017;120:118-25.
- 312 32. Grewe O, Nagel F, Kopiez R, Altenmüller E. Emotions over time: synchronicity and development  
313 of subjective, physiological, and facial affective reactions to music. *Emotion*. 2007;7(4):774.
- 314 33. Chapin H, Jantzen K, Kelso JS, Steinberg F, Large E. Dynamic emotional and neural responses to  
315 music depend on performance expression and listener experience. *PLoS one*. 2010;5(12):e13812.

- 316 34. Nakahara H, Furuya S, Obata S, Masuko T, Kinoshita H. Emotion-related changes in heart rate  
317 and its variability during performance and perception of music. *Annals of the New York Academy of*  
318 *Sciences*. 2009;1169(1):359-62.
- 319 35. Grewe O, Nagel F, Kopiez R, Altenmüller E. Listening to music as a re-creative process:  
320 Physiological, psychological, and psychoacoustical correlates of chills and strong emotions. *Music*  
321 *Perception*. 2007;24(3):297-314.
- 322 36. Barisic A, Leatherdale ST, Kreiger N. Importance of frequency, intensity, time and type (FITT) in  
323 physical activity assessment for epidemiological research. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*.  
324 2011;102(3):174-5.
- 325 37. Rhodes RE, Janssen I, Bredin SS, Warburton DE, Bauman A. Physical activity: Health impact,  
326 prevalence, correlates and interventions. *Psychology & Health*. 2017;32(8):942-75.

327



**Figure 1.** Odds ratios ( $\pm$  95% confidence interval) generated from hierarchical beta regression results using the logit link (18). Odds ratios are multipliers describing the impact of a \$1 billion increase in performing arts revenue on the % prevalence of each Health Outcome.