Title page

Title: Shocked-relaxed-tired: Mental health during the three waves of the Covid-19

Authors & affiliations:

Jan S. Novotný, PhD[1], Juan P. Gonzalez-Rivas, MD[2,3], Šárka Kunzová, MD, PhD[2], Mária Skladaná, MD[2], Anna Pospíšilová, BA[2], Anna Polcrová, BA[2], Maria Vassilaki, MD PhD[4], Jose R. Medina-Inojosa, MD[5], Francisco Lopez-Jimenez, MD[5], Yonas E. Geda, MD[6], Gorazd B. Stokin, MD, PhD[1,7,8]

[1]Translational Neuroscience and Aging Program, Centre for Translational Medicine, and [2]Kardiovize study, International Clinical Research Centre, St. Anne's University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic

[3]Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

[4]Division of Epidemiology, Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, [5]Division of Preventive Cardiology, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and [7]Translational Neuroscience and Aging Program, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

[6]Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA

[8]Division of Neurology, University Medical Centre, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Corresponding author:

Gorazd B. Stokin, gbstokin@alumni.ucsd.edu, Translational Neuroscience and Aging Program, Centre for Translational Medicine, International Clinical Research Centre, St. Anne's University Hospital, Pekařská 53, 656 91 Brno, Czech Republic

Abstract

Background: Several recent studies reported that Covid-19 pandemic induces significant mental distress. It remains unknown, however, whether mental distress persists or undergoes extinction in response to consecutive Covid-19 waves. We here examine mental distress prior to and across the three Covid-19 waves.

Methods: We measured stress and depressive symptoms prior to and across the three Covid-19 waves in a well-established population-based sample. A total of 330 participants completed e-questionnaires prior to and during the Covid-19 waves. Friedman's repeated-measures and Wilcoxon rank sum pairwise test with Bonferroni correction were used to investigate repeated-measure differences, while the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to assess the effect of behavioral and physiological parameters on mental health.

Results: We found significantly increased mental distress during each of the Covid-19 waves compared with the pre-Covid-19 period. Increased mental distress was more common in women and most pronounced during the 1st and in particular, the 3rd Covid-19 wave. The stress and depressive symptoms increased during pandemic compared with the pre-Covid-19 period by a maximum of 1.58 and 3.63 times, respectively. Negative emotions and loneliness were identified as the most significant risk factors of Covid-19-induced mental distress, while resilience was found to be protective.

Conclusions: Mental distress surged during all the Covid-19 waves without showing extinction. This pattern is mechanistically reminiscent of the post-traumatic stress disorder. Our results suggest that the Covid-19 pandemic underlies development of a novel chronic mental health disorder and do not exclude possible further deterioration of mental health in case of future Covid-19 waves.

Keywords: Covid-19, longitudinal, stress levels, depressive symptoms, chronic mental health disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder

Introduction

The Covid-19 pandemic, which hit the world in early 2020, affected lives of most people in a number of areas ranging from health to their basic needs including social interaction and free movement. Although the effects of epidemics on mental health were described previously[1–3], the magnitude of their impact on mental health only emerged during the Covid-19 pandemic[4–7]. This impact is documented in a string of recently reported studies[8–11], which all demonstrate significant surge in mental distress during the Covid-19 pandemic. These studies, however, often lack pre-pandemic data and do not address the effects of individual Covid-19 waves and in general the long-term consequences of the Covid-19 pandemic on the mental health. It remains therefore unknown whether consecutive Covid-19 waves lead to eventual extinction[12] of the Covid-19-induced mental distress similarly to other fear behaviours[13,14]. As a result, it also remains unclear whether the observed mental distress is a temporary short-term response to the Covid-19-pandemic, or a matter of a novel pandemic driven chronic mental health disorder sustained by the consecutive Covid-19 waves. Considering the protracted and continuously evolving course of the Covid-19 pandemic, including the emergence of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants, knowledge of the dynamics of the Covid-19-induced mental distress is imperative also to inform about mental health during probable future Covid-19 waves. We here investigate longitudinal changes in mental health before and across the three waves of the Covid-19 pandemic in a well-characterized population-based sample.

Methods

Study design and study population

Kardiovize study is a prospective longitudinal epidemiological cohort that investigates cardiovascular, neuropsychiatric, and other health-related topics in Central Europe. A summary of the baseline examination protocol and general characteristics of the population-based sample have been published previously[15]. The inclusion criteria for the Covid-19 add-on study were all the participants of the Kardiovize study with available data on stress and

depressive symptoms prior to the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic. Overview of the recruitment process for the Covid-19 add-on study has been published previously[7].

Procedure

The Covid-19 add-on study was conducted across the three Covid-19 waves. The first wave took place from April 23 to May 27, 2020, during the first nation-wide lockdown. The second wave took place from August 31 to October 23, 2020, following the restriction-free summer. The third wave took place from March 4 to April 7, 2021, and coincided with several months of severe restrictions. Total of 1823 Kardiovize study participants were invited to join the Covid-19 add-on study. E-questionnaire was completed through an online survey module using validated RedCap software (Research Electronic Data Capture) tool[16]. 330 out of 715 participants who originally enrolled into the Covid-19 add-on study (46%) completed e-questionnaire prior to and during all of the three Covid-19 waves.

E-questionnaire

The e-questionnaire consisted of several sections that surveyed demographic characteristics, mental health (levels of stress and depressive symptoms), lifestyle changes due to the government's measures to contain the Covid-19 transmission and select other factors that may influence mental health as previously published[7].

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were conducted for the socio-demographic variables. Missing values amounting to 1.8% of the total sample were identified in the pre-Covid-19 stress (N = 6) and depressive symptoms (N = 6) scales. No missing value imputations were performed. The missing data were completely at random and non-overlapping in cases. Due to violation of the normality, we used the Friedman's repeated-measures test to evaluate overall changes in stress and depressive symptoms and Wilcoxon rank sum pairwise test with Bonferroni correction to identify differences between study timepoints. Kruskal-Wallis test with eta-square

effect size was used to examine the impact of different variables on mental health. Data analysis and visualization were performed in the R (v.4.1.0) environment with rstatix, sjmisc, ggplot2, and pheatmap packages.

Results

The sample consisted of 330 participants who completed the e-questionnaire prior to and during all of the three Covid-19 waves; 149 (45.2%) males, mean age of 45.9 ±10.7 years (age ±SD). Participants were largely well-educated considering majority of them held university degrees (Table 1). Characteristics of the Covid-19 add-on study sample were similar to the baseline Kardiovize study population-based sample, maintaining its representativeness.

We observed significantly increased mean stress levels (χ^2=33.622, P<0.001) during the 1st and 3rd Covid-19 waves compared with the pre-Covid-19 period as well as during the 3rd compared with the 2nd Covid-19 wave (Figure 1, eTable 1 in Supplementary Appendix). Prevalence of moderate-to-high stress increased 1.58 times during the 3rd Covid-19 wave compared with the pre-Covid-19 period (eTables 2 and 3 in Supplementary Appendix). Mean stress levels and the prevalence of moderate-to-high stress were higher in women compared to men at all timepoints (eTables 1 and 2 in Supplementary Appendix).

Similarly, we observed significantly increased severity of depressive symptoms (χ^2=38.961, P<0.001) between all three Covid-19 waves and the pre-Covid-19 period as well as between the 3rd compared with the 2nd and 1st Covid-19 waves (Figure 2, eTable 1 in Supplementary Appendix). The prevalence of significant depressive symptoms increased 3.63 times during the 3rd Covid-19 wave compared with the pre-Covid-19 period (eTables 2 and 3 in Supplementary Appendix). As with stress, the severity of depressive symptoms and the prevalence of significant depressive symptoms was higher in women compared with men (eTables 1 and 2 in Supplementary Appendix).

We next searched for lifestyle and psychosocial variables that show significant association with mental distress developed during the Covid-19 pandemic. We identified negative emotional perception of the Covid-19 illness and feelings of loneliness as the most significant

risk factors for developing mental distress during the Covid-19 pandemic. In contrast, higher level of resilience was found to be protective of Covid-19-induced mental distress (eFigure 1 in Supplementary Appendix).

Discussion

We examined longitudinally changes in mental health in response to the Covid-19 pandemic by measuring stress levels and severity of depressive symptoms prior to and during all three of the Covid-19 waves in a representative population-based sample. Consistent with previous findings, we find significantly increased stress levels and severity of depressive symptoms during the Covid-19 pandemic compared with the pre-Covid-19 period[6,8,9,17,18] and higher risk of mental distress in response to Covid-19 in women compared with men[19–21].

We extend these findings by showing that the magnitude of the observed mental distress matched the severity of the measures undertaken during specific Covid-19 waves. More specifically, during the 1st Covid-19 wave, there were not many individuals afflicted by the SARS-CoV-2 infection in the Czech population and people focused largely on adapting to the severely restrictive measures of the complete nationwide lockdown imposed by the government. These restrictive measures led to significant surge in mental distress[5,22–24]. The 2nd Covid-19 wave followed summer vacation period with the population well acquainted with then already relaxed restrictive measures, which contained further increase in mental distress. During the 3rd wave, however, there was a significant increase in SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and deaths, reintroduction of severe restrictive measures and prolonged uncertainty about “returning to the normal life” with increasing fatigue of the population, which translated into significantly increased mental distress[8,25–27].

Intriguingly, consecutive Covid-19 waves did not alleviate, but rather sustained mental distress. This suggests that Covid-19-induced mental distress is not a temporary short-term condition, but rather a yet to be defined chronic mental health disorder. Considering fear extinction plays an important role in the pathogenesis of anxiety and the post-traumatic stress disorder[14,28,29], the observed lack of decrease in mental distress following consecutive Covid-19 waves

suggests that similar delayed fear extinction mechanisms may play a role also in the development of the Covid-19-induced mental distress.

We also screened for risk factors of Covid-19-induced mental distress across all the Covid-19 waves. Finding of negative emotional perception of the Covid-19 illness and feelings of loneliness as the major risk factor of Covid-19-induced mental distress is consistent with concerns about mental health, emotional experience, and in particular negative emotional perceptions of illness, as well as feeling of loneliness, both considered general risk factors of mental distress[30–33]. Similarly, finding of resilience protecting against Covid-19-induced mental distress is consistent with its very definition, the ability to overcome adversity without compromising its own mental and emotional stability[17,34–36].

Conclusions and recommendations

Our results demonstrate significant increase in stress levels and severity of depressive symptoms in all three Covid-19 waves compared with the pre-Covid-19 period. This sustained state of mental distress suggests that Covid-19-induced a novel yet to be characterized chronic mental health disorder. Lack of extinction of mental distress during the consecutive Covid-19 waves further suggests that the mechanisms underlying Covid-19-related chronic mental health disorder are similar to the ones involved in the development of the post-traumatic stress syndrome. In agreement with established mental health disorders, Covid-19-induced mental distress is related primarily to the negative emotional experience and the feeling of loneliness. Further research is needed to characterize and define Covid-19-related chronic mental health disorder and to decipher mechanisms underlying its pathogenesis.

Importantly, the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic with the situation in many countries now similar to the 2nd Covid-19 wave described in this study (relaxation of restrictive measures), together with delta-variant-related resurgence of Covid-19 infections, indicate high probability of further increases in mental distress. Based on the evidence provided in our study, we can be concerned that future increases in mental distress cause even greater mental health issues. Several measures can be undertaken to prevent and improve Covid-19-induced mental

distress. First, more intense and better organised approaches to mental health care must be incorporated into public health policies to protect mental health. Second, individuals at high risk of developing mental distress need to be identified in a timely manner and offered appropriate interventions (increased social contacts, programs to build resilience, cognitive-behavioural techniques, etc.)[37,38]. And third, development and use of online technologies and web-based prevention and intervention tools should be incorporated into the mental health care process[39,40].

Statements

Supported by the European Regional Development Fund - Project ENOCH 750 (No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000868).

The research protocol was approved by St. Anne's University Hospital ethics committee and the Internal Review Board. All participants were acquainted with the research and provided written informed consent.

Maria Vassilaki has received research funding from Roche and Biogen in the past; she currently consults for Roche, receives research funding from NIH and EU/ St. Anne's University Hospital Brno (Czech Republic), and has equity ownership in Abbott Laboratories, Johnson and Johnson, Medtronic, and Amgen. No other disclosures were reported.

The data used in this study are available on request immediately following the publication to anyone who submits the online request that will be approved by the St. Anne's University Hospital International Clinical Research Centre internal board. The researchers must provide their research intentions and goals, and specify, and justify requested variables. The data will be provided for a limited and well-defined time via cloud service or e-mail in csv format. After defined period the data should be returned, and all other copies should be destroyed.

The authors contributed to this article as follows: GBS and JPGR conceived the idea of this study, SK, MS, APos, and APol contributed to the discussion and battery creation, JSN made the statistical analysis, JSN and GBS wrote the draft of the manuscript, YEG, JRMI, FLJ, MV, GBS contributed to the discussion and reviewed.

We thank the participants of the Kardiovize study for their long-term participation in this Covid-19 add-on study.

References

1. Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, Pogorski S, Galea S, Styra R. SARS Control and Psychological Effects of Quarantine, Toronto, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet] 2004;10(7):1206–12. Available from: http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/10/7/03-0703_article.htm
2. Jalloh MF, Li W, Bunnell RE, et al. Impact of Ebola experiences and risk perceptions on mental health in Sierra Leone, July 2015. BMJ Glob Heal [Internet] 2018;3(2):e000471. Available from: http://gh.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000471
3. Maunder RG. Was SARS a mental health catastrophe? Gen Hosp Psychiatry [Internet] 2009;31(4):316–7. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0163834309000814
4. Knolle F, Ronan L, Murray GK. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: a comparison between Germany and the UK. BMC Psychol [Internet] 2021;9(1):60. Available from: https://bmcpsychology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40359-021-00565-y
5. McGinty EE, Presskreischer R, Han H, Barry CL. Psychological Distress and Loneliness Reported by US Adults in 2018 and April 2020. JAMA [Internet] 2020;324(1):93. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766941
6. Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, et al. Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. The Lancet Psychiatry [Internet] 2020;Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2215036620303084
7. Novotný JS, Gonzalez-Rivas JP, Kunzová Š, et al. Risk Factors Underlying COVID-19 Lockdown-Induced Mental Distress. Front Psychiatry [Internet] 2020;11. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.603014/full
8. Hamama-Raz Y, Goodwin R, Leshem E, Ben-Ezra M. The toll of a second lockdown:

A longitudinal study. J Affect Disord [Internet] 2021;294:60–2. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165032721006686

9. Ramiz L, Contrand B, Rojas Castro MY, et al. A longitudinal study of mental health before and during COVID-19 lockdown in the French population. Global Health [Internet] 2021;17(1):29. Available from: https://globalizationandhealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12992-021-00682-8

10. Petersen MW, Dantoft TM, Jensen JS, et al. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on mental and physical health in Denmark – a longitudinal population-based study before and during the first wave. BMC Public Health [Internet] 2021;21(1):1418. Available from: https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-021-11472-7

11. Stroud I, Gutman LM. Longitudinal changes in the mental health of UK young male and female adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychiatry Res [Internet] 2021;303:114074. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165178121003711

12. Phelps EA, Delgado MR, Nearing KI, LeDoux JE. Extinction Learning in Humans. Neuron [Internet] 2004;43(6):897–905. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0896627304005689

13. Ahrenholtz R, Hiser J, Ross MC, et al. Unique neurocircuitry activation profiles during fear conditioning and extinction among women with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiatr Res [Internet] 2021;141:257–66. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022395621004271

14. Linton SR, Levita L. Potentiated perceptual neural responses to learned threat during Pavlovian fear acquisition and extinction in adolescents. Dev Sci [Internet] 2021;Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/desc.13107

15. Movsisyan NK, Vinciguerra M, Lopez-Jimenez F, et al. Kardiovize Brno 2030, a prospective cardiovascular health study in Central Europe: Methods, baseline findings

and future directions. Eur J Prev Cardiol [Internet] 2018;25(1):54–64. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2047487317726623

16. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform [Internet] 2009;42(2):377–81. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1532046408001226

17. Olff M, Primasari I, Qing Y, et al. Mental health responses to COVID-19 around the world. Eur J Psychotraumatol [Internet] 2021;12(1):1929754. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20008198.2021.1929754

18. Wang Z, Luo S, Xu J, et al. Well-Being Reduces COVID-19 Anxiety: A Three-Wave Longitudinal Study in China. J Happiness Stud [Internet] 2021;Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10902-021-00385-2

19. Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review [Internet]. J. Affect. Disord. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 1];277:55–64. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7413844/?report=abstract

20. Pieh C, Budimir S, Delgadillo J, Barkham M, Fontaine JRJ, Probst T. Mental Health During COVID-19 Lockdown in the United Kingdom. Psychosom Med [Internet] 2021;83(4):328–37. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000871

21. Salk RH, Hyde JS, Abramson LY. Gender differences in depression in representative national samples: Meta-analyses of diagnoses and symptoms. Psychol Bull [Internet] 2017;143(8):783–822. Available from: http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/bul0000102

22. Mertens G, Gerritsen L, Duijndam S, Salemink E, Engelhard IM. Fear of the coronavirus (COVID-19): Predictors in an online study conducted in March 2020. J Anxiety Disord [Internet] 2020;74:102258. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0887618520300724

23. Satici B, Saricali M, Satici SA, Griffiths MD. Intolerance of Uncertainty and Mental Wellbeing: Serial Mediation by Rumination and Fear of COVID-19. Int J Ment Health Addict [Internet] 2020;Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11469-020-00305-0

24. Marroquín B, Vine V, Morgan R. Mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Effects of stay-at-home policies, social distancing behavior, and social resources. Psychiatry Res [Internet] 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 1];293:113419. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7439968/?report=abstract

25. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet [Internet] 2020;395(10227):912–20. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673620304608

26. Rettie H, Daniels J. Coping and tolerance of uncertainty: Predictors and mediators of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. Am Psychol [Internet] 2021;76(3):427–37. Available from: http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/amp0000710

27. Moradian S, Bäuerle A, Schweda A, et al. Differences and similarities between the impact of the first and the second COVID-19-lockdown on mental health and safety behaviour in Germany. J Public Health (Bangkok) [Internet] 2021;Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdab037/6151738

28. Nees F, Pohlack ST, Grimm O, Winkelmann T, Zidda F, Flor H. White matter correlates of contextual pavlovian fear extinction and the role of anxiety in healthy humans. Cortex [Internet] 2019;121:179–88. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0010945219303107

29. Norrholm SD, Jovanovic T. Fear Processing, Psychophysiology, and PTSD. Harv Rev Psychiatry [Internet] 2018;26(3):129–41. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/00023727-201805000-00004

30. Hankin BL. Personality and Depressive Symptoms: Stress Generation and Cognitive Vulnerabilities to Depression in a Prospective Daily Diary Study. J Soc Clin Psychol

[Internet] 2010;29(4):369–401. Available from: http://guilfordjournals.com/doi/10.1521/jscp.2010.29.4.369

31. Costa EC V., Vale S, Sobral M, Graça Pereira M. Illness perceptions are the main predictors of depression and anxiety symptoms in patients with chronic pain. Psychol Health Med [Internet] 2016;21(4):483–95. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13548506.2015.1109673

32. Skapinakis P, Bellos S, Oikonomou A, et al. Depression and Its Relationship with Coping Strategies and Illness Perceptions during the COVID-19 Lockdown in Greece: A Cross-Sectional Survey of the Population. Depress Res Treat [Internet] 2020;2020:1–11. Available from: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/drt/2020/3158954/

33. Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, Dailey NS. Loneliness: A signature mental health concern in the era of COVID-19. Psychiatry Res [Internet] 2020;290:113117. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165178120312257

34. Smith BW, Tooley EM, Christopher PJ, Kay VS. Resilience as the ability to bounce back from stress: A neglected personal resource? J Posit Psychol [Internet] 2010;5(3):166–76. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17439760.2010.482186

35. Petzold MB, Bendau A, Plag J, et al. Risk, resilience, psychological distress, and anxiety at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. Brain Behav [Internet] 2020;Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/brb3.1745

36. Killgore WDS, Taylor EC, Cloonan SA, Dailey NS. Psychological resilience during the COVID-19 lockdown. Psychiatry Res [Internet] 2020;291:113216. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S016517812031742X

37. Masi CM, Chen H-Y, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A Meta-Analysis of Interventions to Reduce Loneliness. Personal Soc Psychol Rev [Internet] 2011;15(3):219–66. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1088868310377394

38. Bavel JJV, Baicker K, Boggio PS, et al. Using social and behavioural science to

support COVID-19 pandemic response [Internet]. Nat. Hum. Behav. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 1];4(5):460–71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0884-z

39. Renton T, Tang H, Ennis N, et al. Web-Based Intervention Programs for Depression: A Scoping Review and Evaluation. J Med Internet Res [Internet] 2014;16(9):e209. Available from: http://www.jmir.org/2014/9/e209/

40. Fiol-DeRoque MA, Serrano-Ripoll MJ, Jiménez R, et al. A Mobile Phone–Based Intervention to Reduce Mental Health Problems in Health Care Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic (PsyCovidApp): Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR mHealth uHealth [Internet] 2021;9(5):e27039. Available from: https://mhealth.jmir.org/2021/5/e27039

	No (%)
N	
Pre-Covid-19	1823
Wave 1 (Spring 2020)	715
Wave 2 (Autumn 2020)	532
Wave 3 (Spring 2021)	330
Age†	45.88 ±10.72
Sex	
Males	149 (45.2)
Females	181 (54.8)
Education‡	
Without GCSE	37 (11.2)
With GCSE	114 (34.5)
University	179 (54.2)

Table 1. **The basic characteristics of the Covid-19 population-based sample**.

†Presented as mean ±SD.

‡GCSE - General Certificate of Secondary Education, University education includes higher vocational school, bachelor, master, and doctoral degrees.



Figure 1. **Changes in stress levels during the Covid-19 pandemic**. Boxplots show changes in stress level scores (median, IRQ) during the individual waves of the Covid-19 pandemic. Associated bar plots show presence of moderate-to-high stress (filled part denotes high stress prevalence). Dashed lines show changes in mean scores for males and females separately, diamonds indicate mean scores of the sample. Horizontal upper bars represent differences between individual timepoints with indicated levels of significance.



Figure 2. **Changes in the severity of depressive symptoms during the Covid-19 pandemic**. Boxplots show changes in the depressive symptoms score (median, IQR) during the individual waves of the Covid-19 pandemic. Associated bar plots show presence of significant depressive symptoms. Dashed lines show changes in mean scores for males and females separately, diamonds indicate mean scores of the sample. Horizontal upper bars represent differences between individual timepoints with indicated levels of significance.

Supplementary Appendix for „Shocked-relaxed-tired: Mental health during the three waves of the Covid-19“

Table of contents

eTable 1. Mean scores (SD) of stress and depression in study timepoints 2

eTable 2. Prevalence of significant mental distress in study timepoints (No (%)) 2

eTable 3. Fold change in prevalence of significant mental distress between study timepoints 2

eFigure 1. Effect of selected factors on mental health in study timepoints..... 3

eTable 1. Mean scores (SD) of stress and depression in study timepoints

		Sample	Males	Females
Stress				
	Pre-Covid	11.7 ±6.0	10.7 ±5.1	12.5 ±6.5
	Wave 1	13.4 ±7.0	11.8 ±6.8	14.6 ±7.0
	Wave 2	12.3 ±6.8	11.3 ±6.3	13.1 ±7.1
	Wave 3	13.9 ±7.4	12.3 ±7.0	15.1 ±7.5
Depression				
	Pre-Covid	0.6 ±1.1	0.6 ±1.0	0.6 ±1.1
	Wave 1	1.1 ±1.3	0.9 ±1.4	1.3 ±1.3
	Wave 2	0.9 ±1.3	0.7 ±1.1	1.0 ±1.4
	Wave 3	1.5 ±1.5	1.3 ±1.3	1.6 ±1.6

eTable 2. Prevalence of significant mental distress in study timepoints (No (%))

		Sample	Males	Females
Moderate-to-high stress				
	Pre-Covid	6 (33.0)	13 (24.2)	19 (40.3)
	Wave 1	20 (45.2)	31 (35.6)	51 (53.0)
	Wave 2	13 (40.9)	27 (33.6)	40 (47.0)
	Wave 3	25 (52.1)	44 (43.6)	69 (59.1)
Significant depressive symptoms				
	Pre-Covid	36 (5.8)	73 (4.0)	109 (7.2)
	Wave 1	53 (15.5)	96 (13.4)	149 (17.1)
	Wave 2	50 (12.1)	85 (8.7)	135 (14.9)
	Wave 3	65 (20.9)	107 (16.8)	172 (24.3)

eTable 3. Fold change in prevalence of significant mental distress between study timepoints

		Sample	Males	Females
Moderate-to-high stress				
Pre-Covid	Wave 1	1.37	1.47	1.32
	Wave 2	1.24	1.39	1.14
	Wave 3	1.58	1.81	1.47
Wave 1	Wave 2	0.91	0.94	0.89
	Wave 3	1.15	1.23	1.11
Wave 2	Wave 3	1.27	1.30	1.26
Significant depressive symptoms				
Pre-Covid	Wave 1	2.68	3.33	2.38
	Wave 2	2.11	2.17	2.08
	Wave 3	3.63	4.17	3.38
Wave 1	Wave 2	0.78	0.65	0.87
	Wave 3	1.35	1.25	1.42
Wave 2	Wave 3	1.73	1.92	1.63



eFigure 1. Effect of selected factors on mental health in study timepoints. Heatmaps show eta-squared effect sizes (with indicated levels of significance) of selected factors on stress (pane a) and depression (pane c) based on Kruskal-Wallis test. Line plots indicate trends in mean scores of stress (pane b) and depression (pane d) in individual levels of selected factors. Y-axis ranges of line plots are set to [8,28] for stress and [0,4] for depression. Factors levels are (from left): age [25-40, 41-55, 56-66 yrs], sex [males, females], education [Without GCSE, With GCSE, University], loneliness [absent, present], resilience [low, medium, high], cognitive and emotional Covid-19 illness perc. [1st, 2nd, 3rd tertile], Undergone Covid-19 [no, yes], Impact on finances [none, moderate, extreme], changes in sleep, nutrition and physical activity [improved, no change, worsen], freq. of searching Covid-19-related info [max 1-2x/week, every 1-3 days, several times/day].


*
***
*
Stress level score (0-40 points)
30
20
10
0
60%
40%
20%
0%
Presence of moderate/high stress (frequency in %)
Pre-Covid-19
Wave 1
Wave 2
Wave 3
Timepoints
Legend
Boxplots of stress score in individual waves
Pre-Covid
Wave 1
Wave 2
Wave 3
Sample mean score
Mean stress score by sex
Males
Females
Mean score by sex
Prevalence of increased stress (in %)
Presence of moderate-to-high stress


Depressive symptoms score (0-6 points)
Presence of depressive symptoms (frequency in %)
Timepoints
Pre-Covid-19
Wave 1
Wave 2
Wave 3
0
2
4
6
0%
20%
40%
60%
***
*
***
***
**
***
Legend
Boxplots of depression score in individual waves
Pre-Covid
Wave 1
Wave 2
Wave 3
Sample mean score
Mean depression score by sex
Males
Females
Mean score by sex
Prevalence of significant depressive symptoms (in %)
Presence of depressive symptoms