medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Evidence from imaging resilience genetics for a protective mechanism against schizophrenia in the ventral visual pathway

Meike D. Hettwer[1,2], Thomas M. Lancaster[3,4], Eva Raspor[1], Peter K. Hahn[1], Nina Roth Mota[5,6], Wolf Singer[7,8,9], Andreas Reif[1], David E.J. Linden[4,10], Robert A. Bittner[1,7]*

[1] Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine, and Psychotherapy and Brain Imaging Center, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

[2] Max Planck School of Cognition, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences (MPI CBS), Leipzig, Germany.

[3] School of Psychology, Bath University, Bath, UK.

[4] MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neuroscience, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.

[5] Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[6] Department of Psychiatry, Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[7] Ernst Strüngmann Institute for Neuroscience (ESI) in Cooperation with Max Planck Society, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

[8] Max Planck Institute for Brain Research (MPI BR), Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

[9] Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

[10] School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

* Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, D-60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

Phone: +49 69 6301 84713. Fax: +49 69 6301 81775.

Email: bittner@med.uni-frankfurt.de

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Recently, the first genetic variants conferring resilience to schizophrenia have been identified. However, the neurobiological mechanisms underlying their protective effect remain unknown. Current models implicate adaptive neuroplastic changes in the visual system and their pro-cognitive effects in schizophrenia resilience. Here, we test the hypothesis that comparable changes can emerge from schizophrenia resilience genes. To this end, we used structural magnetic resonance imaging to investigate the effects of a schizophrenia polygenic resilience score ($PRS_{Resilience}$) on cortical morphology (discovery sample: n=101; UK Biobank replication sample: n=33,224). We observed positive correlations between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and cortical volume in the fusiform gyrus, a central hub within the ventral visual pathway. Our findings indicate that resilience to schizophrenia arises partly from genetically mediated enhancements of visual processing capacities for social and non-social object information. This implies an important role of visual information processing for mitigating schizophrenia risk, which might also be exploitable for early intervention studies.

Introduction

The effective prevention of schizophrenia depends on a clear understanding of both risk mechanisms and mechanisms of resilience, which confer protection from this debilitating disorder. The emerging field of resilience research promises to provide novel pathways toward improved treatment and prevention strategies for psychiatric disorders (*1*).

Resilience describes the phenomenon that many people with considerable exposure to risk factors for mental disorders retain good mental health (*2–4*). Importantly, current concepts regard resilience as a dynamic process facilitating adjustments to potentially disabling stressors, rather than as a trait or stable personality profile (*3*, *4*). Nevertheless, there is clear evidence that resilience factors which contribute to resilience capacity (*2*, *3*) may well be genetically determined (*2*, *5*, *6*).

Resilience research in schizophrenia needs to acknowledge its complex, decade-spanning pathophysiological trajectory prominently involving neurodevelopmental disturbances (*7*) and psychosocial stressors (*8*). Moreover, schizophrenia is a disorder of information processing (*9*) with pervasive deficits in a broad range of cognitive domains including perceptual impairments. Schizophrenia resilience mechanisms might thus act over prolonged periods at multiple premorbid stages and should likely affect cognition. The high heritability of schizophrenia (*10*) puts a particular emphasis on genetic mediators of resilience.

First successful attempts at addressing the genetic architecture of resilience (*11*) have recently identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) moderating the penetrance of established common genetic risk factors (*12*). These SNPs are therefore not simply protective variants, i.e. the alternate alleles at risk loci, but rather variants independent from and orthogonal to risk variants, addressing the concern that resilience must not simply be defined as the flip side of vulnerability (*2*, *3*). The resulting first polygenic resilience score ($PRS_{Resilience}$) for schizophrenia (*11*) opens the opportunity to investigate how genes conferring resilience to schizophrenia influence neural systems to exert their protective effect.

Evidence for other schizophrenia resilience factors that could inform empirical research remains scarce. However, a valuable clue comes from epidemiological studies of patients

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

with congenital or early (C/E) blindness. People affected by this condition appear to have a reduced risk for developing schizophrenia (*13*, *14*), a finding which was recently extended by the first whole-population-based study (*15*). This postulated protective effect appears to be specific to schizophrenia and does not extend to other forms of sensory loss including C/E deafblindness and blindness acquired later in life (*13*, *14*). While this evidence should be regarded with caution due to the low base rates of both disorders (*16*), it has led several researchers to propose that C/E blindness might be a resilience factor for schizophrenia (*13–15*, *17*, *18*). Rather than to blindness per se, this has been attributed to adaptive reorganization of the visual system triggered by C/E blindness and concurrent improvements in cognitive functions that are impaired in schizophrenia (*13*, *14*). C/E blind individuals show increased gray matter in visual areas within the inferior occipital, fusiform and lingual gyrus (*19*, *20*). Yet, these profound changes only manifest in C/E blind but not in late blind individuals (*19*, *20*), supporting the notion that only early reorganization of the visual system is protective for schizophrenia. The predictive coding theory of brain function (*21*, *22*) provides a valuable framework for the interpretation of these findings. Converging evidence indicates that schizophrenia is characterized by a decreased precision and stability of internal high-level priors relative to sensory information. This provides a parsimonious account for important clinical and cognitive aspects of the disorder (*18*, *23*). It has been argued that adaptive reorganization occurring in C/E blindness enhances the precision and stability of high-level and supramodal priors (*18*). The resulting greater primacy of priors relative to sensory data should facilitate resilience to schizophrenia. Together, these findings and models raise the question, whether similar but more subtle changes of the visual system exerting a weaker protective effect could also occur as a form of genetically mediated natural variation in sighted individuals.

The involvement of the visual system in schizophrenia resilience mechanisms is also implied by the prominent visual perceptual impairments featured in the disorder. They encompass basic deficits in visual acuity and contrast sensitivity and subsequent disturbances of perceptual organization (*24*). Visual impairments also perturb higher-order cognitive functions (*25*, *26*) and constitute a strong predictor of psychosis transition in high-risk individuals (*27*) and poor functional outcome in patients (*28*, *29*). Notably, abnormalities in both the dorsal and ventral visual pathway have been implicated (*24*, *30*). The visual brain and its two major subsystems thus emerge as promising targets in the search for resilience-promoting mechanisms. This approach partly builds upon a successful strategy for risk research in neuropsychiatric disorders. Genetic studies indicate that rare but highly penetrant risk factors can inform us about the neurobiological consequences of common genetic risk factors, which despite their lower penetrance tend to affect the same pathophysiological pathways (*31*). C/E blindness as a potential, rare resilience factor with a profound impact on the brain could thus point to similar but less penetrant mechanisms of common resilience factors. Accordingly, SNPs conferring resilience to schizophrenia might exert their protective influence partly by affecting neuroplasticity in the dorsal and ventral visual pathways. To test this hypothesis and to investigate the involvement of the visual system in schizophrenia resilience mechanisms,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

we conducted an imaging genetics study using structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in healthy participants.

Results

Impact of polygenic resilience on cortical volume

Our imaging genetics approach investigating the effects of $PRS_{Resilience}$ on brain structural parameters in 101 healthy participants revealed a positive whole-brain correlation between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and cortical volume in the right fusiform gyrus (FFG) after correction for multiple comparisons (MNI: X = 33.7; Y = -51.4, Z = -16.4; cluster size: 338.1 mm^2; r = 0.35; cluster-wise p = .0004; Fig. 1A). This cluster mapped directly on the mid-fusiform sulcus (MFS; See Fig. 1 and Fig. S1) and showed a partial overlap with the fusiform face area (FFA) (*32*). After extracting the corresponding values from the cluster in the fusiform gyrus we found that $PRS_{Resilience}$ was significantly correlated with surface area (r = 0.35, p < .001; Fig. 1B) but not with cortical thickness (r = 0.14, p = .17; Fig. 1C). We further observed an impact of $PRS_{Resilience}$ on left FFG volume at the initial CFT (p < .001), which, however, did not survive multiple comparisons correction (cluster-wise p > .05) (see Fig. S2). There was no significant correlation between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and either surface area or cortical thickness at the whole-brain level.

Findings from replication analysis in a UK Biobank sample

Because the findings in our discovery sample suggested a bilateral effect in the FFG which we could not fully confirm due to a lack of statistical power, our replication analysis focused on left and right FFG parcels (*33*) (Fig. 2A). In the UK Biobank sample, we observed a significant effect of $PRS_{Resilience}$ on cortical volume (p = .006) and surface area (p = .026) in the left FFG, which was not present in the right FFG (p_{volume} = .208; $p_{surface\ area}$ = .343). Mirroring the discovery sample, $PRS_{Resilience}$ did not impact cortical thickness (see Fig. 2B).

Discussion

We investigated the neuroanatomical correlates of common genetic variants associated with increased resilience to schizophrenia using structural MRI. We observed a positive correlation between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and cortical volume in the right FFG, a key component of the ventral visual pathway. In the replication sample, we observed a correlation between global left FFG volume and $PRS_{Resilience}$, which mirrors the cluster in the left FFG in the discovery sample that did not survive multiple comparisons correction. Involvement of the ventral visual pathway therefore appears not to be specific to one hemisphere. This is in line with evidence that both hemispheres – while independently analyzing half of the visual scene – combine their resources to jointly process objects at the fovea (*34*). Resilience to schizophrenia thus appears to result from a general increase in ventral visual pathway processing resources. These findings provide the first direct evidence for a schizophrenia resilience mechanism involving the visual system in line with existing models derived from C/E blindness research. Understanding the mechanisms underlying its protective effect requires a detailed assessment of the role of the FFG within the ventral visual pathway.

The ventral visual pathway is primarily involved in object recognition and categorization (*35*, *36*). Along this pathway, the FFG is an important relay between low level visual areas

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in the occipital lobe and areas in the infero-temporal cortex and para-hippocampal gyrus (*35*), which are considered the top levels in the visual processing hierarchy. Importantly, all areas of the ventral stream from the primary visual cortex all the way up to the infero-temporal cortex are reciprocally connected via feed-forward and feed-back connections, communicating in parallel within various functional networks (*35*, *37*). The cluster observed in our discovery sample mapped directly onto the right MFS. This sulcus divides the FFG into a lateral and medial part, the two moieties differing in cyto- and receptor-architectonics as well as their connectivity profiles within the VTC (*38*). The MFS further forms the central axis of multiple lateral-to-medial functional gradients across the VTC. These gradients relate to the eccentricity of the represented visual field, the size of objects, their animacy and domain specificity (*36*, *39*). Described characteristics are indicative for the coexistence of functional networks devoted to the preferential processing of object categories (*36*). The increased volume of both moieties of the FFG as observed in our data might thus be indicative of a global enhancement of functions facilitating the parallel processing of complementary aspects of visual information (*34*). In the context of predictive coding (*21*), this could imply greater resources for the disambiguation of sensory evidence by capitalizing on the priors stored in the functional architecture of the different processing streams. Notably, patients with schizophrenia are impaired in their ability to use stored knowledge for the interpretation of sensory evidence (*23*). Consequently, the embedding of sensory evidence in the context of a priori knowledge is disturbed. A larger FFG could compensate for disturbances that impede prior based evaluation of sensory information. This interpretation is well in line with the notion that greater reliability of high-level priors might be protective against schizophrenia (*18*).

Considering potential pro-cognitive effects of these adaptations, increased FFG volume may further contribute positively to resilience capacities through its involvement in interpersonal communication and social cognition (*39*, *40*). Our cluster showed considerable overlap with the right FFA, the two subdivisions of which are located approximately at anterior and posterior ends of the MFS (*36*, *38*). The FFA is essential for the processing of face-related information including the decoding of facial components for affect discrimination (*39*) and an important perceptual node of social cognitive networks (*40*). In schizophrenia, reduced FFG volume acts as a structural neural substrate of various perceptual and affective processing deficits associated with impaired social cognition and reduced extraversion (*41*–*44*). Importantly, perceptual deficits such as difficulties to decode complex facial configurations accompany and likely precede aberrant cognitive and affective processing in impaired social cognition (*40*, *41*, *43*). FFG volume further contributes reliably to discriminative predictive patterns of social functioning and psychosis transition in high-risk individuals (*45*, *46*). Our data indicate that genetically mediated resilience mechanisms involving the FFA might increase social perceptual processing capacities. This hypothesis is supported by reports of increased FFG volume in healthy subjects after social cognitive training (*47*). Furthermore, larger FFG volume in patients with schizophrenia appears to mediate the beneficial effects of cognitive training on general neurocognition and social cognition in particular (*48*). Together, these findings underscore that FFG volume increase is indeed associated with enhanced cognitive capabilities in multiple domains. They are also compatible with the role of the FFG as a central relay between low level visual and higher cognitive areas in support of a wide array of non-social and social cognitive processes.

A crucial role of social cognition for resilience to schizophrenia is conceivable, as it constitutes a major predictor of functional outcome (*40*) and can compensate for the deleterious effects of neurocognitive deficits on daily life (*49*). A positive link between FFG volume and extraversion (*50*) further suggests an increased likelihood of beneficial

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

social interactions and quality of life (*51*). Together, these observations indicate that FFG related resilience mechanisms enhance cognitive functions which facilitate the embedding of individuals in their social environment, thus buffering against some of the effects of psychosocial stressors.

Expanded computational resources in the ventral visual pathway and resulting broad cognitive benefits should also facilitate coping with stressors arising from sensory deficits. Typically, resilience models emphasize the ability to cope with stressors such as challenging life circumstances and physical illness (*1*, *3*). However, perturbed visual information processing in schizophrenia might in itself constitute a stressor. Characteristic disturbances comprise a lower threshold for sensory overload (*52*), visual distortions (*52*, *53*), reduced predictability of changes in the environment and resulting uncertainty regarding adequate behavior (*18*) as well as psychotic experiences (*54*). These in turn have detrimental effects on adaptive behavior and interpersonal interactions, together constituting a fundamental stressor. Given the widespread deleterious impact of stress on cognition (*55*), this might lead to a vicious cycle of increasing psychosocial stress, aberrant information processing and psychosis proneness (*56*). Through increased perceptual and cognitive capabilities, the resilience mechanisms implied by our data should reduce stress induced by subtle cognitive impairments and increase the chances of interrupting this vicious cycle. It remains to be clarified in future studies whether increased FFG resources promote a resilience mechanism that directly antagonizes potential cognitive disturbances or rather mitigates cognitive deficits by compensating for disease related abnormalities. The latter would be consistent with the idea that, on the neurobiological level, resilience does not simply reverse pathophysiological processes but rather ameliorates the harmful consequences of stressors (*1*).

Overall, our findings point towards a central role of visual cognition in schizophrenia resilience as both a stressor and a coping mechanism. Furthermore, our results imply a crucial link between the information processing disorder concept and the vulnerability-stress model (*8*, *9*, *56*) in the context of schizophrenia resilience genetics. Interestingly, there is preliminary evidence for an involvement of the FFG and neighboring occipito-temporal areas in resilience against stress and adverse life events (*57*), trauma (*58*), and bipolar disorder (*59*), emphasizing the potential transdiagnostic relevance of visual cognition in coping mechanisms.

Lastly, our data creates an interesting link to resilience models derived from C/E blindness research. They suggest that SNPs associated with resilience to schizophrenia can drive some of the neuroplastic changes in visual areas that are also observed in early reorganization following C/E blindness (*19*, *20*). The apparent convergence of such widely different biological mechanisms on a similar intermediate phenotype underscores the significance of the visual brain for schizophrenia resilience research. Importantly, this also raises the possibility that similar protective adaptations might be inducible through targeted interventions (*54*). However, such interventions would have to occur at early stages of post-natal development when the visual system is susceptible to environmental influences (*60*). This is suggested by the lack of a protective effect in late blindness (*14*), the early start and prolonged trajectory of abnormal neurodevelopment in schizophrenia (*7*) as well as the early manifestation of visual dysfunction in at-risk populations (*61*).

Our findings provide a novel direction for schizophrenia resilience research by demonstrating that resilience to the disorder might arise from genetic influences that act on neural systems subserving elementary cognitive processes. However, the indirect nature of the current evidence is an important limitation of our study. The cognitive implications of our findings can so far only be inferred from existing evidence for an

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

association of FFG volume and cognition and the crucial functional role of the FFG within the ventral visual pathway. Conversely, we did not directly investigate the cognitive effects of $PRS_{Resilience}$. Furthermore, the current imaging genetics approach cannot provide evidence that individuals with higher $PRS_{Resilience}$ would indeed be more adaptable to the specific stressors implicated by our findings. These questions need to be addressed in future studies.

In conclusion, our study contributes to models of schizophrenia resilience by demonstrating an impact of genetic variants conferring protection from the disorder on local brain structure in the visual system. Improved cognitive and functional capacities that may result from these effects suggest a resilience mechanism linked to coping with both the cognitive and psychosocial sequelae of stressors. Future studies should investigate directly the individual contributions of the cognitive processes implicated by our findings to schizophrenia resilience in healthy subjects and at-risk populations. Moreover, it will be imperative to investigate the interaction between genetic and environmental risk factors with resilience factors in order to fully realize the potential of the presented resilience paradigm for the discovery of novel course-modifying and preventive interventions for schizophrenia.

Methods and Materials

Participants

All subjects gave their written consent to participate in the study. The ethical review board of the Faculty of Medicine at Goethe University Frankfurt granted ethics approval. We obtained structural MRI and genetic data from 105 right-handed subjects with normal or corrected to normal vision and no family or personal history of psychiatric disorders , according to the German Version of the SCID-I questionnaire for DSM-IV (*62*). Handedness was measured with the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (*63*) and IQ was determined using the MWT-B (*64*). We excluded four subjects due to excessive head motion during scanning (n=1) or substantial reconstruction errors during MRI processing (n=3), resulting in 101 subjects for the analysis (55 female; Mean age: 26.3 ± 4.71 years).

Genotyping

We collected venous blood samples for DNA extraction. Genotyping was performed using custom Illumina HumanCoreExome-24 BeadChip genotyping arrays, which contain 570,038 genetic variants (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). The Rapid Imputation and Computational Pipeline (RICOPILI; (*65*)) was used for quality control, principal component analysis and imputation. Individuals were excluded for ambiguous sex, cryptic relatedness up to third degree relatives by identity of descent, genotyping completeness < 99 %, and non-European ethnicity admixture. SNPs were excluded where the minor allele frequency was < 1 %, if the call rate was < 99 % or if the χ^2-test for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium had a p-value < 1 e-06. Before imputation, we assessed genetic homogeneity in each sample using multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) analysis. We excluded ancestry outliers through visual inspection of the first two components.

Calculation of polygenic resilience scores

We calculated schizophrenia $PRS_{Resilience}$ based on resilience loci identified by Hess et al. (*11*), who included 3786 high-risk resilient individuals and 18,619 patients at equal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

polygenic risk in their initial discovery sample. Calculations of polygenic scores were performed according to previously described protocols with PRSice software (v. 2.2.8; (*66*)). Following the established PGC protocol (*67*), polygenic scores were clumped using linkage disequilibrium (LD) and distance thresholds of $r^2 = 0.2$, within a 500 kb window. The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region was excluded due to high LD (*67*). We calculated $PRS_{Resilience}$ based on SNPs thresholded at $p < 0.05$ (number of SNPs = 7818) because, among nominally significant $PRS_{Resilience}$ reported, SNPs included at this threshold were shown to explain the most variance (*11*).

MRI data acquisition and processing

We acquired structural MRI data on a 3T Trio MR-scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a high-resolution T1-weighted Modified Driven Equilibrium Fourier Transform (MDEFT) sequence (*68*) (voxel size: 1 x 1 x 1 mm^3; TR = 7.92; TE = 2.4; TI = 910 ms; FOV = 256 x 256 mm^2; number of slices per volume = 176; flip angle = 15°, slice thickness = 1 mm). Head motion was minimized by pillows.

We used FreeSurfer (version 6.0.1; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) for semi-automated preprocessing and surface reconstruction, using bias-corrected T1-weighted anatomical scans as the input (See Supplementary Materials). Surface reconstructions were manually checked and corrected following the editing guidelines provided on the FreeSurferWiki (http://freesurfer.net/fswiki/Edits). Measures of cortical thickness, cortical volume and surface area were computed using the algorithms readily available in FreeSurfer software (*69*). Surface maps were smoothed using a surface-based 10-mm full-width-half-maximum smoothing kernel.

Statistical Group Analysis

Three general linear models (GLMs) were applied at each vertex to estimate the relationship between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and three anatomical parameters: Cortical thickness, cortical volume, and surface area. Total intracranial volume (ICV) and age were included as covariates in partial correlation analyses. $PRS_{Resilience}$, age and ICV were demeaned to allow for better model fit. To correct for multiple comparisons, we used surface-based cluster-size exclusion as implemented in FreeSurfer (*70*). We applied the recommended initial cluster-forming threshold (CFT) ($p < .001$) and performed Monte Carlo simulations (10 000 iterations; cluster-wise $p < .05$, adjusted for testing the two hemispheres separately, i.e. $p < .025$). These statistical parameters have been shown to protect sufficiently from type 1 errors (*71*).

UK Biobank Replication Analysis

To test the replicability of our findings, we performed additional analyses in a UK Biobank general population sample (*72*). Here, we used only the summary statistics for the traits. This polygenic score approach utilizes the well-established 'gtx' method (*73*, *74*), which is equivalent to the "inverse variance weighted" approach in MRI studies. However, in a polygenic score analysis there are no stringent inclusion criteria for genetic variants: we do not require the variants to be strongly associated with the disease and pleiotropic effects are allowed. We tested the association between $PRS_{Resilience}$ and fusiform gyrus metrics (surface area, thickness, volume). The analysis was based on genome wide association studies (GWAS) summary statistics

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(https://open.win.ox.ac.uk/ukbiobank/big40/pheweb33k/phenotypes/) in a sample comprising 33,224 individuals available for Desikan-Killiany parcels (*33*). All GWAS summary data was corrected for demographic and genetic confounds (*75*). $PRS_{Resilience}$ were created using PRSice v1.25 risk profile software (*66*) with a stringent clumping procedure [clump.kb = 1000 kb, clump.r2 = 0.01] to remove correlated alleles. SNPs in the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3) were used as reference data. We included SNPs thresholded at $p < 0.05$ from the schizophrenia resilience GWAS (*11*). Variants within the MHC were removed from data (chr 6: 26,000–34,000 kb).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. A. Feder, S. Fred-Torres, S. M. Southwick, D. S. Charney, The Biology of Human Resilience: Opportunities for Enhancing Resilience Across the Life Span. Biol. Psychiatry. 86, 443–453 (2019).

2. K. W. Choi, M. B. Stein, E. C. Dunn, K. C. Koenen, J. W. Smoller, Genomics and psychological resilience: a research agenda. Mol. Psychiatry. 24, 1770–1778 (2019).

3. R. Kalisch, D. G. Baker, U. Basten, M. P. Boks, G. A. Bonanno, E. Brummelman, A. Chmitorz, G. Fernàndez, C. J. Fiebach, I. Galatzer-Levy, E. Geuze, S. Groppa, I. Helmreich, T. Hendler, E. J. Hermans, T. Jovanovic, T. Kubiak, K. Lieb, B. Lutz, M. B. Müller, R. J. Murray, C. M. Nievergelt, A. Reif, K. Roelofs, B. P. F. Rutten, D. Sander, A. Schick, O. Tüscher, I. Van Diest, A. L. Van Harmelen, I. M. Veer, E. Vermetten, C. H. Vinkers, T. D. Wager, H. Walter, M. Wessa, M. Wibral, B. Kleim, The resilience framework as a strategy to combat stress-related disorders. Nat. Hum. Behav. 1, 784–790 (2017).

4. G. S. Malhi, P. Das, E. Bell, G. Mattingly, Z. Mannie, Modelling resilience in adolescence and adversity: a novel framework to inform research and practice. Transl. Psychiatry. 9, 316 (2019).

5. A. B. Amstadter, J. M. Myers, K. S. Kendler, Psychiatric resilience: Longitudinal twin study. Br. J. Psychiatry. 205, 275–280 (2014).

6. A. P. Wingo, L. M. Almli, J. S. Stevens, T. Jovanovic, T. S. Wingo, G. Tharp, Y. Li, A. Lori, M. Briscione, P. Jin, E. B. Binder, B. Bradley, G. Gibson, K. J. Ressler, Genome-wide association study of positive emotion identifies a genetic variant and a role for microRNAs. Mol. Psychiatry. 22, 774–783 (2017).

7. S. Marenco, D. R. Weinberger, The neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia: Following a trail of evidence from cradle to grave. Dev. Psychopathol. 12, 501–527 (2000).

8. K. H. Nuechterlein, Vulnerability / Stress Model of Schizophrenic Episodes. Schizophr. Bull. 10, 300–312 (1982).

9. K. H. Nuechterlein, M. E. Dawson, M. F. Green, Information-processing abnormalities as neuropsychological vulnerability indicators for schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 90, 71–79 (1994).

10. R. Hilker, D. Helenius, B. Fagerlund, A. Skytthe, K. Christensen, T. M. Werge, M. Nordentoft, B. Glenthøj, Heritability of Schizophrenia and Schizophrenia Spectrum Based on the Nationwide Danish Twin Register. Biol. Psychiatry. 83, 492–498 (2018).

11. J. L. Hess, D. S. Tylee, M. Mattheisen, A. D. Børglum, T. D. Als, J. Grove, T. Werge, P. B. Mortensen, O. Mors, M. Nordentoft, D. M. Hougaard, J. Byberg-Grauholm, M. Bækvad-Hansen, T. A. Greenwood, M. T. Tsuang, D. Curtis, S. Steinberg, E. Sigurdsson, H. Stefánsson, K. Stefánsson, H. J. Edenberg, P. Holmans, S. V. Faraone, S. J. Glatt, A polygenic resilience score moderates the genetic risk for schizophrenia. Mol. Psychiatry. 26, 800–815 (2021).

12. A. F. Pardiñas, P. Holmans, A. J. Pocklington, V. Escott-Price, S. Ripke, N. Carrera, S. E. Legge, S. Bishop, D. Cameron, M. L. Hamshere, J. Han, L. Hubbard, A. Lynham, K. Mantripragada, E. Rees, J. H. MacCabe, S. A. McCarroll, B. T. Baune, G. Breen, E. M. Byrne, U. Dannlowski, T. C. Eley, C. Hayward, N. G. Martin, A. M. McIntosh, R. Plomin,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

D. J. Porteous, N. R. Wray, A. Caballero, D. H. Geschwind, L. M. Huckins, D. M. Ruderfer, E. Santiago, P. Sklar, E. A. Stahl, H. Won, E. Agerbo, T. D. Als, O. A. Andreassen, M. Bækvad-Hansen, P. B. Mortensen, C. B. Pedersen, A. D. Børglum, J. Bybjerg-Grauholm, S. Djurovic, N. Durmishi, M. G. Pedersen, V. Golimbet, J. Grove, D. M. Hougaard, M. Mattheisen, E. Molden, O. Mors, M. Nordentoft, M. Pejovic-Milovancevic, E. Sigurdsson, T. Silagadze, C. S. Hansen, K. Stefansson, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, S. Tosato, T. Werge, D. Harold, R. Sims, A. Gerrish, J. Chapman, R. Abraham, P. Hollingworth, J. Pahwa, N. Denning, C. Thomas, S. Taylor, J. Powell, P. Proitsi, M. Lupton, S. Lovestone, P. Passmore, D. Craig, B. McGuinness, J. Johnston, S. Todd, W. Maier, F. Jessen, R. Heun, B. Schurmann, A. Ramirez, T. Becker, C. Herold, A. Lacour, D. Drichel, M. Nothen, A. Goate, C. Cruchaga, P. Nowotny, J. C. Morris, K. Mayo, M. O'Donovan, M. Owen, J. Williams, E. Achilla, C. L. Barr, T. W. Böttger, D. Cohen, S. Curran, E. Dempster, D. Dima, R. Sabes-Figuera, R. J. Flanagan, S. Frangou, J. Frank, C. Gasse, F. Gaughran, I. Giegling, E. Hannon, A. M. Hartmann, B. Heißerer, M. Helthuis, H. T. Horsdal, O. Ingimarsson, K. Jollie, J. L. Kennedy, O. Köhler, B. Konte, M. Lang, C. Lewis, J. MacCaba, A. K. Malhotra, P. McCrone, S. M. Meier, J. Mill, M. M. Nöthen, C. B. Pedersen, M. Rietschel, D. Rujescu, A. Schwalber, H. J. Sørensen, B. Spencer, H. Støvring, J. Strohmaier, P. Sullivan, E. Vassos, M. Verbelen, D. A. Collier, G. Kirov, M. J. Owen, M. C. O'Donovan, J. T. R. Walters, Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. Nat. Genet. 50, 381–389 (2018).

13. E. Leivada, C. Boeckx, Schizophrenia and cortical blindness: Protective effects and implications for language. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8, 1–12 (2014).

14. S. M. Silverstein, Y. Wang, B. P. Keane, Cognitive and Neuroplasticity Mechanisms by Which Congenital or Early Blindness May Confer a Protective Effect Against Schizophrenia. Front. Psychol. 3, 1327–1328 (2013).

15. V. A. Morgan, M. Clark, J. Crewe, G. Valuri, D. A. Mackey, J. C. Badcock, A. Jablensky, Congenital blindness is protective for schizophrenia and other psychotic illness. A whole-population study. Schizophr. Res. 202, 414–416 (2018).

16. O. H. Jefsen, L. V. Petersen, T. Bek, S. D. Østergaard, Is Early Blindness Protective of Psychosis or Are We Turning a Blind Eye to the Lack of Statistical Power? Schizophr. Bull. 46, 1335–1336 (2020).

17. S. Landgraf, M. Osterheider, "To see or not to see: that is the question." The "Protection-Against-Schizophrenia" (PaSZ) model: evidence from congenital blindness and visuo-cognitive aberrations. Front. Psychol. 4, 1–23 (2013).

18. T. A. Pollak, P. R. Corlett, Blindness, Psychosis, and the Visual Construction of the World. Schizophr. Bull. 46, 1418–1425 (2019).

19. P. Voss, B. G. Pike, R. J. Zatorre, Evidence for both compensatory plastic and disuse atrophy-related neuroanatomical changes in the blind. Brain. 137, 1224–1240 (2014).

20. H. J. Park, J. D. Lee, E. Y. Kim, B. Park, M. K. Oh, S. C. Lee, J. J. Kim, Morphological alterations in the congenital blind based on the analysis of cortical thickness and surface area. Neuroimage. 47, 98–106 (2009).

21. K. Friston, A theory of cortical responses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 360, 815–836 (2005).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

22. R. P. N. Rao, D. H. Ballard, Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects. Nat. Neurosci. 2, 79–87 (1999).

23. P. Sterzer, R. A. Adams, P. Fletcher, C. Frith, S. M. Lawrie, L. Muckli, P. Petrovic, P. Uhlhaas, M. Voss, P. R. Corlett, The Predictive Coding Account of Psychosis. Biol. Psychiatry. 84, 634–643 (2018).

24. P. D. Butler, S. M. Silverstein, S. C. Dakin, Visual Perception and Its Impairment in Schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry. 64, 40–47 (2008).

25. C. Haenschel, R. A. Bittner, F. Haertling, A. Rotarska-Jagiela, K. Maurer, W. Singer, D. E. J. Linden, Contribution of Impaired Early-Stage Visual Processing to Working Memory Dysfunction in Adolescents With Schizophrenia. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 64, 1229 (2007).

26. R. A. Bittner, D. E. J. Linden, A. Roebroeck, F. Härtling, A. Rotarska-Jagiela, K. Maurer, R. Goebel, W. Singer, C. Haenschel, The When and Where of Working Memory Dysfunction in Early-Onset Schizophrenia—A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Cereb. Cortex. 25, 2494–2506 (2015).

27. E. W. Schubert, K. M. Henriksson, T. F. McNeil, A prospective study of offspring of women with psychosis: visual dysfunction in early childhood predicts schizophrenia-spectrum disorders in adulthood. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 112, 385–393 (2005).

28. M. F. Green, G. Hellemann, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, J. K. Wynn, From perception to functional outcome in schizophrenia: modeling the role of ability and motivation. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 69, 1216–24 (2012).

29. Y. Rassovsky, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, M. J. Sergi, M. F. Green, Pathways between early visual processing and functional outcome in schizophrenia. Psychol. Med. 41, 487–97 (2011).

30. Y. Deng, K. Liu, D. Cheng, J. Zhang, H. Chen, B. Chen, Y. Li, W. Wang, Y. Kong, G. Wen, Ventral and dorsal visual pathways exhibit abnormalities of static and dynamic connectivities, respectively, in patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 206, 103–110 (2019).

31. K. E. Tansey, E. Rees, D. E. Linden, S. Ripke, K. D. Chambert, J. L. Moran, S. A. McCarroll, P. Holmans, G. Kirov, J. Walters, M. J. Owen, M. C. O'Donovan, Common alleles contribute to schizophrenia in CNV carriers. Mol. Psychiatry. 21, 1085–1089 (2016).

32. N. Kanwisher, J. McDermott, M. M. Chun, The Fusiform Face Area: A Module in Human Extrastriate Cortex Specialized for Face Perception. J. Neurosci. 17, 4302–4311 (1997).

33. R. S. Desikan, F. Ségonne, B. Fischl, B. T. Quinn, B. C. Dickerson, D. Blacker, R. L. Buckner, A. M. Dale, R. P. Maguire, B. T. Hyman, M. S. Albert, R. J. Killiany, An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage. 31, 968–980 (2006).

34. G. A. Rousselet, S. J. Thorpe, M. Fabre-Thorpe, How parallel is visual processing in the ventral pathway? Trends Cogn. Sci. 8, 363–370 (2004).

35. D. J. Kravitz, K. S. Saleem, C. I. Baker, L. G. Ungerleider, M. Mishkin, The ventral visual pathway: an expanded neural framework for the processing of object quality. Trends Cogn. Sci. 17, 26–49 (2013).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

36. K. Grill-Spector, K. S. Weiner, The functional architecture of the ventral temporal cortex and its role in categorization. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 15, 536–548 (2014).

37. N. T. Markov, H. Kennedy, The importance of being hierarchical. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 23, 187–194 (2013).

38. K. S. Weiner, The Mid-Fusiform Sulcus (sulcus sagittalis gyri fusiformis). Anat. Rec. 302, 1491–1503 (2019).

39. N. Kanwisher, G. Yovel, The fusiform face area: a cortical region specialized for the perception of faces. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 361, 2109–2128 (2006).

40. M. F. Green, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, Social cognition in schizophrenia. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 620–631 (2015).

41. Y. Chen, T. Ekstrom, Visual and Associated Affective Processing of Face Information in Schizophrenia: A Selective Review. Curr. Psychiatry Rev. 11, 266–272 (2015).

42. A. E. Pinkham, J. B. Hopfinger, K. A. Pelphrey, J. Piven, D. L. Penn, Neural bases for impaired social cognition in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. Schizophr. Res. 99, 164–175 (2008).

43. D. C. Javitt, When doors of perception close: Bottom-up models of disrupted cognition in schizophrenia. Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 5, 249–275 (2009).

44. T. Onitsuka, M. A. Niznikiewicz, K. M. Spencer, M. Frumin, N. Kuroki, L. C. Lucia, M. E. Shenton, R. W. McCarley, Functional and structural deficits in brain regions subserving face perception in schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry. 163, 455–62 (2006).

45. N. Koutsouleris, L. Kambeitz-Ilankovic, S. Ruhrmann, M. Rosen, A. Ruef, D. B. Dwyer, M. Paolini, K. Chisholm, J. Kambeitz, T. Haidl, A. Schmidt, J. Gillam, F. Schultze-Lutter, P. Falkai, M. Reiser, A. Riecher-Rössler, R. Upthegrove, J. Hietala, R. K. R. Salokangas, C. Pantelis, E. Meisenzahl, S. J. Wood, D. Beque, P. Brambilla, S. Borgwardt, Prediction Models of Functional Outcomes for Individuals in the Clinical High-Risk State for Psychosis or with Recent-Onset Depression: A Multimodal, Multisite Machine Learning Analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 75, 1156–1172 (2018).

46. S. de Wit, T. B. Ziermans, M. Nieuwenhuis, P. F. Schothorst, H. van Engeland, R. S. Kahn, S. Durston, H. G. Schnack, Individual prediction of long-term outcome in adolescents at ultra-high risk for psychosis: Applying machine learning techniques to brain imaging data. Hum. Brain Mapp. 38, 704–714 (2017).

47. B. Kreifelts, H. Jacob, C. Brück, M. Erb, T. Ethofer, D. Wildgruber, Non-verbal emotion communication training induces specific changes in brain function and structure. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 7, 648 (2013).

48. S. M. Eack, G. E. Hogarty, R. Y. Cho, K. M. R. Prasad, D. P. Greenwald, S. S. Hogarty, M. S. Keshavan, Neuroprotective Effects of Cognitive Enhancement Therapy Against Gray Matter Loss in Early Schizophrenia. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 67, 674 (2010).

49. A.-K. J. Fett, W. Viechtbauer, M.-G. Dominguez, D. L. Penn, J. van Os, L. Krabbendam, The relationship between neurocognition and social cognition with functional outcomes in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 35, 573–588 (2011).

50. T. Onitsuka, P. G. Nestor, R. J. Gurrera, M. E. Shenton, K. Kasai, M. Frumin, M. A. Niznikiewicz, R. W. McCarley, Association between reduced extraversion and right posterior fusiform gyrus gray matter reduction in chronic schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry. 162, 599–601 (2005).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

51. C. Ridgewell, J. U. Blackford, M. McHugo, S. Heckers, Personality traits predicting quality of life and overall functioning in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 182, 19–23 (2017).

52. I. Kiss, Á. Fábián, G. Benedek, S. Kéri, When doors of perception open: Visual contrast sensitivity in never-medicated, first-episode schizophrenia. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 119, 586–593 (2010).

53. S. Kéri, I. Kiss, O. Kelemen, G. Benedek, Z. Janka, Anomalous visual experiences, negative symptoms, perceptual organization and the magnocellular pathway in schizophrenia: a shared construct? Psychol. Med. 35, 1445–1455 (2005).

54. S. M. Silverstein, A. R. Seitz, A. O. Ahmed, J. L. Thompson, V. Zemon, M. Gara, P. D. Butler, C. Boulevard, L. West, H. L. West, W. Plains, Y. P. Hospital, W. Plains, C. Professions, R. W. Johnson, O. Road, Development and Evaluation of a Visual Remediation Intervention for People with Schizophrenia. J. Psychiatry Brain Sci. 4, e200017 (2020).

55. A. F. T. Arnsten, Stress weakens prefrontal networks: molecular insults to higher cognition. Nat. Neurosci. 18, 1376–1385 (2015).

56. O. D. Howes, R. M. Murray, Schizophrenia: an integrated sociodevelopmental-cognitive model. Lancet. 383, 1677–1687 (2014).

57. M. Kahl, G. Wagner, F. de la Cruz, S. Köhler, C. C. Schultz, Resilience and cortical thickness: a MRI study. Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 270, 533–539 (2020).

58. S. J. A. Van der Werff, J. N. Pannekoek, I. M. Veer, M. J. van Tol, A. Aleman, D. J. Veltman, F. G. Zitman, S. A. R. B. Rombouts, B. M. Elzinga, N. J. A. van der Wee, Resilience to childhood maltreatment is associated with increased resting-state functional connectivity of the salience network with the lingual gyrus. Child Abus. Negl. 37, 1021–1029 (2013).

59. S. Frangou, Neuroimaging Markers of Risk, Disease Expression, and Resilience to Bipolar Disorder. Curr. Psychiatry Rep. 21, 52 (2019).

60. W. Singer, Development and Plasticity of Cortical Processing Architectures. Science (80-.). 270, 758–764 (1995).

61. J. Klosterkötter, M. Hellmich, E. M. Steinmeyer, F. Schultze-Lutter, Diagnosing Schizophrenia in the Initial Prodromal Phase. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 58, 158–164 (2001).

62. H. Sass, H. Wittchen, Diagnostisches und Statistisches Manual Psychischer Störungen (Hogrefe, Bern, Switzerland, 2003).

63. R. C. Oldfield, The Assessment and Analysis of Handedness: The Edinburgh Inventory. Neuropsychologia. 9, 97–113 (1971).

64. S. Lehrl, G. Triebig, B. Fischer, Multiple choice vocabulary test MWT as a valid and short test to estimate premorbid intelligence. Acta Neurol. Scand. 91, 335–345 (1995).

65. M. Lam, S. Awasthi, H. J. Watson, J. Goldstein, G. Panagiotaropoulou, V. Trubetskoy, R. Karlsson, O. Frei, C.-C. Fan, W. De Witte, N. R. Mota, N. Mullins, K. Brügger, S. H. Lee, N. R. Wray, N. Skarabis, H. Huang, B. Neale, M. J. Daly, M. Mattheisen, R. Walters, S. Ripke, RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias PIpeLIne. Bioinformatics. 36, 930–933 (2019).

66. J. Euesden, C. M. Lewis, P. F. O'Reilly, PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software. Bioinformatics. 31, 1466–1468 (2015).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

67. S. Ripke, B. M. Neale, A. Corvin, J. T. R. Walters, K. H. Farh, P. A. Holmans, P. Lee, B. Bulik-Sullivan, D. A. Collier, H. Huang, T. H. Pers, I. Agartz, E. Agerbo, M. Albus, M. Alexander, F. Amin, S. A. Bacanu, M. Begemann, R. A. Belliveau, J. Bene, S. E. Bergen, E. Bevilacqua, T. B. Bigdeli, D. W. Black, R. Bruggeman, N. G. Buccola, R. L. Buckner, W. Byerley, W. Cahn, G. Cai, D. Campion, R. M. Cantor, V. J. Carr, N. Carrera, S. V. Catts, K. D. Chambert, R. C. K. Chan, R. Y. L. Chen, E. Y. H. Chen, W. Cheng, E. F. C. Cheung, S. A. Chong, C. R. Cloninger, D. Cohen, N. Cohen, P. Cormican, N. Craddock, J. J. Crowley, D. Curtis, M. Davidson, K. L. Davis, F. Degenhardt, J. Del Favero, D. Demontis, D. Dikeos, T. Dinan, S. Djurovic, G. Donohoe, E. Drapeau, J. Duan, F. Dudbridge, N. Durmishi, P. Eichhammer, J. Eriksson, V. Escott-Price, L. Essioux, A. H. Fanous, M. S. Farrell, J. Frank, L. Franke, R. Freedman, N. B. Freimer, M. Friedl, J. I. Friedman, M. Fromer, G. Genovese, L. Georgieva, I. Giegling, P. Giusti-Rodríguez, S. Godard, J. I. Goldstein, V. Golimbet, S. Gopal, J. Gratten, L. De Haan, C. Hammer, M. L. Hamshere, M. Hansen, T. Hansen, V. Haroutunian, A. M. Hartmann, F. A. Henskens, S. Herms, J. N. Hirschhorn, P. Hoffmann, A. Hofman, M. V. Hollegaard, D. M. Hougaard, M. Ikeda, I. Joa, A. Julià, R. S. Kahn, L. Kalaydjieva, S. Karachanak-Yankova, J. Karjalainen, D. Kavanagh, M. C. Keller, J. L. Kennedy, A. Khrunin, Y. Kim, J. Klovins, J. A. Knowles, B. Konte, V. Kucinskas, Z. A. Kucinskiene, H. Kuzelova-Ptackova, A. K. Kähler, C. Laurent, J. L. C. Keong, S. H. Lee, S. E. Legge, B. Lerer, M. Li, T. Li, K. Y. Liang, J. Lieberman, S. Limborska, C. M. Loughland, J. Lubinski, J. Lönnqvist, M. Macek, P. K. E. Magnusson, B. S. Maher, W. Maier, J. Mallet, S. Marsal, M. Mattheisen, M. Mattingsdal, R. W. McCarley, C. McDonald, A. M. McIntosh, S. Meier, C. J. Meijer, B. Melegh, I. Melle, R. I. Mesholam-Gately, A. Metspalu, P. T. Michie, L. Milani, V. Milanova, Y. Mokrab, D. W. Morris, O. Mors, K. C. Murphy, R. M. Murray, I. Myin-Germeys, B. Müller-Myhsok, M. Nelis, I. Nenadic, D. A. Nertney, G. Nestadt, K. K. Nicodemus, L. Nikitina-Zake, L. Nisenbaum, A. Nordin, E. O'Callaghan, C. O'Dushlaine, F. A. O'Neill, S. Y. Oh, A. Olincy, L. Olsen, J. Van Os, C. Pantelis, G. N. Papadimitriou, S. Papiol, E. Parkhomenko, M. T. Pato, T. Paunio, M. Pejovic-Milovancevic, D. O. Perkins, O. Pietiläinen, J. Pimm, A. J. Pocklington, J. Powell, A. Price, A. E. Pulver, S. M. Purcell, D. Quested, H. B. Rasmussen, A. Reichenberg, M. A. Reimers, A. L. Richards, J. L. Roffman, P. Roussos, D. M. Ruderfer, V. Salomaa, A. R. Sanders, U. Schall, C. R. Schubert, T. G. Schulze, S. G. Schwab, E. M. Scolnick, R. J. Scott, L. J. Seidman, J. Shi, E. Sigurdsson, T. Silagadze, J. M. Silverman, K. Sim, P. Slominsky, J. W. Smoller, H. C. So, C. C. A. Spencer, E. A. Stahl, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, E. Stogmann, R. E. Straub, E. Strengman, J. Strohmaier, T. S. Stroup, M. Subramaniam, J. Suvisaari, D. M. Svrakic, J. P. Szatkiewicz, E. Söderman, S. Thirumalai, D. Toncheva, S. Tosato, J. Veijola, J. Waddington, D. Walsh, D. Wang, Q. Wang, B. T. Webb, M. Weiser, D. B. Wildenauer, N. M. Williams, S. Williams, S. H. Witt, A. R. Wolen, E. H. M. Wong, B. K. Wormley, H. S. Xi, C. C. Zai, X. Zheng, F. Zimprich, N. R. Wray, K. Stefansson, P. M. Visscher, R. Adolfsson, O. A. Andreassen, D. H. R. Blackwood, E. Bramon, J. D. Buxbaum, A. D. Børglum, S. Cichon, A. Darvasi, E. Domenici, H. Ehrenreich, T. Esko, P. V. Gejman, M. Gill, H. Gurling, C. M. Hultman, N. Iwata, A. V. Jablensky, E. G. Jönsson, K. S. Kendler, G. Kirov, J. Knight, T. Lencz, D. F. Levinson, Q. S. Li, J. Liu, A. K. Malhotra, S. A. McCarroll, A. McQuillin, J. L. Moran, P. B. Mortensen, B. J. Mowry, M. M. Nöthen, R. A. Ophoff, M. J. Owen, A. Palotie, C. N. Pato, T. L. Petryshen, D. Posthuma, M. Rietschel, B. P. Riley, D. Rujescu, P. C. Sham, P. Sklar, D. St Clair, D. R. Weinberger, J. R. Wendland, T. Werge, M. J. Daly, P. F. Sullivan, M. C. O'Donovan, Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature. 511, 421–427 (2014).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

68. R. Deichmann, C. Schwarzbauer, R. Turner, Optimisation of the 3D MDEFT sequence for anatomical brain imaging: technical implications at 1.5 and 3 T. Neuroimage. 21, 757–767 (2004).

69. B. Fischl, A. M. Dale, Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. PNAS. 97, 11050–11055 (2000).

70. D. J. Hagler, A. P. Saygin, M. I. Sereno, Smoothing and cluster thresholding for cortical surface-based group analysis of fMRI data. Neuroimage. 33, 1093–1103 (2006).

71. D. N. Greve, B. Fischl, False positive rates in surface-based anatomical analysis. Neuroimage. 171, 6–14 (2018).

72. K. L. Miller, F. Alfaro-Almagro, N. K. Bangerter, D. L. Thomas, E. Yacoub, J. Xu, A. J. Bartsch, S. Jbabdi, S. N. Sotiropoulos, J. L. R. Andersson, L. Griffanti, G. Douaud, T. W. Okell, P. Weale, I. Dragonu, S. Garratt, S. Hudson, R. Collins, M. Jenkinson, P. M. Matthews, S. M. Smith, Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. Nat. Neurosci. 19, 1523–1536 (2016).

73. Johnson T, Package ‘gtx’ (2013).

74. J. T. International Consortium for Blood Pressure Genome-Wide Association Studies, Ehret GB, Munroe PB, Rice KM, Bochud M, Johnson AD, Chasman DI, Smith AV, Tobin MD, Verwoert GC, Hwang SJ, Pihur V, Vollenweider P, O’Reilly PF, Amin N, Bragg-Gresham JL, Teumer, Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk. Nature. 478, 103–109 (2011).

75. S. Smith, G. Douaud, W. Chen, T. Hanayik, F. Alfaro-Almagro, K. Sharp, L. T. Elliott, Enhanced Brain Imaging Genetics in UK Biobank. bioRxiv (2020).

Acknowledgments

General: The authors are grateful to Christina Novak and Astrid Rehner for help with recruiting and data acquisition. We also thank Caroline Mann for advice regarding data processing.

Funding:
German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Max Planck Society (MDH)
Research Grant for Doctoral Programmes in Germany from The German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) (ER)
German Research Foundation (DFG) grant CRC 1193 Z03 (AR)
MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics (MR/L010305/1) (AR)

Author contributions:
Conceptualization: MDH, RAB
Methodology: MDH, ER, NRM, RAB
Investigation: PKH, MDH, RAB
Visualization: MDH
Supervision: RAB
Writing – original draft: MDH, RAB
Writing – review and editing: MDH, RAB, WS, DEJL, TL, AR

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The data can be provided by Robert A. Bittner pending scientific review and a completed material transfer agreement. Requests for the data should be submitted to: Robert A. Bittner.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figures



Figure 1. Statistical map showing a significant whole-brain correlation between schizophrenia polygenic resilience scores ($PRS_{Resilience}$) and cortical volume in the right fusiform gyrus (cluster-forming threshold: $p < .001$, corrected cluster-wise $p < .05$). Results include corrections for effects of age and intracranial volume and are shown from medial, ventral and lateral perspectives (A). Average vertex-wise surface area (B) and cortical thickness (C) values were extracted from the depicted cluster and plotted against $PRS_{Resilience}$.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.23.21261045; this version posted July 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2. Effects of schizophrenia polygenic resilience scores ($PRS_{Resilience}$) on fusiform gyrus (FFG) anatomical metrics in the UK Biobank sample. A) Shows Desikan-Killiany (*33*) FFG parcels, B) depicts $PRS_{Resilience}$ effects for anatomical metrics, which were significant for cortical volume and surface area in the left FFG. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.