Title: A cross-sectional study of <u>psychosocial factors</u> and sickness presenteeism in Japanese workers during the COVID-19 pandemic

Masashi Masuda, M.D.[1], Tomohiro Ishimaru, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.[1], Ayako Hino, M.D., Ph.D.[2], Hajime Ando, M.D., M.O.H., Ph.D.[3], Seiichiro Tateishi, M.D., Ph.D.[4], Tomohisa Nagata, M.D., Ph.D.[5], Mayumi Tsuji, M.D., Ph.D.[6], Shinya Matsuda, M.D., Ph.D.[7], and Yoshihisa Fujino, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.[1], for the CORoNaWork Project

Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Author contributions:

MM, Writing the manuscript; TI, AH and HA, creating the questionnaire, review of the manuscript, and advice on interpretation; ST, TN, MT and SM, review of the manuscript, advice on interpretation, and funding for research; and YF, Overall survey planning, creating the questionnaire, analysis, and drafting the manuscript

Correspondence to: Yoshihisa Fujino[1], M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.

Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishiku, Kitakyushu, 807-8555, Japan

Tel: +81-93-691-7401

Email: zenq@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

Funding Sources

This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan; General Incorporated Foundation (Anshin Zaidan); The Development of Educational Materials on Mental Health Measures for Managers at Small-sized Enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants; Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002); Research for the Establishment of an Occupational Health System in Times of Disaster (H30-roudou-ippan-007); and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., the Collabo-Health Study Group, and Hitachi Systems, Ltd.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The current members of the CORoNaWork Project, in alphabetical order, are as follows: Dr. Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Akira Ogami,

Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Kei Tokutsu, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiichiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru, and Dr. Tomohisa Nagata. All members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

Running title: Socioeconomic status and sickness presenteeism in Japanese workers

A cross-sectional study of <u>psychosocial factors</u> and sickness presenteeism in

Japanese workers during the COVID-19 pandemic

Abstract:

Background: We examined the <u>association</u> between socioeconomic and health status,

and lifestyle and sickness presenteeism among Japanese workers during the COVID-19

epidemic.

Methods: A cross-sectional study using an <u>Internet-monitor survey</u> was conducted in

December, 2020 in Japan. Of 33,302 survey participants, we analyzed 27,036 <u>participants</u>

(13,814 <u>men</u> and 13,222 <u>women</u>) who reported experience with sickness presenteeism.

Results: The <u>odds ratio</u> (OR) of sickness presenteeism associated with unmarried versus

married status was 1.15. Respective figures for other variables were 1.11 for manual

laboring work compared to desk work; 1.79 and 2.29 for loss of employment at the time

the pandemic began and continuation of unemployment compared to maintaining

employment during the pandemic; and 3.34 for a feeling of financial instability compared

to stability.

Conclusion: The issue of sickness presenteeism has <u>become more prominent under the</u>

COVID-19 <u>epidemic</u>.

Keywords: COVID-19; Japan; Socioeconomic Factors; Sickness Presenteeism

Introduction

Sickness presenteeism has rapidly attracted attention in occupational health. It has been defined as "the phenomenon of people, despite complaints and ill health that should prompt rest and absence from work, still turning up at their jobs"[1]. Sickness presenteeism has been reported in a number of countries[2-6]. It is known that workers with sickness presenteeism are aware of suboptimal general health and at increased risk of developing coronary artery disease and depression[7-9]. Known workplace impacts of sickness presenteeism include increased long-term leave, reduced work capacity, and the spread of infectious diseases[10-12].

Vulnerable socioeconomic conditions, insecure employment status, as well as individual health views, work attitudes, company leave systems and culture influence workers' experience of sickness presenteeism[13]. Workers who are worried about their job security are reluctant to take time off work for treatment. Workers with insecure employment are more likely to engage in sickness presenteeism because they fear that complaining about their health condition will be detrimental to the status of their employment contract. The shortage of employees and inadequate leave system also lead to an increase in sickness presenteeism[14,15]. Sick leave rates are low in areas where unemployment rate is high[16], and it is thought that the fear of losing employment and poverty have led to situations in which people feel they have no choice but to work even if they are in poor physical condition[17]. In addition, worker behaviors, such as a positive job attitude, feelings of strong obligation, and considering absence a less legitimate option, are also known to result in sickness presenteeism[18].

We hypothesized that the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic would affect worker experience of sickness presenteeism via an effect on their socioeconomic

status and employment instability, as well as on their health behaviors. Shortly after the

initial confirmation of COVID-19 in China, the infection spread globally, and its presence

in Japan was confirmed in January 2020. Since then, COVID-19 has continued to have a

profound impact on healthcare systems, economic activities and people's lives around the

world. Under such circumstances, the impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on sickness

presenteeism is considered to be an occupational hygiene issue.

During a COVID-19 epidemic, workers may be more hesitant to report their health

condition to the company when they are not feeling well. Reports worldwide have also

noted the interruption of treatment for previously controlled diseases during the COVID-

19 outbreak[19,20], which also acts to increase sickness presenteeism. Furthermore, the

management of sickness presenteeism is important in terms of preventing the spread of

infection. Among various measures taken to halt the spread of COVID-19 in the

workplace, self-reporting of physical condition and restriction of attendance by

employees who have fever or other health problems are particularly important - the fact

that some employees still come to work despite feeling ill is now an issue.

Some studies have considered the assumption that the COVID-19 epidemic affects

the experience of workers' sickness presenteeism[21,22]. An analysis that combined survey

data from 20,974 employees collected in Europe with Eurostat's regional unemployment

data shows that high unemployment rates enhance the presenteeism of disadvantaged

workers[21]. The COVID-19 pandemic and consequent increase in labor market instability

can increase the behavior of presenteeism. An Internet survey conducted in Belgium and

the Netherlands after 8 weeks of coronavirus lockdown showed that the respondents

experienced considerable levels of stress and concern about their financial situation, and

also cancelled/delayed general healthcare and productivity loss during the COVID-19

pandemic[22]. The study also estimated presenteeism during the 8 weeks, but did not show

an association between presenteeism and the factors experienced by respondents.

However, there are few reports on the characteristics of workers experiencing sickness

presenteeism during the COVID-19 epidemic. We examined the association between

socioeconomic and health status, and lifestyle and sickness presenteeism among Japanese

workers during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted from December 22 to 26, 2020 at the time of the third wave of COVID-19 infection in Japan. The study was conducted as an Internet-monitor survey. Details of the study protocol have been described elsewhere[23]. Briefly, the data were obtained from workers currently under an employment contract at the time of the survey, and categorized by prefecture, type of job, and sex. Of 33,302 survey participants, 215 respondents were excluded because they were deemed to have provided fraudulent responses by the surveying company (Cross Marketing Inc.,). Subsequently, 6,051 respondents determined to have provided invalid or erroneous responses were excluded (See reference 23 for details). Finally, 27,036 people were included in the study. The present analysis included all participants who responded that they had attended work despite needing to recuperate at home.

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (R2-079. February 5, 2021). Informed consent was obtained via a form on the survey website.

Assessment of sickness presenteeism

Sickness presenteeism was determined using the following single-item question: "How many days have you worked (including at home) in the last 30 days under conditions in which you would really like to take a day off?" To evaluate the sickness presenteeism during the third wave of COVID-19 in Japan, we set the target period to 30 days. Respondents were asked to respond with a specific number of days; based on the distribution of days with sickness presenteeism, we set the cut-off to 3 days.

Survey of participants socioeconomic conditions, health status, and lifestyle factors

The questionnaire was conducted via the Internet and enquired about the participant's socioeconomic conditions. Specifically, the survey enquired about age, sex, marital status (married; unmarried; widowed/divorced), occupation (job mainly involves desk work; interpersonal communication; labor), educational background (Junior high school; High school; Vocational school/College; University; Graduate school), equivalised income (household income divided by the square root of household size), job change or unemployment after April 2020 (no resignation or job change; transfer to another company; resignation and immediate start at a new job; unemployment for a period, but presently employed; retired and started a business), and perception of financial situation (very difficult; somewhat difficult; slightly difficult; comfortable).

With regard to health status and psychological factors, we asked about self-rated health, psychological distress, loneliness, presence of supportive friends, and having a health condition that requires company consideration to allow work. Psychological distress was addressed using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6)[24], whose validity in Japanese has been confirmed[25]. Participants with a K6 score of 5 or higher were considered to have mild psychological distress. Loneliness was examined with the question: "Have you ever felt alone in the past month?", with response options of: "never," "a little," "sometimes," "usually," and "always." This method follows previous studies that assessed loneliness with a single question[26]. The presence of a health condition that required the employer's consideration to permit work was surveyed using the question: "Do you require consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition?", with the three response options of: "no," "yes, but I have not

received support;" and "yes, and I have received support."

The questionnaire also contained a variety of questions on lifestyle and work-related

factors, including smoking (never; quit more than one year ago; quit within the past year;

started to smoke < 1 year ago; have smoked for > 1 year), drinking habit (6–7 days/week;

4–5 days/week; 2–3 days/week; < 1 day/week; almost never), exercise habit, breakfast

routine, time spent in one-way commuting and number of overtime hours worked/day.

With regard to exercise, participants indicated the number of days each week on which

they exercised for 30 minutes or more. Regarding breakfast, they indicated the number of

days each week on which they had breakfast.

Statistical analysis

Age- and sex-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and multivariate adjusted ORs were

analyzed using a multilevel logistic model with nesting by residential prefecture[23]. All

analyses included the incidence rate of COVID-19 since declared pandemic status was an

area-level variable. In the analyses of socioeconomic conditions, the model included sex,

age, marital status, equivalised income (divided into tertiles), job type, employment status,

educational background, and level of comfort with financial condition. The model for

analysis of health-related factors included age, sex, psychological distress, self-rated

health, feeling of loneliness, having a friend who can provide support, and having a health

condition which requires the employer's support to allow work to occur. The model used

to analyse lifestyle and work-related factors incorporated age, sex, drinking habit,

smoking habit (classified into three groups, "non-smoker"; "ex-smoker"; "current

smoker"), exercise, breakfast routine, overtime hours worked/day and time required for

one-way commuting.

A *P* value of less than .05 <u>(two-tailed)</u> was considered to indicate statistical

significance. Stata Statistical Software version 16 (StataCorp LLC, TX, USA) was used

for all analyses.

Results

Basic participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. Among all 27,036 participants,

approximately 19 percent of participants had experienced sickness presenteeism. On

comparison, participants who had experienced sickness presenteeism were more likely

than those who had not to have lower level of subjective health, greater psychological

distress, greater loneliness, and greater need for employment consideration from their

employer.

ORs of socioeconomic status associated with sickness presenteeism are shown in

Table 2. On multivariate analysis, the odds ratio (OR) of sickness presenteeism associated

with not being married versus being married was 1.15 (95% confidence interval (95%CI):

1.04-1.28, p=0.009). Respective values were 1.11 (95%CI: 1.03-1.20, p=0.009) for

manual labor versus desk work; 1.79 (95%CI: 1.47-2.19, p<0.001) and 2.29 (95%CI:

1.79-2.92, p<0.001) for lost employment when the COVID-19 pandemic started and

continued unemployment compared with employment across the entire pandemic period;

and 3.34 (95%CI: 2.94-3.81, p<0.001) for a feeling of financial instability versus stability.

The ORs of health status and psychological distress associated with sickness

presenteeism is shown in Table 3. On multivariate analysis, the OR of sickness

presenteeism associated with poor self-rated health was 11.21 (95%CI: 10.24-12.28,

p<0.001); experience of psychological distress was 6.11 (95%CI: 5.70-6.56, p<0.001);

feeling always alone was 8.30 (95%CI: 7.34-9.39, p<0.001); and the need for company

consideration to allow work but not receiving it was 9.57 (95%CI: 8.87-10.32, p<0.001).

The ORs of lifestyle and occupational factors associated with sickness presenteeism

is shown in Table 4. Smoking, breakfast routine, commute time, and hours worked

overtime were associated with sickness presenteeism. In contrast, we saw no association

between <u>drinking habit</u> (except less than 1 day a week) and sickness presenteeism. On

multivariate analysis, current smokers were at higher likelihood of experiencing sickness

presenteeism (OR=1.20, 95%CI: 1.12-1.29, p<0.001), as were those who rarely ate

breakfast (OR=1.49, 95%CI: 1.38-1.62, p<0.001), spent longer than 2 hours in one-way

commuting (OR=1.45, 95%CI: 1.18-1.77, p<0.001), or worked more than 2 hours

overtime (OR=2.53, 95%CI: 2.53-2.80, p<0.001).

Discussion

This study found that 19% of workers in Japan had experienced sickness

presenteeism during the COVID-19 pandemic. A survey of Japanese workers conducted

before the COVID-19 pandemic found that 27.6% of workers had experienced sickness

presenteeism due to mental health problems 2 to 5 times in the last 12 months[27]. It is not

possible to make a simple comparison between this previous and the present study

because the conditions of the two surveys are different. However, considering that the

target period of this survey was only one month, it can be said that this result shows that

COVID-19 is sufficiently associated with sickness presenteeism. Risk of experiencing

sickness presenteeism was higher in workers with a lower socioeconomic status and those

in poor health. Further, risk was also higher in workers with an unfavorable lifestyle and

working conditions.

Socioeconomic status is known to be an important factor in a worker's experience

of sickness presenteeism[14,28]. Further, emergencies such as disasters impair access to

health care for people with a disadvantaged socioeconomic status[29,30]. As a result,

opportunities for workers to experience sickness presenteeism can be expected to increase.

The present study reveals that socioeconomically disadvantaged workers were more

likely to experience sickness presenteeism during the COVID-19 pandemic. In particular,

risk of sickness presenteeism was increased in subjects with low income, unemployment

experience, and economic insecurity, a finding consistent with previous studies of the

impact of COVID-19 on sickness presenteeism[21,22]. Economic deprivation is a direct

reason for sickness presenteeism. Workers with unstable employment or a low income

have little choice but to work hard for their livelihoods, even if they are not feeling well[14].

Conversely, workers with sickness presenteeism are more likely to exacerbate their

illness[10]. As a result, sickness presenteeism will work in the direction of lower income

and employment instability. Workers with unstable employment may not be entitled to

sick leave or may be reluctant to take it[31,32], rendering them prone to sickness

presenteeism. Moreover, experience of sickness presenteeism has also been shown to

exacerbate health status, resulting in turn in employment instability as well as economic

disadvantage.

Our present results revealed that those with a poor health condition had a greater

likelihood of experiencing sickness presenteeism: those whose self-rated health was poor,

or with psychological distress, loneliness, and workplace support were at greater risk of

experiencing sickness presenteeism. It is clear from the definition that sickness

presenteeism is more likely in poor health[1]. Conversely, workers with sickness

presenteeism are known to be more likely to exacerbate their illness[10]. In our present study,

we found an association between greater psychological distress and a higher likelihood

of sickness presenteeism. A number of studies have noted that the fear of infection when

visiting a hospital is a major reason for treatment interruption[33-35]. Anxiety concerning

infection may affect treatment discontinuation, resulting in continued working in poor

physical condition. In addition, participants without company support were more likely

to have sickness presenteeism. This result is consistent with reports that there is less

sickness presenteeism with the support of workplace managers[36]. For those who tend to

feel lonely, it is thought that work efficiency is likely to decline due to the infectious

disease countermeasures (eg Stay Home and Remote Work) that have become widespread

during the COVID-19 epidemic, and the resulting decline in workplace communication.

Our present results also revealed the association of non-preferable lifestyle and

work-related factors with sickness presenteeism. Previous studies have shown a

relationship between lifestyle and sickness presenteeism[37-39]. It is thought that stress has

likely to have increased due to environmental changes caused by infectious disease

countermeasures (eg, Stay Home and Remote Work) that have become widespread during

the COVID-19 epidemic. Sickness presenteeism will increase due to a deterioration in

lifestyle, such as the stress caused by countermeasures against COVID-19 and work at

home, where the lack of restrictions on smoking leads to an increase in the number of

cigarettes smoked[40]. Long commute times put some physical burden on workers. Also,

longer commute times reduce leisure and sleep time in daily life. Returning home late at

night and having a supper also contribute to the worsening of lifestyle-related diseases.

Therefore, long commute times can lead to sickness presenteeism[41]. Countermeasures

against COVID-19 recommended in Japan such as staggered commuting and ventilation

inside the train by opening windows require workers to go to work quite early in the

morning and to travel in a train that is not adjusted to a comfortable temperature or

humidity. This can also put a physical and mental burden on workers and increase

sickness presenteeism. This is considered to be especially noticeable for workers who

commute for long hours.

Sickness presenteeism is a major occupational health issue and public health

challenge. The COVID-19 pandemic has brought a particular focus to it. Our present

study emphasizes that sickness presenteeism is associated with socioeconomic status.

Regardless of causation, individuals with a lower socioeconomic or health status were at

greater risk of sickness presenteeism. It is therefore critical to recognize not only the

clinical importance of COVID-19, but in addition the deterioration in labor productivity

and the impact on the lives and health of workers through the socioeconomic environment.

In a survey of Japanese workers, 62% of those with symptoms such as fever went to work

within 7 days after symptom onset. Being hired by a company and not being able to work

remotely were associated with going to work within 7 days of the onset of symptoms[42].

It is important to foster the social consensus that workers should take the day off from

work when they are feeling unwell. It is known that companies with a leave system that

is easy to use when workers are not feeling well have a low incidence of infectious

diseases[15]. An easy-to-use leave system is effective not only in reducing sickness

presenteeism but also with regard to COVID-19 countermeasures.

There are several limitations in this study. First, the reasons for sickness

presenteeism are not known. Many possible explanations may explain why workers

experience sickness presenteeism, including financial hardship which prevents medical

visits, unstable employment, or unavailability of a sick leave entitlement. Second, we did

not investigate the types of illnesses and health problems experienced by people with

sickness presenteeism. Third, we did not determined the chronological relationship

between sickness presenteeism and socioeconomic status and lifestyle.

In this study, we found that around 19% of Japanese workers experienced sickness

presenteeism during the period of rapidly spread of COVID-19 infection. Those who were

socioeconomically disadvantaged, in poor health, or with an unfavorable lifestyle were

more likely to report sickness presenteeism. Accordingly, the issue of sickness

presenteeism has become more prominent under the COVID-19 epidemic. The increase

in sickness presenteeism may not only worsen the health status of individuals, but also

have long-term effects on society, such as reduced productivity and increased social

security burden due to employment instability. These findings indicate the need for efforts

to decrease sickness presenteeism in workers who need to recuperate at home.

References

1. Aronsson G, Gustafsson K, Dallner M. Sick but yet at work. An empirical study of

sickness presenteeism. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2000;54:502-509.

2. Eurofound. Health and well-being at work: a report based on the fifth european working

conditions survey. Dublin: 2012.

3. Webster RK, Liu R, Karimullina K, et al. A systematic review of infectious illness

Presenteeism: prevalence, reasons and risk factors. BMC Public Health. 2019 Jun

21;19:799.

4. Shan G, Wang S, Wang W, et al. Presenteeism in Nurses: Prevalence, Consequences,

and Causes From the Perspectives of Nurses and Chief Nurses. Front Psychiatry. 2021

Jan 8;11:584040.

5. Park JW, Cho SS, Lee J, et al. Association between employment status and sickness

presenteeism among Korean employees: a cross-sectional study. Ann Occup Environ

Med. 2020 Jun 12;32:e17.

6. Oshio T, Tsutsumi A, Inoue A, et al. The reciprocal relationship between sickness

presenteeism and psychological distress in response to job stressors: evidence from a

three-wave cohort study. J Occup Health. 2017 Nov 25;59:552-561.

7. Taloyan M, Aronsson G, Leineweber C, et al. Sickness presenteeism predicts

suboptimal self-rated health and sickness absence: A nationally representative study of

the Swedish working population. PLoS One. 2012;7:e44721.

8. Kivimäki M, Head J, Ferrie JE, et al. Working while ill as a risk factor for serious

coronary events: the Whitehall II study. Am J Public Health. 2005;95:98-102.

9. Conway PM, Hogh A, Rugulies R, et al. Is sickness presenteeism a risk factor for

depression? A Danish 2-year follow-up study. J Occup Environ Med. 2014;56:595-603.

10. Hansen CD, Andersen JH. Sick at work － a risk factor for long-term sickness absence

at a later date? J Epidemiol Community Health. 2009; 63:397-402.

11. Dellve L, Hadzibajramovic E, Ahlborg G Jr. Work attendance among healthcare

workers: prevalence, incentives, and long-term consequences for health and

performance. J Adv Nurs. 2011;67:1918-1929.

12. Rosvold EO, Bjertness E. Physicians who do not take sick leave: hazardous heroes?

Scand J Public Health. 2001;29:71-75.

13. Johns G. Presenteeism in the workplace: A review and research agenda. Journal of

Organizational Behavior 2010;31:519-542.

14. Aronsson G, Gustafsson K. Sickness presenteeism: Prevalence, attendance-pressure

factors, and an outline of a model for research. J Occup Environ Med. 2005;47:958-

966.

15. Böckerman P, Laukkanen E. What makes you work while you are sick? Evidence

from a survey of workers. Eur J Public Health. 2010;20:43-46.

16. Allebeck P, Mastekaasa A. Chapter 5. Risk factors for sick leave - general studies.

Scand J Public Health 2004;32:49–108.

17. Sverke M, Hellgren J, Näswall K. No security: a meta-analysis and review of job

insecurity and its consequences. J Occup Health Psychol 2002;7:242–64.

18. Miraglia M, Johns G. Going to work ill: A meta-analysis of the correlates of

presenteeism and a dual-path model. J Occup Health Psychol. 2016 Jul;21:261-283.

19. Bong CL, Brasher C, Chikumba E, et al. The COVID-19 Pandemic: Effects on Low-

and Middle-Income Countries. Anesth Analg. 2020 Jul; 131: 86-92.

20. Das AK, Mishra DK, Gopalan SS. Reduced access to care among older American

adults during CoVID-19 pandemic: results from a prospective cohort study. medRxiv.

2020: 2020.11.29.20240317.

21. Reuter M, Dragano N, Wahrendorf M. Working while sick in context of regional

unemployment: a Europe-wide cross-sectional study. J Epidemiol Community Health.

2020 Nov 13:jech-2020-214888.

22. van Ballegooijen H, Goossens L, Bruin RH, et al. Concerns, quality of life, access to

care and productivity of the general population during the first 8 weeks of the

coronavirus lockdown in Belgium and the Netherlands. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021

Mar 12;21:227.

23. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based health

survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. medRxiv. Published online

February 5, 2021:2021.02.02.21249309.

24. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population

prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. Psychol Med.

2002;32:959-976.

25. Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, et al. The performance of the Japanese version

of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. Int J Methods Psychiatr

Res. 2008;17:152-158.

26. Courtin E, Knapp M. Social isolation, loneliness and health in old age: a scoping

review. Health Soc Care Community. 2017 May;25:799-812.

27. Doki S, Sasahara S, Suzuki S, et al. Relationship between sickness presenteeism and

awareness and presence or absence of systems for return to work among workers with

mental health problems in Japan: an Internet-based cross-sectional study. J Occup

Health. 2015;57:532-539.

28. Kim JY, Lee J, Muntaner C, et al. Who is working while sick? Nonstandard

employment and its association with absenteeism and presenteeism in South Korea. Int

Arch Occcup Environ Health. 2016;89:1095-1101.

29. Feinstein JS. The Relationship between Socioeconomic Status and Health: A Review

of the Literature. M 323 ilbank Q. 1993;71:279-322.

30. Swain GR. How does economic and social disadvantage affect health. Focus.

2016;33:1-6.

31. Kinman G, Grant C. Presenteeism during the COVID-19 pandemic: risks and

solutions. Occup Med . Published online November 18, 2020.

doi:10.1093/occmed/kqaa193

32. Skagen K, Collins AM. The consequences of sickness presenteeism on health and

wellbeing over time: A systematic review. Soc Sci Med. 2016;161:169-177.

33. Czeisler MÉ, Marynak K, Clarke KEN, et al. Delay or Avoidance of Medical Care

Because of COVID-19-Related Concerns - United States, June 2020. MMWR Morb

Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69:1250-1257.

34. Chudasama YV, Gillies CL, Zaccardi F, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on routine care

for chronic diseases: A global survey of views from healthcare professionals. Diabetes

Metab Syndr. 2020;14:965-967.

35. Erol MK. Treatment Delays and In-Hospital Outcomes In Acute Myocardial

Infarction During The Covid-19 Pandemic: A Nationwide Study. The Anatolian Journal

of Cardiology. Published online 2020. doi:10.14744/anatoljcardiol.2020.98607

36. Caverley N, Cunningham JB, MacGregor JN. Sickness presenteeism, sickness

absenteeism, and health following restructuring in a public service organization. J

Manag Studies. 2007;44:304-319.

37. Robroek SJ, van den Berg TI, Plat JF, et al. The role of obesity and lifestyle behaviours

in a productive workforce. Occup Environ Med. 2011;68:134-139.

38. Kirkham HS, Clark BL, Bolas CA, et al. Which modifiable health risks are associated

with changes in productivity costs? Popul Health Manag. 2015;18:30-38.

39. Merchant JA, Kelly KM, Burmeister LF, et al. Employment status matters: a statewide

survey of quality-of-life, prevention behaviors, and absenteeism and presenteeism. J

Occup Environ Med. 2014; 56:686-698.

40. Siegel A, Korbman M, Erblich J. Direct and Indirect Effects of Psychological Distress

on Stress-Induced Smoking. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2017 Nov;78:930-937.

41. Min D, Lee SJ. Factors Associated With the Presenteeism of Single-Person Household

Employees in Korea: The 5th Korean Working Conditions Survey (KWCS). Occup

Environ Med. 2021 Sep 1;63:808-812.

42. Machida M, Nakamura I, Saito R, et al. The actual implementation status of self-

isolation among Japanese workers during the COVID-19 outbreak. Trop Med Health.

2020 Aug 3;48:63. doi: 10.1186/s41182-020-00250-7. eCollection 2020.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study participants

	Sickness presenteeism <3day (n=21997)	Sickness presenteeism >=3day (n=5039)
Sex		
Women	10580 (48.1%)	2642 (52.4%)
Men	11417 (51.9%)	2397 (47.6%)
Marital status		
Married	12503 (56.8%)	2526 (50.1%)
Unmarried	7212 (32.8%)	1952 (38.7%)
Divorced/widowed	2282 (10.4%)	561 (11.1%)
Job type		
Mainly desk work	11126 (50.6%)	2342 (46.5%)
Jobs mainly involving interpersonal communication	5556 (25.3%)	1371 (27.2%)
Mainly labor	5315 (24.2%)	1326 (26.3%)
Annual equivalent household income (JPY)		
500000-2650000	7075 (32.2%)	1919 (38.1%)
2650000-4500000	7139 (32.5%)	1543 (30.6%)
>4500000	7783 (35.4%)	1577 (31.3%)
Self-rated health, very good/good	12305 (55.9%)	1151 (22.8%)
Psychological distress (K6≥5)	7054 (32.1%)	3763 (74.7%)
Have you ever felt alone? usually or always	1535 (7.0%)	1215 (24.1%)
Do you require consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition?		
No	18122 (82.4%)	2139 (42.4%)
Yes, but I have not received support	2001 (9.1%)	2297 (45.6%)
Yes and I have received support	1874 (8.5%)	603 (12.0%)
Smoking status		
Non-smoker	11897 (54.1%)	2690 (53.4%)
Ex-smoker	4096 (18.6%)	841 (16.7%)
Current smoker	6004 (27.3%)	1508 (29.9%)
Alcohol consumption, 6–7 days a week	4690 (21.3%)	984 (19.5%)
How often do you eat breakfast? less than 1 day a week	3697 (16.8%)	1095 (21.7%)
Time spent on one-way commute (excluding time spent at home), more than 2 hours	434 (2.0%)	151 (3.0%)
Overtime work, more than 2 hours	1732 (7.9%)	721 (14.3%)

JPY, Japanese yen; K6, Kessler Psychological Distress Scale.

Table 2. Association between socioeconomic status and sickness presenteeism

	Age-sex adjusted				Multivariate*			
	OR	95%CI		p	OR	95%CI		p
Education								
Junior high school	1.32	1.03	1.69	0.027	1.17	0.91	1.50	0.212
High school	1.02	0.95	1.10	0.538	0.97	0.90	1.05	0.464
Vocational school/college, university, graduate school	reference				reference			
Marital status								
Married	reference				reference			
Unmarried	1.25	1.13	1.39	<0.001	1.15	1.04	1.28	0.009
Divorced/bereavement	1.19	1.11	1.28	<0.001	1.13	1.05	1.21	0.001
Job type								
Mainly desk work	reference				reference			
Jobs mainly involving interpersonal communication	1.13	1.05	1.22	<0.001	1.11	1.03	1.20	0.005
Mainly labor	1.16	1.08	1.26	<0.001	1.11	1.03	1.20	0.009
Equivalized income (JPY)								
500000-2650000	1.29	1.19	1.38	<0.001	1.19	1.10	1.29	<0.001
2650000-4500000	1.03	0.95	1.11	0.474	1.01	0.94	1.10	0.721
>4500000	reference				reference			
Have you resigned or changed jobs since April 2020?								
Did not resign or change jobs	reference				reference			
Transferred to another company	2.66	1.92	3.69	<0.001	2.69	1.94	3.73	<0.001
Resigned and entered into a new job immediately	1.40	1.18	1.65	<0.001	1.36	1.15	1.61	<0.001
Unemployed for a period, but currently working	1.90	1.56	2.32	<0.001	1.79	1.47	2.19	<0.001
Retired and started a business (e.g., running a company, personal business, or self-employment)	2.42	1.89	3.09	<0.001	2.29	1.79	2.92	<0.001
How do you feel about your current financial situation?								
Very difficult	3.41	3.01	3.88	<0.001	3.34	2.94	3.81	<0.001
Slightly difficult	1.95	1.73	2.19	<0.001	1.94	1.72	2.18	<0.001
Fairly difficult	1.13	1.01	1.27	0.035	1.13	1.01	1.27	0.033
Comfortable	reference				reference			

OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; JPY, Japanese yen.

Table 3. Association between health status, psychological distress and sickness presenteeism

	Age-sex adjusted			Multivariate*			
	OR	95%CI		p	OR	95%CI		p
Self-rated health								
Very good/good	reference				reference			
Neither good nor bad	2.81	2.60	3.04	<0.001	2.81	2.60	3.04	<0.001
Bad	11.21	10.25	12.27	<0.001	11.21	10.24	12.28	<0.001
Psychological distress (K6≥5)	6.14	5.73	6.59	<0.001	6.11	5.70	6.56	<0.001
Have you ever felt alone?								
Never	reference				reference			
A little	2.51	2.30	2.73	<0.001	2.52	2.32	2.74	<0.001
Sometimes	4.30	3.93	4.69	<0.001	4.32	3.95	4.73	<0.001
Usually	6.13	5.45	6.90	<0.001	6.21	5.51	7.00	<0.001
Always	8.17	7.24	9.23	<0.001	8.30	7.34	9.39	<0.001
Do you require consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition?								
No	reference				reference			
Yes, but I have not received support	9.67	8.97	10.42	<0.001	9.57	8.87	10.32	<0.001
Yes and I have received support	2.64	2.38	2.92	<0.001	2.64	2.38	2.92	<0.001

OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; K6, Kessler Psychological Distress Scale.

Table 4. Association between lifestyle, occupational factors and sickness presenteeism

	Age-sex adjusted				Multivariate*			
	OR	95%CI		p	OR	95%CI		p
Smoking status								
Non-smoker	reference				reference			
Ex-smoker	1.06	0.97	1.16	0.189	1.06	0.97	1.16	0.207
Current smoker	1.26	1.17	1.36	<0.001	1.25	1.16	1.35	<0.001
Drinking habit								
6–7 days a week	0.96	0.88	1.05	0.337	0.98	0.90	1.07	0.674
4–5 days a week	0.91	0.80	1.03	0.142	0.94	0.83	1.06	0.301
2–3 days a week	0.96	0.87	1.06	0.385	0.98	0.89	1.08	0.677
Less than 1 day a week	0.88	0.80	0.96	0.004	0.89	0.81	0.97	0.008
Hardly ever	reference				reference			
How often do you eat breakfast?								
6–7 days a week	reference				reference			
4–5 days a week	1.43	1.29	1.59	<0.001	1.41	1.27	1.57	<0.001
2–3 days a week	1.71	1.52	1.93	<0.001	1.68	1.49	1.90	<0.001
Less than 1 day a week	1.72	1.50	1.97	<0.001	1.70	1.49	1.95	<0.001
Hardly ever	1.54	1.42	1.66	<0.001	1.49	1.38	1.62	<0.001
Time spent on one-way commute (excluding time spent at home)								
More than 2 hours	1.35	1.11	1.66	0.003	1.45	1.18	1.77	<0.001
More than 1 hour	1.06	0.95	1.19	0.272	1.13	1.01	1.27	0.029
More than 30 minutes	0.92	0.83	1.01	0.086	0.96	0.87	1.06	0.386
Less than 30 minutes	0.79	0.73	0.87	<0.001	0.81	0.73	0.88	<0.001
Almost none	reference				reference			
Overtime work								
More than 2 hours	2.39	2.16	2.64	<0.001	2.53	2.28	2.80	<0.001
More than 1 hour	1.67	1.53	1.83	<0.001	1.75	1.60	1.91	<0.001
More than 30 minutes	1.45	1.32	1.58	<0.001	1.50	1.37	1.64	<0.001
Less than 30 minutes	1.15	1.05	1.28	0.005	1.19	1.07	1.31	0.001
Almost none	reference				reference			

OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval.