

1                                    **Social gradients in health vary between rural and urban Turkana**

2  
3        Amanda J. Lea<sup>1,2,7</sup>, Charles Waigwa<sup>3,4</sup>, Benjamin Muhoya<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Francis Lotukoi<sup>3</sup>, Julie Peng<sup>2</sup>,  
4        Lucas P. Henry<sup>1,2</sup>, Varada Abhyankar<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Kamau<sup>4,5</sup>, Dino Martins<sup>1,3</sup>, Michael Gurven<sup>6</sup>,  
5                                    Julien F. Ayroles<sup>1,2\*</sup>

6  
7        1. Department of Ecology and Evolution, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

8        2. Lewis Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey,  
9        USA

10       3. Mpala Research Centre, Nanyuki, Kenya

11       4. Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

12       5. Institute of Primate Research, National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya

13       6. Department of Anthropology, University of California: Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara,  
14       California, USA

15       7. Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville,  
16       Tennessee, USA

17  
18       \*Correspondence to: [amanda.j.lea@vanderbilt.edu](mailto:amanda.j.lea@vanderbilt.edu), [jayroles@princeton.edu](mailto:jayroles@princeton.edu)

19       Keywords: pastoralism, Turkana, socioeconomic status, social gradients in health, early life  
20       adversity

21       Header title: Social gradients in health in the Turkana

22 **Abstract**

23  
24 Background and objectives: Low socioeconomic status (SES) is consistently associated with  
25 chronic stress, poor health, and premature death in high income countries (HICs). However, the  
26 degree to which SES gradients in health are universal—or even steeper under contemporary,  
27 post-industrial conditions—remains poorly understood.

28  
29 Methodology: We quantified material wealth and several health outcomes among a population of  
30 traditional pastoralists, the Turkana of northwest Kenya, who are currently transitioning toward a  
31 more urban, market-integrated lifestyle. We assessed whether SES associations with health  
32 differed in subsistence-level versus urban contexts. We also explored the causes and  
33 consequences of SES-health associations by measuring serum cortisol, potential sociobehavioral  
34 mediators in early life and adulthood, and adult reproductive success (number of surviving  
35 offspring).

36  
37 Results: High SES predicts better self-reported health and more offspring in traditional  
38 pastoralist Turkana, but worse cardiometabolic health and fewer offspring in urban Turkana. We  
39 do not find robust evidence for either direct biological mediators (i.e., cortisol) or indirect  
40 sociobehavioral mediators (e.g., adult diet or health behaviors, early life experiences) of SES-  
41 health relationships in either context.

42  
43 Conclusions and implications: While social gradients in health are common in non-human  
44 primates, small-scale human societies, and HICs, we find that relationships between wealth and  
45 health can vary dramatically within a single population. Our findings emphasize that under  
46 different economic and societal circumstances, social status effects on health may manifest in  
47 very different ways.

48  
49  
50 **Lay summary**

51 High socioeconomic status predicts better health and more offspring in traditional  
52 Turkana pastoralists, but worse health and fewer offspring in individuals of the same group  
53 living in urban centers. Together, our work shows that under different economic and societal  
54 circumstances, wealth effects on health may manifest in very different ways.

55

## 56 Introduction

57 Social gradients in health exist in almost every human population that has been studied.  
58 In the United States, individuals in the lowest socioeconomic class (defined by income) are at  
59 greater risk for major health issues such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes, and are predicted  
60 to die over a decade earlier than individuals in the highest socioeconomic class [1–3]. These  
61 socioeconomic status (SES) gradients in disease risk and survival are to some degree explained  
62 by differences in health care, health habits, and access to resources that are also socially stratified  
63 [4]. However, studies in animal models, where such confounds can be avoided, support the  
64 hypothesis that some portion of the social status-health relationship is driven by direct and causal  
65 effects of social status on physiology, largely mediated by chronic stress and altered HPA axis  
66 function in low status individuals [5–7].

67 While there is overwhelming support for a consistent relationship between wealth-based  
68 measures of SES and human health, most evidence to date comes from studies of high-income  
69 countries (HICs) [8,9]. This limited scope undermines our ability to understand whether SES-  
70 health relationships are consistent across contexts, or instead vary as a function of resource  
71 availability and distribution, the nature of social relationships and hierarchies, or other  
72 socioecological features of a population (as has been shown for other species [10–12]). In  
73 particular, it has been hypothesized that the steep social gradients in health observed in  
74 “Western” HICs are recent byproducts of environmental changes precipitated by urbanization,  
75 globalized markets, capitalism, and other modern advancements [13,14]. In other words, while  
76 social gradients in health have deep roots in primate and human evolution [6,7], the nature and  
77 magnitude of these gradients have potentially changed as a function of modern lifeways.

78 There are several potential explanations for why post-industrial conditions may  
79 exacerbate wealth/SES effects on health. First, relative to small-scale, subsistence-level groups  
80 such as hunter-gatherers, modern societies exhibit limited upward mobility and reduced kin  
81 support, as well as deep structural racism and violence which may intensify stress in the lowest  
82 socioeconomic strata [13,15,16]. Second, modern societies also exhibit a long list of socially  
83 stratified health care resources and health habits (e.g., obesogenic diet, drug and alcohol use) that  
84 were largely absent during pre-industrial periods [17–20]. Finally, epidemiological changes that  
85 go hand in hand with industrial transitions could alter the nature of SES-health relationships:  
86 most deaths in modern day HICs are attributed to non-communicable rather than infectious  
87 diseases, and these disease classes are likely differentially affected by wealth. However, because  
88 there is a relatively limited literature examining SES effects on health outside of the  
89 industrialized setting (e.g., in pre-industrial societies or small-scale, subsistence-level groups),  
90 the degree to which urbanization and market-integration fundamentally change the strength or  
91 nature of SES-health relationships remains poorly understood [13,21–26].

92 To address this gap, we quantified the relationship between SES (operationalized as  
93 material wealth) and health in a small-scale, subsistence-level pastoralist population—the  
94 Turkana people of northwest Kenya. Pastoralists are often portrayed as egalitarian, largely  
95 because of their relatively equal and open access to natural resources, trading of livestock  
96 holdings, and resource sharing during times of hardship [27,28]. The social networks and  
97 relationships between herd owners are thought to be extremely strong and fundamental to the  
98 pastoralist way of life [28,29]. However, livestock holdings among pastoralists are also highly  
99 correlated across generations, and the intergenerational transmission of inequality is on par with  
100 or even greater than what is observed in the most unequal HICs [30]. Among the Turkana  
101 specifically, there is extreme variation in livestock holdings within and between generations,

102 crossing several orders of magnitude and often fluctuating due to unpredictable events such as  
103 droughts, livestock disease outbreaks, or raiding from nearby groups [28]. This complex picture  
104 of high wealth inequality and variance paired with egalitarian practices makes it unclear to what  
105 degree we should expect SES to affect health outcomes in pastoralist societies like the Turkana.  
106 While previous work with pastoralist communities has examined effects of herd size (the  
107 primary source of material wealth) on nutrition and caloric intake [31–33], little work has tested  
108 the relationship between SES and health in traditional pastoralist societies [32,34,35].

109 Our study set out to explore SES-health connections in traditional Turkana, and to ask  
110 how these links strengthen or change when individuals transition to a more urban, market-  
111 integrated lifestyle. We were able to perform this comparison because cultural and economic  
112 changes paired with expansion of country-wide infrastructure has prompted many Turkana to  
113 move to densely populated cities over the last few decades. Turkana migrants to urban areas no  
114 longer practice pastoralism, work wage labor or market-interfacing jobs, and experience many  
115 other lifestyle changes. For example, urban-dwelling Turkana consume fewer traditional and  
116 more processed foods relative to pastoralist Turkana, which puts them at greater risk of  
117 cardiometabolic disease [36]. Moving to urban areas may also lead to increased psychosocial  
118 stress, reduced kin support, and changes in health habits and physical activity—all of which  
119 impact health and may vary with SES [7,37,38].

120 By collecting data on wealth and health from both traditional, pastoralist and urban,  
121 market-integrated Turkana, we were able to test whether lifestyle change alters SES effects on  
122 health within a single population. We also performed several follow up analyses to understand  
123 the causes and consequences of SES-health associations in both rural and urban contexts. First,  
124 to understand the putative fitness consequences of SES, which is essential for understanding how  
125 the behavioral and physiological correlates of social status evolve [39], we tested for SES effects  
126 on reproductive success (number of surviving offspring). Second, to understand the biological  
127 and behavioral mediators of SES-health connections, we measured 1) serum cortisol to assess the  
128 role of psychosocial stress and HPA axis function and 2) we interviewed study participants about  
129 their diet, health habits, and use of health care resources; we then asked whether SES predicted  
130 any of these potential mediators, and if so, we performed formal mediation analyses [40,41].  
131 Finally, we were interested in understanding the role of another key dimension of the social  
132 environment—early life adversity—which has been shown in HICs to both affect later life health  
133 outcomes [6,42,43] and to set individuals on a course toward low SES in adulthood [43–45]. We  
134 therefore devoted effort toward systematically documenting variation in early life experiences  
135 and exploring their effects, which has rarely been attempted in small-scale, subsistence-level  
136 groups [46–48]. Taken together, our study provides a comprehensive picture of how, why, and  
137 under what circumstances social status affects health. We leverage the lifestyle gradient of the  
138 Turkana to directly address the impact of increasing urbanization and market-integration on this  
139 important relationship.

140  
141

## 142 **Methods**

### 143 *Overview of the study population and study methodology*

144 The Turkana have resided in northwest Kenya since the early 18th century [49]; their  
145 homelands (Turkana county) are semi-arid and characterized by low annual rainfall, frequent  
146 droughts, and high year round temperatures [50]. The Turkana people are traditionally nomadic  
147 pastoralists, relying on dromedary camels, zebu cattle, fat tailed sheep, goats, and donkeys for

148 subsistence [51]. Most herders keep livestock from all species, though they may specialize to  
149 some degree [29]. As a result of their subsistence strategy, the traditional Turkana diet is  
150 extremely protein-rich: 70-80% of calories are derived from milk or other animal products [51].  
151 For detailed descriptions of the diet, climate, and lifestyle experienced by traditional, pastoralist  
152 Turkana, see work from the South Turkana Ecosystem Project [52].

153 Over the last several decades, many urban areas in central Kenya have experienced an  
154 influx of Turkana people as a result of country-wide infrastructure improvements and rapid  
155 cultural, economic, and social changes; for the same reasons, the capital of Turkana county  
156 (Lodwar) has also become increasingly urban and market-integrated. In our study, we use the  
157 term “urban” to refer to people living in densely populated cities characterized by many  
158 permanent businesses, and where most people engage with the market economy and/or work  
159 wage labor jobs. We defined “urban” individuals as those that no longer practice pastoralism and  
160 reside in one of three cities included in our study—Nanyuki, Lodwar, and Kitale. All three of  
161 these cities have population sizes >20k and are among the top 50 largest cities in Kenya  
162 (<https://worldpopulationreview.com/>). We also included non-pastoralists residing in suburbs in  
163 Laikipia county in central Kenya in the urban category, because Laikipia is a cosmopolitan area  
164 with several large cities (e.g., Nanyuki, Nyahururu, and Rumuruti).

165 We defined pastoralists as residents of Turkana county who self-reported their main  
166 subsistence activity as “pastoralism”, who owned livestock, and who drink milk every day (i.e.,  
167 they rely on their livestock for subsistence). In previous work, we also defined a third category of  
168 Turkana who no longer practice pastoralism but still live in the relatively remote and rural  
169 Turkana homelands [36]. For the purposes of this study, we focused only on the extremes of the  
170 Turkana lifestyle spectrum (pastoralist versus urban) because SES is more difficult to define and  
171 operationalize in the intermediate context, where both livestock and material goods contribute to  
172 wealth (see next section).

173 Data were collected in Turkana, Laikipia, and Trans-Nzoia counties between April 2018  
174 and February 2020. During this time, researchers visited locations where Turkana individuals  
175 were known to reside (Figure S1). At each sampling location, local chiefs and elders were first  
176 consulted about the project. If they believed the study to be of interest to their community, a  
177 larger meeting was held to explain the project to all interested individuals. After this period of  
178 discussion, adults (>18 years old) of self-reported Turkana ancestry were invited to participate in  
179 the study. The study involved a structured interview, blood sample collection, and  
180 anthropometric measurements. Additional background on the study as well as detailed sampling  
181 procedures and demographic summaries are provided in [36].

## 182 *Structured interviews*

### 183 Self-reported health, potential behavioral mediators, and covariates

184 Structured interviews were conducted with all participants to collect information about  
185 demography, reproductive history, diet, early life experiences, lifestyle, and wealth. All  
186 interviews were conducted in a language familiar to the participant (English, Turkana, or  
187 Swahili). The following self-reported variables from the interviews are relevant to our analyses:

- 189 • Sex
- 190 • Age
- 191 • Main subsistence activity, chosen from the following categories: self-employment,  
192 formal employment, petty trade, farming, pastoralism, hunting and gathering, other

- 193 • Highest education level scored as follows: 0=none, 1=lower primary school, 2=upper  
194 primary school, 3=secondary school, 4=education beyond secondary school  
195 • Number of surviving children  
196 • Number of wives (for men only)  
197 • Whether the participant used medications or sought medical treatment when ill in the  
198 last month (Y/N/NA, if not ill in the last month)  
199 • Whether the participant used alcohol, tobacco, or cigarettes (never/occasionally/daily)  
200 • Whether the participant was currently fasting (this covariate was included in analyses  
201 of blood glucose)  
202 • Whether the participant experienced each of the following health issues in the last 3  
203 months (Y/N for each question): swollen extremities, fatigue or weakness, shortness  
204 of breath, diarrhea, worm infection, stomach pain, vomiting, constipation, coughing,  
205 difficulty breathing, dizziness, headaches, chest pain, bruises, cuts and scrapes, or  
206 burning during urination.

207 We also used a food frequency questionnaire to collect information about the  
208 consumption of meat, milk, bread, sugar, salt, and cooking oil. We focused on these items  
209 because they reflect foods that are essential (meat, milk) or uncommon (bread, sugar, salt,  
210 cooking oil) in the diet of traditional pastoralists. Participants were asked how often a specific  
211 item was used or consumed and were given the following answer choices: never, rarely, 1-2  
212 times per week, >2 times per week, or every day. These answers were converted to a scale of 0-  
213 4. Results for sugar, salt, and cooking oil were tallied and combined into a single metric because  
214 these answers were highly correlated among both pastoralists and urban individuals (all  $R^2 > 0.9$ ).

215 Because 1) we were interested in a life course perspective on social conditions and health  
216 and 2) early life challenges can set individuals on a course toward adverse sociobehavioral  
217 environments in adulthood [43–45], we interviewed participants about their early life  
218 experiences, adapting the CDC’s Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) instrument [53].  
219 Specifically, we created a tally of the number of adversities each participant experienced as a  
220 child (<12 years old) from the following list: mother or father absent (i.e., didn’t leave with the  
221 participant when they were young due to death, abandonment, or other circumstances), verbal  
222 abuse or threat of violence by a caregiver, physical abuse by a caregiver, witness of verbal or  
223 physical abuse toward mother, exposure to mental illness, exposure to alcoholism or other  
224 substance abuse, and food insecurity. These questions were asked using the phrasing provided by  
225 the Centers for Disease Control (<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/aces/ace-brfss.html>)  
226 (modeled after [53]), except for the food insecurity question, which we added because it is a  
227 common concern in Kenya. We also asked participants where they were born, as well as what the  
228 main subsistence strategy and occupation of their parents was during childhood.

### 229 230 SES/material wealth metrics

231 We drew on our interview data to create two separate metrics of SES, one for the  
232 pastoralist context and for the urban context. Our measures are meant to capture key indicators of  
233 absolute material wealth in each context, rather than other potential dimensions of social status  
234 such as influence or standing in the community (as perceived by the focal individual or other  
235 community members), embodied wealth, or inequality/relative material wealth. Defining  
236 absolute material wealth in itself is a complex and challenging task, and many approaches have  
237 been taken [54,55]. Our approach is based on the data that were available as well as precedent in  
238 the literature.

239 Livestock are the primary source of material wealth among pastoralists [27]. Therefore,  
240 in the pastoralist setting, we defined SES as the total number of multispecies livestock owned by  
241 the household the individual belonged to, following [27] and references therein. This value was  
242  $\log_2$  transformed because of skew. Importantly, this measure of SES was strongly correlated with  
243 other possible metrics of material wealth [32,56], for example livestock translated into estimated  
244 market prices ( $\beta=1.01$ ,  $p\text{-value}<10^{-16}$ , linear regression) as well as the ratio of total multispecies  
245 livestock holdings to the number of household members ( $\beta=0.913$ ,  $p\text{-value}<10^{-16}$ , linear  
246 regression).

247 In the urban setting, we used a tally of durables/goods, dwelling characteristics, and other  
248 household assets as an index of SES and absolute material wealth. This approach is relatively  
249 common [54] and the specific list was derived from previous work [57–59]. We tallied  
250 household possession of the following items to create an index ranging from 0–11: finished floor,  
251 finished roof, electricity, television set, mobile phone, flush toilet, gas cooking, indoor tap water,  
252 treated water, >1 room in the household, and  $\leq 2$  household members per room. We did not  
253 include durables and dwelling characteristics in the pastoralist SES index, because very few  
254 individuals in the pastoralist setting own any of goods listed above (3.1%). Similarly, we did not  
255 include livestock holdings in our urban SES metric, because few individuals in this setting own  
256 livestock (21.4%). For the subset of urban individuals that own livestock, livestock holdings are  
257 strongly correlated with the urban SES index, suggesting we are not missing primary sources of  
258 wealth by not counting livestock ( $\beta=0.027$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.036$ , Poisson regression). Our urban SES  
259 index is also strongly associated with education levels ( $\beta=0.203$ ,  $p\text{-value}<10^{-16}$ , Poisson  
260 regression), another common measure of SES in HICs and industrialized settings [60].  
261

#### 262 *Measuring biomarkers of cardiometabolic health*

263 In addition to collecting data on self-reported health, we also measured 10 biomarkers of  
264 cardiometabolic health. We used standard anthropometric approaches to measure body mass  
265 index (BMI), waist circumference, body fat percentage, as well as systolic and diastolic blood  
266 pressure. We also collected venous blood to measure blood glucose levels, total cholesterol,  
267 triglycerides, and high- and low-density lipoproteins (HDL, LDL). The collection of all of these  
268 measures are described in detail in [36].

269 Data were excluded in a handful of cases where individuals were extreme outliers,  
270 indicating a likely error ( $>5$  standard deviations from the mean). Prior to statistical analyses, all  
271 biomarkers and SES measures (when they were used as predictor variables) were mean-centered  
272 and scaled by their standard deviation using the “scale” function in R [61]. Consequently, all  
273 effect sizes reported in the methods are standardized, and represent the effect of a given variable  
274 on the outcome in terms of increases in standard deviations.  
275

#### 276 *Measuring serum cortisol*

277 Venous blood was collected from each participant in a serum separator tube (Fisher  
278 Scientific) and spun immediately for 15 minutes at 2500 RPM in a portable centrifuge. The  
279 serum layer was then pulled off the top of the tube, transferred to a 2mL cryovial, and frozen at -  
280 10C in a portable freezer. Samples were kept in the portable freezer for no longer than one week,  
281 after which they were transferred to long-term storage in a -20C freezer at Mpala Research  
282 Centre (Laikipia, Kenya). Samples were exported to the United States on dry ice, and upon  
283 arrival were stored at -80C.

284 216 serum samples were thawed on ice and used to quantify cortisol with the Cortisol  
285 Elisa Assay Kit from Eagle BioSciences, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples  
286 were randomized across three plates, and the  $R^2$  between the expected and observed  
287 concentrations for the calibrator curves were 0.9994, 0.9989, and 0.9977. Two control samples  
288 of known concentration were run in duplicate on each plate, and all values fell within the  
289 acceptable range specified by the kit's manufacturer. In the total sample, we did not find any  
290 evidence for plate effects (ANOVA,  $F=1.328$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.267$ ,  $n=216$ ) and we did observe  
291 expected effects of age, sex, and time of day of sample collection [62–64]. Specifically, our  
292 linear models revealed that males exhibited higher cortisol levels than females ( $\beta=-0.484$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.013$ ),  
293 cortisol levels increased with age ( $\beta=0.021$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.006$ ), and cortisol levels  
294 were higher in the morning ( $\beta=-0.104$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.018$ ).

295  
296 *Testing for SES effects on health in the pastoralist and urban settings, and exploring potential*  
297 *mediators*

298 For each of the 16 self-reported health measures, we used binomial regression to ask  
299 whether SES was predictive of health in each context. For each of the 10 biomarkers of  
300 cardiometabolic health, we used linear models to ask whether SES was predictive of health  
301 outcomes in the urban or pastoralist setting (analyzed separately; see Table S1 for sample sizes).  
302 We did not run models in cases where  $<1\%$  of people experienced a given self-reported health  
303 issue (Table S1). All analyses controlled for self-reported age and sex as fixed effects covariates.  
304 For each health outcome, we also ran analyses that considered a sex x SES interaction term, and  
305 we used the results of the second model if the delta AIC between this model and the first model  
306 was  $>2$  (Table S1). All p-values were corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using a  
307 Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate approach [65]. We considered SES effects to be  
308 significant in a given context at a 10% FDR cutoff.

309 We also explored potential mediators of SES-health associations. To do so, we used  
310 formal mediation analyses [40,41] following the methods in [5,36,66]. We considered the  
311 following behavioral factors as potential mediators: cigarette smoking (Y/N), alcohol usage  
312 (Y/N), tobacco usage (Y/N), use of health care resources (i.e., whether the participant used  
313 medications or sought medical treatment when ill; Y/N/NA), frequency of use of salt/sugar/oil in  
314 cooking (0-4), and frequency of consumption of bread, meat, or milk (0-4). We also considered  
315 cortisol levels as a potential biological mediator. For a variable to be a potential mediator, it  
316 needs to be correlated with the predictor variable of interest. We therefore used linear, binomial,  
317 and Poisson regression (for continuous, binary, and count variables, respectively) to predict each  
318 mediator as a function of SES, in the pastoralist and urban samples, respectively. All models  
319 controlled for age and sex, and the cortisol models also controlled for time of day of sample  
320 collection.

321 We tested all variables for mediation that were predicted by SES at a relaxed nominal p-  
322 value of 0.1, and that were associated with SES in a direction that made sense for mediating  
323 health effects. To do so, we fit two models: 1) an “unadjusted” model that included the effect of  
324 the predictor variable on the outcome (i.e., the effect of SES on a given health outcome,  
325 controlling for covariates) and 2) an “adjusted” model that is identical to model 1 but also  
326 includes the putative mediator as a covariate. If the predictor's effect on the outcome is explained  
327 by the mediator, then the effect of the predictor ( $\beta$  SES) will decrease when the mediator is  
328 included in the adjusted model and absorbs variance otherwise attributed to the predictor. To  
329 assess significance, we estimated the decrease in  $\beta$  SES between the unadjusted and adjusted

330 models across 1000 iterations of bootstrap resampling. We considered a variable to be a  
331 significant mediator if the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval for the decrease in  $\beta$  SES  
332 did not overlap with 0.

333

### 334 *Testing for SES effects on reproductive success in the pastoralist and urban settings*

335 We were also interested in the potential fertility consequences of SES, as identifying  
336 these links is important for thinking about how SES effects on health may ultimately impact  
337 Darwinian fitness, and thus for informing our understanding about how SES-associated traits  
338 (e.g., striving for wealth or other forms of status) evolve in humans [39]. Therefore, we used  
339 Poisson regression to predict the number of surviving offspring each individual had as a function  
340 of SES, age, sex, and the interaction between SES and sex (as this improved model fit in both  
341 contexts). The total number of surviving offspring was self-reported, and was not available in  
342 terms of offspring sex or age breakdowns (e.g., we could not calculate number of offspring  
343 surviving past a certain age). We also note that number of surviving offspring is not the same as  
344 Darwinian fitness, which is difficult to measure, but it is a commonly used proxy in the literature  
345 and is routinely considered a fitness-related trait (e.g., [39]).

346 For men in the pastoralist setting, we also ran a supplementary analysis to understand  
347 whether SES effects on reproductive success were mediated by SES effects on number of wives.  
348 In traditional Turkana culture, livestock are used as bridewealth and polygyny is common [29].  
349 This practice is much less common in urban settings: 42% versus 9% of married men reported >1  
350 wife in the pastoralist and urban settings, respectively. To test whether SES effects on  
351 reproductive success were mediated by SES effects on number of wives, we fit two Poisson  
352 regression models to data from male pastoralists: 1) an “unadjusted” model that included the  
353 effect of SES on number of children, controlling for age and 2) an “adjusted” model that was  
354 identical to model 1 but also included number of wives as a covariate. We then used the same  
355 bootstrap approach described above to assess significance.

356

### 357 *Understanding the relationships between lifestyle, early life adversity, adult SES, and adult health*

358  
359 To test whether early life adversity (ELA) predicted adult SES in each context, we used  
360 linear regression (for pastoralists) and Poisson regression (for urban individuals) to predict each  
361 wealth index as a function of our cumulative ELA score controlling for age and sex. Cumulative  
362 ELA was considered on a scale of 0-5, with individuals experiencing >5 adversities collapsed  
363 into the 5 category to prevent the influence of outliers. We also used Poisson regression to  
364 understand whether cumulative ELA itself was predicted by 1) age, sex, or lifestyle (urban  
365 versus pastoralist) in the total sample and 2) age, sex, or parental subsistence strategy (coded as  
366 pastoralist, other, or formal employment) in the pastoralist sample only.

367 To test whether ELA was related to adult health in each context, we used linear  
368 regression controlling for age and sex to predict our 10 biomarkers of cardiometabolic health as a  
369 function of cumulative ELA. Unfortunately, we did not conduct interviews on self-reported  
370 health and early life experiences for the same set of individuals, and we therefore could not  
371 assess the relationships between these measures. All p-values were corrected for multiple  
372 hypothesis testing and were considered significant if they passed a 10% FDR.

373

### 374 *Ethics approval*

375 This study was approved by Princeton University's Institutional Review Board for  
376 Human Subjects Research (IRB# 10237), and Maseno University's Ethics Review Committee  
377 (MSU/DRPI/MUERC/00519/18). We also received county-level approval for research activities,  
378 and research permits from Kenya's National Commission for Science, Technology and  
379 Innovation (NACOSTI/P/18/46195/24671). Written, informed consent was obtained from all  
380 participants after the study goals, sampling procedures, and potential risks were discussed with  
381 community elders and explained to participants in their preferred language (by both a local  
382 official, usually the village chief, and by researchers or field assistants).

383  
384

## 385 **Results**

### 386 *Social status effects on health and a fitness-related trait are highly context-dependent*

387 Among traditional pastoralists, SES was positively associated with self-reported health.  
388 High status individuals were significantly less likely to suffer from recent chest pain ( $\beta$  SES=-  
389 0.624, q-value=0.016), diarrhea ( $\beta$  SES=-1.472, q-value=0.057), vomiting ( $\beta$  SES=-2.604, q-  
390 value=0.074), dizziness ( $\beta$  SES=-1.424, q-value=0.016), and fatigue or weakness ( $\beta$  SES=-1.094,  
391 q-value=0.016; Table S1-3). These effect sizes were substantial: for example, 33%, 14%, and 9%  
392 of individuals in the lowest quartile of the SES distribution experienced chest pain,  
393 fatigue/weakness, and dizziness in the past three months, compared to 8%, 7%, and 0% of  
394 individuals in the highest quartile (Figure 1B). There were no significant relationships between  
395 SES and measures of cardiometabolic health among traditional pastoralists (Table S1); most  
396 pastoralists were cardiometabolically healthy (Figure S2).

397 The direction of the effect of SES on health was reversed in the urban setting and  
398 captured entirely different health outcomes. In this context, we found no relationships between  
399 SES and self-reported health, even though the frequency of self-reported health issues was higher  
400 than in the pastoralist setting (Table S1). Among urban individuals, high status was associated  
401 with biomarkers of worse cardiometabolic health: higher BMI ( $\beta$  SES=0.425, q-value= $1.02 \times 10^{-7}$ )  
402 waist circumference ( $\beta$  SES=0.436, q-value= $7.46 \times 10^{-9}$ ), diastolic blood pressure ( $\beta$   
403 SES=0.113, q-value=0.088), and body fat ( $\beta$  SES=0.215, q-value= $5.82 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Table S1-3). With  
404 respect to BMI, a modest number of individuals in the urban setting met the criteria for being  
405 overweight (12.56%) or obese (2.90%); nevertheless, BMI is often a linear predictor of type II  
406 diabetes [67] and cardiovascular disease [68] (though not necessarily of mortality [69]),  
407 suggesting that this SES-driven variation is still potentially meaningful. For all of the above traits  
408 except blood pressure, we observed that women were more sensitive to SES effects on health  
409 (body fat:  $\beta$  SES x sex=-0.157, p-value=0.03; waist circumference:  $\beta$  SES x sex=-0.225, p-  
410 value=0.012; BMI:  $\beta$  SES x sex=-0.313, p-value= $8.63 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Figure 1C).

411 In both the pastoralist and urban settings, SES was associated with reproductive success,  
412 but in opposite directions. In the urban setting, high SES was associated with fewer surviving  
413 offspring ( $\beta$  SES= -0.129, p-value= $8.68 \times 10^{-4}$ ), and an interaction effect pointed to this effect  
414 being primarily driven by stronger effects in women than men ( $\beta$  SES x sex=0.136, p-  
415 value=0.010; Figure 2 and Table S4). In the pastoralist setting, a main effect of SES was not  
416 significant ( $\beta$  SES=- $6.86 \times 10^{-3}$ , p-value=0.846), but an interaction term supporting stronger SES  
417 effects in men than women was significant ( $\beta$  SES x sex=0.095, p-value=0.047; Figure 2 and  
418 Table S4). Causal mediation analyses revealed that the effect of male pastoralist SES on  
419 reproductive success is partially explained by SES effects on the number of wives a man had  
420 (estimated proportion of the total effect that is mediated=25%, p-value=0.046; Figure S3).

421

422 *Mediators of the SES-health relationship among pastoralist and urban Turkana*

423 We explored the role of cortisol as well as sociobehavioral variables in mediating links  
424 between SES and health. We did not find any association between SES and serum cortisol in  
425 either the pastoralist ( $\beta$  SES=0.103, p-value=0.577, N=79) or urban samples ( $\beta$  SES=0.025, p-  
426 value=0.887, N=59) (Figure S4). Therefore, we did not test this variable for mediation. In terms  
427 of sociobehavioral variables, several of the factors we hypothesized might explain social  
428 gradients in health were also not predicted by SES, and were therefore excluded from further  
429 mediation analyses. In particular, SES was unrelated to the usage of cigarettes, alcohol, tobacco,  
430 health care resources, meat, and bread in the pastoralist setting (Table S5). In the urban setting,  
431 SES was unrelated to usage of cigarettes and health care resources (Table S5-6). SES was  
432 associated with alcohol ( $\beta$  SES=-0.254, p-value=0.019) and tobacco usage ( $\beta$  SES=-0.658, p-  
433 value= $3.56 \times 10^{-4}$ ) among urban Turkana, but the direction of these effects was not compatible  
434 with mediation (i.e., low SES individuals exhibited worse health habits).

435 Because they were significantly or marginally associated with SES, we tested whether the  
436 following variables could explain observed SES-health associations: 1) greater usage of salt,  
437 sugar, and oil among low SES pastoralists ( $\beta$  SES=-1.304, p-value $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) and 2) greater  
438 consumption of meat ( $\beta$  SES=0.150, p-value= $1.29 \times 10^{-5}$ ), bread ( $\beta$  SES=0.150, p-value= $2.18 \times 10^{-13}$ ),  
439 and milk ( $\beta$  SES=0.141, p-value= $3.13 \times 10^{-5}$ ), as well as greater reliance on salt, sugar, and oil  
440 ( $\beta$  SES=0.026, p-value=0.097), among high SES individuals in the urban setting (Table S5). We  
441 found generally minimal evidence for mediation (Table S7), with the exception that salt, sugar,  
442 and oil consumption explained an estimated 11% of the effect of SES on waist circumference in  
443 urban individuals.

444

445 *Lifestyle patterns early life experiences, but early life adversity does not predict adult SES or*  
446 *adult health*

447 Turkana practicing pastoralism as adults experienced greater cumulative early life (ELA)  
448 relative to those living in urban settings in adulthood ( $\beta$  lifestyle=-0.465, p-value= $1.97 \times 10^{-3}$ ;  
449 Table S8). We also found that a lifestyle x sex interaction improved model fit, with the direction  
450 of this effect suggesting that pastoralist men experienced the highest levels of cumulative ELA ( $\beta$   
451 lifestyle x sex=-0.343, p-value=0.075; Figure 3). For example, 11.1% and 15.6% of pastoralist  
452 women and men experienced 5 or more adversities, while 6.3% and 2.9% of urban women and  
453 men experienced the same level of hardship. For reference, these numbers are estimated at  
454 10.3% and 6.9% for women and men in the United States [70] (though we note the US numbers  
455 are derived from a slightly different questionnaire; Table S9 and Figure S5). Age of the study  
456 participant also trended toward a positive association with cumulative ELA in both contexts,  
457 suggesting that incidence of ELA has generally reduced over time ( $\beta$  age=0.007, p-value=0.058).

458 While individuals practicing pastoralism as adults all grew up in pastoralist families, 46%  
459 of urban adults grew up in pastoralist families; the remaining 30% and 24% had parents that  
460 relied on formal employment or other types of employment, respectively. We found that, within  
461 urban adults specifically, early life subsistence strategy mattered for ELA in ways that were  
462 consistent with results from the total sample: individuals who grew up in pastoralist families  
463 experienced more ELA than individuals whose parents were formally employed ( $\beta$  parental  
464 occupation=-0.275, p-value=0.036). We did not find any significant differences for the other  
465 contrasts (i.e., formal employment versus other employment or pastoralism versus other  
466 employment, both  $p > 0.05$ ).

467 While cumulative ELA is clearly patterned by lifestyle, we did not find any compelling  
468 evidence that this variation matters for adult health in our sample (Table S10). Controlling for  
469 age and sex, we also did not find that cumulative ELA was predictive of adult SES in the urban  
470 setting ( $\beta$  ELA=0.031, p-value=0.219). In the pastoralist setting, we observed a trend toward  
471 greater cumulative ELA predicting higher adult social status ( $\beta$  ELA=0.268, p-value=0.061). The  
472 direction of this marginal effect was unexpected and suggests that cumulative ELA does not  
473 mediate the (positive) effects of SES on health we observe in traditional pastoralists.

474  
475

## 476 Discussion

477 Here, we test the hypothesis that shifts toward more urban lifestyles alter or exacerbate  
478 the relationship between SES and health. Previous work has suggested that the effects of social  
479 status on health may be exacerbated in HICs relative to ancestral and pre-industrial human  
480 societies [13]. In particular, the social conditions under which humans evolved were thought to  
481 feature less rigid hierarchies, greater kin support, and greater upward mobility than what is  
482 currently observed in HICs [13,15,16]. They also did not routinely feature socially stratified  
483 health care resources, structural racism, and other forms of institutionalized inequality [17–20].  
484 Thus, the negative effects of low social status and limited material wealth that are common in  
485 HICs could be a recent byproduct of post-industrial societal, economic, or even epidemiological  
486 changes (e.g., most deaths in HICs are driven by non-communicable rather than infectious  
487 diseases, which could have different relationships to SES). Identifying the degree to which this  
488 hypothesis is true is important for understanding the evolution of social gradients in health as  
489 well as the best strategies for combating them.

490 We found strong evidence that the recent transition from pastoralism to an urban, market-  
491 based lifestyle alters the relationship between social status and health among the Turkana.  
492 Among traditional Turkana pastoralists, high SES is associated with better self-reported health,  
493 but unassociated with cardiometabolic health. In contrast, among urban Turkana, high SES is  
494 associated with worse cardiometabolic health. At first glance, these results do not necessarily  
495 adhere to the framework laid above, which would predict that low SES would be associated with  
496 worse health in both the pastoralist and urban setting, with these effects magnified in the urban  
497 setting. Instead, we find that the presence and direction of SES effects are modified as a function  
498 of lifestyle. We also found similar opposing effects when examining a fitness-related trait: high  
499 SES predicts more offspring in the pastoralist setting, partially driven by wealth effects on  
500 polygyny, but fewer offspring in the urban setting, where polygyny is uncommon and greater  
501 wealth is likely associated with later marriage and family planning [71].

502 While some of our results run counter to the large literature linking low SES to worse  
503 outcomes in HICs, they highlight the importance of socioecological context when interpreting  
504 social gradients in health. In the pastoralist setting, wealth is likely channeled into traditional  
505 foods, family growth, and other resources with positive effects on self-reported health, while in  
506 the urban setting, wealth is likely channeled into consumption, purchase, and amenities that  
507 negatively impact cardiometabolic health (e.g., processed foods, vehicles to minimize physical  
508 activity). We found some support for this idea in our mediation analyses, where increased  
509 consumption of market-derived foods—namely, salt, sugar, and oil—mediated 11% of the effect  
510 of SES on waist circumference in the urban setting. We note that the idea that high  
511 socioeconomic status in newly urban Turkana translates into purchases that negatively impact  
512 cardiometabolic health (e.g., processed foods) is also consistent with literature on

513 epidemiological and nutritional transitions, in which newly developed countries often suffer an  
514 increased burden of non-communicable diseases [72].

515 The highly context-dependent nature of SES effects observed in the Turkana dovetails  
516 with recent work in non-human primates, which has also found that both the magnitude and  
517 direction of social status effects varies across systems. For example, work in humans and captive  
518 female macaques has emphasized the association between low social status and increased  
519 expression of innate immune and inflammation-related genes [5,73,74]. However, in wild  
520 baboons, weak effects in the expected direction were observed in females [12], while strong  
521 patterns in the *opposite* direction were observed in males [66] (i.e., high social status predicted  
522 increased expression of inflammation-related genes). Importantly, social status is attained  
523 through direct physical competition in male baboons but not in any of the other species or sexes,  
524 suggesting that the heterogeneity between studies reflects differences in the nature of social  
525 hierarchies. Such a nuanced picture is consistent with decades of work on non-human primate  
526 social systems that has emphasized the diverse ways in which status is attained and maintained  
527 across systems, as well as the diverse sets of costs and benefits that are associated with status  
528 [7,16]. In these systems, it appears that the physiological and health correlates of social status  
529 vary as a function of the specifics of the social environment as well. Our results suggest that the  
530 same logic could be applied toward thinking about heterogeneity in SES gradients in health in  
531 human societies.

532 In addition to showing that transitions to urban, market-integrated lifestyles alter the  
533 relationship between wealth and health in a single population, our study also reports novel  
534 findings related to early life experiences. In particular, we used the adverse childhood  
535 experiences (ACEs) framework to document variation in early life adversity (ELA) as a function  
536 of lifestyle. We found greater ELA in the traditional, pastoralist setting, but no relationship  
537 between ELA and adult SES or health in either setting. The lack of an association with health is  
538 noteworthy given the large body of literature linking greater ACE exposure to earlier death and  
539 later life cardiovascular, autoimmune, and neurodegenerative diseases in HICs [53,75–82].  
540 However, there has been very little work on the subject in low and middle income countries (but  
541 see [83,84]), despite childhood adversity being a growing area of international interest [85]. It  
542 may be that larger sample sizes are needed to uncover effects in the Turkana, that early life  
543 adversity does not carry the same psychosocial and physiological costs as in HICs because of  
544 differing cultural norms [86], or that the ACE questionnaire does not capture the most salient  
545 types of adversity experienced by the Turkana (e.g., experiences of livestock loss or raiding in  
546 the traditional context [35,86,87]). In general, more research is needed that considers a wider  
547 variety of social, economic, and cultural circumstances in the study of early life adversity.

548 There are several limitations to the present study, as well as open directions for future  
549 work. First, our analyses of SES effects on serum cortisol levels did not reveal any significant  
550 associations and were limited by a small sample size. Previous work in human and non-human  
551 primates has shown that low social status individuals are often chronically stressed, which leads  
552 to altered hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis function reflected in chronically elevated  
553 cortisol levels [6,7,16]. However, social status effects on cortisol are also known to be context-  
554 dependent across non-human primate hierarchies [88], suggesting that this may be another area  
555 where lifestyle modifies the direction or magnitude of SES effects. Future work could expand the  
556 sample set and explore the context-dependency of cortisol levels across lifestyle groups. Another  
557 limitation of the current study is that we were unable to identify sociobehavioral mediators of the  
558 SES-health relationship in either the pastoralist or urban setting. It may be that these

559 relationships are not mediated by indirect effects of behavior, and are instead entirely explained  
560 by direct effects of SES on biological mechanisms we have yet to uncover (e.g., cortisol levels)  
561 or explore (e.g., gene regulation [5,66,73,89]). Alternatively, SES effects may be mediated by  
562 behavioral variables that were not captured by our surveys. For example, the relationship  
563 between social status, stress, and health may critically depend on the availability of kin and  
564 social support [6,28,90], which we did not measure here and which likely varies dramatically as  
565 a function of lifestyle.

566  
567

### 568 **Funding and conflicts of interest**

569 This work was supported by awards to J.F.A. through Princeton University's Dean for  
570 Research Innovations Funds as well as the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative. A.J.L. was supported by a  
571 postdoctoral fellowship from the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation. We declare no conflicts of  
572 interest.


573  
574

### 575 **Acknowledgments**

576 We thank previous members of the Turkana Health and Genomics Project for their  
577 contributions, especially S. Lowasa, D. Mukhongo, S. Ngatia, E. Loowoth, and M. Ndegwa.  
578 We thank J. Orina, K. Tombak, and D. Rubenstein for logistical help in Kenya and members of  
579 the Graham lab at Princeton for technical assistance with the cortisol Elisa assay. We are also  
580 grateful to the staff of Mpala Research Centre for their essential support, especially F. Hassan, C.  
581 Nzomo, B. Wanjohi, G. Chege, T. Maina, and J. Nakolonyo. Finally, we thank all of the Turkana  
582 communities for their contributions to and support of our scientific work.


583  
584  
585  
586

587 **Figure 1. Social status effects on health are context-dependent, and vary by sex and**  
588 **lifestyle.** (A) Distribution of SES measures among pastoralists (where SES was defined as log<sub>2</sub>  
589 transformed livestock counts) and urban individuals (where SES was defined by a tally of  
590 market-derived possessions). (B) Proportion of people reporting various health issues as a  
591 function of SES in the pastoralist setting. For visualization, data from individuals in the highest  
592 and lowest SES quartiles are plotted. (C) Distribution of cardiometabolic biomarker values as a  
593 function of sex and SES (highest versus lowest quartiles) in the urban setting. Dots represent the  
594 median of each distribution and solid lines represent the median +/- 1 standard deviation.




595  
596

597 **Figure 2. Social status effects on number of surviving offspring are context-dependent, and**  
598 **vary by sex and lifestyle. (A-B) Number of living children as a function of age among**  
599 **pastoralist women and men in the highest versus lowest SES quartiles. (C-D) Number of living**  
600 **children as a function of age among urban women and men in the highest versus lowest SES**  
601 **quartiles.**



602  
603

604 **Figure 3. Lifestyle effects on patterns of early life adversity.** (A) Number of early life  
605 adversities experienced by sex and population. US data were sourced from [70]. Correlations  
606 among individual sources of early life adversity as well as cumulative early life adversity tallies  
607 for (B) urban and (C) pastoralist individuals. Note that no pastoralist individuals were exposed to  
608 substance abuse within their household growing up, and no pairwise correlations are therefore  
609 presented that involve this measure.



610

611 **References**

- 612
- 613 1. Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S *et al*. The Association Between Income and Life Expectancy  
614 in the United States, 2001-2014. *J Am Med Assoc* 2016;**315**:1750.
- 615 2. Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M *et al*. Socioeconomic status and the 25 × 25 risk factors as  
616 determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1·7 million men  
617 and women. *Lancet* 2017;**389**:1229–37.
- 618 3. Marmot M. Public Health Social determinants of health inequalities. 2005;**365**:1099–104.
- 619 4. Kröger H, Pakpahan E, Hoffmann R. What causes health inequality? A systematic review on  
620 the relative importance of social causation and health selection. *Eur J Public Health*  
621 2015;**25**:951–60.
- 622 5. Snyder-Mackler N, Sanz J, Kohn JN *et al*. Social status alters immune regulation and response  
623 to infection. *Science (80- )* 2016;**354**:1041–5.
- 624 6. Snyder-Mackler N, Burger JR, Gaydos L *et al*. Social determinants of health and survival in  
625 humans and other animals. *Science (80- )* 2020;**368**, DOI: 10.1126/science.aax9553.
- 626 7. Sapolsky RM. The influence of social hierarchy on primate health. *Science (80- )*  
627 2005;**308**:648–52.
- 628 8. Eshetu WB, Woldeesenbet SA. Are there particular social determinants of health for the  
629 world’s poorest countries? *Afr Health Sci* 2011;**11**:108–15.
- 630 9. Donkin A, Goldblatt P, Allen J *et al*. Global action on the social determinants of health. *BMJ*  
631 *Glob Heal* 2018;**3**:1–8.
- 632 10. Beehner JC, Bergman TJ. The next step for stress research in primates: To identify  
633 relationships between glucocorticoid secretion and fitness. *Horm Behav* 2017;**91**:68–83.
- 634 11. Simons ND, Tung J. Social Status and Gene Regulation: Conservation and Context  
635 Dependence in Primates. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2019;**23**:722–5.
- 636 12. Anderson JA, Lea AJ, Voyles TN *et al*. Distinct gene regulatory signatures of dominance  
637 rank and social bond strength in wild baboons. *bioRxiv* 2021, DOI: 10.1101/2021.05.31.446340.
- 638 13. Jaeggi A V., Blackwell AD, Rueden C von *et al*. Do wealth and inequality associate with  
639 health in a small-scale subsistence society? *Elife* 2021;**10**:e59437.
- 640 14. Brenner SL, Jones JP, Rutanen-Whaley RH *et al*. Evolutionary Mismatch and Chronic  
641 Psychological Stress. *J Evol Med* 2015;**3**:1–11.
- 642 15. Gravlee CC. How race becomes biology: Embodiment of social inequality. *Am J Phys*  
643 *Anthropol* 2009;**139**:47–57.
- 644 16. Sapolsky RM. Social Status and Health in Humans and Other Animals. *Annu Rev Anthropol*  
645 2004;**33**:393–418.
- 646 17. Lantz PM, House JS, Lepkowski JM *et al*. Socioeconomic factors, health behaviors, and  
647 mortality: results from a nationally representative prospective study of US adults. *J Am Med*  
648 *Assoc* 1998;**279**:1703–8.
- 649 18. Goldman DP, Smith JP. Can patient self-management help explain the SES health gradient?  
650 *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2002;**99**:10929–34.
- 651 19. Lynch JW, Kaplan GA, Salonen JT. Why do poor people behave poorly? Variation in adult  
652 health behaviours and psychosocial characteristics by stages of the socioeconomic lifecourse.  
653 *Soc Sci Med* 1997;**44**:809–19.
- 654 20. Lahelma E, Lallukka T, Laaksonen M *et al*. Social class differences in health behaviours  
655 among employees from Britain, Finland and Japan: the influence of psychosocial factors. *Health*  
*Place* 2010;**16**:61–70.

- 657 21. Martina Konecna, Urlacher SS. Male social status and its predictors among Garisakang  
658 forager-horticulturalists of lowland Papua New Guinea. *Evol Hum Behav* 2017;**8**:789–97.
- 659 22. Undurraga EA, Nyberg C, Eisenberg DTA *et al.* Individual wealth rank, community wealth  
660 inequality, and self-reported adult poor health: A test of hypotheses with panel data (2002–2006)  
661 from native amazonians, bolivia. *Med Anthropol Q* 2010;**24**:522–48.
- 662 23. Hayward AD, Rickard IJ, Lummaa V. Influence of early-life nutrition on mortality and  
663 reproductive success during a subsequent famine in a preindustrial population. *Proc ...*  
664 2013;**110**:13886–91.
- 665 24. Skjærvø GR, Bongard T, Viken Å *et al.* Wealth, status, and fitness: a historical study of  
666 Norwegians in variable environments. *Evol Hum Behav* 2011;**32**:305–14.
- 667 25. Mealey L. The relationship between social status and biological success: A case study of the  
668 Mormon religious hierarchy. *Ethol Sociobiol* 1985;**6**:249–57.
- 669 26. Clark G, Cummins N. Malthus to modernity: wealth, status, and fertility in England, 1500–  
670 1879. *J Popul Econ* 2015;**28**:3–29.
- 671 27. Borgerhoff Mulder M, Fazzio I, Irons W *et al.* Pastoralism and wealth inequality: Revisiting  
672 an old question. *Curr Anthropol* 2010;**51**:35–48.
- 673 28. Johnson BR. Social networks and exchange. In: Little MA, Leslie PW (eds.). *Turkana*  
674 *Herders of the Dry Savanna*. 1999, 89–106.
- 675 29. McCabe JT. *Cattle Bring Us to Our Enemies: Turkana Ecology, Politics, and Raiding in a*  
676 *Disequilibrium System*. University of Michigan Press, 2004.
- 677 30. Mulder MB, Bowles S, Hertz T *et al.* Intergenerational wealth transmission and the dynamics  
678 of inequality in small-scale societies. *Science (80- )* 2009;**326**:682–8.
- 679 31. Sellen DW. Nutritional consequences of wealth differentials in East African pastoralists: The  
680 case of the Datoga of northern Tanzania. *Hum Ecol* 2003;**31**:529–70.
- 681 32. Grandin BE. Wealth and pastoral dairy production: A case study from Maasailand. *Hum Ecol*  
682 1988;**16**:1–21.
- 683 33. Nestel P. Nutrition of Masai Women and Children in Relation to Subsistence Food  
684 Production. 1985.
- 685 34. Paredes Ruvalcaba N, Bignall E, Fujita M. Age and Socioeconomic Status in Relation to  
686 Risk of Maternal Anemia among the Ariaal Agropastoralists of Northern Kenya. *Hum Ecol*  
687 2020;**48**:47–54.
- 688 35. Pike IL, Hilton C, Österle M *et al.* Low-intensity violence and the social determinants of  
689 adolescent health among three East African pastoralist communities. *Soc Sci Med* 2018;**202**:117–  
690 27.
- 691 36. Lea AJ, Martins D, Kamau J *et al.* Urbanization and market integration have strong,  
692 nonlinear effects on cardiometabolic health in the Turkana. *Sci Adv* 2020;**6**, DOI:  
693 10.1126/sciadv.abb1430.
- 694 37. Pontzer H, Wood BM, Raichlen DA. Hunter-gatherers as models in public health. *Obes Rev*  
695 2018;**19**:24–35.
- 696 38. Huneault L, Mathieu MÈ, Tremblay A. Globalization and modernization: An obesogenic  
697 combination. *Obes Rev* 2011;**12**:64–72.
- 698 39. von Rueden CR, Jaeggi A V. Men’s status and reproductive success in 33 nonindustrial  
699 societies: Effects of subsistence, marriage system, and reproductive strategy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*  
700 2016;**113**:10824 LP – 10829.
- 701 40. Mackinnon DP, Fairchild AJ, Fritz MS. Mediation Analysis. *Annu Rev Psychol*  
702 2007;**58**:593–614.

- 703 41. Baron R, Kenny D. The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological  
704 Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol*  
705 1986;**51**:1173–82.
- 706 42. Barboza Solís C, Kelly-Irving M, Fantin R *et al*. Adverse childhood experiences and  
707 physiological wear-and-tear in midlife: Findings from the 1958 British birth cohort. *Proc Natl*  
708 *Acad Sci U S A* 2015;**112**:E738–46.
- 709 43. O’Rand AM, Hamil-Luker J. Processes of Cumulative Adversity: Childhood Disadvantage  
710 and Increased Risk of Heart Attack Across the Life Course. *Journals Gerontol Ser B*  
711 2005;**60**:S117–24.
- 712 44. Shaefer HL, Lapidus A, Wilson R *et al*. Association of Income and Adversity in Childhood  
713 with Adult Health and Well-Being. *Soc Serv Rev* 2018;**92**:69–92.
- 714 45. Friedman EM, Karlamangla AS, Gruenewald TL *et al*. Early life adversity and adult  
715 biological risk profiles. *Psychosom Med* 2015;**77**:176–85.
- 716 46. Urlacher SS, Liebert MA, Josh Snodgrass J *et al*. Heterogeneous effects of market integration  
717 on sub-adult body size and nutritional status among the Shuar of Amazonian Ecuador. *Ann Hum*  
718 *Biol* 2016;**43**:316–29.
- 719 47. McDade T, Nyberg C. Acculturation and health. *Human Evolutionary Biology*. Cambridge  
720 University Press, 2010, 581–602.
- 721 48. Adair LS, Popkin BM, Akin JS *et al*. Cohort profile: The cebu longitudinal health and  
722 nutrition survey. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;**40**:619–25.
- 723 49. Lamphear J. The People of the Grey Bull: The Origin and Expansion of the Turkana. *J Afr*  
724 *Hist* 1988;**29**:27–39.
- 725 50. Little MA, Johnson B. Weather conditions in South Turkana, Kenya. In: Dyson-Hudson R,  
726 McCabe JT (eds.). *South Turkana Nomadism: Coping with an Unpredictably Varying*  
727 *Environment*. New Haven: HRAFlex Books, 1985.
- 728 51. Galvin K. Nutritional Ecology of Pastoralists in Dry Tropical Africa. *Am J Hum Biol*  
729 1992;**4**:209–21.
- 730 52. Little MA. Lessons Learned from the South Turkana Ecosystem Project. *Hum Ecol Spec*  
731 *Issue No 10* 2001:137–49.
- 732 53. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D *et al*. Relationship of childhood abuse and household  
733 dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood  
734 Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med* 1998;**14**:245–58.
- 735 54. Kaiser BN, Hruschka D, Hadley C. Measuring material wealth in low-income settings: A  
736 conceptual and how-to guide. *Am J Hum Biol* 2017;**29**:e22987.
- 737 55. Hruschka DJ, Hadley C, Hackman J. Material wealth in 3D: Mapping multiple paths to  
738 prosperity in low- and middle- income countries. *PLoS One* 2017;**12**:e0184616.
- 739 56. Sieff DF. The effects of wealth on livestock dynamics among the Datoga pastoralists of  
740 Tanzania. *Agric Syst* 1999;**59**:1–25.
- 741 57. Novak NL, Allender S, Scarborough P *et al*. The development and validation of an urbanicity  
742 scale in a multi-country study. *BMC Public Health* 2012;**12**:530.
- 743 58. Cyril S, Oldroyd JC, Renzaho A. Urbanisation, urbanicity, and health: a systematic review of  
744 the reliability and validity of urbanicity scales. *BMC Public Health* 2013;**13**:513.
- 745 59. Liebert MA, Snodgrass JJ, Madimenos FC *et al*. Implications of market integration for  
746 cardiovascular and metabolic health among an indigenous Amazonian Ecuadorian population.  
747 *Ann Hum Biol* 2013;**40**:228–42.
- 748 60. Daly MC, Duncan GJ, McDonough P *et al*. Optimal indicators of socioeconomic status for

- 749 health research. *Am J Public Health* 2002;**92**:1151–7.
- 750 61. “R Core Development Team.” *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.  
751 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2015.
- 752 62. Van Cauter E, Leproult R, Kupfer DJ. Effects of gender and age on the levels and circadian  
753 rhythmicity of plasma cortisol. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996;**81**:2468–73.
- 754 63. Seeman TE, Singer B, Wilkinson CW *et al*. Gender differences in age-related changes in  
755 HPA axis reactivity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2001;**26**:225–40.
- 756 64. Larsson CA, Gullberg B, Råstam L *et al*. Salivary cortisol differs with age and sex and shows  
757 inverse associations with WHR in Swedish women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Endocr Disord*  
758 2009;**9**:16.
- 759 65. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful  
760 approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc* 1995;**57**:289–300.
- 761 66. Lea AJ, Akinyi MY, Nyakundi R *et al*. Dominance rank-associated gene expression is  
762 widespread, sex-specific, and a precursor to high social status in wild male baboons. *Proc Natl*  
763 *Acad Sci U S A* 2018;**115**:E12163–71.
- 764 67. Narayan KM V, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ *et al*. Effect of BMI on Lifetime Risk for Diabetes  
765 in the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 2007;**30**:1562–6.
- 766 68. Khan SS, Ning H, Wilkins JT *et al*. Association of Body Mass Index With Lifetime Risk of  
767 Cardiovascular Disease and Compression of Morbidity. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018;**3**:280–7.
- 768 69. Aune D, Sen A, Prasad M *et al*. BMI and all cause mortality: systematic review and non-  
769 linear dose-response meta-analysis of 230 cohort studies with 3.74 million deaths among 30.3  
770 million participants. *BMJ* 2016;**353**, DOI: 10.1136/bmj.i2156.
- 771 70. Bynum, Griffin, Ridings *et al*. Adverse childhood experiences reported by adults—Five  
772 states, 2009. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2010;**59**:1609–1613.
- 773 71. Kamuyango A, Hou W-H, Li C-Y. Trends and Contributing Factors to Contraceptive Use in  
774 Kenya: A Large Population-Based Survey 1989 to 2014. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*  
775 2020;**17**:7065.
- 776 72. Amuna P, Zotor FB. Epidemiological and nutrition transition in developing countries: impact  
777 on human health and development: The epidemiological and nutrition transition in developing  
778 countries: evolving trends and their impact in public health and human development. *Proc Nutr*  
779 *Soc* 2008;**67**:82–90.
- 780 73. Slavich GM, Cole SW. The Emerging Field of Human Social Genomics. *Clin Psychol Sci*  
781 2013;**1**:331–48.
- 782 74. Cole SW. The Conserved Transcriptional Response to Adversity. *Curr Opin Behav Sci*  
783 2019;**28**:31–7.
- 784 75. Kittleson MM, Meoni L a, Wang N-Y *et al*. Association of childhood socioeconomic status  
785 with subsequent coronary heart disease in physicians. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**:2356–61.
- 786 76. Pollitt R, Kaufman JS, Rose KM *et al*. Early-life and adult socioeconomic status and  
787 inflammatory risk markers in adulthood. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2007;**22**:55–66.
- 788 77. Carroll JE, Cohen S, Marsland AL. Early childhood socioeconomic status is associated with  
789 circulating interleukin-6 among mid-life adults. *Brain Behav Immun* 2011;**25**:1468–74.
- 790 78. Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D, Chen E *et al*. Childhood socioeconomic status and adult health.  
791 *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010;**1186**:37–55.
- 792 79. Caspi A, Harrington HL, Moffitt TE. Socially Isolated Children 20 Years Later: Risk of  
793 Cardiovascular Disease. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2006;**160**:805–11.
- 794 80. Short AK, Baram TZ. Early-life adversity and neurological disease: age-old questions and

- 795 novel answers. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019;**15**:657–69.
- 796 81. Dube SR, Fairweather D, Pearson WS *et al.* Cumulative childhood stress and autoimmune  
797 diseases in adults. *Psychosom Med* 2009;**71**:243–50.
- 798 82. Kelly-Irving M, Lepage B, Dedieu D *et al.* Childhood adversity as a risk for cancer: findings  
799 from the 1958 British birth cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;**13**:767.
- 800 83. Kiburi SK, Molebatsi K, Obondo A *et al.* Adverse childhood experiences among patients  
801 with substance use disorders at a referral psychiatric hospital in Kenya. *BMC Psychiatry*  
802 2018;**18**:1–12.
- 803 84. Cluver L, Orkin M, Boyes ME *et al.* Child and Adolescent Suicide Attempts, Suicidal  
804 Behavior, and Adverse Childhood Experiences in South Africa: A Prospective Study. *J Adolesc*  
805 *Heal* 2015;**57**:52–9.
- 806 85. Anda RF, Butchart A, Felitti VJ *et al.* Building a Framework for Global Surveillance of the  
807 Public Health Implications of Adverse Childhood Experiences. *Am J Prev Med* 2010;**39**:93–8.
- 808 86. Zefferman MR, Mathew S. Combat stress in a small-scale society suggests divergent  
809 evolutionary roots for posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2021;**118**,  
810 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2020430118.
- 811 87. Pike IL, Williams SR. Incorporating psychosocial health into biocultural models: Preliminary  
812 findings from Turkana women of Kenya. *Am J Hum Biol* 2006;**18**:729–40.
- 813 88. Abbott DH, Keverne EB, Bercovitch FB *et al.* Are subordinates always stressed? a  
814 comparative analysis of rank differences in cortisol levels among primates. *Horm Behav*  
815 2003;**43**:67–82.
- 816 89. Cole SW. Human Social Genomics. Gibson G (ed.). *PLoS Genet* 2014;**10**:e1004601.
- 817 90. Borgerhoff Mulder M. Hamilton’s rule and kin competition: the Kipsigis case. *Evol Hum*  
818 *Behav* 2007;**28**:299–312.
- 819
- 820