

Evaluation of a city-wide school-located influenza vaccination program in Oakland, California with respect to race and ethnicity: a matched cohort study

Anna Nguyen^{1,2}, Benjamin F. Arnold³, Chris J. Kennedy⁴, Kunal Mishra¹, Nolan Pokpongkiat¹, Anmol Seth¹, Stephanie Djajadi¹, Kate Holbrook^{5,6}, Erica Pan^{5,7,8}, Pam D. Kirley⁹, Tanya Libby⁹, Alan E. Hubbard¹, Arthur Reingold¹, John M. Colford Jr.¹, Jade Benjamin-Chung^{1,2}

¹ Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States of America

² Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States of America

³ Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, United States of America

⁴ Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

⁵ Division of Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Alameda County Public Health Department, Oakland, California, United States of America

⁶ Department of Community Health Systems, School of Nursing, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, United States of America

⁷ California Department of Public Health, Richmond, California, United States of America

⁸ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, United States of America

⁹ California Emerging Infections Program, Oakland, California, United States of America

Corresponding Author: Anna Nguyen, annatnguyen@stanford.edu, 259 Campus Drive 19 HRP Redwood Building T152A, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Abstract

1 **Objectives.** To evaluate the effectiveness of city-wide school-located influenza vaccination by
2 race/ethnicity from 2014-2018.

3 **Methods.** We used multivariate matching to pair schools in the intervention district in Oakland,
4 CA with schools in West Contra Costa County, CA, a comparison district. We estimated
5 difference-in-differences (DIDs) in caregiver-reported influenza vaccination coverage and
6 laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalization incidence.

7 **Results.** Differences in influenza vaccination coverage in the intervention vs. comparison site
8 were larger among White and Latino students than Asian/Pacific Islander (API), Black, and
9 multiracial students. Concerns about vaccine effectiveness or safety were more common among
10 Black and multiracial caregivers; logistical barriers to vaccination were more common among
11 White, API, and Latinos. In both sites, hospitalization in 2017-18 was higher in Blacks vs. other
12 races/ethnicities. All-age influenza hospitalization incidence was lower in the intervention site
13 vs. comparison site among White/API individuals in 2016-17 and 2017-18 and Black older
14 adults in 2017-18, but not in other groups.

15 **Conclusions.** SLIV was associated with higher vaccination coverage and lower influenza
16 hospitalization, but associations varied by race/ethnicity. SLIV alone may be insufficient to
17 ensure equitable health outcomes for influenza.

Background/Context

18 To reduce influenza transmission, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
19 (ACIP) recommends annual influenza vaccinations for all Americans over 6 months of age, with
20 a target coverage level of 80% in non-institutionalized, non-elderly persons.¹ During recent
21 influenza seasons, all racial/ethnic groups experienced low vaccination levels that fell short of
22 this goal, with communities of color having the lowest coverage. While 49% of white adults
23 received an influenza vaccine during the 2018-19 season, only 39% of Black adults, 37%
24 Hispanic adults, and 44% of Asian adults were vaccinated.²

25 Racial/ethnic disparities in vaccination coverage can be attributed to non-belief in the
26 utility of vaccinations, institutionalized racism, and distrust of medical institutions that contribute
27 to vaccine hesitancy among communities of color.³⁻⁷ These inequities contribute to
28 disproportionately high influenza morbidity rates among disadvantaged racial/ethnic groups,
29 resulting in elevated rates of hospitalization and death. Prior research on the social determinants
30 of influenza hospitalization showed that Black/African Americans and Hispanics had higher
31 risks of hospitalization compared to Whites.⁸⁻¹⁰ Differences in hospitalizations by race/ethnicity
32 are linked to other socioeconomic risk factors which disproportionately impact communities of
33 color, such as low household income or high residential density.⁸⁻¹⁰ Increasing vaccination
34 coverage among marginalized groups may reduce race/ethnicity inequities in influenza morbidity
35 and mortality.

36 School-located influenza vaccination (SLIV) programs aim to increase vaccination
37 coverage levels among young children by providing free vaccination in schools. SLIV has the
38 potential to reduce barriers to vaccination that disproportionately impact communities of color.
39 Prior community-based interventions increased influenza vaccination coverage among

40 communities of color by reducing logistical barriers to vaccination through door-to-door and
41 street-based immunizations.¹¹ By increasing vaccination coverage, SLIV may contribute to herd
42 immunity and reduce influenza transmission community-wide, which may reduce racial/ethnic
43 disparities in influenza^{12,13}. Prior studies reported that SLIV programs were associated with
44 increased influenza vaccination coverage¹⁴⁻²⁰ and decreased school absences^{14-17,20-22} and
45 student illness¹⁴⁻¹⁷, but no studies have measured the differential impacts of large-scale SLIV
46 interventions by race/ethnicity.

47 We previously reported results from an evaluation of a city-wide SLIV program delivered
48 in elementary schools in Oakland, California from 2014-2018.²⁰ We found that the intervention
49 was associated with 7-11 percentage points higher vaccination coverage among school-aged
50 children and 17 to 37 lower incidence of influenza hospitalizations per 100,000 during influenza
51 seasons in which a moderately effective vaccine was being used. Here, we investigated whether
52 SLIV effectiveness varied by race/ethnicity in a pre-specified subgroup analysis.

Methods

53 School-located influenza vaccination intervention

54 Starting in 2014, the Shoo the Flu program provided free influenza vaccinations to
55 elementary school students city-wide in Oakland, California. The program aimed to increase
56 vaccination coverage in children and contribute to herd protection in the surrounding
57 community. The intervention was offered to all public elementary schools, charter schools, and
58 preschools, as well as private schools, in the city of Oakland.

59 All students at participating schools were eligible to be vaccinated, regardless of their
60 insurance status. During the 2014-2018 seasons, Shoo the Flu served between 95 and 139

61 schools and vaccinated between 7,502 and 10,106 students (22-28% of eligible students) each
62 year. Additional program details are reported elsewhere.²⁰

63 In the 2014-15 and 2015-16 seasons, the program primarily provided live attenuated
64 influenza vaccines (LAIV), with inactivated injectable influenza vaccine (IIV) available to
65 students with contraindications. In LAIV seasons, the vaccine had relatively low effectiveness
66 against the predominant circulating strain.²³ As a result, in 2016-17 and 2017-18, only the IIV
67 was offered, consistent with Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)
68 recommendations, and the vaccine was moderately effective against the predominant strain of
69 the influenza virus.²³

70 Study design

71 We employed a matched cohort design to evaluate the effect of the intervention on
72 vaccination coverage and influenza hospitalization. We focused our study on public elementary
73 schools in Oakland Unified School District (OUSD, the intervention district). We excluded
74 private and non-district charter schools because pre-intervention data on school characteristics
75 was not available for them.

76 We selected West Contra Costa Unified School District (WCCUD) as the comparison
77 district, as it resulted in the closest school pair matches based on pre-intervention student
78 characteristics. Additional details on the matching procedure are described in Supplement 1.

79 Outcomes and Data Sources

80 We evaluated the association between SLIV and (1) influenza vaccination coverage
81 among school-aged children and (2) community-wide lab-confirmed influenza hospitalization.

82 *Pre-Intervention District Comparison*

83 To compare population characteristics between the comparison and intervention districts,
84 we obtained pre-intervention data on socioeconomic status, school enrollment, and race/ethnicity
85 from the three-year 2013 American Community Survey (ACS).

86 *Vaccination Coverage*

87 To measure influenza vaccination coverage in the study population, we distributed two
88 cross-sectional surveys in 22 matched school pairs²⁰ A survey administered in March 2017
89 measured vaccination history for the 2014-17 seasons and a survey conducted in March 2018
90 measured vaccination history for the 2017-18 season. Sample sizes and response rates are
91 reported in Supplement 2.

92 The surveys were conducted independently from the intervention. All students in
93 participating schools were invited to participate in the surveys, regardless of their vaccination
94 status or participation in the SLIV program. We distributed anonymous surveys to students at
95 schools for their caregivers to report influenza vaccination status, vaccine type, and location of
96 vaccination. Caregivers of unvaccinated students reported the reason for non-receipt of vaccine.

97 Caregivers self-identified by selecting from the following student races/ethnicities:
98 White, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Asian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific
99 Islander, or American Indian or Alaska native.

100 *Laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalization*

101 To measure potential herd effects of SLIV, we analyzed laboratory-confirmed influenza
102 hospitalization data among all ages in the school district catchment sites. We obtained data from
103 the California Emerging Infections Program (CEIP) in zip codes that fell within the boundaries
104 of the intervention or comparison districts. CEIP surveillance data tracks race-specific
105 hospitalizations for the White, Black/African American, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander,

106 Multiracial, and American Indian/Alaska Native populations. We calculated the cumulative
107 incidence of hospitalization each season using age-, race-, and ethnicity-specific population
108 estimates from the 2010 Census. We restricted analyses to influenza seasons using a pre-
109 specified, data-driven definition based on local transmission patterns, as described in Supplement
110 3.

111 Statistical analysis

112 Analyses were conducted in R (version 4.0.2). The pre-analysis plan, selected datasets,
113 and replication scripts are available through the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/v6djf/>).

114 *Reasons for Vaccine Non-Receipt*

115 The 2017-18 survey included questions about reasons for non-receipt of influenza
116 vaccine and classified each reason into the following categories: 1) logistics, 2) non-belief, 3)
117 barriers specific to SLIV (intervention site only) (Supplement 4). We estimated the school-level
118 prevalence of each category and summarized characteristics in schools with prevalence above
119 and below the median prevalence in each district. We fit bivariate log-linear Poisson models to
120 estimate the association between an indicator of whether the school fell above or below the
121 median prevalence for the specified reason for non-receipt and school-level characteristics.²⁴

122 *Influenza vaccination coverage*

123 We used linear regression models to estimate differences in influenza vaccination
124 coverage between the intervention and comparison districts within each racial/ethnicity group,
125 adjusting for caregiver education level. To account for clustering within matched school pairs we
126 calculated robust sandwich standard errors, which require no assumptions about the nature of
127 correlation within school pairs.²⁵

128 We pre-specified subgroup analyses by race and ethnicity. Post-hoc we excluded Native
129 American students from the stratified analyses because the group was very small (N<20 per site
130 per year). We excluded survey responses that did not specify a student's race/ethnicity (2017:
131 Intervention N = 60 (2.67%), Comparison N = 90 (2.35%); 2018: Intervention N = 63 (2.60%),
132 Comparison N = 90 (2.20%)),

133 *Laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalization*

134 To estimate incidence ratios, we fit log-linear modified Poisson models with an offset for
135 population size.²⁴ To account for pre-intervention differences in influenza hospitalization
136 incidence between districts, we estimated the difference-in-differences (DID) in cumulative
137 incidences per 100,000 individuals. We defined DIDs as the difference in pre-intervention (2011-
138 2013) and intervention period (2014-2018) incidence differences in each site. Pre-intervention
139 trends were similar between sites.²⁰

140 We pre-specified stratification by age groups (non-elementary school aged individuals
141 (<= 4 years, >13 years) and older adults (>=65 years)). Because the number of elementary school
142 aged children who were hospitalized for influenza was small, there was inadequate statistical
143 power to estimate associations separately for this age group.

144 After examining the survey data, we combined White and Asian/Pacific Islander racial
145 categories due to low incidence among Asian/Pacific Islanders. We excluded Multiracial and
146 American Indian/Alaska Native groups from the analysis due to rare outcomes We excluded
147 hospitalization records that did not report race/ethnicity.

Results

148 Prior to the intervention, the intervention and comparison districts had generally similar
149 demographic characteristics (Table 1). However, relative to the comparison district, the

150 intervention districts had a higher proportion of Black/African American residents (Intervention:
151 26%, Comparison: 17%), but a lower proportion of White (Intervention: 41%, Comparison:
152 48%), Asian (Intervention: 16%, Comparison: 19%), and Hispanic or Latino (Intervention: 26%,
153 Comparison: 33%) residents. The intervention district had a lower median household income
154 than the comparison district, but a higher proportion of residents holding a bachelor's degree or
155 above.

156 *Vaccination Coverage*

157 The race/ethnicity distributions of respondents were similar to the overall distributions in
158 the sampled schools (Figure S5), with the exception of Black/African American students, who
159 were underrepresented in both districts (Comparison: 19% in target population versus 10% in
160 survey; Intervention: 33% in target population versus 16% in survey). The distributions of
161 student race/ethnicity as reported in caregiver surveys are described in Table S6. In both
162 districts, most multi-racial students identified as part Black/African American (2017:
163 Comparison 26%, Intervention 39%) or Asian/Pacific Islander (2017: Comparison 35%,
164 Intervention 37%) (Table S7).

165 Vaccination coverage levels varied by race/ethnicity, when controlled for highest
166 caregiver education (Figure S8). In all seasons, we observed lower vaccine coverage among
167 Black/African American and multiple race students across both districts. During the 2017-18
168 season, 40% (95% CI 24%, 59%) of Black/African American students were vaccinated,
169 compared to 65% (95% CI 57%, 72%) of Asian/Pacific Islander and 46% (95% CI 28%, 66%) of
170 White students in the comparison district. In the intervention district, 46% (95% CI 30%, 64%)
171 of Black/African American students were vaccinated, compared to 74% (95% CI 65%, 80%) of
172 Asian/Pacific Islander and 67% (95% CI 48%, 82%) of White students.

173 Associations between SLIV and vaccine coverage varied between racial/ethnic groups
174 (Figure 1, Table S9). In the first two seasons of SLIV there were no differences in vaccine
175 coverage for any group, apart from Asian/Pacific Islanders. In the 2016-17 season, there were
176 increases in vaccine coverage in all groups other than multiple race students. We observed higher
177 coverage levels in the intervention district relative to the comparison district among
178 Black/African American (9% higher in intervention versus comparison; 95% CI 2%, 17%),
179 Latino students (11%; 95% CI 5%, 17%), White (7%; 95% CI -1%, 14%), and Asian/Pacific
180 Islander (5%; 95% CI 0%, 9%) students.

181 In the 2017-18 season, we observed significantly higher influenza vaccine coverage
182 levels among Asian/Pacific Islander (9%; 95% CI 1%, 16%), Latino (13%; 95% CI 9%, 18%),
183 and White (21%; 95% CI 10%, 32%) students in the intervention district relative to the
184 comparison district. Vaccine coverage was higher among Black/African American (6%; 95% CI
185 -2%, 14%) and multiple race (6%; 95% CI -2%, 14%) students, with more vaccinated students in
186 the intervention district.

187 Among caregivers of students who were not vaccinated for influenza, those of
188 Black/African American students and multiple race students were more likely to cite non-belief
189 as a reason for vaccine non-receipt. (Figure 2) Frequency of non-belief was slightly lower in the
190 intervention district (Black/African American: 68.5%, Multiple: 72.9%) than in the comparison
191 district (Black/African American: 85.1%, Multiple: 76.6%). Caregivers of White, Latino, and
192 Asian/Pacific Islander students in the comparison district more commonly reported logistical
193 barriers to vaccination (White: 44.60%, Latino: 38.6%, Asian/Pacific Islander: 39.5%). These
194 barriers were reported less often in the intervention district in these groups (White: 25.6%,
195 Latino: 24.6%, Asian/Pacific Islander: 30.1%). (Figure 2). SLIV-specific concerns were most

196 common among Asian/Pacific Islander (29.5%) and Latino (28.2%) students in the intervention
197 district.

198 Schools with a prevalence of non-belief in influenza vaccination above the district
199 median had a higher percentage of White, Black/African American, and multiple race students
200 and a lower percentage of English learners and students eligible for free lunch in both districts
201 (Table S10). Schools with a prevalence of logistical barriers above the district median had a
202 higher percentage of English learners and students eligible for free lunch in both districts, and a
203 higher percentage of Hispanic students in the comparison district.

204 *Laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalization*

205 The incidence of influenza hospitalizations varied by race/ethnicity (Figure S11). These
206 differences were most pronounced during the 2016-17 and 2017-18 seasons, which had more
207 higher rates of influenza. In both seasons, the incidence of all-age, older adult, and non-
208 elementary hospitalization for influenza in each district was highest among Black/African
209 Americans. In 2017-18, the cumulative incidence of hospitalizations among Black/African
210 Americans in the intervention district (111 per 100,000) was about three times higher than the
211 cumulative incidence among White and Asian/Pacific Islanders (36 per 100,000). Similarly, the
212 cumulative incidence among Black/African Americans in the comparison district (134 per
213 100,000) was nearly twice as high as the cumulative incidence among Whites and Asian/Pacific
214 Islanders (73 per 100,000). (Figure S12) The cumulative incidence of influenza hospitalizations
215 of Hispanic/Latinos was relatively low, at 32 hospitalizations per 100,000 in the intervention
216 district and 43 per 100,000 in the comparison district.

217 Associations between SLIV and influenza hospitalizations varied by race/ethnicity
218 (Figure 3, Figure S12). We observed fewer all-age influenza hospitalizations in the intervention

219 district among Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders in the 2016-17 season (DID of -25.1 per
220 100,000 between intervention and comparison districts; 95% CI -40.1, -10.1) and in the 2017-18
221 (-36.6; 95% CI -52.7, -20.5) season, the seasons when the influenza vaccine was moderately
222 effective. Among older adults, we found large, protective DIDs among Whites and Asian/Pacific
223 Islanders in the 2016-17 (-116.1; 95% CI -205.7 -26.5) and 2017-18 (-133.9; 95% CI -225.5, -
224 42.2) seasons and among Black/African Americans during the 2017-18 (-282.3; 95% CI -508.4,
225 -56.1) season. Hispanic/Latinos experienced a smaller DID in all-age (hospitalizations (2017-
226 18: -12.1; 95% CI -31.5, 7.4), but the incidence of influenza hospitalizations was lower in the
227 intervention district relative to the comparison district.

Discussion

228 We assessed the variation in the impact of a city-wide SLIV program on influenza
229 vaccine coverage and influenza-related hospitalizations by race and ethnicity. By the third and
230 fourth years of the program, SLIV was associated with higher vaccine coverage among
231 elementary school children in all racial/ethnic groups. However, influenza vaccine coverage
232 remained lower among Black/African American students compared to other groups. Associations
233 between SLIV and higher vaccination coverage were largest among Latino and White students.
234 Among unvaccinated students, fewer caregivers reported logistical barriers in the intervention
235 district than in the comparison district across all racial/ethnic groups. Nonbelief in the influenza
236 vaccine was over twice as common as reported logistical barriers in all groups and was most
237 common among Black/African American and White caregivers. SLIV was associated with fewer
238 influenza hospitalizations among Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders in years when the influenza
239 vaccine was moderately effective. Despite associations between SLIV and higher vaccine

240 coverage in Black/African American over two seasons, we observed protective associations with
241 influenza hospitalizations only in this group in older adults in one season.

242 SLIV has the potential to increase equity of vaccine coverage by reducing logistical
243 barriers to vaccination that are more common in communities of color.^{3,26} Prior studies attributed
244 low influenza vaccine coverage among Hispanics/Latinos to limited accessibility and
245 affordability.^{3,27,28} In our study, logistical barriers were reported more common by caregivers of
246 children in comparison district schools, where more students were English Learners or qualified
247 for free lunch (a proxy for lower socioeconomic status). This was not the case in the intervention
248 district and may suggest that SLIV helped reduce logistical barriers to influenza vaccination for
249 students in schools with lower socioeconomic status. However, 22% of caregivers of
250 unvaccinated students in the intervention district still reported logistical barriers. Taken together,
251 these findings suggest that intervention reduced, but did not eliminate logistical barriers to
252 vaccination.

253 In both districts, nonbelief in influenza vaccination was a more common reason students
254 were not vaccinated than logistical barriers; however, non-belief was slightly less common in the
255 intervention district. Nonbelief was common across all racial/ethnic groups but was most
256 common among Black/African American, multiracial, and White caregivers. Non-belief stems
257 from beliefs and historical contexts that vary by race/ethnicity. Among Black/African
258 Americans, current institutional racism, as well as discrimination, exploitation, and abuse in
259 medical practice and research contributes to distrust of medical institutions and vaccine
260 hesitancy.^{6,29-32} Vaccine hesitant White populations tend to be affluent, have low perceived
261 severity of influenza, and experience lower incidence of severe influenza morbidity^{33,34}

262 Our findings highlight a challenge to SLIV programs: increasing access to influenza
263 vaccinations through school-based programs by itself does not address vaccine hesitancy. To
264 achieve equitable improvements in influenza vaccine coverage, SLIV programs may need to be
265 tailored to address concerns and beliefs of specific school communities. In prior studies, targeted,
266 culturally-sensitive outreach campaigns that addressed the specific concerns and needs of
267 communities and that empowered them to make informed health decisions on their own terms
268 were more successful in increasing vaccination rates across groups.^{11,35-40}

269 Prior studies have found that groups with higher influenza vaccine coverage have fewer
270 influenza hospitalizations.^{20,41} However, in our study, even though SLIV was associated with
271 higher vaccination coverage in Black/African Americans, the incidence of influenza related
272 hospitalizations remained higher than that of other groups. Similarly, for Hispanics/Latinos,
273 improvements in vaccination coverage did not translate to lower influenza hospitalization.
274 Increases in vaccine coverage among this group in the SLIV site were smaller relative to
275 increases for Whites and may not have been large enough to translate to lower rates of influenza
276 hospitalization in these groups. Structural racism contributes to persistent barriers to vaccination
277 and access to medical care in Black and Latino communities,⁴²⁻⁴⁴ and may be associated higher
278 prevalence of comorbid conditions in these groups, which may have resulted in the disparities in
279 the impact of SLIV on influenza hospitalization.^{45,46}

280 *Limitations*

281 Our study is subject to several limitations. Student influenza vaccination history was
282 reported by caretakers and may be subject to poor recall. Measurements during the 2014-15 and
283 2015-16 influenza seasons had longer recall periods and may be more prone to error. There may
284 have been differential accuracy of recall between the intervention and comparison districts

285 because the presence of SLIV could have improved caregivers' ability to correctly remember
286 their child's vaccination history.^{47,48} Additionally, we saw higher levels of non-response among
287 Black/African American students. If those who did not respond to the survey were also less
288 likely to have been vaccinated, our results may have under-estimated the true disparities in
289 vaccination between Black/African American students and other racial/ethnic groups. However,
290 we previously standardized vaccine coverage models by school-district race distributions and did
291 not find evidence of selection bias.²⁰ In addition, our estimates of overall vaccine coverage were
292 consistent with national and state data reported by the United States Centers for Disease Control
293 and Prevention.^{47,48} Finally, changes in health care utilization over time could have influenced
294 estimates for the incidence of influenza hospitalization.⁴⁹ In this study, the first year of the
295 intervention coincided with expanded coverage of preventative care under the Affordable Care
296 Act. The matched cohort design and DID analysis controlled for time-invariant confounding
297 variables, and it is unlikely that policy changes impacted the two study districts differently.

298 *Public Health Implications*

299 A city-wide SLIV program was associated with higher influenza vaccination coverage in
300 school age children, but racial/ethnic disparities in vaccine coverage were present. We did not
301 observe associations between SLIV and reductions in the incidence of all-age hospitalization
302 despite higher vaccine coverage among the Black/African American and Latino communities,
303 although reductions in the incidence of hospitalizations among Black/African American older
304 adults were observed in the 2017-18 season. SLIV shows promise for the delivery of other
305 vaccines, such as SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Black, Hispanic, and Native Americans and Alaskan
306 Natives were more likely to experience severe COVID-19 illness than Whites and faced
307 structural barriers to vaccination during early COVID-19 vaccination efforts.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Vaccinating

308 children will be crucial in protecting communities from COVID-19 outbreaks. Once COVID-19
309 vaccines receive approval for use in children, SLIV may be effective at increasing vaccine
310 coverage levels and increasing equity of vaccination coverage.

Conclusion

311 After four years of implementation, SLIV was associated with higher influenza vaccine
312 coverage students during seasons with a moderately effective vaccine, with the largest
313 differences observed among White and Latino students. Associations between SLIV and lower
314 rates of influenza hospitalizations varied by race and ethnicity but were not consistent with the
315 relative improvements in vaccination coverage by race and ethnicity. Overall, Black/African
316 American students had the lowest overall influenza vaccination levels and Black/African and
317 Hispanic communities had higher influenza hospitalization rates. Future SLIV programs may
318 benefit from tailored campaigns to address race/ethnicity-specific beliefs, concerns, and
319 structural factors that contribute to lower vaccine coverage.

References

- 320 1. Immunization and Infectious Diseases | Healthy People 2020.
321 <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunization-and-infectious->
322 [diseases/objectives](https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunization-and-infectious-diseases/objectives).
- 323 2. Flu Vaccination Coverage, United States, 2018–19 Influenza Season | FluVaxView | Seasonal
324 Influenza (Flu) | CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-1819estimates.htm> (2019).
- 325 3. Chen, J. Y., Fox, S. A., Cantrell, C. H., Stockdale, S. E. & Kagawa-Singer, M. Health disparities and
326 prevention: racial/ethnic barriers to flu vaccinations. *J. Community Health* **32**, 5–20 (2007).
- 327 4. Martin, J. Y., Schiff, M. A., Weiss, N. S. & Urban, R. R. Racial disparities in the utilization of
328 preventive health services among older women with early-stage endometrial cancer enrolled in
329 Medicare. *Cancer Med.* **6**, 2153–2163 (2017).
- 330 5. Fiscella, K. Commentary—Anatomy of Racial Disparity in Influenza Vaccination. *Health Serv. Res.*
331 **40**, 539–550 (2005).
- 332 6. Arnett, M. J., Thorpe, R. J., Gaskin, D. J., Bowie, J. V. & LaVeist, T. A. Race, Medical Mistrust, and
333 Segregation in Primary Care as Usual Source of Care: Findings from the Exploring Health Disparities
334 in Integrated Communities Study. *J. Urban Health* **93**, 456–467 (2016).
- 335 7. Flores, G. & Tomany-Korman, S. C. Racial and ethnic disparities in medical and dental health, access
336 to care, and use of services in US children. *Pediatrics* **121**, e286-298 (2008).
- 337 8. Chandrasekhar, R. *et al.* Social determinants of influenza hospitalization in the United States.
338 *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* **11**, 479–488 (2017).
- 339 9. Soyemi, K. *et al.* Disparities among 2009 Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) Hospital Admissions: A
340 Mixed Methods Analysis – Illinois, April–December 2009. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e84380 (2014).
- 341 10. Sloan, C., Chandrasekhar, R., Mitchel, E., Schaffner, W. & Lindegren, M. L. Socioeconomic
342 Disparities and Influenza Hospitalizations, Tennessee, USA. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **21**, 1602–1610
343 (2015).

- 344 11. Coady, M. H. *et al.* Project VIVA: A Multilevel Community-Based Intervention to Increase Influenza
345 Vaccination Rates Among Hard-to-Reach Populations in New York City. *Am. J. Public Health* **98**,
346 1314–1321 (2008).
- 347 12. The Best Way to Fight Flu: Inoculate Children - Scientific American.
348 <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/best-way-fight-flu-inoculate-children/>.
- 349 13. Halloran, M. E. & Longini, I. M. Community Studies for Vaccinating Schoolchildren Against
350 Influenza. *Science* **311**, 615–616 (2006).
- 351 14. Kjos, S. A., Irving, S. A., Meece, J. K. & Belongia, E. A. Elementary School-Based Influenza
352 Vaccination: Evaluating Impact on Respiratory Illness Absenteeism and Laboratory-Confirmed
353 Influenza. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e72243 (2013).
- 354 15. Pannaraj, P. S. *et al.* School-Located Influenza Vaccination Decreases Laboratory-Confirmed
355 Influenza and Improves School Attendance. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, 325–332 (2014).
- 356 16. Pebody, R. G. *et al.* Uptake and impact of vaccinating school age children against influenza during a
357 season with circulation of drifted influenza A and B strains, England, 2014/15. *Eurosurveillance* **20**,
358 30029 (2015).
- 359 17. Humiston, S. G. *et al.* Seasonal Influenza Vaccination at School: A Randomized Controlled Trial.
360 *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **46**, 1–9 (2014).
- 361 18. Szilagyi, P. G. *et al.* School-Located Influenza Vaccinations: A Randomized Trial. *Pediatrics* **138**,
362 (2016).
- 363 19. Szilagyi, P. G. *et al.* Impact of elementary school-located influenza vaccinations: A stepped wedge
364 trial across a community. *Vaccine* **36**, 2861–2869 (2018).
- 365 20. Benjamin-Chung, J. *et al.* Evaluation of a city-wide school-located influenza vaccination program in
366 Oakland, California, with respect to vaccination coverage, school absences, and laboratory-confirmed
367 influenza: A matched cohort study. *PLOS Med.* **17**, e1003238 (2020).

- 368 21. Davis, M. M., King, J. C., Moag, L., Cummings, G. & Magder, L. S. Countywide School-Based
369 Influenza Immunization: Direct and Indirect Impact on Student Absenteeism. *Pediatrics* **122**, e260–
370 e265 (2008).
- 371 22. Wiggs-Stayner, K. S. *et al.* The Impact of Mass School Immunization on School Attendance. *J. Sch.*
372 *Nurs.* **22**, 219–222 (2006).
- 373 23. Past Seasons Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates | CDC. [https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccines-work/past-](https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccines-work/past-seasons-estimates.html)
374 [seasons-estimates.html](https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccines-work/past-seasons-estimates.html) (2020).
- 375 24. Zou, G. A Modified Poisson Regression Approach to Prospective Studies with Binary Data. *Am. J.*
376 *Epidemiol.* **159**, 702–706 (2004).
- 377 25. Freedman, D. A. On The So-Called “Huber Sandwich Estimator” and “Robust Standard Errors”. *Am.*
378 *Stat.* **60**, 299–302 (2006).
- 379 26. Jenkins, C. N. H., Le, T., McPhee, S. J., Stewart, S. & Ha, N. T. Health care access and preventive
380 care among Vietnamese immigrants: Do traditional beliefs and practices pose barriers? *Soc. Sci. Med.*
381 **43**, 1049–1056 (1996).
- 382 27. Hebert, P. L., Frick, K. D., Kane, R. L. & McBean, A. M. The causes of racial and ethnic differences
383 in influenza vaccination rates among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. *Health Serv. Res.* **40**, 517–537
384 (2005).
- 385 28. Fiscella, K., Franks, P., Doescher, M. P. & Saver, B. G. Disparities in health care by race, ethnicity,
386 and language among the insured: findings from a national sample. *Med. Care* **40**, 52–59 (2002).
- 387 29. Quinn, S., Jamison, A., Musa, D., Hilyard, K. & Freimuth, V. Exploring the Continuum of Vaccine
388 Hesitancy Between African American and White Adults: Results of a Qualitative Study. *PLOS Curr.*
389 *Outbreaks* (2016) doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.3e4a5ea39d8620494e2a2c874a3c4201.
- 390 30. LaVeist, T. A., Nickerson, K. J. & Bowie, J. V. Attitudes about racism, medical mistrust, and
391 satisfaction with care among African American and white cardiac patients. *Med. Care Res. Rev.*
392 *MCCR* **57 Suppl 1**, 146–161 (2000).

- 393 31. Brandon, D. T., Isaac, L. A. & LaVeist, T. A. The legacy of Tuskegee and trust in medical care: is
394 Tuskegee responsible for race differences in mistrust of medical care? *J. Natl. Med. Assoc.* **97**, 951–
395 956 (2005).
- 396 32. Bajaj, S. S. & Stanford, F. C. Beyond Tuskegee — Vaccine Distrust and Everyday Racism. *N. Engl.*
397 *J. Med.* **384**, e12 (2021).
- 398 33. Hegde, S. T. *et al.* Neighbourhood influence on the fourth dose of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis
399 vaccination. *Public Health* **167**, 41–49 (2019).
- 400 34. Dredze, M., Broniatowski, D. A., Smith, M. & Hilyard, K. M. Understanding Vaccine Refusal. *Am.*
401 *J. Prev. Med.* **50**, 550–552 (2016).
- 402 35. Humiston, S. G. *et al.* Increasing Inner-City Adult Influenza Vaccination Rates: A Randomized
403 Controlled Trial. *Public Health Rep.* **126**, 39–47 (2011).
- 404 36. Nowalk, M. P. *et al.* Increasing Childhood Influenza Vaccination. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **47**, 435–443
405 (2014).
- 406 37. Gerend, M. A. & Shepherd, J. E. Predicting Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Uptake in Young Adult
407 Women: Comparing the Health Belief Model and Theory of Planned Behavior. *Ann. Behav. Med.* **44**,
408 171–180 (2012).
- 409 38. Christy, S. M., Winger, J. G. & Mosher, C. E. Does Self-Efficacy Mediate the Relationships Between
410 Social-Cognitive Factors and Intentions to Receive HPV Vaccination Among Young Women? *Clin.*
411 *Nurs. Res.* **28**, 708–725 (2019).
- 412 39. Yeung, K. H. T., Tarrant, M., Chan, K. C. C., Tam, W. H. & Nelson, E. A. S. Increasing influenza
413 vaccine uptake in children: A randomised controlled trial. *Vaccine* **36**, 5524–5535 (2018).
- 414 40. Prati, G., Pietrantoni, L. & Zani, B. Influenza Vaccination: The Persuasiveness of Messages Among
415 People Aged 65 Years and Older. *Health Commun.* **27**, 413–420 (2012).
- 416 41. Kostova, D. *et al.* Influenza Illness and Hospitalizations Averted by Influenza Vaccination in the
417 United States, 2005–2011. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e66312 (2013).
- 418 42. Trent, M. *et al.* The Impact of Racism on Child and Adolescent Health. *Pediatrics* **144**, (2019).

- 419 43. Bailey, Z. D., Feldman, J. M. & Bassett, M. T. How Structural Racism Works — Racist Policies as a
420 Root Cause of U.S. Racial Health Inequities. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **0**, null (2020).
- 421 44. Johnson, T. J. Intersection of Bias, Structural Racism, and Social Determinants With Health Care
422 Inequities. *Pediatrics* **146**, (2020).
- 423 45. Hutchins, S. S., Fiscella, K., Levine, R. S., Ompad, D. C. & McDonald, M. Protection of
424 Racial/Ethnic Minority Populations During an Influenza Pandemic. *Am. J. Public Health* **99**, S261–
425 S270 (2009).
- 426 46. Quiñones, A. R. *et al.* Racial/ethnic differences in multimorbidity development and chronic disease
427 accumulation for middle-aged adults. *PLoS ONE* **14**, (2019).
- 428 47. Full and Partial Flu Vaccination Coverage in Young Children, Six Immunization Information
429 Systems Sentinel Sites, 2012-13–2016-17 | FluVaxView | Seasonal Influenza (Flu) | CDC.
430 <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/full-partial-vaccination-children-2017.htm> (2019).
- 431 48. Estimates of Flu Vaccination Coverage among Children — United States, 2017–18 Flu Season |
432 FluVaxView | Seasonal Influenza (Flu) | CDC. [https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-](https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-1718estimates-children.htm)
433 [1718estimates-children.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-1718estimates-children.htm) (2019).
- 434 49. Schuck-Paim, C. *et al.* Challenges to estimating vaccine impact using hospitalization data. *Vaccine*
435 **35**, 118–124 (2017).
- 436 50. Corallo, B., Aug 17, O. P. P., & 2020. Racial Disparities in COVID-19: Key Findings from Available
437 Data and Analysis. *KFF* [https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/racial-](https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/racial-disparities-covid-19-key-findings-available-data-analysis/)
438 [disparities-covid-19-key-findings-available-data-analysis/](https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/racial-disparities-covid-19-key-findings-available-data-analysis/) (2020).
- 439 51. Gayle, H. D. & Childress, J. F. Race, Racism, and Structural Injustice: Equitable Allocation and
440 Distribution of Vaccines for the COVID-19. *Am. J. Bioeth.* **21**, 4–7 (2021).
- 441 52. Williams, D. R. & Cooper, L. A. COVID-19 and Health Equity—A New Kind of “Herd Immunity”.
442 *JAMA* **323**, 2478–2480 (2020).

- 443 53. Diamond, A. & Sekhon, J. S. Genetic Matching for Estimating Causal Effects: A General
444 Multivariate Matching Method for Achieving Balance in Observational Studies. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* **95**,
445 932–945 (2012).


Tables/Figures

446 **Table 1: Pre-intervention characteristics of the intervention (Oakland, California) and**
 447 **comparison (West Contra Costa County, CA) district catchment areas for the three-year**
 448 **period between 2011-2013**

Characteristic	Intervention (95% CI)	Comparison (95% CI)
Median household income (dollars)	51849 (50460, 53238)	61596 (59662, 63530)
Households below the poverty level (%)	21 (20, 22)	15 (13, 16)
Highest education level (%)		
Less than high school	16 (15, 18)	14 (12, 17)
High school graduate	24 (21, 26)	30 (25, 34)
Some college or Associate's	46 (43, 48)	50 (46, 55)
Bachelor's degree or higher	15 (12, 17)	6 (4, 8)
Children attending kindergarten in private versus public schools (%)		
Public kindergarten	87 (81, 92)	86 (80, 92)
Private kindergarten	13 (8, 19)	14 (8, 20)
Children attending grade 1-4 in private versus public schools (%)		
Public grades 1-4	89 (86, 92)	84 (79, 88)
Private grades 1-4	11 (8, 14)	16 (12, 21)
Children attending grade 5-8 in private versus public schools (%)		
Public grades 5-8	89 (86, 91)	87 (83, 91)
Private grades 5-8	11 (9, 14)	13 (9, 17)
Race (%)		
White	41 (40, 42)	48 (47, 50)
Black or African American	26 (25, 27)	17 (16, 18)
Asian	16 (16, 17)	19 (18, 20)
Other race	9 (8, 10)	8 (7, 9)
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1 (0, 1)	0 (0, 1)
Two or more races	6 (6, 7)	6 (5, 7)
Hispanic or Latino ethnicity	26 (25, 27)	33 (32, 35)


449 *Table reproduced from Benjamin-Chung et al (2020).²⁰ Data source: 2013 American Community Survey*
 450 *for the 3-year period between 2011-2013*

451 **Figure 1: Differences in vaccination coverage among students enrolled in the intervention**
452 **versus comparison district between 2014-2018**




453 *Difference in the percent of students vaccinated in the intervention district and percent of students*
454 *vaccinated in the comparison district, adjusted for highest parental education level. Estimates calculated*
455 *from caregiver surveys in March 2017 (for the 2014-15, 2015-16, 2017-18 seasons) and March 2018 (for*
456 *the 2017-18 season). Standard errors calculated with respect to school-level clustering.*

457 **Figure 2: Caregiver-reported reasons for non-receipt of influenza vaccination among**
 458 **students during the 2017-18 season.**



459 *Estimated percent of caregivers of non-vaccinated children that responded with the specified reason for*
 460 *non-receipt within each racial/ethnic group, calculated from survey data filled out by student caregivers*
 461 *in March 2018 (for the 2017-18 season). Questions corresponding to each category listed in Supplement*
 462 *9.*

463 **Figure 3: Difference-in-differences in the cumulative incidence of influenza-related**
464 **hospitalizations per 100,000 in the intervention district versus comparison district, by age**
465 **group and race**



466 *Difference in the difference in cumulative incidence per 100,000 of hospitalization between the*
467 *intervention season and pre-intervention period in the intervention district versus the difference in*
468 *cumulative incidence of hospitalization between the intervention season and pre-intervention period in*
469 *the comparison district. Estimates calculated from influenza surveillance data provided by the California*
470 *Emerging Infections Program.*