

1 **Title:** Time trends, factors associated with, and reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in a  
2 massive online survey of US adults: January-May 2021

3 **Running Title:** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in US adults

4 **Authors and Affiliations:**

5 Wendy C King<sup>1</sup>, Max Rubinstein<sup>2,3</sup>, Alex Reinhart<sup>2</sup>, Robin Mejia<sup>2\*</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health University of Pittsburgh,  
7 Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics & Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, United  
9 States of America

10 <sup>3</sup>Heinz College, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

11

12 \*Corresponding author:

13 Email: [rmejia@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:rmejia@andrew.cmu.edu) (RM)

14 **Word count:** 4038

15 **Abstract word count:** 352 words

16

17

## 18 **Abstract**

19 **Importance:** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy has become a leading barrier to increasing the US  
20 vaccination rate.

21 **Objective:** To evaluate time trends in COVID-19 vaccine intent during the US vaccine rollout,  
22 and identify key factors related to and self-reported reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in  
23 May 2021.

24 **Design, participants and setting:** A COVID-19 survey was offered to US adult Facebook users in  
25 several languages yielding 5,088,772 qualifying responses from January 6 to May 31, 2021. Data  
26 was aggregated by month. Survey weights matched the sample to the age, gender, and state  
27 profile of the US population.

28 **Exposure:** Demographics, geographic factors, political/COVID-19 environment, health status,  
29 beliefs, and behaviors.

30 **Main outcome measures:** “If a vaccine to prevent COVID-19 were offered to you today, would  
31 you choose to get vaccinated.” Hesitant was defined as responding probably or definitely would  
32 not choose to get vaccinated (versus probably or definitely would, or already vaccinated).

33 **Results:** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy decreased by one-third from 25.4% (95%CI, 25.3, 25.5) in  
34 January to 16.6% (95% CI, 16.4, 16.7) in May, with relatively large decreases among participants  
35 with Black, Pacific Islander or Hispanic race/ethnicity and  $\leq$ high school education. Independent  
36 risk factors for vaccine hesitancy in May (N=525,644) included younger age, non-Asian race, < 4  
37 year college degree, living in a more rural county, living in a county with higher Trump vote  
38 share in the 2020 election, lack of worry about COVID-19, working outside the home, never

39 intentionally avoiding contact with others, and no past-year flu vaccine. Differences in hesitancy  
40 by race/ethnicity varied by age (e.g., Black adults more hesitant than White adults <35 years  
41 old, but less hesitant among adults ≥45 years old). Differences in hesitancy by age varied by  
42 race/ethnicity. Almost half of vaccine hesitant respondents reported fear of side effects (49.2%  
43 [95%CI, 48.7, 49.7]) and not trusting the COVID-19 vaccine (48.4% [95%CI, 48.0, 48.9]); over  
44 one-third reported not trusting the government, not needing the vaccine, and waiting to see if  
45 safe. Reasons differed by degree of vaccine intent and by race/ethnicity.

46 **Conclusion:** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy varied by demographics, geography, beliefs, and  
47 behaviors, indicating a need for a range of messaging and policy options to target high-  
48 hesitancy groups.

## 49 **Introduction**

50 On December 11, 2020(1), the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) granted the first Emergency  
51 Use Authorization of a COVID-19 vaccine in the United States (US) (2). By March 2021, 3 COVID-  
52 19 vaccines had been authorized(3), and the president announced procurement of enough  
53 doses for every adult to be vaccinated by the end of May 2021 (4). By May 2021, vaccine  
54 eligibility was expanded to everyone covered under the FDA authorizations (initially  $\geq 16$  years  
55 old, expanded to  $\geq 12$  years old on May 10 (5)), and efforts to increase vaccine access to  
56 underserved populations were underway (6,7). However, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy (i.e., a  
57 refusal or reluctance to be vaccinated) slowed vaccination uptake (8). By July 2021, COVID-19  
58 vaccine hesitancy, which appears to be a distinct phenomenon from general vaccine hesitancy  
59 (9), was widely perceived by the public as prolonging the pandemic; on August 1, it was the  
60 subject of a New York Times front-page story (10), and was center-stage to disease control  
61 discussions as a fourth COVID-19 surge filled hospitals across parts of the US (11,12).

62 A longitudinal study of US adults (N=7,420) by Daly et al. reported an overall decrease in COVID-  
63 19 vaccine hesitancy from 46.0% in October 2020 to 35.2% in March 2021. Throughout this  
64 timeframe, younger versus older adults, and Black versus White adults, had greater COVID-19  
65 vaccine hesitancy, however, trends suggested an increase in the age disparity and decrease in  
66 the racial disparity in vaccine uptake (13). Understanding how COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy  
67 prevalence continued to change up through the time of adult universal eligibility, overall and  
68 among subgroups, as well as reasons for hesitancy at the time of universal eligibility is essential  
69 for policy makers working to increase vaccination uptake.

70 Since April 2020, the Delphi Group at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) has been conducting a  
71 national online COVID-19 Trends and Impact Survey (CTIS) (14) in collaboration with a  
72 consortium of universities and Facebook Data for Good (14). Among a massive sample of US  
73 adults who completed the CTIS January-May, 2021, we report COVID-19 vaccine uptake and  
74 intent by month, and evaluate time trends in vaccine hesitancy prevalence by race/ethnicity,  
75 education, US region and political environment. For May, the prevalence of COVID-19 vaccine  
76 hesitancy is reported by demographics, geographic factors, political/COVID-19 environment,  
77 health status, beliefs and behaviors, and associations between each potential risk factor with  
78 hesitancy is estimated with and without adjustment for potential confounders. Lastly, we  
79 identify the most common reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by level of COVID-19 vaccine  
80 intent and race/ethnicity.

## 81 **Methods**

### 82 **Survey sampling and weighting**

83 Each month, January-May, 2021, the CTIS was offered to a random sample, stratified by  
84 geographic region, of  $\approx 100$  million US residents from the Facebook Active User Base who used  
85 one of the supported languages (English [American and British], Spanish [Spain and Latin  
86 American], French, Brazilian Portuguese, Vietnamese, and simplified Chinese). The offer to  
87 participate was shown with a survey link at the top of users' Facebook News Feed, from once a  
88 month to once every six months, depending on their geographic strata, with the intent to yield  
89  $\approx 1.1$  million responders monthly, to allow for evaluation of local trends. When individuals  
90 clicked through the link, an anonymized unique identifier was generated. CMU returned the

91 unique IDs to Facebook, which created weights that account for the sampling design and non-  
92 response; these weights were then post-stratified to match the US general population by age,  
93 gender, and state (14,15). The design ensured CMU researchers did not see user names or  
94 profile information, and Facebook did not see survey microdata. The CMU Institutional Review  
95 Board approved the survey protocol and instrument (STUDY2020\_00000162).

## 96 **Study sample**

97 The same version of the vaccine uptake and intent questions were offered to all potential CTIS  
98 respondents from January 6 to May, 19, 2021, and to approximately 15% of potential  
99 respondents from May 20-31. This study is limited to responses from these offers (5,485,862 of  
100 476,648,117; response rate 1.2%). Respondents who did not complete the questions on vaccine  
101 uptake and intent (N=365,426), or reported gender as, “prefer to self-describe,” (N=31,664),  
102 were excluded, resulting in a sample of 5,088,772; self-described gender (selected by <1% of  
103 responders) had a high prevalence of discriminatory descriptions and uncommon responses  
104 (e.g., Hispanic ethnicity [41.4%], the oldest age group [23.2% ≥75 years] and highest education  
105 level [28.1% Doctorate]), suggesting the survey was not completed in good faith. A sensitivity  
106 analysis was conducted including all gender responses.

## 107 **Measures**

108 The survey questions and response sets utilized in this report are provided in supporting  
109 information, **sAppendix**. Vaccination questions were adapted from CDC-sponsored questions  
110 developed for two household panel surveys (16) and shared with us prior to launch. The answer  
111 set for reasons for vaccine hesitancy was also expanded through a review of media reports and

112 brainstorming sessions among survey methodologists. We categorized participants as vaccine  
113 hesitant if they answered that they probably or definitely would not choose to get vaccinated,  
114 “if a vaccine to prevent COVID-19 were offered to you today,” (versus probably or definitely  
115 would choose to get vaccinated or were already vaccinated). Those who had already received a  
116 COVID-19 vaccine were coded as not hesitant to ensure a consistent study population, as access  
117 to vaccinations varied by state and month in the studied timeframe.

118 The question on gender was developed for this survey; other demographic questions (age, race,  
119 ethnicity, education, employment status) were adapted from existing surveys: race and  
120 ethnicity from the 2020 Census (17), age categories match the 10-year blocks reported by the  
121 American Community Survey (ACS) (18), and education categories were adapted from ACS (19).  
122 Participants who reported Hispanic ethnicity were categorized by ethnicity. Non-Hispanic  
123 participants were categorized by their race; non-Hispanic participants who reported more than  
124 one race were categorized as multi-racial. Participants who did not select one of the named  
125 races were categorized as missing. The “less than high school” and “high school graduate or  
126 equivalent” categories were combined as “≤high school.” Likewise, “some college” and “2 year  
127 degree” were combined as “some college.”

128 County-level variables were created using participants’ reported ZIP codes: US region (i.e.,  
129 Census Bureau statistical region (20), dividing West into Mountain and Pacific, as vaccination  
130 rates differed in these subregions (21)); urban-rural level of metropolitan statistical area  
131 classification (22); and several political/COVID-19 environment indicators: quartile of county  
132 COVID-19 death rate in the previous month (April 2021) (23), quartile of county Trump vote  
133 share in the 2020 presidential election (calculated as percent voted for Trump minus percent

134 voted for Biden out of total votes within a county; not available for Alaska) (24), and state  
135 governor's political party (not available for US territories) (25).

136 Questions related to health status, beliefs and behaviors included ever having tested positive  
137 for COVID-19, ever diagnosed with specific health conditions (asthma, autoimmune disorder,  
138 cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes type 1, diabetes type 2, heart disease,  
139 high blood pressure, kidney disease, and weakened/compromised immune system), the extent  
140 of worry about self or family becoming seriously ill from COVID-19, living with someone age 65  
141 years or older, past-year flu vaccination, and the extent of intentionally avoiding contact with  
142 others. Participants were categorized as having no health conditions or at least one health  
143 condition. Additionally, participants were categorized as having high blood pressure only, each  
144 of the other health conditions with or without high blood pressure, or "multiple conditions,"  
145 defined as at least two conditions excluding high blood pressure, which was relatively common  
146 and has limited support as a risk-factor for COVID-19 (26).

## 147 **Statistical analysis**

148 Data was aggregated by month to evaluate time trends in COVID-19 vaccine uptake and intent.  
149 There may have been repeat respondents across months; however, respondents could not be  
150 linked longitudinally, so data was treated as repeat cross-sectional surveys. All estimates were  
151 generated using survey weights (15). We calculated percentages of COVID-19 vaccine intent or  
152 hesitancy by month, as well as first-last month differences, for the full sample, and by  
153 race/ethnicity, education, US region, and county Trump support. We limited the race/ethnicity

154 comparison to adults 18-34 years due to an interaction between race/ethnicity and age  
155 (reported below with May data).

156 May 2021 data was used to assess how demographics, geographic factors, political/COVID-19  
157 environment, health status, beliefs and behaviors related to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.  
158 Specifically, we calculated percentages of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by all covariates and  
159 used a series of weighted Poisson regression models with robust error variance to estimate the  
160 risk ratios (RR) for vaccine hesitancy for each variable (27,28). Adjusted risk ratios (aRR) were  
161 estimated from a single Poisson regression model including all covariates and an interaction  
162 term for age group and race/ethnicity. To enable model fitting with an interaction term for age  
163 and race, where age data was missing but race/ethnicity was available, race/ethnicity was  
164 recoded to missing in the May sample. This affected 70 Hispanics, 262 Whites, 46 Blacks, 13  
165 Asians, 15 Native Americans, 1 Pacific Islanders, and 30 multi-racial respondents (0.08% of our  
166 sample). In a second multivariable model, a simplified health conditions variable (none, at least  
167 one, described above) was replaced with the version specifying specific conditions to estimate  
168 aRR by health condition. We calculated percentages of participants with each reason for  
169 hesitancy by 3 levels of vaccine intent (definitely no, probably no, and probably yes), and by  
170 race/ethnicity among hesitant participants.

171 For explanatory variables, “missing” was treated as a response category in the analyses. For all  
172 parameters, 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using robust standard errors (29).  
173 Analyses were conducted in R (Version 4.0.2, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

## 174 **Results**

175 Study flow overall and by month is provided in supporting information, **sTable 1**. The January,  
176 February, March, April and May samples had 1,195,650; 1,142,195; 1,209,536; 1,015,747; and  
177 525,644 participants, respectively.

## 178 **Participant characteristics**

179 Excluding missing responses, weighted May participants had a median age range of 55-64  
180 years; 45.7% identified as male, 53.2% female, 1.1% nonbinary; 16.4% were Hispanic, 69.1 %  
181 White, 6.5% Black, 3.6% Asian, 0.8% Native American, 0.24% Pacific Islander, and 3.3% were  
182 multi-racial; 22.5% had ≤high school education; 41.0% ≥four-year college degree. Over half  
183 (56.0%) worked for pay; 42.9% worked outside the home. Demographics were similar January  
184 through April (**sTable2**).

## 185 **COVID-19 vaccine receipt and intent over time**

186 Hesitancy decreased each month, with a one-third decrease from 25.4% (95%CI 25.3,25.5) in  
187 January to 16.6% (95% CI, 16.4,16.7) in May, 2021. There was a bigger decrease in percentage  
188 points in the response “probably not” (-7.1%[95% CI -7.2, -6.9]) versus “definitely not” (-1.8%  
189 [95% CI -1.9, -1.7]) (**Figure 1; sTable 3**).

190 Per **Figure 2**, from January to May the gap in percent hesitant between race/ethnicity groups  
191 among younger adults (**panel A**) and education levels among all respondents (**panel B**)  
192 decreased, with the biggest decreases among two of the three most hesitant race/ethnicity  
193 groups (e.g., Black and Pacific Islander but not Native American) and the two most hesitant

194 education groups ( $\leq$ high school and some college education) in January. Hesitancy appeared  
195 relatively constant among those with a professional degree (e.g. MD, JD) or Doctorate.  
196 Decreases in percent hesitant over time were fairly similar across US regions (**panel C**), with a  
197 slightly smaller decrease in the Mountain region and slightly larger decrease in the South. The  
198 gap in percent hesitant by Trump vote share increased slightly from January to May, with the  
199 highest quartile, which was the most hesitant group, having the smallest decrease (**panel D**).  
200 Supporting data is provided in **sTable 4**.

## 201 **Factors related to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy**

202 Hesitancy in May, 2021 is reported by participant demographics and geographic factors in **Table**  
203 **1** (N=525,644). Although hesitancy was lower in females versus males (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.78,  
204 0.81), with adjustment for covariates (i.e., variables reported in **Tables 1 and 2**), female gender  
205 was associated with higher hesitancy (aRR 1.12, 95%CI 1.10, 1.14). Non-binary adults had  
206 similar hesitancy to males (RR 1.10, 95%CI, 0.97, 1.22; aRR 0.99, 95%CI 0.88, 1.10).

207 In general, younger age and non-Asian race (particularly multi-racial and Native American),  
208 were related to greater hesitancy. However, an interaction was observed between age and  
209 race/ethnicity categories (**Figure 3**). Differences in hesitancy by age were more pronounced in  
210 Blacks and less pronounced in Asians and Whites. Differences in hesitancy by race/ethnicity  
211 were more pronounced in younger adults and adults  $\geq 75$  years. Furthermore, for some  
212 comparisons, the direction of the difference differed by age. For example, for Blacks versus  
213 Whites, the RR of hesitancy was 1.31 (95%CI, 1.12, 1.51) in 18-24 year olds, versus 0.50 (95%CI,  
214 0.34, 0.67) in  $\geq 75$  year olds. **sTables 5 and 6** provide RRs for age groups stratified by

215 race/ethnicity groups and vice versa, with and without adjustment for all covariates. Age and  
216 race/ethnicity differences were generally attenuated in the full multivariable model, but still  
217 present.

218 The association between hesitancy and education level followed a U-shaped curve with the  
219 lowest hesitancy among those with a master's degree, followed by those with a 4 year college  
220 degree, then a professional degree, and a doctorate. The highest hesitancy was among those  
221 with  $\leq$ high school education or some college (RR=1.89 [95%CI 1.84, 1.94] and 1.67 [95%CI 1.62,  
222 1.71], respectively, versus a 4 year college degree). Additional demographic risk factors for  
223 hesitancy included working outside the home (RR=2.44 [95%CI 2.35, 2.53]) or not working for  
224 pay (RR=1.50 [95% CI: 1.44, 1.55]) versus working at home; living in the South (RR=1.62 95%CI  
225 1.56, 1.67]), Midwest (RR=1.51 [95%CI 1.47, 1.56]) or Mountain (RR=1.50 [95%CI 1.44, 1.57])  
226 versus the Pacific US region; and in a less urban county (e.g., RR=2.36 [95 CI, 2.28, 2.44] for non-  
227 core versus large central metro). Associations were attenuated with adjustment (**Table 1**).

228 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy is reported by indicators of political/COVID-19 environment, health  
229 status, and beliefs and behaviors in **Table 2**. Risk factors for hesitancy were living in a state with  
230 Republican versus Democratic governor (RR=1.34 [95%CI 1.32, 1.36]), living in a county with  
231 higher Trump support (e.g., RR=2.57 [95%CI 2.50, 2.65] for highest versus lowest quartile),  
232 living in a county with a lower April COVID-19 death rate (e.g., RR=0.70 [95%CI 0.68, 0.73] for  
233 highest versus lowest quartile), history of a positive COVID-19 test versus no history (RR=1.26  
234 [95%CI 1.23, 1.29]), not having versus having a high-risk health condition (RR=1.41 [95%CI 1.38,  
235 1.43]), less worry about self or immediate family becoming seriously ill from COVID-19 (e.g.,  
236 RR=3.66 [3.58, 3.74] for not worried at all versus worried), not having versus having received a

237 past-year flu vaccine (RR=4.97 [95%CI 4.85, 5.08]), and not avoiding versus avoiding contact  
238 with others (e.g., RR=3.96 [95%CI 3.84, 4.07] for none versus all of the time). Political affiliation  
239 of state governor was excluded from the multivariable model due to collinearity with county  
240 Trump support. Associations from the multivariable model were attenuated but in the same  
241 direction, except for April 2021 COVID-19 death rate, which was not independently related to  
242 hesitancy.

243 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by specific health conditions is provided in **sTable 7**. Compared to  
244 participants reporting none of the queried high-risk health conditions, hesitancy was lower  
245 among participants with each health condition category except weakened or compromised  
246 immune system (RR 1.10, [95%CI 1.02, 1.19]; aRR 1.43 [95%CI 1.33, 1.52]).

247 A sensitivity analysis including participants selecting “prefer to self-describe” gender is provided  
248 in **sTable 8** and **sTable 9**. Overall, results were similar; however, hesitancy prevalence was  
249 higher for a few categories (e.g., age  $\geq 75$  years, Hispanic, and Doctorate) where mis-reporting  
250 was suspected.

## 251 **Reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy**

252 Reasons for hesitancy by applicable levels of intent are reported in **Table 3**. Concern about side  
253 effects was chosen most frequently at 49.2% (95%CI, 48.7, 49.7) among hesitant participants,  
254 and was similarly common across intent levels. In contrast, among adults who would “definitely  
255 not” choose to be vaccinated, not trusting the COVID-19 vaccine and not trusting the  
256 government were reported most frequently (59.2% [95%CI, 58.6, 59.8] and 51.2% [95%CI, 50.6,  
257 51.7], respectively), double the prevalence seen among those who would “probably not” get

258 vaccinated and almost quadruple that of adults who “yes, probably” would. Conversely, 52.3%  
259 (95%CI, 51.5, 53.1) of the “probably not” group said they would wait to see if it was safe, versus  
260 only 24.3% (95%CI, 23.8, 24.8) of the “definitely not” group. Compared to most reasons for  
261 vaccine hesitancy, not liking vaccines in general was chosen less frequently across all intent  
262 levels (7.6% in “probably yes” to 17.6% in “definitely not”).

263 Reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among hesitant US adults by race/ethnicity are  
264 provided in **sTable 10**. Concern about side effects, followed by not trusting the COVID-19  
265 vaccine, were the most common concerns in all race/ethnicity groups, with the ranking  
266 reversed among Native Americans and Pacific Islanders. Both were chosen by more than 40% of  
267 each group except Asians (38.4% [95%CI, 31.5, 45.4] lacked trust in COVID-19 vaccines). There  
268 was more racial variability in not trusting the government (range: 26.2-50.0%; >40% among  
269 multi-racial, White, and Native American); in waiting to see if safe (range: 27.0-42.5%; >40%  
270 among Asian, Hispanic, and Black), and in do not need (range: 22.3-47.0%; >40% among multi-  
271 racial and White). Other reasons were chosen by <40% of hesitant adults in each race/ethnicity  
272 group.

## 273 **Discussion**

274 In this massive national survey of US adults, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy decreased by one-third  
275 between January and May, 2021. However, there was minimal change in the prevalence of the  
276 most hesitant subgroup (those responding “definitely not”). Additionally, while a decrease in  
277 hesitancy was observed across almost all categories evaluated, there was a substantial  
278 difference in the magnitude of change of education and race/ethnicity categories. The largest

279 decreases were seen in the race and education categories with the highest January hesitancy  
280 prevalence (e.g., Black and Pacific Islander race, ≤high school and some college education), such  
281 that the disparity in hesitancy by these factors decreased. Still, May 2021 data indicates that at  
282 the launch of universal vaccine eligibility, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy varied by a wide array of  
283 demographic, geographic, political and COVID-19 environment, health, belief and behavioral  
284 factors. Additionally, important differences in reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy exist  
285 both by degree of vaccine intent and racial/ethnic groups.

286 Racial/ethnic disparities have been observed in all aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic(30), with  
287 communities of color experiencing higher rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection, as well as COVID-19-  
288 related hospitalizations and mortality (31,32). Racial/ethnic disparities in COVID-19 vaccine  
289 acceptance at the start of the vaccine rollout threatened to continue this trend (33), with  
290 unclear/unreliable information on COVID-19 vaccines, concerns about research ethics, and  
291 access barriers contributing to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy (34). In response, groups from at-  
292 risk communities initiated targeted outreach campaigns (35). The large decreases in COVID-19  
293 vaccine hesitancy we measured among Blacks and Pacific Islanders, suggest that messaging and  
294 outreach campaigns, combined with time to observe the initial months of the vaccine rollout,  
295 had positive effects; by May 2021, Blacks and Pacific Islanders joined Asian and Hispanics in  
296 having a lower prevalence of hesitancy than Whites. However, among younger adults, Blacks,  
297 Native Americans, and Multi-racial groups continued to be the most hesitant. Experts continue  
298 to recommend targeted campaigns to overcome structural barriers on racial and ethnic  
299 disparities in COVID-19 vaccine uptake (36). Our study indicates that in May, 2021, Black and  
300 Hispanic adults were more likely to be concerned about safety compared to White adults, while

301 White adults were more likely to report not trusting the government and not needing the  
302 vaccine than Black and Hispanic adults.

303 January through May, there was a dose-response relationship between relative degree of local  
304 Trump support in the 2020 presidential election and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy that grew over  
305 time; by May, even after controlling for potential confounders, those living in a county in the  
306 top quartile were 44% more at risk of being hesitant, highlighting the politicization of public  
307 health recommendations.

308 Those who were not intentionally avoiding contact with others had much higher likelihood of  
309 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, as did those working outside the home, indicating non-vaccinated  
310 individuals may be the most likely to engage in activities with transmission risk, and thus, are  
311 worthy of further study and focused vaccination uptake efforts.

312 In May 2021, only 14.7% of COVID-19 vaccine hesitant respondents chose not liking vaccines as  
313 a reason, indicating that COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy is likely a distinct phenomenon from  
314 general vaccine hesitancy among US adults in general, as well as employed adults(9). However,  
315 we also found that those who had not received a flu vaccine were 3.3 times more likely to be  
316 COVID-19 vaccine hesitant, even after controlling for a wide array of covariates, which indicates  
317 that efforts to increase flu vaccination uptake should address reasons of COVID-19 vaccine  
318 hesitancy and vice versa.

319 Concern about side effects of COVID-19 vaccines was common across levels of vaccine intent  
320 and among all racial groups. However, several reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy varied  
321 substantially by subgroups. Among less hesitant (“probably not”) participants, waiting to see if

322 the vaccine is safe was a common response, suggesting messaging about safety and policy  
323 interventions to address downstream impacts of vaccine side effects, such as potential lost  
324 work, could be impactful. Conversely, the most hesitant (“definitely not”) participants  
325 commonly reported they don’t trust the COVID-19 vaccine, don’t trust the government, and/or  
326 don’t need the vaccine, suggesting the existence of a subgroup with entrenched hesitancy and  
327 high distrust that may only respond to vaccine mandates.

### 328 **Limitations and strengths**

329 The study employs a novel sampling method with a soft ask and low response rate, the effect of  
330 which has not yet been fully studied. Survey weights adjust for non-response and coverage bias  
331 (i.e., matching the sample to gender, age, and geographic profile of the US). However,  
332 Facebook users may differ from non-users, and our sample is more educated (37) and has  
333 higher vaccine uptake(8) than the general population, indicating that we underestimated  
334 vaccine hesitancy compared to the general US population. Importantly, CTIS results have been  
335 consistent over time, follow similar patterns observed by others (13), and have been used to  
336 track trends and inform policies (38,39).

337 Demographic questions were asked at the end of the survey and had high unit non-response  
338 (e.g., 12% for age). To maximize the analysis sample and control for bias, “missing” was  
339 included as a variable category; however, interpretation of estimates for this category is  
340 difficult. Additionally, we assume the survey was completed in good faith. However, as noted  
341 above, a small percentage of participants selected “prefer to self-describe” gender to make  
342 discriminatory statements and the frequency of other characteristics in this group was suspect.

343 Thus, they were excluded from the primary analysis sample, but included in a sensitivity  
344 analysis that yielded largely similar results. It is possible that additional respondents who did  
345 not self-describe their gender completed the survey in bad faith.

346 A strength of our novel sampling method is that it generated a large sample with diverse  
347 characteristics enabling detailed subgroup analyses that identified new findings. For example,  
348 most previous studies of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy grouped Asians with American  
349 Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders (13,40–42). However, our  
350 study, which included 11,962 Asian participants in the May sample, identified a remarkably  
351 lower prevalence of hesitancy among Asians versus all other race/ethnic groups. This study also  
352 identified an interaction between race/ethnicity and age (e.g., Blacks had relatively high  
353 hesitancy among adults under 35 years while Whites had relatively high hesitancy among adults  
354 45 and older), which have previously been reported as independent predictors of vaccine  
355 hesitancy without investigating an interaction (13,43). Thus, our study provides more recent  
356 and extensive data to inform race/ethnicity and age orientated COVID-19 vaccination uptake  
357 efforts. Additionally, while a previous study evaluated changes in hesitancy by age, sex,  
358 education, or income level, October 2020 through March 2021 (13), estimated change by these  
359 categories had large overlapping 95% CI, and the racial and education categories collapsed  
360 groups in which we have identified meaningful differences.

## 361 **Conclusion**

362 This massive national survey administered throughout the US COVID-19 vaccine rollout  
363 (January-May, 2021) identified a decrease in vaccine hesitancy by one-third, with important

364 changes in hesitancy in key subgroups. This study also provided a fine-grained analysis of  
365 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by subgroup at the time of universal vaccine availability, and  
366 provided insight into reasons for hesitancy both overall and by key subgroups, revealing that  
367 somewhat hesitant and strongly hesitant adults indicate different beliefs about vaccine safety.  
368 These results can support the development of targeted public health campaigns and policies to  
369 increase COVID-19 vaccine uptake. Additionally, as new diseases continue to emerge (44), these  
370 findings can provide insight for the planning of future vaccine rollouts.

371

372 **Acknowledgements**

373 **Authors contributions**

374 Dr. Reinhart and Mr. Rubinstein had full access to all of the data and take responsibility for the  
375 integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

376 Obtained funding, supervised study and acquired data: AR

377 Developed study concept and design: WK, RM, AR

378 Performed statistical analysis: MR

379 Drafting of the manuscript: WK, RM

380 Interpreted data: WK, RM, AR, MR

381 Critically revised manuscript for important intellectual content: WK, RM, AR, MR

382 **Other acknowledgements**

383 We would like to thank the Delphi Group at Carnegie Mellon University for input and  
384 support on the survey instrument. Wichada La Motte-Kerr, MPH, of Delphi contributed  
385 to the development and deployment of the survey and received compensation for her  
386 contributions to the study. We thank Sarah LaRocca, PhD and Katherine Morris, PhD of  
387 Facebook for contributions to the survey instrument design.

388

389 **Figure Legends**

390 **Figure 1.** COVID-19 vaccine receipt and intent among US adults by month (January-May, 2021)

391 Vaccine hesitancy decreased among adults each month from January to May, mostly due to a

392 decrease in the response, “probably not” (-7.1 percentage points (%) [95% CI -7.2, -6.9]) versus

393 “definitely not” (-1.8 % [95% CI -1.9, -1.7]).

394 **Figure 2.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by race/ethnicity (ages 18-34 years<sup>a</sup>), education level, US  
395 region and county Trump vote share in the 2020 presidential election among US adults by  
396 month (January-May, 2021)

397 Between January and May, the gap in percent hesitant between race/ethnicity groups among  
398 adults 18-34 years (panel A) and education levels among all ages (panel B) decreased, with the  
399 biggest decreases among the most hesitant groups (e.g., Black race and ≤high school education,  
400 respectively). Changes in percent hesitant over time were fairly similar across US regions (panel  
401 C); however, there was a slightly smaller decrease in the Mountain region and slightly larger  
402 decrease in the South versus other regions. The gap in percent hesitant by county political  
403 environment, quantified in quartiles of percent Trump vote share in the 2020 presidential  
404 election, increased slightly between January and May, with the most hesitant group (highest  
405 quartile) having the smallest decrease (panel D).

406 <sup>a</sup> There was a significant interaction between race/ethnicity group and age group. Vaccine  
407 hesitancy for all race/ethnicity groups by all age groups is provided in **sTable 6**.

408 **Figure 3.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by age group, stratified by race/ethnicity, among US  
409 adults, May 2021

410 Differences in hesitancy by age (e.g., 18-24 year-olds versus 65-74 year-olds) were more  
411 pronounced in Blacks (RR=7.26 [95%CI, 5.92, 8.61]) versus Whites (RR=2.89 [95% CI 2.75, 3.04])  
412 or other race/ethnicity groups. Asians had hesitancy <5% hesitancy in all age groups.

413 Differences in hesitancy by race/ethnicity were more pronounced in younger adults and adults  
414  $\geq 75$  years.

415 **Table 1.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by demographics among US adults  
 416 (N=525,644)

	Sample		COVID-19 vaccine hesitant		
	n	%	% (95% CI)	RR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Adj. RR (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	159427	30.3	16.6 (16.4, 16.9)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Female	294983	56.1	13.2 (13.1, 13.4)	0.79 (0.78, 0.81)	1.12 (1.10, 1.14)
Non-binary	3232	0.6	18.2 (16.1, 20.3)	1.10 (0.97, 1.22)	0.99 (0.88, 1.10)
No response	68002	12.9	26.3 (25.8, 26.7)	1.58 (1.54, 1.61)	1.39 (1.34, 1.44)
<b>Age group</b>					
18-24 years	15382	2.9	22.1 (21.3, 23.0)	2.76 (2.64, 2.88)	<sup>d</sup>
25-34 years	52015	9.9	20.5 (20.1, 20.9)	2.56 (2.48, 2.64)	
35-44 years	72541	13.8	17.8 (17.5, 18.2)	2.22 (2.16, 2.29)	
45-54 years	81005	15.4	16.6 (16.3, 16.8)	2.07 (2.01, 2.13)	
55-64 years	102934	19.6	12.7 (12.4, 12.9)	1.58 (1.53, 1.63)	
65-74 years	95607	18.2	8.0 (7.8, 8.2)	1.0 (NA)	
≥ 75 years	41799	8.0	6.9 (6.6, 7.2)	0.86 (0.82, 0.90)	
Missing	64361	12.2	24.4 (23.9, 24.8)	3.04 (2.95, 3.13)	
<b>Race/ethnicity<sup>a</sup></b>					
White	337260	64.2	15.5 (15.4, 15.7)	1.0 (NA)	<sup>d</sup>
Hispanic	56333	10.7	11.9 (11.5, 12.3)	0.77 (0.74, 0.79)	
Black	28546	5.4	12.9 (12.4, 13.4)	0.83 (0.79, 0.86)	
Asian	11962	2.3	2.9 (2.5, 3.3)	0.19 (0.16, 0.21)	
Native American	3944	0.8	24.9 (23.0, 26.8)	1.60 (1.48, 1.73)	
Pacific Islander	992	0.2	13.5 (10.9, 16.0)	0.87 (0.70, 1.03)	
Multi-racial	13009	2.5	26.9 (25.9, 27.9)	1.73 (1.67, 1.80)	
Missing	73598	14.0	25.9 (25.4, 26.3)	1.67 (1.63, 1.70)	
<b>Education level</b>					
≤ High school	91859	17.5	20.3 (20.0, 20.7)	1.89 (1.84, 1.94)	1.58 (1.54, 1.62)
Some college	166070	31.6	17.9 (17.7, 18.2)	1.67 (1.62, 1.71)	1.38 (1.35, 1.41)
4 year college	110440	21.0	10.8 (10.5, 11.0)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Master's	62503	11.9	7.8 (7.6, 8.1)	0.73 (0.70, 0.76)	0.88 (0.85, 0.91)
Professional (e.g., MD, JD)	14733	2.8	11.1 (10.4, 11.8)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)	1.08 (1.02, 1.14)
Doctorate	9975	1.9	14.6 (13.5, 15.6)	1.35 (1.25, 1.45)	1.20 (1.14, 1.26)
Missing	70064	13.3	23.7 (23.3, 24.1)	2.20 (2.14, 2.27)	1.19 (1.10, 1.27)
<b>Employment status</b>					
Work outside home	174011	33.1	20.2 (19.9, 20.4)	2.44 (2.35, 2.53)	1.33 (1.29, 1.38)
Work at home	57015	10.8	8.3 (8.0, 8.6)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Does not work for pay	221728	42.2	12.4 (12.2, 12.6)	1.50 (1.44, 1.55)	1.35 (1.30, 1.40)
Missing	72890	13.9	23.6 (23.2, 24.1)	2.86 (2.75, 2.97)	1.35 (1.26, 1.43)
<b>US Region</b>					

Midwest	125878	23.9	17.7 (17.4, 17.9)	1.51 (1.47, 1.56)	1.10 (1.07, 1.13)
South	181704	34.6	18.8 (18.6, 19.1)	1.62 (1.56, 1.67)	1.14 (1.11, 1.17)
Pacific	72997	13.9	11.7 (11.3, 12.0)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Mountain	41975	8.0	17.5 (17.0, 18.0)	1.50 (1.44, 1.57)	1.12 (1.08, 1.16)
Northeast	87740	16.7	12.2 (11.9, 12.5)	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)
Territories	190	0.0	10.7 (5.5, 15.9)	0.92 (0.47, 1.37)	0.64 (0.41, 0.86)
Missing	15160	2.9	29.8 (28.8, 30.7)	2.55 (2.44, 2.66)	<sup>e</sup>
<b>County urban classification</b>					
Large central metro	119939	22.8	11.4 (11.2, 11.7)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Large fringe metro	115240	21.9	13.9 (13.6, 14.1)	1.22 (1.18, 1.25)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
Medium metro	137628	26.2	16.4 (16.1, 16.7)	1.44 (1.40, 1.48)	1.13 (1.10, 1.16)
Small metro	57380	10.9	20.5 (20.0, 20.9)	1.80 (1.74, 1.85)	1.18 (1.14, 1.22)
Micropolitan	48869	9.3	23.6 (23.1, 24.1)	2.07 (2.00, 2.13)	1.20 (1.16, 1.23)
Non-core	31238	5.9	26.9 (26.3, 27.6)	2.36 (2.28, 2.44)	1.23 (1.19, 1.28)
Missing	15350	2.9	29.5 (28.6, 30.5)	2.59 (2.49, 2.69)	<sup>e</sup>

417 Juris Doctorate= JD; MD=Doctor of Medicine; NA=not applicable; NH=Non-Hispanic

418 <sup>a</sup> Race/ethnicity groups other than the group labeled “Hispanic” are non-Hispanic.

419 <sup>b</sup> A series of weighted Poisson regression models with robust error variance were used to estimate the  
420 risk ratios.

421 <sup>c</sup> Adjusted risk ratios were estimated from a single Poisson regression model including all covariates and  
422 an interaction term for age group and race/ethnicity.

423 <sup>d</sup> Due to an interaction between age group and race/ethnicity, adjusted relative risks from the  
424 multivariable model are reported in supporting information (age group stratified by race in sTable 5;  
425 race/ethnicity by age group in sTable 6).

426 <sup>e</sup> Reliable estimates could not be calculated for the missing category for variables based on participants’  
427 zip code, due to collinearity.

428 **Table 2.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by political/ COVID-19 environment, health  
 429 status, beliefs and behaviors among US adults (N=525,644)

	Sample		COVID-19 vaccine hesitant		
	N	%	% (95% CI)	RR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Adj. RR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>
<b>State governor's political party</b>					
Democratic	280671	53.4	14.0 (13.8, 14.2)	1.0 (NA)	<sup>c</sup>
Republican	228790	43.5	18.8 (18.6, 19.0)	1.34 (1.32, 1.36)	
Missing	16183	3.1	28.4 (27.4, 29.3)	2.03 (1.95, 2.10)	
<b>County Trump vote total minus Biden vote total in 2020 presidential election</b>					
Lowest quartile	341190	64.9	12.4 (12.3, 12.6)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Second lowest quartile	100974	19.2	21.4 (21.1, 21.8)	1.73 (1.69, 1.76)	1.28 (1.25, 1.30)
Second highest quartile	47061	9.0	27.0 (26.5, 27.6)	2.18 (2.12, 2.23)	1.35 (1.31, 1.38)
Highest quartile	19531	3.7	31.9 (31.1, 32.8)	2.57 (2.50, 2.65)	1.44 (1.40, 1.49)
Missing	16888	3.2	29.0 (28.1, 29.9)	2.34 (2.25, 2.42)	1.15 (1.02, 1.28)
<b>County COVID-19 April 2021 county death rate</b>					
Lowest quartile	25972	4.9	23.8 (23.2, 24.5)	1.0 (NA)	-
Second lowest quartile	167874	31.9	15.8 (15.5, 16.0)	0.66 (0.64, 0.68)	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)
Second highest quartile	213276	40.6	15.3 (15.1, 15.5)	0.64 (0.62, 0.66)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)
Highest quartile	103140	19.6	16.7 (16.4, 17.0)	0.70 (0.68, 0.73)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)
Missing	15382	2.9	29.5 (28.5, 30.5)	1.24 (1.18, 1.29)	<sup>d</sup>
<b>Ever tested positive for COVID-19</b>					
Yes	55306	10.5	20.2 (19.8, 20.6)	1.26 (1.23, 1.29)	1.11 (1.09, 1.14)
No or unsure	467169	88.9	16.1 (15.9, 16.2)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
No response	3169	0.6	17.5 (15.7, 19.2)	1.09 (0.98, 1.20)	0.91 (0.83, 0.99)
<b>Ever diagnosed with high-risk medical condition</b>					
One or more conditions	322188	61.3	13.3 (13.2, 13.5)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
No conditions	182782	34.8	18.7 (18.5, 19.0)	1.41 (1.38, 1.43)	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)
Missing	20674	3.9	35.5 (34.6, 36.3)	2.66 (2.59, 2.74)	1.71 (1.66, 1.76)
<b>Extent worried that you or someone in immediate family might become seriously ill from COVID-19</b>					
Worried	209073	39.8	8.7 (8.6, 8.9)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
Not too worried	164156	31.2	13.5 (13.3, 13.7)	1.55 (1.51, 1.59)	1.31 (1.28, 1.34)
Not worried at all	96414	18.3	31.9 (31.5, 32.3)	3.66 (3.58, 3.74)	1.79 (1.75, 1.83)
Missing	56001	10.7	24.7 (24.2, 25.2)	2.84 (2.76, 2.92)	1.31 (1.11, 1.52)
<b>Lives with someone or is 65 years or older, including self</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	207265	39.4	11.6 (11.4, 11.8)	1.0 (NA)	
<b>No</b>	203619	38.7	17.7 (17.5, 17.9)	1.53 (1.49, 1.56)	1.08 (1.05, 1.11)
<b>No response</b>	114760	21.8	20.9 (20.6, 21.2)	1.80 (1.76, 1.84)	1.11 (1.09, 1.14)
<b>Past-year flu vaccine</b>					
Yes	279745	53.2	5.5 (5.4, 5.6)	1.0 (NA)	1.0 (NA)
No or unsure	190331	36.2	27.2 (26.9, 27.5)	4.97 (4.85, 5.08)	3.27 (3.19, 3.35)
Missing	55568	10.6	24.8 (24.3, 25.3)	4.53 (4.40, 4.66)	2.11 (1.76, 2.46)
<b>Extent intentionally avoiding contact with others</b>					

All of the time	66728	12.7	10.8 (10.5, 11.1)	1.0 (NA)	-
Most of the time	141838	27.0	8.4 (8.2, 8.6)	0.77 (0.75, 0.80)	0.88 (0.85, 0.91)
Some of the time	186720	35.5	8.9 (8.7, 9.0)	0.82 (0.79, 0.85)	0.89 (0.86, 0.92)
None of the time	83338	15.9	42.8 (42.4, 43.3)	3.96 (3.84, 4.07)	2.45 (2.37, 2.53)
Missing	47020	8.9	26.1 (25.5, 26.6)	2.41 (2.33, 2.49)	1.46 (1.38, 1.55)

430 NA=not applicable

431 <sup>a</sup> A series of weighted Poisson regression models with robust error variance were used to estimate the  
432 risk ratios.

433 <sup>b</sup> Adjusted risk ratios were estimated from a single Poisson regression model including all covariates and  
434 an interaction term for age group and race/ethnicity.

435 <sup>c</sup> State governor's political party was excluded from the multivariable model due to collinearity with  
436 county Trump vote share.

437 <sup>d</sup> Reliable estimates could not be calculated for the missing category for variables based on participants'  
438 zip code

439

440

441 **Table 3.** Reasons for not getting the COVID-19 vaccine in May, 2021, by vaccine intent level  
 442 among US adults (N=87,984)<sup>a</sup>

	<b>Likelihood of accepting the COVID-19 vaccine if offered it today</b>			
	<b>Hesitant (Definitely/ probably not)</b>	<b>Definitely not</b>	<b>Probably not</b>	<b>Probably yes</b>
	<b>N = 73362</b>	<b>N = 46576</b>	<b>N = 26786</b>	<b>N = 14622</b>
	<b>% (95% CI)</b>			
<b>Concerned about possible side effects</b>	49.2 (48.7, 49.7)	49.1 (48.5, 49.7)	49.4 (48.6, 50.1)	49.4 (48.4, 50.4)
<b>Don't trust COVID-19 vaccines</b>	48.4 (48.0, 48.9)	59.2 (58.6, 59.8)	29.3 (28.6, 30.0)	13.0 (12.3, 13.8)
<b>Don't trust the government</b>	41.5 (41.1, 42.0)	51.2 (50.6, 51.7)	24.4 (23.7, 25.1)	14.0 (13.2, 14.8)
<b>Don't believe I need it</b>	38.1 (37.7, 38.6)	46.7 (46.1, 47.3)	22.9 (22.2, 23.5)	7.5 (6.9, 8.1)
<b>Plan to wait and to see if safe</b>	34.4 (33.9, 34.8)	24.3 (23.8, 24.8)	52.3 (51.5, 53.1)	46.9 (45.9, 48.0)
<b>Concerned about an allergic reaction</b>	23.9 (23.5, 24.3)	23.0 (22.5, 23.5)	25.6 (25.0, 26.3)	28.5 (27.5, 29.4)
<b>Don't know if it will work</b>	21.9 (21.5, 22.2)	23.3 (22.8, 23.8)	19.3 (18.7, 19.9)	17.0 (16.2, 17.8)
<b>Don't like vaccines</b>	14.7 (14.4, 15.1)	17.6 (17.1, 18.0)	9.6 (9.2, 10.1)	7.6 (7.0, 8.2)
<b>Other people need it more</b>	12.6 (12.3, 13.0)	9.1 (8.7, 9.5)	18.9 (18.3, 19.6)	25.1 (24.1, 26.0)
<b>Safety concern because of my health condition</b>	12.2 (11.9, 12.4)	11.6 (11.2, 11.9)	13.2 (12.7, 13.6)	13.4 (12.7, 14.0)
<b>Doctor has not recommended</b>	9.3 (9.0, 9.6)	10.1 (9.7, 10.4)	8.0 (7.6, 8.4)	6.8 (6.3, 7.3)
<b>Against religious beliefs</b>	8.2 (7.9, 8.5)	11.2 (10.8, 11.6)	2.9 (2.7, 3.2)	1.2 (0.9, 1.4)
<b>Currently/planning to be pregnant/breastfeeding</b>	6.7 (6.4, 6.9)	6.5 (6.2, 6.8)	6.9 (6.5, 7.3)	4.8 (4.4, 5.2)
<b>Concerned about cost</b>	3.2 (3.0, 3.4)	3.2 (2.9, 3.5)	3.3 (3.0, 3.6)	7.9 (7.3, 8.6)
<b>Other</b>	16.2 (15.8, 16.5)	18.6 (18.2, 19.1)	11.9 (11.4, 12.3)	11.7 (11.1, 12.4)

443 <sup>a</sup> Excludes adults who already were vaccinated or reported “definitely yes” to intent question.

444

## 445   **References**

- 446   1.   Loftus P, West MG, Hopkins JS. First Covid-19 Vaccine Given to U.S. Public [Internet]. MSN.  
447       [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from: [https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/first-covid-19-](https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/first-covid-19-vaccine-given-to-us-public/ar-BB1bUDkJ)  
448       [vaccine-given-to-us-public/ar-BB1bUDkJ](https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/first-covid-19-vaccine-given-to-us-public/ar-BB1bUDkJ)
  
- 449   2.   Hinton RDM. Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine EUA Letter of Authorization reissued  
450       [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from:  
451       <https://www.fda.gov/media/144412/download>
  
- 452   3.   Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID Data Tracker [Internet]. Centers for  
453       Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from:  
454       <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker>
  
- 455   4.   Liptak K, Zeleny J, Harwood J. Biden now says US will have enough vaccine for every adult  
456       by the end of May [Internet]. CNN. [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from:  
457       [https://www.cnn.com/2021/03/02/politics/biden-merck-johnson--johnson-](https://www.cnn.com/2021/03/02/politics/biden-merck-johnson--johnson-vaccine/index.html)  
458       [vaccine/index.html](https://www.cnn.com/2021/03/02/politics/biden-merck-johnson--johnson-vaccine/index.html)
  
- 459   5.   Office of the Commissioner. Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. FDA [Internet]. 2021 Jun  
460       11 [cited 2021 Jun 25]; Available from: [https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-](https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine)  
461       [and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine](https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine)
  
- 462   6.   Rearden C. Gov. Wolf announces effort to get COVID-19 vaccines to homebound  
463       individuals [Internet]. WFMZ.com. [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from:  
464       [https://www.wfmz.com/health/coronavirus/gov-wolf-announces-effort-to-get-covid-19-](https://www.wfmz.com/health/coronavirus/gov-wolf-announces-effort-to-get-covid-19-vaccines-to-homebound-individuals/article_f13537dc-a6d6-11eb-a00c-5f21dab164af.html)  
465       [vaccines-to-homebound-individuals/article\\_f13537dc-a6d6-11eb-a00c-5f21dab164af.html](https://www.wfmz.com/health/coronavirus/gov-wolf-announces-effort-to-get-covid-19-vaccines-to-homebound-individuals/article_f13537dc-a6d6-11eb-a00c-5f21dab164af.html)
  
- 466   7.   Pietsch B. Dracula’s Castle Is Now a Vaccination Site - The New York Times [Internet]. 2021  
467       [cited 2021 Jun 25]. Available from: [https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/12/us/covid-](https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/12/us/covid-vaccine-unusual-location.html)  
468       [vaccine-unusual-location.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/12/us/covid-vaccine-unusual-location.html)
  
- 469   8.   CDC. COVID Data Tracker [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020  
470       [cited 2021 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker>
  
- 471   9.   King WC, Rubinstein M, Reinhart A, Mejia R. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy January-May  
472       2021 among 18–64 year old US adults by employment and occupation. Preventive  
473       Medicine Reports. 2021 Dec 1;24:101569.
  
- 474   10.   Bosman J, Hoffman J, Sanger-Katz M, Arango T. Who Are the Unvaccinated in America?  
475       There’s No One Answer. The New York Times [Internet]. 2021 Jul 31 [cited 2021 Aug 1];  
476       Available from: [https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/31/us/virus-unvaccinated-](https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/31/us/virus-unvaccinated-americans.html)  
477       [americans.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2021/07/31/us/virus-unvaccinated-americans.html)

- 478 11. Sun A, Heyward G. American Hospitals Buckle Under Delta, With I.C.U.s Filling Up. The  
479 New York Times [Internet]. 2021 Aug 17 [cited 2021 Aug 19]; Available from:  
480 <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/08/17/us/covid-delta-hospitalizations.html>
- 481 12. Hernandez J. Alabama Hospitals Have Run Out Of ICU Beds As COVID-19 Cases Surge. NPR  
482 [Internet]. 2021 Aug 19 [cited 2021 Aug 19]; Available from:  
483 <https://www.npr.org/2021/08/19/1029260134/alabama-hospitals-icu-beds>
- 484 13. Daly M, Jones A, Robinson E. Public Trust and Willingness to Vaccinate Against COVID-19 in  
485 the US From October 14, 2020, to March 29, 2021. JAMA. 2021 Jun 15;325(23):2397.
- 486 14. Salomon JA, Reinhart A, Bilinski A, Chua EJ, Motte-Kerr WL, Rönn MM, et al. The U.S.  
487 COVID-19 Trends and Impact Survey, 2020-2021: Continuous real-time measurement of  
488 COVID-19 symptoms, risks, protective behaviors, testing and vaccination. Proceedings of  
489 the National Academy of Sciences. 2021;in press.
- 490 15. Barkay N, Cobb C, Eilat R, Galili T, Haimovich D, LaRocca S, et al. Weights and Methodology  
491 Brief for the COVID-19 Symptom Survey by University of Maryland and Carnegie Mellon  
492 University, in Partnership with Facebook. arXiv:200914675 [cs] [Internet]. 2020 Oct 6  
493 [cited 2021 May 26]; Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.14675>
- 494 16. Baack BN. COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage and Intent Among Adults Aged 18–39 Years —  
495 United States, March–May 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep [Internet]. 2021 [cited  
496 2021 Aug 2];70. Available from:  
497 <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7025e2.htm>
- 498 17. US Census Bureau. Race/Ethnicity and the 2020 Census [Internet]. Census 20/20. [cited  
499 2021 Aug 2]. Available from: [https://www.census2020now.org/faces-blog/same-sex-](https://www.census2020now.org/faces-blog/same-sex-households-2020-census-r3976)  
500 [households-2020-census-r3976](https://www.census2020now.org/faces-blog/same-sex-households-2020-census-r3976)
- 501 18. American Community Survey. Census - Table Results [Internet]. [cited 2021 Aug 2].  
502 Available from: [https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?d=ACS%201-](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?d=ACS%201-Year%20Estimates%20Data%20Profiles&tid=ACSDP1Y2019.DP05)  
503 [Year%20Estimates%20Data%20Profiles&tid=ACSDP1Y2019.DP05](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?d=ACS%201-Year%20Estimates%20Data%20Profiles&tid=ACSDP1Y2019.DP05)
- 504 19. US Census Bureau. Educational Attainment [Internet]. The United States Census Bureau.  
505 [cited 2021 Aug 12]. Available from:  
506 <https://www.census.gov/topics/education/educational-attainment/about.html>
- 507 20. US Census Bureau. Geographic Areas Reference Manual (Ch.6) [Internet]. The United  
508 States Census Bureau. [cited 2021 Jun 25]. Available from:  
509 [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geographic-areas-](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geographic-areas-reference-manual.html)  
510 [reference-manual.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geographic-areas-reference-manual.html)
- 511 21. CDC. COVID Data Tracker (Vaccination) [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and  
512 Prevention. 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 17]. Available from: [https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-](https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker)  
513 [tracker](https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker)

- 514 22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Data Access - Urban Rural Classification  
515 Scheme for Counties [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Jun 25]. Available from:  
516 [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data\\_access/urban\\_rural.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/urban_rural.htm)
- 517 23. JHU Cases and Deaths [Internet]. Delphi Epidata API. [cited 2021 Jun 25]. Available from:  
518 <https://cmu-delphi.github.io/delphi-epidata/api/covidcast-signals/jhu-csse.html>
- 519 24. New York Times. Data file [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 27]. Available from:  
520 [https://static01.nyt.com/elections-assets/2020/data/api/2020-11-03/national-map-](https://static01.nyt.com/elections-assets/2020/data/api/2020-11-03/national-map-page/national/president.json)  
521 [page/national/president.json](https://static01.nyt.com/elections-assets/2020/data/api/2020-11-03/national-map-page/national/president.json)
- 522 25. Partisan composition of governors [Internet]. Ballotpedia. [cited 2021 Jun 25]. Available  
523 from: [https://ballotpedia.org/Partisan\\_composition\\_of\\_governors](https://ballotpedia.org/Partisan_composition_of_governors)
- 524 26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Underlying Medical Conditions Associated  
525 with High Risk for Severe COVID-19: Information for Healthcare Providers [Internet].  
526 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from:  
527 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/underlyingconditions.html>
- 528 27. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data.  
529 *Am J Epidemiol.* 2004 Apr 1;159(7):702–6.
- 530 28. Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an  
531 empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Medical*  
532 *Research Methodology.* 2003 Oct 20;3(1):21.
- 533 29. Freedman DA. On The So-Called “Huber Sandwich Estimator” and “Robust Standard  
534 Errors.” *The American Statistician.* 2006 Nov 1;60(4):299–302.
- 535 30. Egede LE, Walker RJ. Structural Racism, Social Risk Factors, and Covid-19 — A Dangerous  
536 Convergence for Black Americans. *N Engl J Med.* 2020 Sep 17;383(12):e77.
- 537 31. Rentsch CT, Kidwai-Khan F, Tate JP, Park LS, King JT, Skanderson M, et al. Patterns of  
538 COVID-19 testing and mortality by race and ethnicity among United States veterans:  
539 A nationwide cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 2020 Sep;17(9):e1003379.
- 540 32. Gu T, Mack JA, Salvatore M, Prabhu Sankar S, Valley TS, Singh K, et al. Characteristics  
541 Associated With Racial/Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Outcomes in an Academic Health  
542 Care System. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020 Oct 21;3(10):e2025197.
- 543 33. Jimenez ME, Rivera-Núñez Z, Crabtree BF, Hill D, Pellerano MB, Devance D, et al. Black and  
544 Latinx Community Perspectives on COVID-19 Mitigation Behaviors, Testing, and Vaccines.  
545 *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021 Jul 15;4(7):e2117074.

- 546 34. Carson SL, Casillas A, Castellon-Lopez Y, Mansfield LN, Morris D, Barron J, et al. COVID-19  
547 Vaccine Decision-making Factors in Racial and Ethnic Minority Communities in Los  
548 Angeles, California. *JAMA Network Open*. 2021 Sep 30;4(9):e2127582.
- 549 35. Lopez-Villafana A. Black nurses bring vaccine to community centers, churches to target  
550 under-served people [Internet]. *San Diego Union-Tribune*. 2021 [cited 2021 Jun 25].  
551 Available from: [https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/communities/san-](https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/communities/san-diego/story/2021-03-17/san-diegos-black-nurses-focus-on-vaccinating-black-residents-in-southeastern-san-diego)  
552 [diego/story/2021-03-17/san-diegos-black-nurses-focus-on-vaccinating-black-residents-in-](https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/communities/san-diego/story/2021-03-17/san-diegos-black-nurses-focus-on-vaccinating-black-residents-in-southeastern-san-diego)  
553 [southeastern-san-diego](https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/communities/san-diego/story/2021-03-17/san-diegos-black-nurses-focus-on-vaccinating-black-residents-in-southeastern-san-diego)
- 554 36. Njoku A, Joseph M, Felix R. Changing the Narrative: Structural Barriers and Racial and  
555 Ethnic Inequities in COVID-19 Vaccination. *International Journal of Environmental*  
556 *Research and Public Health*. 2021 Jan;18(18):9904.
- 557 37. US Census Bureau. American Community Survey 5-Year Data (2009-2019) [Internet]. The  
558 United States Census Bureau. [cited 2021 Jun 27]. Available from:  
559 <https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-5year.html>
- 560 38. Lessler J, Grabowski MK, Grantz KH, Badillo-Goicoechea E, Metcalf CJE, Lupton-Smith C, et  
561 al. Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. *Science*. 2021 Jun  
562 4;372(6546):1092–7.
- 563 39. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. IHME | COVID-19 Projections [Internet].  
564 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. [cited 2021 Jul 9]. Available from:  
565 <https://covid19.healthdata.org/>
- 566 40. Fisher KA, Bloomstone SJ, Walder J, Crawford S, Fouayzi H, Mazor KM. Attitudes Toward a  
567 Potential SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine: A Survey of U.S. Adults. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020 Dec  
568 15;173(12):964–73.
- 569 41. Reiter PL, Pennell ML, Katz ML. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults in the  
570 United States: How many people would get vaccinated? *Vaccine*. 2020 Sep  
571 29;38(42):6500–7.
- 572 42. Head KJ, Kasting ML, Sturm LA, Hartsock JA, Zimet GD. A National Survey Assessing SARS-  
573 CoV-2 Vaccination Intentions: Implications for Future Public Health Communication  
574 Efforts. *Science Communication*. 2020 Oct;42(5):698–723.
- 575 43. Szilagyi PG, Thomas K, Shah MD, Vizueta N, Cui Y, Vangala S, et al. National Trends in the  
576 US Public’s Likelihood of Getting a COVID-19 Vaccine—April 1 to December 8, 2020. *JAMA*.  
577 2021 Jan 26;325(4):396.
- 578 44. Morens DM, Fauci AS. Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19. *Cell*. 2020  
579 Sep 3;182(5):1077–92.

580

## 581 **Supporting Information**

582 **sAppendix.** Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) Delphi Group's COVID Trends and Impact Survey (CTIS):  
583 select questions and response sets.

584 **sTable 1.** Participant flow for Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) Delphi Group's COVID Trends and  
585 Impact Survey (CTIS) by month (January-May, 2021)

586 **sTable 2.** Weighted demographics of the report sample by month. The distribution of responses is  
587 provided including and excluding missing responses for each item.

588 **sTable 3.** COVID-19 vaccine receipt and intent by month (January-May, 2021), among US adults

589 **sTable 4.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by race/ethnicity, education level, US region and county Trump  
590 vote share in the 2020 presidential election, respectively, by month (January-May, 2021), among US  
591 adults

592 **sTable 5.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by age groups, stratified by race/ethnicity<sup>a</sup> among US  
593 adults

594 **sTable 6.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by race/ethnicity<sup>a</sup>, stratified by age groups, among  
595 US adults

596 **sTable 7.** COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by health condition and status<sup>a</sup> among US adults


597 **sTable 8.** Sensitivity analysis: COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 by demographics among US  
598 adults, including those that self-described gender (N=529,658)

599 **sTable 9.** Sensitivity analysis: COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 2021 political/by COVID-19  
600 environment, health status, beliefs and behaviors among US adults, including those that self-described  
601 gender (N=529,658)

602 **sTable 10.** Reasons for COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in May 21 by race/ethnicity<sup>a</sup> among hesitant US  
603 adults (N=73362)<sup>b</sup>

604


Vaccine intent (%; 95% CI error bars)




Would you take the COVID-19 vaccine if offered today?

- Already vaccinated
- Yes, definitely
- Yes, probably
- No, probably not
- No, definitely not


A




B



C



D



# Race/ethnicity

White

Hispanic

Black


Asian

Native American

Pacific Islander

75 or more years  
65-74 years  
55-64 years  
45-54 years  
35-44 years  
25-34 years  
18-24 years

75 or more years  
65-74 years  
55-64 years  
45-54 years  
35-44 years  
25-34 years  
18-24 years



Vaccine hesitant (%; 95% CI error bars)