Subgrouping School-Aged Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder Based on Co-Occurring Psychopathology

Antonia M. H. Piergies*†, Tomoya Hirota*, Rei Mondenb,c, Shuting Zheng*

aDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavior Sciences, Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, 401 Parnassus Ave, San Francisco, CA, 94143, USA; antonia.piergies@ucsf.edu (A. M. H. P.); tomoya.hirota@ucsf.edu (T. H.); shuting.zheng@ucsf.edu (S. Z.)
bDepartment of Biomedical Statistics, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2; Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka, 565-0871, Japan; monden@biostat.med.osaka-u.ac.jp (R. M.)
cUniversity of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion Regulation (ICPE), Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, Netherlands

†Corresponding author

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Abstract

Background: Phenotypic heterogeneity within autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is compounded by co-occurring psychopathology. Deriving subgroups of individuals with ASD based on co-occurring psychopathology could better our understanding of symptom patterns existing within this population. This study’s goals were to derive subgroups of school-aged children with ASD based on co-occurring psychopathology while controlling for age and sex and to examine correlates of subgroup membership while controlling for ASD symptom severity. Method: Latent class models were estimated with a sample from the Simons Simplex Collection (n = 2,087) using borderline/clinical versus normal range data from five of the DSM-Oriented Scales of the Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 6 to 18 as indicator variables. We evaluated the predictive value of nonverbal IQ (NVIQ) < 70, sleep disturbance, allergies/autoimmune disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, and neurological disorders on subgroup membership using multinomial logistic regression. Results: Four subgroups emerged: “Low Psychopathology” (41.02%), “Externalizing Problems” (12.36%), “Internalizing Problems” (31.58%), and “High Psychopathology” (15.05%). The most significant findings were that NVIQ < 70 was associated with decreased odds of belonging to the “Internalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups over the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup, while sleep disturbance and gastrointestinal disorders were associated with increased odds of belonging to the “Externalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups. Neurological disorders was also associated with increased odds of belonging to the “Externalizing Problems” subgroup. Conclusion: Patterns of psychopathology exist within school-aged children with ASD and are correlated with NVIQ < 70, sleep disturbance, as well as medical conditions, providing guidance for clinical practice and etiology-driven research.
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Introduction

Co-occurring psychopathology is prevalent among children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD; Rosen et al., 2018), with 70-91% meeting diagnostic criteria for at least one psychiatric disorder at some point during their lifetimes (Leyfer et al., 2006; Simonoff et al., 2008; Salazar et al., 2015; Mosner et al., 2019). Common co-occurring psychiatric disorders include anxiety disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), depressive disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), and oppositional defiant disorder (ODD; Simonoff et al., 2008; Gjevik et al., 2011; Lai et al., 2019).

The presence of psychiatric disorders has been linked to increased impairments in adaptive, social, and academic functioning (Kim et al., 2000; Sikora et al., 2012; Kaat et al., 2013), and can make diagnosing ASD and treating related symptoms more challenging (Levy et al., 2010; Rosen et al., 2018). For example, the presence of internalizing and externalizing problems—those that are internally- (e.g., anxiety problems) and externally-driven (e.g., conduct problems), respectively—has been shown to impact the sensitivity and specificity of commonly-used ASD symptom measures, including the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R), the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (MCHAT), and the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS; Havdahl et al., 2016; Colombi et al., 2019; Christopher et al., 2020). Additionally, the presence of internalizing and externalizing problems appears to affect treatment outcomes. For example, in one study, children with ASD and ADHD reportedly did not respond to a ten-week social skills training, whereas those with ASD and an anxiety disorder or ASD alone did (Antshel et al., 2011).

Co-occurring psychopathology further contributes to the phenotypic heterogeneity of ASD. On the one hand, co-occurring psychopathology can exacerbate core ASD symptoms (Wood & Gadow, 2010; Sprenger et al., 2013) and symptoms associated with other disorders (Sinzig et al., 2008). For example, compared to children with ASD or ADHD alone, children with co-occurring ASD and ADHD present with greater attention and social deficits (Sinzig et al., 2008; Sprenger et al., 2013), and those with an anxiety or a depressive disorder present with more restricted/repetitive behaviors and social withdrawal (Chandrasekhar & Sikich, 2015; Muskett et al., 2019). On the other hand, co-occurring psychopathology can make associated symptoms, such as anxiety, present atypically (Kerns & Kendall, 2012). Given that some psychiatric disorders are more likely to present together in the same child than others—for
example, an ADHD diagnosis is often accompanied by diagnoses of an anxiety disorder, a mood disorder, or ODD (Gadow et al., 2008a; Gordon-Lipkin et al., 2018)—patterns of psychopathology are suspected to exist in this population. Deriving subgroups based on co-occurring psychopathology could facilitate the identification of symptom patterns in this population, which could in turn be used in future studies to better our understanding of the shared and distinct mechanisms underlying specific psychopathology profiles. Transdiagnostic constructs, in particular, could prove useful to prevention, screening, detection, and intervention efforts and lead to more efficient delivery of services. For example, shifting the focus of psychiatric symptom measures away from diagnostic categories and towards constructs that are impaired across disorders would allow for treatments that are both more tailored to one’s needs and more generalizable along the autism spectrum, as children with ASD often present with multiple co-occurring psychiatric disorders (Simonoff et al., 2008).

One useful technique for deriving subgroups is latent class analysis (LCA; Porcu & Giambona, 2017), a person-centered approach that assigns individuals to otherwise unobserved classes based on a combination of observed categorical variables. Several studies have used person-centered approaches when attempting to disentangle the heterogeneity in the presentation of ASD’s core symptoms (Gotham et al., 2012; Lord et al., 2012; James et al., 2016; Lerner et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2019; Harris et al., 2021) and other developmental and behavioral characteristics (Landa et al., 2012; Wiggins et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). However, relatively little attention in the subgrouping literature has been given to co-occurring psychopathology, which has been recognized as an area of high importance to the autism community (Lombardo et al., 2019). The few studies that have considered co-occurring psychopathology when subgrouping children with ASD are limited in that they either focused on older, school-aged children (≥ 15 years) and aggregated all psychiatric symptoms into a single, large category (Doshi-Velez et al., 2014) or focused on younger, preschool-aged children and kept psychiatric symptoms separated (Wiggins et al., 2017; Nordahl et al., 2020). Additionally, all these studies included other child-level indicator variables (e.g., adaptive functioning, cognitive development) in their models along with psychopathology. Although these studies have demonstrated the potential for identifying more homogeneous ASD subgroups, no study has systematically examined whether meaningful subgroups of children within the entire school-aged range (six to 18 years)—a period in which most psychiatric
disorders emerge, transitioning from risk to disorder as children undergo physiological and neurodevelopmental changes (e.g., puberty) and are exposed to a myriad of environmental stimuli (e.g., interactions with caregivers at home, teacher and peer interactions at school)—can be formed based solely on patterns of psychopathology (Solmi et al., 2021).

The presentation of co-occurring psychopathology in children with ASD is complex and can be influenced by various factors, such as cognitive ability, sleep duration, and medical history. Regarding cognitive ability, some studies show that children with ASD and cognitive impairment experience more anxiety and exhibit more challenging behaviors (Bradley et al., 2004; O'Brien & Pearson, 2004; Murphy et al., 2009), while others suggest more internalizing and externalizing problems in those with average or above average cognitive ability (Gadow et al., 2005; Gadow et al., 2008b; van Steensel et al. 2011; Gjevik et al., 2011; Strang et al., 2012). With regard to sleep duration, sleep disturbances, especially insomnia, have been associated with increased internalizing and externalizing problems, namely attention difficulties, anxiety, and mood dysregulation, in children with ASD (Park et al., 2012; Richdale & Baglin, 2015; Veatch et al., 2017).

It is estimated that 10-77% of children with ASD have a co-occurring medical condition, with gastrointestinal disorders, neurological disorders, and allergies/autoimmune disorders being among the most well-studied (Muskens, et al., 2017). The presence of medical conditions, especially gastrointestinal and neurological disorders, has also been positively associated with internalizing and externalizing problems in children with ASD (Gadow et al., 2008b; Simonoff et al., 2008; Mannion & Leader, 2013; Mazefsky et al., 2014; Fulceri et al., 2016; Weber & Gadow, 2017; Restrepo et al., 2020). However, several studies have not found significant associations between other medical conditions (e.g., allergies/autoimmune disorders) and co-occurring psychopathology in children with ASD (Ming et al., 2008; Weber & Gadow, 2017). Considering these mixed findings, more research is needed to investigate the relationship between child-level factors and co-occurring psychopathology, especially that in the form of psychopathology-based subgroups.

In addition, age and sex have been shown to differentially affect the presentation of co-occurring psychopathology in children with ASD. Older children seem to be at higher risk for internalizing and externalizing problems than younger children (Fodstad et al., 2010; Salazar et al., 2015; Soke et al.,
2018), meanwhile more internalizing problems are reported in females, and more externalizing problems are reported in males (Giarelli et al. 2010; Solomon et al., 2012; Salazar et al., 2015). These studies imply that age and sex should be carefully controlled during investigations of co-occurring psychopathology in children with ASD. Lastly, there is emerging but inconsistent evidence of a relationship between ASD symptom severity and co-occurring psychopathology, cognitive impairment, sleep disturbance, and medical conditions, with studies reporting positive (Yoshida & Uchiyama, 2004; Jang et al., 2010; Snow & Lecavalier, 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Ewen et al., 2019; Lindor et al., 2019) and negative (Mosner et al., 2020) relationships as well as no relationship (Simonoff et al., 2013; Louwerse et al., 2015; Lindor et al., 2019). Therefore, studies probing whether these factors influence the presentation of co-occurring psychopathology should control for ASD symptom severity.

The purpose of this study was to derive subgroups of school-aged children with ASD based on the presence of co-occurring psychopathology—psychiatric symptoms that surpass cutoffs on the Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 6 to 18 (CBCL 6/18)—while controlling for age and sex and to use multinomial logistic regression, controlling for ASD symptom severity, to examine predictors of subgroup membership, focusing on the presence of cognitive impairment, sleep disturbance, and three groups of medical conditions: allergies/autoimmune disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, and neurological disorders.

Methods

Participants

Data were obtained from the Simons Simplex Collection (SSC; version 15). The data collection process has been described in detail elsewhere (Fischbach & Lord, 2010) and briefly summarized below. Phenotypic data were collected over a six-month period from more than 2,700 families that were already receiving services from one of twelve university-affiliated clinics. Each family was composed of a single child with ASD (i.e., the proband), their unaffected parents, and their unaffected sibling(s). To be included in the SSC, probands needed to be English-speaking, older than four years old, and younger than 18 years old. They also needed to have a best-estimate diagnosis of ASD and surpass designated cutoffs on the ADI-R, the ADOS, and a measure of nonverbal cognitive ability. Informed consent was obtained at each data collection site included in the SSC.
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Figure 1 illustrates the participant selection process for all analyses. Our original analytic sample consisted of 2,184 school-aged children with ASD (aged six to 18 years old) whose caregivers had completed a CBCL/6-18. For the LCA, 97 (4.44%) of the participants were excluded because they had missing indicator variable data and/or covariate data (described below), resulting in a sample of 2,087 (95.56%) children. Further, 36 (1.72% of 2,087) participants from the LCA sample were excluded from the multinomial logistic regression due to missing predictor variable data, resulting in a sample of 2,051 (93.91%) children. An additional 97 (4.73% of 2,051) participants were excluded from this analysis because they were considered outliers, having standardized residuals > |2| and therefore violating the assumptions of multinomial logistic regression. Thus, the final sample consisted of 1,954 (89.47%) children.

Measures

Indicator Variables

The presence of co-occurring psychopathology was determined using the DSM-Oriented Scales of the caregiver-report form of the CBCL/6-18. The scales are comprised of items that describe specific problem behaviors and are first rated 0 (not true) to 2 (often or very true) by caregivers and then converted to T-scores based on raw score totals. Although the DSM-Oriented Scales are dimensional measures of symptom levels, for the purpose of the current analysis, we dichotomized T-scores based on the recommended cutoffs separating the borderline/clinical (elevated levels of psychopathology defined by T-scores ≥ 65) and normal ranges (absence of psychopathology defined by T-scores < 65) (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001). We estimated latent class models using five of the six DSM-Oriented Scales: ADHD Problems, Affective Problems, Anxiety Problems, Conduct Problems, and Oppositional Defiant Problems. We excluded the Somatic Problems scale from our analysis given previously-reported concerns around its psychometric properties (Ebesutani et al., 2009) and its overlap with other scales. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis, using all six scales as indicator variables in our LCA and found little added value when the Somatic Problems scale was included (Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Figure 1) and observed substantial agreement between class assignments from LCAs with and without the Somatic Problems scale (kappa > 0.80; Cohen, 1960).

Predictor Variables
Nonverbal IQ was assessed using one of four measures included in the SSC data collection process, the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (Mullen, 1995), the Differential Ability Scales-II (Elliott, 2007), the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-IV, or the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (Mullen, 1995; Wechsler, 1999; Weschler, 2003). Of note, for the Mullen, NVIQ was calculated by averaging age-equivalent scores from the Fine Motor and Visual Reception scales, dividing this average by the child’s chronological age, and multiplying this quotient by 100 (Bishop et al., 2011). The presence of sleep disturbance was determined by following the methods of a previous SSC study (Veatch et al., 2017); children whose current sleep duration fell outside of the recommended age-based ranges, per the American Academy of Pediatrics (Paruthi et al., 2016), were considered to have a sleep disturbance. A manually cleaned version of this variable has been made available (Supplementary Table 8).

Information about medical history was obtained from the SSC’s medical history form, indicating whether or not participants had ever had a given condition, as reported by their caregiver(s). We grouped conditions into larger categories based on the organization of the SSC’s medical history form and a previous study (Croen et al., 2015; Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). While we started with nine groups in total—"Allergies/Autoimmune Disorders," "Cancer," "Chronic Illnesses," "Diseases & Surgeries," "Gastrointestinal Disorders," "Genetic Disorders," "Hearing and Vision Problems," "Heart Disease," and "Neurological Disorders"—we ultimately included the three that were most relevant to co-occurring psychopathology in children with ASD based on a previous study (Muskens et al., 2017; see Supplementary Table 2 for each group’s endorsement rate). The variables representing these three groups were coded dichotomously, with 1 indicating that a participant had a history of at least one of the conditions within the category (i.e., responses of “true” or “diagnosed” on the SSC’s medical history form) and 0 indicating that a participant did not have a history of any condition within the category (i.e., responses of “false” or “no” on the SSC’s medical history form). Ambiguous responses, such as “not sure” or “suspected,” were also coded as 0. If all the conditions in the category were missing responses, the category was coded as "NA." The conditions in all three groups are displayed in Supplementary Table 3. The individual conditions with the highest endorsement rates in each category were: allergies and/or reactions to the environment (27.31%), food (14.37%), and medication (13.90%), asthma (10.30%),
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constipation (18.30%), diarrhea (6.71%), gastroesophageal reflux (6.61%), unusual stools (5.56%), excessively clumsy/uncoordinated (8.10%), and seizures (5.61%).

Covariates

Age was approximated using participants' age at ADOS administration. ASD symptom severity was captured using the ADOS's Calibrated Severity Scores (Gotham et al., 2009). Because CSS data couldn't be calculated for Module 4 participants at the time of collection, and were thus missing, we calculated CSS data for Module 4 participants based on the revised algorithm and added these data to our analysis (Hus & Lord, 2014).

Statistical Analyses

LCA was performed in R (version 4.0.4) using the poLCA package (Linzer & Lewis, 2013). Latent class models with one through six classes were estimated based on five binary indicator variables (the CBCL/6-18’s DSM-Oriented Scales): ADHD problems, affective problems, anxiety problems, conduct problems, and oppositional defiant problems. Age and sex were included in the models as covariates. Comparisons between models were made using goodness-of-fit criteria, including the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), and entropy. Lower AIC and BIC values and higher entropy values indicate better model fit. Additional goodness-of-fit criteria (e.g., Gsq, Llik, cAIC, aBIC) are reported in Supplementary Table 4. Models were also evaluated for interpretability (i.e., whether the derived classes were clinically relevant and distinguishable). Posterior probabilities were used to assign participants to their most likely class. Multinomial logistic regression was performed in SPSS (version 27; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) to investigate whether the derived classes could be predicted by five variables—nonverbal IQ (NVIQ) < 70, sleep disturbance, allergies/autoimmune disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, or neurological disorders—while controlling for ASD symptom severity (measured by ADOS CSS data). Residual analysis was used to check relevant assumptions: linearity, normality, and homogeneity of variance. Multicollinearity was checked using Cramer’s V. All the scripts used to run the analyses can be found here:

https://osf.io/xyvc2/?view_only=736ea37d02404383bc9125745e3c2391.

Results
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For the entire LCA sample (n = 2,087), the mean age was 10.30 years (range: 6-18, SD = 3.12) and 1,808 (86.63%) participants were male (Table 1). Additionally, the sample included 516 (24.72%) participants who had NVIQs < 70 (M = 84.48, SD = 26.28), 501 (24.01%) participants experiencing sleep disturbances, 992 (47.53%) who had histories of at least one allergy/autoimmune disorder, 664 (31.82%) who had histories of at least one gastrointestinal disorder, and 517 (24.77%) who had histories of at least one neurological disorder.

[INSERT TABLE 1]

Latent Class Analysis

The results of the LCA (Table 2), which controlled for age and sex, showed that a four-class model was optimal, with the smallest AIC and BIC. None of the entropy values were close to zero, indicating that classes were well-separated (Zhang et al., 2018). The four-class model (Figure 2) showed clear differentiation between co-occurring psychopathology patterns among children and adolescents with ASD, the characteristics of which are summarized in Table 3. We also performed an LCA which repeated model estimation 1,000 times using a subset of 1,000 participants as a validation sample, which suggested either a three- or four-class solution (Supplementary Table 4, Figure 2). The “Low Psychopathology” subgroup was characterized by the lowest percentage of participants with borderline/clinical levels of all problems. Compared to the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup, the “Externalizing Problems” subgroup had more participants with borderline/clinical levels of all problems, mainly ADHD, conduct, and oppositional defiant problems. The “Internalizing Problems” subgroup had fewer participants with borderline/clinical levels of ADHD, conduct, and oppositional defiant problems but more participants with borderline/clinical levels of affective and anxiety problems than the “Externalizing Problems” subgroup. The “High Psychopathology” subgroup was characterized by the highest percentage of participants with borderline/clinical levels of all problems.

[INSERT TABLE 2]

[INSERT FIGURE 2]

Multinomial Logistic Regression

The results of the multinomial logistic regression are presented in Table 3. Comparisons were made while controlling for ASD symptom severity and using the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup as the
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reference. NVIQ < 70 (p < .001), sleep disturbance (p < .001), allergies/autoimmune disorders (p < .05),
gastrointestinal disorders (p < .001), and neurological disorders (p < .001) were all found to be significant
predictors of subgroup membership. Moreover, almost all these variables were significantly associated
with belonging to the three subgroups characterized by elevated borderline/clinical levels of problems.
NVIQ < 70, sleep disturbance, gastrointestinal disorders, and neurological disorders were the strongest
predictors of subgroup membership, making participants approximately twice as likely to belong to one of
these subgroups. Relative to the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup, children with NVIQs < 70 were less
likely to belong to the “Internalizing Problems” (OR = .63, p = < .001) or the “High Psychopathology” (OR
= .42, p < .001) subgroups. Children with sleep disturbance and histories of at least one gastrointestinal
disorder were more likely to belong to the “Externalizing Problems” (OR = 2.07, p = < .001; OR = 2.11, p
= < .001) and “High Psychopathology” (OR = 2.15, p = < .001; OR = 1.90, p = < .001) subgroups than the
“Low Psychopathology” subgroup, whereas children with histories of at least one neurological disorder
were more likely to belong to the “Externalizing Problems” subgroup alone (OR = 2.15, p = < .001).

[INSERT TABLE 3]

Discussion and Implications

Discussion

The current study is the first to use LCA to derive subgroups of school-aged children with ASD based
on patterns of co-occurring psychopathology while controlling for age and sex. We identified four
subgroups, characterized by low psychopathology, externalizing problems, internalizing problems, and
high psychopathology. Using multinomial logistic regression, while controlling for ASD symptom severity,
we also established the predictive value of NVIQ < 70, sleep disturbance, and three groups of medical
conditions— allergies/autoimmune disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, and neurological disorders—for
subgroup membership.

The “Low Psychopathology” subgroup (41.02%) was the largest subgroup in our sample, suggesting
that less than half of school-aged children with ASD are within the normal range for most, if not all,
psychiatric symptoms measured by the CBCL/6-18. This rate is similar to rates of “no psychiatric
diagnosis” in other ASD samples (37.2%; Simonoff et al., 2008). In terms of size, the “Low
Psychopathology” subgroup was followed by the “Internalizing Problems” subgroup (31.58%), which was
unsurprising given that anxiety (44.85%) and affective (38.76%) problems were the most common psychiatric symptoms in our sample. However, this rate is lower than previously reported rates of anxiety (56%) and depression (44%) in children with ASD (Strang et al., 2012). This discrepancy could be due to the fact that 15.05% of our sample belonged to the “High Psychopathology” subgroup, which is characterized by high rates of both internalizing and externalizing problems. The “Externalizing Problems” subgroup (12.3%) was the smallest subgroup in our sample.

To contextualize our findings within the literature, we compared our results to those of a similar LCA study, which used clinically-referred and general-population samples of school-aged children to derive four psychopathology-based subgroups with CBCL/6-18 data (Bianchi et al., 2017). There were several key differences. First, our study identified a subgroup characterized by multiple, highly endorsed externalizing problems: ADHD (56.59%), conduct (62.79%), and oppositional defiant (68.60%) problems. Bianchi and colleagues’ study lacks such a subgroup. Instead, their study identified an “ADHD” subgroup, in which ~70% of children had attention problems in the borderline/clinical range but relatively few other problems. Interestingly, our “Internalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups had high endorsement rates of ADHD problems (40.52% and 85.53%, respectively) as well, suggesting that children with ASD who present with ADHD symptoms will likely present with additional psychiatric symptoms. Observing moderate-to-high endorsement rates of ADHD problems in the “Externalizing Problems,” “Internalizing Problems,” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups (range: 40.52-81.53%), and an endorsement rate of 16.12% in the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup, is consistent with the literature, as ASD and ADHD co-occur at rates greater than chance (Miller et al., 2019), and having ADHD in addition to ASD might increase risk for other forms of psychopathology, such as anxiety (Gadow et al., 2009), aggression, delinquent behavior, and thought problems (Matsushima et al., 2008). Indeed, the most common combination of co-occurring psychiatric disorders in children with ASD are ADHD, anxiety disorders, and ODD (Brookman-Frazee et al., 2018).

Second, our study had a higher percentage of participants belonging to each subgroup characterized by elevated problems, suggesting that children with ASD are at increased risk for psychopathology compared to other populations of children; our “Low Psychopathology” subgroup (41.02%) was ~25% smaller than Bianchi and colleagues’ equivalent (66.32%), and our “High Psychopathology” subgroup
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(15.05%) was over double the size of Bianchi and colleagues’ “Severe Dysregulated” subgroup (7.82%). While our “Externalizing Problems” subgroup (12.36%) was only slightly larger than Bianchi and colleagues’ “ADHD” subgroup (10.19%), our “Internalizing Problems” subgroup was substantially larger than theirs (31.58% to 15.68%), which is in agreement with previous studies reporting higher rates of anxiety and depression in individuals with ASD than those in the general population (White et al., 2009; Kim & Lecavalier, 2021). In sum, our findings demonstrate increased prevalence of complex psychiatric symptoms in children with ASD as compared to children without ASD, with symptoms of ADHD often co-occurring with other externalizing and internalizing problems.

Using multinomial logistic regression, we found that cognitive impairment and sleep disturbance were associated with subgroup membership. Specifically, we found that children with NVIQs < 70 were about half as likely to belong to the “Internalizing Problems” or “High Psychopathology” subgroups than the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup, which is consistent with previous studies showing that individuals with ASD and average or above average cognitive ability are at greater risk for certain psychiatric disorders, such as anxiety and depression, than those with cognitive impairment (Lecavalier, 2006; Hallett et al., 2013a; Sukhodolsky et al. 2008; Mazurek & Kanne 2010). Because NVIQ < 70 was a unique predictor of “Internalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroup membership, our results could be driven by data from participants with NVIQs ≥ 70, who make up over 75% of our sample. Indeed, mean NVIQ was highest for “Internalizing Problems” subgroup (87.08), followed by the “High Psychopathology” subgroup (85.02), and then the “Externalizing Problems” (84.53) and “Low Psychopathology” (82.88) subgroups. It is also possible that difficulties understanding and expressing complex mental states, such as subjective, emotional experiences, is contributing to an underestimation of caregiver-reported psychiatric symptoms in children with ASD and NVIQs < 70 (Simonoff et al., 2008; van Steensel et al., 2017), as the directionality of the relationship between cognitive ability and psychopathology appears to be informant-dependent (Gadow et al., 2008b; Hallet et al., 2013b). Thus, future studies should use alternative strategies to measure psychiatric symptoms in children with ASD who vary in cognitive ability. For example, objective measures, such as physiological data, could help clinicians and researchers capture emotional and behavioral responses in children with ASD and lower NVIQs (Kushki et al., 2013). Alternatively, children with average or above average cognitive ability may
be more aware of their ASD-related challenges, and this awareness could be a risk factor for psychopathology (Sterling et al., 2008). Finally, parents may be overestimating psychiatric symptoms in children with average or above average cognitive ability (Nicpon et al., 2010).

Sleep disturbance most strongly predicted membership to the “Externalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups. This finding is in line with the results of Adams and colleagues’ (2014) study, in which increased severity of sleep disturbance was positively associated with externalizing and total (i.e., internalizing and externalizing) problems. Insomnia, the more highly endorsed type of sleep disturbance in our study, has been linked to impaired prefrontal cortex, basal ganglia, and amygdala functioning, which could be causing difficulties with executive functioning, reward anticipation, and emotional reactivity, leading to externalizing problems or overall high psychopathology (Maski & Kothare, 2013).

Additionally, using multinomial logistic regression, we found that subgroup membership was most strongly predicted by having a history of at least one gastrointestinal disorder and a history of at least one neurological disorder. This finding complements other studies in the literature that have found a relationship between these medical conditions and any one psychiatric disorder in children with ASD by adding a novel contribution: demonstrating that a history of these medical conditions predicts unique patterns of the combined presence/absence of psychiatric symptoms (Gadow et al., 2008b; Simonoff et al., 2008; Mannion & Leader, 2013; Mazefsky et al., 2014; Fulceri et al., 2016; Weber & Gadow, 2017; Restrepo et al., 2020). Our findings are consistent with two studies on how these conditions affect internalizing and externalizing problems in children with ASD. The first study found more anxiety problems, externalizing problems, and total problems in young children with gastrointestinal symptoms compared to those without symptoms (Fulceri et al., 2016), which is consistent with our finding that having a history of at least one gastrointestinal disorder roughly doubles one’s likelihood of belonging to the “Externalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups over the “Low Psychopathology” subgroup. The second study found that having epilepsy, one of the neurological disorders included in our study, resulted in an increased likelihood of having the psychiatric disorders that correspond to our “Externalizing Problems” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups (i.e., ADHD, oppositional defiant or conduct disorder, and any emotional disorder) by ~11- and 17-fold, respectively (Simonoff et al., 2008).
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our study, having a history of at least one neurological disorder strongly predicted “Externalizing Problems” subgroup membership, increasing a child’s likelihood by about two-fold. One possible explanation for the difference in the magnitude of our study’s and this study’s odds ratios is that we included a broader range of neurological disorders (16 conditions, Supplementary Table 3), whereas Simonoff and colleagues focused solely on epilepsy.

Our study has several limitations. First, we used a categorical framework to conceptualize psychopathology. Though dichotomizing a dimensional measure (i.e., the DSM-Oriented Scales) likely resulted in the loss of information on symptom levels, we chose to do so in order to make our findings directly relevant to clinical practice by focusing on the presence or absence of borderline/clinical-range psychiatric problems. Second, psychiatric symptoms may present differently in children with ASD and/or cognitive impairment (Kerns et al., 2012), and some symptoms may not reflect the same constructs as they do in typically developing (Rodriguez-Seijas et al., 2019) or other clinical populations (Sinzig et al., 2009). Additionally, although the CBCL’s DSM-Oriented Scales were designed to map on to a wide range of specific disorders of the DSM-V, including major depressive disorder, persistent depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, separation anxiety disorder, social anxiety disorder, specific phobia, ADHD, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder, they are not all-encompassing. Further, we defined sleep disturbance solely based on abnormal sleep duration. Therefore, our study may have failed to capture some psychiatric symptoms and co-occurring problems in this population. Third, the cross-sectional nature of the SSC sample does not allow for the evaluation of causal relationships between our predictor variables and psychopathology-based subgroups. Such relationship is likely bidirectional and warrants longitudinal investigation. Fourth, given the nature of the SSC sample and LCA, our findings may not be widely generalizable to all children with ASD. Specifically, inclusion in the SSC was based on strict ADI-R and ADOS cut-offs, and participants received their best-estimate diagnosis of ASD over a decade ago. We want to warn fellow clinicians and researchers that our findings should be interpreted with the sampling method in mind and may not fully represent the range of psychiatric symptoms in the ASD population due to changing diagnostic criteria and referral processes (Lai et al., 2015; Lord et al., 2018; CDC, 2021). Moreover, LCA is a model-based subgrouping approach, and the best fitting model is determined based on the available data, limiting the generalizability to other samples. However, our large
sample size (over 2,000 individuals with ASD) and use of age, sex, and ASD symptom severity as covariates add to the strengths of our study, which was effective at capturing the individual profiles of co-occurring psychopathology that exist within our sample and predictors of such profiles.

**Implications**

The findings of this study have important implications for clinical practice and research. First, the four subgroups derived from our sample demonstrate a need for clinicians to be cognizant of co-occurring internalizing and externalizing problems in school-aged children with ASD and the potential impact of these psychiatric symptoms on diagnostic tools used to identify ASD. In other words, when a clinician is evaluating a school-aged child for ASD, it is possible that the child will be exhibiting certain patterns of co-occurring psychopathology that could affect their interpretation of ASD symptom measures. Our findings also reinforce the need for multidisciplinary services in this population, suggesting that non-ASD specialists (e.g., primary care physicians) consider co-occurring psychopathology when they are providing care for children with ASD. For example, based on our study’s subgroups, when seeing a child with ASD and a combination of sleep disturbances, gastrointestinal disorders, and/or neurological disorders, a pediatrician may want to collaborate with a mental health provider, as this child might be at particularly high risk for externalizing problems, whereas a child with a NVIQ < 70 could be susceptible to internalizing problems, and a child with a NVIQ < 70, a sleep disturbance, and/or a gastrointestinal disorder may be vulnerable to both internalizing and externalizing problems. Psychopathology-based subgroups also affect responses to intervention and should be carefully considered in the development of intervention guidelines, which are currently broad (i.e., non-specific to subpopulations), and in future studies on how different subpopulations of children with ASD respond to interventions targeting core and associated symptoms (Antshel et al., 2011; McBride et al., 2020; Dekker et al., 2021; Wickstrom et al., 2021). For example, light therapy could be beneficial to children belonging to a “High Psychopathology” subgroup, who are at elevated risk for depression and sleep disturbances (Fritzsche et al., 2001). Finally, the findings of this study provide additional rationale to investigate the shared mechanisms between psychiatric disorders as well as psychiatric disorders and co-occurring problems. The subgroups derived in this study should be carefully considered when generating hypotheses for etiology-driven research on psychiatric symptoms and co-occurring problems. Since the SSC has genetic data available, future
studies could replicate our subgroups and directly examine potential transdiagnostic mechanisms. For example, several studies have suggested shared genetic contributions to ASD and ADHD phenotypes as well as ASD, depression, and anxiety phenotypes (Ronald et al., 2014; Ruparelia et al., 2017). Studies have also suggested overlap in genetic risk factors for ASD, intellectual disability, sleep disturbance, and medical conditions (Veatch et al., 2015; Jeste & Geschwind, 2014). In conclusion, our findings indicate that there are unique patterns of psychopathology among school-aged children with ASD which are associated with cognitive impairment, sleep disturbance, and medical conditions. To improve the ways in which we support children with ASD and co-occurring psychopathology, we argue that professionals should go beyond the diagnosis of ASD and consider an individual's compressive symptom profile when diagnosing, treating, and researching this population.
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Figure 1

Flowchart illustrating the participant selection process for all analyses.

2,759 participants in the Simons Simplex Collection

2,184 school-aged participants with a CBCL/6-18

2,087 six- to 18-year-old participants with complete indicator variable and covariate data

2,051 participants with complete predictor variable data

1,954 participants meeting assumptions for multinomial logistic regression

575 participants excluded for having missing CBCL/6-18 data

97 participants excluded for having missing indicator variable and/or covariate data

36 participants excluded for having missing predictor variable data

97 participants excluded for violating assumptions of multinomial logistic regression
### Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of all four latent classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Descriptives</th>
<th>Low Psychopathology</th>
<th>Externalizing Problems</th>
<th>Internalizing Problems</th>
<th>High Psychopathology</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>2,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>41.02%</td>
<td>12.36%</td>
<td>31.58%</td>
<td>15.05%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (males)</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>1,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS CSS(^a)</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVIQ(^a)</td>
<td>82.88</td>
<td>84.53</td>
<td>87.08</td>
<td>85.02</td>
<td>84.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator Variables**

| ADHD Problems       | 138                 | 146                    | 267                    | 256                  | 807          |
| Affective Problems  | 52                  | 108                    | 369                    | 280                  | 809          |
| Anxiety Problems    | 44                  | 22                     | 559                    | 311                  | 936          |
| Conduct Problems    | 0                   | 162                    | 3                      | 241                  | 406          |
| Oppositional Defiant Problems | 0 | 177 | 88 | 279 | 544 |

**Predictor Variables**

| NVIQ < 70           | 239                 | 68                     | 141                    | 68                   | 516          |
| Sleep Disturbance   | 178                 | 64                     | 166                    | 93                   | 501          |
| Allergies/Autoimmune Disorders | 376 | 121 | 332 | 163 | 992 |
| Gastrointestinal Disorders | 232 | 89 | 227 | 116 | 664 |
| Neurological Disorders | 183 | 72 | 176 | 86 | 517 |

\(^a\) Age, ADOS CSS, and NVIQ are described using mean and standard deviation rather than number (n) and percent (%).
Table 2

*Goodness-of-fit criteria for all six latent class models.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
<th>Smallest Class (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-class</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12,904.46</td>
<td>12,932.68</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-class</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11,609.27</td>
<td>11,682.64</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>34.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-class</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11,495.77</td>
<td>11,614.28</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>25.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-class</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11,440.13</td>
<td>11,603.79</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>14.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-class</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>11,473.61</td>
<td>11,682.42</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-class</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>11,611.02</td>
<td>11,864.98</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Latent class analysis was conducted with five DSM-Oriented Scales of the Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 6 to 18 (Anxiety Problems, Affective Problems, Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, Conduct Problems, and Oppositional Defiant Problems) as indicator variables. Age and sex were used as covariates.
Figure 2

Percent of participants in each latent class with borderline/clinical symptoms of co-occurring psychopathology.

Note. Latent class analysis was conducted with five DSM-Oriented Scales of the Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 6 to 18 (Anxiety Problems, Affective Problems, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, Conduct Problems, and Oppositional Defiant Problems) as indicator variables. Age and sex were used as covariates.
### Table 3

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) describing the relationship between predictor variables and latent class membership.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Externalizing Problems (n = 189)</th>
<th>Internalizing Problems (n = 648)</th>
<th>High Psychopathology (n = 274)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergies/Autoimmune Disorders</td>
<td>1.43*</td>
<td>1.03-1.98</td>
<td>1.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal Disorders</td>
<td>2.11***</td>
<td>1.51-2.94</td>
<td>1.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Disturbance</td>
<td>2.07***</td>
<td>1.45-2.94</td>
<td>1.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological Disorders</td>
<td>2.15***</td>
<td>1.52-3.05</td>
<td>1.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVIQ &lt; 70</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.49-1.05</td>
<td>.63***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The “Low Psychopathology” subgroup was compared to the “Externalizing Problems,” “Internalizing Problems,” and “High Psychopathology” subgroups. For each predictor variable, the reference group was “0,” meaning that participants did not have a NVIQ < 70, sleep disturbance, or history of at least one gastrointestinal, neurological, or allergy/autoimmune disorder. ASD symptom severity, measured by ADOS CSS data, was used as a covariate. 97 outliers were excluded for violating the assumptions of multinomial logistic regression.

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p <.001.