medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Association between telecommuting environment and low back pain among Japanese telecommuting workers: A cross-sectional study

Ryutaro Matsugaki[1], Ph.D., Keiji Muramatsu[1], M.D., Ph.D., Seiichiro Tateishi[2], M.D., Ph.D., Tomohisa Nagata[3], M.D., Ph.D., Mayumi Tsuji[4], M.D., Ph.D., Ayako Hino[5], M.D., Ph.D., Kazunori Ikegami[6], M.D., Ph.D., Yoshihisa Fujino[7], M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D., and Shinya Matsuda[1], M.D., Ph.D., for the CORoNaWork Project

Author contributions: R.M. wrote the manuscript; T.N., S.T., A.H., M.T., K.I., K.M., and S.M. reviewed the manuscript, provided advice on interpretation, and secured funding for research; Y.F. planned the overall survey, created the questionnaire, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript.

[1] Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

[2] Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

[3] Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

[4] Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

[5] Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[6] Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

[7] Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Corresponding author:

Yoshihisa Fujino, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.

Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishiku, Kitakyushu, 807-8555, Japan

Tel: +81-93-691-7401

Email: zenq@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

Acknowledgements

This study was supported and partly funded by the research grant from the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (no grant number); Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (H30-josei-ippan-002, H30-roudou-ippan-007, 19JA1004, 20JA1006, 210301-1, and 20HB1004); Anshin Zaidan (no grant number), the Collabo-Health Study Group (no grant number), and Hitachi Systems, Ltd. (no grant number) and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (no grant number)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The current members of the CORoNaWork Project, in alphabetical order, are as follows: Dr. Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Kei Tokutsu, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiichiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru, and Dr. Tomohisa Nagata. All members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

Disclosure

Approval of the research protocol: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan. (reference No. R2-079)

Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all the participants in the form of the website.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests for this article.

Running head title: Association between low back pain and telecommuting environment

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Association between telecommuting environment and low back pain among Japanese telecommuting workers: A cross-sectional study

Abstract

Objectives: We evaluated the relationship between telecommuting environment and low back pain (LBP) among desk-based workers in Japan.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 3,663 desk-based, telecommuting workers. LBP was assessed using a 0–10 numerical rating scale. The telecommuting environment was evaluated using subjective questions. Mixed-effects logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate this association.

Results: The results of mixed-effects logistic model revealed that not having a place or room to concentrate on work, desk not well-lit enough for work, lack of space on the desk to work, not having enough legroom, and uncomfortable temperature and humidity conditions in the workspace were significantly associated with higher odds of LBP.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that telecommuting environment is associated with the prevalence of LBP.

Keywords: Low Back Pain, Telecommuting, Workplace, COVID-19, Japan

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has led to a rapid expansion of telecommuting in Japan. Moreover, the Japanese government has recommended telecommuting to prevent the spread of COVID-19[1,2]. Although the telecommuting rate among Japanese workers was 14.8% as of October 2019, it increased to 23.0% by November 2020[3]. In actuality, 85.0% of workers who were teleworking as of November 2020 did so to prevent the spread of COVID-19[3]. Telecommuting is a way to continue business even during times of emergencies, and it may even become a more common way of working.

With the rapid expansion of telecommuting due to the COVID-19 pandemic, management of the work environment while telecommuting is an emerging occupational health problem. As with the office environment, the recommended working environment for a telecommuting worker is a private, quiet, and safe dedicated space, with adequate lighting, comfortable temperature and humidity, ergonomic chairs/desk[4–6]. However, it is difficult to manage the telecommuting work environment because, in contrast to the office, the workstation at home is difficult to supervise by managers, and managers lack the authority to direct the private environment of an individual. In addition, neither companies nor workers were sufficiently prepared to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

optimize a telecommuting environment due to the unexpected occurrence of COVID-19[4]. It has been reported that more than 50% of telecommuting workers in Japan lack a desk/chair and more than 70% lack a private room or space for work[3].

Low back pain (LBP) is an important health problem associated with office work. The prevalence of LBP is 34-56% among office workers[7–10]. In office workers, gender, body mass index, sleep disturbance, and previous symptoms of LBP are known to be risk factors for LBP[8,10–13]. In terms of work-related factors, sitting time and sitting posture at work are known risk factors for LBP among office workers[8,14,15]. At home, the work environment is less developed than that in the office environment; therefore, the work environment of home workers is assumed to be an important risk factor for LBP. Working in a space that is unsuitable for work can induce the worker to take awkward postures, which may have a negative impact on the musculoskeletal system.

Previous studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic have suggested an association between telecommuting and musculoskeletal pain[16]. However, the relationship between work environment and LBP in telecommuting workers remains unclear. Thus, the purpose of this study was to clarify the relationship between the work environment and LBP in telecommuting workers.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Study Design and Subjects

This cross-sectional Internet-based monitoring survey was conducted from December 22 to December 26, 2020, when the third wave of COVID-19 began in Japan. The details of the survey protocol have been previously reported[17]. Data were collected from workers with employment contracts at the time of the survey. Among 33,302 workers, 27,036 were surveyed, excluding those who gave fraudulent answers. Among those surveyed, 3,663 (2,093 males and 1,570 females) workers, who responded that they mainly performed desk work (e.g., office work, computer work) and telecommuted at least once a week, were included in the present analysis.

This study conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. In addition, the study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference no. R2-079). Informed consent was obtained online from the participants through the website.

Assessment of LBP

We assessed the presence of LBP in the participants based on two questions. First, we asked all subjects "Have you experienced stiff shoulders or LBP in the past two

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

weeks?" and asked them to answer, “yes” or “no.” If the subject answered “yes” to that question, then the following questions were asked to assess the severity of LBP, such as “what was your average level of LBP in the past 2 weeks? (Please rate your pain from 0 to 10, where 0=no pain at all and 10=the most intense pain you have experienced).” The numerical rating scale (NRS) was used for evaluating pain severity. In this study, a score of 3 or higher on the NRS indicated the presence of LBP, similar to previous studies[18,19].

Assessment of the telecommuting environment

The telecommuting environment was assessed using the following questions: 1) “Is your desk well-lit enough for you to work?”; 2) “Is there enough space to stretch the legs?”; 3) “Do you have a place or room where you can concentrate on your work?”; 4) “Are the degrees of temperature and humidity in the room where you work appropriate for working comfortably?”; 5) “Do you have enough space on your desk to work?”; 6) “Do you use an office desk or chair (including children's study desks)?” The respondents answered either “yes” or “no.” These items were designed according to the guidelines for the promotion of appropriate introduction and implementation of telework by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare[6].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Assessment of participants' characteristics and other covariates

The following socioeconomic factors were examined: age, sex, body mass index (calculated by dividing the weight by height squared), educational background (junior high school, high school, vocational school, junior college or technical college, university, or graduate school), and equivalent income (household income divided by the square root of the household size).

The following lifestyle factors were examined: smoking (currently smoking), drinking (alcohol consumption on two or more days per week), physical activity (perform equivalent physical activities for at least 1 h a day in daily life for more than 2 days a week), and exercise habit (exercise for 30 minutes or more for more than two days a week).

Mental health status was assessed using the following question: "During the past 30 days, for how many days did you experience poor mental health, including stress, depression, emotional problems, etc.?". This item is part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention core healthy day measures assessing health-related quality of life (CDC HRQOL-4)[20]. The Japanese version of CDC HRQOL-4 has been validated[21].

The following work-related factors were examined: work duration (hours per week), frequency of telecommuting (one day per week, more than two days per week, and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

more than four days per week), and company size (total number of employees at the company where the respondent works).

Statistical analysis

Age, body mass index, and number of days the participant experienced poor mental health during the past 30 days were expressed as continuous variables, and data are presented as mean and standard deviation. Other variables were expressed as categorical variables and presented as numbers and percentages.

Mixed-effects logistic regression analysis was conducted with LBP as the dependent variable, subjective evaluation of the telecommuting environment as the independent variable, and the city of residence as the random effects. We used age, sex, body mass index, lifestyle habits, number of days of poor mental health, equivalent income, educational background, working time, frequency of telecommuting, and company size as covariates to adjust for potential confounders.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16; StataCorp LLC, TX, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the participants. The prevalence rate of LBP in the study participants was 49.9%. Compared to the participants without LBP, those who experienced LBP were younger, middle-aged workers (20–49 years: 43.1% vs. 52.2%), and predominantly females (37.6% vs. 48.2%).

Table 2 shows the telecommuting environment of the participants. The proportion of participants who answered "no" to each question regarding their telecommuting environment was higher among participants with LBP than among those without LBP.

The association between LBP and the telecommuting environment is shown in Table 3. There was a significant association between LBP and the following questions: “Is your desk well-lit enough for you to work? (No)" (odds ratio [OR]: 1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.18–1.73, p<0.001), “Is there enough space to stretch the legs? (No)" (OR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.10–1.54, p=0.003), "Do you have a place or room where you can concentrate on your work? (No)" (OR: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.17–1.63, p<0.001), "Are the degrees of temperature and humidity in the room where you work appropriate for working comfortably? (No)" (OR: 1.32, 95% CI: 1.13–1.56, p=0.001), and "Do you have enough space on your desk to work? (No)" (OR: 1.19, 95% CI: 1.02–1.39, p=0.024).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

There was no significant association between LBP and the question "Do you use an office desk or chair? (No)" (OR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.90–1.18, p=0.696). Similar results were obtained for Model 1, which was adjusted only for sex and age, and for Model 2, which was adjusted for other potential confounders.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This study showed that telecommuting environment was associated with LBP in home workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Particularly, it was suggested that insufficient desk and foot space, inadequate desk lighting, uncomfortable room temperature and humidity, and lack of room/space for concentrating on work were associated with the prevalence of LBP.

In this study, workspace-related factors (e.g., room brightness, temperature, and humidity) had a stronger impact on LBP than the environment around the desk being used (e.g., desk, chair, foot space). Because brightness and temperature/humidity are properly controlled in a typical office, attention tends to be focused on the environment around the desk (e.g., desk, chair, etc.), when considering LBP and the work environment of desk workers. However, in the case of telecommuting, control of brightness, temperature, and humidity is left to the worker and may not be properly managed. The results of this study suggest the importance of paying attention to the entire workspace when considering LBP prevention among telecommuters.

In this study, inadequate desk and foot space, and insufficient lighting were associated with LBP. It is suggested that an awkward posture and sitting for long durations are risk factors for LBP[8,22–24]. Having enough space on the desk and for the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

feet is effective in maintaining good posture when working as well as changing the posture and stretching as needed. In addition, inadequate lighting at the desk may contribute to awkward postures among workers when looking at documents or computer screens on their desk. It is necessary to manage the work environment to avoid awkward postures and prolonged maintenance of the same posture during work.

The present study also revealed that uncomfortable temperature and humidity in the telecommuting space was associated with LBP. Because this study was conducted during the winter season, it can be speculated that the results imply that cold temperature and low humidity are associated with LBP. The relationship between cold temperature and LBP has been shown in previous studies[15,25–27], which is the same for telecommuting workers. Although the most suitable room temperature for the prevention of LBP is not clear, we consider that room temperatures that are subjectively cold should be avoided, as they may increase the risk of musculoskeletal symptoms and injuries.

In this study, using an office chair/desk was not associated with LBP. This finding is supported by those of previous studies reporting no significant association between LBP and use of chair/desk in office workers[11,28]. Alternatively, previous studies have reported that LBP is related to the characteristics of the chair, such as with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

or without lumbar support and adjustable back support[8,22]. This may suggest that it is not only a matter of whether an office chair is used but also what function and shape of the chair is used for the prevention of LBP.

The lack of room or space to concentrate on work was associated with LBP. It is suggested that the teleworker's workstation should be in a dedicated space that is private, quiet, and secure, preferably away from the flow of activity in the home[4]. The results of this study support that argument. Previous studies have reported that psychological stress is associated with LBP in workers[29–31]. Lack of a room or space to concentrate on work may cause psychological stress to telecommuting workers. The results of this study suggest that it is important for telecommuting workers to have a space where they can devote themselves to their work as much as possible, despite working from home.

Based on these findings, we suggest that the work environment of telecommuting workers may be associated with LBP. Therefore, employers should educate telecommuting workers about the importance of an appropriate home working environment. If it is difficult to prepare an appropriate telecommuting environment due to household situations and family structure, then it is necessary to consider the use of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

co-working spaces, satellite offices, and spaces near the employee's residence for telecommuting.

This study has three limitations. First, specific details such as history, symptom duration, and LBP diagnosis are unknown, as only the NRS was used for evaluation. However, it is uncertain how these factors affect the relationship between the telecommuting environment and LBP. Second, home environment, lifestyle factors, and working condition were also evaluated using subjective questions; hence, the validity of the responses is unclear. However, an objective method to evaluate the work environment at home has not been established at this time. Third, selection bias may have occurred in this study. People with LBP may be more likely to choose telecommuting if possible. However, the effect of this bias on the results of this study is uncertain.

Conclusion

The present study suggests that telecommuting environment is associated with the prevalence of LBP among telecommuting workers in Japan, indicating that employers may need to educate their employees to maintain a healthy and ergonomic environment.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Decisions by the Headquarters for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Basic Policies for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10200000/000603610.pdf
2. Decisions by the Headquarters for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Basic Policies for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control (Revised on April 7, 2020). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10900000/000620733.pdf
3. Vital statistics of telework (in Japanese). Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. Published 2021. Accessed June 8, 2021. https://www.mlit.go.jp/toshi/daisei/content/001392107.pdf
4. Robertson MM, Mosier K. Work from home: Human factors/ergonomics considerations for teleworking. International Labour Organization. Published 2020. Accessed May 31, 2021. http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/safety-and-health-at-work/events-training/events-meetings/world-day-safety-health-at-work/WCMS_742061/lang--en/index.htm

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

5. Work environment maintenance for teleworking at home (in Japanese). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/newpage_01603.html

6. Guidelines for the Promotion of Appropriate Introduction and Implementation of Telework (in Japanese). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/koyou_roudou/roudoukijun/shigoto/guideline.html

7. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Jiamjarasrangsri V, Sinsongsook T. Prevalence of self-reported musculoskeletal symptoms among office workers. *Occup Med (Chic Ill)*. 2008;58(6):436-438. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqn072

8. Spyropoulos P, Papathanasiou G, Georgoudis G, Chronopoulos E, Koutis H, Koumoutsou F. Prevalence of low back pain in Greek public office workers. *Pain Physician*. 2007;10(5):651-659. Accessed May 12, 2021. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17876361/

9. Fanta M, Alagaw A, Kejela G, Tunje A. Low back pain and associated factors among civil service sectors office workers in Southern Ethiopia. *Int J Occup Saf Heal*. 2020;10(1):53-63. doi:10.3126/ijosh.v10i1.29883

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10. Kaliniene G, Ustinaviciene R, Skemiene L, Vaiciulis V, Vasilavicius P. Associations between musculoskeletal pain and work-related factors among public service sector computer workers in Kaunas County, Lithuania. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s12891-016-1281-7

11. Juul-Kristensen B, Søgaard K, Strøyer J, Jensen C. Computer users' risk factors for developing shoulder, elbow and back symptoms. *Scand J Work Environ Heal*. 2004;30(5):390-398. doi:10.5271/sjweh.827

12. Janwantanakul P, Sitthipornvorakul E, Paksaichol A. Risk factors for the onset of nonspecific low back pain in office workers: A systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2012;35(7):568-577. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.07.008

13. Shariat A, Cardoso JR, Cleland JA, et al. Prevalence rate of neck, shoulder and lower back pain in association with age, body mass index and gender among Malaysian office workers. *Work*. 2018;60(2):1-9. doi:10.3233/wor-2738

14. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Moolkay P, Jiamjarasrangsi W. Development of a risk score for low back pain in office workers -a cross-sectional study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2011;12(1):23. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-23

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

15. Ye S, Jing Q, Wei C, Lu J. Risk factors of non-specific neck pain and low back pain in computer-using office workers in China: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(4):e014914. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014914

16. Yoshimoto T, Fujii T, Oka H, Kasahara S, Kawamata K, Matsudaira K. Pain status and its association with physical activity, psychological stress, and telework among Japanese workers with pain during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(11):5595. doi:10.3390/ijerph18115595

17. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based health survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *medRxiv*. 2021. doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.02.21249309

18. Collins SL, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? *Pain*. 1997;72(1-2):95-97. doi:10.1016/S0304-3959(97)00005-5

19. Makino T, Kaito T, Kashi M, Iwasaki M, Yoshikawa H. Low back pain and patient-reported QOL outcomes in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis without corrective surgery. *Springerplus*. 2015;4(1):397. doi:10.1186/S40064-015-1189-Y

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Health-Related Quality of LIFE (HRQOL). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Published 2018. Accessed September 3, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/methods.htm

21. Chimed-Ochir O, Mine Y, Okawara M, Ibayashi K, Miyake F, Fujino Y. Validation of the Japanese version of the CDC HRQOL-4 in workers. *J Occup Health*. 2020;62(1):12152. doi:10.1002/1348-9585.12152

22. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Moolkay P, Jiamjarasrangsi W. *Development of a Risk Score for Low Back Pain in Office Workers - a Cross-Sectional Study*. Vol 12.; 2011. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-23

23. Liira JP, Shannon HS, Chambers LW, Haines TA. Long-term back problems and physical work exposures in the 1990 Ontario health survey. *Am J Public Health*. 1996;86(3):382-387. doi:10.2105/AJPH.86.3.382

24. Lis AM, Black KM, Korn H, Nordin M. Association between sitting and occupational LBP. *Eur Spine J*. 2007;16(2):283-298. doi:10.1007/s00586-006-0143-7

25. Jin K, Sorock GS, Courtney T, et al. Risk factors for work-related low back pain in the People's Republic of China. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2000;6(1):26-33. doi:10.1179/oeh.2000.6.1.26

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

26. Dovrat E, Katz-Leurer M. Cold exposure and low back pain in store workers in Israel. *Am J Ind Med*. 2007;50(8):626-631. doi:10.1002/ajim.20488

27. Skandfer M, Talykova L, Brenn T, Nilsson T, Vaktskjold A. Low back pain among mineworkers in relation to driving, cold environment and ergonomics. *Ergonomics*. 2014;57(10):1541-1548. doi:10.1080/00140139.2014.904005

28. Celik S, Celik K, Dirimese E, Tasdemir N, Arik T, Büyükkara İb. Determination of pain in musculoskeletal system reported by office workers and the pain risk factors. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2018;31(1):91-111. doi:10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00901

29. Vinstrup J, Jakobsen MD, Andersen LL. Perceived stress and low-back pain among healthcare workers: A multi-center prospective cohort study. *Front Public Heal*. 2020;8:297. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00297

30. Tsuboi Y, Ueda Y, Naruse F, Ono R. The association between perceived stress and low back pain among eldercare workers in Japan. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2017;59(8):765-767. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000001062

31. Yoshimoto T, Oka H, Katsuhira J, et al. Prognostic psychosocial factors for disabling low back pain in Japanese hospital workers. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0177908. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177908

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1. Decisions by the Headquarters for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Basic Policies for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10200000/000603610.pdf

2. Decisions by the Headquarters for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control. Basic Policies for Novel Coronavirus Disease Control (Revised on April 7, 2020). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10900000/000620733.pdf

3. Vital statistics of telework (in Japanese). Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. Published 2021. Accessed June 8, 2021. https://www.mlit.go.jp/toshi/daisei/content/001392107.pdf

4. Robertson MM, Mosier K. Work from home: Human factors/ergonomics considerations for teleworking. International Labour Organization. Published 2020. Accessed May 31, 2021. http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/safety-and-health-at-work/events-training/events-meetings/world-day-safety-health-at-work/WCMS_742061/lang--en/index.htm

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

5. Work environment maintenance for teleworking at home (in Japanese). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/newpage_01603.html

6. Guidelines for the Promotion of Appropriate Introduction and Implementation of Telework (in Japanese). Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Published 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/koyou_roudou/roudoukijun/shigoto/guideline.html

7. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Jiamjarasrangsri V, Sinsongsook T. Prevalence of self-reported musculoskeletal symptoms among office workers. *Occup Med (Chic Ill)*. 2008;58(6):436-438. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqn072

8. Spyropoulos P, Papathanasiou G, Georgoudis G, Chronopoulos E, Koutis H, Koumoutsou F. Prevalence of low back pain in greek public office workers. *Pain Physician*. 2007;10(5):651-659. Accessed May 12, 2021. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17876361/

9. Fanta M, Alagaw A, Kejela G, Tunje A. Low back pain and associated factors among civil service sectors office workers in Southern Ethiopia. *Int J Occup Saf Heal*. 2020;10(1):53-63. doi:10.3126/ijosh.v10i1.29883

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10. Kaliniene G, Ustinaviciene R, Skemiene L, Vaiciulis V, Vasilavicius P. Associations between musculoskeletal pain and work-related factors among public service sector computer workers in Kaunas County, Lithuania. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s12891-016-1281-7

11. Juul-Kristensen B, Søgaard K, Strøyer J, Jensen C. Computer users' risk factors for developing shoulder, elbow and back symptoms. *Scand J Work Environ Heal*. 2004;30(5):390-398. doi:10.5271/sjweh.827

12. Janwantanakul P, Sitthipornvorakul E, Paksaichol A. Risk factors for the onset of nonspecific low back pain in office workers: A systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2012;35(7):568-577. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.07.008

13. Shariat A, Cardoso JR, Cleland JA, et al. Prevalence rate of neck, shoulder and lower back pain in association with age, body mass index and gender among Malaysian office workers. *Work*. 2018;60(2):1-9. doi:10.3233/wor-2738

14. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Moolkay P, Jiamjarasrangsi W. Development of a risk score for low back pain in office workers -a cross-sectional study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2011;12(1):23. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-23

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

15. Ye S, Jing Q, Wei C, Lu J. Risk factors of non-specific neck pain and low back pain in computer-using office workers in China: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(4). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014914

16. Yoshimoto T, Fujii T, Oka H, Kasahara S, Kawamata K, Matsudaira K. Pain Status and Its Association with Physical Activity, Psychological Stress, and Telework among Japanese Workers with Pain during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(11):5595. doi:10.3390/ijerph18115595

17. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based health survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *medRxiv*. Published online 2021. doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.02.21249309

18. SL C, RA M, HJ M. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? *Pain*. 1997;72(1-2):95-97. doi:10.1016/S0304-3959(97)00005-5

19. T M, T K, M K, M I, H Y. Low back pain and patient-reported QOL outcomes in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis without corrective surgery. *Springerplus*. 2015;4(1). doi:10.1186/S40064-015-1189-Y

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Health-Related Quality of LIFE (HRQOL). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Published 2018. Accessed September 3, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/methods.htm

21. Chimed-Ochir O, Mine Y, Okawara M, Ibayashi K, Miyake F, Fujino Y. Validation of the Japanese version of the CDC HRQOL-4 in workers. *J Occup Health*. 2020;62(1):12152. doi:10.1002/1348-9585.12152

22. Janwantanakul P, Pensri P, Moolkay P, Jiamjarasrangsi W. *Development of a Risk Score for Low Back Pain in Office Workers - a Cross-Sectional Study*. Vol 12.; 2011. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-23

23. Liira JP, Shannon HS, Chambers LW, Haines TA. Long-term back problems and physical work exposures in the 1990 Ontario health survey. *Am J Public Health*. 1996;86(3):382-387. doi:10.2105/AJPH.86.3.382

24. Lis AM, Black KM, Korn H, Nordin M. Association between sitting and occupational LBP. *Eur Spine J*. 2007;16(2):283-298. doi:10.1007/s00586-006-0143-7

25. Jin K, Sorock GS, Courtney T, et al. Risk factors for work-related low back pain in the People's Republic of China. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2000;6(1):26-33. doi:10.1179/oeh.2000.6.1.26

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

26. Dovrat E, Katz-Leurer M. Cold exposure and low back pain in store workers in Israel. *Am J Ind Med*. 2007;50(8):626-631. doi:10.1002/ajim.20488

27. Skandfer M, Talykova L, Brenn T, Nilsson T, Vaktskjold A. Low back pain among mineworkers in relation to driving, cold environment and ergonomics. *Ergonomics*. 2014;57(10):1541-1548. doi:10.1080/00140139.2014.904005

28. Celik S, Celik K, Dirimese E, Tasdemir N, Arik T, Büyükkara İb. Determination of pain in musculoskeletal system reported by office workers and the pain risk factors. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2018;31(1):91-111. doi:10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00901

29. Vinstrup J, Jakobsen MD, Andersen LL. Perceived Stress and Low-Back Pain Among Healthcare Workers: A Multi-Center Prospective Cohort Study. *Front Public Heal*. 2020;8. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00297

30. Tsuboi Y, Ueda Y, Naruse F, Ono R. The Association between Perceived Stress and Low Back Pain among Eldercare Workers in Japan. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2017;59(8):765-767. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000001062

31. Yoshimoto T, Oka H, Katsuhira J, et al. Prognostic psychosocial factors for disabling low back pain in Japanese hospital workers. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177908

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

430

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Characteristics of participants

	without low back pain (n=1834)	with low back pain (n=1829)
	n (%) or mean (SD)	n (%) or mean (SD)
Age (y)	49.5 (10.2)	82 (4.5%)
Sex (Men)	1145 (62.4%)	948 (51.8%)
Body mass index	22.4 (3.6)	22.4 (3.8)
Educational background		
Junior high school	15 (0.8%)	14 (0.8%)
High school	268 (14.6%)	262 (14.3%)
Vocational school	160 (8.7%)	173 (9.5%)
Junior college/technical college	157 (8.6%)	189 (10.3%)
University	1030 (56.2%)	1014 (55.4%)
Graduate school	204 (11.1%)	177 (9.7%)
Equivalent income (10,000yen)		
<260	517 (28.2%)	485 (26.5%)
261-450	458 (25.0%)	507 (27.7%)
451-600	374 (20.4%)	393 (21.5%)
>601	485 (26.4%)	444 (24.3%)
Lifestyle habit		
Smoking (yes)	447 (24.4%)	460 (25.2%)
Drinking (≥2days/week)	823 (44.9%)	855 (46.7%)
Physical activity (≥2days/week)	697 (38.0%)	685 (37.5%)
Exercise habit (≥2days/week)	531 (29.0%)	523 (28.6%)
Days experienced poor mental health during the past 30 days	2.3 (5.9)	5.1 (8.4)
Working time (hour/week)		
<40	1346 (73.4%)	1293 (70.7%)
40-48	237 (12.9%)	245 (13.4%)
49-60	189 (10.3%)	232 (12.7%)
>60	62 (3.4%)	59 (3.2%)
Frequency of telecommute (days/week)		
1	266 (14.5%)	297 (16.2%)
2-3	495 (27.0%)	563 (30.8%)
≥4	1073 (58.5%)	969 (53.0%)
Company size (person)		
≤9	732 (39.9%)	614 (33.6%)
10-99	202 (11.0%)	228 (12.5%)
100-999	304 (16.6%)	370 (20.2%)
>1000	596 (32.5%)	617 (33.7%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.15.21260610; this version posted October 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Telecommuting environment of participants

	without low back pain (n=1834)	with low back pain (n=1829)
	n (%)	n (%)
Telecommuting environment		
Is your desk well-lit for you to work? (No)	235 (12.8%)	361 (19.7%)
Is there enough space to stretch the legs? (No)	334 (18.2%)	450 (24.6%)
Do you have a place or room where you can concentrate on your work? (No)	351 (19.1%)	496 (27.1%)
Are the degrees of temperature and humidity in the room where you work appropriate for working comfortably? (No)	395 (21.5%)	526 (28.8%)
Do you have enough space on your desk to work? (No)	472 (25.7%)	582 (31.8%)
Do you use an office desk or chair? (Including children's study desks) (No)	860 (46.9%)	931 (50.9%)

Table 3. The association between low back pain and telecommuting environment

	Model 1†				Model 2‡			
	OR	95% CI		P	OR	95% CI		P
Telecommuting environment								
Is your desk well-lit enough for you to work? (No)	1.66	1.38	1.99	<0.001	1.43	1.18	1.73	<0.001
Is there enough space to stretch the legs? (No)	1.47	1.25	1.73	<0.001	1.30	1.10	1.54	0.003
Do you have a place or room where you can concentrate on your work? (No)	1.53	1.31	1.80	<0.001	1.38	1.17	1.64	<0.001
Are the degrees of temperature and humidity in the room where you work appropriate for working comfortably? (No)	1.45	1.25	1.69	<0.001	1.32	1.13	1.56	0.001
Do you have enough space on your desk to work? (No)	1.34	1.16	1.55	<0.001	1.19	1.02	1.39	0.024
Do you use an office desk or chair? (Including children's study desks) (No)	1.11	0.97	1.27	0.121	1.03	0.90	1.18	0.696

†Model 1 adjusted for age and sex

‡Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, lifestyle habit (smoking, drinking, physical activity, and exercise habit), number of days the participants experienced poor mental health during the past 30 days, equivalent income, educational background, working time, frequency of telecommuting, and company size