medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.08.21260212; this version posted July 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

# RISK OF ON JOB NON COMPLIANCE TOWARDS VARIOUS COVID-19 STANDARD & TRANSMISSION BASED INFECTION PREVENTION & CONTROL MEASURES/PRECAUTIONS AMONG THE HEALTHCARE WORKS WORKING IN OPD SETTINGS OF PUBLIC SECTOR TERTIORY CARE HOSPITALS OF QUETTA BALOCHISTAN.

(Prospective cohort study).

Authors: Muhammad Arif<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Abdullah<sup>2</sup>, Ambreen Chaudhary<sup>3</sup>, Zakir Hussain<sup>4</sup>, Ehsan Larik<sup>5</sup>.

#### **ABSTRACT**

# **Background:**

COVID-19 Pandemic is still circulating within the human population and proving to be a deadlier disease with mortality rate ranging from 0.5 to 7%<sup>8</sup>. Since COVID-19 is a highly transmissible disease; there is always a probability for its out ward spread towards general public and community from the hospitals and healthcare facilities where they come to seek treatment.

#### Methodology:

A prospective cohort study design was used, considering the limited available resources and time \_\_ A total of 200 healthcare workers (Including Doctors, Nurses, Para-Medical staff, Janitorial staff, Reception staff & Pharmacists) working in the OPDs of the two major Public sector hospitals of Quetta were made part of this study. The study participants were selected using simple random sampling technique. The study participants from "Hospital-A" were first of all educated and trained on various COVID-19 IPC measures later on various COVID-19-IEC materials; written in simple Urdu language, were displayed clearly everywhere in the OPD .Similarly hand washing station along with Hand sanitizers/Soaps and surgical face masks were also made available free of cost for all the study participants of Hospital-A. More over the importance and effectiveness of COVID-19 IPC measures were continuously announced in the OPD gallery of Hospital-A, these announcements used Simple wording in local languages (i.e. Urdu, Pashto, Balochi & Brahvi). On the other hand in the OPD of "Hospital-B" no such interventions were made. The study participants of both the hospitals were followed for one month and observations like- which group showed more on-job non compliance towards various COVID-19 IPC measures were recorded. The data was recorded on daily bases (From 1st May-to-31st May 2021) after observing the study participants and checklist was used for recording various findings. Lastly all the data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2007 version.

#### **Results:**

The major findings of this study are almost in line with the set objectives, the study results are clearly showing the **Risk ratio** (**R.R**) as **0.27**, indicating that the intervention group participants were only 27% as likely to develop *On-job non-compliance* for various COVID-19 IPC measures compare to the non-intervention group.

#### **Conclusion:**

The best suggestion and intervention for the developing countries that could at least address the spread of COVID-19 in a cost effective manner at health facility levels remains to be adoption of various Standard and Transmission based non-pharmacological measures of Infection prevention and Control (IPC) <sup>5</sup>.

**Key words:** COVID-19, IPC Measures, IEC & BCC materials.

Total word count: 388.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

# RISK OF "ON JOB NON COMPLIANCE" TOWARDS VARIOUS COVID-19 STANDARD & TRANSMISSION BASED INFECTION PREVENTION & CONTROL MEASURES/PRECAUTIONS AMONG THE HEALTHCARE WORKS WORKING IN OPD SETTINGS OF PUBLIC SECTOR TERTIORY CARE HOSPITALS OF QUETTA BALOCHISTAN.

(Prospective cohort study).

Authors: Muhammad Arif<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Abdullah<sup>2</sup>, Ambreen Chaudhary<sup>3</sup>, Zakir Hussain<sup>4</sup>, Ehsan Larik<sup>5</sup>.

# Introduction:

COVID-19 Pandemic is still circulating within the human population and proving to be a deadlier disease with mortality rate ranging from 0.5 to 7%<sup>8</sup>. According to the latest global data on WHO website as of **5:54pm CEST**, **5 July 2021**, there have been **183,560,151 confirmed cases** of COVID-19, including **3,978,581 deaths** so far, as reported to WHO<sup>1</sup>. Due to lack of resources, surveillance and response structure in various developing countries; including Pakistan, less numbers of cases<sup>3</sup> are reported daily.

As of **5 July 2021**, a total of **2,988,941,529 vaccine doses** have been administered<sup>1</sup>. Considering various factors like; low herd immunity, weak immunization pace, huge population numbers, poverty, illiteracy, weak health infrastructures and no vaccine production and research capabilities, most of the developing counties including Pakistan will continue to remain at risk for COVID-19 outbreaks <sup>3</sup> in coming future.

Therefore the most safe and cost effective intervention for the developing countries therefore remains adoption of Infection prevention and Control (IPC) measures<sup>5</sup>.

According to WHO various IPC measures are poorly implemented/adopted in the various healthcare facilities of developing countries as a result, the prevalence of Hospital acquired infections (HCAIs) in low and middle-income countries varies between 5.7% and 19.1%. Similarly the proportion of patients with infections acquired in intensive care units in low and middle-income countries ranges from 4.4% to 88.9%, while the incidence of surgical site infections is up to nine times higher than in developed countries<sup>24</sup>.

Considering these points WHO came up with a universal Practical IPC guide lines for health care facilities in 2014<sup>24</sup>. This has been later on adopted and tailored by the health ministries of several low and middle-income countries including Pakistan.

#### **Literature Review:**

Most of infection prevention and control measures designed for healthcare facilities are mostly not disease centric in their approach, they are developed mostly by keeping two disease combating components into consideration a) Where is the reservoir of the disease causing bug b) what is the route of infection of that bug in the human body<sup>5</sup>.

Infection prevention and control measures for COVID-19 are classified into two categories <u>1) Standard Precautions 2) Transmission base Precautions<sup>24</sup></u>. The standard precautions are mainly researched, designed and developed for the health care workers in mind because they are the most vulnerable group of people who has regular and close contacts with random patients in a hospitalized environment especially during OPD settings<sup>5, 7, 8</sup>.

The Standard precautions if adopted properly and regularly will block the entry of SARS-COV2 virus into the body of health care workers<sup>17</sup>.

The standard Precautions chock the entry points of SARS-COV2 virus which includes nose, mouth and eyes<sup>10</sup>. All the personal protective equipments (PPEs) are basically designed for this purpose hence health care workers are encouraged to wear face masks\_ which will block the entry of SARS-COV2 virus droplets & particles through mouth and nose. Goggles\_ for the eyes basically block the entry of suspended SARS-COV2 particles into the eyes. While Face shield\_ gives additional cover over all the entry points of SARS-COV2 virus i.e. it covers all the entry points for the SARS-COV2 virus which includes eyes, nose & mouth<sup>3, 11,12,13,24</sup>. Other PPEs which includes Gown, Gloves, Head cover and Shoe cover are basically protecting the human skin from harboring SARS-COV2 virus on it; which can live for max of 7 days out of the human body while at minimum its life span is 4 hours<sup>18, 19</sup>, hence protecting against Indirect Infection through hands and surfaces if touched while dealing with a patient.

The Transmission based precautions for COVID-19 are helps to stop and minimize the COVID-19 transmission in a hospitalized environment; they include adopting Triage system, Regular hand washing, sanitization and disinfection protocols<sup>7, 14, 22</sup>.

So far several Information, education & communication (IEC) materials regarding and Behavioral change communication (BCC) materials have developed for COVID-19 but their real time effectiveness has not been studied yet.

# **Operational Definitions:**

#### • On Job:

"During duty hours in the hospital".

## • Infection Prevention & control (IPC) measures:

"Refers to measures/activities intended to curtail infection and their spread in the healthcare facilities"<sup>24</sup>.

#### • Information, education & Communication (IEC) material:

"Written/printed material in form of charts, broachers & pamphlets containing health education and health promotion knowledge used basically to improve the readers knowledge".

# • Behavioral change communication (BCC) materials:

"Refers to use of communication skills to promote positive health behaviors among the people communicated" <sup>3</sup>.

# • On Job Non compliance towards various COVID-19 IPC measures:

"Refers to not adopting or following the various COVID-19 IPC measures properly or as per protocol <u>or</u> Careless in adopting certain IPC measures during duty hours"<sup>24</sup>.

#### **Problem statement:**

COVID-19 is a highly transmissible disease; there is always a probability for its out ward spread towards general public and community from the hospitals and healthcare facilities where they come to seek treatment.

#### Rationale:

In developing countries like Pakistan where the prevalence of Hospital acquired infections (HCAIs) varies between 5.7% and 19.1% there is always a probability of SARS-COV2 virus infection. Normally the healthcare facilities in developing countries mostly belong to public sector where large number of patients visit daily to their OPD departments and seek treatments, therefore there is always a great possibility that these hospitals and healthcare facilities could harbor SARS-COV2 virus where it could mutate into a new potent stain (bug) that might cause an outward spill of towards the general public.

So far no published literature has assessed on job compliance towards standard and transmission based COVID-19 precautions among the healthcare workers working in a tertiary care hospital of a developing country especially after IEC and BCC interventions. **Objectives:** 

- To assess the incidence rate of On-job non compliance of various COVID-19 IPC measures among the two groups (IPC trained group & untrained group) of health care workers working in an OPD setup of a tertiary care hospital.
- To assess the impact of various COVID-19 trainings, IEC and BCC interventions on the attitude of the health care workers.

#### Methodology:

A prospective cohort study design was used, considering the limited available resources and time A total of 200 healthcare workers (Including Doctors, Nurses, Para-Medical staff, Janitorial staff, Reception staff & Pharmacists) working in the OPDs of the two major Public sector hospitals of Quetta were made part of this study. The study participants were selected using **simple random sampling technique**. The study participants from "Hospital-A" were first of all educated and trained on various COVID-19 IPC measures later on various COVID-19-IEC materials; written in simple Urdu language, were displayed clearly everywhere in the OPD .Similarly hand washing station along with Hand sanitizers/Soaps and surgical face masks were also made available free of cost for all the study participants of **Hospital-A**. More over the importance and effectiveness of COVID-19 IPC measures were continuously announced in the OPD gallery of Hospital-A, these announcements used Simple wording in local languages (i.e. Urdu, Pashto, Balochi & Brahvi). On the other hand in the OPD of "Hospital-B" no such interventions were made. The study participants of both the hospitals were followed for one month and observations like- which group showed more on-job non compliance towards various COVID-19 IPC measures were recorded. The data was recorded on daily bases (From 1st May-to-31st May 2021) after observing the study participants and checklist was used for recording various findings. Lastly all the data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2007 version.

# **Results**

## **Descriptive statistics**

# 1. Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents:

The major Socio-demographic characteristics of the Participants are summarized in the following table.

Table #01: Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents

S.NO	Socio-demographic Characteristics of the study participants	Sub-Set	Percentages (%)	Frequency (n)
1	Education	Primary	9%	18
		Metric	20%	40
		Intermediate	17%	34
		MBBS/Graduation	3%	06
		Masters	2%	04
		Post graduation/Phd	49%	98
2	Co-Morbidities	No Comorbidity	90%	180
		DM	2%	04
		Asthma	4%	08
		HTN	2%	04
		Hepatitis	2%	04
		Ovarian CA	0%	00
4	COVID-19 Vaccination status	Vaccination No	63%	126
		Vaccination Yes	37%	74
	Hepatitus-B	Vaccination Yes	93%	186
	Vaccination Status	Vaccination No	7%	14
5	Seasonal flu Vaccination status	Seasonal Flu vaccination No	7%	14
		Seasonal Flu vaccination Yes	93%	186
	Blood Groups	A+	7%	14
6		A-	4%	08
		B+	27%	54
		B-	3%	06
	of the study participants	AB+	2%	04
	or the study participants	AB-	1%	02
		0+	1%	02
		0-	1%	02
		unkown	54%	108

# 2. ALTERNATE DAY CHANGE IN THE ON JOB NON-COMPLIANCE ATTITUDE TOWARDS COVID-19 (IPC) MEASURES AMONG THE HEALTHCARE WORKERS OF THE HOSPITAL-A (THE INTERVENTION GROUP):

On the very next day after the interventions in Hospital-A, it was observed that out of 100 study participants only 40% (n=40) were found to show full compliance towards all the COVID-19 IPC measures during their duty in the OPD. Post intervention observed on-job Compliance Level towards various COVID-19 IPC measures is shown below:

Table # 02: Post intervention observed on-job Compliance Level towards various COVID-19 IPC measures (Intervention group).

ALTERNATE DAY CHANGE IN THE <u>ON JOB NON-COMPLIANT</u> <u>ATTITUDE</u> TOWARDS COVID-19 (IPC) MEASURES AMONG THE  HEALTHCARE WORKERS OF THE HOSPITAL-A (THE INTERVENTION  GROUP)						
S.NO	Date	Frequency of participants observed showing non compliance towards COVID-19 IPC Measures	Alternate day percent change in the non compliance level			
1	1-May-21	60				
2	2-May-21	SUNDAY				
3	3-May-21	59	#VALUE!			
4	4-May-21	55	-7%▼			
5	5-May-21	55				
6	6-May-21	55				
7	7-May-21	53	-4%▼			
8	8-May-21	52	-2%▼			
9	9-May-21	SUNDAY				
10	10-May-21	50	#VALUE!			
11	11-May-21	50				
12	12-May-21	50				
13	13-May-21	49	-2%▼			
14	14-May-21	47	-4%▼			
15	15-May-21	45	-4%▼			
16	16-May-21	SUNDA	AY			
17	17-May-21	43	#VALUE!			
18	18-May-21	43				
19	19-May-21	43				
20	20-May-21	40	-7%▼			
21	21-May-21	40				
22	22-May-21	40				
23	23-May-21	SUND	AY			
24	24-May-21	35	#VALUE!			
25	25-May-21	33	-6%▼			
26	26-May-21	32	-3%▼			
27	27-May-21	30	-6%▼			
28	28-May-21	27	-10%▼			
29	29-May-21	27				
30	30-May-21	SUNDAY				
31	31-May-21	25	#VALUE!			

# 3. ALTERNATE DAY CHANGE IN THE ON JOB NON-COMPLIANCE ATTITUDE TOWARDS COVID-19 (IPC) MEASURES AMONG THE HEALTHCARE WORKERS OF THE HOSPITAL-B (THE non-INTERVENTION GROUP):


From the very first day of observing non-compliant attitude among the 100 study participants of the Hospital-B (the non intervention group) were all poorly adopting the various COVID-19 IPC measures as shown below:

Table # 02: Observed on-job Compliance
Level towards various COVID-19 IPC measures (Non-Intervention group).

ALTERNATE DAY CHANGE IN THE <u>ON JOB NON-COMPLIANT ATTITUDE</u> TOWARDS COVID-19 (IPC) MEASURES AMONG THE HEALTHCARE WORKERS OF THE HOSPITAL-B (THE NON INTERVENTION GROUP)						
s.no	Date	Frequency of participants observed showing non compliance towards COVID-19 IPC Measures	Alternate day percent change in the non compliance level			
1	1-May-21	89				
2	2-May-21		SUNDAY			
3	3-May-21	92	#VALUE!			
4	4-May-21	90	-2%▼			
5	5-May-21	88	-2%▼			
6	6-May-21	86	-2%▼			
7	7-May-21	85	-1%▼			
8	8-May-21	95	12% ▲			
9	9-May-21	SUNDAY				
10	10-May-21	92	#VALUE!			
11	11-May-21	90	-2%▼			
12	12-May-21	89	-1%▼			
13	13-May-21	87	-2%▼			
14	14-May-21	88	1% ▲			
15	15-May-21	91	3% ▲			
16	16-May-21		SUNDAY			
17	17-May-21	88	#VALUE!			
18	18-May-21	85	-3%▼			
19	19-May-21	83	-2%▼			
20	20-May-21	87	5% ▲			
21	21-May-21	88	1% ▲			
22	22-May-21	<del>9</del> 2	5% ▲			
23	23-May-21		SUNDAY			
24	24-May-21	91	#VALUE!			
25	25-May-21	86	-5%▼			
<b>26</b>	26-May-21	88	2% ▲			
27	27-May-21	89	1% ▲			
28	28-May-21	98	10% ▲			
29	29-May-21	88	-10% ▼			
30	30-May-21	SUNDAY				
31	31-May-21	90	#VALUE!			

#### **Inferential Statistics:**

- Total Study participants from Hospital-A = 100 (The Intervention Group).
- Total Study participants from Hospital-B = 100 (The Non Intervention Group).
   OVER All Study Sample Size Total = 200



= 0.9%

Using the incidence rates for non-compliance in both the groups the Risk Ratio Could be calculated as follow:

Risk Ratio (RR) = Incidence of non compliance among the Intervention group
Incidence of non compliance among the Non intervention group

= 0.25/0.9

= 0.27

Hence those who were subjected to various COVID-19 IPC trainings and other interventions had **0.27 times the risk** for "On job non-compliance towards various COVID-19 STANDARD & TRANSMISSION BASED Precautions" compare to those who were not subjected to any intervention.

#### **Discussion & Conclusion:**

The major findings of this study are almost in line with the set objectives, the study results clearly showing that the **Risk ratio(R.R) of 0.27**, indicate that the intervention group participants were only 27% as likely to develop *On-job non-compliance* for various COVID-19 IPC measures compare to the non-intervention group. Considering the prevalence of Hospital acquired infections (HCAIs) in low and middle-income countries which vary between 5.7% and 19.1% <sup>24</sup> there is always a high probability that these infections including COVID-19 could spill out of the Hospitals environment into the community and also they can infect Healthcare workers working over there. Hence With low herd immunity, weak immunization pace, huge population numbers, poverty, illiteracy, weak health infrastructures, no vaccine production and research capabilities most of the developing counties including Pakistan will remain at risk for COVID-19<sup>3</sup> outbreaks in future.

The best suggestion and intervention for the developing countries that could at least address the spread of COVID-19 in a cost effective manner at health facility levels remains to be adoption of various Standard and Transmission based non-pharmacological measures of Infection prevention and Control (IPC) <sup>5</sup>

## **Recommendations:**

It is highly recommended various COVID-19 specific infection prevention & control interventions like COVID-19 IPC trainings, COVID-19 IEC & BCC materials to be displayed clearly everywhere in the healthcare facilities especially in OPD department. Moreover audio announcements made in Simple wording using local languages like Urdu, Pashto, Balochi & Barhvi could really serve as constant reminder tools especially in a OPD department\_ where every NEXT patient in queue could present with a different infectious bug.

#### **Refrences:**

- 1. WHO. WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19) dashboard. 2020. https://covid19.who.int (accessed July 5, 2021).
- 2. Hsiang S, Allen D, Annan-Phan S, et al. The effect of large-scale anti-contagion policies on the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature* 2020; **584:** 262–67.
- 3. Lai S, Ruktanonchai NW, Zhou L, et al. Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 in China. *Nature* 2020; **585:** 410–13.
- 4. Flaxman S, Mishra S, Gandy A, et al. Estimating the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 in Europe. *Nature* 2020; **584:** 257–61.
- Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 2081–90.
- Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Jonsson H, et al. Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic population. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 2302–15
- 7. McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, et al. Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a long-term care facility in King County, Washington. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 2005–11.
- 8. Hamner L, Dubbel P, Capron I, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 attack rate following exposure at a choir practice Skagit County, Washington, March 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; 69: 606–10.
- Luo L, Liu D, Liao X, et al. Contact settings and risk for transmission in 3410 close contacts of patients with COVID-19 in Guangzhou, China: a prospective cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2020; published online Aug 13. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-2671.
- CDC and ICAN (2019). Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning in Healthcare Facilities in Resource-Limited Settings. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; Cape Town, South Africa: Infection Control https://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/resource-limited/environmental-cleaning-508.pdf
- 11. Damani N (1997). Manual of Infection Control Procedure. London: Greenwich Medical media.
- 12. Damani N (2019). Manual of Infection Prevention and Control. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 13. DH (2016). Health Technical Memorandum (HTM 01- 01): Management and decontamination of surgical instruments (medical devices) used in acute care. Part A: Management and provision; Part B: Common elements; Part C: Steam sterilization; Part D: Washer-disinfectors and Part E: Alternatives to steam for the sterilization of reusable medical devices. London: Dept. of Health. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/management-and-decontamination-of-surgicalinstruments-used-in-acute-care
- Donskey C et al. (2009). The Hands Give It Away. New England Journal of Medicine, 360(3), e3. https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMicm0707259
- ECDC (2014). Safe use of personal protective equipment in the treatment of infectious diseases
  of high consequence. Stockholm, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.
  https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/safe-use-of-ppe.
  Pdf
- ECDC (2016). Point prevalence survey of healthcare associated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals— protocol version 5.3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control Stockholm: ECDC. https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/PPS-HAlantimicrobialuse-EU-acute-care-hospitals-V5-3.pdf

- ECDC (2017). Surveillance of healthcare- associated infections and prevention indicators in European intensive care units (HAI-Net ICU protocol). Stockholm; European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.
  - https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/HAI-Net-ICU-protocol-v2.2\_0.pdf
- Fisher EM, Shaffer RE. Commentary considerations for recommending extended use and limited reuse of filtering face piece respirators in health care settings. J Occup Environ Hyg 2014;11(8):D115–28. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4610368/pdf/nihms728417.pdf
- Ford N (2015). World Health Organization Guidelines on Post Exposure Prophylaxis for HIV: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. Clinical Infectious Diseases 60(S3):S161–4. https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/prophylaxis/02.pdf
- 20. Healing TD (2005). The infection hazards of human cadavers. Commun Dis Rev 1995; 5 (5): R61–68. With corrections published in Commun Dis Rev 5(6): R92.
- 21. Heymann DL (2014). Control of Communicable Disease Manual, 20th ed. Washington: American Public Health Association.
- WHO (2014). Practical guidelines for IPC in healthcare facilities. New Delhi (SEARO) World Health Organization. http://www.wpro.who.int/publications/docs/practical\_guidelines\_infection\_ control.pdf
- 23. WHO (2015). Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance. Geneva: World Health Organization.https://apps.who.int/