Manuscript Number (if known)

Article Summary Line: The dried tube specimen system is a highly effective and resilient way to provide laboratories with well-characterized serology materials. The CSCP can help clinical laboratories inform their choice of diagnostic test to supplement clinical diagnoses of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Running Title: COVID-19 Serology Control Panel

Keywords: COVID-19 serology control panel, dried tube specimens, reference samples

Title: COVID-19 Serology Control Panel Using the Dried Tube Specimen Method

Authors: William J. Windsor, Vijaya Knight, Patricia A. Merkel, Molly M. Lamb, Mario L. Santiago, Mary K. McCarthy, Thomas E. Morrison, Ross M. Kedl, Ashley Frazer-Abel, Kejun Guo, Gillian Andersen, Leah Huey, Bradley S. Barrett, Jessica M. Colón-Franco, May C. Chu.

Author Affiliations: Colorado School of Public Health, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA (W.J. Windsor, M.M. Lamb, M.C. Chu); Children's Hospital Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA (V. Knight, L. Huey, G. Andersen, P. Merkel); University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA (M.Santiago, K. Guo, B. Barrett, M.K. McCarthy, T.E. Morrison, R.M. Kedl, A.Frazer-Abel); Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH (J.M.Colón-Franco).

Abstract—50 word count

We used the dried tube specimen (DTS) procedure to develop the COVID-19 Serology Control Panel (CSCP). The CSCP contains five well-characterized SARS-CoV-2 pooled plasma samples

made available for labs around the world to compare test kits, use for external quality assurance, harmonize laboratory testing, and train laboratory workers.

Text—1200 word count

A standardized panel composed of well-characterized plasma/serum specimens can bridge serosurveillance studies, compare test kits, serve as external quality assurance, harmonize tests that measure vaccine efficacy, be used as a training tool and be used for post-market assurance. The standardized panel can be shared by a global network to link studies and enable inclusive analysis for a variety of use cases as mentioned and, more importantly, a standardized control panel can provide long-term quality performance monitoring as reagents and production batches change.

We have established a COVID-19 Serology Control Panel (CSCP) using the dried tube specimen (DTS) protocol[1] so that the panel can be shipped globally without a cold-chain, thus allowing equitable sharing of the materials in all resource settings. Identifying the appropriate test kit for a use-case is complicated, with >120 SARS-CoV-2 serological test kits listed by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under Emergency Use Authorized (EUA) and/or registered with the Conformitè Europëenne (CE)-marked European market. In addition, we can deduce from the SeroTracker[2] list that there are at least as many research use only (RUO) tests being used in clinics and research laboratories as well. With this unprecedented number of serological testing platforms and algorithms, it is imperative that we prioritize the quality calibration of test kits and platforms. This will ensure that results are meaningful and can be compared across the hundreds of seroprevalence studies being undertaken.[3] This is especially important for testing in low resource settings, where immunological testing is more likely to be used than other diagnostic test formats.

The Study

Nine highly-reactive COVID-19 convalescent plasma samples collected between March-May 2020 were screened by SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) reporter viral particle neutralization assay (RVPN), with neutralization range of 1:640 to >1:10,240 (Vitalant Research Institute, VRI; San Francisco, CA) and by Ortho VITROS Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Total immunoglobulin assay (Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. Rochester, NY) against the S subunit (S1) protein (Table 1).[4] These nine COVID-19 convalescent plasma and one pre-2019 human plasma were sourced from VRI, Denver, CO and certified to be blood-borne pathogen-free. This protocol has a University of Colorado-Denver (CU) Human Subjects Research Waiver (Protocol 20-0711).

We tested the 10 donor samples at University of Colorado laboratories (CU Labs) to determine each sample's reactivity to Spike (S), Nucleocapsid (N) and Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) with five SARS-CoV-2 serology methods (Table 1)[5-8]. We pooled three samples that represented the highest reactivity to S, N and RBD in a 1:1:1 ratio. The undiluted pool served as the high reactive (HR) sample and the pre-2019 served as the non-reactive (NR) in all the assays. The low reactive (LR) pool was prepared as a 1:4 dilution of the HR using the NR sample as the diluent. Then the three samples—HR, LR and NR—were evaluated by CU Labs to ascertain their reactivity by dilution and mixing, and evaluated again post-drying (Figure 1a-1e).

We followed the DTS protocol[1] to prepare the samples, mixing in 0.1 % green food dye for better visualization. We aliquoted 20 µl in a 2 ml Sarstedt (NC9180825; Fisher Scientific, USA) and left the open tube to dry overnight under a HEPA filtered laminar flow hood. The tubes were capped and stored at 4° C during the CSCP kit assembly process and stored at -20° C afterwards

to preserve the integrity of the samples for longer term storage. Each of the DTS was rehydrated by addition of 200 µl phosphate buffered saline with 0.2% Tween (PBS diluent) then tested in tandem with its corresponding pre-dried (liquid) sample to ensure that there was no change in reactivity due to the drying, assembly, and rehydration steps (Figure 1f).

We evaluated the CSCP long term temperature stability by storing kits continuously at: -20°C, 4°C, 25°C, 37°C and 45°C for 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year. The optimal stability of the CSCP for up to 6 months is between -20°C and 25°C (Figure 2) with loss of reactivity after 2 months at 37°C and is non-reactive at 45°C (data not shown). In the 6-months' evaluation, we compared the CSCP DTS samples with the World Health Organization SARS CoV-2 Serology International Standard (WHO IS)[9,10] and found the HR sample runs are in the same reactive range (Figure 2).

A CSCP kit contains five DTS samples (blinded), 200 µl of PBS diluent, a 0.5 ml calibrated disposable micropipette, a dry silica pack, a printed DTS rehydration work aide and a copy of the report form. Contents are sealed in a 1.5x9 inch mailing tube. Interested users fill out a CSCP request form and each request is reviewed, assessed and approved before being the requested CSCP kit is shipped at ambient temperature with no cold packs. Users then use the kit according to their serology assay requirements and report the results electronically (CSCP Result Form) or email a copy to COVIDPanel@ucdenver.edu. We then send the user a results form that provides a comparison and interpretation of their results against the assigned value for the DTS samples that they received.

As of June 2021, the CSCP has been shipped to multiple sites in Australia, Africa, Southeast Asia, North and South America, and Europe. Table 2 shows a summary of the 30 user results

reported to us thus far, with CSCP concordance of the HR, NR, and LR being 97%, 93%, and 65% respectively. The LR samples were expected to be missed in the less sensitive assays (Table 2). Users have shared with us some logistical challenges encountered, including kits that were in transit for up to 3 months under harsh conditions (1 user) and some kits held for months before use (2 users), without change in the expected results. One user has reported issues with incomplete reconstitution and 2 users had problems uploading results to the website, all of which are under review for corrective actions.

Conclusions

Quality assurance is foundational for validating methods, external quality assurance, training, and inter-/intra-laboratory comparison of serologic tests.[3,11-13] As more of the world's population receive COVID-19 vaccines, highly accurate and reliable SARS-COV-2 serology testing is the primary method to assess vaccine efficacy.[14] Many commercial and laboratory developed tests react with a range of antigen targets, making it difficult to compare results in the absence of a common set of reference materials. Widespread use of the CSCP for comparison of SARS-CoV-2 tests will help laboratories interpret and gain confidence in their results while deterring laboratories from using poorly performing tests. Additionally, the CSCP will help clinical laboratories inform their choice of diagnostic test to supplement clinical diagnoses of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

With this use in mind, our next step is to harmonize CSCP and other available serology reference materials by validating them as secondary standards to the WHO IS. This would provide an inferential link to WHO IS and give broader access of validated reference materials to be used in comparing and evaluating test kits performances in use-cases already cited. The DTS system is

also flexible enough to accommodate additional samples to reflect current pandemic situations, such as post-vaccination and convalescent samples from persons infected with SARS-CoV-2 variants.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the administrative assistance of Mary Moua and Linda S. Gabel. We are grateful to Susan Fink, Tara S. Givens, Suellen Nicholson, Andy Schnaubelt for evaluating CSCP kits in their laboratories prior to kit release. This project was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Investment ID INV-006307 grant.

Disclaimers

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Author Bio

Mr. Windsor is a senior research associate with the Center for Global Health at the Colorado School of Public Health, Anschutz Medical Center, Aurora CO, USA. He is a public health projects manager including this CSCP project.

References

1. Parekh BS, Anyanwu J, Patel H, et al. Dried tube specimens: a simple and cost-effective method for preparation of HIV proficiency testing panels and quality control materials for use in resource-limited settings. *J Virol Methods.* 2010;163(2):295-300.

2. Arora RK, Joseph A, Van Wyk J, et al. SeroTracker: a global SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence dashboard. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2021;21(4):e75-e76.

3. Badrick T, Wienholt L, Fone D, Holzhauser D. The challenge of producing an EQA for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Pract Lab Med.* 2020;22:e00179.

4. DiGermanio C SG, Kelly K, Martinelli R, Darst O, Azimpouran M et. al. . SARS-CoV2 antibody persistence in COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors. *MedRxiv.* 2021.

5. Hasenkrug KJ, Feldmann F, Myers L, et al. Recovery from acute SARS-CoV-2 infection and development of anamnestic immune responses in T cell-depleted rhesus macaques. *bioRxiv.* 2021.

6. Inc ED. EDI™ Novel Coronavirus COVID-19 IgG ELISA Kit. http://www.epitopediagnostics.com/covid-19-elisa.

7. Sabourin KR, Schultz J, Romero J, et al. Risk Factors of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Arapahoe County First Responders-The COVID-19 Arapahoe SErosurveillance Study (CASES) Project. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2021;63(3):191-198.

8. Schultz JS, McCarthy MK, Rester C, et al. Development and Validation of a Multiplex Microsphere Immunoassay Using Dried Blood Spots for SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence: Application in First Responders in Colorado, USA. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2021;59(6).

9. WHO International Standard: First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (human) NIBSC code: 20/136. Instructions for use. 2020; 2. Available at: https://www.nibsc.org/documents/ifu/20-136.pdf.

10. Establishment of the WHO international standard and reference panel for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody. *Report of the Expert Committee on Biological Standardization,* Geneva 9-10 Available at: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/biologicals/ecbs/bs-

2020-2403-sars-cov-2-ab-ik-17-nov-2020_4ef4fdae-e1ce-4ba7-b21a-d725c68b152b.pdf?sfvrsn=662b46ae_8&download=true.

11. Klein K, DeGruy K, Rey Z, et al. A global proficiency testing programme for Xpert(R) MTB/RIF using dried tube specimens, 2013-2015. *Afr J Lab Med.* 2020;9(1):1167.

12. Benzaken AS, Bazzo ML, Galban E, et al. External quality assurance with dried tube specimens (DTS) for point-of-care syphilis and HIV tests: experience in an indigenous populations screening programme in the Brazilian Amazon. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2014;90(1):14-18.

13. Beber AM, Sabido M, Vieira JM, Bazzo ML, Benzaken AS. External quality assessment in the voluntary counseling and testing centers in the Brazilian Amazon using dried tube specimens: results of an effectiveness evaluation. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2015;48 Suppl 1:87-97.

14. West R GG, Kobokovich A. Variants, Vaccines and What They Mean For COVID-19 Testing. 2021. https://www.jhsph.edu/covid-19/articles/variants-vaccines-and-what-they-mean-for-covid19-testing.html.

Address for Correspondence:

W. Jon Windsor MLS(ASCP), MPH

Colorado School of Public Health, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA.

Email: William.windsor@cuanschutz.edu

Phone: 719-645-9037

Tables and Figures Legends

Table 1: Test platforms used to characterize the CSCP samples

Antigens[a]	Antibody Type	Format	Test Output	Source	Details[b]
Individual donor plasma characterization					
S1	Total Ig	Chemiluminescent Immunoassay	Qualitative	Ortho Vitros Cov2T	EUA
	Total Ig	Pseudotype VSV Reporter Neutralization	Quantitative	VRI	RUO Results reported as NT_{50}
Individual and pooled donor plasma characterization					
S1	Total Ig	Pseudotype HIV reporter neutralization	Quantitative	CU-Anschutz	RUO Results reports as NT
RBD and N	IgG	Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay	Qualitative	CU-Anschutz	RUO
	IgG	Multiplex Microsphere Immunoassay	Quantitative	CU-Anschutz	RUO[8]
N	IgM & IgG	Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay	Qualitative	Epitope	EUA
Virus	Total Ig	Focus Reduction Neutralization Titer	Quantitative	CU-Anschutz	Vero E6 cells cultured with SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 strain

[a]SARS-CoV-2 spike 1 (S1), receptor binding protein (RBD) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins

[b] Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorization (EUA); Research use only (RUO) and neutralization test (NT_{50}) reported as 50% of reduction of virus replication

Table 2. Concordance of user results with CSCP kits[a] containing NR, HR and LR

Test format that the CSCP user reported on:	Non-Reactive		High Reactive		Low Reactive	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Chemiluminescence Immunoassay	4/4	100	2/2	100	2/4	50
Chemiluminescent Microparticle Immunoassay	8/8	100	4/4	100	0/8	0
Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay	6/6	100	3/3	100	3/6	50
Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay	14/18	78	9/9	100	18/18	100
Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Tests	2/2	100	0/1	0	0/2	0
Lateral Flow Assay	18/20	90	9/10	90	14/20	70
Multiplex Microsphere Immunoassay	2/2	100	1/1	100	2/2	100
Total	54/60	90	28/30	93	39/60	65

[a]Each CSCP kit contains 5 coded DTS containing non-reactive, (NR), high reactive (HR) and low reactive (LR) samples with some of them repeated for in-test precision. To obtain interpretation of the coded samples, users will have to submit their results on the test platform they used, then receive a report of their correct/incorrect interpretation. The columns N are the numbers of samples that were interpreted correctly (numerator)/total of samples tested for that test platform (denominator) and the % is the number of the total samples tested that were correctly interpreted. “0” denotes none of the samples tested by users with that particular test format were concordant with the CSCP results.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Overview of CSCP characterization results: Evaluation of pooled CSCP high reactive (HR) and low-reactive (LR) samples (a-e) and reactivity of pooled HR and LR samples before and after drying (f)

Reactivity results of the high and low pooled plasma samples prior to drying. Each sub-figure represents a separate test platform and its corresponding results value are expressed on the y-axis: (a) Epitope ELISA measuring anti-Nucleocapsid; (b) CU-Anschutz developed ELISA; (c) Focus Reduction Neutralization Test with SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 strain; (d) Pseudo-virion Neutralization Test and (e) Multiplex Microsphere Immunoassay.[8] (f) Reactivity of pooled HR and LR samples before and after drying. Triplicate DTS and the pre-dried pool (liquid) sample were quantitatively assessed in dilution series as noted on the x-axis and OD value of the Epitope test results on the y-axis.

.

Figure 2. CSCP stability at 6 months, -20° C, 4° C and 22-25° C and inclusion of the WHO IS reactivity

Results displayed were triplicate DTS sets incubated continuously at -20° C (blue dot), 4° C (green dot), and 22-25° C (yellow dot) for 6 months, reconstituted per protocol with PBS diluent and tested using the Epitope ELISA assay. Result values are displayed as OD measurement on the y-axis. The red line is the OD cut-off value. The WHO IS was run in duplicate (red dot) concomitantly with the triplicate DTS samples.

Figure 1. CSCP Characterization results





Figure 2. CSCP stability at 6 months, -20° C, 4° C and 22-25° C and inclusion of the WHO IS reactivity



Please feel free to make copies for your laboratory

Center's for Global Health COVID-19 Serology Control Panel Result Form

Institution:_____	Name of User Filling Out This Form:_____
Address:_____	Phone (please include country code):_____
State:_____	Email 1:_____
City/Zip:_____	Email 2:_____
County:_____	Name of Assay:_____
Country:_____	Manufacturer:_____

Test Format

□Lateral Flow Test (LFT)	□TZM-bl antibody-mediated neutralization	□Particle agglutination test
□Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)	□Chemiluminescence Immunoassay (CLIA)	□Radioimmunoassay (RIA)
□Recombinant Pseudovirus Neutralization Test (RPVNT)	□Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay (ECLIA)	□Fiber-based Fluorescent Microsphere Immunoassay (FMIA)
□Chemiluminescent Microparticle Immunoassay (CMIA)	□Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Test (IFA)	□Other
□High Throughput Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (HE-ELISA)	□Recombinant Immunoblot Assay (RIA)	Please specify_____

Assay Detection	Result Format	Viral Proteins Used for Detection
□ IgM	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____
□ IgG	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____
□ Total IgM, IgG	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____
□ IgA	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____
□ Total IgM, IgG, IgA	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____
□ Other (please specify_____)	□ Pos, Neg, Unk | □ Numeric | □Both	□ N □ S1 □ S2 □ RBD □ Other_____

Sample ID (e.g. CP100000)	Results (e.g. Positive, Negative, 500 aby / uL, etc.)
Sample 1 ID#:_____	Sample 1 Result:_____
Sample 2 ID#:_____	Sample 2 Result:_____
Sample 3 ID#:_____	Sample 3 Result:_____
Sample 4 ID#:_____	Sample 4 Result:_____
Sample 5 ID#:_____	Sample 5 Result:_____

The DTS rehydration procedure acts as a 1:10 dilution to each CSCP sample, please account for this when calculating your final results.*

Please note that Neutralization assays may not yield results due to variability of methodology: Multiple Neutralization assay methods are being used, so the CSCP panel may not accurately portray your method's ability to detect SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.

Completed CSCP result forms and additional information or comments can be emailed to: COVIDpanel@ucdenver.edu
Completed CSCP result forms can also be mailed to the following address:
Attn: CSCP: 13001 East 17th Place, Aurora, Colorado 80045, United States of America

COVID-19 Serology Control Panel: Dried Tube Specimen (DTS) Reconstitution Procedure



1. Check the condition of each sample/tube for breaks or cracks. Verify the presence of the **green pellet** at the bottom of each unique sample.
   - Discard any specimens that appear damaged or were not capped upon receipt.
2. Uncap each DTS tube and aliquot 200uL (second line of provided pipette) of PBS-TWEEN into ***each*** individual DTS tube (~5 drops per tube).
3. Screw the cap on to the rehydrated DTS specimen with its accompanying screw cap and gently tap the bottom of the Rehydrated DTS 5 times in quick succession.
4. Allow the reconstituted DTS to sit for a minimum of 8 hours (or overnight) at ambient temperature (22-27C) to rehydrate properly.
5. Prior to testing, gently tap each tube to ensure adequate mixing. The specimen is ready for testing after the rehydration period.
6. Store the rehydrated DTS tubes at 2-5 degrees C for up to 1 month.

Note that the DTS rehydration procedure acts as a 1:10 dilution of the provided plasma. Be sure to account for this during testing and the final calculation of your results before reporting*

For More information, please visit: coloradosph.cuanschutz.edu/global-infectious-disease

COVID-19 Serology Control Panel (CSCP)

The aim of the CSCP is to provide a resource for those performing COVID-19 serology tests to help understand the performance of their COVID-19 serology testing platforms.

The CSCP is designed for laboratories conducting COVID-19 serology tests, particularly rapid diagnostic testing in low resource settings. The CSCP was validated by a network of clinical and reference laboratories, using FDA-EUA approved assays. The CSCP contains 5 coded dried tube specimens, a disposable pipette, diluent, and a job aide using a well established method.[1] Users will have to report their CSCP test results to receive an analysis of the accuracy of their findings. The analysis data will only be shared publicly with the user's permission.

The Process

1. Submit a CSCP Request Form.
   - ← *CSCP request form link*
2. CSCP kit of dried tube specimens are sent to your lab.
3. The COVID-19 serology tests in your lab can be used on the CSCP kit.
4. You report the CSCP results back to us.
   - ← *CSCP result submission link*
5. We tell you the true values of the CSCP.
   - You own your data and it is **only shared with your permission.**
   - You can see how your serology test compares to other serology tests in the CSCP database.
   - We provide technical support and help troubleshoot issues.



Potential CSCP uses for your lab:

- COVID-19 Serology test comparisons
- Quality Control
- Training material
- Multi-Center Research Studies

The results you provide will:

- Inform users of which COVID-19 serology tests are most accurate.
- Help us evaluate the relative quality of COVID-19 serology tests being used globally.
- Help you troubleshoot COVID-19 serology test performance issues.

1 B.S. Parekh et al./Journal of Virological Methods (2009). DOI: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.10.013

To request a CSCP, please fill out a request form on our website:

coloradosph.cuanschutz.edu/global-infectious-disease

Or email: COVIDpanel@ucdenver.edu

Supported by:

Bill & Melinda Gates foundation

Colorado School of Public Health Center for Global Health