

1 **Knowledge, attitude, and practice related to the COVID-19 pandemic among**
2 **undergraduate medical students in Indonesia: a nationwide cross-sectional study**

3 Imam Adli¹, Indah S. Widyahening^{2,*}, Gilbert Lazarus¹, Jason Phowira¹, Lyanna Azzahra¹,
4 Bagas Ariffandi¹, Azis Muhammad Putera¹, David Nugraha³, Nico Gamalliel¹, Ardi
5 Findyartini^{4,5}

6 ¹Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

7 ²Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta,
8 Indonesia

9 ³Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

10 ⁴Department of Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta,
11 Indonesia

12 ⁵Medical Education Center, Indonesia Medical Education and Research Institute, Faculty of
13 Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

14 ***Corresponding author:** Indah S. Widyahening, MD, PhD. Department of Community
15 Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta 10430, Indonesia. E-mail:
16 indah_widyahening@ui.ac.id

17

18 **Short title:** Indonesian undergraduate medical students' knowledge, attitude, and practice
19 toward COVID-19

20

21

22

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 **Introduction** The potential role of medical students in raising awareness during public health
25 emergencies has been acknowledged. To further explore their potentials as public educators and
26 role models for the communities during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, a
27 study is conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practice of these students toward
28 COVID-19.

29 **Methods** An online cross-sectional survey was conducted among undergraduate medical
30 students in Indonesia. Socio-demographical characteristics, social interaction history,
31 information-seeking behavior, as well as knowledge, attitude, and practice toward COVID-19
32 were collected through a self-reported questionnaire. A p-value of <0.05 indicated statistical
33 significance.

34 **Results** Out of 4870 respondents, 64.9% and 51.5% had positive attitude and practice toward
35 COVID-19 while only 29.8% had adequate knowledge. Knowledge was slightly positively
36 correlated with attitude and practice ($\rho=0.074$ and $\rho=0.054$, respectively; both $p<0.001$), while
37 attitude was weakly correlated with practice ($\rho=0.234$, $p<0.001$). Several factors including age,
38 sex, place of residence, institution type, academic level, family income, history of chronic
39 illness, prior volunteering experience, and perceptual awareness on COVID-19 were
40 significantly associated with either knowledge, attitude, and/or practice toward COVID-19.
41 Furthermore, health institution's and the government's press releases, as well as health expert
42 opinions were deemed as the most reliable sources of COVID-19-related information – yet
43 trivially none of these sources were associated with knowledge, attitude, and practice in the study
44 population.

45 **Conclusion** Many undergraduate medical students in Indonesia had positive attitude and practice
46 against COVID-19, yet only a few had adequate knowledge. This warrants further interventions
47 to keep them updated with COVID-19 evidence to maximize their potentials in raising public
48 awareness on COVID-19.

49

50 **Keywords** Attitude, Behavior, COVID-19, Knowledge, Indonesia, Medical students, Practice

51

52

53 INTRODUCTION

54 Since the declaration of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a pandemic in early
55 2020[1], global communities have strived to implement various strategies in mitigating the
56 devastating disease burden caused by the virus. In Indonesia, the government has prompted
57 several unprecedented measures to control the spread of the disease[2], including implementing
58 large-scale social distancing, increasing the capacity of COVID-19 diagnostic tests, and
59 launching a national research consortium to accelerate innovations to combat the disease.[3,4]
60 Despite these, the COVID-19 disease spread remains concerning as the number of cases and
61 deaths resulting from the disease has perpetually surged – rendering Indonesia as the hardest-hit
62 country in the region.[2] This may partly be attributable to the fact that COVID-19 literacy
63 among Indonesian population is still poor.[5,6] Although a previous study revealed that
64 Indonesian population has had positive behaviors toward COVID-19 prevention, some of the
65 essential preventive measures were still lacking, especially in terms of social distancing, self-
66 isolation, maintenance of healthy lifestyle, and health-seeking behavior.[5] This indicated that
67 further strategies to increase the public’s awareness and preventive behaviors on COVID-19 are
68 imperative, in which medical students may provide unyielding support by taking part in raising
69 awareness on COVID-19.

70 The role of medical students in raising awareness during public health emergencies have
71 long been established. A systematic review by Martin et al revealed that medical students have
72 been involved in public health campaigns during previous viral outbreaks, including human
73 immunodeficiency virus, influenza, severe acute respiratory syndrome, and Ebola.[7] Being
74 perceived as having a higher level of health literacy, medical students may serve as role models
75 for the public to adopt COVID-19 preventive health behaviors. During the COVID-19 pandemic,

76 Indonesian medical students have also taken part in disseminating health information to the
77 public, mainly through the use of social media and news outlets.[8] However, as the disease
78 burden of COVID-19 in Indonesia persists despite rigorous efforts, comprehensive assessments
79 of medical students' knowledge, attitude, and practice are imperative to further enhance their
80 potentials in educating the public. Specifically, this may provide crucial information for
81 stakeholders to identify field gaps and devise strategies to further encourage communities to
82 follow health standards. Furthermore, this information may also be utilized by medical
83 institutions to improve the medical curricula to prepare for future outbreaks. Therefore, this
84 study aims to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practice of Indonesian undergraduate medical
85 students toward the COVID-19 disease.

86

87 **METHODS**

88 **Study design and population**

89 A cross-sectional survey among undergraduate medical students in Indonesia was
90 conducted from 13 July to 11 October 2020. Participants were recruited using a snowball
91 sampling technique and all data were collected via an online self-reported questionnaire using
92 Google Forms (<http://forms.google.com/>). The questionnaire was filled anonymously,
93 voluntarily, and with consent given by all respondents. All procedures conducted in this study
94 have been approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine
95 Universitas Indonesia and Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital (ethical clearance number:
96 758/UN2.F1/ETIK/PPM.00.02/2020).

97 **Measurement tool**

98 The questionnaire was developed through literature searches of previously validated
99 questionnaires[9–12], which was then translated to Bahasa Indonesia through backward and
100 forward translations by GL, IA, and AMP, and modified to match the context of the study.
101 Further details on the calculation of minimum sample size and the development of the
102 questionnaire have been previously discussed elsewhere.[13]

103 The questionnaire encompassed four primary sections including: (1) socio-demographical
104 characteristics, (2) social interaction history, (3) levels of trust in COVID-19 health information
105 sources, and (4) knowledge, attitude, and practice. Socio-demographical characteristics consisted
106 of age, sex, place of residence, institution type, academic level, living circumstances, marital
107 status, family income, and history of chronic diseases. Living circumstances comprised the
108 number of people living in the household and type of household (e.g., family, non-family, or
109 alone), while family income was classified as low, lower-middle, upper-middle, and high-income
110 class for monthly family income of \leq IDR 1,500,000, IDR 1,500,001-2,500,000, IDR 2,500,001-
111 3,500,000, and $>$ IDR 3,500,000, respectively[14]. On the other hand, the social interaction
112 history section aimed to assess the volunteering experience of respondents in health and non-
113 health sectors, their own and family's COVID-19 disease history, and their history of physical
114 contacts with COVID-19 patients. In addition, we also assessed the medical students' general
115 perception on the reliability of various health information sources, including televisions,
116 newspapers, online news, social media, official statements from the government and health
117 institutions, and expert opinions.

118 The final section aimed to investigate the participants' knowledge, attitude, and practice
119 toward the COVID-19 disease. Knowledge was assessed using a 10-items questionnaire
120 encompassing various areas of COVID-19 disease, including pathogenesis, clinical presentation,

121 diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Each correct answer accounts for one point with a
122 maximum score of 10 points. In contrast, attitude and practice were measured using two 12 five-
123 point Likert scales with a maximum score of 55 points each. Higher knowledge, attitudinal, and
124 behavioral scores indicated favorable perceptions. Lastly, the reliability of the questionnaire was
125 appraised using Cronbach's alpha, with a coefficient for knowledge, attitude, and practice of
126 0.655, 0.726, 0.807, respectively, indicating satisfactory internal reliability[15].

127

128 **Statistical analysis**

129 Submitted responses were collected in and managed with the MS Excel[®] for Office 365
130 MSO ver. 2002 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, 2018). Subsequently, data were
131 analyzed using SPSS 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and visualized using R ver. 4.0.3 (R
132 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria)[16]. Categorical data were presented as
133 frequency and percentages, while continuous data as means or medians along with the
134 appropriate measure of dispersion according to the normality of data distribution as tested with
135 Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests.

136 Outcomes on the knowledge, attitude, and practice were dichotomized according to the
137 Bloom's cut-off ($\geq 80\%$)[17], where a sum knowledge score of ≥ 8 indicated adequacy, and a
138 score of ≥ 44 indicated positive attitude and practice, respectively. Potential factors associated
139 with the dependent variables were first analyzed using univariate logistic regression. Any factors
140 associated with each outcome at $p \leq 0.20$ were deemed eligible for inclusion in the multivariate
141 analysis. In addition, correlation between trust in health information sources and knowledge,
142 attitude, and practice, as well as intercorrelations among the dependent variables were
143 investigated with Spearman's rho (ρ). A p-value of < 0.05 denoted statistical significance.

144

145 **RESULTS**

146 Out of 4870 participants with a median age of 20 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 19-21),
147 3399 (69.8%) were female and 3925 (80.6%) were pre-clinical students. Most participants
148 resided in Java (86.9%), followed by Eastern Indonesia (6.0%), Sumatra (4.9%), and Central
149 Indonesia (2.1%). Further details on the characteristics of the included participants can be seen
150 on **S1 Table**.

151

152 **Knowledge, attitude, and practice toward COVID-19**

153 We discovered that about 64.9% and 51.5% respondents yielded positive attitude and
154 practice toward COVID-19, while only 29.8% yielded adequate knowledge score. Most of the
155 participants were knowledgeable in the prevention (97.1%), respiratory transmission (96.4%),
156 clinical and radiological findings (83.9% and 80.8%), and therapeutic aspects of COVID-19
157 (83.3%). However, low numbers of correct answers were found in questions related to the
158 laboratory findings (31.8%), zoonotic transmission route of SARS-CoV-2 (31.8%), and the
159 differences in clinical manifestations between COVID-19 and common cold (57.1%; **S2 Table**).
160 Furthermore, only 16.1% of the participants correctly answered the incubation period of SARS-
161 CoV-2. In addition, a considerable number of participants believed that the communities'
162 awareness on COVID-19 were still lacking (37.0%) and disagreed that COVID-19 patients could
163 be treated at home (22.5%). Item-specific responses of the attitude and practice of Indonesian
164 medical students toward COVID-19 can be seen on **S3 Table** and **S4 Table**, respectively.

165 In this study, we found that the correlation between knowledge with attitude and practice
166 was negligible ($\rho=0.074$ and $\rho=0.054$, respectively; both $p<0.001$). Attitude was also weakly

167 correlated with practice ($\rho=0.234$, $p<0.001$; **Fig 1**). Our findings indicated that both female and
 168 older students were more knowledgeable about COVID-19 (OR 1.22 [95% CI: 1.06-1.40],
 169 $p=0.006$; and OR 1.06 [95% CI: 1.00-1.12], $p=0.034$, respectively; **Table 1**). Furthermore,
 170 students attending public institutions had a higher knowledge score than those attending private
 171 institutions (OR 1.32 [95% CI: 1.15-1.50], $p<0.001$). We also found that clinical-year students
 172 (OR 1.66 [95% CI: 1.35-2.04], $p<0.001$) and students with prior volunteering experience, either
 173 in health sectors (OR 1.26 [95% CI: 1.07-1.49], $p=0.007$) or non-health sectors (OR 1.33 [95%
 174 CI: 1.15-1.52], $p<0.001$), were associated with higher knowledge scores. Students with a history
 175 of chronic illness also had a higher knowledge score (OR 1.33 [95% CI: 1.04-1.70], $p=0.022$). In
 176 contrast, students living in Sumatra and Eastern Indonesia had significantly lower knowledge
 177 scores relative to those living in Java (OR 0.73 [95% CI: 0.54-0.99], $p=0.044$; and OR 0.73 [95%
 178 CI: 0.55-0.97], $p=0.028$, respectively).

179

180 **Fig 1.** Correlation between knowledge, attitude, and practice toward COVID-19 in the study
 181 population. *** $p<0.001$

182

183 **Table 1.** Factors associated with knowledge on COVID-19 in the study population (n=4870)

Variable	Univariate			Multivariate		
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Age (years)	1.17	1.13, 1.22	<0.001	1.06	1.00, 1.12	0.034
Sex						
Male	ref			ref		
Female	1.19	1.04, 1.36	0.013	1.22	1.06, 1.40	0.006
Location						
Java	ref			ref		
Sumatra	0.86	0.64, 1.16	0.319	0.73	0.54, 0.99	0.044
Central Indonesia ^a	1.48	0.99, 2.21	0.057	1.41	0.93, 2.12	0.103
Eastern Indonesia ^b	0.88	0.67, 1.15	0.338	0.73	0.55, 0.97	0.028
Institution type						
Public	1.29	1.14, 1.46	<0.001	1.32	1.15, 1.50	<0.001

Private	ref			ref		
Academic level						
Pre-clinical	ref			ref		
Clinical	2.06	1.78, 2.39	<0.001	1.66	1.35, 2.04	<0.001
Living with ^c						
Family	ref					
Non-family	1.08	0.62, 1.89	0.795			
Alone	1.12	0.94, 1.34	0.202			
Number of housemate (people) ^c	1.01	0.99, 1.03	0.313			
Living with children						
Yes	0.95	0.84, 1.07	0.401			
No	ref					
Living with elderly						
Yes	1.14	0.99, 1.32	0.070	1.14	0.98, 1.32	0.084
No	ref			ref		
Marital status						
Married	0.94	0.37, 2.43	0.901			
Not married	ref					
Divorced	2.35	0.15, 37.67	0.545			
Family income						
≤ IDR 1,500,000	1.01	0.76, 1.33	0.975			
IDR 1,500,001-2,500,000	0.84	0.64, 1.11	0.229			
IDR 2,500,001-3,500,000	0.92	0.75, 1.12	0.414			
> IDR 3,500,000	ref					
History of chronic illness						
Yes	1.40	1.10, 1.77	0.006	1.33	1.04, 1.70	0.022
No	ref			ref		
Volunteered in health sectors						
Yes	1.60	1.37, 1.86	<0.001	1.26	1.07, 1.49	0.007
No	ref			ref		
Volunteered in non-health sectors						
Yes	1.43	1.25, 1.63	<0.001	1.33	1.15, 1.52	<0.001
No	ref			ref		
Family members diagnosed with COVID-19						
Yes	1.16	0.93, 1.45	0.184	1.05	0.82, 1.34	0.698
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.83	0.69, 1.00	0.047	0.87	0.71, 1.07	0.180
Contacts with COVID-19 patients						
Yes	1.68	1.23, 2.31	0.001	1.36	0.96, 1.93	0.086
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.88	0.75, 1.02	0.083	0.91	0.77, 1.07	0.254
Had been a COVID-19 patient						
Yes ^d	1.15	0.56, 2.39	0.669	0.85	0.39, 1.86	0.691
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.89	0.75, 1.05	0.152	0.89	0.74, 1.06	0.192

184 Texts in bold indicate statistical significance. ^aIncludes Sulawesi and Kalimantan. ^bIncludes Bali,
185 Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, and Papua. ^cDefined as the people living with the respondents at the
186 time of questionnaire completion. ^dIncludes both confirmed and unconfirmed (suspected or
187 probable) cases. CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IDR, Indonesian
188 Rupiah; OR, odds ratio.

189
190 Consistent with our findings in the knowledge section, students with prior volunteering
191 experience in either health or non-health sectors yielded higher attitude and practice scores
192 (health sectors: OR 1.19 [95% CI: 1.00-1.41], p=0.045 and OR 1.25 [95% CI: 1.07-1.47],
193 p=0.006; non-health sectors: OR 1.25 [95% CI: 1.10-1.41], p=0.001 and OR 1.16 [95% CI: 1.03-
194 1.31], p=0.019; **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively). Furthermore, female students also had better
195 practice (OR 1.46 [95% CI: 1.28-1.65], p<0.001). However, contrary to our findings in the
196 knowledge section, we discovered that students in public universities had a relatively lower
197 practice score compared students in private universities (OR 0.71 [95% CI: 0.63-0.80], p<0.001).
198 Students from lower-middle income class also had lower practice scores relative to that of
199 students from high income class (OR 0.69 [95% CI: 0.54-0.89], p=0.004; **Table 3**). In addition,
200 we also found that students whose family members had been infected by COVID-19 had a more
201 positive attitude (OR 1.53 [95% CI: 1.19-1.97], p=0.001), while conversely students who were
202 unaware of their history of contacts with COVID-19 patients or their history of COVID-19
203 infection had lower practice scores (OR 0.80 [95% CI: 0.68-0.93], p=0.003, and OR 0.78 [95%
204 CI: 0.66-0.92], p=0.003, respectively)

205
206 **Table 2.** Factors associated with attitude toward COVID-19 in the study population (n=4870)

Variable	Univariate			Multivariate		
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Age (years)	1.05	1.01, 1.09	0.018	1.04	0.98, 1.09	0.196
Sex						
Male	ref					
Female	0.96	0.84, 1.09	0.492			
Location						
Java	ref			ref		
Sumatra	0.99	0.76, 1.31	0.967	0.92	0.69, 1.22	0.547
Central Indonesia ^a	1.00	0.66, 1.50	0.990	0.93	0.62, 1.42	0.749
Eastern Indonesia ^b	0.84	0.66, 1.07	0.151	0.83	0.64, 1.07	0.144
Institution type						
Public	1.11	0.99, 1.25	0.086	1.12	0.98, 1.23	0.093
Private	ref			ref		
Academic level						
Pre-clinical	ref			ref		
Clinical	1.16	1.00, 1.35	0.050	1.00	0.81, 1.23	0.979
Living with ^c						
Family	ref					
Non-family	1.02	0.59, 1.75	0.953			
Alone	0.92	0.78, 1.09	0.338			
Number of housemate (people) ^c	1.02	0.99, 1.04	0.177	1.02	0.99, 1.04	0.190
Living with children						
Yes	1.04	0.92, 1.16	0.577			
No	ref					
Living with elderly						
Yes	1.06	0.92, 1.22	0.415			
No	ref					
Marital status						
Married	1.36	0.53, 3.50	0.530			
Not married	ref					
Divorced	□	-□, +□	0.999			
Family income						
≤ IDR 1,500,000	1.01	0.77, 1.33	0.930	1.03	0.77, 1.36	0.865
IDR 1,500,001-2,500,000	0.68	0.53, 0.87	0.002	0.70	0.54, 0.89	0.005
IDR 2,500,001-3,500,000	0.89	0.73, 1.07	0.207	0.91	0.75, 1.10	0.328
> IDR 3,500,000	ref			ref		
History of chronic illness						
Yes	1.05	0.82, 1.33	0.719			
No	ref					
Volunteered in health sectors						
Yes	1.34	1.14, 1.57	<0.001	1.19	1.00, 1.41	0.045
No	ref			ref		
Volunteered in non-health sectors						
Yes	1.28	1.14, 1.45	<0.001	1.25	1.10, 1.41	0.001
No	ref			ref		
Family members diagnosed with COVID-19						
Yes	1.63	1.29, 2.07	<0.001	1.53	1.19, 1.97	0.001

No	ref			ref		
Don't know	1.01	0.85, 1.20	0.909	1.00	0.83, 1.20	0.974
Contacts with COVID-19 patients						
Yes	1.65	1.15, 2.35	0.006	1.34	0.91, 1.98	0.137
No	ref			Ref		
Don't know	1.03	0.89, 1.19	0.674	0.97	0.83, 1.14	0.726
Had been a COVID-19 patient						
Yes ^d	0.97	0.48, 1.98	0.933	0.60	0.28, 1.27	0.182
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	1.15	0.99, 1.35	0.077	1.13	0.95, 1.34	0.174

207 Texts in bold indicate statistical significance. ^aIncludes Sulawesi and Kalimantan. ^bIncludes Bali,
 208 Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, and Papua. ^cDefined as the people living with the respondents at the
 209 time of questionnaire completion. ^dIncludes both confirmed and unconfirmed (suspected or
 210 probable) cases. CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IDR, Indonesian
 211 Rupiah; OR, odds ratio.

212

213 **Table 3.** Factors associated with practice toward COVID-19 in the study population (n=4870)

Variable	Univariate			Multivariate		
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Age (years)	1.04	1.00, 1.08	0.036	1.01	0.96, 1.07	0.626
Sex						
Male	ref			ref		
Female	1.47	1.30, 1.67	<0.001	1.46	1.28, 1.65	<0.001
Location						
Java	ref					
Sumatra	1.07	0.82, 1.39	0.631			
Central Indonesia ^a	0.79	0.53, 1.17	0.235			
Eastern Indonesia ^b	0.97	0.76, 1.22	0.772			
Institution type						
Public	0.72	0.64, 0.81	<0.001	0.71	0.63, 0.80	<0.001
Private	ref			ref		
Academic level						
Pre-clinical	ref			ref		
Clinical	1.15	0.99, 1.32	0.060	1.07	0.88, 1.31	0.479
Living with ^c						
Family	ref					
Non-family	0.77	0.46, 1.30	0.323			
Alone	1.08	0.91, 1.27	0.386			
Number of housemate (people) ^c	1.01	0.99, 1.03	0.329			
Living with children						
Yes	1.01	0.90, 1.13	0.870			

No	ref					
Living with elderly						
Yes	0.99	0.86, 1.12	0.825			
No	ref					
Marital status						
Married	1.89	0.76, 4.68	0.172	1.83	0.73, 4.62	0.200
Not married	ref			ref		
Divorced	0.94	0.06, 15.08	0.967	0.90	0.06, 14.65	0.941
Family income						
≤ IDR 1,500,000	0.99	0.76, 1.29	0.946	1.00	0.76, 1.30	0.973
IDR 1,500,001-2,500,000	0.71	0.56, 0.91	0.007	0.69	0.54, 0.89	0.004
IDR 2,500,001-3,500,000	0.98	0.82, 1.17	0.797	0.99	0.82, 1.19	0.888
> IDR 3,500,000	ref			ref		
History of chronic illness						
Yes	0.94	0.75, 1.18	0.571			
No	ref					
Volunteered in health sectors						
Yes	1.30	1.12, 1.50	0.001	1.25	1.07, 1.47	0.006
No	ref			ref		
Volunteered in non-health sectors						
Yes	1.21	1.08, 1.36	0.001	1.16	1.03, 1.31	0.019
No	ref			ref		
Family members diagnosed with COVID-19						
Yes	1.08	0.88, 1.34	0.457	1.08	0.86, 1.36	0.493
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.76	0.65, 0.90	0.002	0.90	0.75, 1.07	0.236
Contacts with COVID-19 patients						
Yes	1.35	0.98, 1.85	0.065	1.26	0.89, 1.78	0.200
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.71	0.62, 0.82	<0.001	0.80	0.68, 0.93	0.003
Had been a COVID-19 patient						
Yes ^d	1.76	0.85, 3.64	0.126	1.54	0.72, 3.30	0.263
No	ref			ref		
Don't know	0.67	0.58, 0.78	<0.001	0.78	0.66, 0.92	0.003

214 Texts in bold indicate statistical significance. ^aIncludes Sulawesi and Kalimantan. ^bIncludes Bali,
215 Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, and Papua. ^cDefined as the people living with the respondents at the
216 time of questionnaire completion. ^dIncludes both confirmed and unconfirmed (suspected or
217 probable) cases. CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IDR, Indonesian
218 Rupiah; OR, odds ratio.

219

220 **Levels of trust toward COVID-19 health information sources**

221 In addition to the knowledge, attitude, and practice toward COVID-19, we also explored
222 the study population's levels of trust toward COVID-19-related national health information
223 sources. We discovered that most of the participants considered that health information released
224 by health institutions (89.3%), health experts (78.0%), and the government (70.8%) to be
225 reliable. In contrast, only 26.0% and 12.7% of the participants deemed online news and media
226 social to be trustworthy (**Fig 2**). Nearly half of the participants also trusted the health information
227 broadcasted by television and newspaper outlets (45.8% and 44.3%, respectively). No robust
228 correlations could be established between trust in specific health information sources and the
229 students' knowledge, attitude, or practice. Although the negative association between trust in
230 social media and the students' knowledge was statistically significant, the magnitude of the
231 correlation was clinically negligible ($\rho = -0.0439$; $p = 0.006$; **S5 Table**).

232

233 **Fig 2.** Levels of trust of the study population toward COVID-19 health information released by
234 various media outlet.

235

236 **DISCUSSION**

237 Medical education primarily aims to inculcate medical students' high-quality skills and
238 competence in preparing them as future healthcare professionals. In a global health crisis,
239 medical students play a pivotal role in raising public awareness, which in turn may contribute to
240 successful emergency management by mitigating risks, supporting preventive measures, and
241 minimizing negative psychological burdens.[18] To maximize the potentials of these students in
242 educating the communities, it is thus important to explore their knowledge, attitude, and practice
243 toward COVID-19. The present cross-sectional study showed that a majority of Indonesian

244 medical students had a positive attitude and practice against COVID-19. However, this number
245 was not accompanied by a proportionate number of students with adequate knowledge,
246 indicating the urgent need to take active measures to keep these students updated with COVID-
247 19-related evidence.

248 In the present study, female medical students were superior to males in terms of knowledge
249 and practice. This finding is further validated by a meta-analysis demonstrating that women were
250 49.5% more likely to practice and adopt health-protective behaviors in the context of a pandemic
251 outbreak.[19] Our study also revealed that a higher percentage of students from public medical
252 schools demonstrated adequate knowledge towards COVID-19, while medical students from
253 private institutions reported a higher level of practice. Although these results may have noted the
254 importance of embedding and promoting equality between public and private medical
255 institutions as well as between fellow public or private medical institutions themselves in terms
256 of the medical curriculum adopted and opportunities to practice clinical skills, these findings
257 should be interpreted cautiously, especially considering the complex interrelationship between
258 the explored variables.

259 One of the most apparent findings to emerge from the analysis was that medical students in
260 clinical years yielded higher knowledge scores compared to pre-clinical students. This result may
261 be explained by the fact that medical students in their final years have been exposed to more
262 experiences and learning opportunities in clinical setup, thereby offering a vast wealth of
263 potential to help and contribute to the pandemic response. With the rigorous years of clinical
264 training they have undergone, qualified clinical-year medical students may therefore contribute
265 beyond being public educators by volunteering to clinically assist the healthcare workers in
266 fighting against COVID-19[20].

267 Our analysis further validated that voluntary participation, whether in health or non-health
268 sectors, played a major role in determining one's levels of knowledge, attitude, and practice. In
269 response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, a plethora of volunteering efforts has been
270 established and launched. Willingness to volunteer has been demonstrated to be higher in those
271 who establish preparedness behavior and exhibit higher awareness of responsibility.[13] In
272 addition, depending on the role and scope, volunteering might offer the opportunities to develop
273 relevant knowledge and skills, a positive sense of community, and pro-social behavior.[21]
274 These might explain the higher scores observed in the present survey among medical students
275 with volunteering experience. Accordingly, based on our findings, incremental efforts should
276 more specifically be made by the medical institutions in promoting volunteerism and
277 encouraging more medical students to partake in volunteering opportunities to gain indispensable
278 learning opportunities and collaborate with other healthcare professionals.[22]

279 Additionally, it was also evident from our study that poor practices were demonstrated
280 among respondents who were unsure whether or not they had been in contact with any COVID-
281 19 positive patient or had been infected with COVID-19. This finding might indicate that the
282 lack of awareness surrounding COVID-19 negatively affects one's level practice. Therefore, a
283 comprehensive approach to increase the awareness of surrounding environment of these students,
284 and in a broader scope – the general population, is urgently required. This may be achieved
285 through rigorous contact tracing, intensive risk communication, as well as mass education
286 efforts.[23]

287 With the exponential technological advancement over the past few decades, social media
288 seems to be the most plausible way to promote public health behavioral change to increase
289 COVID-19 protective measures.[24] However, our findings suggested that trust in social media

290 as a source of COVID-19 health information was inversely associated with favorable practice in
291 the study population. Although the correlation was clinically negligible, this result noted the
292 importance of managing the information flow of social media, as a source of unfiltered and
293 potentially misleading information, while simultaneously protecting one's freedom of speech.
294 This might be attained by developing algorithms and capabilities to detect fake news, cultivating
295 a standard of conduct in dealing with fake news, and increasing the media literacy and ethical
296 standards of digital users.[25] Nevertheless, it is worth noting that further studies investigating
297 the association between social media and COVID-19 preventive practice among the Indonesian
298 general population are required to confirm our premises as the current study population was
299 confined to medical students. Furthermore, the fact that virtually no other health information
300 sources was significantly associated with the levels of knowledge, attitude, or practice toward
301 COVID-19 in the study population may also indicate that some more influential resources such
302 as scientific articles or medical textbooks, which were unexplored in this study, may impact the
303 overall trend.

304 Overall, the gaps in knowledge relating to COVID-19 persisted although most respondents
305 in the study demonstrated a high level of attitude and a fair level of practice. These results
306 showed that positive attitude and appropriate practices regarding COVID-19 documented among
307 medical students might suggest their valuable role as role models for the general population.
308 However, as future health professionals, demonstration of high standards of attitude and practice
309 has to be aptly supported by excellence in clinical knowledge and understanding, especially if
310 they are to be involved in global health emergencies. Addressing this knowledge gap will
311 warrant not only a more effective public education but also a safer and more efficient

312 involvement of medical students in public health emergencies beyond their role in raising public
313 awareness.[7]

314 One's level of knowledge is substantially influenced by an effective and efficient education
315 system that plays a key role in ensuring high-quality teaching and learning. The low level of
316 knowledge reported might be justified by the rapidly evolving COVID-19 evidence[26], which
317 represents an enormous challenge to medical education and thus may subsequently hinder the
318 delivery of educational materials. Our findings necessitate prompt actions by medical institutions
319 to enhance the breadth of knowledge and understanding of Indonesian medical students in
320 regards to COVID-19, particularly with reference to infection prevention and control principles.
321 This was demonstrated by Boodman et al., who described the involvement of medical students in
322 Canada to produce a weekly evidence-based newsletter designed to answer COVID-19 clinical
323 questions raised by doctors. Besides improvements observed in research and inter-professional
324 communication skills among the students, this strategy allowed medical students to gain a deeper
325 understanding of COVID-19 while contributing in a concrete way to the pandemic.[27] In
326 addition, attention should also be paid to gradually allow medical students to engage safely in
327 patient-based training with an appropriate balance of online and in-person learning. In-person
328 activities can be conducted by mitigating the risk of physical contact with patients through
329 physical distancing and suitable personal protective equipment .[28]

330 The findings of our study might be of assistance and applicable for stakeholders and
331 policymakers in designing and transforming existing public health interventions and medical
332 curriculum to equip medical students with the appropriate tools to adapt during a global health
333 crisis. The current pandemic has corroborated the noteworthiness of implementing exhaustive
334 and systematic disaster training programs as part of the medical school curriculum to fight not

335 only the current pandemic but also future unforeseeable global health crises. A key priority
336 should therefore be to plan these dedicated programs to strengthen students' disaster and
337 pandemic preparedness against similar global health calamities.[29]

338 The relatively large sample size and our geographical reach contributed to the strength of
339 the study. Furthermore, the questionnaire had previously been validated and yielded a fair
340 reliability, thus further ascertaining the validity of our findings. However, the study was limited
341 by the unbalanced distribution of pre-clinical and clinical medical students, which could have
342 potentially limited the generalizability of the study results. Moreover, due to the cross-sectional
343 nature of the survey, we were not able to disentangle the directionality of the relationships
344 observed. An additional uncontrolled factor was the possibility that possible confounding
345 variables, which were not scrutinized in this study, might affect our results. To the best of our
346 knowledge, this is the first reported study assessing the levels of knowledge, attitude, and
347 practice toward the COVID-19 disease among Indonesian medical students, thus providing key
348 parameters for policymakers and institutions in formulating effective strategies and tools to
349 enhance the medical students' potentials in raising public awareness and protective practices in
350 the current COVID-19 pandemic and prospective potential public health emergencies.

351

352 **CONCLUSION**

353 Undergraduate medical students in Indonesia had a considerably positive attitude and
354 practice against COVID-19. However, further interventions are required as these figures were
355 not complemented with a proportionate number of students with adequate knowledge. Such
356 interventions should aim to keep the students updated with COVID-19 evidence and
357 simultaneously providing them with opportunities to contribute to the pandemic as public

358 educators and role models for communities, while also equipping them with appropriate
359 knowledge and skills to prepare for future public health emergencies. In turn, this approach may
360 create a positive feedback loop enhancing the students' knowledge, attitude, and practice, which
361 were positively intercorrelated in this study.

362

363 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

364 The authors would like to thank the collaborators of the MEDICO-19 Research Group for
365 their contributions in data collection: Alanis Maryjane Mamahit, An Nahl Aulia Hakim, Astrid
366 Cynthia Latief, Ervin Widyantoro Pramono, Feliani Sanjaya, Felix Kurniawan Adithia, Gabriel
367 Tandecxi, Melisa Canggra, Muhammad Mufaiduddin, Rowaida Putri Anggaily Bian, Yoriska,
368 and Zefo Kiyosi Wibowo. The authors would also like to express their gratitude to Prof.
369 Mohamed Izham Mohamed Ibrahim of the College of Pharmacy, QU Health, Qatar University
370 for the insights in the development of the questionnaire, as well as Mrs. Bira Arnetha and Ms.
371 Sandhita Utami for the support in administering the project. Lastly, the authors would like to
372 thank fellow students and every institutional official for the help in disseminating the
373 questionnaire.

374

375 **REFERENCES**

- 376 1. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta Biomedica*. Mattioli
377 1885; 2020. pp. 157–160. doi:10.23750/abm.v91i1.9397
- 378 2. World Health Organization. Indonesia: WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19)
379 dashboard. 2020 [cited 27 Oct 2020]. Available:
380 <https://covid19.who.int/region/searo/country/id>

- 381 3. Setiati S, Azwar MK. COVID-19 and Indonesia. *Acta Med Indones.* 2020;52: 84–89.
- 382 4. Djalante R, Lassa J, Setiamarga D, Sudjatma A, Indrawan M, Haryanto B, et al. Review
383 and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March
384 2020. *Prog Disaster Sci.* 2020;6: 100091. doi:10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091
- 385 5. Sulistyawati S, Rokhmayanti R, Aji B, Wijayanti SPM, Kurnia Widi Hastuti S, Sukesi
386 TW, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, practices and information needs during the COVID-19
387 pandemic in Indonesia. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy.* 2021;14: 163–175.
388 doi:10.2147/RMHP.S288579
- 389 6. Saefi M, Fauzi A, Kristiana E, Adi WC, Muchson M, Setiawan ME, et al. Survey data of
390 COVID-19-related knowledge, attitude, and practices among Indonesian undergraduate
391 students. *Data Br.* 2020;31: 105855. doi:10.1016/j.dib.2020.105855
- 392 7. Martin A, Blom IM, Whyatt G, Shaunak R, Viva MIF, Banerjee L. A rapid systematic
393 review exploring the involvement of medical students in pandemics and other global
394 health emergencies. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2020; 1–13.
395 doi:10.1017/dmp.2020.315
- 396 8. Lazarus G, Mangkuliguna G, Findyartini A. Medical students in Indonesia: An invaluable
397 living gemstone during coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Korean J Med Educ.* 2020;32:
398 237–241. doi:10.3946/KJME.2020.165
- 399 9. Olum R, Chekwech G, Wekha G, Nassozi DR, Bongomin F. Coronavirus disease-2019:
400 Knowledge, attitude, and practices of health care workers at Makerere University
401 Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. *Front Public Heal.* 2020;8: 181.
402 doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00181

- 403 10. Zhong BL, Luo W, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, Li WT, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and
404 practices towards COVID-19 among chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the
405 COVID-19 outbreak: A quick online cross-sectional survey. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2020;16:
406 1745–1752. doi:10.7150/ijbs.45221
- 407 11. Erfani A, Shahriarirad R, Ranjbar K, Mirahmadizadeh A, Moghadami M. Knowledge,
408 attitude and practice toward the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak: A population-
409 based survey in Iran. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2020. doi:10.2471/BLT.20.251561
- 410 12. World Health Organization. Survey tool and guidance: Rapid, simple, flexible behavioural
411 insights on COVID-19 - 29 July 2020. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe;
412 2020.
- 413 13. Lazarus G, Findyartini A, Putera AM, Gamalliel N, Nugraha D, Adli I, et al. Willingness
414 to volunteer and readiness to practice of undergraduate medical students during the
415 COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey in Indonesia. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021;21:
416 138. doi:10.1186/s12909-021-02576-0
- 417 14. Herlia DD. Analisis konsumsi rumah tangga miskin di Kelurahan Kebonsari Kulon
418 Kecamatan Kanigaran Kota Probolinggo. University of Muhammadiyah Malang. 2017.
- 419 15. Taber KS. The use of Cronbach’s alpha when developing and reporting research
420 instruments in science education. *Res Sci Educ.* 2018;48: 1273–1296.
421 doi:10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2
- 422 16. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R
423 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020.

- 424 17. Seid MA, Hussen MS. Knowledge and attitude towards antimicrobial resistance among
425 final year undergraduate paramedical students at University of Gondar, Ethiopia. *BMC*
426 *Infect Dis.* 2018;18. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3199-1
- 427 18. Savoia E, Lin L, Viswanath K. Communications in public health emergency preparedness:
428 A systematic review of the literature. *Biosecurity and Bioterrorism.* Mary Ann Liebert,
429 Inc.; 2013. pp. 170–184. doi:10.1089/bsp.2013.0038
- 430 19. Moran KR, Del Valle SY. A meta-analysis of the association between gender and
431 protective behaviors in response to respiratory epidemics and pandemics. *PLoS One.*
432 2016. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164541
- 433 20. Drexler R, Hambrecht JM, Oldhafer KJ. Involvement of medical students during the
434 coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey study. *Cureus.* 2020;12.
435 doi:10.7759/cureus.10147
- 436 21. Eley D. Perceptions of and reflections on volunteering: The impact of community service
437 on citizenship in students. *Volunt Action* 53 2746. 2003; 27–45.
- 438 22. Sheu LC, Zheng P, Coelho AD, Lin LD, O’Sullivan PS, O’Brien BC, et al. Learning
439 through service: Student perceptions on volunteering at interprofessional hepatitis B
440 student-run clinics. *J Cancer Educ.* 2011. doi:10.1007/s13187-010-0142-6
- 441 23. Chatterjee R, Bajwa S, Dwivedi D, Kanji R, Ahammed M, Shaw R. COVID-19 risk
442 assessment tool: Dual application of risk communication and risk governance. *Prog*
443 *Disaster Sci.* 2020;7: 100109. doi:10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100109
- 444 24. Al-Dmour H, Masa’deh R, Salman A, Abuhashesh M, Al-Dmour R. Influence of social

- 445 media platforms on public health protection against the COVID-19 pandemic via the
446 mediating effects of public health awareness and behavioral changes: Integrated model. *J*
447 *Med Internet Res.* 2020;22. doi:10.2196/19996
- 448 25. Hartley K, Vu MK. Fighting fake news in the COVID-19 era: Policy insights from an
449 equilibrium model. *Policy Sci.* 2020;53: 735–758. doi:10.1007/s11077-020-09405-z
- 450 26. Osuchowski MF, Aletti F, Cavaillon JM, Flohé SB, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Huber-
451 Lang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19: Evolving reality, global response, knowledge
452 gaps, and opportunities. *Shock.* 2020;54: 416–437. doi:10.1097/SHK.0000000000001565
- 453 27. Boodman C, Lee S, Bullard J. Idle medical students review emerging COVID-19 research.
454 *Med Educ Online.* 2020. doi:10.1080/10872981.2020.1770562
- 455 28. Gordon M, Patricio M, Horne L, Muston A, Alston SR, Pammi M, et al. Developments in
456 medical education in response to the COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid BEME systematic
457 review: BEME Guide No. 63. *Medical Teacher.* 2020.
458 doi:10.1080/0142159X.2020.1807484
- 459 29. Ashcroft J, Byrne MHV, Brennan PA, Davies RJ, Davies RJ. Preparing medical students
460 for a pandemic: A systematic review of student disaster training programmes. *Postgrad*
461 *Med J.* 2020;0: 1–12. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-137906

462 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION CAPTIONS**

463

464 **S1 Table.** Characteristics of the study population

465 **S2 Table.** Item-specific responses on the participants' knowledge on COVID-19

466 **S3 Table.** Item-specific responses on the participants' attitude towards COVID-19

467 **S4 Table.** Item-specific responses on the participants' practice towards COVID-19

468 **S5 Table.** Correlation between the students' level of trust in health information sources with
469 knowledge, attitude, and practice toward COVID-19