Attitudes towards a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination in France for the general population or healthcare workers in May 2021

Amandine Gagneux-Brunon[1,2,3,4], Elisabeth Botelho-Nevers[1,2,3], Marion Bonneton[4,5], Patrick Peretti-Watel, Pierre Verger[6,7], Odile Launay[4,5], Jeremy K. Ward[8,7].

1 Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Team GIMAP, Univ Lyon, Université Jean Monnet, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Inserm, U1111, CNRS, UMR530

2 CIC INSERM 1408 Vaccinologie, CHU de Saint-Etienne

3 Chaire PREVACCI, Université Jean Monnet, Saint-Etienne, France

4 Inserm, F-CRIN, I-REIVAC/COVIREIVAC, 75679 Paris, France

5 Université de Paris, Inserm CIC 1417, Assistance publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, hôpital Cochin, 75679 Paris, France France

6 Observatoire régional de la santé Paca, Marseille, France

7 VITROME (Aix Marseille Université, IRD, AP-HM, SSA), Marseille, France

8 CERMES3 (INSERM, CNRS, EHESS, Université de Paris), Villejuif, France

Corresponding author : Dr Amandine Gagneux-Brunon, MD-Ph-D, amandine.gagneux-brunon@chu-st-etienne.fr, ORCID : 0000-0002-0892-2187

Abstract:

Introduction: In France, in June 2021, the daily number of first doses of COVID-19 vaccines is decreasing and vaccine coverage might be insufficient to achieve herd immunity. Making vaccination mandatory might contribute to increasing vaccine coverage although this measure could induce

reactance. Our aim was to evaluate attitudes to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination in France. Our aim was to evaluate attitudes of toward COVID-19 mandatory vaccination in France.

Methods: Between the 10th and the 23rd of May 2021, we conducted a cross-sectional online survey among a representative sample of the French population aged 18 and over participants.

Results: Among 3,056 respondents, 1,314 (43.0 %) were in favor of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination, 1,281 (41.9 %) were opposed to such a policy, and 461 (15.1 %) were undecided. Among opponents to COVID-19 mandatory vaccination for the general population, 385 (30.05 %) were in favor of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination for healthcare workers. Younger individuals, individuals with little fear of getting infected, no intention of getting vaccinated, lack of trust in the government, and respondents who felt close to the green party or the far left were associated with opposition to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy.

Conclusion: Attitudes toward mandatory COVID-19 vaccination are split in the French general population, and the debate might be politicized.

Key words: COVID-19; vaccine; mandatory vaccine; attitudes; politics; partisanhip; vaccination

Funding: This research was carried out within the COVIREIVAC platform (intended for COVID-19 vaccine clinical research) and received financial support from INSERM, the Ministry of Health, and The Ministry of Higher Education and Research. Authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Introduction

In December 2019, China reported the first cases of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) due to a new Coronavirus: the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Since March 2020, the world has been facing a pandemic with more than 178 million of COVID-19 registered cases and there have been more than 3,875,000 related deaths worldwide related [1]. Vaccines were developed at "a pandemic speed"[2]. More than 3 billions of COVID-19 vaccines have been administered, worldwide, by the end of June 2021 [1]. Using the Reproductive number (R0) of the SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan strain, herd immunity threshold was estimated to be 60 % [3]. The emergence of more transmissible variants (variants of concerns (VOC)) with potentially reduced vaccine effectiveness results in a rise in the herd immunity threshold [4]. The higher transmissibility of the delta variant means that an estimated 80 % proportion of the immunized population through vaccination and/or natural infection would be necessary to reach herd immunity [5].

The European Center for Disease Control continuously monitors vaccine coverage in target populations and in the general population in the European Union. On the 24th of June 2021, 31.9 % of people 18 years of age and older had been fully vaccinated, and 60.7 % of them have received at least one dose of the vaccine [6]. In a French survey conducted by the COVIREIVAC between the 10th and the 23rd of May 2021, 77 % of the respondents declared that they would like to get vaccinated or had already been vaccinated (8). The daily number of first doses is currently decreasing while only a half of the adult population has received one dose. In addition, on the 15th of June, only 41.9 % of the healthcare workers (HCWs) working in long-term care facilities and hospitals and 69.4 % of HCWs working in ambulatory care were fully vaccinated according to Santé Publique France (the French public health agency) [7]. This vaccine coverage remains far from the vaccination intentions observed in the general population, and it highlights the gap between intention and action (getting vaccinated).

To reach herd immunity the Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS) recommended COVID-19 vaccination in French teenagers over the age of 12 [8] on the 3rd of June 2021. Since the emergence of VOC, some countries have made or are thinking about making the COVID-19 vaccine mandatory for HCWs (Italy, Saudi Arabia, Ireland, United Kingdom, France), or the general population [9] . In March 2021, the French National Academy of Medicine stated that the COVID-19 vaccine should be mandatory for HCWs [10]. On the 25th of May 2021, they reiterated their statement and suggested mandatory vaccination against COVID-19 for different occupations and activities: HCWs, essential workers, students, travel, etc [11]…These statements were not endorsed by the French authorities at this time. However, the publication of vaccine coverage data in HCWs and outbreaks in nursing homes led the French government to say publicly that they would consider a mandatory vaccination policy for HCWs starting next September if the coverage did not improve over the summer. Furthermore, it is arguable that the conditions have now been met to make COVID-19 vaccine mandatory for HCWs, and hepatitis B and diphtheria, tetanus, and poliomyelitis vaccines are mandatory for HCWs in France [12]. In the past, making a vaccine mandatory for French HCWs for example the hepatitis B vaccine) was associated with high vaccine coverage [13].

However, a COVID-19 vaccine mandate might be counterproductive particularly if it is not acceptable for a great majority of the population [14]. Such a policy can have detrimental consequences: less uptake of other vaccines, decrease in adherence to personal protective measures for example. A mandatory program could enhance suspicion of both vaccines in general and public health authorities.

In this context, our aims were to evaluate the opinion toward a mandatory vaccination policy for the general population and specifically for HCWs in France, and to identify factors associated with opposition with such a policy.

Methods

Design and sample

Between the 10th and the 23rd of May 2021, we conducted a cross-sectional online survey among a representative sample of the French population aged 18 and over, with participants who were randomly selected from an online research panel of more than 750,000 nationally representative households of the general population. This panel was developed and maintained by Bilendi (Paris, France), a survey research firm Random sampling was stratified to match French official census statistics for gender, age, occupation, size of the population in the area of residence and region. The study design was approved by the ethical committee of the University Hospital Institute Méditerranée Infection (#2021–001).

Data collected

In addition to background socio-economic variables (gender, age, profession), we collected intention or history of COVID-19 vaccination, concerns about COVID-19 and opinion of vaccines in general. Respondents were asked to which French political party they felt the closest (among a quite comprehensive list of 17 parties), and responses were encoded into: Far-Left, Green party, Left, Center, Right governmental parties, Far-Right, and feeling close to no party. Regarding COVID-19 mandatory vaccination, respondents were asked whether they think that vaccination against COVID should be mandatory for the entire population. Respondents against mandatory COVID-19 vaccination were asked whether they think that COVID-19 vaccination should be mandatory for HCWs. The answers were yes, no or don't know.

Statistical analysis

Attitude toward a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination were merged into a binary outcome: 'opposition to COVID-19 mandatory vaccine policy' equaled 1 if participants answered No, otherwise the value was 0.We first used bivariate analyses and a logistic regression to investigate factors associated with opposition to mandatory COVID-19 vaccine, using respondents' socio-economic background, COVID-19 concern, and political preferences as covariates. We used bivariate analyses and a logistic regression to investigate factors associated with the attitude toward a COVID-19 vaccine mandate for

HCWs in respondents opposed to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy for all. As the corresponding sample sizes were smaller, we used a forward stepwise selection method (entry threshold p <0.1) to retain statistically significant covariates only.

Results

A total of 3,056 individuals answered the questionnaire (1,455 men). Among the respondents, 1,314 (43.0 %) were in favor of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination, 1,281 (41.9 %) were opposed to such a policy, and 461 (15.1 %) were undecided. Among the respondents, 1,317 (43.1 %) trusted pharmaceutical companies, and 1,428 (46.7 %) trusted the government in the management of the crisis.

Table 1 displays the results of descriptive and multivariate analyses highlighting factors associated with opposition to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy. Opinion differed between age groups, 69.4 % of the 75 years of age and older group were in favor of a mandatory vaccination policy, 62.9 % in the group 65-74 age group, 43.8 % in the 50-64 years age group, 36.6 % in the 35-49 age group, 18.3 % in 25-34 age group and 32.1% in the group 18-25 age group (p<0.01). The multivariate analysis confirmed that opinion toward a mandatory COVID-19 policy differed between age groups, younger individuals were more likely to be opposed to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination.

Table 1: Factors associated with opposition to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy

	Opposition to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy			
	Univariate analysis		aOR (N=2802)	
	n	%		
Gender				
Male (n=1,455)	605	41.59	Ref	
Women (n=1,601)	676	42.19	0.99 (0.73-1.33)	
Age groups				
18-24 (n=315)	**169**	**53.51**	**4.67**	**1.73 - 12.61**
25-34 (n=447)	**274**	**61.37**	**3.74**	**1.57 - 8.93**
35-49 (n=737)	**364**	**49.36**	**2.82**	**1.27 - 6.29**
50-64 (n=745)	**301**	**40.37**	1.99	0.99 - 4

65-74 (n=439)	**105**	**24.02**	**1.36**	**1.02 - 1.81**
75 and older (n=373)	**68**	**18.17**	ref	
Socio-professional categories				
Farmers, Artisans, shopkeepers. CEOs (n=130)	**68**	**52.23**	Ref	
Executives and intellectual professionals (n=327)	**154**	**46.94**	1.41	0.6 - 3.29
Intermediate professions (n=423)	**200**	**47.25**	0.86	0.47 - 1.59
Employees (n=437)	**224**	**51.33**	0.8	0.43 - 1.47
Blue collar Workers (n=336)	**196**	**58.30**	0.98	0.51 - 1.87
Retired (n=1,032)	**264**	**25.53**	0.72	0.32 - 1.61
Unemployed (n=371)	**175**	**47.30**	0.46	0.19 - 1.1
Healthcare workers				
Yes (n=291)	140	48.06		
No (n= 2765)	1141	41.26		
Fear of getting infected				
Not frightened (n=899)	**461**	**51.23**	**1.82**	**1.19 - 2.78**
Not very frightened (n=809)	**323**	**39.91**	1.25	0.8 - 1.95
Very frightened (n=778)	**322**	**41.43**	**1.59**	**1.05 - 2.42**
Extensively frightened (n=571)	**175**	**30.67**	Ref	
Intention of getting vaccinated				
Yes or already vaccinated (n=2,348)	**678**	**28.86**	Ref	
No (n=708)	**603**	**85.16**	**10.67**	**6.41 - 17.76**
Trust in pharmaceutical companies				
Yes (n = 1,317)	**395**	**29.97**	1.0	1.0
no (n = 1,739)	**886**	**50.94**	1.3	0.95 - 1.79
Trust in government during the pandemic				
Yes (n = 1,428)	**391**	**27.38**	1.0	1.0
no (n = 1,628)	**890**	**54.64**	**1.78**	**1.29 - 2.45**
Favorable opinion toward vaccines in general				
Yes (n = 2,349)	**788**	**33.54**	1.0	1.0
no/undecided (n = 707)	**493**	**69.70**	**2.81**	**1.85 -4.27**
Partisan preference				
Others (n = 97)	**38**	**38.65**	1.26	0.39 - 4.04
no declared preference (n=1,028)	**471**	**45.77**	0.94	0.58 - 1.53
Far left (n=252)	**145**	**57.72**	**1.89**	**1.06 - 3.38**
Left (n=272)	**98**	**36.19**	1.22	0.69 - 2.17
Green party (n=217)	**113**	**52.11**	**2.08**	**1.14 - 3.81**
Center (n=449)	**112**	**24.97**	Ref	
Right (n=292)	**83**	**28.49**	0.85	0.47 - 1.54
Far right (n=450)	**221**	**49.02**	1.04	0.61 - 1.77
Science does people (n=2,756)				
more good than harm (n = 1,131)	**418**	**35.83**	1.0	1.0
about as much good as harm (n = 1,448)	**654**	**45.19**	0,89	0,65 - 1,22
more harm than good (n = 177)	**125**	**70.38**	0,78	0,34 - 1,81

All variables with a p value <0.1 in univariate analysis were integrated in the regression model. aOR: adjusted odds ratio. Variables associated with the attitude toward a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy are in Bold (with p value <0.05)

Women were less often in favor of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination than men (40 % vs 46.3 %) and accounted for a greater proportion of undecided individuals (17.8 vs 12.1 %, p=0.01). In

multivariate analysis, gender was not associated with attitude toward a COVID-19 vaccine mandate. Opposition against a mandatory COVID-19 policy was highest among blue collar workers (58.3 %) and the lowest among retired respondents (25.5 %), and ranged between 46.9 % and 52.2 % in the other professional categories. These differences between professional categories did not persist after adjustment on covariates. Among the respondents, 291 were HCWs, 140 (38.6 %) of them were opposed to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination, the difference was not significant with the general population.

A small majority of the people (54.9 %) who intended to get vaccinated or who had already been vaccinated were in favor of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. In multivariate analysis, personal attitude toward COVID-19 vaccination was an important predictor of the attitude toward a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination with an aOR 10.67 (95 % CI 6.41 - 17.76).

Differences in attitude toward a COVID-19 mandatory vaccination were observed depending on political affiliation. The proportions of opponents to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy were respectively 57.7 %, 52.11 %, and 49 % in far-left, green party and far right partisans. In multivariate analysis, being partisan of the green party and of far left parties remained associated with opposition to a COVID-19 mandatory vaccination in comparison with partisan of the center with respective aOR 2.08 (95 % CI 1.14 - 3.81), and 1.89 (95 % CI 1.06 - 3.38).

Respondents with an unfavorable or no opinion of vaccines in general were also reluctant to accept a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy, 69.7 % of them were opposed to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy. Low trust in the government was also associated with reluctance to accept a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy with aOR 1.78 (95 % CI°1.29 - 2.45).

Among the 1,281 individuals -140 (10.9 %) HCWs- opposed to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination, 385 (30.1 %) were nevertheless in favor of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination for HCWs. Factors associated with acceptance of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy limited to HCWs are depicted in Table 2.The proportion of individuals against a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy but accepting mandatory vaccine for HCWs was 26.6 % and 30.5 % in HCWs and the general population

(p=0.54), respectively. In multivariate analysis, no factor was associated with acceptance of a specific mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy for HCWs.

Table 2: Factors associated with acceptance of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy only for Healthcare workers in the French general population

	Univariate analysis Row %	aOR (n=1184)	
Gender			
Male (n=605)	31.96	Ref	
Women (n=676)	28.45	1,10	0,71 - 1,71
Age groups			
18-24 (n=168)	**32.13**	0,95	0,23 - 3,97
25-34 (n=275)	**20.72**	0,41	0,11 - 1,5
35-49 (n=367)	**23.25**	0,48	0,14 - 1,72
50-64 (n=301)	**37.35**	1,01	0,31 - 3,3
65-74 (n=105)	**40.48**	0,63	0,37 - 1,08
75 and older (n=68)	**51.66**	Ref	
Socio-professional categories			
Farmers. Artisans. shopkeepers. CEOs(n=68)	22.23	0,61	0,18 - 2,11
Executives and intellectual professionals (n=154)	32.13	Ref	
Intermediate professions (n=200)	28.85	0,93	0,41 - 2,08
Employees (n=224)	22.74	0,62	0,26 - 1,43
Workers (n=196)	26.12	0,73	0,3 - 1,79
Retired (n=263)	42.19	1,15	0,32 - 4,16
Unemployed (n=175)	28.56	1,06	0,36 - 3,16
Fear of getting infected			
Not frightened (n=461)	25.58	0,65	0,35 - 1,23
Not very frightened (n=323)	26.91	0,65	0,33 - 1,3
Very frightened (n=222)	34.49	0,92	0,47 - 1,8
Extremely frightened (n=175)	39.88	Ref	
Intention of getting vaccinated			
Yes or already vaccinated (n=678)	**42.64**	Ref	
No (n=603)	**16.02**	**0,32**	**0,19 - 0,53**
Trust in pharmaceutical companies			
Yes (n = 395)	**39.43**	Ref	
no (n = 886)	**25.95**	0,84	0,5 - 1,41
Trust in government during the pandemic			
Yes (n = 391)	**39.21**	Ref	
no (n = 890)	**26.11**	0,74	0,43 - 1,27
Favorable opinion toward vaccines in general			
Yes (n = 789)	31.33		
no/undecided (n = 493)	28.15		
Partisan preference			
Others (n = 37)	**23.37**	0,74	0,17 - 3,29
no declared preference (n=471)	**21.74**	0,75	0,31 - 1,86
Far left (n=145)	**31.95**	0,84	0,3 - 2,37
Left (n=98)	**32.94**	0,96	0,35 - 2,63

Green party (n=113)	**32.78**	0,98	0,32 – 3,0
Center (n=112)	**40.29**	ref	
Right (n=83)	**43.00**	1,45	0,5 - 4,2
Far right (n=221)	**35.23**	1,78	0,68 - 4,63
Science does people			
more good than harm (n = 405)	**35.05**	Ref	
about as much good as harm (n = 654)	**31.38**	1,00	0,62 - 1,62
more harm than good (n = 125)	**16.63**	0,75	0,3 - 1,87

Only variables associated with a p value <0.1 were integrated in the regression model. aOR: adjusted odds ratio, Variables associated with the attitude toward COVID-19 mandatory vaccination in Healthcare are in Bold (with p value <0.05)

Discussion

In this survey, we observed that the opinion of the general population of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy is split, as only 43 % of the respondents were in favor. Among the opponents to such a policy, around one third was in favor of a COVID-19 mandatory vaccine for HCWs. The following factors: younger age, no intention of getting vaccinated, little fear about COVID-19, lack of trust in the government during the pandemic and support for far left and green parties were associated with a greater opposition to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy. Most of these characteristics are also associated with COVID-19 vaccination refusal [15,16].

A mandatory COVID-19 vaccine is a current issue, while countries like France and Germany seem close to reaching a glass ceiling in the proportion of the vaccinated adult population (around 61 % of the general population, 64. 5 % in Israel, and 53.8 % in the USA) [6,17] . The proportion of opponents to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine in France is not far from the 51 % proportion observed in a German study carried out in June and July 2020 [18]. We observed a higher proportion of opponents in France than in the USA, Greece and Australia. In the USA, in September 2020, before the launch of the vaccine campaign, only 17.3 % of the Americans were against mandatory COVID-19 vaccination [19]. In Greece, in October 2020, only 25.7 % of the population were against compulsory COVID-19 vaccination [20]. In Australia, 73 % of the population said they will support the government requiring the coronavirus vaccine for activities such as travel, work, and study, and only 9 % were clearly opposed to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine [21].

Mandatory COVID-19 vaccination for the general population is likely to become a highly politicized issue. It has been previously observed that attitudes toward vaccine mandates were even more influenced by partisan orientations than vaccination intentions [22].The influence of political identities on attitudes to vaccines was also previously observed for intention to get vaccinated against COVID-19 in France [16]. In the USA, democrats were more likely to be in favor of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination than republicans [19]. In Australia, major party voters are more in favor of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. In France, far left and green party partisans were more prone to be reluctant to COVID-19 vaccine mandates. Moreover, in France and in Greece, a lack of trust in authorities during the pandemic was associated with opposition to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination [20]. These observations contrast with observation in Germany, political preferences did not seem to be associated with attitudes toward a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination [18]. Negative attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccine uptake and COVID-19 mandates seems to be associated with opposition to government [16,19] .

In addition to political opinion, factors as age, gender, and very highly perceived personal risk for a severe COVID-19 may contribute to the attitude toward a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. Older individuals were less reluctant to accept a COVID-19 mandatory vaccination mandates and to get COVID-19 vaccination in France and in Germany [15,18]. In Greece, individuals with chronic medical conditions were more often in favor of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination [20]. In Germany, opponents to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination assumed that the dangerousness of COVID-19 is overestimated and individuals in favor considered that the others underestimated the dangerousness of COVID-19 [18]. Complacency plays a role in individual decision to vaccinate but may also contribute to attitudes to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination [23]. Complacency may in part explain why that the young are less likely to get vaccinated than the elderly, and are more reluctant to accept a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. Curiously, in our sample, the group that was very frightened of COVID-19 (Q3 of the score of fear) exhibited more opposition than individuals little frightened to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. Confidence may also contribute to attitude to a

mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy. In the panel of respondents; almost one third of respondents were not convinced that mRNA COVID-19 vaccines are safe and effective; this proportion exceeded 60 % for viral vector vaccines [24].

The public acceptance of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination is low in France but may change. Opinion of mandatory childhood vaccines has changed several times in the last two decades [25]. Before making COVID-19 vaccine mandatory other levers must be activated, especially since the president of France promised in December 2020 that the vaccine would not be made mandatory. It is urgent to increase vaccine outreach in communities [26]. Incentives may also contribute to increase vaccine coverage [27,28]. We did not assess attitudes toward incentives for COVID-19 vaccination in our sample. Incentives may also raise ethical issues and political issues: incentives produce a short term effect, late adopters are rewarded while early adopters are not, vaccination incentives could be seen as signaling that the vaccine is undesirable or unsafe [29]. A detrimental effect of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy is quite uncertain in France. Santé Publique France observed an increase in vaccine coverage of non-mandatory vaccines since the extension of mandatory vaccinations to 11 vaccines in infants born after January 1st 2018, and a slight increase in the proportion of the French population favorable to vaccines in general [30].

Our study has several limitations. First, sample size is limited for the younger age groups, however the observations have been weighted for age, gender, professional categories, and living areas. Then, 2,244 respondents were aged 64 years or less and 812 were aged 65 or more. Older age and antecedents or intention to take up COVID-19 vaccination were great predictors of attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccine mandates. In addition, undecided respondents were not asked about their attitudes to a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination for HCWs. As we observed that one third of the opponents to COVID-19 vaccine mandates in the general population were in favor of specific mandates for HCWs, we cannot estimated the true proportion of the population in favor of a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination for HCWs and identified factors associated with such an attitude.

In conclusion, the opinions toward COVID-19 vaccine mandates are split in France during the vaccination campaign in May 2021. COVID-19 vaccine mandates will be a highly political issue, one year before the next French presidential election. However, if France hits the glass ceiling and fails to achieve herd immunity, a mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy should be accompanied by educational efforts targeted at younger adults. If a fourth wave hits France in the Autumn and if a more comprehensive outreach program is not put in place by then, the dilemma might well be: what would be less unacceptable: mandatory vaccination, or new containment measures?

Aknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Cyril Bérenger (Database manager, ORS PACA), Sébastien Cortaredona (Statistician, IRD), Lisa Fressard (Statistician, ORS PACA), Gwenaelle Maradan (Logistician, ORS PACA) and Alvaro Sanchez (Statistician) for their data collection and analysis, Glyn Thoiron for English editing and Delphine Grison for her help.

Funding: This research was carried out within the COVIREIVAC platform (intended for COVID-19 vaccine clinical research) and received financial support from INSERM, the Ministry of Health, and The Ministry of Higher Education and Research.

1. COVID-19 Map [Internet]. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. [cited 2020 Apr 28]. Available from: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html

2. Lurie N, Saville M, Hatchett R, Halton J. Developing Covid-19 Vaccines at Pandemic Speed. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Mar 30;0(0):null.

3. Omer SB, Yildirim I, Forman HP. Herd Immunity and Implications for SARS-CoV-2 Control. JAMA. 2020 Nov 24;324(20):2095–6.

4. Hodgson D, Flasche S, Jit M, Kucharski AJ, Group CC-19 W. The potential for vaccination-induced herd immunity against the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 variant. Eurosurveillance. 2021 May 20;26(20):2100428.

5. Public Health England. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation. :69.

6. COVID-19 Vaccine Tracker | European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 24]. Available from: https://vaccinetracker.ecdc.europa.eu/public/extensions/COVID-19/vaccine-tracker.html#target-group-tab

7. SPF. COVID-19 : point épidémiologique du 17 juin 2021 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 24]. Available from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/maladies-et-traumatismes/maladies-et-infections-respiratoires/infection-a-coronavirus/documents/bulletin-national/covid-19-point-epidemiologique-du-17-juin-2021

8. Covid-19 : la vaccination des adolescents présente des bénéfices individuels et collectifs [Internet]. Haute Autorité de Santé. [cited 2021 Jun 24]. Available from: https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/p_3269830/fr/covid-19-la-vaccination-des-adolescents-presente-des-benefices-individuels-et-collectifs

9. Stokel-Walker C. Covid-19: The countries that have mandatory vaccination for health workers. BMJ. 2021 Jun 29;373:n1645.

10. La vaccination des soignants contre la Covid-19 doit devenir obligatoire – Académie nationale de médecine | Une institution dans son temps [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 27]. Available from: https://www.academie-medecine.fr/la-vaccination-des-soignants-contre-la-covid-19-doit-devenir-obligatoire/

11. « Obligation » n'est pas un gros mot quand il s'agit de vacciner contre la Covid-19 – Académie nationale de médecine | Une institution dans son temps [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from: https://www.academie-medecine.fr/obligation-nest-pas-un-gros-mot-quand-il-sagit-de-vacciner-contre-la-covid-19/

12. Gagneux-Brunon A, Botelho-Nevers E, Launay O. Are the conditions met to make COVID-19 vaccination mandatory for healthcare professionals? Infect Dis Now. 2021 Jun 16;S2666-9919(21)00417-6.

13. SPF. Couverture vaccinale des soignants travaillant dans les établissements de soins de France. Résultats de l'enquête nationale Vaxisoin, 2009. Numéro thématique. Vaccinations et risque infectieux chez le personnel des établissements de santé [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 27]. Available from: /determinants-de-sante/vaccination/couverture-vaccinale-des-soignants-

travaillant-dans-les-etablissements-de-soins-de-france.-resultats-de-l-enquete-nationale-vaxisoin-2009.-numero

14. Sprengholz P, Betsch C, Böhm R. Reactance revisited: Consequences of mandatory and scarce vaccination in the case of COVID-19. Appl Psychol Health Well Being. 2021 May 25;

15. Detoc M, Bruel S, Frappe P, Tardy B, Botelho-Nevers E, Gagneux-Brunon A. Intention to participate in a COVID-19 vaccine clinical trial and to get vaccinated against COVID-19 in France during the pandemic. Vaccine. 2020 21;38(45):7002–6.

16. Ward JK, Alleaume C, Peretti-Watel P, COCONEL Group. The French public's attitudes to a future COVID-19 vaccine: The politicization of a public health issue. Soc Sci Med. 2020 Nov;265:113414.

17. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations - Statistics and Research [Internet]. Our World in Data. [cited 2021 Jun 30]. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations

18. Graeber D, Schmidt-Petri C, Schröder C. Attitudes on voluntary and mandatory vaccination against COVID-19: Evidence from Germany. PLoS One. 2021;16(5):e0248372.

19. Largent EA, Persad G, Sangenito S, Glickman A, Boyle C, Emanuel EJ. US Public Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Vaccine Mandates. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Dec 18;3(12):e2033324.

20. Giannouchos TV, Steletou E, Saridi M, Souliotis K. Mandatory vaccination support and intentions to get vaccinated for COVID-19: Results from a nationally representative general population survey in October 2020 in Greece. J Eval Clin Pract. 2021 Jun 1;

21. Smith DT, Attwell K, Evers U. Support for a COVID-19 vaccine mandate in the face of safety concerns and political affiliations: An Australian study. Politics. 2021 May 7;02633957211009066.

22. Kahan DM. Vaccine Risk Perceptions and Ad Hoc Risk Communication: An Empirical Assessment [Internet]. Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network; 2014 Jan [cited 2021 Jun 30]. Report No.: ID 2386034. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2386034

23. REPORT OF THE SAGE WORKING GROUP ON VACCINE HESITANCY [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/october/1_Report_WORKING_GROUP_vaccine_hesitancy_final.pdf

24. Enquête COVIREIVAC: les français et la vaccination | ORS Paca [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 30]. Available from: http://www.orspaca.org/notes-strategiques/enqu%C3%AAte-covireivac-les-fran%C3%A7ais-et-la-vaccination

25. Attwell K, Ward JK, Tomkinson S. Manufacturing Consent for Vaccine Mandates: A Comparative Case Study of Communication Campaigns in France and Australia. Front Commun [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jun 30];6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcomm.2021.598602/full

26. Wilkinson E. Covid-19 vaccine outreach: "local knowledge, contacts, and credibility really, really matter". BMJ. 2021 Jun 18;373:n1547.

27. Savulescu J. Good reasons to vaccinate: mandatory or payment for risk? Journal of Medical Ethics. 2021 Feb 1;47(2):78–85.

28. Pennings S, Symons X. Persuasion, not coercion or incentivisation, is the best means of promoting COVID-19 vaccination. Journal of Medical Ethics [Internet]. 2021 Jan 27 [cited 2021 Jun 17]; Available from: http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2021/01/26/medethics-2020-107076

29. Volpp KG, Cannuscio CC. Incentives for Immunity - Strategies for Increasing Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake. N Engl J Med. 2021 May 26;

30. SPF. Bulletin de santé publique vaccination. Novembre 2020. [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 27]. Available from: /determinants-de-sante/vaccination/documents/bulletin-national/bulletin-de-sante-publique-vaccination.-novembre-2020