medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Title

Accuracy of machine learning algorithms for the diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder based on cerebral sMRI, rs-fMRI, and EEG: protocols for three systematic reviews and meta-analyses

Authors

I. Amir Valizadeh [1], MD, thisisamirv@gmail.com, ORCID
II. Mana Moassefi [1], MD, Moassefi@gmail.com, ORCID
III. Amin Nakhostin-Ansari [2], MD, a-nansari@alumnus.tums.ac.ir, ORCID
IV. Iman Menbari Oskoie [2], MD, imanmenbary@gmail.com, ORCID
V. Soheil Heidari Some'eh [2,3], MD, S-heidari@student.tums.ac.ir, ORCID
VI. Faezeh Aghajani [2,3], MD, faezehaghajani.fa@gmail.com, ORCID
VII. Mehrnush Torbati [4], MD, M.storbati@yahoo.com, ORCID
VIII. Zahra Maleki Ghorbani [2,3], MD, Malmandi25@gmail.com, ORCID
IX. Reyhaneh Aghajani [2,3], MD, Reyhaneh.aghajani1376@gmail.com, ORCID
X. Seyed Hossein Hosseini Asl [2,3], MD, hoseinihocein@gmail.com, ORCID
XI. Alireza Mirzamohammadi [2,3], MD, alireza.mirzamohamadi@shahed.ac.ir, ORCID
XII. Mohammad Ghafouri [2], MD, mohammadghafouri1372@gmail.com, ORCID
XIII. Shahriar Faghani [5,6], MD, Shahriar.faghani@gmail.com, ORCID
XIV. Amir Hossein Memari [2], MD, Mehranamir@yahoo.com, ORCID

Contact

Amir Hossein Memari
Iran, Tehran Province, Tehran, District 6, Gisha Bridge, Jalal-e-Al-e-Ahmad Hwy, No. 7, Sports and Exercise Medicine Research Center
Phone: 0982188630227; Email: Mehranamir@yahoo.com

[1] Tehran University of Medical Sciences
[2] Sports Medicine Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences
[3] Students' Scientific Research center, Exceptional Talents Development Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences
[4] Islamic Azad University of Zahedan
[5] Shariati Hospital, Department of Radiology, Tehran University of Medical Sciences
[6] Interdisciplinary Neuroscience Research Program (INRP), Tehran University of Medical Sciences

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Administrative information

Registration

Submitted in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under the submission numbers: 262575 (sMRI), 262825 (rs-fMRI), and 262831 (EEG).

Contributions

AV is the leading author for protocol development, analyses, and dissemination. AM is the corresponding author. All authors will contribute to data interpretation and article drafts.

Amendments

Important protocol amendments post registration will be recorded and included in dissemination.

Support

These studies are funded by the Sports Medicine Research Center, Tehran, Iran.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declare there are no conflicts of interest regarding this study or its possible results.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Objective: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of the applied machine learning algorithms for the diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) based on structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI), resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI), and electroencephalography (EEG).

Methods: We will include cross-sectional studies (both single-gates and two-gates) that have evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of machine learning algorithms on the sMRI data of ASD patients regardless of age, sex, and ethnicity. On the 22nd of May 2021, we searched Embase, MEDLINE, APA PsycINFO, IEEE Xplore, Scopus, and Web of Science for eligible studies. We also searched grey literature within various sources. We will use an adapted version of the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool to assess the risk of bias and applicability. Data will be synthesized using the relatively new Split Component Synthesis (SCS) method. We plan to assess heterogeneity using the I^2 statistics and assess publication bias using trim and fill tests combined with ln DOR. Certainty of evidence will be assessed using the GRADE approach for diagnostic studies.

Funding: These studies are funded by Sports Medicine Research Center, Tehran, Iran.

Registration: PROSPERO submission IDs: 262575, 262825, and 262831.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Rationale

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a developmental disability represented by restricted, repetitive patterns of manners, interests, or activities and results in continuous impairment in social activities (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). ASD is believed to be a product of complex interactions between environmental factors and genetics (Chaste & Leboyer, 2012). Some reports indicate a prevalence as high as 1 in 59 children for this disorder (Maenner et al., 2020). Despite many advances in the field of psychiatry, a definite diagnosis is often not made before the age of 4-5 (Baio et al., 2018). There is evidence that early diagnosis results in a better prognosis (Fernell et al., 2013). So emerging new methods to facilitate early diagnosis are necessary. Standard clinical pathway varies across different health systems; In some countries, children get screened using pre-diagnosis screening methods and potential cases will be referred to specialized services by general practitioners (Thabtah & Peebles, 2019). In such cases, lack of training and specialized knowledge might result in late diagnosis. In some other countries, professionals will be directly approached with the patient and his/her parents, often without former screening (Vllasaliu et al., 2016), which usually happens when the patient develops significant signs and symptoms.

ASD is usually diagnosed by specialized physicians within a medical unit using a diagnostic questionnaire. It requires medical professionals to conduct a clinical assessment of the patient's developmental age based on a variety of domains (e.g., behavior excesses, communication, self-care, social skills) (Thabtah & Peebles, 2019), assessment of the history of pregnancy and birth, neurological examination, physical examination with development measurement (mainly head circumference), and using electroencephalogram and magnetic resonance imaging to rule out other neurological disorders (Filipek et al., 1999). ASD cannot yet be diagnosed by objective-specific and sensitive biomarkers.

Machine learning provides novel opportunities for human behavior research and clinical translation. With the help of new progresses in this field, complex sophisticated predictive models could be easily built based on the data of patients. It can be applied to the data from ASD patients to offer new diagnostic biomarkers. ASD diagnosis could be seen as a typical classification problem in the field of machine learning where the clinician tries to build a classifier based on data of patients to classify participants as ASD or typically developed (TD). Cerebral structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) could be used as such data. Recent papers have identified changes in the sMRI (Hernandez et al., 2015), resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) (Dichter, 2012), and electroencephalography (EEG) (Bosl et al., 2011) of ASD patients that could have potential benefit for diagnosis.

In these comprehensive systematic reviews, we aim to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the currently applied machine learning algorithms on the sMRI, rs-fMRI and EEG data of ASD patients and discuss the possible roles of machine learning methods to facilitate the early diagnosis of ASD in the future.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

There has been a systematic review with the same aim published in 2019 (Moon et al., 2019), but we believe there were some serious limitations in their study. First of all, they mixed the data from different diagnostic modalities (sMRI, fMRI, behavioral assessment, EEG, etc.) into one meta-analysis. This is a classic error of mixing apples and oranges in a meta-analytic study (Sharpe, 1997). Secondly, they didn't assess the risk of bias in their study clearly and validly. Although Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) (Whiting et al., 2011) is the most widely used tool to assess the risk of bias and applicability in diagnostic test studies, it is of less use for assessing artificial intelligence (AI) studies, and revisions to this tool for such studies are necessary. Our study made some alterations and revisions to the tool to perform a more accurate and precise risk of bias assessment. Third, due to fast advances in the field of AI, we anticipate a huge amount of data to have been published since. Fourth, they made a fatal mistake by using filters in their search strategy for identifying diagnostic test accuracy studies, as these filters are known to lack sensitivity and specificity (Beynon et al., 2013). Fifth, they didn't evaluate publication bias and certainty of evidence in their study, but we aim to achieve this goal by using modern methods. For these reasons, we believed a new systematic review regarding this subject was necessary.

Clinical role of index test

As early interventions are known to improve the prognosis of ASD patients, sMRI, rs-fMRI and EEG might serve as add-on tests to help specialists diagnose patients earlier with more accuracy, while lowering either false-positive or false-negative diagnoses. Specifically, through the use of machine learning approaches to automatically generate "features" or "biomarkers", the diagnosis can be more sensitive and specific without any manually intensive procedure.

Objectives

Primary objective: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of the applied machine learning algorithms for the diagnosis of ASD based on sMRI, rs-fMRI and EEG data
Secondary objective: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of each applied machine learning algorithm for the diagnosis of ASD based on sMRI, rs-fMRI and EEG data

Methods

Design and methods used for this protocol comply with Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD's) Guidance For Undertaking Reviews in Healthcare (Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, 2009) and are reported in line with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) (Moher et al., 2015). Due to the lack of an up-to-date guideline for reporting protocols of diagnostic test accuracy studies from PRISMA,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

we also imported some elements from PRISMA for Diagnostic Test Accuracy (PRISMA DTA) (McInnes et al., 2018) and PRISMA 2020 Statement (Page et al., 2021).

Protocol and registration

These systematic reviews have been submitted, but not yet registered at the moment, in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under the submission numbers: 262575 (sMRI), 262825 (rs-fMRI), and 262831 (EEG).

Eligibility criteria

We define the eligibility criteria for including studies as follows:
Population: patients with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) regardless of age, sex, and ethnicity
Index test: applied machine learning algorithms on cerebral sMRI, rs-fMRI and EEG data
Target condition:

- Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) as defined by Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV), DSM-V, and International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 11 (ICD-11)
- Childhood autism, atypical autism, and Asperger syndrome as defined by ICD-10
- Autism as defined by Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule(ADOS), Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R), The Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS), or Gilliam Autism Rating Scale (GARS)

Reference standard: diagnosis made by a trained physician or psychologist
Study design: cross-sectional design, including both single-gate (cohort type) and two-gates (case-control type) designs
We will assess the eligibility of reports of studies irrespective of their language, publication status, and publication date.

Summary of Findings (SoF) table

We plan to design a Summary of Findings (SoF) table for our primary outcome for each review. The number of participants, a summary accuracy with 95% CI, and certainty of the evidence accompanied by comments will be presented in SoF.

Information sources

On the 18th of April 2021, three reviewers (ZM, AM, and MG) searched Embase, MEDLINE (OvidSP), APA PsycINFO (OvidSP), IEEE Xplore, Scopus, and Web of Science Core Collection for eligible studies. We searched grey literature using OpenGrey, Center for Research Libraries Online Catalogue (CRL), and Open Access Theses and Dissertations (OATD) to find any unpublished potentially relevant studies. Additionally, we hand-searched “Empirical Articles” in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

the ABIDE (The autism brain imaging data exchange) website. We updated the database search on 22 May 2021 using the same methods to make sure we have included in our reviews all the latest published studies.

We will also carry out a 'snowball' search through citation searching (forward and backward citation tracking) using Scopus on all of the included studies for data synthesis in our reviews, to identify further eligible studies or study reports. Finally, we will check reviews with a similar subject identified through our search for other potentially eligible studies.

Search

Our search strategies are reported in line with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses literature search extension (PRISMA-S) (Rethlefsen et al., 2021). No restriction or search filter was used. Free-text terms were identified using the MeSH Browser (Sorden, 2016) website and PubMed PubReMiner (Slater, 2012) word frequency analysis tool. The search strategies were reviewed by AV based on the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS) (Blackwood, 2015) guideline. A detailed report of the search strategies are presented in Appendix A.

Study selection

Citations identified from the literature searches and reference list checking will be imported to the Rayyan QCRI (Ouzzani et al., 2016) app, a web-based application that employs natural language processing, artificial intelligence, and machine learning technologies to speed the screening of titles and abstracts of records. Duplicates will be identified, manually re-checked, and removed using Rayyan's automatic de□duplication feature, with the similarity threshold set to 0.85. Then, five reviewers (MM, MT, ZM, RA, and SHH) will independently review titles and abstracts of the first 50 records to calculate inter-rater reliability using Cohen's kappa. If inter-rater reliability was calculated to be less than 0.8, we will hold discussions to re-train the two reviewers. Then the same five reviewers will screen titles and abstracts of the retrieved articles independently (two reviewers for each review). Another reviewer (AV) will be consulted to make the final decision in cases of disagreements. Afterward, the full text of all potentially eligible records will be retrieved. Records from the same study will be linked to avoiding including data from the same study more than once. Next, the same five reviewers will independently screen full-text studies for inclusion (two reviewers for each review). A study will be included when both reviewers independently assess it as satisfying the inclusion criteria. In cases of disagreements, another reviewer (AV) will be consulted. The reason for exclusions will be recorded and presented in a table in the final review. We will report the study selection process results using PRISMA flow diagrams of study selection in the final reviews.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Data collection process

A data extraction form is developed mostly based on a previous similar review (Moon et al., 2019). The form will be pilot-tested by five review authors (MM, MT, ZM, RA, and SHH) using five randomly selected studies. Then, the same five reviewers will use the form independently to extract data from eligible studies (two reviewers for each review). Extracted data will be compared, with any discrepancies being resolved through discussion. AV will enter data into Microsoft Excel while double-checking them for accuracy. In case of missing or unclear data, we plan to contact the study authors.

Definitions for data extraction

Data extraction domains are defined in Appendix B.

Risk of bias and applicability

Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) (Whiting et al., 2011) is the most widely used tool to assess the risk of bias and applicability. This tool addresses four domains: 1) Patient selection; 2) Index test(s); 3) Reference standard; 4) Flow and timing. Each domain is assessed in terms of the risk of bias and the first three are also assessed in terms of concerns regarding applicability to the review question.

Since our reviews have the objective to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of artificial intelligence algorithms, some revisions to the QUADAS-2 seemed necessary. Thus, some alterations and revisions were done by the discussion and consensus of authors (AV, MM, and SF). The patient selection domain in these kinds of studies is more about data sets that are used for training the model, so all the signaling questions and assessments have been revised due to this topic. Index test is evaluated through the model's metrics that are reported by the authors and for reference standard, we will assess the ground truth mentioned in each data set. Flow and timing with details that can cause a bias in a diagnostic study do not apply to machine learning methodologies. No overall summary score will be calculated. We have presented a detailed summary of the adopted version of the tool in Appendix C.

The tool will be piloted before use. Five authors (MM, MT, ZM, RA, and SHH) will independently evaluate 20% of the included studies (two reviewers for each review) to calculate inter-rater reliability using Cohen's kappa. If inter-rater reliability was calculated to be less than 0.8, we will hold discussions to re-train the two reviewers. Afterward, the same two reviewers will evaluate all the included studies independently and record supporting information and justifications for judgments of risk of bias for each domain (low, unclear, or high). We will discuss any disagreement and document our decisions, and a third author (AV) will act as arbiter in such cases. We will report the risk of bias judgments for each domain in each included study in risk of bias summary figures. Judgments for each domain across all included studies will also be reported in risk of bias graphs.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Diagnostic accuracy measures

We will use the data from the two-by-two tables to calculate true-positives (TP), true-negatives (TN), false-positives (FP), false-negatives (FN), sensitivity (Se), and specificity (Sp) for each algorithm in each study. If any of the TP/FP/TN/FN values is 0, 0.5 will be added to prevent zero cell count problems. We will present individual study's algorithm results graphically by plotting the estimates of sensitivity and specificity (and their 95% confidence intervals) in forest plots. We will also calculate and present the accuracy of each study for a better presentation of the data. The mentioned indices are calculated as follows:

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}, \; Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}, \; Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}$$

Our main accuracy measure for the meta-analyses will be the Diagnostic Odds Ratio (DOR). DOR is calculated as follow:

$$DOR = \frac{Sensitivity \times Specificity}{(1 - Sensitivity) \times (1 - Specificity)}$$

Synthesis of results

We will summarize diagnostic test accuracy by creating a 2 x 2 table for each learning algorithm in each study based on information retrieved directly from the papers. If multiple machine learning algorithms are applied to the same sample in the same study, we will include them as separate data, since the unit of analysis is the learning algorithm, not the study. Each table will contain TP, TN, FP, and FN rates. One reviewer (AV) will enter the data into Excel. In the first instance, we will analyze all data retrieved from the included studies descriptively. For this purpose, we will plot estimates of Se and Sp (and their 95% confidence intervals) on coupled forest plots and in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) spaces (sensitivity against 1-specificity) for each algorithm under consideration.

Meta-analysis

Meta-analyses will be conducted using R version 4.0.5 (Team, R. C., 2013), function 'SCSmeta' (Furuya-Kanamori et al., 2021). Hierarchical bivariate random-effects models are commonly used for this purpose. These models can consider both within- and between-subject variability and threshold effect (Reitsma et al., 2005). An issue with bivariate models is that the inputs into the model are the study-specific pairs of Se and Sp, and the latter can demonstrate heterogeneity across studies either due to systematic differences or implicit dissimilarity in test thresholds or both. Another issue is that some of the between-study variability could be due to some degree of threshold variability and while the bivariate approach takes the negative

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

correlation between Se and Sp into account when modeling Se/Sp pairs, such a correlation may also be artifactual because of systematic error (study biases), spectrum effects, or implicit variations in thresholds when tests are interpreted differently. We decided to use the more robust Split Component Synthesis (SCS) methods (Furuya-Kanamori et al., 2021). The SCS estimator for the DOR, Se, and Sp is less biased and has a smaller mean squared error than the bivariate model estimator.

For this purpose, first, we will estimate the logit Se, logit Sp, and ln DOR (Diagnostic Odds Ratio) for each study. Then we will estimate the summary ln DOR and variance by meta-analysis using the IVhet model (Doi et al., 2015). Then we will generate a centered ln DOR for each study. We will fit two ordinary least squares regression models: One for the logit Se (dependent variable) on the centered DOR (independent variable) and the other for the logit Sp (dependent variable) on the centered DOR (independent variable). The intercept in each linear regression model corresponds to the summary logit Se and summary logit Sp respectively.

Summary Receiver Operating Characteristics (SROC) curves will be generated based on parameter estimates extracted from the SCS model. The SROC curves will be specified by the summary Se and Sp intersection point, its 95% CIs, and the confidence limits of the Se and 1-Sp. Individual study Se/Sp pairs are indicated on the plots as open circles with size proportional to the inverse of the variance of the study in DOR. The area under the curve (AUC) will be calculated using the following formula:

$$logit(AUC) = (ln\,(DOR)\,/\,2 \quad \text{(If DOR} \geq 1)$$

We intend to evaluate heterogeneity by visually exploring forest plots and the SROC curves. As the SCS method starts with the DOR, we can and will quantify heterogeneity with I^2 and τ^2 statistics. I^2 statistic quantifies inconsistency across studies to assess the impact of heterogeneity on the meta-analysis (Higgins & Thompson, 2002). The I^2 statistic is interpreted as follows: 0% to 40%: might not be important; 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity; 50% to 90%: may represent substantial heterogeneity; 75% to 100%: represents considerable heterogeneity.

Additional analyses

Subgroup analyses

We plan to conduct subgroup analyses in each review for each class of learning algorithms (supervised, unsupervised, reinforce, mixed, and other) to assess the diversity in their summary measures if there were more than 5 studies for the class. If feasible, we will also perform subgroup analyses for the disease subtypes (autism and Asperger's syndrome).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Sensitivity analyses

We will assess the effects of risk of bias of included studies on diagnostic accuracy by performing sensitivity analyses from which we will exclude studies with a high risk of bias in at least one domain. We will also conduct sensitivity analyses on studies with a large sample size (>100) to establish the extent to which they dominate the results.

Reporting bias assessment

The impact of publication bias has been understudied in the context of systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy. One possible reason is that the statistical significance of treatment efficacy is well defined in clinical trials; however, statistical significance is less intuitively defined for measures of diagnostic test accuracy and (even if well defined) is much less relevant in diagnostic studies. Another reason is that in diagnostic studies, the odds ratio is expected to be large. Applying tests for funnel plot asymmetry in such circumstances is likely to result in publication bias being incorrectly indicated by the test far too often (Macaskill et al., 2010). Nevertheless, empirical evidence has demonstrated that smaller studies tend to report greater diagnostic test accuracy; thus, ignoring the impact of publication bias when conducting a meta-analysis can lead to the overestimation of the diagnostic test accuracy (Song, 2000).
To assess publication bias in our reviews, funnel plots will be applied with DOR on the x-axis and precision on the y-axis. To test for the symmetry of the plot, we will use trim and fill test (Duval & Tweedie, 2000) combined with ln DOR as it has been shown in a simulation study to be superior to other funnel plot asymmetry tests for DTA reviews (Bürkner & Doebler, 2014).

Certainty assessment

The strength of the overall body of evidence will be assessed using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach for diagnostic tests and strategies (Schünemann et al., 2013), which takes into account five considerations: study design, risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias. Factors designed for upgrading the evidence (dose effect, plausible bias, and confounding) are not well developed yet and thus we do not consider them in our review. The only exception is the ‘large effect’ domain, which could be taken into consideration as mentioned in the handbook. We decided that a very large likelihood of disease associated with test results (sensitivity and specificity more than 0.9) will increase the quality of evidence. Five review authors (MM, MT, ZM, RA, and SHH; two reviewers for each review) will rate the certainty of the evidence for the outcome as 'high', 'moderate', 'low', or 'very low’. We will resolve any discrepancies by consensus, or, if needed, by arbitration by a third review author (AV).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Appendices

Appendix A. Search strategies

sMRI review

Database and date	Search algorithm
Embase Elsevier <1947 to 05.22.2021>	#1 'autism'/exp #2 'asperger syndrom*':ab,ti,kw OR 'autis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'child* development* disorder*':ab,ti,kw #3 #1 OR #2 #4 'nuclear magnetic resonance imaging'/exp #5 mri:ab,ti,kw OR 'magnetic resonance imaging':ab,ti,kw OR smri:ab,ti,kw OR 's mri':ab,ti,kw #6 #4 OR #5 #7 'information processing'/exp #8 'artificial intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'unsupervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'semi-supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'reinforcement learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regression algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'learning vector quantization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'self organizing map*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'iterative dichotomiser*':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision stump*':ab,ti,kw OR 'clustering algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-means*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-medians*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical clustering*':ab,ti,kw OR 'apriori*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto-encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'markov*':ab,ti,kw OR 'classification algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally weighted learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'support vector machine*':ab,ti,kw OR 'svm':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision tree*':ab,ti,kw OR 'component analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'pca':ab,ti,kw OR 'ica':ab,ti,kw OR 'neural network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent variable model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'boltzmann':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep belief network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lasso regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lda':ab,ti,kw OR 'adtree':ab,ti,kw OR 'ridge regression':ab,ti,kw OR 'enet':ab,ti,kw OR 'fnn':ab,ti,kw OR 'firefly algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'multivariate adaptive regression splines*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*':ab,ti,kw OR 'instance base*':ab,ti,kw OR 'nearest neighbor*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least absolute shrinkage select*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least angle regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'eclat*':ab,ti,kw OR 'back propagation*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hopfield network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'radial basis function network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'dimensionality reduction algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'ensemble algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'adaboost':ab,ti,kw OR 'stacked generalization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'relu':ab,ti,kw OR 'naive bayes':ab,ti,kw #9 #7 OR #8 #10 #3 AND #6 AND #9
MEDLINE OvidSP <1996 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorder/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ 5 (mri or magnetic resonance imaging or smri or s-mri or structural-mri).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Algorithms/ or exp Neural Networks, Computer/ or exp Decision Trees/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp. 9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
APA PsycINFO OvidSP <1987 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorders/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ 5 (mri or magnetic resonance imaging or smri or s-mri or structural-mri).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Artificial Intelligence/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp. 9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
IEEE Xplore Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers <1988 to 05.22.2021>	(asperger syndrome OR autis* OR child development disorder) AND (mri OR magnetic resonance imaging OR smri OR s-mri OR structural-mri) AND (artificial intelligence OR deep learning OR machine learning OR machine intelligence OR supervised learning OR unsupervised learning OR semi-supervised learning OR reinforcement learning OR regression algorithm OR learning vector quantization OR self organizing map OR regularization algorithm OR iterative dichotomiser OR decision stump OR clustering algorithm OR k-means OR k-medians OR hierarchical clustering OR apriori OR auto encoder OR auto-encoder OR markov OR classification algorithm OR locally weighted learning OR support vector machine OR svm OR decision tree* OR component analysis OR pca OR ica OR neural network* OR hierarchical learning OR latent class analysis OR latent class model OR latent variable model OR boltzmann OR deep belief network OR lasso regression OR lda OR adtree OR ridge regression OR enet OR fnn OR firefly algorithm OR multivariate adaptive regression splines OR locally estimated scatterplot smoothing OR instance base OR nearest neighbors OR regularization algorithm OR least absolute shrinkage selection OR least angle regression OR eclat OR back propagation OR hopfield network OR radial basis function network OR dimensionality reduction algorithm OR ensemble algorithm OR adaboost OR stacked generalization OR relu OR naive bayes)
Scopus Elsevier <1996 to 05.22.2021>	TITLE-ABS-KEY(“asperger syndrome*” OR “autis*” OR “child development disorder*”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“mri” OR “magnetic resonance imaging” OR “smri” OR “s-mri” OR “structural-mri”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“artificial intelligence*” OR “deep learning*” OR “machine learning*” OR “machine intelligence*” OR “supervised learning*” OR “unsupervised learning*” OR “semi-supervised learning*” OR “reinforcement learning*” OR “regression algorithm*” OR “learning vector quantization*” OR “self organizing map*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “iterative dichotomiser*” OR “decision stump*” OR “clustering algorithm*” OR “k-means” OR “k-medians” OR “hierarchical clustering*” OR “apriori” OR “auto encoder*” OR “auto-encoder*” OR “markov” OR “classification algorithm*” OR “locally weighted learning*” OR “support vector machine*” OR “svm” OR

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	”decision tree*” OR “component analy*” OR “pca” OR “ica” OR “neural network*” OR “hierarchical learning*” OR “latent class analy*” OR “latent class model*” OR “latent variable model*” OR “boltzmann” OR “deep belief network*” OR “lasso regression*” OR “lda” OR “adtree*” OR “ridge regression*” OR “enet” OR “fnn” OR “firefly algorithm*” OR “multivariate adaptive regression splines*” OR “locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*” OR “instance base*” OR “instance-base*” OR “nearest neighbor*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “least absolute shrinkage select*” OR “least angle regression*” OR “eclat” OR “back propagation*” OR “hopfield network*” OR “radial basis function network*” OR “dimensionality reduction algorithm*” OR “ensemble algorithm*” OR “adaboost” OR “stacked generalization*” OR “relu” OR “naive bayes*”)
Web of Science Core Collection <1900 to 05.22.2021>	#1 TS=(“asperger syndrome*” OR “autis*” OR “child development disorder*”) #2 TS=(“mri” OR “magnetic resonance imaging” OR “smri” OR “s-mri” OR “structural-mri”) #3 TS=(“artificial intelligence*” OR “deep learning*” OR “machine learning*” OR “machine intelligence*” OR “supervised learning*” OR “unsupervised learning*” OR “semi-supervised learning*” OR “reinforcement learning*” OR “regression algorithm*” OR “learning vector quantization*” OR “self organizing map*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “iterative dichotomiser*” OR “decision stump*” OR “clustering algorithm*” OR “k-means” OR “k-medians” OR “hierarchical clustering*” OR “apriori” OR “auto encoder*” OR “auto-encoder*” OR “markov” OR “classification algorithm*” OR “locally weighted learning*” OR “support vector machine*” OR “svm” OR ”decision tree*” OR “component analy*” OR “pca” OR “ica” OR “neural network*” OR “hierarchical learning*” OR “latent class analy*” OR “latent class model*” OR “latent variable model*” OR “boltzmann” OR “deep belief network*” OR “lasso regression*” OR “lda” OR “adtree*” OR “ridge regression*” OR “enet” OR “fnn” OR “firefly algorithm*” OR “multivariate adaptive regression splines*” OR “locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*” OR “instance base*” OR “instance-base*” OR “nearest neighbor*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “least absolute shrinkage select*” OR “least angle regression*” OR “eclat” OR “back propagation*” OR “hopfield network*” OR “radial basis function network*” OR “dimensionality reduction algorithm*” OR “ensemble algorithm*” OR “adaboost” OR “stacked generalization*” OR “relu” OR “naive bayes*”) #4 #1 AND #2 AND #3
OpenGrey <04.18.2021>	(“autis*” OR “asperger*” OR “child* development* disorder*”) AND ("mri" OR "magnetic resonance imaging" OR "structural mri" OR "smri" OR "s-mri")
CRL Center for Research Libraries Online Catalogue <1949 to 04.18.2021>	((autis*) or (asperger*) or (child development disorder*)) and ((mri) or (magnetic resonance imaging) or (structural mri) or (smri) or (s-mri))
OATD Open Access Theses and Dissertations <Up to 04.18.2021>	title:(((autis*) OR ("asperger syndrom*") OR ("child development disorder*")) AND ((mri) OR (“magnetic resonance imaging”) OR (“structural mri”) OR (smri) OR (s-mri)) AND ((“artificial intelligence*”) OR (“deep learning*”) OR (“machine learning*”) OR (“machine intelligence*”) OR (“supervised learning*”) OR (“unsupervised learning*”) OR (“semi-supervised learning*”) OR (“reinforcement learning*”) OR (“regression algorithm*”) OR (“learning vector quantization*”) OR (“self organizing map*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“iterative dichotomiser*”) OR (“decision stump*”) OR (“clustering algorithm*”) OR (“k-means”) OR (“k-medians”) OR (“hierarchical clustering*”) OR (“apriori”) OR (“auto encoder*”) OR (“auto-encoder*”) OR (“markov”) OR (“classification algorithm*”) OR (“locally weighted learning*”) OR (“support vector machine*”) OR (“svm”) OR (”decision tree*”) OR (“component analy*”) OR (“pca”) OR (“ica”) OR (“neural network*”) OR (“hierarchical learning*”) OR (“latent class analy*”) OR (“latent class model*”) OR (“latent variable model*”) OR (“boltzmann”) OR (“deep belief network*”) OR (“lasso regression*”) OR (“lda”) OR (“adtree*”) OR (“ridge regression*”) OR (“enet”) OR (“fnn”) OR (“firefly algorithm*”) OR (“multivariate adaptive regression splines*”) OR (“locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*”) OR (“instance base*”) OR (“instance-base*”) OR (“nearest neighbor*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“least absolute shrinkage select*”) OR (“least angle regression*”) OR (“eclat”) OR (“back propagation*”) OR (“hopfield network*”) OR (“radial basis function network*”) OR (“dimensionality reduction algorithm*”) OR (“ensemble algorithm*”) OR (“adaboost”) OR (“stacked generalization*”) OR (“relu”) OR (“naive bayes*”)))

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

rs-fMRI review

Database and date	Search algorithm
Embase Elsevier <1947 to 05.22.2021>	#1 'autism'/exp #2 'asperger syndrom*':ab,ti,kw OR 'autis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'child* development* disorder*':ab,ti,kw #3 #1 OR #2 #4 'functional magnetic resonance imaging'/exp #5 fmri:ab,ti,kw OR 'functional magnetic resonance imag*':ab,ti,kw OR f-mri:ab,ti,kw OR 'functional mri':ab,ti,kw OR 'functional-mri':ab,ti,kw OR 'functional mr imag*':ab,ti,kw #6 #4 OR #5 #7 'information processing'/exp #8 'artificial intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'unsupervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'semi-supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'reinforcement learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regression algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'learning vector quantization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'self organizing map*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'iterative dichotomiser*':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision stump*':ab,ti,kw OR 'clustering algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-means*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-medians*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical clustering*':ab,ti,kw OR 'apriori*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto-encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'markov*':ab,ti,kw OR 'classification algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally weighted learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'support vector machine*':ab,ti,kw OR 'svm':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision tree*':ab,ti,kw OR 'component analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'pca':ab,ti,kw OR 'ica':ab,ti,kw OR 'neural network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent variable model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'boltzmann':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep belief network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lasso regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lda':ab,ti,kw OR 'adtree':ab,ti,kw OR 'ridge regression':ab,ti,kw OR 'enet':ab,ti,kw OR 'fnn':ab,ti,kw OR 'firefly algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'multivariate adaptive regression splines*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*':ab,ti,kw OR 'instance base*':ab,ti,kw OR 'nearest neighbor*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least absolute shrinkage select*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least angle regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'eclat*':ab,ti,kw OR 'back propagation*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hopfield network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'radial basis function network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'dimensionality reduction algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'ensemble algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'adaboost':ab,ti,kw OR 'stacked generalization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'relu':ab,ti,kw OR 'naive bayes':ab,ti,kw #9 #7 OR #8 #10 #3 AND #6 AND #9
MEDLINE OvidSP <1996 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorder/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ 5 (fmri or functional magnetic resonance imag* or f-mri or functional mri or functional-mri or functional mr imag*).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Algorithms/ or exp Neural Networks, Computer/ or exp Decision Trees/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
APA PsycINFO OvidSP <1987 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorders/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ 5 (fmri or functional magnetic resonance imag* or f-mri or functional mri or functional-mri or functional mr imag*).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Artificial Intelligence/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp. 9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
IEEE Xplore Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers <1988 to 05.22.2021>	(asperger syndrome OR autis* OR child development disorder) AND (fmri OR functional magnetic resonance imag* OR f-mri OR functional mri OR functional-mri OR functional mr imag*) AND (artificial intelligence OR deep learning OR machine learning OR machine intelligence OR supervised learning OR unsupervised learning OR semi-supervised learning OR reinforcement learning OR regression algorithm OR learning vector quantization OR self organizing map OR regularization algorithm OR iterative dichotomiser OR decision stump OR clustering algorithm OR k-means OR k-medians OR hierarchical clustering OR apriori OR auto encoder OR auto-encoder OR markov OR classification algorithm OR locally weighted learning OR support vector machine OR svm OR decision tree* OR component analysis OR pca OR ica OR neural network* OR hierarchical learning OR latent class analysis OR latent class model OR latent variable model OR boltzmann OR deep belief network OR lasso regression OR lda OR adtree OR ridge regression OR enet OR fnn OR firefly algorithm OR multivariate adaptive regression splines OR locally estimated scatterplot smoothing OR instance base OR nearest neighbors OR regularization algorithm OR least absolute shrinkage selection OR least angle regression OR eclat OR back propagation OR hopfield network OR radial basis function network OR dimensionality reduction algorithm OR ensemble algorithm OR adaboost OR stacked generalization OR relu OR naive bayes)
Scopus Elsevier <1996 to 05.22.2021>	TITLE-ABS-KEY(“asperger syndrome*” OR “autis*” OR “child development disorder*”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“fmri” OR “functional magnetic resonance imag*” OR “f-mri” OR “functional mri” OR “functional-mri” OR “functional mr imag*”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“artificial intelligence*” OR “deep learning*” OR “machine learning*” OR “machine intelligence*” OR “supervised learning*” OR “unsupervised learning*” OR “semi-supervised learning*” OR “reinforcement learning*” OR “regression algorithm*” OR “learning vector quantization*” OR “self organizing map*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “iterative dichotomiser*” OR “decision stump*” OR “clustering algorithm*” OR “k-means” OR “k-medians” OR “hierarchical clustering*” OR “apriori” OR “auto encoder*” OR “auto-encoder*” OR “markov” OR “classification algorithm*” OR “locally weighted learning*” OR “support vector machine*” OR “svm” OR ”decision tree*” OR “component analy*” OR “pca” OR “ica” OR “neural network*” OR “hierarchical learning*” OR “latent class analy*” OR “latent class model*” OR “latent variable model*” OR “boltzmann” OR “deep belief network*” OR “lasso regression*” OR “lda” OR “adtree*” OR “ridge regression*” OR “enet” OR “fnn” OR “firefly algorithm*” OR “multivariate adaptive regression splines*” OR “locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*” OR “instance base*”

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	OR “instance-base*” OR “nearest neighbor*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “least absolute shrinkage select*” OR “least angle regression*” OR “eclat” OR “back propagation*” OR “hopfield network*” OR “radial basis function network*” OR “dimensionality reduction algorithm*” OR “ensemble algorithm*” OR “adaboost” OR “stacked generalization*” OR “relu” OR “naive bayes*”)
Web of Science Core Collection <1900 to 05.22.2021>	#1 TS=(“asperger syndrome*” OR “autis*” OR “child development disorder*”) #2 TS=(“fmri” OR “functional magnetic resonance imag*” OR “f-mri” OR “functional mri” OR “functional-mri” OR “functional mr imag*”) #3 TS=(“artificial intelligence*” OR “deep learning*” OR “machine learning*” OR “machine intelligence*” OR “supervised learning*” OR “unsupervised learning*” OR “semi-supervised learning*” OR “reinforcement learning*” OR “regression algorithm*” OR “learning vector quantization*” OR “self organizing map*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “iterative dichotomiser*” OR “decision stump*” OR “clustering algorithm*” OR “k-means” OR “k-medians” OR “hierarchical clustering*” OR “apriori” OR “auto encoder*” OR “auto-encoder*” OR “markov” OR “classification algorithm*” OR “locally weighted learning*” OR “support vector machine*” OR “svm” OR ”decision tree*” OR “component analy*” OR “pca” OR “ica” OR “neural network*” OR “hierarchical learning*” OR “latent class analy*” OR “latent class model*” OR “latent variable model*” OR “boltzmann” OR “deep belief network*” OR “lasso regression*” OR “lda” OR “adtree*” OR “ridge regression*” OR “enet” OR “fnn” OR “firefly algorithm*” OR “multivariate adaptive regression splines*” OR “locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*” OR “instance base*” OR “instance-base*” OR “nearest neighbor*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “least absolute shrinkage select*” OR “least angle regression*” OR “eclat” OR “back propagation*” OR “hopfield network*” OR “radial basis function network*” OR “dimensionality reduction algorithm*” OR “ensemble algorithm*” OR “adaboost” OR “stacked generalization*” OR “relu” OR “naive bayes*”) #4 #1 AND #2 AND #3
OpenGrey <04.18.2021>	(“autis*” OR “asperger*” OR “child* development* disorder*”) AND (“fmri” OR “functional magnetic resonance imag*” OR “f-mri” OR “functional mri” OR “functional-mri” OR “functional mr imag*”)
CRL Center for Research Libraries Online Catalogue <1949 to 04.18.2021>	((autis*) or (asperger*) or (child development disorder*)) and ((fmri) or (functional magnetic resonance imag*) or (f-mri) or (functional mri) or (functional-mri) or (functional mr imag*))
OATD Open Access Theses and Dissertations <Up to 04.18.2021>	title:(((autis*) OR ("asperger syndrom*") OR ("child development disorder*")) AND ((“fmri”) or (“functional magnetic resonance imag*”) or (“f-mri”) or (“functional mri”) or (“functional-mri”) or (“functional mr imag*”)) AND ((“artificial intelligence*”) OR (“deep learning*”) OR (“machine learning*”) OR (“machine intelligence*”) OR (“supervised learning*”) OR (“unsupervised learning*”) OR (“semi-supervised learning*”) OR (“reinforcement learning*”) OR (“regression algorithm*”) OR (“learning vector quantization*”) OR (“self organizing map*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“iterative dichotomiser*”) OR (“decision stump*”) OR (“clustering algorithm*”) OR (“k-means”) OR (“k-medians”) OR (“hierarchical clustering*”) OR (“apriori”) OR (“auto encoder*”) OR (“auto-encoder*”) OR (“markov”) OR (“classification algorithm*”) OR (“locally weighted learning*”) OR (“support vector machine*”) OR (“svm”) OR (”decision tree*”) OR (“component analy*”) OR (“pca”) OR (“ica”) OR (“neural network*”) OR (“hierarchical learning*”) OR (“latent class analy*”) OR (“latent class model*”) OR (“latent variable model*”) OR (“boltzmann”) OR (“deep belief network*”) OR (“lasso regression*”) OR (“lda”) OR (“adtree*”) OR (“ridge regression*”) OR (“enet”) OR (“fnn”) OR (“firefly algorithm*”) OR (“multivariate adaptive regression splines*”) OR (“locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*”) OR (“instance base*”) OR (“instance-base*”) OR (“nearest neighbor*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“least absolute shrinkage select*”) OR (“least angle regression*”) OR (“eclat”) OR (“back propagation*”) OR (“hopfield network*”) OR (“radial basis function network*”) OR (“dimensionality reduction algorithm*”) OR (“ensemble algorithm*”) OR (“adaboost”) OR (“stacked generalization*”) OR (“relu”) OR (“naive bayes*”)))

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

EEG review

Database and date	Search algorithm
Embase Elsevier <1947 to 05.22.2021>	#1 'autism'/exp #2 'asperger syndrom*':ab,ti,kw OR 'autis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'child* development* disorder*':ab,ti,kw #3 #1 OR #2 #4 'electroencephalogram'/exp #5 'electroencephalogra*':ti,ab,kw OR 'eeg':ti,ab,kw OR 'alpha rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'beta rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'delta rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'gamma rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'theta rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'mu rhythm*':ti,ab,kw OR 'brain wave*':ti,ab,kw OR 'brain electric* activit*':ab,ti,kw OR 'brainwave*':ab,ti,kw #6 #4 OR #5 #7 'information processing'/exp #8 'artificial intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'machine intelligence*':ab,ti,kw OR 'supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'unsupervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'semi-supervised learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'reinforcement learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regression algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'learning vector quantization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'self organizing map*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'iterative dichotomiser*':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision stump*':ab,ti,kw OR 'clustering algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-means*':ab,ti,kw OR 'k-medians*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical clustering*':ab,ti,kw OR 'apriori*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'auto-encoder*':ab,ti,kw OR 'markov*':ab,ti,kw OR 'classification algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally weighted learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'support vector machine*':ab,ti,kw OR 'svm':ab,ti,kw OR 'decision tree*':ab,ti,kw OR 'component analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'pca':ab,ti,kw OR 'ica':ab,ti,kw OR 'neural network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hierarchical learning*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class analy*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent class model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'latent variable model*':ab,ti,kw OR 'boltzmann':ab,ti,kw OR 'deep belief network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lasso regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lda':ab,ti,kw OR 'adtree':ab,ti,kw OR 'ridge regression':ab,ti,kw OR 'enet':ab,ti,kw OR 'fnn':ab,ti,kw OR 'firefly algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'multivariate adaptive regression splines*':ab,ti,kw OR 'locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*':ab,ti,kw OR 'instance base*':ab,ti,kw OR 'nearest neighbor*':ab,ti,kw OR 'regularization algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least absolute shrinkage select*':ab,ti,kw OR 'least angle regression*':ab,ti,kw OR 'eclat*':ab,ti,kw OR 'back propagation*':ab,ti,kw OR 'hopfield network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'radial basis function network*':ab,ti,kw OR 'dimensionality reduction algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'ensemble algorithm*':ab,ti,kw OR 'adaboost':ab,ti,kw OR 'stacked generalization*':ab,ti,kw OR 'relu':ab,ti,kw OR 'naive bayes':ab,ti,kw #9 #7 OR #8 #10 #3 AND #6 AND #9
MEDLINE OvidSP <1996 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorder/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Electroencephalography/ 5 (electroencephalogra* or eeg or alpha rhythm* or beta rhythm* or delta rhythm* or gamma rhythm* or theta rhythm* or mu rhythm* or brain wave* or brain electric* activit* or brainwave*).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Algorithms/ or exp Neural Networks, Computer/ or exp Decision Trees/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp. 9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
APA PsycINFO OvidSP <1987 to 05.22.2021>	1 exp Autism Spectrum Disorders/ 2 (asperger syndrom* or autis* or child* development* disorder*).mp. 3 1 or 2 4 exp Electroencephalography/ 5 (electroencephalogra* or eeg or alpha rhythm* or beta rhythm* or delta rhythm* or gamma rhythm* or theta rhythm* or mu rhythm* or brain wave* or brain electric* activit* or brainwave*).mp. 6 4 or 5 7 exp Artificial Intelligence/ 8 (artificial intelligence* or deep learning* or machine learning* or machine intelligence* or supervised learning* or unsupervised learning* or semi-supervised learning* or reinforcement learning* or regression algorithm* or learning vector quantization* or self organizing map* or regularization algorithm* or iterative dichotomiser* or decision stump* or clustering algorithm* or k-means* or k-medians* or hierarchical clustering* or apriori* or auto encoder* or auto-encoder* or markov* or classification algorithm* or locally weighted learning* or support vector machine* or svm or decision tree* or component analy* or pca or ica or neural network* or hierarchical learning* or latent class analy* or latent class model* or latent variable model* or boltzmann or deep belief network* or lasso regression* or lda or adtree or ridge regression or enet or fnn or firefly algorithm* or multivariate adaptive regression splines* or locally estimated scatterplot smoothing* or instance base* or nearest neighbor* or regularization algorithm* or least absolute shrinkage select* or least angle regression* or eclat* or back propagation* or hopfield network* or radial basis function network* or dimensionality reduction algorithm* or ensemble algorithm* or adaboost or stacked generalization* or relu or naive bayes).mp. 9 7 or 8 10 3 and 6 and 9
IEEE Xplore Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers <1988 to 05.22.2021>	(asperger syndrome OR autis* OR child development disorder) AND (electroencephalogra* OR eeg OR alpha rhythm* OR beta rhythm* OR delta rhythm* OR gamma rhythm OR theta rhythm OR mu rhythm OR brain wave OR brain electrical OR brain electrics OR brain electricals OR brain activity OR brainwave) AND (artificial intelligence OR deep learning OR machine learning OR machine intelligence OR supervised learning OR unsupervised learning OR semi-supervised learning OR reinforcement learning OR regression algorithm OR learning vector quantization OR self organizing map OR regularization algorithm OR iterative dichotomiser OR decision stump OR clustering algorithm OR k-means OR k-medians OR hierarchical clustering OR apriori OR auto encoder OR auto-encoder OR markov OR classification algorithm OR locally weighted learning OR support vector machine OR svm OR decision tree* OR component analysis OR pca OR ica OR neural network* OR hierarchical learning OR latent class analysis OR latent class model OR latent variable model OR boltzmann OR deep belief network OR lasso regression OR lda OR adtree OR ridge regression OR enet OR fnn OR firefly algorithm OR multivariate adaptive regression splines OR locally estimated scatterplot smoothing OR instance base OR nearest neighbors OR regularization algorithm OR least absolute shrinkage selection OR least angle regression OR eclat OR back propagation OR hopfield network OR radial basis function network OR dimensionality reduction algorithm OR ensemble algorithm OR adaboost OR stacked generalization OR relu OR naive bayes)
Scopus Elsevier <1996 to 05.22.2021>	TITLE-ABS-KEY(“asperger syndrome*” OR “autis*” OR “child development disorder*”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“electroencephalogra*“ OR “eeg“ OR “alpha rhythm*“ OR “beta rhythm*“ OR “delta rhythm*“ OR “gamma rhythm*“ OR “theta rhythm*“ OR “mu rhythm*“ OR “brain wave*“ OR “brain electric*“ OR “brain activit*“ OR “brainwave*”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“artificial intelligence*” OR “deep learning*” OR “machine learning*” OR “machine intelligence*” OR “supervised learning*” OR “unsupervised learning*” OR “semi-supervised learning*” OR “reinforcement learning*” OR “regression algorithm*” OR “learning vector quantization*” OR “self organizing map*” OR “regularization algorithm*” OR “iterative dichotomiser*” OR “decision stump*” OR “clustering algorithm*” OR “k-means” OR “k-medians” OR “hierarchical clustering*” OR “apriori” OR

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	"auto encoder*" OR "auto-encoder*" OR "markov" OR "classification algorithm*" OR "locally weighted learning*" OR "support vector machine*" OR "svm" OR "decision tree*" OR "component analy*" OR "pca" OR "ica" OR "neural network*" OR "hierarchical learning*" OR "latent class analy*" OR "latent class model*" OR "latent variable model*" OR "boltzmann" OR "deep belief network*" OR "lasso regression*" OR "lda" OR "adtree*" OR "ridge regression*" OR "enet" OR "fnn" OR "firefly algorithm*" OR "multivariate adaptive regression splines*" OR "locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*" OR "instance base*" OR "instance-base*" OR "nearest neighbor*" OR "regularization algorithm*" OR "least absolute shrinkage select*" OR "least angle regression*" OR "eclat" OR "back propagation*" OR "hopfield network*" OR "radial basis function network*" OR "dimensionality reduction algorithm*" OR "ensemble algorithm*" OR "adaboost" OR "stacked generalization*" OR "relu" OR "naive bayes*")
Web of Science Core Collection <1900 to 05.22.2021>	#1 TS=("asperger syndrome*" OR "autis*" OR "child development disorder*") #2 TS=("electroencephalogra*" OR "eeg" OR "alpha rhythm*" OR "beta rhythm*" OR "delta rhythm*" OR "gamma rhythm*" OR "theta rhythm*" OR "mu rhythm*" OR "brain wave*" OR "brain electric*" OR "brain activit*" OR "brainwave*") #3 TS=("artificial intelligence*" OR "deep learning*" OR "machine learning*" OR "machine intelligence*" OR "supervised learning*" OR "unsupervised learning*" OR "semi-supervised learning*" OR "reinforcement learning*" OR "regression algorithm*" OR "learning vector quantization*" OR "self organizing map*" OR "regularization algorithm*" OR "iterative dichotomiser*" OR "decision stump*" OR "clustering algorithm*" OR "k-means" OR "k-medians" OR "hierarchical clustering*" OR "apriori" OR "auto encoder*" OR "auto-encoder*" OR "markov" OR "classification algorithm*" OR "locally weighted learning*" OR "support vector machine*" OR "svm" OR "decision tree*" OR "component analy*" OR "pca" OR "ica" OR "neural network*" OR "hierarchical learning*" OR "latent class analy*" OR "latent class model*" OR "latent variable model*" OR "boltzmann" OR "deep belief network*" OR "lasso regression*" OR "lda" OR "adtree*" OR "ridge regression*" OR "enet" OR "fnn" OR "firefly algorithm*" OR "multivariate adaptive regression splines*" OR "locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*" OR "instance base*" OR "instance-base*" OR "nearest neighbor*" OR "regularization algorithm*" OR "least absolute shrinkage select*" OR "least angle regression*" OR "eclat" OR "back propagation*" OR "hopfield network*" OR "radial basis function network*" OR "dimensionality reduction algorithm*" OR "ensemble algorithm*" OR "adaboost" OR "stacked generalization*" OR "relu" OR "naive bayes*") #4 #1 AND #2 AND #3
OpenGrey <04.18.2021>	("autis*" OR "asperger*" OR "child* development* disorder*") AND ("electroencephalogra*" OR "eeg" OR "alpha rhythm*" OR "beta rhythm*" OR "delta rhythm*" OR "gamma rhythm*" OR "theta rhythm*" OR "mu rhythm*" OR "brain wave*" OR "brain electric*" OR "brain activit*" OR "brainwave*") AND ("artificial intelligence*" OR "deep learning*" OR "machine learning*" OR "machine intelligence*" OR "supervised learning*" OR "unsupervised learning*" OR "semi-supervised learning*" OR "reinforcement learning*" OR "regression algorithm*" OR "learning vector quantization*" OR "self organizing map*" OR "regularization algorithm*" OR "iterative dichotomiser*" OR "decision stump*" OR "clustering algorithm*" OR "k-means" OR "k-medians" OR "hierarchical clustering*" OR "apriori" OR "auto encoder*" OR "auto-encoder*" OR "markov" OR "classification algorithm*" OR "locally weighted learning*" OR "support vector machine*" OR "svm" OR "decision tree*" OR "component analy*" OR "pca" OR "ica" OR "neural network*" OR "hierarchical learning*" OR "latent class analy*" OR "latent class model*" OR "latent variable model*" OR "boltzmann" OR "deep belief network*" OR "lasso regression*" OR "lda" OR "adtree*" OR "ridge regression*" OR "enet" OR "fnn" OR "firefly algorithm*" OR "multivariate adaptive regression splines*" OR "locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*" OR "instance base*" OR "instance-base*" OR "nearest neighbor*" OR "regularization algorithm*" OR "least absolute shrinkage select*" OR "least angle regression*" OR "eclat" OR "back propagation*" OR "hopfield network*" OR "radial basis function network*" OR "dimensionality reduction algorithm*" OR "ensemble algorithm*" OR "adaboost" OR "stacked generalization*" OR "relu" OR "naive bayes*")
CRL Center for Research Libraries Online Catalogue <1949 to 04.18.2021>	((autis*) or (asperger*) or (child development disorder*)) and ((electroencephalogra*) or (eeg) or (alpha rhythm*) or (beta rhythm*) or (delta rhythm*) or (gamma rhythm*) or (theta rhythm*) or (mu rhythm*) or (brain wave*) or (brain electric* activit*) or (brainwave*))

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

OATD Open Access Theses and Dissertations <Up to 04.18.2021>	title:(((autis*) OR ("asperger syndrom*") OR ("child development disorder*")) AND ((electroencephalogra*) OR (eeg) OR (alpha rhythm*) OR (beta rhythm*) OR (delta rhythm*) OR (gamma rhythm*) OR (theta rhythm*) OR (mu rhythm*) OR (brain wave*) OR (brain electric* activit*) OR (brainwave*)) AND ((“artificial intelligence*”) OR (“deep learning*”) OR (“machine learning*”) OR (“machine intelligence*”) OR (“supervised learning*”) OR (“unsupervised learning*”) OR (“semi-supervised learning*”) OR (“reinforcement learning*”) OR (“regression algorithm*”) OR (“learning vector quantization*”) OR (“self organizing map*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“iterative dichotomiser*”) OR (“decision stump*”) OR (“clustering algorithm*”) OR (“k-means”) OR (“k-medians”) OR (“hierarchical clustering*”) OR (“apriori”) OR (“auto encoder*”) OR (“auto-encoder*”) OR (“markov”) OR (“classification algorithm*”) OR (“locally weighted learning*”) OR (“support vector machine*”) OR (“svm”) OR (”decision tree*”) OR (“component analy*”) OR (“pca”) OR (“ica”) OR (“neural network*”) OR (“hierarchical learning*”) OR (“latent class analy*”) OR (“latent class model*”) OR (“latent variable model*”) OR (“boltzmann”) OR (“deep belief network*”) OR (“lasso regression*”) OR (“lda”) OR (“adtree*”) OR (“ridge regression*”) OR (“enet”) OR (“fnn”) OR (“firefly algorithm*”) OR (“multivariate adaptive regression splines*”) OR (“locally estimated scatterplot smoothing*”) OR (“instance base*”) OR (“instance-base*”) OR (“nearest neighbor*”) OR (“regularization algorithm*”) OR (“least absolute shrinkage select*”) OR (“least angle regression*”) OR (“eclat”) OR (“back propagation*”) OR (“hopfield network*”) OR (“radial basis function network*”) OR (“dimensionality reduction algorithm*”) OR (“ensemble algorithm*”) OR (“adaboost”) OR (“stacked generalization*”) OR (“relu”) OR (“naive bayes*”)))

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Appendix B. Definitions for data extraction

Study identifiers and characteristics of the study design	
Study references	
Study name, location, and publication date	
First author's name	
Study design	Single-gate; Two-gates
Funding sources	
Characteristics of participants (dataset)	
Dataset type	Training dataset; Validation dataset (Cross-validation, Split-validation); Test dataset
Dataset publicity	Public; Private; Miscellaneous (e.g., trials)
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	
Sample size	Autistic disorder; Asperger's syndrome; Control
Number of extracted data per participant (e.g., the number of brain MRI images)	
Gender	Female; Male
Average age ± SD	Infants (< 1y); Preschool (1 - 6y); School (6 - 18y); Adults (> 18y)
Quality parameters (e.g., slice thickness)	
Performance and validation condition	
Software used	
Qualitative description of pre-processing methods	
Segmentation	Manually; Machine learning algorithm based

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Input data extraction	Feature selection (Filter, Wrapper, Embedded); Manually; Other
Qualitative description of machine learning algorithm	
Machine learning algorithm	Supervised; Unsupervised; Reinforce; Mixed; Other
Classification algorithm	Support vector machine (SVM); Deep-neural network (DNN); Probabilistic neural network (PNN); Decision tree; Regression; Ensemble; Random forest; Other
Missing data management	
Reference standard	DSM-IV; DSM-V; ICD-10; ICD-11; ADOS-I; ADOS-II; ADI-R; CARS; GARS
Accuracy indices	
True-positive (TP), True-negative (TN), False-positive (FP), False-negative (FN)	
Area Under the Curve (AUC)	
Diagnostic odds ratio	
Youden's J index	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Appendix C. Tailored version of the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2 (QUADAS-2) tool

Patient selection
Risk of bias (Yes/No/Unclear)
a. Are the data sources clear? (enough clarity about intended sample size)
b. Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?
c. Are the data subsets clearly explained? (enough clarity about how data were assigned to partitions)
d. Did the dataset avoid inappropriate exclusions?
e. Is there clear information on how the missing data was handled?
Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? (Low/High/Unclear)
Concerns regarding applicability (Low/High/Unclear)
Are there concerns that the included patients do not match the review question?
Index test
Risk of bias (Yes/No/Unclear)
a. Is there enough clarity about the specification of the category of technology used? (e.g., deep learning or machine learning)
b. Is there enough clarity about the supervised and unsupervised model?
c. Is there clarity about details of the training approach, including hyperparameters and the number of iterations and thresholds?
Is there enough clarity about metrics of model performance and robustness, or sensitivity analysis and validation, or testing on external data? (Low/High/Unclear)
Concerns regarding applicability (Low/High/Unclear)
Are there concerns that the model, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?
Reference standard
Risk of bias (Yes/No/Unclear)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

a. Is there clarity about the definition of the reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication?
Is there clarity about the source of ground truth annotations; qualifications and preparation of annotators? (Low/High/Unclear)
Concerns regarding applicability (Low/High/Unclear)
Are there concerns that the target condition does not match the review question?

Bibliography

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®). *American Psychiatric Pub*.

Baio, J., Wiggins, L., Christensen, D., Maenner, M., Daniels, J., Warren, Z., Kurzius-Spencer, M., Zahorodny, W., Rosenberg, C., White, T., & Durkin, M. (2018). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*, *67*(6).

Beynon, R., Leeflang, M., McDonald, S., Eisinga, A., Mitchell, R., Whiting, P., & Glanville, J. (2013). Search strategies to identify diagnostic accuracy studies in MEDLINE and EMBASE. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *9*.

Blackwood, D. (2015). Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS):" Can you check my systematic review search strategy? *HLA News*.

Bosl, W., Tierney, A., Tager-Flusberg, H., & Nelson, C. (2011). EEG complexity as a biomarker for autism spectrum disorder risk. *BMC medicine*, *9*(1).

Bürkner, P., & Doebler, P. (2014). Testing for publication bias in diagnostic meta□analysis: a simulation study. *Statistics in medicine*, *33*(18).

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. (2009). *CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in healthcare*. York Publ. Services.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Chaste, P., & Leboyer, M. (2012). Autism risk factors: genes, environment, and gene-environment interactions. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*, *14*(3).

Dichter, J. (2012). Functional magnetic resonance imaging of autism spectrum disorders. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*, *14*(3).

Doi, S., Barendregt, J., Khan, S., Thalib, L., & Williams, G. (2015). Advances in the meta-analysis of heterogeneous clinical trials I: the inverse variance heterogeneity model. *Contemporary clinical trials*, *45*.

Duval, S., & Tweedie, R. (2000). Trim and fill: a simple funnel□plot–based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta□analysis. *Biometrics*, *56*(2).

Fernell, E., Eriksson, M., & Gillberg, C. (2013). Early diagnosis of autism and impact on prognosis: a narrative review. *Clinical epidemiology*, *5*.

Filipek, P., Accardo, P., Baranek, G., Cook, E., Dawson, G., Gordon, B., Gravel, J., Johnson, C., Kallen, R., Levy, S., & Minshew, N. (1999). The screening and diagnosis of autistic spectrum disorders. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, *29*(6).

Furuya-Kanamori, L., Kostoulas, P., & Doi, S. (2021). A new method for synthesizing test accuracy data outperformed the bivariate method. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *132*.

Hernandez, L., Rudie, J., Green, S., Bookheimer, S., & Dapretto, M. (2015). Neural signatures of autism spectrum disorders: insights into brain network dynamics. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *40*(1).

Higgins, J., & Thompson, S. (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta□analysis. *Statistics in medicine*, *21*(11).

Jones, C., & Athanasiou, T. (2005). Summary receiver operating characteristic curve analysis techniques in the evaluation of diagnostic tests. *The Annals of thoracic surgery*, *79*(1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Macaskill, P., Gatsonis, C., Deeks, J., Harbord, R., & Takwoingi, Y. (2010). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy*. The Cochrane Collaboration.

Maenner, M., Shaw, K., & Baio, J. (2020). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2016. *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*, *69*(4).

McInnes, M., Moher, D., & Thombs, B. (2018). Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. *JAMA*, *319*(4).

Moher, D., Shamseer, L., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., Shekelle, P., & Stewart, L. (2015). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.*, *4*(1).

Moon, S., Hwang, J., Kana, R., Torous, J., & Kim, J. (2019). Accuracy of machine learning algorithms for the diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis of brain magnetic resonance imaging studies. *JMIR mental health*, *6*(12).

Olofsson, H., Brolund, A., Hellberg, C., Silverstein, R., Stenström, K., Österberg, M., & Dagerhamn, J. (2017). Can abstract screening workload be reduced using text mining? User experiences of the tool Rayyan. *Research synthesis methods*, *8*(3).

Ouzzani, M., Hammady, H., Fedorowicz, Z., & Elmagarmid, A. (2016). Rayyan — a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*, *210*(5).

Page, M., McKenzie, J., Bossuyt, P., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T., & Mulrow, C. (2021). PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, *372*.

Reitsma, J., Glas, A., Rutjes, A., Scholten, R., Bossuyt, P., & Zwinderman, A. (2005). Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *58*(10).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.29.21254249; this version posted July 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Rethlefsen, M., Kirtley, S., Waffenschmidt, S., Ayala, A., Moher, D., Page, M., & Koffel, J. (2021). PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA Statement for Reporting Literature Searches in Systematic Reviews. *Systematic reviews*, *10*(1).

Schünemann, H., Brożek, J., Guyatt, G., & Oxman, A. (2013). *Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach*.

Sharpe, D. (1997). Of apples and oranges, file drawers and garbage: Why validity issues in meta-analysis will not go away. *Clinical psychology review*, *17*(8).

Slater, L. (2012). PubMed PubReMiner. *Journal of the Canadian Health Libraries Association*, *33*(2).

Song, F. (2000). Publication and related bias. *Health Technology Assessment*, *4*.

Sorden, N. (2016). New MeSH Browser Available. *NLM Tech. Bull.*, *413*.

Team, R. C. (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.

Thabtah, F., & Peebles, D. (2019). Early autism screening: a comprehensive review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *16*(18).

Vllasaliu, L., Jensen, K., Hoss, S., Landenberger, M., Menze, M., Schütz, M., Ufniarz, K., Kieser, M., & Freitag, C. (2016). Diagnostic instruments for autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.

Whiting, P., Rutjes, A., Westwood, M., Mallett, S., Deeks, J., Reitsma, J., & Bossuyt, P. (2011). QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Annals of internal medicine*, *155*(8).