SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in Sierra Leone, March 2021: a cross-sectional, nationally representative, age-stratified serosurvey

Authors
Mohamed Bailor Barrie, MBChB, MMSc[a,b*]
Sulaiman Lakoh, MBChB[c*]
J. Daniel Kelly, MD, MPH[d]
Joseph Sam Kanu, PhD[c]
James Squire, MBChB, MIPH[c]
Zikan Koroma, MBChB, MSc[c]
Silleh Bah, MSc[e]
Osman Sankoh, DSc[efgh]
Abdulai Brima[e]
Rashid Ansumana, PhD[f]
Sarah A. Goldberg, BS[c]
Smit Chitre, AB[i]
Chidinma Osuagwu, BA[j]
Justin Maeda, MD, MSc[k]
Bernard Barekye, MSc, MPHD[k]
Tamuno-Wari Numbere, MBBS, MSc[k]
Mohammed Abdulaziz, MBBS, MPH[k]
Anthony Mounts, MD[l]
Curtis Blanton, MS[l]
Tushar Singh, MD, PhD[l]
Mohamed Samai, MBBS, PhD[m]
Mohamed A. Vandi, MD, MPH[c]
Eugene T. Richardson, MD, PhD[i,k,n]

a. Institute for Global Health Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, USA
b. Partners In Health, Sierra Leone
c. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, USA
d. Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Sierra Leone
e. Statistics Sierra Leone
f. Njala University, Sierra Leone
g. School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
h. Heidelberg Institute of Global Heath, University of Heidelberg Medical School, Germany
i. Department of Global Health & Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
j. Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
k. Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
l. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA
m. College of Medicine and Allied Health Sciences, Freetown, Sierra Leone
n. Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence
Eugene T. Richardson, MD, PhD
Harvard Medical School
Department of Global Health and Social Medicine
641 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115
Eugene_Richardson@hms.harvard.edu

* Co-first authors

ABSTRACT

Background

As of 26 March 2021, the Africa CDC had reported 4,159,055 cases of COVID-19 and 111,357 deaths among the 55 African Union Member States; however, no country has published a nationally representative serosurvey as of May 2021. Such data are vital for understanding the pandemic's progression on the continent, evaluating containment measures, and policy planning.

Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional, nationally representative, age-stratified serosurvey in Sierra Leone in March 2021 by randomly selecting 120 Enumeration Areas throughout the country and 10 random households in each of these. One to two persons per selected household were interviewed to collect information on socio-demographics, symptoms suggestive of COVID-19, exposure history to laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases, and history of COVID-19 illness. Capillary blood was collected by fingerstick, and blood samples were tested using the Hangzhou

Biotest Biotech RightSign COVID-19 IgG/IgM Rapid Test Cassette. Total seroprevalence was was estimated after applying sampling weights.

Findings

The overall weighted seroprevalence was 2.6% (95% CI 1.9-3.4). This is 43 times higher than the reported number of cases. Rural seropositivity was 1.8% (95% CI 1.0-2.5), and urban seropositivity was 4.2% (95% CI 2.6-5.7).

Interpretation

IgM positivity was elevated as of March 2021 suggesting the second wave had not yet fully abated. Although overall seroprevalence was low compared to countries in the Global North (suggesting relatively successful containment in Sierra Leone), our findings indicate enormous underreporting of active cases. This is concerning because it may reflect significant underreporting of incidence and mortality across the continent. The low level of natural immunity is also worrisome in that it presents a very large population of susceptible individuals at risk for future variant waves—in a country with only 0.2% of people fully vaccinated.

Funding

This study was supported by NIAID K08 AI139361, the Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, the Africa CDC, and the UCSF Institute for Global Health Sciences.

MAIN TEXT

Background

As of 26 March 2021, the Africa CDC had reported 4,159,055 cases of COVID-19 and 111,357 deaths among the 55 African Union Member States;[1] no African Union (AU) Member States has published a nationally representative serosurvey as of May 2021.

Sierra Leone reported 3,962 cases (49.5 per 100,000 population) and 79 deaths as of 26 March 2021 (Figure 1), with 2.9% of all diagnostic tests becoming positive. This relatively low case rate compared with countries in the Global North could be partly explained by the fast implementation of stay-at-home measures, collaboration at regional levels, and a swift response at the continental level.[2] Indeed, Ministers of Health and the Africa CDC convened to develop a continental strategy early in the pandemic.[3]

To date, however, all reported data on cases and deaths have come from event-based surveillance.[4] Case reporting is influenced by often under-resourced strategies for case finding, testing, and contact tracing, and might underestimate the true burden of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection.[5] We therefore sought to measure country-wide seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Sierra Leone, an AU Member State, as such data are vital for understanding the pandemic's progression on the continent, evaluating containment measures, and policy planning.[6]

Methods

Design

This was a cross-sectional, population-based, age-stratified serosurvey, targeting household members aged 5 years or above, regardless of previous or current infection with COVID-19, who resided in Sierra Leone during the period of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (that is, since the first case was reported on March 31, 2020).

For the purpose of sample size estimation, seroprevalence was estimated at 5%. Considering 95% confidence intervals and a design effect of 3, the minimum sample size was calculated to be 1,200 households with at least one member of each household selected. This was designed to give a total of 240 individuals for each of the age strata 5-9, 10-19, 20-39, 40-59 and ≥60 years old.

Sampling

The sampling frame was the most recent census conducted by Statistics Sierra Leone. We conducted randomized, multistage sampling, with the first stage of sampling being proportionate to the most recent population size estimate. The first stage consisted of randomly choosing 120 Enumeration Areas (EAs), which are small units that contain 80-120 households each. Within each selected EA, households were identified on a satellite map by numbering them in order west to east, then north to south. After that, 10 households were chosen using a random number generator. One to two members of each household over the age of 5 years were then selected for participation, and the selection of individuals was constrained to give the appropriate number of individuals for each age stratum: In Sierra Leone, approximately 41% of the population is ≤ 15

years of age and only about 3.5% are ≥ 65 years of age.[7] This means that any straight-forward random sample of the population will result in an insufficient sample of older adults. For example, a truly random sample of the population that selected 1,000 people, would include 410 children ≤ 15 years of age and only 35 adults ≥ 65 years of age. This would result in a sample too small to give confidence in the estimated seroprevalence of senior adults. To compensate for this, the sampling process was constrained to restrict the number of children selected, and adults were over-sampled.

We started by selecting individuals from the older strata in a systematic progression from house-to-house. In this method, the survey team would attempt to capture an individual of each sex in the older strata before progressing to younger strata. If there was not any individual available for the appointed age group, they would skip to the next (younger) age stratum and choose from that one.

Test Validation and Sample collection

Fingerstick samples were screened for the presence of both IgM and IgG SARS-CoV-2 virus specific antibodies using the Hangzhou Biotest Biotech RightSign COVID-19 Rapid Test Cassette, which in FDA testing had combined IgM/IgG specificities of 100% and IgM/IgG sensitivities of 100%.[8] We further validated the test with a control panel of 58 local specimens tested by nasopharyngeal swab, which was comprised of 10 RT-PCR negative, 8 RT-PCR positive, and 40 serial dilutions of positives specimens and also found combined IgM/IgG sensitivities and specificities of 100%.

All tests were performed at the time of interview and according to manufacturer's instructions. Each participant was notified of their results during the interview. Participants with positive IgM results were referred to the District Health Management Team as an active case.

Data analysis

We collected demographic data on study participants including age, sex, district, number of people per household, occupation, and whether they lived in a rural or urban area (those residing in district capitals were categorized as urban, and the rest as rural). As in other surveys, we categorized the reported occupations into high-risk and low-risk categories on the basis of the risk of exposure to potential COVID-19 cases.[5] For example, occupations such as health-care workers, shopkeepers/petty traders, transport operators, and those in food service were considered high-risk occupations; on the other hand, farmers, miners, homemakers, students, were considered as being at lower risk of exposure. The information about occupation of the participants was captured as open-ended text and was categorized into high and low risk by the investigators.

The data were analyzed to estimate an unadjusted seroprevalence of IgM/IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 with 95% CI. An individual was deemed seropositive if they were IgM+/IgG-, IgM-/IgG+, or IgM+/IgG+. We also calculated seroprevalence by age group, sex, and area of residence (rural/urban). To estimate the weighted seroprevalence, we post-stratified by district (counting Falaba as part of Koinadugu) and calculated sampling weights as a ratio of the national population to district population (>5 years of age). The weighted seroprevalence was further adjusted for the sensitivity and specificity of the assay. We then dtermeined if there were

significant differences in seropostivity by demographic variable using the Rao-Scott Chi-square test.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the Sierra Leone Ethics and Scientific Review Committee. It received a Not Research Determination (IRB20-1394) from the Harvard Institutional Review Board as it was deemed Public Health Surveillance.

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study were not involved in reviewing the study design, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication. All authors had access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Findings

In March, 2021, we identified 1,893 individuals aged 5 years or older from households in 120 EAs. Eighteen percent of participants were age 5-9; 20.3% 10-19; 23.8% 20-39; 19.0% 40-59; and 19.0% >60 (Table 1). Slightly more than half of the participants (964, 50.9%) were female; 1,174 (62%) were residing in rural areas, and 845 (44.6%) had an occupation with a relatively higher risk of exposure to people potentially infected with COVID-19. No individuals reported having previously tested positive for COVID-19.

The overall weighted and adjusted seroprevalence was 2.6% (95% CI 1.9-3.4). IgM positivity was 0.6% and IgG positivity was 2.3%; only 6 participants (0.3%) were IgM+/IgG+. Rural

seropositivity was 1.8% (95% CI 1.0-2.5) and urban seropositivity was 4.2% (95% CI 2.6-5.7). Stratifying by age group, 1.7% (95% CI 0.2-3.2) of participants age 5-9 tested positive for anti-SARS-CoV-s antibodies, as did 2.6% (95% CI 0.8-4.2) of those 10-19, 1.2% (95% 0.2-2.3) of those 20-39, 4.4% (95% CI 2.4-6.4) of those 40-59, and 3.6% (95% CI 1.6-5.6) of those 60 and above (Table 2). None of the 35 healthcare workers sampled tested positive. There was a significant difference in seropositivity between rural and urban populations (p=0.002). Seropositivity in females was 74% higher than in males, nearly reaching significance (p=0.056).

Interpretation

Our findings indicate that 2.6% of Sierra Leone's population aged 5 years or older had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 infection by March 2021, with an estimated 203,060 infections. This is 43 times higher than the reported number of cases, yet indicates, similar to many other countries,[9] herd immunity is far from being reached. IgM positivity higher than zero as of March 2021 suggesting the country's second wave had not fully abated (Figure 1). Seroprevalance in urban settings was more than double that of rural. This trend has been seen in other surveys and can be explained by higher contact rates and challenges in safe physical distancing in urban areas.[5]

While a total seroprevalence of 2/6% is significantly lower than city and regional estimates across the continent, it represents the first nationally representative SARS-CoV-2 serosurvey to be published by an African nation. (Of note, West Africa was one of the last regions of the world to be affected by H1N1 influenza in 2009-2010,[10] so a similar dynamic may be occurring here.) Chibwana and colleagues found that 12.3% of healthcare workers in Blantyre, Malawi tested

positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies;[11] Uyoga and colleagues found a crude seroprevalence of 5.6% in Kenyan blood donors;[12] Olayanju and colleagues determined a 45.1% seroprevalence among asymptomatic healthcare workers in Ibadan, Nigeria;[13] and Mulenga and colleagues noted a SARS-CoV-2 prevalence of 10.6% in 6 of 117 districts in Zambia.[14]

Significant underreporting of cases is likely the result of a high prevalence of minimally symptomatic disease in a younger demographic coupled with under-resourced systematic surveillance in the setting of legacies of distrust of authorities and foreign interventions (resulting in the avoidance of testing).[15–23] Indeed, Mwananyanda and colleagues found significant underreporting of cases in Zambia through postmortem surveillance.[24] Furthermore, 1) limited testing capacity, 2) low natural immunity, 3) and less than 0.2% of Sierra Leoneans being fully vaccinated as of 21 May 2021 is extremely concerning in that it presents a very large population of susceptible individuals at risk for future variant waves. As reported by Reuters, during the first week of May 2021, Sierra Leone averaged about 556 doses of vaccine administered each day: At that rate, it would take a further 2,809 days to administer enough doses for another 10% of the population.[25] Indeed, both under-reporting of cases and limited vaccination campaigns increase the risk of new variants emerging and circulating in the population before public health authorities have a chance to detect them and prevent their spread.[26] Since India was forced to halt the export of vaccines on account of the devastating second wave there, this timeline could worsen.[27] These data should therefore strengthen demands for faster vaccine deployments in countries like Sierra Leone as part of global vaccine justice, including support for intellectual property waivers and technology transfers.[28]

Our study has two notable limitations. The effects of waning immunity on the performance of the assay we used is unclear, and we therefore may not have fully captured those individuals infected in the first wave of COVID-19 in spring 2020 (Figure 1).[29] In addition, the exact sensitivity of the assay for detecting minimally symptomatic infection is not known. Both factors would bias the estimated seroprevalence downwards.

Disclosure

We declare no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Yelena Gorina for her help with data analysis.

References

1 Africa CDC. COVID-19 Dashboard. 2021. https://africacdc.org/covid-19/ (accessed March 26, 2021).

2 Binagwaho A, Mathewos K. What explains Africa's successful response to the COVID-19 pandemic? Medical News Today. 2020. https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/what-explains-africas-successful-response-to-the-covid-19-pandemic#Swift-response-at-the-continental-level (accessed March 26, 2021).

3 Africa CDC. Africa Joint Continental Strategy for COVID-19 Outbreak. 2020. https://africacdc.org/download/africa-joint-continental-strategy-for-covid-19-outbreak/ (accessed March 26, 2021).

4 Salyer SJ, Maeda J, Sembuche S, *et al.* The first and second waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet* 2021; : [Epub before print].

5 Murhekar M V, Bhatnagar T, Selvaraju S, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 antibody seroprevalence in India, August-September, 2020: findings from the second nationwide household serosurvey. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; **9**: e257–66.

6 Murhekar M V, Clapham H. COVID-19 serosurveys for public health decision making. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; **9**: e559–60.

7 Statistics Sierra Leone. Population and Housing Census. 2015. https://www.statistics.sl/images/StatisticsSL/Documents/final-results_-2015_population_and_housing_census.pdf (accessed June 21, 2021).

8 FDA. Serology Test Evaluation Report for "Covid-19 IgG/IgM Rapid Test Cassette" from Hangzhou Biotest Biotech, Co., Ltd. 2020. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/presentations/maf/maf3252-a001.pdf (accessed March 26, 2021).

9 Chen X, Chen Z, Azman AS, *et al.* Serological evidence of human infection with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; **9**: e598–609.

10 World Health Organization. Situation updates - Pandemic (H1N1) 2009. 2010. https://www.who.int/csr/disease/swineflu/updates/en/.

11 Chibwana MG, Jere KC, Kamng'ona R, *et al.* High SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health care workers but relatively low numbers of deaths in urban Malawi. *Wellcome Open Research* 2020; **5**. DOI:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16188.2.

12 Uyoga S, Adetifa IMO, Karanja HK, *et al.* Seroprevalence of anti–SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Kenyan blood donors. *Science* 2021; **371**: 79 LP – 82.

13 Olayanju O, Bamidele O, Edem F, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Seropositivity in Asymptomatic Frontline Health Workers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2020; **104**: 91–4.

14 Mulenga LB, Hines JZ, Fwoloshi S, *et al.* Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in six districts in Zambia in July, 2020: a cross-sectional cluster sample survey. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; : [Epub before print].

15 Usuf E, Roca A. Seroprevalence surveys in sub-Saharan Africa: what do they tell us? *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; published online May 18. DOI:10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00092-9.

16 Richardson ET. On the Coloniality of Global Public Health. *Medicine Anthropology*

Theory 2019; **6**: 101–18.
17 Richardson ET. Epidemic Illusions. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2020.
18 Frankfurter R, Kardas-Nelson M, Benton A, *et al.* Indirect Rule Redux: The Political Economy of Diamond Mining and its Relation to the Ebola Outbreak in Kono District, Sierra Leone. *Review of African Political Economy* 2018; **45**: 522–40.
19 Richardson ET, Kelly JD, Sesay O, *et al.* The Symbolic Violence of 'Outbreak': A Mixed-methods, Quasi-experimental Impact Evaluation of Social Protection on Ebola Survivor Wellbeing. *Social Science and Medicine* 2017; **195**: 77–82.
20 Richardson ET, Barrie MB, Kelly JD, Dibba Y, Koedoyoma S, Farmer PE. Biosocial approaches to the 2013-16 Ebola pandemic. *Health and Human Rights* 2016; **18**: 167–79.
21 Nunn N, Wantchekon L. The Slave Trade and the Origins of Mistrust in Africa. *American Economic Review* 2011; **101**: 3221–52.
22 Richardson ET, McGinnis T, Frankfurter R. Ebola and the narrative of mistrust. *BMJ Global Health* 2019; **4**: e001932.
23 Daniel Kelly J, Barrie MB, Mesman AW, *et al.* Anatomy of a Hotspot: Chain and Seroepidemiology of Ebola Virus Transmission, Sukudu, Sierra Leone, 2015-16. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2018; **217**. DOI:10.1093/infdis/jiy004.
24 Mwananyanda L, Gill CJ, MacLeod W, *et al.* Covid-19 deaths in Africa: prospective systematic postmortem surveillance study. *BMJ* 2021; **372**: n334.
25 Reuters. Sierra Leone. COVID-19 Tracker. 2021. https://graphics.reuters.com/world-coronavirus-tracker-and-maps/countries-and-territories/sierra-leone/.
26 Wild S. Hidden Toll of COVID in Africa Threatens Global Pandemic Progress. *Scientific American* 2021; published online March.
27 AFP. India's COVID battle causes vaccine worries in Africa. Al Jazeera. 2021. https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/5/10/indias-covid-battle-causes-vaccine-worries-in-africa?taid=609a1660d8fc9d0001741dc0&utm_campaign=trueAnthem%3A+Trending+Content&utm_medium=trueAnthem&utm_source=twitter.
28 Erfani P, Richardson E, Hickel J. A call for global vaccine justice. Al Jazeera. 2021. https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2021/2/24/a-call-for-global-vaccine-equity.
29 Peluso MJ, Takahashi S, Hakim J, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 antibody magnitude and detectability are driven by disease severity, timing, and assay. *medRxiv* 2021; : 2021.03.03.21251639.



Figure 1. Daily COVID cases in Sierra Leone until from Feb 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021. The country's first case was reported on March 31, 2020. (Source: COVID-19 Dashboard by the by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University. Accessed 5/20/21).

	Participants (n=1,893)
Age, years	
< 10	340 (18.0%)
10-19	384 (20.3%)
20-39	451 (23.8%)
40-59	359 (19.0%)
> 60	359 (19.0%)
Gender	
Female	964 (50.9%)
Male	929 (49.1%)
Area of residence	
Rural	1,174 (62.0%)
Urban	719 (38.0%)
Number of People Per Household (n = 1,719)[§]	7 (5-9)* (1-45)[†]
Occupation with high risk of exposure to COVID-19 (n = 1,892)[§]	845 (44.6%)
Current smoker (n = 1,892)[§]	250 (13.2%)
Would accept vaccine if offered (n = 1,892)[§]	1,666 (88.0%)
Seropositive	53 (2.8%)
Positive IgM	12 (0.6%)
Positive IgG	44 (2.3%)

* median (interquartile range)
[†] (absolute range)
[§] Data are n (%) unless otherwise stated

Table 1: Participant characteristics.

	Participants tested, n	Seropositive participants, n	Unweighted Seroprevalence, % (95% CI)	Weighted Seroprevalence, % (95% CI)*
Overall	1,893	53	2.8% (2.1-3.5)	2.6% (1.9-3.4)
Age, years				
< 10	340	5	1.5% (0.5-3.4)	1.7% (0.2-3.2)
10-19	384	9	2.3% (1.1-4.4)	2.6% (0.8-4.2)
20-39	451	6	1.3% (0.5-2.9)	1.2% (0.2-2.3)
40-59	359	19	5.3% (3.2-8.1)	4.4% (2.4-6.4)
> 60	359	14	3.9% (2.1-6.5)	3.6% (1.6-5.6)
Rao-Scott Chi-sq p-value			0.0032	0.0548
Gender				
Female	964	33	3.4% (2.4-4.8)	3.3% (2.2-4.5)
Male	929	20	2.2% (1.3-3.3)	1.9% (1.0-2.8)
Rao-Scott Chi-sq p-value			0.0939	0.0563
Area of residence				
Rural	1,174	24	2.0% (1.3-3.0)	1.8% (1.0-2.5)
Urban	719	29	4.0% (2.7-5.7)	4.2% (2.6-5.7)
Rao-Scott Chi-sq p-value			0.0109	0.0023

* post-stratified by district

Table 2: Seroprevalence by demographic characteristics.