Runners with chronic mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy have greater Triceps surae intracortical inhibition than healthy controls

Gabriel L. Fernandes; Lucas B. R. Orssatto; Anthony J. Shield; Gabriel S. Trajano

School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Australia

Abstract

Objective

To investigate intracortical inhibitory mechanisms and muscle function in the Triceps surae of runners with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy (AT).

Design

Cross-sectional study

Methods

Runners with (n=11) and without (n=13) AT were recruited. Plantar flexor isometric peak torque and rate of torque development (RTD) were measured using an isokinetic dynamometer. Triceps surae endurance was measured as single leg heel raise (SLHR) to failure test. Short-interval intracortical inhibition (SICI) was assessed using paired-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation during a sustained contraction at 10% of plantar flexor isometric peak torque.

Results

AT presented 13.41% (95%CI:0.76 to 26.0) higher Triceps surae SICI, 68% inhibition (95%CI:58.5 to 76.4) compared to 55% inhibition in the control group (95%CI:45.1 to 63.0). AT performed 28%, -12.6 (95%CI:-19.4 to -5.7, p=0.001) fewer SLHR repetitions on the most symptomatic side, 27.5 (95%CI:20.7 to 34.3), compared to controls, 38.5 (95% CI:33.2 to 43.8) and 24%, -10.9 (95%CI:-18.4 to -3.3, p=0.008), fewer SLHR repetitions on the non-symptomatic, 29.0 (95%CI:19.4 to 38.6) compared to controls, 38.5 (95%CI:33.2 to 43.8). We found no between-groups differences in isometric peak torque or RTD.

Conclusion

Our data suggest greater intracortical inhibition for the Triceps surae muscles for the AT group accompanied by reduced SLHR endurance, without deficits in isometric peak torque or RTD. The increased SICI observed in the AT group could be negatively influencing Triceps surae endurance; thus, rehabilitation aiming to reduce intracortical inhibition should be considered to improve patient outcomes. Furthermore, SLHR is a useful clinical tool to assess plantar flexor function in AT patients.

Keywords: Achilles tendinopathy; SICI; sports physiotherapy; runners; triceps surae endurance; single leg heel raise.

i. Introduction

Achilles tendinopathy (AT) is an overload injury, most commonly seen in the mid-portion of the tendon, which affects both recreational and elite athletes in sports that involve running and jumping[1]. AT is a debilitating multifactorial injury, with high recurrence rates[1,2]. Triceps surae weakness is a key feature in chronic AT and is suggested to be present even before the development of tendinopathy symptoms[3–5]. Muscle weakness has been reported in individuals with AT as reduced maximal concentric and eccentric strength, and endurance. These deficits in muscle strength and endurance are still observed after years of rehabilitation[6,7], even with improvements in pain and function[5,8,9]. Thus, it is of great clinical relevance to understand the mechanisms underpinning chronic muscle weakness to develop better rehabilitation strategies.

Chronic muscle weakness in individuals with AT could have an origin in the central nervous system. Bilateral sensory and motor deficits (i.e. proprioception and muscle weakness) have been reported in individuals with unilateral tendinopathy, implying involvement of the central nervous system[10–12]. The central nervous system controls the motor action through different inhibitory and excitatory intracortical mechanisms, which will fine tune and adjust the intensity of the stimuli to achieve the required level of force and control[13]. Thus, any changes in cortical mechanisms of inhibition could affect motor performance[13,14]. Short-interval intracortical inhibition (SICI) is a measurement of intracortical mechanisms of inhibition, and it is believed to represent post-synaptic inhibition mediated by $GABA_A$

receptors[15]. SICI reflects the balance between inhibition and facilitation and can be measured using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), by delivering a pair of pulses to the primary motor cortex. Increased SICI has been reported in patella tendinopathy patients, suggesting an imbalance within intracortical mechanisms of inhibition; affecting muscle activation and consequently muscle force[14]. Therefore, it is possible that increased intracortical inhibition is an important mechanism affecting muscle force in AT patients.

Although increased SICI has been observed in patella tendinopathy[14], it is unclear if this is also present in chronic mid-portion AT patients. Thus, this study aimed to investigate intracortical inhibitory mechanisms in runners with mid-portion AT and its influence on plantar flexor isometric peak torque, rate of torque development (RTD), and maximal number of single leg heel raises (SLHR) (endurance measure). We hypothesised that runners with chronic mid-portion AT would present with greater levels of cortical inhibition in the triceps surae and lower plantar flexor isometric peak torque, RTD, and number of SLHR than controls.

ii. Method

This was a cross-sectional study comparing runners with and without mid-portion AT. A sample size of 10 participants was calculated based on the results of Rio et al, 2015[14] (GPower software parameters: effect size d= 2.63; α err prob: 0.05; power 0.95; n=10). Twenty-four runners were recruited and allocated into two groups: mid-portion AT (n=11) and healthy controls (n=13) (See Table 1 for participants' characteristics). All participants were endurance runners, recruited from local running clubs. Diagnosis of mid-portion AT was confirmed by an experienced physiotherapist during examination if patients presented with localised mid-portion Achilles tendon pain for more than three months, pain provoked by physical activities in a dose dependent way and had pain with palpation at the mid portion of tendon. Once diagnosis was confirmed, inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Inclusion criteria for the AT group were: 1) a diagnosis of mid-portion AT for > 3 months; 2) a running routine of more than twice weekly for > 4 months; and 3) between 18-60 years of age and able to give informed consent. Exclusion criteria for the AT group were: 1) insertional AT; 2) previous rupture or surgery of the Achilles tendon; 3) clinical findings indicating a differential diagnosis for the Achilles

tendon pain, such as tendon tear; regular participation in other sports involving high speed running (football, rugby, AFL etc); 4) VISA-A score > 90 points; and 5) any other musculoskeletal injuries of the lower limb; any neurological disorder; or mental health issues affecting consent. Inclusion criteria for the control group were: 1) to be free of any current musculoskeletal injuries of the lower leg; 2) a running routine of more than twice a weekly for > 4 months; and 3) between 18-60 years of age and able to give informed consent. Exclusion criteria for the control group were: 1) previous injury of the Achilles tendon; 2) regular participation in other sports involving high speed running (football, rugby, AFL etc); 3) VISA-A score < 100 points; and 4) any neurological disorder; or mental health issues affecting consent. Prior to testing, all participants read and signed a detailed informed consent document and completed the VISA-A questionnaire[16] and a TMS contra-indication questionnaire. This study was approved by the University Human Research and Ethics Committee in line with the Declaration of Helsinki. Data collection was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic and all safety procedures followed local state government policies.

Plantar flexor isometric peak torque was measured using an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, New York). For the bilateral AT presentations (n=3), the most symptomatic leg was used and for the control group, the dominant leg was used for testing. Participants were positioned in a seated position (75 degrees of hip flexion) with their knee straight and with the foot perpendicular to shank. Warm up consisted of 2 × 4 s isometric contractions of each participant's perceived 20, 40, 60 and 80% maximal voluntary isometric contraction intensity. After warm-up, participants performed at least 3 maximal voluntary isometric contractions, until <5% variation was observed between contractions, and the highest value was used. Participants were instructed to contract as hard and fast as possible and received verbal encouragement and visual feedback of force output during the contractions. Absolute RTD was calculated as the average slope of torque-time curve (0-100 ms) relative to onset of contraction. The onset of contraction was determined by visually inspecting the torque trace and calculated from the time immediately after torque increased from baseline. Relative RTD was calculated by dividing the absolute RTD value by the contraction peak torque. After all neuromuscular and corticospinal assessments were completed, participants were instructed to perform the SLHR endurance test to failure from a flat surface, with each leg. Participants were instructed to lift the heel as

high as possible, and return to flat position, as many times as possible[17]. Test was ceased once participants started to perform SLHR test with a reduced range of motion.

After skin preparation, bipolar surface electromyography (sEMG) was used to record electrical signals from soleus (SOL), gastrocnemius medialis (GM) and gastrocnemius lateralis (GL), and a reference electrode was placed on the lateral malleolus. sEMG signal was collected during the corticospinal assessments (see below), and amplified (x1000), band-passed filtered (10-1000 Hz), digitised at 4 kHz. sEMG signals were recorded with PowerLab (16/35) and analysed with LabChart 8 software (AD instruments, New Zealand).

SICI was assessed by measuring motor evoked potential (MEP) amplitudes elicited by TMS over the primary motor cortex. The vertex (Cz) was located according to the 10-20 International System and used as the anatomic reference point for stimulator coil placements. Coil was then, positioned over the motor cortex area related to the lower limb[15,18]. Single and paired pulses were delivered using a double cone coil connected to a Magstim^2 stimulator (Magstim, Dyfed, UK). The coil was positioned over the motor cortex, contralateral to the examined limb and oriented to induce a posterior-anterior current to the motor cortex. The optimal stimulation site for the triceps surae, was identified using a supra-threshold stimulation intensity with a simultaneous background contraction (10% of peak torque)[19]. The optimal site was considered the area to produce the largest MEP in the SOL[15]. Thereafter, active motor threshold was determined using a non-parametric algorithm tool for estimating TMS motor threshold, MTAT2.0[20]. Motor threshold was defined as the minimal intensity of TMS output required to produce a positive MEP in the target muscle in at least 5 of 10 trials. A positive MEP was considered when peak-to-peak amplitude was greater than 0.1mV in SOL[15].

SICI was investigated using paired pulse stimulation with a conditioning stimulus of 0.8× active motor threshold, followed by a test stimulus of 1.2× active motor threshold, using a 3-ms interstimulus interval[18]. A single pulse was delivered at the same intensity as the test stimulus of 1.2x active motor threshold, as reference to calculate the percentage of SICI. Participants performed a submaximal contraction of 10% of maximal voluntary torque during transcranial stimulation. To ensure correct background torque participants received real-time visual feedback on a monitor positioned in front of them. The decision of whether to initiate the protocol with SICI or single pulse stimulation was ran-

domised for each participant. Twenty paired pulses and twenty single pulses were delivered. The results were then compared as an index of intracortical inhibition, whereas the higher the SICI value, the greater the intracortical inhibition. SICI is described as a percentage of the averaged peak-to-peak MEP amplitude and it was calculated using the formula: 100 × (conditioned MEP/unconditioned MEP×100)[18].

Robust independent samples t test[21] was used to compare torque variables and the single leg heel raise test between groups. Robust paired t test[21] was used to compare the single leg heel raise test between legs for participants with unilateral AT (n=8). Two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare SICI values between muscles of the triceps surae and between groups. An alpha level of 5% was adopted. Hedge's g was calculated for estimates of effect size (presented as g). Hedge's g effect size interpretation is as follows: ≤ 0.2 small effect; ≤ 0.5 medium effect; ≤ 0.8 large effect[22]. All statistical analyses were conducted using Jamovi software (Version 1.6, Sydney, Australia). Data is presented as means and 95% confidence intervals and effect size.

Table 1 Demographic data for Achilles Tendinopathy and healthy Control group

Variable	AT (n=11)	Control (n=13)	Mean difference
Age (years)	44.1 (35.7 to 52.5)	34 (30.0 to 38.2)	9.5 (-3.9 to 23.0)
Gender	6 males (54%)	7 males (54%)	-
Height (cm)	172.0 (165.9 to 178.1)	170.6 (165 to 176.2)	2.8 (-6.9 to 12.6)
Weight (kg)	76.2 (65.8 to 86.6)	64.8 (58 to 71.8)	8.8 (-5.8 to 23.5)
Running mileage km/week	37.4 (26.6 to 48.2)	30.4 (22 to 38.8)	8.7 (-6.8 to 24.4)
VISA-A score	70.7 (63.7 to 77.7)	100 (0)	-30.1 (-38.7 to -21.5)
Active Motor Threshold	33.7 (30.7 to 36.7)	32.7 (28.3 to 36.8)	0.1 (-5.0 to 5.2)

Data represent Mean and 95%CI in brackets for each variable.

iii. Results

AT presented 13.41% (95%CI: 0.76 to 26.0) higher Triceps surae SICI, 68% inhibition (95%CI: 58.5 to 76.4) compared to 55% inhibition in the control group (95%CI: 45.1 to 63.0; F = 4.83, η^2_p 0.180, p=0.039) independent of the tested muscle (Figure 1). No muscle × group interaction was observed (F = 0.189, η^2_p 0.009, p=0.828). Mean active motor threshold did not differ between groups, 0.1 (95%CI: -5.0 to 5.2).



Figure 1 Two-way ANOVA repeated measures showing SICI individual data for all Triceps surae muscles: Soleus (SOL), Gastrocnemius medialis (GM) and Gastrocnemius lateralis (GL) between groups. The AT group had ~ 13% more intracortical inhibition than the Control group. SICI was calculated and represents a percentage of cortical inhibition; thus, the higher the SICI value, the greater the cortical inhibition. Data reported as Grand mean. * Denotes statistical difference between groups.

We found no statistical differences between groups in any of the torque measures, plantar flexor isometric peak torque, -9.6 (95% CI: -48.1 to 28.8, g:-0.14, p=0.597); peak torque normalised for body

mass -0.3 (95% CI: -0.9 to 0.1, g:-0.54, p=0.139); RTD 48.7 (95% CI: -101.0 to 198.5, g:0.19, p=0.497) and RTD normalised for % of peak torque 0.5 (95% CI: -0.9 to 1.9, g:0.34, p=0.447). AT performed 28% (95%CI: -19.4 to -5.7, g:-1.0 , p=0.001) fewer SLHR repetitions on the most symptomatic side, 27.5 (95%CI: 20.7 to 34.3) compared to controls, 38.5 (95% CI: 33.2 to 43.8 and 24% (95%CI: -18.4 to -3.3, g:-0.9, p=0.008) fewer SLHR repetitions on the non-symptomatic, 29.0 (95%CI: 19.4 to 38.6) compared to controls, 38.5 (95%CI: 33.2 to 43.8), (Figure 2). When only unilateral presentations from the AT group were considered, there were significant between-limb differences with fewer heel raise repetitions performed on the symptomatic than the non-symptomatic leg, -2.3 (95% CI: -4.0 to -0.5, g:-0.2, p=0.019).



Figure 2 Single leg heel raise (SLHR) endurance test – AT vs Control (individual values). Each point represents individual values of maximal SLHR performed by each participant during endurance test. Both the symptomatic and the non-symptomatic leg of AT were weaker than Controls. Non-symptomatic SLHR represent data only from unilateral presentations. Data reported as mean and 95% CI. * Denotes statistical difference between groups, p=0.001. ** Denotes statistical difference between groups, p=0.008. *** Denotes statistical difference between groups, p=0.019

iv. Discussion

This study aimed to determine whether runners with mid-portion AT had: 1) greater intracortical inhibition (measured as SICI) of the triceps surae muscles than healthy controls and 2) lower levels of muscle function (measured as isometric peak torque, rate of torque development and SLHR endurance test). We found that runners with mid-portion AT had higher SICI than controls, accompanied by reduced SLHR endurance but without deficits in isometric peak torque or RTD. The data supports the primary hypothesis that runners with mid-portion AT have greater intracortical inhibition than controls; and partially supports our secondary hypothesis as runners with mid-portion AT have reduced Triceps surae endurance. Contrary to the hypothesis, runners with AT were not weaker nor slower in developing torque than controls during isometric contractions.

As expected, SICI was higher in the AT group, outlining increased intracortical inhibition of the triceps surae muscles (SOL, GM, GL), which could be negatively impacting Triceps surae endurance. To our knowledge, no other studies have investigated intracortical inhibitory mechanisms, using SICI measures, in chronic mid-portion AT. One study[14] reported increased SICI in patella tendinopathy. They found a reduction of intracortical inhibition, associated with reduction in tendon pain, following exercise, however this study did not have a control group to compare SICI values. Our results expand these previous findings by demonstrating that patients with mid-portion AT have higher intracortical inhibition, compared to controls. Furthermore, there is evidence that GL has a 22.8% reduction in activation during submaximal isometric contractions in AT[23]. Thus, if the greater cortical inhibition found in our participants with AT is in fact, negatively affecting their Triceps surae's endurance capacity, as seen in our participants, rehabilitation should emphasize strategies that have an influence in reducing cortical inhibition, such as heavy resistance training[24]. Triceps surae heavy resistance training, has yield great improvements in both pain and function in AT patients[25].

Although there were no differences between groups in measures of isometric peak torque, the AT group showed a reduced capacity during the SLHR endurance test, suggesting a deficit in Triceps surae endurance. The lack of group difference in plantar flexor isometric peak torque is in line with

the result of other studies[23,26]. In contrast, weakness in plantar flexor dynamic peak torque has been widely reported in AT[5,9,12]. Perhaps, as observed in other chronic musculoskeletal disorders, such as chronic hamstring strains[27], chronic AT presents deficits in dynamic but not in isometric peak torque. To the authors' knowledge, studies have not yet compared concentric, eccentric, and isometric torque measures from the same group of AT patients, against a control group. Further research analysing different contraction types is necessary to fully characterise Triceps surae`s deficits in AT and help guide better rehabilitation strategies.

Explosive plantar-flexor torque, measured as the RTD, was not different between groups in absolute or normalised values, suggesting chronic AT has no impact on this important parameter. A recent meta-analysis[9] found conflicting evidence about reductions in RTD in AT. Our study compared RTD from the most symptomatic leg of AT against healthy controls, whereas all other studies investigating RTD in AT, compared RTD between symptomatic and non-symptomatic legs of the same subjects. As a consequence, there is limited evidence to compare our data to.

Kinesiophobia (fear of movement) influences endurance recovery in AT patients; patients with higher levels of kinesiophobia recovered less of their muscle endurance in a 5-year follow-up[2]. This interesting finding shows how fear of moving in a certain way or using a specific body part can impact the neural drive to the muscle, possibly involving inhibitory mechanisms within the cortex, as observed in the AT group. The SLHR is a common clinical test of Triceps surae endurance[17,28] and function in AT patients[28]. Our data showed a reduction of 28% in Triceps surae endurance on the symptomatic side and 24% on the non-symptomatic side in unilateral presentations, compared to controls. Studies that have adopted the SLHR endurance test[2] or calculated the total work done in dynamometry[5] for endurance measurement have also observed a reduction in endurance capacity compared to the non-symptomatic side and to controls, respectively. Bilateral deficits in unilateral presentations have been widely described in chronic AT[9,12]. These deficits on both symptomatic and non-symptomatic sides, emphasise that the non-symptomatic leg should not be used as comparison for neuromuscular function in AT. It is possible that the degree of cortical inhibition present in our AT participants, is insufficient to impact muscle performance during a brief maximal voluntary isometric contraction, but sufficient to reduce performance during the SLHR test, once additional inhibitory impacts (sensory

inhibitory drive to motor neurons or higher centres) are added. Furthermore, it would also be relevant to investigate the impact that neural mechanisms would have in muscular responses to fatigue in AT. The literature shows no association between tendon structure and levels of tendon pain and dysfunction[29]; however, not having scanned participants tendons could be considered a limitation of this study. Unilateral AT presentations displayed bilateral deficits in muscle endurance; yet, without presenting any symptoms; thus, having scanned participants' bilateral tendons, would have helped profiling our participants for further analysis and understanding.

v. Conclusion

Runners with mid-portion AT have greater intracortical inhibition to the triceps surae muscles accompanied by a bilateral reduction in triceps surae endurance, despite no deficits in isometric peak torque or explosive torque. The increased SICI observed in the AT group may negatively influencing Triceps surae endurance; thus, rehabilitation strategies aiming to reduce intracortical inhibition should be considered for better outcomes. Furthermore, the SLHR test, is a useful clinical tool to assess Triceps surae function in patients with mid-portion AT as an outcome measure of improvement.

vi. Practical implications

- Runners with mid-portion AT have greater intracortical inhibition than healthy runners; and that should be addressed during rehabilitation for better patient outcomes.
- SLHR endurance test should continue to be used in clinical practice for assessment and monitoring of Triceps surae function and progression during rehab.
- SLHR endurance was reduced bilaterally in unilateral presentations, thus making the non-symptomatic leg not a reliable source of Triceps surae endurance capacity. Clinicians should follow SLHR endurance test normative data for that purpose.

vii. Acknowledgments

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

viii. References

1. Brund RBK, Rasmussen S, Kersting UG, Arendt-Nielsen L, Palsson TS. Prediction of running-induced Achilles tendinopathy with pain sensitivity - A 1-year prospective study. *Scand J Pain*. 2019;19(1):139-146. doi:10.1515/sjpain-2018-0084
2. Silbernagel KG, Brorsson A, Lundberg M. The majority of patients with Achilles tendinopathy recover fully when treated with exercise alone: A 5-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med*. 2011;39(3):607-613. doi:10.1177/0363546510384789
3. McAuliffe S, Tabuena A, McCreesh K, et al. Altered strength profile in Achilles tendinopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Athl Train*. 2019;54(8):889-900. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-43-18
4. Mahieu NN, Witvrouw E, Stevens V, Van Tiggelen D, Roget P. Intrinsic risk factors for the development of Achilles tendon overuse injury: A prospective study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2006;34(2):226-235. doi:10.1177/0363546505279918
5. O'Neill S, Barry S, Watson P. Plantarflexor strength and endurance deficits associated with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy: The role of soleus. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2019;37:69-76. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.03.002
6. Silbernagel KG, Thomeé R, Eriksson BI, Karlsson J. Full symptomatic recovery does not ensure full recovery of muscle-tendon function in patients with Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007;41(4):276-280. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2006.033464
7. Van Der Plas A, De Jonge S, De Vos RJ, et al. A 5-year follow-up study of Alfredson's heel-drop exercise programme in chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med*. 2012;46(3):214-218. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2011-090035
8. Wang HK, Lin KH, Wu YK, Chi SC, Shih TTF, Huang YC. Evoked spinal reflexes and force development in elite athletes with middle-portion achilles tendinopathy. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2011;41(10):785-794. doi:10.2519/jospt.2011.3564
9. Hasani F, Vallance P, Haines T, Munteanu SE, Malliaras P. Are Plantarflexor Muscle Impairments Present Among Individuals with Achilles Tendinopathy and Do They Change with Exercise? A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. *Sport Med - Open*. 2021;7(1).

doi:10.1186/s40798-021-00308-8

10. Plinsinga ML, Brink MS, Vicenzino B, Van Wilgen CP. Evidence of nervous system sensitization in commonly presenting and persistent painful tendinopathies: A systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;45(11):864-875. doi:10.2519/jospt.2015.5895

11. Heales LJ, Lim ECW, Hodges PW, Vicenzino B. Sensory and motor deficits exist on the non-injured side of patients with unimedi tendon pain and disability - Implications for central nervous system involvement: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(19):1400-1406. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-092535

12. Masood T, Kalliokoski K, Bojsen-Møller J, Peter Magnusson S, Finni T. Plantarflexor muscle function in healthy and chronic Achilles tendon pain subjects evaluated by the use of EMG and PET imaging. *Clin Biomech*. 2014;29(5):564-570. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.03.003

13. Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Jessell TM, Siegelbaum SA H. *Principles of Neural Science*.; 2013.

14. Rio E, Kidgell D, Purdam C, et al. Isometric exercise induces analgesia and reduces inhibition in patellar tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(19):1277-1283. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-094386

15. Rossini PM, Burke D, Chen R, et al. Non-invasive electrical and magnetic stimulation of the brain, spinal cord, roots and peripheral nerves: Basic principles and procedures for routine clinical and research application: An updated report from an I.F.C.N. Committee. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2015;126(6):1071-1107. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2015.02.001

16. Martin RL, Chimenti R, Cuddeford T, et al. The VISA-A questionnaire: An index of the severity of Achilles tendinopathy I. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2018;48(5):9-11. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11579069/

17. Hébert-Losier K, Wessman C, Alricsson M, Svantesson U. Updated reliability and normative values for the standing heel-rise test in healthy adults. *Physiother (United Kingdom)*. 2017;103(4):446-452. doi:10.1016/j.physio.2017.03.002

18. Di Lazzaro V, Rothwell J, Capogna M. Noninvasive Stimulation of the Human Brain: Activation of Multiple Cortical Circuits. *Neuroscientist*. 2018;24(3):246-260. doi:10.1177/1073858417717660

19. Neige C, Grosprêtre S, Martin A, Lebon F. Influence of voluntary contraction level, test stimulus intensity and normalization procedures on the evaluation of short-interval intracortical inhibition. *Brain Sci*. 2020;10(7):1-16. doi:10.3390/brainsci10070433

20. Ah Sen CB, Fassett HJ, El-Sayes J, Turco C V., Hameer MM, Nelson AJ. Active and resting motor threshold are efficiently obtained with adaptive threshold hunting. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(10):1-9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0186007

21. Patrick, Mair; Wilcox R. Robust Statistical Methods in R Using the WRS2 Package. *J Stat Softw*. 2019;1(1):128-129. doi:10.1002/wics.10

22. Lakens D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Front Psychol*. 2013;4(NOV). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863

23. Crouzier M, Tucker K, Lacourpaille L, et al. *Force-Sharing within the Triceps Surae: An Achilles Heel in Achilles Tendinopathy*. Vol 52.; 2020. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000002229

24. Latella C, Goodwill AM, Muthalib M, et al. Effects of eccentric versus concentric contractions of the biceps brachii on intracortical inhibition and facilitation. *Scand J Med Sci Sport*. 2019;29(3):369-379. doi:10.1111/sms.13334

25. Beyer R, Kongsgaard M, Hougs Kjær B, Øhlenschlæger T, Kjær M, Magnusson SP. Heavy slow resistance versus eccentric training as treatment for achilles tendinopathy: A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(7):1704-1711. doi:10.1177/0363546515584760

26. Child S, Bryant AL, Clark RA, Crossley KM. Mechanical properties of the achilles tendon aponeurosis are altered in athletes with achilles tendinopathy. *Am J Sports Med*. 2010;38(9):1885-1893. doi:10.1177/0363546510366234

27. Maniar N, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Timmins RG, Opar DA. Hamstring strength and flexibility after hamstring strain injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016;50(15):909-920. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095311

28. Silbernagel KG, Gustavsson A, Thomeé R, Karlsson J. Evaluation of lower leg function in patients with Achilles tendinopathy. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2006;14(11):1207-1217. doi:10.1007/s00167-006-0150-6

29. Docking SI, Rio E, Cook J, Carey D, Fortington L. Quantification of Achilles and patellar

tendon structure on imaging does not enhance ability to predict self-reported symptoms beyond grey-scale ultrasound and previous history. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019;22(2):145-150. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2018.07.016