Ongoing HIV transmission following a large outbreak among people who inject drugs in Athens, Greece (2014-2020)

Sotirios Roussos[1,2], Dimitrios Paraskevis[1], Mina Psichogiou[3], Evangelia Georgia Kostaki[1], Eleni Flountzi[1], Theodoros Angelopoulos[2], Savvas Chaikalis[2], Martha Papadopoulou[2,3], Ioanna D Pavlopoulou[4], Meni Malliori[5], Eleni Hatzitheodorou[6], Magdalini Pylli[6], Chrissa Tsiara[6], Dimitra Paraskeva[6], Apostolos Beloukas[7,8], George Kalamitsis[9], Angelos Hatzakis[1,2], Vana Sypsa[1]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

[2] Hellenic Scientific Society for the Study of AIDS STDs and Emerging Diseases, Athens, Greece

[3] First Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

[4] Pediatric Research Laboratory, Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

[5] Psychiatric Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece

[6] Hellenic National Public Health Organization, Maroussi, Greece

[7] Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of West Attica, Athens, Greece

[8] Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[9] Hellenic Liver Patient Association "Prometheus", Athens, Greece

Key words: PWID; HIV; Outbreak; Incidence; Greece

Corresponding author:

Vana Sypsa

Associate Professor of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics

Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

75, Mikras Asias street, 115 27 Athens, Greece

Tel.: +30 210 746 2193

E-mail: vsipsa@med.uoa.gr

Abstract

Background

The HIV outbreak among People Who Inject Drugs (PWID) in Athens, Greece in 2011-2013 was the largest recent epidemic in Europe and North America. We aim to provide updated estimates of HIV prevalence and incidence.

Methods

Two community-based HIV/hepatitis C programs on active PWID were implemented in 2012-2013 (ARISTOTLE, N=3,320) and 2018-2020 (ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV, N=1,635) through consecutive respondent-driven sampling recruitment rounds. PWID were uniquely identified across rounds/programs. We evaluated trends in HIV prevalence, socioeconomic characteristics, and injection practices in PWID participating in both periods and estimated HIV incidence in a cohort of seronegative PWID. Molecular sequencing and phylogenetic analysis were performed in HIV seroconverters.

Results

HIV prevalence (95% CI) increased from 14.2% (11.7%-17.1%) in 2012-2013 to 22.0% (19.0%-25.3%) in 2018-2020 ($p<0.001$). There was a deterioration of socioeconomic characteristics such as homelessness (from 16.2% to 25.6%, $p<0.001$), a shift in the use of cocaine (from 16.6% to 28.1%, $p<0.001$), reduced access to free syringes (past 12 months: 51.8% and 45.1%, $p=0.005$) and to HIV testing (past 12 months: 50.9% and 49.4%). HIV incidence (95% CI) in 2014-2015, 2016-2017 and 2018-February 2020 was 2.10 (1.41-3.14), 2.04 (1.34-3.10) and 1.52 (0.84-2.75) new cases/100 person-years, respectively. Younger age, lower educational level, larger injection network and

daily injecting were risk factors for HIV seroconversion. Almost 9% of HIV seroconversions occurred within a newly expanding phylogenetic cluster.

Conclusion

The ongoing HIV transmission among PWID in Athens provides empirical evidence that the current levels of prevention and treatment are inadequate to control the epidemic, leading to expansion of the pool of infected PWID and a rise in HIV prevalence. Re-evaluation of prevention and treatment programs is urgently needed.

Funding

European Union and Greek national funds, Gilead Sciences, AbbVie, Hellenic Scientific Society for the Study of AIDS, STDs and Emerging Diseases, MSD, and Gilead Hellas.

Introduction

People who inject drugs (PWID) constitute a population with a high burden of HIV infection[1]. The first outbreaks among PWID were recorded in the 1980s-1990s in Europe and North America and were associated with a high prevalence of syringe sharing and PWID being highly interconnected via their injection networks[2]. In response to these epidemics, harm reduction services, such as needle and syringe (NSP) and opioid substitution treatment (OST) programs, were introduced and expanded. Since the mid-1990s, no epidemics in Europe were recorded, with the exception of HIV outbreaks occurring in countries of the former Soviet Union[2]. The situation changed after 2010 when a series of HIV epidemics were documented once again in Europe and North America[3].

The largest of these outbreaks occurred in Athens, Greece between 2011-2013[3] where HIV prevalence in the population of PWID increased from less than 1% in 2010 to 16.5% in 2013[4]. This outbreak occurred within the context of low coverage harm reduction programs and financial crisis[5,6]. As soon as it was recognized, there were efforts to expand NSP and OST programs. In addition, a community-based intervention using peer-driven chain referral was used to recruit a large portion of the PWID population rapidly, test them, and link patients to HIV care (ARISTOTLE program). ARISTOTLE reached an estimated population coverage of 88% and during its implementation, HIV incidence decreased by 78%[4,7].

Since then, the number of newly diagnosed HIV cases among PWID in Greece declined but never returned to pre-outbreak levels[8]. In 2018-2020, a program with a similar design was implemented in Athens, aiming to enroll a high number of PWID and

increase diagnosis and treatment for HIV and hepatitis C infection (ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV).

In this analysis, we combine the data from the two programs in order to: a) assess HIV incidence and associated risk factors among PWID in Athens during 2014-2020 (i.e., the period following the outbreak), b) estimate the change in HIV prevalence, drug use behaviours and access to prevention services over time in a sample of PWID participating in both periods (2012-2013 and 2018-2020).

Methods

Design of ARISTOTLE and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV programs

ARISTOTLE (August 2012-December 2013) and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV (April 2018-February 2020) are community-based programs aiming at increasing the diagnosis and linkage to care for HIV and HIV/HCV, respectively, in the population of PWID in Athens. A common design was used in the two programs. Eligible participants were people 18 years or older who had injected drugs in the past 12 months and resided in Athens metropolitan area. The programs were based in a fixed location in the centre of Athens and employed Respondent-Driven Sampling (RDS) to recruit participants. RDS is a peer-driven chain referral where recruitment begins with a limited number of initial recruits ('seeds'); individuals receive coupons and are asked to draw from their existing social networks to identify up to 3-5 potential recruits, who then present themselves to the program site[9]. A dual incentive system was used, in which participants receive incentives for participating (primary incentives) as well as for recruiting others (secondary incentives). Unique numbers were recorded on the coupons and allowed the recruiter to be linked to his/her recruits.

After obtaining written informed consent, eligible participants were tested for HIV (and HCV in ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV). A questionnaire was used to collect information on participants' sociodemographic characteristics, injection, and sexual behaviour as well as on access to HIV testing, treatment, and prevention programs. As reporting HIV cases and antiretroviral treatment initiation is mandatory in Greece, HIV cases were reported to the National Public Health Organisation; newly diagnosed cases were identified and prioritised for linkage to HIV care by dedicated staff.

Both programs were implemented in multiple consecutive recruitment rounds with a short break in-between (5 rounds in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013, 2 rounds in ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020). PWID could participate in multiple rounds but only once in each round. As a result, multiple HIV tests and questionnaires were available over time for the majority of participants (Figure 1). Participants provided written informed consent and the two first initials of their name and surname. These initials along with the full birth date and information on gender were used to identify the participants across recruitment rounds and programs. Participants could also provide their full names to allow the study personnel to issue or seek their social security number.

Outcome measures

The main outcome measure in our analysis was HIV infection status. This was derived from laboratory testing of blood samples using a microparticle enzyme immunoassay anti–HIV-1/2 (AxSYM HIV-1/2 gO; Abbott) and HIV-1/HIV-2 confirmation by Western Blot (MP Diagnostics) or Geenius HIV 1/2 Confirmatory Assay.

HIV prevalence

We estimated HIV prevalence in 2012-2013 and 2018-2020 in a subset of 681 PWID who participated in both programs (prevalence cohort) (Figure 1). For those who

participated in multiple rounds within each program, the last available HIV test result was used for each period.

HIV incidence

To estimate HIV incidence during the period 2014-2020, we analysed 699 initially seronegative participants with multiple samples and at least one sample collected in 2018-2020 (incidence cohort) (Figure 1). For PWID participating in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013, we considered only those who tested HIV(-) in their last visit to the program as we were interested in seroconversions following the outbreak period. More specifically, we included in the analysis: 1) N=582 PWID for whom the last available HIV test result in 2012-2013 was negative and subsequently participated in one or both rounds of ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV in 2018-2020 (2-3 HIV test results available), 2) N=117 PWID who participated only in ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020 (both rounds) and were initially HIV-negative (two HIV test results available) (Table 1).

The HIV incidence rate was calculated as the total number of HIV seroconversions divided by the total person-years at risk (per 100 person-years [PYs]). PWID with a negative HIV test followed by a positive test were defined as HIV-1 seroconverters. The seroconversion time for these subjects was estimated by the midpoint of the seroconversion interval, i.e., the interval between the last negative and the first positive test date, as assessed in the two programs (Supplementary Figure 1). In the process of reporting these new cases to the national HIV surveillance system, we identified a subset of these cases who were already reported with an earlier diagnosis date; in this group of PWID, that date was used as the first positive test date. Based on this additional information, we excluded two participants whose estimated seroconversion time was

during 2012-2013. For participants who remained HIV negative, person-time at risk was calculated as the interval between the first and the last available sample.

Sensitivity analysis of HIV incidence estimation

As a sensitivity analysis, we calculated HIV incidence using the random-point method, i.e., assuming seroconversion time to be a random date from a uniform distribution bounded by the last negative and first positive test dates[10-12]. To account for the variability of using a random date, multiple iterations were made, and incidence rates were averaged for all iterations.

Statistical analysis

McNemar's and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to assess changes in socio-economic factors, injection practices and access to HIV testing and prevention in the prevalence cohort from 2012-2013 to 2018-2020 (as assessed at first participation in each period).

Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard models were used to identify risk factors associated with HIV seroconversion.

To assess differential loss to follow-up, we compared the characteristics of PWID who participated in both programs versus those who participated only in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 using t-test, chi-squared test, and Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. Statistical analyses were done using Stata version 13.1. All p values were two-sided.

Molecular analysis of HIV seroconversions (HIV-1 Subtyping)

Molecular analysis was performed in 35 out of 57 seroconversions in which HIV-RNA was possible to be amplified. HIV-1 subtypes were determined by the automated HIV-1 subtyping tool COMET v0.2[13] and confirmed by phylogenetic analysis. Specifically,

the 35 sequences were analysed phylogenetically along with: i) 247 globally sampled sequences representative of all pure HIV-1 subtypes, sub-subtypes and the majority of circulating recombinant forms (CRFs) collected from the Los Alamos HIV-1 sequence database (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov), and ii) a random collection of 48 sequences from PWID sampled during an outbreak (2011-2015) in Athens, Greece, which fell within the 4 major PWID molecular transmission clusters (MTCs) (subtype A1, subtype B, CRF14_BG, CRF35_AD)[14], used as references. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the approximate maximum likelihood method (GTR+cat) as implemented in FastTree v2.1 program[15]. Furthermore, additional phylogenetic analysis was performed for 3 sequences that did not fall within the 4 PWID MTCs or were not recombinants including partial genomic fragments from the PWID MTCs. Specifically, analysis was performed including a random set of subtype A sequences downloaded from the HV sequence database (N=1,500 sequences) and all available sequences from Greece (N=1,992) sampled since 1999[14,16]. Phylogenetic tree was reconstructed by using the FastTree v2.1 program as described above. The presence of recombination in all sequences which this procedure did not successfully classify was tested by using the RDP4 [17] and the SimPlot v3.5.1 programs[18]. MEGA version X was used to align sequences (MUSCLE algorithm)[19] and FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) to display the annotated phylogenetic trees.

Ethical Issues

The survey protocols and informed consent forms of the two programs were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of: i) the Medical School of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens and ii) the Hellenic Scientific Society for the Study of AIDS, STDs and Emerging Diseases. Eligible persons were asked to provide written informed consent. The informed consent forms included information about the

program, explained that confidentiality would be protected and that participants were free to withdraw their consent at any point in the process.

Role of the funding sources

The funding sources had no role in study design; data collection, analysis, or interpretation; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit this work for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Trends in socioeconomic characteristics, injection practices, access to testing and prevention in repeat participants (2012-2013 and 2018-2020)

Overall, 3,320 and 1,635 PWID were enrolled in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020, respectively. In total, 4,274 unique participants were recruited; of those, 681 (15.9%) participated in both programs (prevalence cohort) (Figure 1). Repeat participants were more often male, of Greek origin, with stable accommodation, reporting more often history of imprisonment, and sharing syringes as compared to PWID who did not participate in 2018-2020 (Supplementary Text, Supplementary Table 1).

Out of 681 repeat participants, 81.4% were men, 94.9% were Greek and 40.3% had completed high school or higher (Supplementary Table 1). At their first participation to the 2012-2013 program, the mean (SD) age was 35.0 (7.5) years. The participants were predominantly active PWID (injection in the past 30 days: 78.8%-81.7% in the two periods).

The trends in socioeconomic characteristics, injection practices and access to HIV testing and prevention services over the two periods are depicted in Table 2. PWID in 2018-2020 were more frequently homeless (25.6% vs. 16.2%, p<0.001), unemployed (91.0% vs. 78.9%, p<0.001) and without health insurance (79.5% vs. 61.7%, p<0.001), as compared to 2012-2013. There were significant increases in the use of cocaine (28.1% vs. 16.6%, p<0.001) and speedball (14.8% vs. 2.1%, p<0.001) as well as a decrease in the use of heroin (55.3% vs. 80.7%, p<0.001). There was a significant decrease in risky behaviours such as daily injecting drug use (past 12 months: 29.7% vs. 36.2%, p=0.002) and syringe sharing (about half the time or more in the past 12 months: 23.8% vs. 40.8%, p<0.001).

An increasing percentage of PWID reported being currently in OST (2018-2020 vs. 2012-2013: 30.5% vs. 13.8%, p<0.001); however, there was a decline in the proportion reporting access to free syringes (past 12 months: 45.1% vs. 51.8%, p=0.005) whereas access to HIV testing remained similar (test in the past 12 months: 49.4% vs. 50.9%, p=0.759).

Trends in HIV prevalence (2012-2013 and 2018-2020)

In the sample of 681 PWID participating in both periods, HIV prevalence increased from 14.2% (95% CI: 11.7-17.1) in 2012-2013 to 22.0% (95% CI: 19.0-25.3) in 2018-2020 (p<0.001), i.e., an increase by 54.9% (Table 3). This increase was apparent among both men and women (Table 3).

HIV incidence (2014-2020)

In the cohort of 699 initially seronegative PWID, there were 57 seroconversions identified during follow up: 46 seroconversions in PWID who tested HIV(-) in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 and were found HIV(+) in the first or second round of

ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020 (43 and 3, respectively) and 11 seroconversions in PWID who tested HIV(-) in the first round of ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020 and were HIV(+) in the second round (Table 1; Supplementary Figure 1). Out of 46 PWID testing negative in 2012-2013 and positive in 2018-2020, 32 were already reported to the national HIV surveillance system with an earlier diagnosis date; that date was used as the first positive date.

The overall HIV incidence (95% CI) for the period 2014-2020 was 1.94 (1.49-2.51) new cases per 100 PYs. When we considered two-year periods, HIV incidence (95% CI) was estimated to be 2.10/100 PYs (1.41-3.14) in 2014-2015, 2.04/100 PYs (1.34-3.10) in 2016-2017 and 1.52/100 PYs (0.84-2.75) in 2018-February 2020 (Table 4).

As a sensitivity analysis, we obtained incidence using an alternative approach for the estimation of the infection date and the findings were similar (Table 4).

Risk factors for HIV seroconversion

Table 5 presents univariable and multivariable analysis of risk factors for HIV seroconversion. Sociodemographic factors including younger age, lower educational level, and larger size of injection network were identified as independent risk factors for HIV seroconversion in the final model. PWID with lower educational level and larger injecting networks had more than two times higher risk of seroconversion. Concerning injection practices, PWID reporting daily injecting in the past 12 months had 2.45 times higher risk of seroconversion as compared to those injecting less frequently (95% CI: 1.44-4.15, p=0.001).

HIV-1 Subtyping

Molecular analysis was performed in 35 out of 57 seroconversions occurring during 2014-2020. Subtyping analysis showed that 80% (n=28) of the sequences fell within

the previously identified PWID MTCs in Athens (CRF14_BG: n=21, 60%; CRF35_AD: n=4, 11.4%; subtype B: n=2, 5.7%; subtype A: n=1, 2.9%) (Figure 2A). Unique recombinant forms of the virus were also detected (n=4, 11.4%) (Figure 2A). These forms consisted of partial sequences from previously identified PWID MTCs. The remaining sequences (n=3, 8.6%) were classified as sub-subtype A6 and found to belong within a single PWID MTC consisting of sub-subtype A6 sequences from PWID diagnosed during 2014-2019 (Figure 2B). Specifically, this cluster included 10 sequences of which 2 were sampled in 2014 and the remaining 8 were sampled later between 2018 and 2020 (Figure 2B). All sequences within A6 cluster were from PWID of Greek ethnicity, apart from three which were found to belong to PWID from Albania, Kazakhstan, and Pakistan. One out of these 3 seroconversions classified in this cluster occurred between September 2018 and November 2019 (last negative and first positive test result, respectively).

Discussion

In a sample of community-recruited PWID in Athens, Greece, repeatedly tested for HIV in 2012-2013 and 2018-2020, we estimated that HIV prevalence increased by approximately 55% (from 14.2% to 22.0%, respectively). Compared to 2012-2013, in 2018-2020 there was a deterioration of socioeconomic conditions among PWID. Although heroin remained the main substance injected by most participants, there was a shift in the use of cocaine, a substance associated with increased risk of HIV infection and outbreaks[20-22]. Encouragingly, there was a shift to less frequent injecting drug use and an increased access to OST programs. However, access to free syringes decreased, and HIV testing rates remained low (around 50% tested in the past 12 months).

In a previous analysis of the 2011 outbreak, we estimated that HIV incidence decreased rapidly from 7.8/100 PYs in August-December 2012 to 1.7/100 PYs in August-December 2013[4] (Supplementary Figure 2). In the current study we found that for the period 2014-2020, HIV incidence was 1.94/100 PYs. When this estimate was obtained separately for 2014-2015, 2016-2017 and 2018-February 2020, we observed that HIV incidence remained at stable moderate levels similar to those reached in the second half of 2013.

Phylogenetic analysis showed that the majority of the viral sequences from seroconverters fell within the previously identified PWID molecular transmission clusters in Athens, or they were recombinants with viruses belonging to these clusters[14]. Notably, besides the transmissions originating from the existing outbreak clusters, almost 9% of PWID seroconversions occurred within a newly expanding A6 cluster. The earliest sequences of this cluster were from 2014 probably introduced from Eastern Europe, where this sub-subtype is endemic. The generation and expansion of a new transmission cluster indicates that the PWID epidemic was fuelled with new strains from former Soviet Union countries and with existing contacts among the active PWID in Athens.

The most important drug-related risk factor for HIV seroconversion was daily injection. This is consistent with the findings concerning the drivers of transmission in the 2011 outbreak in Athens where daily injection was the only drug-related risk factor identified[4]. Younger age was independently associated with increased risk of HIV seroconversion, as in other settings[23-26]. Proposed explanations for this association include less perceived risk among younger PWID and bridging by older PWID to high-risk networks[27]. We also identified lower educational level – a correlate of lower socioeconomic status – to be an independent risk factor, as elsewhere[28-31]. Socio-

economic factors have been proposed to trigger the Athens outbreak in the first place; Greece entered a phase of economic recession in 2008, and this resulted in increased socioeconomic disparities, unemployment, and homelessness among PWID[5].

An important question is why HIV transmission did not decline further after 2013. In other settings with increased transmission among PWID, such as HaiPhong and Bangkok, HIV incidence declined in the recent years to <1/100 PYs[32,33]. In Athens, as soon as the HIV outbreak was recognized in 2011, several interventions were implemented; NSP coverage increased from 16 syringes/PWID in 2010[34] to 216 in 2013[35] and OST coverage from 21% in 2010 to 35% in 2012[36]. In addition, ARISTOTLE program reached rapidly a large proportion of PWID (estimated population coverage: 88%), offered HIV testing, counselling, and linkage to antiretroviral treatment[4,7]. Data on the cascade of care among people living with HIV in 2013 in Greece confirm that a high proportion of HIV-infected PWID were diagnosed (87%); however, only 46% initiated antiretroviral treatment[37]. Following 2013, NSP coverage in Athens was far from optimal with fluctuations over time; from 216 syringes/PWID per year in 2013, it declined to 109 in 2015 and remained low at 164 in 2018[38]. In addition, after the completion of ARISTOTLE in 2013, there was no systematic HIV testing of PWID. Our data from repeat participants further confirm that access to free syringes and HIV testing remained suboptimal in both periods. The low levels of testing and NSP coverage in a high HIV prevalence population that has experienced a recent outbreak is alarming. There is a core of PWID not accessing harm reduction programs who are most vulnerable. Ideally, this population should be protected from HIV infection through counselling and education, adequate syringe provision, access to HIV testing and linkage to antiretroviral treatment implemented through community-based programs specifically designed to address their needs. From

an HIV surveillance point of view, these results provide empirical evidence why prevalence studies cannot adequately capture this core population and provide evidence that cohort studies with active enrollment are necessary as surveillance tools.

Our analysis has some limitations. First, estimates of HIV prevalence in 2012-2013 and 2018-2020 were based on a sample of PWID participating in both programs. This is a convenience sample of people continuing drug use over these years representing a high-risk population injecting drugs for prolonged time. We do not suggest that the estimated prevalence for 2018-2020 reflects the prevalence in the total PWID population in that period; rather, we aimed to provide an estimate of the prevalence among those remaining active PWID. Second, concerning the estimation of HIV incidence, there was no regular follow-up for 46 seroconversions with a negative test result in 2012-2013 identified positive in 2018-2020. We used the midpoint method which clusters the imputed seroconversion dates at the middle of the observation period. If these infection events took place near the outbreak period, then under the midpoint approach the time at risk would be overestimated and HIV incidence would be underestimated. To deal with this problem, for 30 out of 46 of these cases who were already reported to the national HIV surveillance system with an earlier diagnosis date, we used that date as a putative first positive date. In addition, we used as sensitivity analysis the random-point method that has been proposed for low testing rates in cohorts[10] and the results were similar. Our estimate for the third period (2018-February 2020) including 11 seroconversions, does not suffer from this limitation as most seroconversions were observed in a short observation period.

Despite the large and rapid decline in HIV incidence during 2012-2013, there were indications of ongoing transmission; molecular analysis conducted on HIV-1 sequences from PWID suggested that endemic transmission was still occurring at the end of 2013[39]

and the number of newly diagnosed cases reported to the national HIV surveillance system after 2013 never returned to the pre-outbreak levels. Our analysis confirms the ongoing transmission in the population; moreover, we identified a new cluster that probably originated from former Soviet Union countries, a finding which suggests expansion of transmission among newly established networks of PWID. It should be noted that ARISTOTLE HIV-HCV was prematurely discontinued in February 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Preliminary data collection in Athens and sites with recent HIV outbreaks suggests that COVID-19 has severely impacted HIV prevention services for PWID, possibly resulting in an increased risk for HIV transmission among PWID[40]. The ongoing HIV transmission among PWID in Athens provides empirical evidence that the current level of prevention and treatment services is inadequate to control the epidemic and results in the expansion of the pool of infected PWID and in increase of HIV prevalence. Re-evaluation of prevention and treatment programs is urgently needed.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the participants of the two programs. We would also like to acknowledge the contribution of the staff of ARISTOTLE and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV programs:

C. Bagos, M. Esmaili, H. Malekian, E. Karamanou, F. Leobilla, C. Mourtezou, E. Sidrou, M. Zigouritsas, M. Dimitropoulou, N. Kagelari, M. Michail, S. Papadopoulos, and A. Vlahos (*ARISTOTLE staff*)

M. Dragasaki, K. Kourousi, G. Antoniou, H. Malekian, P. Tzimis, N. Fitsialos, L. Polychronopoulou, E. Kokolesis, P. Axaopoulos, and K. Kontsantinou (*ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV staff)*

ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV was conducted under the auspices of a) the City of Athens and b) the Organization Against Drugs (OKANA). We would like to acknowledge the support of the following persons in the implementation of this program: the Mayor of the City of Athens (K. Bakoyannis), K. Kokkolis and A. Theocharis (Organisation Against Drugs) and A. Fotiou (Greek National Monitoring & Documentation Center for Drugs & Drug Addiction).

Author contributions

AH designed ARISTOTLE program. AH and VS designed ARISTOLE HCV-HIV program. AH and VS acquired funding. AH and VS supervised the programs. TA and SC participated in data collection. SR and VS had access and analysed the data. EF contributed to data analysis. DParaskevis, EGK and AB analysed the molecular data. EH, MPylli, CT and DParaskeva contributed to data collection on HIV seroconversions

from the national HIV registry. SR, VS, and AH did the initial literature search. SR, VS, AH, DP and EGK wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SR, DParaskevis, MPsichogiou, EGK, EF, TA, SC, MPapadopoulou, IDP, MM, EH, MPylli, CT, DParaskeva, AB, GK, AH, and VS interpreted the findings and contributed to critical review and development of the final manuscript.

Financial support statement

This study was supported as follows: i) ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 program was funded by the European Union with Greek national funds through the National Strategic Reference Framework 2007-2013 (MIS 365008), ii) ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV program was supported by Gilead Sciences, AbbVie, and MSD. Both programs were jointly funded by the Hellenic Scientific Society for the Study of AIDS STDs and Emerging Diseases.

Additional support was provided by the following grants: i) European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning" (NSRF 2014-2020), under the call “Supporting Researchers with an Emphasis on Young Researchers – Cycle B” (MIS: 16508), and ii) Asklepios Program (Gilead Hellas).

Conflict of interest statement

VS has received a grant by Gilead Sciences and Abbvie for the submitted work. She has served as a lecturer for Gilead, Abbvie, and Janssen.

DParaskevis has received grants from Gilead Sciences and GSK and participated in advisory boards of Gilead Sciences, GSK and MSD

MPsichogiou has received a grant by Gilead Sciences, served as a lecturer for Gilead, Abbvie and MSD and participated in advisory boards for Gilead, Abbvie and MSD

GK has received a grant by Gilead Sciences.

AH has participated in advisory boards for Gilead, AbbVie, BMS and received unrestricted grants: from AbbVie, BMS, Gilead, MSD, Novartis. He is Co-Chair of Hepatitis B & C Public Policy Association funded by AbbVie, Gilead, and MSD.

SR, EGK, EF, TA, SC, MPapadopoulou, IDP, MM, EH, MPylli, CT, DParaskeva, AB, have nothing to disclose.

Data sharing

Data are not available for sharing.

References

1. Degenhardt L, Peacock A, Colledge S, et al. Global prevalence of injecting drug use and sociodemographic characteristics and prevalence of HIV, HBV, and HCV in people who inject drugs: a multistage systematic review. *The Lancet Global health* 2017; **5**(12): e1192-e207.

2. Des Jarlais DC, Kerr T, Carrieri P, Feelemyer J, Arasteh K. HIV infection among persons who inject drugs: ending old epidemics and addressing new outbreaks. *AIDS* 2016; **30**(6): 815-26.

3. Des Jarlais DC, Sypsa V, Feelemyer J, et al. HIV outbreaks among people who inject drugs in Europe, North America, and Israel. *Lancet HIV* 2020; **7**(6): e434-e42.

4. Sypsa V, Psichogiou M, Paraskevis D, et al. Rapid Decline in HIV Incidence Among Persons Who Inject Drugs During a Fast-Track Combination Prevention Program After an HIV Outbreak in Athens. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 2017; **215**(10): 1496-505.

5. Paraskevis D, Nikolopoulos G, Fotiou A, et al. Economic recession and emergence of an HIV-1 outbreak among drug injectors in Athens metropolitan area: a longitudinal study. *PloS one* 2013; **8**(11): e78941.

6. Malliori M, Golna C, Souliotis K, Hatzakis A. Financial crisis, austerity, and health in Europe. *Lancet* 2013; **382**(9890): 392.

7. Hatzakis A, Sypsa V, Paraskevis D, et al. Design and baseline findings of a large-scale rapid response to an HIV outbreak in people who inject drugs in Athens, Greece: the ARISTOTLE programme. *Addiction* 2015; **110**(9): 1453-67.

8. National Public Health Organization. HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report in Greece, 31-12-2019 (Issue 34). Athens 2020. Also available at: https://eody.gov.g.

9. Heckathorn D. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Soc Probl* 1997; **44**: 174---99.

10. Vandormael A, Dobra A, Barnighausen T, de Oliveira T, Tanser F. Incidence rate estimation, periodic testing and the limitations of the mid-point imputation approach. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018; **47**(1): 236-45.

11. Kasamba I, Nash S, Seeley J, Weiss HA. HIV incidence among women at high risk of HIV infection attending a dedicated clinic in Kampala, Uganda: 2008-2017. *Sexually transmitted diseases* 2019; **46**(6): 407-15.

12. Martin M, Vanichseni S, Sangkum U, et al. HIV Incidence and Risk Behaviours of People Who Inject Drugs in Bangkok, 1995–2012. *EClinicalMedicine* 2019; **9**: 44-51.

13. Struck D, Lawyer G, Ternes A-M, Schmit J-C, Bercoff DP. COMET: adaptive context-based modeling for ultrafast HIV-1 subtype identification. *Nucleic acids research* 2014; **42**(18): e144-e.

14. Kostaki E, Magiorkinis G, Psichogiou M, et al. Detailed molecular surveillance of the HIV-1 outbreak among people who inject drugs (PWID) in Athens during a period of four years. *Current HIV research* 2017; **15**(6): 396-404.

15. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PloS one* 2010; **5**(3): e9490.

16. Paraskevis D, Kostaki E, Magiorkinis G, et al. Prevalence of drug resistance among HIV-1 treatment-naive patients in Greece during 2003-2015: Transmitted drug resistance is due to onward transmissions. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases* 2017; **54**: 183-91.

17. Martin DP, Lemey P, Lott M, Moulton V, Posada D, Lefeuvre P. RDP3: a flexible and fast computer program for analyzing recombination. *Bioinformatics* 2010; **26**(19): 2462-3.

18. Lole KS, Bollinger RC, Paranjape RS, et al. Full-length human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes from subtype C-infected seroconverters in India, with evidence of intersubtype recombination. *Journal of virology* 1999; **73**(1): 152-60.

19. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular biology and evolution* 2018; **35**(6): 1547-9.

20. McAuley A, Palmateer NE, Goldberg DJ, et al. Re-emergence of HIV related to injecting drug use despite a comprehensive harm reduction environment: a cross-sectional analysis. *Lancet HIV* 2019; **6**(5): e315-e24.

21. Arendt V, Guillorit L, Origer A, et al. Injection of cocaine is associated with a recent HIV outbreak in people who inject drugs in Luxembourg. *PloS one* 2019; **14**(5): e0215570.

22. Tyndall MW, Currie S, Spittal P, et al. Intensive injection cocaine use as the primary risk factor in the Vancouver HIV-1 epidemic. *AIDS* 2003; **17**(6): 887-93.

23. Strathdee SA, Galai N, Safaiean M, et al. Sex differences in risk factors for hiv seroconversion among injection drug users: a 10-year perspective. *Arch Intern Med* 2001; **161**(10): 1281-8.

24. Sharifi H, Mirzazadeh A, Shokoohi M, et al. Estimation of HIV incidence and its trend in three key populations in Iran. *PloS one* 2018; **13**(11): e0207681.

25. Ganapathi L, McFall AM, Srikrishnan AK, et al. Young people who inject drugs in India have high HIV incidence and behavioural risk: a cross-sectional study. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2019; **22**(5): e25287.

26. Scheim AI, Nosova E, Knight R, Hayashi K, Kerr T. HIV Incidence Among Men Who Have Sex with Men and Inject Drugs in a Canadian Setting. *AIDS Behav* 2018; **22**(12): 3957-61.

27. De P, Cox J, Boivin JF, Platt RW, Jolly AM. The importance of social networks in their association to drug equipment sharing among injection drug users: a review. *Addiction* 2007; **102**(11): 1730-9.

28. Jolley E, Rhodes T, Platt L, et al. HIV among people who inject drugs in Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia: a systematic review with implications for policy. *BMJ Open* 2012; **2**(5).

29. Richardson L, Mammel M, Milloy MJ, Hayashi K. Employment Cessation, Long Term Labour Market Engagement and HIV Infection Risk Among People Who Inject Drugs in an Urban Canadian Setting. *AIDS Behav* 2019; **23**(12): 3267-76.

30. Czerwinski M, McNutt LA, DeHovitz JA, Zielinski A, Rosinska M. Refining HIV risk: the modifying effects of youth, gender and education among people who inject drugs in Poland. *PloS one* 2013; **8**(7): e68018.

31. Mburu G, Chhoun P, Chann N, Tuot S, Mun P, Yi S. Prevalence and risk factors of HIV infection among people who inject drugs in Cambodia: findings from a national survey. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2019; **14**(1): 42.

32. Martin M, Vanichseni S, Sangkum U, et al. HIV Incidence and Risk Behaviours of People Who Inject Drugs in Bangkok, 1995-2012. *EClinicalMedicine* 2019; **9**: 44-51.

33. Des Jarlais DC, Huong DT, Oanh KTH, et al. Ending an HIV epidemic among persons who inject drugs in a middle-income country: extremely low HIV incidence among persons who inject drugs in Hai Phong, Viet Nam. *AIDS* 2020; **34**(15): 2305-11.

34. Greek Documentation and Monitoring Centre for Drugs. Annual Report on the State of Drugs and Alcohol in Greece (2012) [in Greek].

35. Greek Reitox National Focal Point. 2014 National Report (2013 data) to the EMCDDA. GREECE New Development, Trends.

36. Fotiou A, Micha K, Terzidou M, Malliori M, Paraskevis D, Hatzakis A. HIV outbreak in injecting drug users in Greece: An updated brief report for the EMCDDA on the recent outbreak of HIV infections among drug injectors in Greece. 15 November 2012. Athens: REITOX Focal Point of the EMCDDA-Greece 2012. Available from: http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/ad-hoc/2012/greece-hiv-updat.

37. Vourli G, Nikolopoulos G, Paparizos V, et al. HIV cascade of care in Greece: Useful insights from additional stages. *PloS one* 2018; **13**(11): e0207355.

38. National Documentation and Information Centre on Drugs and Drug Addiction. Annual Report on the State of Drugs and Alcohol in Greece 2019. Athens 2020.

39. Paraskevis D, Paraschiv S, Sypsa V, et al. Enhanced HIV-1 surveillance using molecular epidemiology to study and monitor HIV-1 outbreaks among intravenous drug users (IDUs) in Athens and Bucharest. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases* 2015; **35**: 109-21.

40. Wiessing L, Sypsa V, Arendt V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on services for people who inject drugs in sites with recent HIV outbreaks in Europe, North America and Israel. 23d International AIDS Conference; 2020; 2020.

Figure 1. People who inject drugs participating in ARISTOTLE (2012-2013) and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV (2018-2020) included in the analysis of HIV prevalence and incidence in Athens, Greece.



Figure 2A. Unrooted phylogenetic tree of the HIV-1 sequences under study (seroconversions; red color) and reference sequences (black and green colors) inferred by using an approximately maximum-likelihood method as implemented in FastTree2 program. Representative sequences of pure HIV-1 subtypes, sub-subtypes and circulating recombinant forms collected from the Los Alamos HIV-1 sequence database (marked in black) and sequences from the four major molecular transmission clusters among people who inject drugs in Athens, Greece, (marked in green) were used as references. For the sake of clarity, a subset of the reference sequences was used. The names of subtypes, sub-subtypes, circulating recombinant forms, and unique recombinant forms are shown on the top of the corresponding clades. Abbreviations: CRF, circulating recombinant form; MTC, molecular transmission cluster; PWID, people who inject drugs; URF, unique recombinant form.

Figure 2B. Unrooted phylogenetic tree of HIV-1 subtype A sequences from Athens, Greece, and other geographic regions around the world. For the sake of clarity, a subset of sequences was used. Red circles indicate seroconversions in Athens while green and black circles indicate sequences sampled in Athens and elsewhere, respectively. An enlarged view of the tree shows the sub-subtype A6 molecular transmission cluster consisted of 10 sequences from people who inject drugs sampled in Athens during 2014-2019. Asterisks indicate sequences from non-Greek people who inject drugs. The phylogenetic tree was inferred by using an approximately maximum-likelihood method as implemented in FastTree2 program.

2A.


Seroconversions (Athens)
References (Athenian PWID MTCs)
References (Globally sampled)
CRF14 MTC
URFs including MTCs
A6
A MTC
CRF35 MTC
URFs including MTCs
B MTC
0.02

2B.


Subtype A
2014
2014 *
2018
2018 *
2018
2018
2018
2018
2019
2018 *
Sub-subtype
A6 sequences
from PWID
sampled in
Athens
0.02
References (Globally sampled)
References (Athens)
Seroconversions (Athens)
* Migrants
0.02

Table 1. Distribution of HIV seroconversions observed during 2014-2020 in a cohort of 699 seronegative community-recruited people who inject drugs in Athens, Greece.

ARISTOTLE 2012-2013	**ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020**			
Last participation	**Round A April 2018 – February 2019**	**Round B August 2019 – February 2020**	**Number of PWID at risk**	**Number of Seroconversions**
HIV(–)	HIV(+)		343	43
HIV(–)		HIV(+)	92	3
HIV(–)	HIV(–)	HIV(+)	147	5
	HIV(–)	HIV(+)	117	6
	Total		**699**	**57**

Table 2. Trends in socio-economic and network characteristics, drug use behaviour and access to HIV prevention services in people who inject drugs participating in both ARISTOTLE (2012-2013) and ARISTOTLE HIV-HCV (2018-2020) programs (N=681) (as assessed in their first visit to each program).

	2012-2013	**2018-2020**	**p-value**
A. Socio-economic and network characteristics			
Homeless now, n (%)	110 (16.2)	174 (25.6)	<0.001
Unemployment, n (%)	535 (78.9)	619 (91.0)	<0.001
Without health insurance, n (%)	518 (61.7)	538 (79.5)	<0.001
History of imprisonment (past 12 months), n (%)	148 (21.9)	87 (12.8)	<0.001
Size of participant's injection network, median (25th, 75th)	20 (10, 50)	20 (10, 50)	0.024
B. Injecting drug use behaviour			
Main substance of use (past 12 months), n (%)			
Heroin/Thai	549 (80.7)	362 (55.3)	<0.001
Cocaine	113 (16.6)	184 (28.1)	<0.001
Speedball	14 (2.1)	97 (14.8)	<0.001
Other	4 (0.6)	12 (1.8)	0.057
Injecting drug use (past 30 days), n (%)	554 (81.7)	536 (78.8)	0.176
Daily injecting drug use (past 12 months), n (%)	246 (36.2)	194 (29.7)	0.002
Receptive syringe-sharing about half the time or more (past 12 months), n (%)	277 (40.8)	156 (23.8)	<0.001

Use drugs divided with a syringe that someone else had already injected with about half the time or more (past 12 months), n (%)	60 (8.9)	36 (6.2)	0.050
C. Access to testing, drug treatment and prevention			
Currently in opioid substitution treatment, n (%)	93 (13.8)	207 (30.5)	<0.001
Received free syringes (past 12 months), n (%)	352 (51.8)	307 (45.1)	0.005
Tested for HIV (past 12 months), n (%)	344 (50.9)	310 (49.4)	0.759

Table 3. Trends in HIV prevalence in people who inject drugs participating in both ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 and ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV 2018-2020 programs in Athens, Greece (N=681).

	N	2012-2013		2018-2020		p-value[1]
		HIV(+)	% (95% CI)	HIV(+)	% (95% CI)	
Total	681	97	14.2 (11.7-17.1)	150	22.0 (19.0-25.3)	<0.001
Male	554	82	14.8 (11.9-18.0)	126	22.7 (19.3-26.5)	<0.001
Female	127	15	11.8 (6.8-18.7)	24	18.9 (12.5-26.8)	0.004

[1]McNemar's test. Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval

Table 4. HIV incidence among people who inject drugs in Athens, Greece (N=699 initially seronegative people who inject drugs with multiple samples and at least one sample collected in 2018-2020). The seroconversion date was estimated using the midpoint or the random-point approach. In the random-point approach, estimations were obtained from the average of multiple iterations.

		Midpoint		**Random-point**
	Seroconversions, No.	**Time at risk (years)**	**HIV incidence/100 Pys (95% CI)**	**HIV incidence/100 Pys (95% CI)**
Overall				
2014 – 2020	57	2,943	1.94 (1.49-2.51)	1.94 (1.49-2.51)
By period				
2014 – 2015	24	1,142	2.10 (1.41-3.14)	2.58 (1.80-3.71)
2016 – 2017	22	1,078	2.04 (1.34-3.10)	1.35 (0.81-2.24)
2018 – February 2020[1]	11	724	1.52 (0.84-2.75)	1.82 (1.06-3.12)

[1] The end of ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV program.

Abbreviations: PYs, person-years, CI, confidence interval

Table 5. Predictors of HIV seroconversion in people who inject drugs, Athens, Greece (N=699).

Variable	Crude HR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	p-value
A. Socio-demographic and network characteristics				
Age (years)	0.93 (0.90-0.97)	<0.001	0.93 (0.90-0.97)	<0.001
Gender				
Female	1			
Male	1.16 (0.57-2.37)	0.675		
Country of origin				
Greece	1			
Other	0.78 (0.25-2.51)	0.682		
Highest completed level of education				
High school or higher level	1			
Middle/secondary school or below	2.79 (1.47-5.28)	0.002	2.33 (1.22-4.43)	0.010
Homeless (in the past 12 months)				
No	1			
Yes	1.18 (0.67-2.07)	0.575		
Currently health insurance				
Yes	1			
No	1.24 (0.71-2.17)	0.450		
Unemployed or unable to work for health reasons				
Yes	1			
No	1.63 (0.88-3.03)	0.120		
History of imprisonment (in the past 12 months)				
No	1			
Yes	1.24 (0.62-2.45)	0.542		
Size of participant's network				
≤10	1			

>10	2.51 (1.23-5.12)	0.011	2.22 (1.08-4.54)	0.030
B. Injecting drug use behaviour				
Main substance of use (in the past 12 months)				
Cocaine	1			
Heroin/Thai	1.25 (0.65-2.42)	0.501		
Other	0.35 (0.45-2.72)	0.316		
Injecting drug use in the past 30 days				
No	1			
Yes	2.82 (1.12-7.07)	0.027		
Duration of injecting drug use (years), median (25th, 75th)	0.98 (0.95-1.01)	0.149		
Daily injecting drug use (past 12 months)				
No	1		1	
Yes	3.02 (1.79-5.07)	<0.001	2.45 (1.44-4.15)	0.001
Receptive syringe-sharing (past 12 months)				
Never or rarely	1			
About half the time or more	1.89 (0.76-4.74)	0.174		
Use drugs divided with a syringe that someone else had already injected with (past 12 months)				
Never or rarely	1			
About half the time or more	2.68 (1.15-6.24)	0.022		

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

Supplementary appendix

Comparison of PWID who participated in both programs vs. those who participated only in 2012-2013.

To assess differential loss to follow-up, we compared the characteristics of PWID who participated in both programs versus those who participated only in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013. Compared to the total sample of participants of ARISTOTLE 2012-2013, repeat participants were younger (mean (SD): 35.0 (7.5) vs. 36.0 (8.5), p=0.004), more frequently females (18.7% vs. 14.6%, p=0.010), of Greek origin (94.9% vs. 81.4%, p<0.001), with stable accommodation (83.8% vs. 75.1%, p<0.001), with history of imprisonment (21.9% vs. 17.7%, p=0.013), reported more often sharing syringes in the past 12 months (40.8% vs. 35.9%, p=0.018) and having been tested for HIV within the past year (50.9% vs. 44.6%, p=0.011) (Supplementary Table 1).

Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of characteristics of PWID participating in both programs (N=681) versus those participating only in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 (N=2,639) to assess differential loss to follow-up (characteristics were assessed in their first visit in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013).

	Both programs (N=681)	**Only in ARISTOTLE 2012-2013 (N=2,639)**	**p-value**
A. Socio-demographic and network characteristics			
Age (years), mean (SD)	35.0 (7.5)	36.0 (8.5)	0.004
Gender, n (%)			0.010
Male	554 (81.4)	2253 (85.4)	
Female	127 (18.7)	386 (14.6)	
Country of origin, n (%)			
Greece	646 (94.9)	2147 (81.4)	<0.001
Other	35 (5.1)	492 (18.6)	
Highest completed level of education, n (%)			0.342
Middle/secondary school or below	402 (59.7)	1509 (57.7)	
High school or higher level	271 (40.3)	1106 (42.3)	
Homeless now, n (%)			<0.001
No	570 (83.8)	1977 (75.1)	
Yes	110 (16.2)	655 (24.9)	
Without health insurance, n (%)			0.153
No	259 (38.3)	927 (35.3)	
Yes	418 (61.7)	1699 (64.7)	
History of imprisonment (past 12 months), n (%)			0.013
No	529 (78.1)	2164 (82.3)	
Yes	148 (21.9)	465 (17.7)	

Size of participant's injection network, median (25th, 75th)	20 (10, 50)	30 (10, 50)	0.131
B. Injecting drug use behaviour			
Main substance of use (past 12 months), n (%)			0.029
Heroin/Thai	549 (80.7)	2151 (82.2)	
Cocaine	113 (16.6)	349 (13.3)	
Speedball	14 (2.1)	78 (3.0)	
Other	4 (0.6)	38 (1.5)	
Injecting drug use in the past 30 days, n (%)			0.724
No	124 (18.3)	497 (18.9)	
Yes	554 (81.7)	2135 (81.1)	
Duration of injecting drug use (years), median (25th, 75th)	13 (8, 18)	12 (6, 19)	0.544
Daily injecting drug use (past 12 months), n (%)			0.264
No	434 (63.8)	1619 (61.5)	
Yes	246 (36.2)	1014 (38.5)	
Receptive syringe-sharing Sharing (past 12 months, n (%)			0.018
Never or rarely	402 (59.2)	1682 (64.1)	
About half the time or more	277 (40.8)	942 (35.9)	
Use drugs divided with a syringe that someone else had already injected with (past 12 months), n (%)			0.348
Never or rarely	615 (91.1)	2415 (92.2)	
About half the time or more	60 (8.9)	204 (7.8)	
C. Access to testing, drug treatment and prevention			
Currently in opioid substitution treatment, n (%)			0.239
No	579 (86.2)	2284 (87.9)	

Yes	93 (13.8)	316 (12.2)	
Received syringes through prevention activities (past 12 months), n (%)			0.470
No	327 (48.2)	1308 (49.7)	
Yes	352 (51.8)	1323 (50.3)	
Tested for HIV, n (%)			0.011
Within past year	344 (50.9)	1163 (44.6)	
More than 1 year ago	124 (18.3)	511 (19.6)	
Never tested	208 (30.8)	933 (35.8)	

Supplementary Figure 1. Time at risk for 57 people who inject drugs with HIV seroconversion during the period 2014-2020. Each line corresponds to a seroconverter. The red symbol indicates the seroconversion date estimated assuming that the seroconversion time occurs in the midpoint of the seroconversion interval (last negative and first positive HIV test result). The blue symbol indicates an HIV(-) test result in the corresponding date. The gray zone indicates the duration of ARISTOTLE HCV-HIV program.



Supplementary Figure 2. Incidence rate of HIV infection during the period August 2012-February 2020 among people who inject drugs. The first four estimates for the outbreak period August 2012-December 2013 were obtained from [4].