

1 **Averting an outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-**  
2 **2) in a university residence hall through wastewater surveillance**

3 Ryland Corchis-Scott<sup>1</sup>, Qiudi Geng<sup>1</sup>, Rajesh Seth<sup>1,2</sup>, Rajan Ray<sup>2</sup>, Mohsan Beg<sup>#3</sup>, Nihar Biswas<sup>2</sup>,  
4 Lynn Charron<sup>#4</sup>, Kenneth D. Drouillard<sup>1,5</sup>, Ramsey D'Souza<sup>6</sup>, Daniel D. Heath<sup>1,7</sup>, Chris  
5 Houser<sup>#1,5</sup>, Felicia Lawal<sup>6</sup>, James McGinlay<sup>#4</sup>, Sherri Lynne Menard<sup>#8</sup>, Lisa A. Porter<sup>9</sup>, Diane  
6 Rawlings<sup>#4</sup>, Yufeng Tong<sup>10</sup>, Matthew L. Scholl<sup>#11</sup>, K.W. Michael Siu<sup>10</sup>, Christopher G.  
7 Weisener<sup>1,5</sup>, Steven. W. Wilhelm<sup>12</sup>, R. Michael L. McKay<sup>\*1,5</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup>Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B  
9 3P4 Canada

10 <sup>2</sup>Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

11 <sup>3</sup>Student Counselling Centre, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

12 <sup>4</sup>Residence Services, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

13 <sup>5</sup>School of the Environment, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

14 <sup>6</sup>Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, Windsor, ON N9A 4J4 Canada

15 <sup>7</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

16 <sup>8</sup>Environmental Health and Safety, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

17 <sup>9</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4 Canada

18 <sup>10</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4  
19 Canada

20 <sup>11</sup>Student Health Services, University of Windsor, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B

21 3P4 Canada

22 <sup>12</sup>Department of Microbiology, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, 37996, USA

23 \* Corresponding author: R. Michael L. McKay

24 Email: [Robert.McKay@uwindsor.ca](mailto:Robert.McKay@uwindsor.ca); Tel: +1-519-253-3000 (× 2797)

25 # University of Windsor COVID-19 Case Response Team

26

27

28 **Abstract:** A wastewater surveillance program targeting a university residence hall was  
29 implemented during the spring semester 2021 as a proactive measure to avoid an outbreak of  
30 COVID-19 on campus. Over a period of 7 weeks from early February through late March 2021,  
31 wastewater originating from the residence hall was collected as grab samples 3 times per week.  
32 During this time, there was no detection of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-qPCR in the residence hall  
33 wastewater stream. Aiming to obtain a sample more representative of the residence hall  
34 community, a decision was made to use passive samplers beginning in late March onwards.  
35 Adopting a Moore Swab approach, SARS-CoV-2 was detected in wastewater samples on just  
36 two days after passive samplers were activated. These samples were also positive for the B.1.1.7  
37 (Alpha) Variant of Concern (VOC) by RT-qPCR. The positive result triggered a public health  
38 case finding response including a mobile testing unit deployed to the residence hall the following  
39 day with testing of nearly 200 students and staff, which identified two laboratory-confirmed  
40 cases of B.1.1.7 variant COVID-19. These individuals were re-located to a separate quarantine  
41 facility averting an outbreak on campus. Aggregating wastewater and clinical data, the campus  
42 wastewater surveillance program has yielded the first estimates of fecal shedding rates of the  
43 B.1.1.7 VOC of SARS-CoV-2 in individuals from a non-clinical setting.

## 44 **Introduction**

45 Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an acute respiratory disease that first  
46 came to the attention of the World Health Organization (WHO) in early 2020 (WHO 2020). The  
47 pathogen responsible for COVID-19 is SARS-CoV-2, a member of the coronavirus family  
48 (Coronaviridae Study Group 2020). By the end of 2020, >84 million cases and >1.8 million  
49 deaths had been reported world-wide (Worldometer 2020). These statistics, however,  
50 underestimate actual levels of infection as many patients are asymptomatic (Nishiura et al., 2020;  
51 Yanes-Lane et al., 2020; Oran and Topol, 2021) or present with only mild symptoms and do not  
52 seek medical attention. Indeed, undocumented infections may explain the initial rapid geographic  
53 spread of COVID-19 across the globe (Li et al., 2020). Therefore, it is of high priority to public  
54 health to optimize and expand appropriate screening and surveillance that can recognize the true  
55 prevalence of infection.

56 Wastewater monitoring offers a promising and cost-effective alternative to the large-scale  
57 testing of individuals as shown by a growing body of research from across the globe that has  
58 demonstrated the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in sewage from wastewater treatment plants  
59 (WWTPs) (e.g., Ahmed et al., 2020a; Mallapaty, 2020, Medema et al., 2020), consistent with  
60 studies showing that the novel coronavirus is shed in feces (Wang et al., 2020, Wu et al., 2020).  
61 A 24-h composite sample of raw sewage represents the fecal discharge of the entire community  
62 served by the WWTP, effectively providing a community-wide swab. Taking into consideration  
63 rates of viral shedding, decay of the RNA signal within the sewershed, and sensitivity of the  
64 assay, modeling and numerical analysis can predict the ability to detect a single infection in a  
65 population from one hundred to 2 million people (Hart and Halden, 2020). Thus, data on SARS-  
66 CoV-2 viral load in wastewater can be used to inform municipalities and public health units on

67 trends in community infections in the absence of widescale testing of individuals. This is  
68 consistent with wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) programs implemented for pathogens  
69 such as the polio virus (Asghar et al., 2014, Mao et al., 2020). That SARS-CoV-2 can be shed  
70 even before manifestations of COVID-19 become apparent in an infected individual makes this  
71 approach even more powerful, especially for early warning (Jones et al., 2020). Indeed, WBE  
72 data from around the globe has identified SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater prior to clinical  
73 metrics of infections in the communities served by those WWTPs (Medema et al., 2020, Peccia  
74 et al., 2020; D'Aoust et al., 2021a; Karthikeyan et al., 2021).

75 While informative of higher-level trends in community health (*e.g.*, Wu et al., 2020),  
76 relying solely on WWTPs for sampling limits the epidemiological value of wastewater  
77 surveillance. Indeed, to yield most benefit, strategic sampling within a sewershed targeting  
78 neighbourhoods, schools or congregate living facilities provides finer spatial resolution that can  
79 result in actionable public health responses to limit or halt COVID-19 transmission (Hassard et  
80 al., 2021). Amongst early adopters of this approach have been colleges and universities  
81 throughout North America, many of whom are using WBE to monitor residence halls for early  
82 evidence of COVID-19 infection as an integral component of campus screening programs (Liu et  
83 al., 2020; Barich and Slonczewski, 2021; Betancourt et al., 2021; Bivins et al., 2021; Colosi et  
84 al., 2021; Gibas et al., 2021; Harris-Lovett et al., 2021; Karthikeyan et al., 2021; Reeves et al.,  
85 2021; Scott et al., 2021; Travis et al., 2021). In fact, by the completion of the 2020-21 academic  
86 year, >200 postsecondary institutions in North America, and >250 worldwide were involved in  
87 some form of wastewater surveillance on campus (Naughton et al., 2021). Yet, while there have  
88 been numerous examples where wastewater monitoring on a university campus has detected  
89 evidence for infection amongst community members, there are few examples where this

90 monitoring triggered a public-health response that may have averted an actual outbreak  
91 (Betancourt et al., 2021; Gibas et al., 2021). Notable in this respect was a high-profile case at the  
92 University of Arizona in August 2020, where WBE triggered targeted clinical testing of students  
93 living in a residence hall that identified 3 individuals (2 of whom were asymptomatic) testing  
94 subsequently positive for COVID-19 (Betancourt et al., 2021; Schmitz et al., 2021). The infected  
95 students were relocated to a quarantine facility until they were deemed to be no longer infectious.

96 As part of the Province of Ontario’s Wastewater Surveillance Initiative (Government of  
97 Ontario, 2021), WBE was established at the University of Windsor, where wastewater  
98 originating from a residence hall was monitored thrice-weekly beginning in February 2021. Here  
99 we describe a case-study where wastewater surveillance triggered a public-health response that  
100 potentially averted an outbreak of the B.1.1.7 Variant of Concern (VOC) on a university campus.

101

## 102 **Materials and Methods**

103 *Sample collection and location:* The University of Windsor is a comprehensive public research  
104 university located in southwestern Ontario on the Canada-U.S.A. border enrolling >16,000  
105 students. As with postsecondary institutions across Canada, the University transitioned to remote  
106 learning for the 2020-21 academic year (University Affairs, 2021). This reduced the footprint of  
107 students and employees on campus by ~70% and meant that those students requiring on-campus  
108 accommodations could be housed in a single residence hall with a second on-campus residence  
109 used as necessary as a quarantine facility. Sampling of a residence hall on the campus of the  
110 University was initiated in early February 2021 that targeted a sewer line originating from the  
111 residence which empties into the municipal sewer system for the City of Windsor, Ontario.

112 During the spring semester 2021, the residence hall housed 186 students living in 2-bedroom  
113 suites, with each suite sharing a common toilet facility. The residence hall contains two wings,  
114 each with separate sewer lines. The sewer line chosen for sampling serviced the north wing of  
115 the building which housed 86 students. From early February through late March, wastewater was  
116 collected as grab samples 3 times each week, usually between 10:00-11:00 h local time, using  
117 500 mL polypropylene bottles. Beginning in late March, a passive sampler approach was  
118 adopted with the use of a modified Moore Swab (Sikorski and Levine, 2020; Liu et al., 2020;  
119 Bivens et al., 2021a). Briefly, this approach used a feminine hygiene product (tampon) connected  
120 by fishing line to a magnetic carabiner attached to the inside rim of the sewer cover. The  
121 modified Moore Swabs were deployed in duplicate into the wastewater stream where they  
122 resided for ~20 h prior to retrieval. Deployment lasted from mid-afternoon through late morning  
123 the following day. Once retrieved, the swabs were collected into sealable plastic bags and  
124 transported in a cooler to the laboratory for processing. Time elapsed between sample collection  
125 and their receipt in the laboratory was no longer than 30 min.

126 ***Sample processing:*** For grab samples of raw wastewater, a particle-associated fraction was  
127 concentrated by filtration through 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  Sterivex cartridge filters (MilliporeSigma,  
128 Burlington, MA), followed by flash-freezing the filter in liquid nitrogen as described previously  
129 (Chik et al., 2021). The filtrate was collected into a sterile 50-mL centrifuge tube followed by  
130 addition of 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and concentrated using a Concentrating Pipette (CP) Select  
131 (InnovaPrep, Drexel, MO) with Ultrafiltration PS Hollow Fiber Concentrating tips following a  
132 custom protocol (InnovaPrep, 2021). The CP Select concentrated the filtrate to ~300  $\mu\text{L}$   
133 followed by flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen. Upon transition to use of passive samplers,  
134 individual Moore Swabs were placed into the barrel of a disposable 50-mL syringe and the

135 filtrate plunged into a sterile 50-mL centrifuge tube. Filtrate was concentrated by ultrafiltration  
136 as described above using the CP Select. RNA was extracted from filters and concentrated filtrate  
137 following manufacturer's instructions using the AllPrep PowerViral DNA/RNA kit (Qiagen,  
138 Germantown, MD). Samples were not treated with DNase upon extraction.

139 **RT-qPCR:** Assays for SARS-CoV-2 targeted regions of the nucleocapsid (N) gene using United  
140 States (U.S.) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) primers and probes for the N1  
141 region (Lu et al., 2020). Assay of the B.1.1.7 VOC targeted a region of the N gene containing  
142 D3L, a signature mutation diagnostic of B.1.1.7 (Graber et al., 2021). The Pepper Mild Mottle  
143 Virus (PMMoV), which like SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus, was  
144 selected as a fecal indicator and was quantified using primers and probes described previously  
145 (Haramoto et al., 2013).

146 Reactions contained 5  $\mu$ l of RNA template mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of 2  $\times$  RT-qPCR master mix  
147 (Takyon TM Dry One-Step RT Probe MasterMix No Rox, Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium) and  
148 primers and probes in a final reaction volume of 20  $\mu$ L. Due to repeated incidence of inhibition  
149 with wastewater samples, template was diluted 1:5 in all reactions. Technical triplicates were run  
150 for detection of gene targets. Thermal cycling was performed using a MA6000 qPCR  
151 thermocycler (Sansure Biotech, Changsha, China). RT was performed at 48 °C for 10 min,  
152 followed by polymerase activation at 95 °C for 3 min, and 50 cycles of denaturation,  
153 annealing/extension at 95 °C for 10 sec, then 60 °C for 45 sec, respectively. The EDX SARS-  
154 CoV-2 synthetic RNA standard (Exact Diagnostics, Fort Worth, TX, USA) was used to create a  
155 5-point standard curve to quantify the N1 gene target whereas synthetic RNA containing the D3L  
156 mutation (AR-S SARS-CoV-2 RNA Control 14; Twist Bioscience, South San Francisco, CA)  
157 served as a positive control for B.1.1.7. For PMMoV, a sample pooled from multiple WWTPs in

158 SW Ontario and quantified by RT-Droplet Digital PCR was used to generate standard curves  
159 (D'Aoust et al., 2021b). No template controls yielded no amplification, and we report a limit of  
160 detection of 5 gene copies of N1 per reaction ( $\geq 95\%$  probability of detection).

161 ***Estimating fecal shedding rates:*** The approach described as part of the WBE program at the  
162 University of Arizona (Schmitz et al., 2021) was adopted to estimate fecal shedding rates with a  
163 few modifications. This approach combines data from wastewater surveillance and clinical  
164 testing targeting SARS-CoV-2 along with estimates of flow rates during the period when the  
165 passive samplers were deployed. Fecal shedding rates in units of gene copies per gram-feces  
166 (gc/g-feces) were estimated using the equation:

167 (Eq. 1) 
$$FS = \frac{(VC \times Q \times h)}{(G \times I)}$$

168 where, VC is the N1 gene concentration in units of gene copies per litre (gc/L), Q is the flow rate  
169 of wastewater leaving the residence hall in units of litres per minute and h is a conversion factor  
170 for time changing minutes to days. In the denominator, G represents the median per capita wet  
171 weight mass of feces from high income countries (126 g/person/day; Rose et al., 2015) and I is  
172 the total number of infected persons potentially contributing to the SARS-CoV-2 signal in  
173 wastewater.

174 Given challenges of determining absolute concentration of target genes using a passive  
175 sampler, VC was calculated from the ratio of SARS-CoV-2:PMMoV eluted from passive  
176 samplers and using the median PMMoV gene concentration determined from 17 grab samples  
177 collected over a 7-week period spanning February and March (Fig. 1). PMMoV is widely used as  
178 a fecal indicator to normalize SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater (Wu et al., 2020; D'Aoust et al.,  
179 2021b). Determining flow rates was likewise challenging given the small population serviced

180 which generated intermittent flow through the sewers. Others have attempted direct measures of  
181 flow; however, problems exist using conventional flow meters especially when flow is very low  
182 and the sensors are not completely immersed in water (Schmitz et al., 2021). As an alternative,  
183 water consumption as measured by a public utilities meter in the building was used to estimate  
184 wastewater flow. Given that the water supplied to the student residence is used entirely within  
185 the building, the resulting wastewater flow discharged into the sewer system is expected to be  
186 very similar (Schilling and Tränckner, 2020). Water consumption was normalized to the number  
187 of students resident in the wing of the residence hall that was monitored and adjusted to better  
188 estimate percent water use during the normal time of deployment of passive samplers.

189

## 190 **Results and Discussion**

### 191 *Campus wastewater surveillance for SARS-CoV-2*

192 A wastewater surveillance program on the campus of the University of Windsor was  
193 initiated in early February 2021, near the end of a Provincial lockdown as the Windsor-Essex  
194 County region was emerging from a resurgence of COVID-19 infections that spanned the  
195 months of December 2020 and January 2021 (Fig. 2). Within a week of initiating the program,  
196 restrictions were minimally relaxed as the region progressed to the Province of Ontario's Red  
197 (Control) category, the second most restrictive category of the Province's COVID-19 response  
198 framework (Government of Ontario, 2020).

199 From early February through late March 2021, wastewater originating from a campus  
200 residence hall was collected as grab samples 3 times per week. Over this period, there was no  
201 detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the residence hall wastewater stream (data not shown). Over this

202 same period, the concentration of SARS-CoV-2 in Windsor-Essex wastewater had stabilized at a  
203 low, but detectable level following the December-January resurgence of infections (Fig. 2).  
204 Comparability of data obtained from grab samples with 24-h composite samples obtained by  
205 autosampler has been investigated as part of several SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance  
206 programs (Curtis et al., 2020; Bivens et al., 2021b; Gerrity et al., 2021). While general agreement  
207 between the approaches has been reported, groups report within-day variability in terms of  
208 detection of SARS-CoV-2 using the grab sample approach, a concern that is magnified when  
209 dealing with a congregate living facility housing a small population such as a university  
210 residence hall. This concern was reflected by the variability in the concentration of PMMoV  
211 yielded by grab samples which ranged across 4-orders of magnitude at the residence hall yielding  
212 a coefficient of variation (CV) of 2.83 (Fig. 1). In contrast, concentration of PMMoV from 24-h  
213 composite samples averaged across five WWTPs in Windsor-Essex over the same time showed  
214 only modest variation yielding a CV an order of magnitude lower at 0.38 (Fig. 1).

215 To obtain a sample more representative of the residence hall community and their  
216 defecation patterns (Heaton et al., 1992), a decision was made to implement the use of passive  
217 samplers from late March onwards. Unlike grab samples which represent a point-in-time  
218 ‘snapshot’, passive samplers offer the advantage of providing a time-integrated measure of the  
219 sampled matrix and potentially offers greater sensitivity of viral detection owing to the larger  
220 volume of sewage passing over the sampler compared to that which can be collected by a  
221 discrete sampling approach. Indeed, recent comparison of grab samples with Moore swabs  
222 targeting wastewater originating from a hospital admitting COVID-19 patients demonstrated that  
223 passive samplers can detect SARS-CoV-2 more consistently in wastewater (Liu et al., 2020).  
224 Likewise, Moore Swabs provided data comparable to an autosampler and both methods

225 outperformed grab samples to detect SARS-CoV-2 from municipal sewer access points (Rafiee  
226 et al., 2021). Arguments against adopting the use of passive samplers include inability to  
227 quantify viral concentrations with confidence thus yielding a qualitative response. There are  
228 likewise uncertainties concerning the collection efficiency of the sampler, such as what fraction  
229 of virus passing across the sampler is retained, and is the virus retained by the sampler subject to  
230 degradation over the collection interval?

231 Moore Swabs yielded eluted concentrations of PMMoV that ranged over 2-orders of  
232 magnitude and a CV of 1.18 (data not shown). This was more variable than PMMoV yields  
233 obtained from composite samples from Windsor-Essex WWTPs over the same period but Moore  
234 Swabs offered improved consistency over grab samples based on this metric. SARS-CoV-2 was  
235 detected in wastewater eluted from Moore Swabs just two days after passive sampling was  
236 implemented (Fig. 2). The initial positive result triggered higher frequency sampling with  
237 passive samplers deployed daily the following week. Testing resulted in the detection of SARS-  
238 CoV-2 in the residence hall wastewater during the initial two days of daily sampling, following  
239 which the virus was no longer detected through the end of the week. During the initial 4-day  
240 period through which SARS-CoV-2 was detected, the signal continued to increase in intensity  
241 reflected by cycle threshold (Ct) values for the N1 gene target as high as ~27. Normalizing to  
242 the fecal indicator PMMoV eluted from the same passive sampler, the ratio increased by 3-orders  
243 of magnitude over this 4-day period (Fig. 2). Following four days of negative tests, sampling  
244 resumed following a holiday weekend when SARS-CoV-2 was again detected in the residence  
245 hall wastewater although with signal intensity as normalized to PMMoV declining each day over  
246 a 7-day period.

247           At the time of the campus surveillance program, there was mounting concern globally  
248 over the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs. In North America, concern was focused largely on  
249 the B.1.1.7 VOC which was reported to be more transmissible than the wild-type Wuhan strain  
250 (Davies et al., 2021; Volz et al., 2021). Of particular concern to public health was a reported shift  
251 in the demographic of those infected trending to younger adults (Volz et al., 2021). Wastewater  
252 samples originating from the university residence hall were queried with an allele-specific primer  
253 extension RT-qPCR assay targeting a D3L mutation on the SARS-CoV-2 N gene that is  
254 diagnostic for B.1.1.7 (Graber et al., 2021). These samples tested positive for B.1.1.7 coincident  
255 with the initial detection of SARS-CoV-2 in residence hall wastewater in late March, and again  
256 in early April, following the reappearance of SARS-CoV-2 in the residence hall wastewater.  
257 While B.1.1.7 had become the dominant lineage of SARS-CoV-2 in parts of Ontario, especially  
258 in the Greater Toronto Area by mid-March (Brown et al., 2021), the Windsor-Essex region had  
259 reported just 26 cumulative cases assigned to B.1.1.7 by the time the VOC was detected in the  
260 residence hall wastewater (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2021). Application of the allele-  
261 specific primer extension RT-qPCR assay to wastewater from Windsor-Essex showed no  
262 evidence of B.1.1.7 in the region through mid-March (R.M.L. McKay, unpublished), consistent  
263 with no more than 2 cases of B.1.1.7 reported in the region per day through our initial detection  
264 of SARS-CoV-2 in the residence hall waste stream (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2021).  
265 Soon thereafter, this lineage had emerged with Windsor-Essex wastewater yielding a weighted  
266 mean of 14% B.1.1.7, which increased to ~60% through weekly testing over the following month  
267 (WE-SPARK Health Institute, 2021). This B.1.1.7 signal rose in parallel with locations  
268 elsewhere in the province, albeit staggered in onset. The rapid increase in the dominance of  
269 B.1.1.7 in the wastewater was mirrored by clinical data with the region logging >1000

270 cumulative COVID-19 cases attributed to B.1.1.7 by early May (Ontario Agency for Health  
271 Protection and Promotion, 2021a).

272

### 273 *Insights into fecal shedding rates from wastewater surveillance*

274 Since initial reports showing that SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in wastewater, a  
275 promising, albeit somewhat elusive extension of WBE, has been its use to estimate community  
276 infections within a sewer catchment (*e.g.*, Ahmed et al., 2020; Curtis et al., 2020; Medema et al.,  
277 2020; Wu et al., 2020a; Chavarria-Miró et al., 2021). The uncertainties related to estimating  
278 absolute numbers of community infections are numerous and continue to be a challenge to  
279 realizing this application of WBE. Highlighting these uncertainties is our lack of understanding  
280 of fecal shedding rates as well as stability of the virus within the sewershed (Hart and Halden,  
281 2020), where viral particles may be entrained from several hours to as long as ~2 days depending  
282 on the sewer network (D'Aoust et al., 2021b).

283 Where prevalence of COVID-19 infections has been estimated from wastewater data,  
284 estimates of fecal shedding rates to derive loading of SARS-CoV-2 into wastewater have largely  
285 invoked data from a limited number of clinical studies examining excretion of virus in human  
286 feces. In these studies, the viral titer has been estimated to differ by several orders of magnitude  
287 (*e.g.*, Wölfel et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Likewise, uncertainty surrounds the ubiquity and  
288 duration of fecal shedding. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 studies examining  
289 SARS-CoV-2 in stool showed mean shedding duration of 17.2 days with a maximum duration of  
290 126 days (Cevik et al., 2020). Further, VOCs may exhibit different shedding patterns than the  
291 wild-type strain, with recent studies providing evidence for a higher viral load (Jones et al.,

292 2021) and prolonged shedding time in the upper respiratory tract of patients infected with the  
293 B.1.1.7 lineage (Calistri et al., 2021; Kissler et al., 2021).

294 WBE programs associated with congregate living facilities offer a unique opportunity to  
295 calculate fecal shedding rates from a defined community. By combining wastewater surveillance  
296 with clinical data derived from testing individuals housed at these facilities, it is possible to  
297 extrapolate an approximate fecal shedding rate. Further, considering that sample collection  
298 points are typically adjacent to the facilities being tested, virus contributions to the wastewater  
299 stream are presumably recent thus negating some of the concerns over factors affecting the  
300 stability of SARS-CoV-2 in sewers. Aggregating wastewater and clinical data, the WBE program  
301 at the University of Arizona yielded amongst the first estimates of fecal shedding rates for  
302 SARS-CoV-2 from a non-clinical setting (Schmitz et al., 2021). In their study, implemented over  
303 a 3-month period in Fall 2020 and covering 13 dormitories, 81 wastewater samples tested  
304 positive for SARS-CoV-2 and triggered the clinical testing of students living in dorms resulting  
305 in diagnoses of 711 cases of COVID-19, of which 79.2% were classified as asymptomatic.  
306 Because infected students were relocated to quarantine facilities that did not contribute to the  
307 study's sewershed, infections associated with the dormitories were considered incident  
308 infections. Aggregating data from all dorms yielded a mean SARS-CoV-2 shedding rate of  $6.84$   
309  $\pm 0.77 \log_{10}$  gene copies/g-feces based on the N1 gene (Schmitz et al., 2021).

310 The case study presented here based on the experience of implementing WBE at the  
311 University of Windsor likewise offered a unique opportunity to estimate fecal shedding rates  
312 attributed to a defined community, but with a signal easier to interpret compared with similar  
313 studies elsewhere. In this case, there was but a single occupied residence hall, having no  
314 detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater over 7 weeks leading up to the initial detection, which

315 triggered clinical testing of the building occupants. Two individuals tested positive for COVID-  
316 19 with both individuals relocated to a quarantine facility on campus less than two days after the  
317 wastewater data were reported to campus administration. Analysis of wastewater was positive  
318 for the B.1.1.7 VOC. Limiting our analysis to just the 4-day period encompassing the initial  
319 detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the residence hall wastewater, through to the clinical testing and  
320 quarantine of the two individuals who tested positive (Fig. 2), we report fecal shedding rates  
321 progressing in their intensity and ranging across 3-orders of magnitude from 3.93 log<sub>10</sub> gc/g-  
322 feces to 5.99 log<sub>10</sub> gc/g-feces based on the N1 gene target. These rates are lower than those  
323 reported from the Arizona study and must be interpreted with some caution, given the  
324 uncertainties surrounding fecal shedding, including reports that some infected individuals do not  
325 shed SARS-CoV-2 in their feces (Gupta et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020). One must also consider  
326 the distinction that the cases presented here are specific to the B.1.1.7 VOC. Finally, we  
327 recognize that our estimates of fecal shedding rates are based on indirect assessment derived  
328 from ratios of SARS-CoV-2:PMMoV obtained from passive samplers, and using flow rates  
329 indirectly estimated from building water usage.

330         Despite uncertainty in estimating fecal shedding rates in this study, the linear progression  
331 in intensity of shedding over 4 days, as shown by the ratio SARS-CoV-2:PMMoV, was  
332 consistent with recent reports showing an estimated time from onset of shedding to peak viral  
333 load of 4.31 days (Jones et al., 2021) to around 6 days (Cavany et al., 2021). While we do not  
334 know if the subjects reached peak viral load by the time they were relocated to quarantine, the  
335 rapid increase in shedding intensity of more than 2000% as infection progressed between days 3  
336 and 4 would suggest the peak was being approached.

337           Unfortunately, as sampling resumed following a holiday weekend and SARS-CoV-2 was  
338 once again detected in the residence hall wastewater, data interpretation was complicated due to  
339 the return of the students who had previously been quarantined. Thus, while a new infection was  
340 most likely responsible for the reemergent SARS-CoV-2 signal in wastewater detected in early  
341 April, that individual was removed to quarantine the following day and the 60% decline in signal  
342 that followed was attributed to reduced rates of shedding by the students deemed no longer to be  
343 infectious, but still classified as convalescent. A lack of detection of SARS-CoV-2 by mid-April  
344 corresponded with day 19 of the onset of infection associated with the initial subjects and thus  
345 close to the 17.2 day mean shedding duration reported previously (Cevik et al., 2020).

346

#### 347 ***Public health response and clinical confirmation of infection***

348           Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the residence hall wastewater sample triggered a rapid  
349 public health response by the University of Windsor COVID-19 Case Response Team and the  
350 Windsor-Essex County Health Unit (WECHU). Results from the initial detection of SARS-CoV-  
351 2 in residence hall wastewater were available by 12:00 h the day following Moore Swab retrieval  
352 and communicated to University administration by 17:00 h. University administration requested  
353 clarification regarding the data and conferred with Health and Safety at the University at which  
354 time the concern was elevated and WECHU was contacted by 20:00 h. Shortly thereafter, student  
355 residents and employees whose duties included access to the residence hall were sent electronic  
356 notification of the likelihood of a positive SARS-CoV-2 case within the facility and were  
357 encouraged to self-isolate. They were also apprised of a clinical testing unit to be mobilized to  
358 the residence hall the following morning. Over the following two days, over 195 nasopharyngeal  
359 swabs were collected by a mobile testing team with test results communicated within 24 h. From

360 the initial cohort tested, a single positive SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed. This individual  
361 along with a close contact who was also a resident of the facility were moved to a separate  
362 quarantine facility on campus by midday the following day once initial test results were  
363 obtained. This close contact also tested positive as a part of testing of the second cohort one day  
364 later. RT-qPCR assay of the clinical samples for these individuals yielded Ct values  $\leq 35$  which  
365 triggered subsequent screening for the diagnostic N501Y, and E484K VOC mutations associated  
366 with the spike (S) gene using a multiplex RT-qPCR assay (Ontario Agency for Health Protection  
367 and Promotion, 2021b). Samples from both individuals were positive for the N501Y mutation  
368 and negative for E484K and presumed to be infected by the B.1.1.7 VOC based on Public Health  
369 Ontario criteria (Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion, 2021b). This clinical  
370 diagnosis was consistent with wastewater testing which identified B.1.1.7 by targeting the  
371 diagnostic D3L mutation on the N-gene.

372 All other clinical tests performed following initial detection of SARS-CoV-2 in residence  
373 hall wastewater were negative. Quarantine of the two B.1.1.7-infected individuals had immediate  
374 implications for wastewater screening with a return to non-detects for SAR-CoV-2 during daily  
375 surveillance in the days following. With the resumption of testing following a holiday weekend  
376 yielding a positive result for SARS-CoV-2, the University COVID-19 Case Response Team was  
377 again notified, and we learned that a third student resident of the facility had been confirmed  
378 positive for COVID-19 earlier in the day after the student had sought testing the day prior. Upon  
379 learning of the test result, this third individual was relocated to the quarantine facility.  
380 Wastewater testing resumed the following day with another positive result suggesting that there  
381 remained one or more individuals at the residence hall actively shedding virus. Again, this result  
382 triggered a public health response and students were notified of a previously scheduled

383 University-coordinated testing clinic on campus which attracted 65 student residents for testing,  
384 all of whom tested negative. We subsequently learned that the two residents who were initially  
385 quarantined had been approved to return to the residence hall after 10 days had elapsed, as they  
386 were deemed no longer infectious. This information combined with the knowledge that all  
387 student residents who chose to be tested following the re-emergence of SARS-CoV-2 in  
388 residence hall wastewater were negative suggests that convalescent shedding of SARS-CoV-2  
389 was likely responsible for the virus persisting in the wastewater stream through mid-April.

390

## 391 **Conclusions**

392 The University of Windsor has implemented a multi-pronged surveillance-based  
393 informative framework that combines wastewater testing with voluntary pooled saliva-based RT-  
394 qPCR screening to monitor for SARS-CoV-2 as part of a return to campus strategy (WE-SPARK  
395 Health Institute, 2021) that will continue into the Fall 2021 semester. Here we report on the  
396 wastewater testing component of this screening program and demonstrate that a WBE program  
397 targeting a congregate living facility on a university campus can lead to actionable responses by  
398 the university administration and public health, having the potential to avert an outbreak of  
399 COVID-19. As the COVID-19 pandemic unfolds, wastewater surveillance continues to be  
400 refined and informed by our growing understanding of SARS-CoV-2, emergence of variants, and  
401 persistence of the virus in wastewater. Actioning this emerging discipline of WBE into a public  
402 health response requires buy-in from administrators and public health authorities, confidence of  
403 which is often gained slowly. However, as with much of the decision-making associated with the  
404 pandemic, decisions have had to be made quickly without the benefit of having all evidence in  
405 place. In this case, growing confidence in this evolving discipline resulted in rapid deployment of

406 a mobile testing team that identified infected individuals and resulted in their relocation to  
407 quarantine to avert an outbreak.

408 This work highlighted some of the challenges faced by WBE in congregate living  
409 facilities, including unpredictable wastewater flow and the confounding effect of convalescent  
410 shedding on interpreting the SARS-CoV-2 signal in wastewater. While many universities  
411 proactively relocate infected students into quarantine facilities, these are not meant to be long-  
412 term displacements. Students who are deemed no longer infectious, but still classified as  
413 convalescing, are normally approved to return to their assigned accommodations. Convalescent  
414 shedding of SARS-CoV-2 can persist for weeks to months, thus universities planning to use  
415 WBE need to consider this as part of their quarantine plans (Colosi et al., 2021).

416 As the current pandemic winds down with vaccination efforts ramping up globally, the  
417 longer-term application of WBE on campuses and elsewhere will need to be considered (Gibas et  
418 al, 2021): A recent commentary argued that wastewater surveillance can ‘have a second act’ to  
419 inform vaccine uptake especially if applied upstream within a sewer shed to target  
420 neighbourhoods or congregate living facilities (Smith et al., 2021). These data would inform a  
421 public health strategy to encourage and facilitate vaccination of residents in these areas.  
422 Likewise, the value of WBE beyond the current pandemic is increasingly being recognized and  
423 there are calls to establish national wastewater surveillance systems having applications to  
424 detecting other well-known disease agents including foodborne pathogens shed in feces or to be  
425 applied to new pandemics caused by emerging pathogens (Keshaviah et al., 2021). Such calls to  
426 action are prompted by the rapid evolution of this public health tool as applied to COVID-19,  
427 and the realization that WBE represents a ‘community swab’ which is both cost-effective and  
428 scalable.

429 **Acknowledgements**

430 We thank the Windsor-Essex County Health Unit's mobile response team for its dedication and  
431 quick actions following alert by the University. We also thank J. Gauthier, L. Kiritsis, Z. Neale  
432 and V. Wert for providing support to the University of Windsor COVID-19 Case Response  
433 Team. We express appreciation to P. D'Aoust and R. Delatolla (University of Ottawa) for  
434 quantifying the PMMoV standard used in this project and to Y. Wu (S.M. Research, Inc.) who  
435 provided access to a thermal cycler. Funding in support of the Ontario Wastewater Surveillance  
436 Initiative was provided by the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks.  
437 Additional support was provided by the Canada Foundation for Innovation - Exceptional  
438 Opportunities Fund (COVID-19 program) and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering  
439 Research Council of Canada (Alliance COVID-19 grant). Q. Geng received support through a  
440 MITACS Accelerate grant.

441 **References**

442 Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, O'Brien JW, Choi PM, Kitajima M, Simpson  
443 SL, Li J, Tschärke B. 2020. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater  
444 in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community.  
445 *Sci Total Environ* 728: 138764.

446  
447 Asghar H, Diop OM, Weldegebriel G, Malik F, Shetty S, Bassioni LEI, Akande AO, Maamoun  
448 EAI, Zaidi S, Adeniji AJ. 2014. Environmental surveillance for polioviruses in the global polio  
449 eradication initiative. *J Infect Dis* 210: S294–S303.

450  
451 Barich D, Slonczewski, JL. 2021. Wastewater virus detection complements clinical COVID-19  
452 testing to limit spread of infection at Kenyon college. medRxiv  
453 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.09.21249505>.

454  
455 Betancourt WQ, Schmitz BW, Innes GK, Prasek SM, Brown KMP, Stark ER, Foster AR,  
456 Sprissler RS, Harris DT, Sherchan SP, Gerba CP, Pepper IL. 2021. COVID-19 containment on a  
457 college campus via wastewater-based epidemiology, targeted clinical testing and an  
458 intervention. *Sci Total Environ* 779: 146408.

459  
460 Bivins A, Lott M, Shaffer M, Wu Z, North D, Lipp EK, Bibby K. 2021a. Building-level  
461 wastewater monitoring 1 for COVID-19 using tampon swabs and RT-LAMP for rapid SARS-  
462 CoV-2 RNA detection. Preprints 2021050381. [https://doi: 10.20944/preprints202105.0381.v1](https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202105.0381.v1)

463

464 Bivins, A, North D, Wu Z, Shaffer M, Ahmed W, Bibby KJ. 2021b. Within-day variability of  
465 SARS-CoV-2 RNA in municipal wastewater influent during periods of varying COVID-19  
466 prevalence and positivity. medRxiv <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.16.21253652>.

467  
468 Brown KA, Gubbay J, Hopkins J, Patel S, Buchan SA, Daneman N, Goneau LW. 2021. S-gene  
469 target failure as a marker of variant B.1.1.7 among SARS-CoV-2 isolates in the Greater Toronto  
470 Area, December 2020 to March 2021. *JAMA* 325(20): 2115–2116.

471  
472 Calistri P, Amato L, Puglia I, Cito F, Di Giuseppe A, Danzetta ML, Morelli D, Di Domenico M,  
473 Caporale M, Scialabba S, Portanti O, Curini V, Perletta F, Cammà C, Ancora M, Savini G,  
474 Migliorati G, D’Alterio N, Lorusso A. 2021. Infection sustained by lineage B.1.1.7 of SARS-  
475 CoV-2 is characterised by longer persistence and higher viral RNA loads in nasopharyngeal  
476 swabs. *Int J Infect Dis* 105: 753-755.

477  
478 Cavany S, Bivins A, Wu Z, North D, Bibby K, Perkins TA. 2021. Inferring SARS-CoV-2 RNA  
479 shedding into wastewater relative to time of infection. medRxiv  
480 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.03.21258238>.

481  
482 Cevik M, Tate M, Lloyd O, Maraolo AE, Schafers J, Ho A. 2020. SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV,  
483 and MERS-CoV viral load dynamics, duration of viral shedding, and infectiousness: a systematic  
484 review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Microbe* 2: e13-22.

485

486 Chavarria-Miró G, Anfruns-Estrada E, Martínez-Velázquez A, Vázquez-Portero M, Guix S,  
487 Paraira M, Galofré B, Sánchez G, Pintó RM, Bosch A. 2021. Time evolution of severe acute  
488 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in wastewater during the first pandemic  
489 wave of COVID-19 in the metropolitan area of Barcelona, Spain. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 87:  
490 e02750-20.

491  
492 Chik AH, Glier MB, Servos M, Mangat CS, Pang XL, Qiu Y, D'Aoust PM, Burnet JB, Delatolla  
493 R, Dorner S, Geng Q, Giesy Jr JP, McKay RM, Mulvey MR, Prystajeky N, Srikanthan N, Xie  
494 Y, Conant B, Hrudey SE, Canadian SARS-CoV-2 Inter-Laboratory Consortium. 2021.  
495 Comparison of approaches to quantify SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater using RT-qPCR: Results and  
496 implications from a collaborative inter-laboratory study in Canada. *J Environ Sci* 107: 218-229.

497  
498 Colosi LM, Barry KE, Kotay SM, Porter MD, Poulter MD, Ratliff C, Simmons W, Steinberg LI,  
499 Wilson DD, Morse R, Zmick P, Mathers AM. 2021. Development of wastewater pooled  
500 surveillance of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from  
501 congregate living settings. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 87(13): e00433-21.

502  
503 Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. 2020. The  
504 species *Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus*: classifying 2019-nCoV and  
505 naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Microbiol* 5(4): 536–544.

506

507 Curtis K, Keeling D, Yetka K, Larson A, Gonzalez R. 2020. Wastewater SARS-CoV-2  
508 concentration and loading variability from grab and 24-hour composite samples. medRxiv  
509 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.10.20150607>.  
510

511 D'Aoust PM, Graber TE, Mercier E, Montpetit D, Alexandrov I, Neault N, Baig AT, Mayne J,  
512 Zhang X, Alain T, Servos MR, Srikanthan N, MacKenzie M, Figeys D, Manuel D, Jüni P,  
513 MacKenzie AE, Delatolla R. 2021a. Catching a resurgence: Increase in SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA  
514 identified in wastewater 48 h before COVID-19 clinical tests and 96 h before  
515 hospitalizations. *Sci Total Environ* 770: 145319.  
516

517 D'Aoust PM, Mercier E, Montpetit D, Jia JJ, Alexandrov I, Neault N, Baig AT, Mayne J, Zhang  
518 X, Alain T, Langlois MA, Servos MR, MacKenzie M, Figeys D, MacKenzie AE, Graber TE,  
519 Delatolla, R. 2021b. Quantitative analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from wastewater solids in  
520 communities with low COVID-19 incidence and prevalence. *Water Res* 188: 116560.  
521

522 Davies NG, Abbott S, Barnard RC, Jarvis CI, Kucharski AJ, Munday JD, Pearson CA, Russell  
523 TW, Tully DC, Washburne AD, Wenseleers T, Gimma A, Waites W, Wong KLM, van  
524 Zandvoort K, Silverman JD, CMMID COVID-19 Working Group, COVID-19 Genomics UK  
525 (COG-UK) Consortium, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh R, Eggo RM, Funk S, Jit M, Atkins KE,  
526 Edmunds WJ. 2021. Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in  
527 England. *Science* 372(6538): eabg3055.  
528

529 Gerrity D, Papp K, Stoker M, Sims A, Frehner W. 2021. Early-pandemic wastewater  
530 surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Southern Nevada: Methodology, occurrence, and  
531 incidence/prevalence considerations. *Water Res X* 10: 100086.  
532

533 Gibas C, Lambirth K, Mittal N, Juel MAI, Barua VB, Brazell LR, Hinton K, Lontai J, Stark N,  
534 Young I, Quach C, Russ M, Kauer J, Nicolosi B, Chen D, Akella S, Tang W, Schlueter J, Munir  
535 M. 2021. Implementing building-level SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance on a university  
536 campus. *Sci Total Environ* 782: 146749.  
537

538 Government of Ontario, 2020. COVID-19 response framework: keeping Ontario safe and open.  
539 <https://www.ontario.ca/page/covid-19-response-framework-keeping-ontario-safe-and-open>.  
540 Accessed May 24, 2021.  
541

542 Government of Ontario, 2021. Ontario investing in wastewater testing system to detect COVID-  
543 19. [https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/60799/ontario-investing-in-wastewater-testing-system-to-](https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/60799/ontario-investing-in-wastewater-testing-system-to-detect-covid-19)  
544 [detect-covid-19](https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/60799/ontario-investing-in-wastewater-testing-system-to-detect-covid-19). Published March 18, 2021. Accessed May 26, 2021.  
545

546 Graber TE, Mercier E, D'Aoust PM, Hoang HD, Tian X, Tasneem S, Bhatnagar K, Delatolla R.  
547 2021. An allele-specific primer extension assay to quantify the proportion of B. 1.1. 7-specific  
548 SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater. medRxiv <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.22.21252041>.  
549

550 Han MS, Seong MW, Kim N, Shin S, Cho SI, Park H, Kim TS, Park SS, Choi EH. 2020. Viral  
551 RNA load in mildly symptomatic and asymptomatic children with COVID-19, Seoul, South  
552 Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis* 26(10): 2497-2499.  
553  
554 Haramoto E, Kitajima M, Kishida N, Konno Y, Katayama H, Asami M, Akiba M. 2013.  
555 Occurrence of pepper mild mottle virus in drinking water sources in Japan. *Appl Environ*  
556 *Microbiol* 79(23), 7413-7418.  
557  
558 Harris-Lovett S, Nelson KL, Beamer P, Bischel HN, Bivins A, Bruder A, Butler C, Camenisch  
559 TD, De Long SK, Karthikeyan S, Larsen DA, Meierdiercks K, Mouser PJ, Pagsuyoin S, Prasek  
560 SM, Radniecki TS, Ram JL, Roper DK, Safford H, Sherchan SP, Shuster W, Stalder T, Wheeler  
561 RT, Korfmacher KS. 2021. Wastewater surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 on college campuses:  
562 Initial efforts, lessons learned and research needs. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18: 4455.  
563  
564 Hart OE, Halden RU. 2020. Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 surveillance by  
565 wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally: Feasibility, economy, opportunities and  
566 challenges. *Sci Total Environ* 730: 138875.  
567  
568 Hassard F, Lundy L, Singer AC, Grimsley J, Di Cesare M. 2021. Innovation in wastewater near-  
569 source tracking for rapid identification of COVID-19 in schools. *Lancet Microbe*, 2(1): e4-e5.  
570

571 Heaton KW, Radvan J, Cripps H, Mountford RA, Braddon FE, Hughes AO. 1992. Defecation  
572 frequency and timing, and stool form in the general population: a prospective study. *Gut* 33(6):  
573 818-824.

574

575 Hrudehy SE, Ashbolt NJ, Isaac-Renton JL, McKay RM, Servos MR. 2020. Wastewater-based  
576 epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2. RSC COVID-19 Series, No. 23. Royal Society of Canada.

577

578 InnovaPrep. 2021. Concentrating Sars-CoV-2 from raw and primary wastewater using the  
579 InnovaPrep® Concentrating Pipette™ (Revision C). <https://www.innovaprep.com/covid-19>.  
580 Accessed June 9, 2021.

581

582 Jones DL, Baluja MQ, Graham DW, Corbishley A, McDonald JE, Malham SK, Hillary LS,  
583 Connor TR, Gaze WH, Moura IB, Wilcox MH, Farkas K. 2020. Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in  
584 feces and urine and its potential role in person-to-person transmission and the environment-based  
585 spread of COVID-19. *Sci Total Environ* 749: 141364.

586

587 Jones TC, Biele G, Mühlemann B, Veith T, Schneider J, Beheim-Schwarzbach J, Bleicker T,  
588 Tesch J, Schmidt ML, Sander LE, Kurth F, Menzel P, Schwarzer R, Zuchowski M, Hofmann J,  
589 Krumbholz A, Stein A, Edelmann A, Corman VM, Drosten C. 2021. Estimating infectiousness  
590 throughout SARS-CoV-2 infection course. *Science* eabi5273.

591

592

593 Karthikeyan S, Ronquillo N, Belda-Ferre P, Alvarado D, Javidi T, Longhurst CA, Knight R.  
594 2021. High-throughput wastewater SARS-CoV-2 detection enables forecasting of community  
595 infection dynamics in San Diego County. *mSystems* 6: e00045-21.  
596  
597 Keshaviah A, Hu XC, Henry M. 2021. Developing a flexible national wastewater surveillance  
598 system for COVID-19 and beyond. *Environ Health Perspect* 129(4): 045002.  
599  
600 Kissler SM, Fauver JR, Mack C, Tai C, Breban M, Watkins AE, Samant R, Anderson D, Ho D,  
601 Grubaugh ND, Grad Y. 2021. Densely sampled viral trajectories suggest longer duration of acute  
602 infection with B.1.1.7 variant relative to non-B.1.1.7 SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv  
603 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.16.21251535>.  
604  
605 Li R, Pei S, Chen B, Song Y, Zhang T, Yang W, Shaman J. 2020. Substantial undocumented  
606 infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). *Science* 368  
607 (6490): 489-493.  
608  
609 Liu P, Ibaraki M, VanTassell J, Geith K, Cavallo M, Kann R, Moe C. 2020. A novel COVID-19  
610 early warning tool: Moore Swab method for wastewater surveillance at an institutional level.  
611 medRxiv <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.01.20238006>.  
612  
613 Lu X, Wang L, Sakthivel SK, Whitaker B, Murray J, Kamili S, Lynch B, Malapati L, Burke SA,  
614 Harcourt J, Tamin A, Thornburg NJ, Villanueva JM, Lindstrom S. 2020. US CDC real-time

615 reverse transcription PCR panel for detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus  
616 2. *Emerg Infect Dis* 26(8): 1654-1665.

617

618 Mallapaty S. 2020. How sewage could reveal true scale of coronavirus outbreak. *Nature*, 580  
619 (7802): 176-177.

620

621 Mao K, Zhang K, Du W, Ali W, Feng X, Zhang, H. 2020. The potential of wastewater-based  
622 epidemiology as surveillance and early warning of infectious disease outbreaks. *Curr Opin*  
623 *Environ Sci Health* 17: 1-7.

624

625 Medema G, Heijnen L, Elsinga G, Italiaander R, Brouwer, A. 2020. Presence of SARS-  
626 Coronavirus-2 RNA in sewage and correlation with reported COVID-19 prevalence in the early  
627 stage of the epidemic in the Netherlands. *Environ Sci Technol Letts* 7(7): 511-516.

628

629 Naughton CC, Roman FA, Alvarado AGF, Tariqi AQ, Deeming MA, Bibby K, Bivins A, Rose  
630 JB, Medema G, Ahmed W, Katsivelis P, Allan V, Sinclair R, Zhang Y, Kinyua MN. 2021. Show  
631 us the data: Global COVID-19 wastewater monitoring efforts, equity, and gaps. medRxiv  
632 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.14.21253564>.

633

634 Nishiura H, Kobayashi T, Miyama T, Suzuki A, Jung SM, Hayashi K, Kinoshita R, Yang Y,  
635 Yuan B, Akhmetzhanov AR, Linton NM. 2020. Estimation of the asymptomatic ratio of novel  
636 coronavirus infections (COVID-19). *Int J Infect Dis* 94: 154-155.

637

638 Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). 2021a. Enhanced  
639 epidemiological summary: COVID-19 variants of concern in Ontario: December 1, 2020 to May  
640 9, 2021. Toronto, ON: Queen’s Printer for Ontario; [https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-](https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/covid-19-variant-epi-summary.pdf?la=en)  
641 [/media/documents/ncov/epi/covid-19-variant-epi-summary.pdf?la=en](https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/covid-19-variant-epi-summary.pdf?la=en) (Accessed 28 May, 2021).

642  
643 Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). 2021b. SARS-  
644 CoV-2 (COVID-19 virus) Variant of Concern (VoC) surveillance.  
645 <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/laboratory-services/test-information-index/covid-19-voc>  
646 (Accessed 28 May, 2021).

647  
648 Oran DP, Topol EJ. 2021. The proportion of SARS-CoV-2 infections that are asymptomatic.  
649 *Ann Int Med* 174(5): 655-662.

650  
651 Peccia J, Zulli A, Brackney DE, Grubaugh ND, Kaplan EH, Casanovas-Massana A, Ko AI,  
652 Malik AA, Wang D, Wang M, Warren JL, Weinberger DM, Arnold W, Omer SB. 2020.  
653 Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection dynamics. *Nat*  
654 *Biotechnol* 38(10): 1164-1167.

655  
656 Rafiee M, Isazadeh S, Mohseni-Bandpei A, Mohebbi SR, Jahangiri-rad M, Eslami A, Dabiri H,  
657 Roostaei K, Tanhaei M, Amereh F. 2021. Moore swab performs equal to composite and  
658 outperforms grab sampling for SARS-CoV-2 monitoring in wastewater. *Sci Total Environ* 790:  
659 148205.

660

661 Reeves K, Leibig J, Feula A, Saldi T, Lasda E, Johnson W, Lilienfeld J, Maggi J, Pulley K,  
662 Wilkerson PJ, Real B, Zak G, Davis J, Fink M, Gonzalez P, Hager C, Ozeroff C, Tat K, Alkire  
663 M, Butler C, Coe E, Darby J, Freeman N, Heuer H, Jones JR, Karr M, Key S, Maxwell K,  
664 Nelson L, Saldana E, Shea R, Salveson L, Tomlinson K, Vargas-Barriga J, Vigil B, Brisson G,  
665 Parker R, Leinwand LA, Bjorkman K, Mansfeldt C. 2021. High-resolution within-sewer SARS-  
666 CoV-2 surveillance facilitates informed intervention. medRxiv  
667 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.24.21257632>.

668  
669 Rose C, Parker A, Jefferson B, Cartmell E. 2015. The characterization of feces and urine: a  
670 review of the literature to inform advanced treatment technology. *Crit Rev Env Sci Tec* 45(17):  
671 1827-1879.

672  
673 Schilling J, Tränckner J. 2020. Estimation of wastewater discharges by means of OpenStreetMap  
674 data. *Water* 12(3): 628.

675  
676 Scott LC, Aubee A, Babahaji L, Vigil K, Tims S, Aw TG. 2021. Targeted wastewater  
677 surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 on a University Campus for COVID-19 outbreak detection and  
678 mitigation. *Environ Res* 200: 111374.

679  
680 Sikorski MJ, Levine MM. 2020. Reviving the “Moore swab”: a classic environmental  
681 surveillance tool involving filtration of flowing surface water and sewage water to recover  
682 typhoidal *Salmonella* bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 86(13): e00060-20.

683

684 Smith T, Cassell G, Bhatnagar A. 2021. Wastewater surveillance can have a second act in  
685 COVID-19 vaccine distribution. *JAMA Health For* 2(1): e201616.

686

687 Travis SA, Best AA, Bochniak KS, Dunteman ND, Fellingner J, Folkert PD, Koberna T, Kopek  
688 BG, Krueger BP, Pestun J, Pikaart MJ, Sabo C, Schuitema AJ. 2021. Providing a safe, in-person,  
689 residential college experience during the COVID-19 pandemic. medRxiv  
690 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.02.21252746>.

691

692 University Affairs. 2021. COVID-19: updates for Canada's universities.  
693 <https://www.universityaffairs.ca/news/news-article/covid-19-updates-for-canadas-universities/>.  
694 Accessed May 26, 2021.

695

696 Volz E, Mishra S, Chand M, Barrett JC, Johnson R, Geidelberg L, Hinsley WR, Laydon DJ,  
697 Dabrera G, O'Toole Á, Amato R, Ragonnet-Cronin M, Harrison I, Jackson B, Ariani CV, Boyd  
698 O, Loman NJ, McCrone JT, Gonçalves S, Jorgensen D, Myers R, Hill V, Jackson DK,  
699 Gaythorpe K, Groves N, Sillitoe J, Kwiatkowski DP, The COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK)  
700 Consortium, Flaxman S, Ratmann O, Bhatt S, Hopkins S, Gandy A, Rambaut A, Ferguson NM.  
701 2021. Assessing transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B. 1.1.7 in England. *Nature* 593(7858):  
702 266-269.

703

704 Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, Lu R, Han K, Wu G, Tan W. 2020. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in  
705 different types of clinical specimens. JAMA 323(18): 1843-1844.  
706  
707 WE-SPARK Health Institute, 2021. COVID screening platform.  
708 <https://www.wesparkhealth.com/covid-screening-platform#Dashboard>. Accessed June 18, 2021.  
709  
710 Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2021. Local COVID-19 data.  
711 <https://www.wechu.org/cv/local-updates>. Accessed June 14, 2021.  
712  
713 Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Müller MA, Niemeyer D, Jones  
714 TC, Vollmar P, Rothe C, Hoelscher M, Bleicker T, Brünink S, Schneider J, Ehmann R,  
715 Zwirgmaier K, Drosten C, Wendtner C. 2020. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients  
716 with COVID-2019. Nature 581(7809): 465-469.  
717  
718 World Health Organization, 2020. Pneumonia of unknown cause – China.  
719 <https://www.who.int/csr/don/05-january-2020-pneumonia-of-unkown-cause-china/en/>  
720  
721 Worldometer, 2020. COVID-19 Coronavirus pandemic.  
722 <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> Accessed June 19, 2021.  
723  
724 Wu F, Zhang J, Xiao A, Gu X, Lee WL, Armas F, Kauffman K, Hanage W, Matus M, Ghaeli N,  
725 Endo N, Duvallet C, Poyet M, Moniz K, Washburne AD, Erickson TB, Chai PR, Thompson J,

- 726 Alm, EJ. 2020a. SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically  
727 confirmed cases. *mSystems* 5: e00614-20.
- 728
- 729 Wu Y, Guo C, Tang L, Hong Z, Zhou J, Dong X, Yin H, Xiao Q, Tang Y, Qu X, Kuang L, Fang  
730 X, Mishra N, Lu J, Shan H, Jiang G, Huang X. 2020b. Prolonged presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral  
731 RNA in faecal samples. *Lancet Gastroenterol* 5(5): 434-435.
- 732
- 733 Xu Y, Li X, Zhu B, Liang H, Fang C, Gong Y, Guo Q, Sun X, Zhao D, Shen J, Zhang H, Liu H,  
734 Xia H, Tang J, Zhang K, Gong S. 2020. Characteristics of pediatric SARS-CoV-2 infection and  
735 potential evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding. *Nat Med* 26(4): 502-505.
- 736
- 737 Yanes-Lane M, Winters N, Fregonese F, Bastos M, Perlman-Arrow S, Campbell JR, Menzies D.  
738 2020. Proportion of asymptomatic infection among COVID-19 positive persons and their  
739 transmission potential: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 15(11): e0241536.
- 740
- 741 Zhang N, Gong Y, Meng F, Shi Y, Wang J, Mao P, Chuai X, Bi Y, Yang P, Wang F. 2020.  
742 Comparative study on virus shedding patterns in nasopharyngeal and fecal specimens of  
743 COVID-19 patients. *Sci China Life Sci* 64: 486-488.
- 744
- 745 Zhou R, Li F, Chen F, Liu H, Zheng J, Lei C, Wu X. 2020. Viral dynamics in asymptomatic  
746 patients with COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis* 96: 288-290.

747 **Figure Captions**

748 **Figure 1.** Concentration of PMMoV in wastewater from a university residence hall and as  
749 aggregate data across five WWTPs in Windsor-Essex County. Data are presented as box and  
750 whisker plots showing median gene concentrations. Vertical boxes around each median show the  
751 upper and lower quartiles whereas whiskers extend from the 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. Potential  
752 outliers are shown as discrete points.

753

754 **Figure 2.** Concentration of SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene target in wastewater superimposed on  
755 COVID-19 cases in Windsor-Essex County plotted as a histogram. N1 gene concentration is a 7-  
756 day running average of aggregate data from five WWTPs in Windsor-Essex with data weighted  
757 by population served (red line). These data are publicly available on a dashboard updated weekly  
758 (WE-SPARK Health Institute, 2021). Following deployment of passive samplers, SARS-CoV-2  
759 was detected in the residence hall sewer plotted as the ratio of gene copies (gc) of SARS-CoV-  
760 2:PMMoV (blue circles).

761



