Expert Opinion on COVID-19 Vaccination and the Use of Cladribine Tablets in Clinical Practice

Peter Rieckmann,[1] Diego Centonze,[2] Gavin Giovannoni,[3] Le H. Hua,[4] Celia Oreja-Guevara,[5,6] Daniel Selchen,[7] Per Soelberg Sørensen,[8] Patrick Vermersch,[9] Heinz Wiendl,[10] Hashem Salloukh,[11] and Bassem Yamout[12,13]

1. Center for Clinical Neuroplasticity, Medical Park Loipl, Bischofswiesen, Department of Neurology, University of Erlangen, Germany
2. Unit of Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli (IS), Italy; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8390-8545
3. Queen Mary University of London, Blizard Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK
4. Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Las Vegas, NV, USA; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0535-3978-LHH
5. Neurology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Idissc, Madrid, Spain
6. Departamento de Medicina, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9221-5716
7. University of Toronto, Division of Neurology, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada
8. Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Department of Neurology, University of Copenhagen and Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2818-3780
9. Univ. Lille, INSERM-U1172, CHU Lille, FHU Precise, Lille, France

10. Department of Neurology, Institute of Translational Neurology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany
11. Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.
12. Nehme and Therese Tohme Multiple Sclerosis Center, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2540-6651
13. Neurology Institute, Harley Street Medical Center, Abu Dhabi, UAE

Corresponding author:

Bassem Yamout. Neurology Institute, Harley Street Medical Center, Abu Dhabi, UAE. yamoutba@gmail.com. Tel: +971545525475

Keywords

Relapsing multiple sclerosis, cladribine tablets, COVID-19, vaccination, disease modifying drugs, consensus, expert opinion

Abstract

Background: Gaps in current evidence and guidance leave clinicians with unanswered questions on the use of cladribine tablets for the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) in theera of the COVID-19 pandemic, in particular relating to COVID-19 vaccination.

Objective: We describe a consensus-based programme led by international MS experts with the aim of supplementing current guidelines and treatment labels by providing timely recommendations relating to COVID-19 vaccination and the use of cladribine tablets inclinical practice.

Methods: A steering committee (SC) of 10 international MS experts identified 7 clinical questions to answer concerning the use of cladribine tablets and COVID-19 vaccination, which addressed issues relating to patient selection, timing and efficacy, and safety. Clinicalrecommendations to address each question were drafted using available evidence combined with expert opinion from the SC. An extended faculty of 28 MS experts, representing 19 countries, in addition to the SC members, voted on the recommendations. Consensus on recommendations was achieved when ≥75% of respondents expressed an agreement score of 7–9, on a 9-point scale.

Results: Consensus was achieved on all 13 recommendations. Clinical recommendations are provided on whether all patients with MS receiving cladribine tablets should be vaccinated against COVID-19, and whether they should be prioritized; the timing of vaccination arounddosing of cladribine tablets (i.e., before and after

a treatment course); and the safety of COVID-19 vaccination for these patients.

Conclusions: These expert recommendations provide timely guidance on COVID-19 vaccination in patients receiving cladribine tablets, which is relevant to everyday clinicalpractice.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has represented a global public health emergency, which has affected both acute and ongoing medical care. Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disease that requires regular management and treatment. The provision of health and social care services for MS have been significantly impacted by COVID-19, leading to treatment delays and interruptions to rehabilitation services. In some parts of the world this has affected the health and wellbeing of people with MS, including the risk of disease progression.[1-4] Until now, there have not been any efficacious therapies for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection.[5]

Vaccination against COVID-19 remains the most efficient way to protect the global population, including people with MS. Approved vaccines have demonstrated high effectiveness and acceptable safety, yet hesitation to be vaccinated against COVID-19 remains a challenge in some people with MS.[6, 7]

Cladribine tablets (MAVENCLAD®; Merck Europe B.V., The Netherlands) are a short-course, oral disease-modifying treatment (DMT) for use in MS, approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA), as well as other regulatory authorities around the world.[8-11] Cladribine is a deoxyadenosine analogue that selectively reduces B and T lymphocytes and is thought to interrupt the cascade of immune events central to the pathogenesis of MS.[9] Local and global medical societies have issued national and international guidance on the use of DMTs for MS during the COVID-19 pandemic, and on COVID-19 vaccination in patients receiving DMTs.[12-17] These

guidelines and position statements, however, leave gaps and unanswered questions, and more specific guidance for everyday clinical practice is required.

To improve the care provided to people with MS treated with cladribine tablets during the ongoing global pandemic, a consensus-based programme was developed to address unanswered questions relating to patient selection for COVID-19 vaccination, vaccine timing, efficacy, and safety. The objective of the programme was to provide timely, consensus-based, practical recommendations relating to COVID-19 vaccination in MS patients receiving cladribine tablets.

Materials and methods

The consensus programme, based on a modified Delphi methodology, took place between February and May 2021; the process is outlined in Figure 1. The methodology was used previously for a consensus paper on the use of cladribine tablets in clinical practice.[18] Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, provided funding for the project; however, had no input into the development of clinical questions nor recommendations. No company representative voted on the recommendations. A Steering Committee (SC) of 10 international MS experts led the programme, co-chaired by Peter Rieckmann and Bassem Yamout. The SC developed the following seven clinical questions to be addressed with the consensus:

1. Should all people with MS receiving cladribine tablets be vaccinated against COVID-19?
2. Should people with MS treated with cladribine tablets be prioritized for COVID-19 vaccination?

3. Should all people with MS on cladribine tablets receive a vaccination against COVID-19 at the time it is offered?
4. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are about to start treatment with cladribine tablets (first course in Year 1 or second course in Year 2)?
5. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are already undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets (post-course 1, or post-course 2)?
6. Based on currently available data, are the COVID-19 vaccines safe for use in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets?
7. Will COVID-19 vaccination lead to exacerbation of MS symptoms or relapse while undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets?

A comprehensive literature review was performed using the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) framework for each of the seven questions. The level of evidence was assessed and agreed by the SC using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) level of evidence ratings scale.[19] After reviewing the available evidence, the SC drafted clinical recommendations to answer the questions.

An extended faculty (EF) of MS experts were invited to vote on the clinical recommendations. A large number of experts were invited to take part in the project as EF, with the aim of achieving an approximate participation rate of 25%. Eighty-two experts from twenty-seven countries were invited. The final EF comprised twenty-eight international experts from nineteen countries (a participation rate of 34%). Clinical recommendations

were voted on by the SC and EF members (N=38). Consensus was achieved when ≥75% of respondents agreed in the range 7–9 (on a 9-point scale). Each statement/recommendation was assigned a strength score (i.e., the median score) and a level of consensus, defined as the percentage of votes with a score of 7–9.[18, 20-24]

Results

In total, 13 recommendations were drafted by the SC for voting. Consensus was achieved on all 13 of these recommendations, with 12 recommendations achieving consensus in the range of 90–100% and 1 recommendation achieving consensus in the range of 80–90%. All but two EF members voted on all questions. These abstentions were due to technical issues with the online platform. Therefore, the number of experts voting on each recommendation was either 36 or 38. A summary of the available evidence for each question is given below, and the clinical recommendations for each question provided in Tables 1–3. For those recommendations where some faculty members voted 6 or less, their reasons for doing so are listed in Supplementary Tables S1–S3.

Patient Selection

Question 1. Should all people with MS receiving cladribine tablets be vaccinated against COVID-19?

There is a high level of evidence in the literature for the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in the general population. In Phase 3 randomized controlled trials, vaccines (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, Gam-COVID-Vac (Sputnik V), mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2) demonstrated between 62–95% efficacy at preventing COVID-19 illness.[25-28] Furthermore, real-world evidence of nationwide

mass vaccination in Israel suggests that the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine is effective for a wide range of COVID-19–related outcomes, including symptomatic COVID-19, hospitalisation, severe disease, and death.[6] While the majority of people with MS are not thought to be at a greater risk of COVID-19 disease than the general population, certain exceptions to this exist. In general, older age, male sex, higher Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), longer MS duration, presence of comorbidities, progressive MS course, recent methylprednisolone use, or therapy with an anti-CD20 agent were all risk factors for a more severe COVID-19 disease course.[29, 30] Similar findings were also observed in a large cohort analysis of 12 data-sources from 28 countries, (n=2340 patients).[31] Limited data are available on outcomes from SARS-Cov-2 infected MS patients on cladribine tablets. However, analysis of the first 272 cases of COVID-19 (confirmed or suspected) reported to the Merck Global Patient Safety Database (as of 15 January 2021) indicate that people with MS receiving cladribine tablets are generally not at greater risk of serious disease and/or a more-severe outcome with COVID-19 compared with the general population and other patients with MS who acquired COVID-19.[32] Clinical recommendations to address Question 1 are provided in Table 1.

Question 2. Should people with MS treated with cladribine tablets be prioritized for COVID-19 vaccination?

Data from a small number of people with MS receiving cladribine tablets indicated that they are not generally at greater risk of serious COVID-19 disease and/or a more-severe outcome.[32] High-risk subgroups of people with MS have been reported in the literature, which may impact risk, regardless of DMTs. Age, EDSS, and obesity were independent risk factors for severe COVID-19 disease in a multicentre, retrospective, observational cohort

study investigating clinical outcomes in 347 patients.[33] People with MS not on DMTs, with previous cardiovascular diseases, or with a severe degree of disability were identified as more at risk of severe COVID-19 disease in a review of 873 cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in people with MS.[34] The clinical recommendation to address Question 2 is provided in Table 1.

Timing and efficacy

Question 3: Should all people with MS on cladribine tablets receive a vaccination against COVID-19 at the time it is offered?

Whilst there are no controlled, clinical studies specifically investigating vaccine responses in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets, there are data from a small number of patients in the post-approval MAGNIFY-MS and CLOCK-MS trials.[35, 36] In the MAGNIFY-MS study (NCT03364036) some patients received vaccinations during the trial as standard of care, this presented an opportunity to investigate vaccine responses. Retrospective analysis (n=15) demonstrated that seroprotective antibody levels against varicella zoster and seasonal influenza were maintained or increased for at least 6 months (time of follow-up) independent of lymphocyte counts and irrespective of vaccine timing relative to dosing with cladribine tablets.[36] In the ongoing CLOCK-MS study (NCT03963375) a small subset of enrolled patients who had received treatment with cladribine tablets and planned to receive the influenza vaccine as part of standard care were enrolled in the vaccine sub-study.[35] Initial findings (n=4) show seroprotective influenza antibody levels at four weeks post-vaccination in MS patients taking cladribine tablets, independent of lymphocyte counts (including two patients – one with grade 1 and one with grade 2 lymphopenia – at the time of vaccination).[35] Recently updated findings from 272 reported cases of COVID-19 among cladribine tablets recipients found no greater risk of serious and/or severe outcomes

compared to the general population with a total of 15% (40/272) of patients experiencing serious COVID-19.[32] Data on immune cell responses following treatment with cladribine tablets may have relevance to the timing of vaccinations. Cladribine tablets preferentially reduce cells of the adaptive immune system, while leaving the innate immune system relatively spared. There is a preferential reduction in lymphocyte subpopulations, followed by lymphocyte count recovery (immune reconstitution). The lowest absolute lymphocyte counts occurred approximately 2–3 months after the start of each treatment course and were lower with each additional treatment course. The majority of cladribine tablet–treated patients in the Phase 3 trials experienced grade 1 or 2 lymphopenia; grade 3 lymphopenia was observed in approximately 25% of patients, and < 1% of patients experienced grade 4 lymphopenia at any time over 2 years of treatment. In patients receiving cladribine tablets, no increase in opportunistic infections was shown.[37] Recent data from the MAGNIFY biomarker study showed rapid repopulation of naïve B-cells and the sparing of IgG up to 1 year after cladribine tablet treatment.[36] Clinical recommendations to address Question 3 are provided in Table 2.

Question 4. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are about to start treatment with cladribine tablets (first course in Year 1 or second course in Year 2)?

In the context of vaccination timing, it is important to understand the unique posology of cladribine tablets. The recommended cumulative dose of cladribine tablets is 3.5 mg/kg body weight over 2 years, administered as one treatment course of 1.75 mg/kg per year. Each treatment course consists of 2 treatment weeks, one at the beginning of the first month and one at the beginning of the second month of the respective treatment year.

Each treatment week consists of 4 or 5 days on which a patient receives 10 mg or 20 mg (one or two tablets) as a single daily dose, depending on bodyweight. After completing two treatment courses, no further cladribine treatment is required in Years 3 and 4.[38] The current label for cladribine tablets states that treatment should not be initiated within 4 to 6 weeks after vaccination with live or attenuated live vaccines, however, none of the approved COVID-19 vaccines contain live or attenuated live virus.[38] There are few data regarding timing of vaccinations before starting cladribine tablets. In the small retrospective MAGNIFY-MS analysis, three patients received vaccination against varicella-zoster virus (VZV) before treatment with cladribine tablets. Seroprotective VZV titres were maintained over 6 months post-initiation with cladribine tablets, despite lymphocyte count reduction.[36] Clinical recommendations to address Question 4 are provided in Table 2.

Question 5. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are already undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets (post course 1, or post course 2)?

There are no controlled, clinical studies specifically investigating vaccine responses in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets. There are data from the small number of patients in the MAGNIFY-MS and CLOCK-MS trials, described earlier.[36,35] A positive COVID-19 serology test was reported for 17/17 patients with MS receiving cladribine tablets; however, the timing around infection and dosing with cladribine tablets in these patients is unknown.[32] As described earlier, data on the immune cell responses following treatment with cladribine tablets, may have relevance to the timing of vaccinations.[37] The National Multiple Sclerosis Society recently advised that currently available limited data does not suggest that timing of the vaccine in relation to cladribine tablets dosing is likely to make a significant difference in

vaccine response,[16]while other national guidelines suggest to wait around 3 months before administering the vaccine.[15] The clinical recommendation to address Question 5 is provided in Table 2.

Safety

Question 6. Based on currently available data, are the COVID-19 vaccines safe for use in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets?

There is a high level of evidence in the literature for the safety and tolerability of COVID-19 vaccines in the general population. In Phase 3 randomized controlled trials, vaccines (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, Gam-COVID-Vac [Sputnik V], mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2) were generally well-tolerated and demonstrated acceptable safety profiles.[25-28] However**,** at the time of voting, there was no available evidence investigating the safety of COVID-19 vaccination in patients with MS, nor specific analyses looking at patients on cladribine tablets. Clinical recommendations to address Question 6 are provided in Table 3.

Question 7. Will COVID-19 vaccination lead to exacerbation of MS symptoms or relapse while undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets?

Recent (as of 5 March 2021) global advice from the MS International Federation (MSIF) for the general MS population suggest that "*there is no evidence that people with MS are at higher risk of complications from the mRNA, non-replicating viral vector, inactivated virus or protein COVID-19 vaccines, compared to the general population",* and that these types of vaccine *"are not likely to trigger an MS relapse or to worsen chronic MS symptoms."*[12] The clinical recommendation to address Question 7 is provided in Table 3.

Discussion

The clinical recommendations described here provide practical advice on COVID-19 vaccination for people with MS treated with cladribine tablets. A faculty of 38 MS experts from 19 countries voted on the recommendations, lending a quality and strength to their relevance. All but one recommendation achieved 90–100% consensus among the faculty. The recommendation achieving the lowest level of consensus was around the timing of vaccination in patients receiving cladribine tablets: CR10 "*If already undergoing a course of cladribine tablets, people with MS should receive COVID-19 vaccination when available and offered, regardless of lymphocyte counts or timing of the subsequent dose.*" The reasons of some faculty members for not strongly agreeing with this statement are provided in Supplementary Table 2. Three of the EF experts commented that the timing of vaccination would depend on the level of lymphocytes or reconstitution. This is a topic of debate in the MS community because most DMTs reduce immune cells, including lymphocytes. Nevertheless, it is important to note that this recommendation still met the criteria for consensus. At present, there is no absolute total lymphocyte count that predicts an antibody response to a vaccine or, for that matter, the outcome of COVID-19 infection.[33, 39-42] Reasons for this are complex and may relate to the fact that circulating lymphocytes represent only 2–3% of the total lymphocyte pool and do not reflect differences within the T-cell pool, for example comparing the potential naïve and responsive T-cells.[43, 44] In addition, functional factors, such as immunosenescence, are not reflected in the total lymphocyte counts.[44, 45] Other relevant factors that predict an antibody response are relevant to the lymph node or secondary lymphoid organ function, in particular the integrity and functioning of germinal centres.[44, 46]

An important topic of discussion among the SC members was whether to include the need for a COVID-19 antibody titre check following vaccination. It is the opinion of the SC members that there could be some level of protection after COVID-19 vaccination in the absence of a robust humoral B cell response, mediated by T-cells; however, these responses are more difficult to measure and standardise. In general, age is an important factor related to antibody production. In people with MS, older patients were at a greater risk of serious COVID-19, including those without comorbidities.[29–31, 33] Lastly, another complicating factor is the need to standardize testing, since different test assays may report different antibody response results.[47, 48]

One of the weaknesses of the consensus was the limited availability of data, i.e., specific studies looking at SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses or COVID-19 vaccination in patients with MS. Large, controlled trials on vaccination are needed, particularly with respect to people with MS on DMTs. In the time since voting took place, new evidence has been published in these areas.[49-51] The humoral response to the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine was assessed in 125 people with MS; of these 23 were receiving treatment with cladribine tablets (median time from last treatment dose to vaccination was 7.1 months). All patients treated with cladribine tablets were efficiently vaccinated and developed a protective SARS-CoV-2 antibody titre, even with vaccination as early as 4.4 months after the last treatment dose.[50] It is reassuring to see that people with MS receiving cladribine tablets mounted good immune responses to COVID-19 vaccination; however, it is important to note that the sample size in this study was small, and additional trials with a larger number of patients are needed. It should be noted that, at the time of writing, no data on the clinical effectiveness

of the COVID-19 vaccines are available from people with MS whether treated with DMTs or not.

The safety of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine was assessed in a cohort of adults with MS; 555 patients received the first dose and 435 received the second dose, of which 414 (74.6%) and 326 (74.9%), were being treated with immunomodulatory drugs, respectively. The most common reported adverse events were local pain at the injection site, fatigue, headaches, muscle or joint pain, and flu-like symptoms manifested as fever, chills, or a combination of both. No increased risk of relapse activity was noted over a median follow-up of 20 and 38 days after first and second vaccine doses, respectively. The rate of patients with acute relapse was 2.1% and 1.6% following the first and second doses, respectively, similar to the rate in non-vaccinating patients during the corresponding period.[49] It is encouraging that in this study, people with MS did not incur more frequent or severe adverse events in response to COVID-19 vaccination compared with the general population; however, additional studies with more patients are required.

Further recently published data of interest includes analysis of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in a large cohort of MS patients from Amsterdam.[51] Of 546 patients, 64 (11.7%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Within this cohort, 74.2% of patients were receiving DMTs, and SARS-CoV-2 antibodies were less prevalent in patients using injectable drugs and in patients treated with ocrelizumab.[51]

Published data from these new studies lend support to at least some of the recommendations described here.

Conclusion

These consensus-based recommendations represent the opinions and perspectives of a group of international experts within the field of MS. A thorough review of the literature was performed, and the experts carefully considered recent research when formulating their responses. The responses to the questions posed in this expert opinion programme are expected to cover the most significant and regularly addressed aspects of COVID-19 vaccination for patients with MS taking cladribine tablets.

These recommendations were developed to provide timely guidance in the absence of much published data on COVID-19 vaccination in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets, and to reflect the knowledge, evidence, and opinion in 2021. Updates to these recommendations will be made as new evidence emerges. This expert opinion is expected to be a concise and thorough resource for medical professionals, with the aim of assisting them in making informed decisions regarding COVID-19 vaccinations in this group of patients in order to improve their standard of care.

Acknowledgements

Emma East and Stephanie Wasek of Bedrock Healthcare Communications provided editorial assistance, funded by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

The SC would like to thank all the experts who contributed their knowledge to this programme by voting on the draft recommendations. Listed below are 27 EF members who are happy to be acknowledged in this document:

Aaron Boster[1], Wallace Brownlee[2], Elisabeth G. Celius[3], Marinella Clerico[4], Dominique Dive[5], Juha-Pekka Erälinna[6], Mark Freedman[7], Claudio Gasperini[8], Nikolaos Grigoriadis[9], Eva Kubala Havrdová[10], Barry Hendin[11], Dimitrios Karussis[12], Natalia Khachanova[13], Joanna Kitley[14], Barbara Kornek[15], Melinda Magyari[16], Mathias Mäurer17, Virginia Meca-Lallana[18], Marcello Moccia[19], Ester Moral[20], David Paling[21], Marco Salvetti[22], Klaus Schmierer[23], Aksel Siva[24], Maria-Pia Sormani[25], Natalia Totolyan[26], Bart Van Wijmeersch[27]

The Boster Center for Multiple Sclerosis, Columbus, Ohio[1], National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, London, UK[2], Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway[3], Clinical and Biological Sciences Department, University of Torino, Torino (IT)[4], University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium[5], Mehiläinen NEO, Turku, Finland[6], University of Ottawa, Department of Medicine, Sr. Scientist, the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada[7], Department of Neuroscience S. Camillo Forlanini Hospital, Rome, Italy[8], B' Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece[9], Department of Neurology and Center for Clinical Neuroscience, First Medical Faculty, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic[10], University of Arizona, Phoenix Arizona USA[11], Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Organization, Jerusalem, Israel[12], Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russia[13], Wessex Neurological Centre, Southampton, England[14], Medical University of Vienna, Department of Neurology, Vienna, Austria[15], Danish Multiple Sclerosis Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark[16], Klinikum Würzburg Mitte, Würzburg, Germany[17], Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Madrid, Spain[18], University of Naples, Naples, Italy[19], Moises Broggi Hospital, Barcelona, Spain[20], Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK[21], Sapinza University, Rome, Italy[22], Queen Mary University of London, London, UK[23], Istanbul University Cerrahpasa School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey[24], Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Italy[25], Department of Neurology, 1st Saint Petersburg Pavlov State Medical University, Saint Petersburg, Russia[26], Universitair MS Centrum Hasselt - Pelt, Belgium, Hasselt-Pelt, Belgium[27]

Conflicts of interest

PR has received honoraria for lectures/steering committee meetings from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Biogen Idec, Bayer Schering Pharma, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Sanofi-Aventis, Genzyme, Novartis, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, and Serono Symposia International Foundation.

DC is an Advisory Board member of Almirall, Bayer Schering, Biogen, GW Pharmaceuticals, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva and received honoraria for speaking or consultation fees from Almirall, Bayer Schering, Biogen, GW Pharmaceuticals, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva. He is also the principal investigator in clinical trials for Bayer Schering, Biogen, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Mitsubishi, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva. His preclinical and clinical research was supported by grants from Bayer Schering, Biogen Idec, Celgene, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme and Teva.

GG has received speaker honoraria and consulting fees from AbbVie, Actelion, Atara Bio, Almirall, Bayer Schering Pharma, Biogen Idec, FivePrime, GlaxoSmithKline, GW Pharma, Merck & Co., Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Pfizer Inc, Protein Discovery Laboratories, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd, Sanofi-Genzyme, UCB, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Ironwood, and Novartis; and has received research support unrelated to this study from Biogen Idec, Merck & Co., Novartis, and Ironwood.

LHH has received speaking and consulting fees from Biogen, Genzyme, Genentech, Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, and EMD Serono (an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

C.O-G. has received speaker and consulting fees from Biogen, Celgene, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme and Teva**.**

DS has received grants and/or personal fees from Teva, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Roche, Genzyme, Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen Inc., and Bayer HealthCare.

PSS has served on advisory boards for Biogen, Merck Healthcare KGaA, Novartis, Teva, MedDay Pharmaceuticals, and GSK; on steering committees or independent data monitoring boards in trials sponsored by Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Teva, GSK, and Novartis; has received speaker honoraria from Biogen Idec, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Teva, Sanofi-Aventis, Genzyme, Celgene, and Novartis. His department has received research support from Biogen, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Teva, Novartis, Roche, and Genzyme.

PV has received honoraria or consulting fees from Biogen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Servier, Novartis, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Celgene, Roche, MedDay and Almirall; and research support from Biogen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Bayer, and Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany).

HW is a member of Scientific Advisory Boards/Steering Committees for Bayer Healthcare, Biogen Idec, Sanofi Genzyme, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Roche, and Teva. He received speaker honoraria and travel support from Bayer Vital GmbH, Bayer

Schering AG, Biogen, CSL Behring, EMD Serono, Fresenius Medical Care, Genzyme, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Omniamed, Novartis, and Sanofi Aventis and Teva. He received compensation as a consultant from Biogen Idec, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Omniamed, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme. He has received research supports from Bayer Healthcare, Bayer Vital, Biogen Idec, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Sanofi US, and Teva Pharma as well as German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), German Research Foundation (DFG), Else Kröner Fresenius Foundation, Fresenius Foundation, Hertie Foundation, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, NRW Ministry of Education and Research, Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Studies (ISKF) Muenster, RE Children's Foundation.

HS is an employee of Ares Trading, SA, Eysins, Switzerland, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany**.**

BY has received honoraria for lectures and advisory boards from Bayer, Biogen, Genpharm, Genzyme, Merck- KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) and Novartis; and has received research grants from Bayer, Biogen, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis and Pfizer.

Funding

This work was supported by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany who provided funding for the project. The authors received no financial support for the authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Figures

Figure 1: Schematic of the modified Delphi process for achieving consensus



EF, extended faculty; SC, steering committee

Tables

Table 1. Consensus recommendations to address clinical questions on patient selection for COVID-19 vaccination

Q1. Should all people with MS receiving cladribine tablets be vaccinated against COVID-19? (Level of evidence: high for the general population; low for specific population of people with MS being treated with cladribine tablets)		
Consensus recommendation	**Strength of recommendation‡**	**Level of consensus¶**
CR1: All people with MS being treated with cladribine tablets should be vaccinated against COVID-19 as soon as possible, unless they have a contraindication.	9 (8.8)	 N=38/38
CR2: In general, people with MS are not more likely to contract COVID-19 or to experience a more-severe COVID-19 course; however, limited data suggest that patients on some MS therapies are at-risk of more severe COVID-19 outcomes. • Early data suggest that people with MS receiving cladribine tablets are generally not at greater risk of serious disease and/or a severe outcome from COVID-19 compared with the general population, and other people with MS who acquired COVID-19.	8 (7.9)	 N=36/38
CR3: People with MS receiving treatment with cladribine tablets who have already experienced infection with SARS-CoV-2 should still be vaccinated, according to their national guidelines, after resolution of COVID-19 symptoms.	9 (8.4)	 N=35/38
Q2. Should people with MS treated with cladribine tablets be prioritized for COVID-19 vaccination? Level of evidence: high (risk-factor subgroups are well-reported in the literature)		

CR4: People with MS should be prioritized for COVID-19 vaccination on an individual basis depending on risk factors, including disability, age, comorbidities that increase the risk for severe COVID-19 course, and whether they are about to start treatment with cladribine tablets.	9 (8.3)	 N=37/38

[‡]median score on a 1–9 scale (mean score in brackets)

[¶]percentage of votes with 7–9 on a 9-point scale

CR, clinical recommendation; MS, multiple sclerosis

Table 2. Consensus recommendations to address clinical questions on timing and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccination

Q3. Should all people with MS on cladribine tablets receive a vaccination against COVID-19 at the time it is offered? (Level of evidence: moderate)		
Consensus recommendation	**Strength of recommendation‡**	**Level of consensus¶**
CR5: The risks of COVID-19 outweigh the risks of vaccination in people with MS who are being treated with cladribine tablets.	9 (8.7)	 N=36/36
CR6: Treatment with cladribine tablets is unlikely to have a major impact on vaccine responses. • Some degree of immunity is preferable to no immunity in individuals who may have a reduced response to a vaccine.	8 (7.8)	 N=33/36
CR7: The length of protection offered by COVID-19 vaccines is currently unclear. Local guidelines should be consulted regarding repeat vaccination.	9 (8.6)	 N=36/36
Q4. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are about to start treatment with cladribine tablets (first course in Year 1 or second course in Year 2)?[a] (Level of evidence: low)		
CR8: In general, increased risk of MS disease worsening is the greater threat to long-term health in people with MS than SARS-CoV-2 infection. As a result, treatment initiation with cladribine tablets and long-term stability of MS should be prioritized over vaccination. • The risk of COVID-19 should be balanced against the benefit of treatment with cladribine tablets,	9 (8.0)	 N=33/38

taking into consideration the local infection rates versus the patient's clinical condition, including disability, age, comorbidities, and other prognostic factors.		
CR9: Delay treatment or retreatment with cladribine tablets until 2–4 weeks after completing vaccination against COVID-19*, if possible, and depending on disease activity.	8 (8.0)	 N=33/36
Q5. When should a person with MS be vaccinated against COVID-19 if they are already undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets (post course 1, or post course 2)?[a] (Level of evidence: low)		
CR10: If already undergoing a course of cladribine tablets, people with MS should receive COVID-19 vaccination when available and offered, regardless of lymphocyte counts or timing of the subsequent dose.	8 (7.8)	 N=30/36

[‡]median score on a 1–9 scale (mean score in brackets)

[¶]percentage of votes with 7–9 on a 9-point scale

[a]The recommended cumulative dose of cladribine tablets is 3.5 mg/kg body weight over 2 years, administered as 1 treatment course of 1.75 mg/kg per year. Each treatment course consists of 2 treatment weeks, one at the beginning of the first month and one at the beginning of the second month of the respective treatment year. Each treatment week consists of 4 or 5 days on which a patient receives 10 mg or 20 mg (one or two tablets) as a single daily dose, depending on body weight. Following completion of the 2 treatment courses, no further cladribine treatment is required in years 3 and 4 (Mavenclad SmPC).

*Completing vaccination means having received all doses, depending on the approved vaccine used.

CR, clinical recommendation; MS, multiple sclerosis; Q, question.

Table 3: Consensus recommendations to address clinical questions on safety of COVID-19 vaccination

Q6. Based on currently available data, are the COVID-19 vaccines safe for use in people with MS receiving cladribine tablets? (Level of evidence: high for the general population; low for specific population of people with MS being treated with cladribine tablets)		
Consensus recommendation	**Strength of recommendation‡**	**Level of consensus¶**
CR11: Any approved and available COVID-19 vaccines that are not live- nor live-attenuated virus are safe to use in people with MS who are being treated with cladribine tablets.[b,c]	9 (8.3)	 N=35/36
CR12: Upon completion of vaccination, social distancing and other protective measures should be exercised as recommended by local, regional, or national authorities.	9 (8.8)	 N=36/36
Q7. Will COVID-19 vaccination lead to exacerbation of MS symptoms or relapse while undergoing treatment with cladribine tablets? (Level of evidence: very low)		
CR13: There is currently no available evidence to indicate that vaccination against COVID-19 will lead to an MS relapse or permanent disease worsening. • Transient worsening of MS symptoms may occur as a result of COVID-19 vaccination (e.g., in the setting of fever) but this should not be confused with worsening of disease. • The overall benefits of vaccination outweigh transient worsening of MS symptoms.	9 (8.6)	 N=36/36

‡median score on a 1–9 scale (mean score in brackets)

¶percentage of votes with 7–9 on a 9-point scale

[b]Treatment with cladribine tablets should not be initiated within 4 to 6 weeks after vaccination with live or attenuated live vaccines because of a risk of active vaccine infection. Vaccination with live or attenuated live vaccines should be avoided during and after cladribine treatment as long as the patient's white blood cell counts are not within normal limits (Mavenclad SmPC).

[c]As of April 2021, there are no live or live-attenuated COVID-19 vaccines in use.

CR, clinical recommendation; MS, multiple sclerosis; Q, question.

References

1. Manacorda T, Bandiera P, Terzuoli F, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on persons with multiple sclerosis: Early findings from a survey on disruptions in care and self-reported outcomes. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2020. Epub ahead of printe 19 December 2020. DOI: 10.1177/1355819620975069.

2. Mateen FJ, Rezaei S, Alakel N, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on U.S. and Canadian neurologists' therapeutic approach to multiple sclerosis: a survey of knowledge, attitudes, and practices. *J Neurol* 2020; 267: 3467–3475.

3. Moss BP, Mahajan KR, Bermel RA, et al. Multiple sclerosis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26:1163–1171.

4. Vogel AC, Schmidt H, Loud S, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the health care of> 1,000 People living with multiple sclerosis: A cross-sectional study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 46: 102512.

5. Baron SA, Devaux C, Colson P, et al. Teicoplanin: an alternative drug for the treatment of COVID-19? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020; 55:105944.

6. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide mass vaccination setting. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 1412–1423

7. Ehde DM, Roberts MK, Herring TE, et al. Willingness to obtain COVID-19 vaccination in adults with multiple sclerosis in the United States. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 49: 102788.

8. European Medicines Agency. MAVENCLAD. Assessment Report, https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/mavenclad-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf (2017, accessed 14 May 2021).

9. Deeks ED. Cladribine Tablets: A Review in Relapsing MS. *CNS Drugs* 2018; 32: 785–796.

10. Giovannoni G, Comi G, Cook S, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of oral cladribine for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med 2010*; 362: 416–426.

11. Giovannoni G, Soelberg Sorensen P, Cook S, et al. Safety and efficacy of cladribine tablets in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: Results from the randomized extension trial of the CLARITY study. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24: 1594–1604.

12. MS International Federation. Global COVID-19 advice for people with MS, http://www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/March-2021-MSIF-Global-advice-on-COVID-19-for-people-with-MS-FINAL.pdf (2021, accessed 14 May 2021).

13. Portaccio E, Fonderico M, Hemmer B, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on multiple sclerosis care and management: Results from the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis survey. *Mult Scler* 2021: 13524585211005339.

14. MS Society. COVID-19 coronavirus and MS treatments, https://www.mssociety.org.uk/about-ms/treatments-and-therapies/disease-modifying-therapies/covid-19-coronavirus-and-ms (2021, accessed 14 May 2021).

15. MS Society. MS Society Medical Advisers consensus statement on MS treatments and COVID-19 vaccines, https://www.mssociety.org.uk/what-we-do/news/ms-society-medical-advisers-release-consensus-statement-covid-19-vaccines (2021, accessed 23 May 2021).

16. National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Timing MS Medications with COVID-19 Vaccines, https://www.nationalmssociety.org/coronavirus-covid-19-information/multiple-sclerosis-and-coronavirus/covid-19-vaccine-guidance/Timing-MS-Medications-with-COVID-19-Vaccines (30 March 2021, accessed 14 May 2021).

17. Wolf A and Alvarez E. COVID-19 Vaccination in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis on Disease-Modifying Therapy. Neurol Clin Pract. Epub ahead of print 14 April 2021. DOI: 10.1212/cpj.0000000000001088.

18. Sørensen PS, Centonze D, Giovannoni G, et al. Expert opinion on the use of cladribine tablets in clinical practice. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2020; 13: 1756286420935019.

19. Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 401–406.

20. Boguniewicz M, Alexis AF, Beck LA, et al. Expert perspectives on management of moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis: a multidisciplinary consensus addressing current and emerging therapies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2017; 5: 1519–1531.

21. Brown TT, Hoy J, Borderi M, et al. Recommendations for evaluation and management of bone disease in HIV. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 1242–1251.

22. Ferrante M, Karmiris K, Newnham E, et al. Physician perspectives on unresolved issues in the use of conventional therapy in Crohn's disease: results from an international survey and discussion programme. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012; 6: 116–131.

23. Mrowietz U, de Jong EM, Kragballe K, et al. A consensus report on appropriate treatment optimization and transitioning in the management of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2014; 28: 438–453.

24. Papay P, Ignjatovic A, Karmiris K, et al. Optimising monitoring in the management of Crohn's disease: a physician's perspective. *J Crohns Colitis* 2013; 7: 653–669.

25. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Single-dose administration and the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 881–891.

26. Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Shcheblyakov DV, et al. Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: an interim

analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 671–681.

27. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N Eng J Med* 2021; 384: 403–416.

28. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383: 2603–2615.

29. Sormani MP, De Rossi N, Schiavetti I, et al. Disease-modifying therapies and coronavirus disease 2019 severity in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2021; 89: 780–789.

30. Laroni A, Schiavetti I, Sormani MP, et al. COVID-19 in patients with multiple sclerosis undergoing disease-modifying treatments. *Mult Scler J* 2020: 1352458520971817.

31. Simpson-Yap S, De Brouwer E, Kalincik T, et al. Associations of DMT therapies with COVID-19 severity in multiple sclerosis. medRxiv 2021. DOI: 10.1101/2021.02.08.21251316.

32. Jack D, Damian D, Nolting A, et al. COVID-19 in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with cladribine tablets: An update. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 51: 102929.

33. Louapre C, Collongues N, Stankoff B, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Multiple Sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 1079–1088.

34. Mohn N, Konen FF, Pul R, et al. Experience in Multiple Sclerosis Patients with COVID-19 and Disease-Modifying Therapies: A Review of 873 Published Cases. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9: 4067.

35. Wu GF, Boschert U, Hayward B, et al. Evaluating the Impact of Cladribine Tablets on the Development of Antibody Titers: Interim Results from The CLOCK-MS Influenza Vaccine Substudy. Presented at the ACTRIMS 2021 Virtual Congress, 25–27 February 2021. https://www.merckneurology.com/content/dam/web/healthcare/biopharma/neurology/merckneurology/global/mavencladredesign/vaccination/poster/Poster-CLOCK-MS.pdf (accessed 14 May 2021).

36. Roy S and Boschert U. Analysis of Influenza and Varicella Zoster Virus Vaccine Antibody Titers in Patients with Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis Treated with Cladribine Tablets. Presented at the ACTRIMS 2021 Virtual Congress, 25–27 February 2021. https://medical.emdserono.com/content/dam/web/health-care/biopharma/web/USMI/congress-presentations/Analysis-of-Influenza-and-Varicella-Zoster-Virus-Vaccine-Antibody-Titers-in-Patients-with-Relapsing-Multiple-Sclerosis-Treated-with-Cladr.pdf (accessed 14 May 2021).

37. Rammohan K, Coyle PK, Sylvester E, et al. The Development of Cladribine Tablets for the Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis: A Comprehensive Review. *Drugs* 2020; 80: 1901–1928.

38. Medicines.org.uk. MAVENCLAD 10mg tablets. EMC, https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/34044#gref (2021, accessed 14 May 2021).

39. Celius EG. Normal antibody response after COVID-19 during treatment with cladribine. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 46: 102476.

40. De Angelis M, Petracca M, Lanzillo R, et al. Mild or no COVID-19 symptoms in cladribine-treated multiple sclerosis: Two cases and implications for clinical practice. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 45: 102452.

41. Gelibter S, Orrico M, Filippi M, et al. COVID-19 with no antibody response in a multiple sclerosis patient treated with cladribine: Implication for vaccination program? *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 49: 102775.

42. Preziosa P, Rocca MA, Nozzolillo A, et al. COVID-19 in cladribine-treated relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients: a monocentric experience. *J Neurol* 2020 Epub ahead of print. DOI: 10.1007/s00415-020-10309-4.

43. Ganusov VV and De Boer RJ. Do most lymphocytes in humans really reside in the gut? *Trends Immunol* 2007; 28: 514–518.

44. Kumar BV, Connors TJ and Farber DL. Human T Cell Development, Localization, and Function throughout Life. *Immunity* 2018; 48: 202–213.

45. Goronzy JJ and Weyand CM. Understanding immunosenescence to improve responses to vaccines. *Nat Immunol* 2013; 14: 428–436.

46. DeFranco AL. The germinal center antibody response in health and disease. *F1000Res* 2016; 5. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7717.1.

47. Rashid ZZ, Othman SN, Samat MNA, et al. Diagnostic performance of COVID-19 serology assays. *Malays J Patho*l 2020; 42: 13–21.

48. Liu G and Rusling JF. COVID-19 Antibody Tests and Their Limitations. *ACS Sens* 2021; 6: 593–612.

49. Achiron A, Dolev M, Menascu S, et al. COVID-19 vaccination in patients with multiple sclerosis: What we have learnt by February 2021. *Mult Scler* 2021; 27(6): 864-870.

50. Achiron A, Mandel M, Dreyer-Alster S, et al. Humoral immune response to COVID-19 mRNA vaccine in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with high-efficacy disease-modifying therapies. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2021; 14: 1–8.

51. van Kempen ZLE, Strijbis EMM, Al M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Adult Patients With Multiple Sclerosis in the Amsterdam MS Cohort. *JAMA Neurol* 2021. Epub ahead of print. DOI: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.1364.