Deep-sequence phylogenetics to quantify patterns of HIV transmission in

the context of a universal testing and treatment trial – BCPP/ Ya Tsie trial

Lerato E. Magosi[1*], Yinfeng Zhang[2], Tanya Golubchik[3], Victor De Gruttola[4], Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen[5], Vlad Novitsky[6,10], Janet Moore[7], Pam Bachanas[7], Tebogo Segolodi[8], Refeletswe Lebelonyane[9], Molly Pretorius Holme[6], Sikhulile Moyo[10], Joseph Makhema[10], Shahin Lockman[6,10,11], Christophe Fraser[3], Max Essex[6,10] & Marc Lipsitch[1*] on behalf of the Botswana Combination Prevention Project and the PANGEA consortium[#]

1. Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics, Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, USA

2. Division of Molecular & Genomic Pathology, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Presbyterian Shadyside, Pittsburgh, USA

3. Oxford Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Center for Health Information and Discovery, Nuffield Department of Medicine, Old Road Campus, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

4. Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, USA

5. Department of Statistics, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA

6. Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health AIDS Initiative, Department of Immunology and Infectious Disease, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, USA

7. Division of Global HIV/AIDS and TB, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA

8. HIV Prevention Research Unit, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Gaborone, Botswana

9. Ministry of Health, Republic of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana

10. Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana

11. Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston, USA

Membership of the Botswana Combination Prevention Project and the PANGEA consortium is provided in Supplementary Note 7

*Corresponding author. Email: lmagosi@hsph.harvard.edu (Lerato E. Magosi, DPhil); mlipsitc@hsph.harvard.edu (Marc Lipsitch, DPhil)

Abstract

Mathematical models predict that community-wide access to HIV testing-and-treatment can

rapidly and substantially reduce new HIV infections. Yet several large universal test-and-treat

HIV prevention trials in high-prevalence epidemics demonstrated variable reduction in

population-level incidence. To elucidate patterns of HIV spread in universal test-and-treat trials

we quantified the contribution of geographic-location, gender, age and randomized-HIV-

intervention to HIV transmissions in the 30-community Ya Tsie trial in Botswana (estimated trial

population: 175,664). Deep-sequence phylogenetic analysis revealed that most inferred HIV

transmissions within the trial occurred within the same or between neighboring communities,

and between similarly-aged partners. Transmissions into intervention communities from

control communities were more common than the reverse post-baseline (30% [12.2 – 56.7]

versus 3% [0.1 – 27.3]) than at baseline (7% [1.5 – 25.3] versus 5% [0.9 – 22.9]) compatible with

a benefit from treatment-as-prevention. Our findings suggest that population mobility patterns

are fundamental to HIV transmission dynamics and to the impact of HIV control strategies.

Introduction

The global number of new infections with HIV-1, the virus that causes AIDS, has gradually

declined since the peak in 1997, yet population-level HIV incidence remains high in East and

Southern Africa, especially among young women [1]. Despite efforts spanning four decades

there is still no successful HIV vaccine or widely administrable cure. This emphasizes the

importance of curbing new infections in order to bring the HIV epidemic under control.

Four large cluster-randomized trials were recently conducted in East (Kenya and

Uganda) and Southern Africa (Botswana, South Africa and Zambia) to evaluate the effect of

universal HIV testing and treatment in reducing population-level HIV incidence [2-5]. The trials

showed variable outcomes, ranging from modest to no reduction in the occurrence of new HIV

infections [6]. The trials were motivated by evidence that early initiation of antiretroviral

therapy substantially reduced onward transmission in people with HIV owing to suppressed

virus levels [7] and by mathematical models predicting that widely expanded access to HIV

testing and treatment would rapidly and substantially reduce occurrence of new infections [8].

The joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) had set a global target of

fewer than 500,000 new HIV infections by 2020 that was unmet. To stem the spread of new HIV

infections we need to better understand patterns of HIV transmission in high-prevalence,

generalized epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa. To obtain such understanding requires a better

grasp of where and from whom those most at risk acquire infection and to whom they are likely

to spread infection. Previous work in a high HIV burden setting in Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa

identified age-disparate sexual partnerships between younger women under 25 years and older

men as a primary contributor to HIV transmission and incidence in younger women [9].

We hypothesized that geographic proximity, gender, age and ready access to HIV care

(randomized-HIV-intervention) contribute to shaping patterns of HIV transmission. To test

these hypotheses, we performed a deep-sequence phylogenetic study of adults with HIV aged

16-64 years who consented to participate in the Botswana Ya Tsie HIV prevention trial to

quantify the contribution of the above factors to HIV transmission patterns in Botswana

adjusting for sampling heterogeneity.

Botswana is a sparsely populated, landlocked country in southern Africa, roughly the

size of France, 581,730 km^2 (224,610 square miles), with a population of about 2.3 million

people. The Kalahari Desert occupies approximately 70% of the country, and the population is

largely distributed along an eastern corridor bordered by South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe

[10]. It is a high middle-income country with a Gini index of 53.3 [11]. One in five adults in

Botswana aged 15 – 49 years were reported to be living with HIV in 2019, representing the third

highest HIV prevalence in the world after Lesotho and Eswatini [12].

Our results produced three key findings: First, most HIV transmissions inferred in the

trial population occurred between partners residing in the same community or neighboring

communities, and between partners of similar ages. Second, transmission events identified

among trial participants sampled one or more years post-baseline were consistent with a

greater flow of HIV transmissions into intervention communities from control communities

than vice versa during the trial, although dates of transmission were not identified. Third, men

and women sampled in the Botswana Ya Tsie trial whose sexual partners could be inferred

phylogenetically contributed similarly to the spread of HIV infection. Overall, our findings

suggest that population mobility patterns are central to understanding HIV transmission

dynamics and should be considered when designing and evaluating HIV control strategies.

Methods

Overview of trial population. The Ya Tsie trial or Botswana Combination Prevention Project (BCPP) was a pair-matched community randomized trial to evaluate whether an optimized combination of effective HIV treatment and prevention interventions would substantially reduce population-level HIV incidence over 29 months. The trial was conducted from 2013-2018 in 30 rural and peri-urban communities (average population size: 5,855) in the Central, South-East and North/North-East regions of Botswana, that represented an estimated total population of 175,664 (7.6% of the national population) (Fig 1). Among eligible community residents 13,131 adults aged 16-64 years gave informed consent to participate in the trial. See [3, 13] for detailed eligibility criteria. The estimated HIV prevalence among participating communities at the start of the trial was 25.7% (27,446 / 106,712) (S1 Table) (Supplementary Note 1). Communities were matched into 15 pairs according to population size and age structure, access to health services and geographic location relative to major urban centers; communities in each pair were then randomized into intervention and control arms. Intervention communities received expanded HIV testing, early initiation of antiretroviral treatment and strengthened linkage-to-care, for example, clinic referrals with appointment dates, text alert reminders of appointments and following-up with those who missed appointments. Intervention communities also received wider access to prevention of mother-to-child transmission services and male circumcision services, compared with control communities that received the standard of care.

Ethics statement. The trial was approved by the Botswana Health Research and

Development Committee, the institutional review board of the Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention and the Harvard School of Public Health Office of Regulatory Affairs and Research

Compliance; and was monitored by a data and safety monitoring board and Westat. Written

informed consent for enrollment in the trial and viral HIV genotyping was obtained from all

participants.

Dataset of people with HIV in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. To better understand HIV

transmission patterns among participating communities, residents with HIV-1 were invited to

provide a sample for viral phylogenetic analyses. This included 1) all people with HIV-1

identified during a baseline survey of 20% of households randomly sampled from each trial

community to establish an incidence follow-up cohort, 2) all people with HIV-1 identified

through annual household surveys conducted in this 20% household sample in all 30

communities during the trial, 3) all people with HIV-1 who were enrolled in a community-wide

survey in 100% of 6 communities , 3 in the intervention and 3 in the control arms (the "end of

study survey"), 4) all newly identified people with HIV-1 discovered during an initial community-

wide HIV testing and counselling campaign followed by more targeted HIV testing in the 15

intervention communities, 5) all people with HIV-1 in intervention communities who later

presented at health care facilities after being identified during community-wide testing

campaigns, and 6) all people with HIV-1 who were already receiving HIV care at health facilities

in the 15 intervention communities. Altogether, 5,114 participants consented to a blood draw

for HIV-1 viral genotyping and their viral genomes were successfully deep-sequenced,

representing the largest phylogenetics study conducted in Botswana (S1 Table).

Deep-sequencing of HIV viral genomes for phylogenetic analyses. Because most

participants were virally suppressed at the time of sampling, deep sequencing of HIV-1 viral

genomes was generally done on proviral DNA (81%, n = 4,142) [14] (Supplementary Note 2.1).

We deep-sequenced near-full length viral genomes to optimize resolution and power to detect

viral genetic clusters of similar HIV-1 infections [15] (S1 and S2 Figs). For each participant we

thus obtained mapped short reads generated with deep-sequencing that capture the HIV-1 viral

diversity in an individual and a corresponding consensus sequence that represents a summary

of the HIV-1 viral population in that individual. Consensus sequences were generated from

alignments of the mapped short reads by identifying the majority nucleotide call at each base

position along the HIV-1 genome [16].

Criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses. Our analysis was restricted to samples

from 3,832 participants (approximately 14 percent of the estimated 27,446 individuals living

with HIV in trial communities) who had available demographic information and met minimum

criteria on genome missingness ($\geq$ 6,300 nucleotides) for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses.

More precisely, individuals whose viral consensus genomes had fewer than 30% of the bases

missing beyond the first 1,000 nucleotides were retained for analysis. Sampling density is

defined as the estimated proportion of individuals living with HIV in a trial community that were

included in our sample. Intervention communities were sampled more densely, 16% (2,281 /

14,263) than control communities, 12% (1,551 / 13,183); and sampling densities among all 30

trial communities ranged from 2.7% - 36.2% (S3 Fig, S2 Table) (Supplementary Note 1). Based

on the level of sexual mixing predicted for the trial [17] -- that 21% (standard error: 2.6%) of

relationships would be out-of-community partnerships (assumed for this calculation to be with

communities outside the sampled ones) -- and a genotyping density of 14% we expected our

dataset to contain the viral transmitter of a genotyped individual in about one out of every nine

cases of HIV-1 transmission i.e. $0.14 \times (1 - 0.21)$. This estimate reflects simplifying

assumptions that: (i) for a pair of individuals whose viral sequences are genetically linked, the

probability of sampling one member of the pair is independent from that of sampling the other

member of the pair such that the probability of observing a pair that is genetically linked is the

product of the individual sampling probabilities and (ii) the sampling probabilities were equal

for men and women with HIV.

Consensus sequence phylogenetics to identify clusters of participants with genetically

similar HIV-1 infections. Two clustering algorithms, HIV Transmission Cluster Engine (HIV-

TRACE) v0.4.4 [18, 19] and cluster picker v1.2.3 [20], were used to identify clusters of

individuals whose HIV-1 viral consensus sequences were genetically similar -- suggesting they

might be members of a transmission chain [21, 22] (Supplementary Note 2.3).

Deep-sequence phylogenetics to infer the probable order of transmission events

within identified clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. To resolve the probable order

of transmission events within identified genetic clusters we performed parsimony-based

ancestral host state reconstruction of the mapped deep-sequencing short reads of participants

in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections with the phyloscanner software v1.8.0 [23-25].

More precisely, we identified probable transmission pairs within each genetic cluster and the

probable direction of transmission between them (Supplementary Notes 2.4, 2.5).

Adjustment for variable sampling rates across different demographic groups or

randomized-HIV-interventions. To estimate HIV transmission flows in the trial population

within and between different age-gender groups and locations (trial communities, trial arms

and geographic regions), accounting for variable rates of sampling, we used the method

described by Carnegie and colleagues [26] (Supplementary Note 4). The method by Carnegie

and colleagues uses a frequentist approach, alternatively a Bayesian approach could be

employed as described by Ratmann and colleagues [27].

Computation of confidence intervals for estimated HIV transmission flows in the trial

population. Simultaneous confidence intervals for estimated HIV transmission flows in the trial

population were computed at the 5% significance level with the Goodman method [28]

(Supplementary Note 4). A continuity correction factor was implemented for the Goodman

method to optimize performance in the presence of small sample sizes [29].

Results

Consensus sequence phylogenetics to identify clusters of participants with genetically similar HIV-1 infections

Most participants with HIV at time of enrollment in the trial were on anti-retroviral treatment and virally suppressed. Of the 5,114 participants who provided a sample for HIV viral genotyping, 3,178 were sampled at baseline and 1,936 were sampled post-baseline, that is, about a year or more after the end of baseline survey activities in their communities. At the time of identification, most (86%, n = 4,410) individuals with HIV-1 infection were on anti-retroviral therapy and virally suppressed ($\leq$ 400 copies per mL of blood plasma). Compared to the 2011 Botswana census population of trial communities, our data set underrepresents men (n = 1,475 *versus* 3,639) and individuals aged 16-24 years (S1 Table).

Most of the HIV viral genomes sampled were from prevalent infections, and typical genetic distances were large. Of the 5,114 participants whose HIV-1 viral genomes were deep-sequenced, 3,832 participants met minimum criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses (see methods); and of those 3,832 participants, 2,465 were sampled at baseline and 1,367 sampled post-baseline. The HIV-incidence prospective cohort of the Botswana / Ya Tsie trial comprised a random sample of 20% of households in each community to evaluate incidence of HIV-infection and adoption of the intervention during the trial. The 3,832 participants included in phylogenetic analyses comprised 85% (124 / 146) of the seroconverters in the HIV-incidence cohort; seroconverters in the HIV-incidence cohort were defined as individuals that acquired

HIV infection during the trial (S2 Table). Seven of the 2,465 baseline samples included in

phylogenetic analyses were seroconverters in the HIV-incidence cohort (i.e. individuals with a

negative-HIV test and a subsequent positive-HIV test sample over the course of less than a year,

and thus defined as baseline) compared with 117 of the 1,367 post-baseline samples. The mean

$\pm$ standard deviation pairwise genetic distance between HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus

sequences of participants included in phylogenetic analyses was, 12.5 $\pm$ 3.0% and the

maximum pairwise distance was 40% substitutions per site (S4 Fig) (Supplementary Note 2.2);

we used a threshold of 4.5% substitutions per site to identify potentially closely related HIV-1

infections. The large distances between sequences possibly reflects sparse sampling and/or the

sampling of a large percentage of trial participants relatively late in infection. HIV-1 viral

populations typically diverge over time owing in part to within-host evolution.

About 1 in 7 genotyped participants included in consensus sequence phylogenetic

analyses were assigned to genetic similarity clusters of people with closely related HIV-1

infections. We identified 236 genetic similarity clusters comprising HIV-1 viral consensus

sequences from 14% (525 / 3,832) of participants (Fig 2). This represents the union of clusters

detected with HIV-TRACE (122 clusters, comprising 283 consensus sequences) and Cluster

Picker (231 clusters, comprising 484 consensus sequences) algorithms (S7 Fig). There was

substantial overlap between the two clustering algorithms, 96% (117 / 122) of genetic clusters

found with HIV-TRACE were also detected with cluster picker (S7 Fig). Only 4% (21 / 525) of the

participants assigned to genetic similarity clusters were seroconverters from the HIV-incidence

cohort.

Most genetic clusters had few members and limited geographic spread. The sizes of

genetic clusters ranged from 2 to 12 members, with 97% (230 / 236) of clusters comprising 2 or

3 members (S7 Fig). The two largest clusters had 9 and 12 members respectively, none of whom

were seroconverters from the HIV-incidence cohort; their members resided in communities in

all three geographic regions. Overall, however, genetic clusters were generally concentrated

within geographic regions (Central-East, North/North-East and South-East) than spread out

across them (Fig 2), with 42% (100 / 236) of clusters localized to two communities (S8 Fig) and

52% (124 / 236) of the clusters entirely within a single community (S8 Fig). Moreover, genetic

clusters were relatively evenly spread across trial arms; 38% (90 / 236) were unique to

intervention communities; 33% (78 / 236) to control communities; and 29% (68 / 236) had

genetic cluster members from both intervention and control communities (Fig 2). The number

of genetic clusters identified within trial communities generally increased with sampling

density; for example, communities such as: Shakawe, Ramokgonami, Mmathethe, Gumare,

Maunatlala, and Tati Siding which had larger numbers of unique and shared clusters compared

to other trial communities were also among the most densely sampled (Fig 3, S2 Table). The

abundance of small-sized genetic similarity clusters may reflect a moderate (14%) sampling

fraction [30].

Characteristics of participants in clusters. About 70% (366 / 525) of the HIV-1 viral

consensus sequences in clusters were from women (Fig 4). This result was consistent with the

overrepresentation of women among sequenced infections (S1 Table). The ages of clustered

men and women were similar with women having a median [lower – upper quartile] age of 37.8

[29.6 – 45.1] years and men 43.0 [34.9 – 51.0] years at time of sampling. There were few

clusters (4% (10 / 236)) where all members had HIV-1 infections with unsuppressed virus (S4

Table). 54% (286 / 525) of the participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections

were also part of a baseline survey of 20% of households randomly sampled from each trial

community to establish an incidence follow-up cohort and gather information on different

socio-demographic variables including sexual risk behavior; see [3, 13] for details. Among them,

10% (30 / 286) of participants self-reported having multiple partners in the past twelve months;

73% (208 / 286) of participants self-reported a single partner in that period, 15% (44 / 286) of

participants self-reported zero partners in that period and the rest did not disclose their

number of partners. Of the 30 participants involved in multiple relationships, 90% (27 / 30)

disclosed having one other sexual partner, and 10% (3 / 30) reported having two other sexual

partners in addition to their primary sexual partner.

297   **Deep-sequence phylogenetics to infer the probable order of transmission events within**

298   **identified clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections**

299

300   We identified 153 highly supported probable source-recipient pairs within 236 clusters

301   of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Seventy-one were same-sex linkages between women (n

302   = 65) or men (n = 6), and the remaining were male-female pairs (n = 82). Considering that the

303   predominant mode of HIV-1 transmission in Botswana and most of southern Africa is through

304   heterosexual contact, that direct transmission between women is rare [31], same-sex pairs

305   were presumed to be members of transmission chains with unsampled intermediates. We used

306   the probability of inferring a phylogenetically-linked directed male-male pair in the sample to

307   calibrate an upper bound on the false-discovery rate of inferring direct transmission between

308   males and females in phylogenetically-linked male-female pairs (Supplementary Note 3); the

309   estimated number of linked male-female pairs in the sample with unsampled intermediates

310   was approximately 30, corresponding to a false discovery rate of 36% (30 / 82). This estimated

311   false positive rate is likely inflated given that two individuals would need to be missing from the

312   sequenced sample to incorrectly infer transmission in a male-female pair, whereas only a single

313   female would need to be missing to erroneously infer transmission in a male-male pair. As

314   indicated earlier (see methods section on criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses), we

315   expected our dataset to contain the HIV-1 viral sequence of the transmitter for 11% (0.14 x (1 –

316   0.21)) of sequenced participants included in phylogenetic analyses (n = 3,832), compared with

317   the 82 male-female probable transmission pairs that we identified thus suggesting that

318   consensus sequence phylogenetic analysis missed some viral genetic linkages between

participants with chronic infections; and deep-sequence phylogenetic analysis identified only a

subset of HIV-1 transmission events between participants assigned to genetic clusters. We

restricted further analyses on inference of HIV transmission patterns to the highly supported 82

male-female probable transmission pairs. Analyses are presented first without an adjustment

for sampling variability to illuminate patterns of viral transmission within the deep-sequenced

sample. Thereafter an adjustment for variable sampling rates by demographic group (age,

gender, trial community, geographical region) or randomized-HIV-intervention (trial arm) is

made to estimate the flow of HIV transmissions within the trial population (Supplementary

Notes 1 and 4).

Age and sex distribution of sources and recipients in inferred transmission pairs. The

age distribution of transmitters and recipients, by gender, for the 82 male-female probable

transmission pairs is shown in Fig 5. Inferred male-female and female-male HIV transmissions

had similar age distributions for the inferred source of infection. Males were a mean $\pm$

standard deviation of 3.5 ± 9.6 years older than females in probable transmission pairs overall,

with a difference of 4.9 ± 7.5 in male-to-female transmission events, and 1.8 ± 11.6 years in

female-to-male transmission events. Adjusted for variability in sampling of the trial population

by gender and 5-year age group (Supplementary Note 5), these differences were somewhat

reduced: males in probable transmission pairs were a mean $\pm$ standard deviation of 1.3 ± 10.4

years older than females overall; with a difference of 3.8 ± 6.9 years in male-to-female

transmission events, and -1.3 ± 12.7 years in female-to-male transmission events. The

difference between the unadjusted and the weighted mean age gap between males and

females in probable transmission pairs is consistent with the under-sampling of younger males.

Most HIV transmissions occurred between similarly aged partners. S5 Table shows the

proportions (unadjusted) of viral transmission events within and between 5-year age groups

among the 82 probable male-female pairs inferred from the deep-sequenced sample. After

adjusting for differential sampling (Supplementary Notes 1 and 4) by 5-year age group, the

predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population suggested preferential

sexual mixing between similarly-aged partners (Fig 6 and S5 Table). An adjustment by both 5-

year age group and gender revealed broadly similar transmission patterns in the trial

population (S9 Fig and S6 Table).

Similar proportions of men and women as inferred sources of transmission. 55% [95%

Confidence Interval 39.8 – 69.1] of the 82 inferred male-female transmission events originated

from men with the remainder occurring from women, 45% [30.9 – 60.2] (Fig 7). An adjustment

for variable sampling by gender revealed similar transmission patterns in the trial population

(S7 Table).

Most inferred HIV transmissions occurred within communities or between

neighboring communities. Trial communities could be broadly grouped into three geographical

areas: in the North/North-East, South-East, and Central-East region of Botswana, which borders

South Africa and Zimbabwe (Fig 1). The proportion of inferred transmission events of HIV-1

infection in the deep-sequenced sample between members of the same trial community was

69% (57 / 82) compared with 21% (17 / 82) for members of different trial communities in the

same region, and 10% (8 / 82) for members of trial communities in different regions (Figs 7 and

8). Of the 74 (74 / 82) transmission events within a single region (including those within a single

community), 22 were in the Central-East, 28 in the North/North-East and 24 in the South-East

(Fig 7). For pairs where transmission events linked people in different trial communities the

median [lower – upper quartile] driving distance between the trial communities was 161 km

[108 - 420 km] or 100 mi [67 – 261 mi] and the median drive time was 1.86 h [1.41 – 4.68 h].

Furthermore, a comparison of mean drive times between pairs of trial communities for which

transmission events were identified (n = 22) with those of all other possible pairs of

participating trial communities excluding same community pairs (n = 900 – 22 – 30 = 848)

revealed a shorter drive time on average between genetically linked trial communities

compared with what would be expected under random sexual mixing (permutation-test, $p <$

0.01) (Supplementary Note 6). These results suggest that out-of-community sexual

partnerships were more likely to form between partners residing in nearby communities

compared with more distant communities.

After adjusting for differential sampling by community (Supplementary Note 4), an

estimated 24% of transmissions to a resident of a trial community originated from another trial

community (S8 Table). This is consistent with the high levels of mobility in Botswana, where

people typically have family ties in ancestral villages and work in peri-urban or urban areas [10].

Furthermore, trial communities were distributed along two principal highways that might have

contributed to increased mobility: the A1 highway that connects the Northern and Southern

parts of the country and the A3 highway that branches from the A1 highway connecting the

North-Eastern and North-Western regions.

The estimated proportion of HIV transmissions in the trial population, after adjusting for

differential sampling by geographic region (Supplementary Note 4), that occurred within the

South-East region, 50% [95% Confidence Intervals 29.6 – 70.5] was higher compared to that in

the Central-East, 23% [9.7 – 45.4] and North-East regions, 18% [6.5 – 39.8], respectively (Fig 9

and S9 Table). For comparison, the proportion of trial participants with viral genomes that met

criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses were 26% (1,000 / 3,832) in the South-East region,

42% (1,630 / 3,832) in the North/North-East region and 31% (1,202 / 3,832) in the Central-East

region (S2 Table). The South-East region includes Gaborone city, the economic and

administrative capital of Botswana; conversely, economic activity in the North- East and

Central- East regions is centered around mining and agriculture.

More HIV transmission events to residents of intervention communities originated

from residents of control communities than vice versa. Overall analysis: The proportion of

inferred transmission events of HIV-1 infection in the deep-sequenced sample occurring within

intervention (n = 33) or within control communities (n = 32) was 79% (65 / 82) compared with

21% (17 / 82) across intervention and control communities (Figs 7 and 8). Of the thirty-three

transmission events inferred within intervention communities, seven occurred between

partners residing in different communities; and for those inferred within control communities,

one of the thirty-two transmission events occurred between partners from different

communities. There were more transmission events identified in intervention communities that

originated from control communities than the reverse (n = 13 *versus* n = 4).

After adjusting for differential sampling by trial arm (Supplementary Note 4), the

estimated proportions of HIV transmissions in the trial population within control communities,

51% [35.9 – 66.5] was about twice that predicted in intervention communities, 29% [16.6 –

44.6] (Fig 10 and S10 Table); and the estimated proportion of HIV transmissions in the trial

population flowing into intervention communities from control communities, 15% [6.9 – 30.1]

was about three times higher than the reverse, 5% [1.0 – 16.9]. Comparatively, the proportions

of trial participants that met criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses from control

communities were 40% (1,551 / 3,832) and from intervention communities, 60% (2,281 /

3,832).

Baseline analysis: Of the 82 male-female probable transmission pairs identified in the

deep-sequenced sample, 51 involved a recipient that was first sampled during the period of

baseline household survey activities in their community, that is, a transmission that could not

have been affected by the intervention because it occurred before the start of the intervention.

Among these (n = 51) the proportion of inferred transmission events that occurred within

intervention (n = 19) or within control communities (n = 25) was 86% (44 / 51) compared with

14% (7 / 51) across intervention and control communities (S11 Table). Of the nineteen

transmission events identified within intervention communities, four were between partners

living in different communities; compared with one out of twenty-five transmission events

identified within control communities. The number of transmission events identified in

intervention communities that originated from control communities was similar to the reverse

(n = 4 *versus* n = 3).

Adjusted for sampling variability among trial arms (Supplementary Note 4) the flow of

HIV transmissions in the trial population within control communities, 62% [41.6 – 78.8] was

more than twice that in intervention communities, 25% [11.8 – 45.9] (S11 Table); and the flow

of HIV transmissions into intervention communities from control communities, 7% [1.5 – 25.3]

was similar to the reverse, 5% [0.9 – 22.9].

Post-baseline analysis: By contrast, 31 of the 82 male-female probable transmission

pairs inferred in the deep-sequenced sample involved a recipient that was first sampled about a

year or more after the end of baseline household survey activities in their community; this

subset reflects transmissions that could have in principle been affected by the intervention, as

they may have occurred after the intervention began. Among these (n = 31) the proportion of

inferred transmission events that occurred within intervention (n = 14) or within control

communities (n = 7) was 68% (21 / 31) compared with 32% (10 / 31) across intervention and

control communities (S12 Table). Moreover, three of the fourteen transmission events

identified within intervention communities were between partners residing in different

communities, and all seven transmission events identified within control communities were

between partners living in the same community. We identified more transmission events in

intervention communities that originated from control communities than vice versa, (n = 9

versus n = 1).

Adjusted for variability in sampling among trial arms (Supplementary Note 4) the flow of

HIV transmissions within control communities, 32% [13.3 – 58.3] was similar to that within

intervention communities, 34% [15.0 – 60.9]; and HIV transmissions into intervention

communities from control communities, 30% [12.2 – 56.7] were more common than the

reverse, 3% [0.1 – 27.3] (S12 Table). This result is consistent with a predicted benefit of the

treatment-as-prevention intervention in reducing HIV transmission in the Botswana - Ya Tsie

trial, albeit precise dates of transmission were not identified.

Discussion

HIV incidence remains high in East and Southern Africa especially among young women. Promising HIV prevention intervention packages that combine population-level HIV testing coupled with strengthened linkage-to-care and early initiation of anti-retroviral therapy, jointly termed universal test-and-treat, have yielded lower than expected reductions in the occurrence of new HIV-1 infections[2-6]. This makes it more challenging to attain the UNAIDS goal of ending the HIV/AIDS epidemic as a public health emergency by 2030. An emerging hypothesis among four of the largest universal test-and-treat HIV prevention trials ever conducted in East and Southern Africa in Kenya, Uganda, Botswana, South Africa and Zambia[6], comprising tens of thousands of participants, is that the intervention impact to reduce population-level HIV incidence was dampened by population mobility; in particular, sexual partnerships formed 1) between individuals in communities randomized to different HIV-intervention conditions and 2) with individuals in communities outside the trial population.

In this study, we focused on one of the four cluster/community-randomized trials, the Ya Tsie trial conducted in Botswana[3], to quantify the extent of sexual mixing within and between: trial communities, trial arms and geographic regions. Furthermore, we quantified the contribution of age-difference and gender to the spread of HIV infections in Eastern Botswana. We first used HIV-1 whole genome viral consensus sequences to identify clusters of trial participants with genetically similar HIV-1 infections; and thereafter, employed deep-sequence phylogenetics to resolve the probable order of HIV transmission events within each identified

cluster. Identified transmission events in the deep-sequenced sample were then used to

estimate the flow of HIV transmissions in the trial population adjusting for sampling variability.

We found that HIV transmissions in the trial were more likely to occur within

communities or between neighboring communities than between distant trial communities, as

well as between similarly-aged partners. One of the most striking findings of our analysis was

that an estimated 24% of transmissions involving residents of trial communities occurred

between different communities in the trial. Given that the trial covered only 7.6% of the

national population, this suggests that a large fraction of all transmissions involved a partner

outside the trial.

Furthermore, there was substantial sexual mixing between intervention and control

communities. Overall, about one in every five HIV transmissions in the trial population involved

sexual partnerships between residents of intervention communities and control communities.

After baseline, that is, about a year or more after the introduction of the intervention one in

three HIV transmissions occurred between intervention and control communities. HIV

transmissions into intervention communities from control communities were similar to the

reverse at baseline, and ten times more common post-baseline, concordant with a predicted

benefit of a universal test-and-treat HIV prevention intervention, though dates of transmission

were not identified.

The extent of sexual mixing between residents of intervention and control communities

highlights high mobility between the two arms of the Botswana - Ya Tsie trial. For the primary

trial endpoint comparing HIV incidence in intervention versus control communities, this kind of

mixing will tend to dilute the effect since some of the prevention value of the intervention

would appear in control communities, while intervention communities would have incidence

that comes from control communities and from communities outside the trial thus is not

reachable by the effect of the intervention. Both sources of incidence, from control

communities and from outside the trial, could dilute the intervention effect observed in the

trial. Although we could not evaluate it in this study, it is possible that out-of-trial index cases

would dilute the effect even more strongly than control-arm ones because the control arms

comprise only about 5% of Botswana's population, while about 90% of the population lives

outside the trial.

These findings might be relevant for the interpretation of the results of the other three

universal test-and-treat HIV prevention trials conducted in Kenya, Uganda, South Africa and

Zambia. An important next step would be to quantify the extent to which the size of the

observed effect in the Botswana – Ya Tsie trial was reduced by imported infections between

partners in communities randomized to different HIV-intervention conditions and partners in

communities outside the trial population.

There was a deliberate effort to enroll men and young individuals in the Botswana -Ya

Tsie study as these groups are less likely to be diagnosed with HIV and engaged in care.

Following an adjustment for variable sampling by age and gender (S9 Fig and Supplementary

Note 4) we found that men and women for whom sexual partners could be inferred

phylogenetically contributed similarly to viral transmission of HIV-1 infection in the Botswana /

Ya Tsie trial. There would also be a contribution to HIV incidence from individuals whose source

partner resided in a community outside the trial, though not evaluated in this analysis as HIV

viral sequences from communities outside the trial population were not available.

Prior to this study, a recent consensus sequence phylogenetic study assessing age-

disparate sexual partnerships in Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa identified men older than 25

years as an important source of viral transmission to younger women aged 15-25 years. Age

disparate partnerships were defined as ones with an age-gap of more than 5 years. The Kwa-

Zulu Natal study was based on a community sampling fraction of 4% that was not specifically

targeted to people with HIV and assumed that the direction of viral transmission within clusters

would be from older partners to younger ones [9]. Phylogenetic analyses in the Botswana / Ya

Tsie trial were based on an overall sampling fraction of 14% of people aged 16 – 64 years living

with HIV in trial communities; the sampling fraction ranged from 2.7% – 36.2% among the 30

trial communities. In the phylogenetic analyses of the Botswana / Ya Tsie study there was little

genetic evidence from analyses of deep-sequence data to suggest that older men were a

substantial source of HIV-1 infection to younger women in Botswana. This finding is relevant to

programs aimed at reducing HIV incidence in young women in sub-Saharan Africa such as the

Determined, Resilient, Empowered, AIDS-free, Mentored, and Safe women (DREAMS)

partnership. Consistent with our results, the Vaginal and Oral Interventions to Control the

Epidemic (VOICE) trial, a placebo-controlled randomized study of pre-exposure prophylaxis to

test the efficacy of providing oral and vaginal gel tenofovir to women in Southern Africa to

prevent HIV infection [32, 33], found no association between age-disparate relationships and

risk of HIV-1 infection in young women under 25 years residing in South Africa in the cities of

Durban, Johannesburg and Klerksdorp who had male partners that were > 5 years older at

enrollment.

Taken together, these findings might be relevant for understanding heterogeneity of

HIV transmission in similarly designed phylogenetic studies in sub-Saharan Africa based on the

sample size, demographic population and adjustments for variable sampling.

Participants with genetically similar HIV-1 infections in the Botswana – Ya Tsie trial

tended to aggregate in small-sized (two or three-person) clusters. This is consistent with

phylogenetic clustering studies in generalized epidemics in Southern Africa but contrasts with

larger clusters typically found in concentrated HIV epidemics in Europe and North America [23].

The small-sized genetic similarity clusters identified in trial communities might reflect under-

sampling of key populations, for example men and younger individuals, in the HIV transmission

network. Alternatively, the predominance of smaller clusters in generalized epidemics

compared with larger clusters in concentrated epidemics might reflect differences in the

manner in which sexual networks under the two settings evolve over time.

Our findings provide insight on HIV transmission patterns in Botswana over a five-year

period between 2013 and 2018 during which the Botswana- Ya Tsie trial was conducted. An

important next step would be the integration of the large database of HIV viral genomes

assembled by the Botswana Ya Tsie trial into a real-time HIV genomic surveillance program to

highlight emerging hubs of HIV spread and inform targeted studies of HIV prevention. Such an

effort would be facilitated by samples routinely collected for HIV viral load monitoring and the

continuously decreasing cost of high-throughput sequencing.

Our study had several limitations. First, viral genomes from an estimated 14% of all

people with HIV aged 16-64 years were sampled among participating trial communities.

Comparatively, the sampling fraction of phylogenetic studies based on African genomes is

commonly under 10% [23]. Although our sampling fraction was a modest improvement over

previous studies it is a relatively small sample of HIV viral genomes in the trial population. To

estimate HIV transmission flows in the trial population based on probable viral transmission

events identified in the deep-sequenced sample we used the method of Carnegie and

colleagues in which undetected HIV transmissions were assumed to be missing at random

conditional on group membership [26] (Supplementary Note 4). Here group membership refers

to demographic groups (age-group, gender, trial community, geographical region) or

randomized-HIV interventions.

Of the 82 probable male-female transmission pairs identified in the deep-sequenced

sample there was a single individual involved in multiple transmission events; a male who

transmitted to two females each residing in different communities from his own. This

suggested that only a small degree of bias in estimation of confidence intervals would arise

from a simplifying assumption of independence between inferred transmission events; and

allowed us to estimate confidence intervals using methods for multinomial proportions

(Supplementary Note 4).

Second, although our estimates of HIV transmission flows in the trial population were

adjusted for differential sampling we cannot exclude the possibility that unmeasured factors

with influence on HIV transmission flows may have impacted our results. Most participants with

HIV in this trial were virally suppressed, this might have biased our results in the sense that

there might be some differences between the HIV transmission patterns we found and those

that exist among viral genetic clusters largely populated by people with unsuppressed virus.

Nevertheless, this study was based on a relatively representative sample of adults with HIV

living in rural and peri-urban villages across Eastern Botswana.

In sum, we identified several key factors in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial that impacted HIV

transmission dynamics with potential relevance to similar studies done in sub-Saharan Africa.

We found that most HIV transmissions in the Botswana Ya Tsie trial occurred between similarly-

aged partners within the same trial community or between trial communities in close

proximity. Moreover, there was a greater flow of HIV transmissions into intervention

communities from control communities than vice versa potentially reducing the observed

effect-size of the trial, as would transmissions averted by the intervention that were not picked

up because the recipient was not in a trial community.

We recommend widely distributed and easily accessible HIV testing (e.g. universal HIV

testing campaigns), treatment and linkage-to-care to support people as they intermix within

and across communities; augmented by targeted programs that might offer pre-exposure

prophylaxis to younger women and the option of self-testing for men. Population-level genomic

surveillance programs to identify communities with high flows of viral transmission within and

between them would be helpful in spotting emerging hubs of transmission that can be

prioritized for intervention. Together, these measures may reduce new HIV infections and

shorten the time to epidemic control.

Data availability: All relevant data are within the paper and the Supplemental Notes, figures

and tables. HIV-1 reads are available on reasonable request through the PANGEA consortium

(www.pangea-hiv.org)

Code availability: Algorithms to estimate HIV transmission flows within and between

population groups accounting for sampling variability and corresponding confidence intervals

have been implemented as an R package, *bumblebee* that will be made available at the

following URL: https://magosil86.github.io/bumblebee

References

627   1.   UNAIDS, *Global AIDS update 2019 — Communities at the centre*. 2019.

628   2.   Hayes, R.J., et al., *Effect of Universal Testing and Treatment on HIV Incidence — HPTN
629   071 (PopART).* New England Journal of Medicine, 2019. **381**(3): p. 207-218.

630   3.   Makhema, J., et al., *Universal Testing, Expanded Treatment, and Incidence of HIV
631   Infection in Botswana.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2019. **381**(3): p. 230-242.

632   4.   Havlir, D.V., et al., *HIV Testing and Treatment with the Use of a Community Health
633   Approach in Rural Africa.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2019. **381**(3): p. 219-229.

634   5.   Iwuji, C.C., et al., *Universal test and treat and the HIV epidemic in rural South Africa: a
635   phase 4, open-label, community cluster randomised trial.* Lancet HIV, 2018. **5**(3): p.
636   e116-e125.

637   6.   Abdool Karim, S.S., *HIV-1 Epidemic Control — Insights from Test-and-Treat Trials.* New
638   England Journal of Medicine, 2019. **381**(3): p. 286-288.

639   7.   Cohen, M.S., et al., *Antiretroviral Therapy for the Prevention of HIV-1 Transmission.* New
640   England Journal of Medicine, 2016. **375**(9): p. 830-839.

641   8.   Granich, R.M., et al., *Universal voluntary HIV testing with immediate antiretroviral
642   therapy as a strategy for elimination of HIV transmission: a mathematical model.* Lancet,
643   2009. **373**(9657): p. 48-57.

644   9.   de Oliveira, T., et al., *Transmission networks and risk of HIV infection in KwaZulu-Natal,
645   South Africa: a community-wide phylogenetic study.* Lancet HIV, 2017. **4**(1): p. e41-e50.

646   10.   Essex, M., J. Makhema, and S. Lockman, *Reaching 90-90-90 in Botswana.* Current
647   Opinion in HIV and AIDS, 2019. **14**(6): p. 442-448.

648   11.   The World Bank, *GINI index - Botswana.* World Development Indicators, 2015.

649   12.   UNAIDS, *HIV estimates with uncertainty bounds 1990-2019.* Global AIDS update 2020,
650   2020.

651   13.   Gaolathe, T., et al., *Botswana's progress toward achieving the 2020 UNAIDS 90-90-90
652   antiretroviral therapy and virological suppression goals: a population-based survey.*
653   Lancet HIV, 2016. **3**(5): p. e221-30.

654   14.   Novitsky, V., et al., *Long-Range HIV Genotyping Using Viral RNA and Proviral DNA for
655   Analysis of HIV Drug Resistance and HIV Clustering.* Journal of Clinical Microbiology,
656   2015. **53**(8): p. 2581-92.

15. Dennis, A.M., et al., *Phylogenetic studies of transmission dynamics in generalized HIV*
epidemics: an essential tool where the burden is greatest? Journal of Acquired Immune
Deficiency Syndromes, 2014. **67**(2): p. 181-95.

16. Wymant, C., et al., *Easy and accurate reconstruction of whole HIV genomes from short-*
read sequence data with shiver. Virus Evolution, 2018. **4**(1): p. vey007.

17. Novitsky, V., et al., *Phylogenetic Relatedness of Circulating HIV-1C Variants in Mochudi,*
Botswana. PLOS ONE, 2013. **8**(12): p. e80589.

18. Wertheim, J.O., et al., *The global transmission network of HIV-1.* Journal of Infectious
Diseases, 2014. **209**(2): p. 304-13.

19. Kosakovsky Pond, S.L., et al., *HIV-TRACE (TRAnsmission Cluster Engine): a Tool for Large*
Scale Molecular Epidemiology of HIV-1 and Other Rapidly Evolving Pathogens. Molecular
biology and evolution, 2018. **35**(7): p. 1812-1819.

20. Ragonnet-Cronin, M., et al., *Automated analysis of phylogenetic clusters.* BMC
Bioinformatics, 2013. **14**(1): p. 317.

21. Poon, A.F., *Impacts and shortcomings of genetic clustering methods for infectious*
disease outbreaks. Virus Evolution, 2016. **2**(2): p. vew031.

22. Rose, R., et al., *Identifying Transmission Clusters with Cluster Picker and HIV-TRACE.* AIDS
Research and Human Retroviruses, 2017. **33**(3): p. 211-218.

23. Grabowski, M.K., J.T. Herbeck, and A.F.Y. Poon, *Genetic Cluster Analysis for HIV*
Prevention. Current HIV/AIDS Reports, 2018. **15**(2): p. 182-189.

24. Ratmann, O., et al., *Inferring HIV-1 transmission networks and sources of epidemic*
spread in Africa with deep-sequence phylogenetic analysis. Nature Communications,
2019. **10**(1): p. 1411.

25. Wymant, C., et al., *PHYLOSCANNER: Inferring Transmission from Within- and Between-*
Host Pathogen Genetic Diversity. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2017. **35**(3): p. 719-
733.

26. Carnegie, N.B., et al., *Linkage of viral sequences among HIV-infected village residents in*
Botswana: estimation of linkage rates in the presence of missing data. PLoS
Computational Biology, 2014. **10**(1): p. e1003430.

27. Ratmann, O., et al., *Quantifying HIV transmission flow between high-prevalence*
hotspots and surrounding communities: a population-based study in Rakai, Uganda.
Lancet HIV, 2020. **7**(3): p. e173-e183.

28. Goodman, L.A., *On Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Multinomial Proportions.*
Technometrics, 1965. **7**(2): p. 247-254.

29. Cherry, S., *A Comparison of Confidence Interval Methods for Habitat Use-Availability*
Studies. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 1996. **60**(3): p. 653-658.

30. Murray, M. and D. Alland, *Methodological Problems in the Molecular Epidemiology of*
Tuberculosis. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2002. **155**(6): p. 565-571.

31. Chan, S.K., et al., *Likely female-to-female sexual transmission of HIV--Texas, 2012.*
MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 2014. **63**(10): p. 209-212.

32. Saag, M.S., *Preventing HIV in Women — Still Trying to Find Their VOICE.* New England
Journal of Medicine, 2015. **372**(6): p. 564-566.

33. Balkus, J.E., et al., *Age-Disparate Partnerships and Risk of HIV-1 Acquisition Among*
South African Women Participating in the VOICE Trial. Journal of Acquired Immune
Deficiency Syndromes, 2015. **70**(2): p. 212-7.
702

703
704

List of figures

Figure 1. Location of 30 rural and peri-urban communities that participated in the Botswana – Ya Tsie trial. Panel A. A map of Botswana showing the spatial distribution of intervention and control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Intervention communities are denoted by filled blue circles and control communities are represented by filled red triangles. Trial communities are shown in the context of level-2 administrative sub-divisions (solid black lines) and 2011 census enumeration areas (solid grey lines). Major urban areas are symbolized as filled orange squares. **Panel B.** Spatial distribution of the estimated population density of persons per square kilometer in Botswana in 2011. Filled blue circles represent the location of intervention communities and filled green triangles denote control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial.

Figure 2. A dendogram showing clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Genetic clusters are summarized by randomized-HIV-intervention condition, geographical region and occurrence within and between trial communities. Clusters were identified from 3,832 HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences as two or more sequences separated by a genetic distance not exceeding 0.045 (4.5%) substitutions per site and a bootstrap support threshold of at least 80%. More genetic clusters occurred within trial communities and geographical regions than between them.

Figure 3. A barplot summarizing clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections by trial community. Bars represent the total number of genetic clusters identified in each trial community partitioned into clusters that are specific to a community (lighter shade) and those that are shared with at least one other trial community (darker shade). Genetic clusters in intervention communities are shown in blue and those in control communities are represented in green. The number of genetic clusters identified among intervention and control communities varied.

Figure 4. Density plots summarizing trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections by age and gender. The bottom two plots show the age-gender distribution of trial participants whose HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences were in genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 283) and Cluster Picker (n = 484) clustering algorithms. The top two panels show the age-gender distribution of trial participants whose HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences were in the union (n = 525) and overlap (n = 281) of genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. The raw data is shown as a rug plot (red tick marks) on the x-axis. Men and women in genetic clusters had similar ages.

Figure 5. Age distribution of 82 male-female probable transmission pairs sampled in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Forty-five male-to-female and 37 female-to-male transmission events were identified from the deep-sequenced viral whole genomes of 525 trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Men were typically older than women in both male-to-female and female-to-male transmission events.

Figure 6. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection within and between 5 year age
groups in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows of HIV-1 infection in the
trial population were estimated from 82 male-female probable transmission pairs identified
from the deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of trial participants (n = 525) in clusters (n
= 236) of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Furthermore, transmission flows were adjusted for
differential sampling among age groups (Supplementary Note 4). Most viral transmission events
occurred between similarly aged partners.
756
Figure 7. An alluvial plot showing counts of male-female probable transmission pairs sampled
in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial by geographical location. The 82 probable male-female infector -
infectee pairs that were identified from clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections (n = 236)
in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial are first summarized into those with a male versus a female
source of viral transmission. Male-to-female and female-to-male transmission events are then
further partitioned according to whether partners in a transmission pair resided in the same or
different trial communities and if those trial communities belonged to the same or different
trial arms and geographical regions. Male-to-female transmission events are shown in green
and female-to-male transmission events are represented in yellow. About 69% (n = 57) of
sampled transmission events in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial occurred within the same trial
community.
768
Figure 8. A transmission matrix summarizing sampled transmission events within and
between Botswana -Ya Tsie trial communities. Numbered tiles represent counts of
transmission events identified between a pair of trial communities. There were 32 transmission
events identified within control communities (magenta), 33 within intervention communities
(cyan), 13 from control-to-intervention communities (green) and 4 from intervention-to-control
communities (red). Most transmission events were identified within trial communities. The
number of sampled transmission events into intervention communities from control
communities was higher than the reverse (n = 13 vs 4).
777
Figure 9. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial
population within and between geographical regions. Communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie
trial can be broadly grouped into three geographical regions in the Central-East, North/North-
East and South-Eastern parts of the country. Transmission flows were estimated as described in
Figure 6. Moreover, transmission flows were adjusted for sampling heterogeneity among
geographic regions. The flow of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population was highest in the
South-East region (50.1%) compared with the Central-East (23.1%) and North/North-East
(17.9%) regions respectively.
786
Figure 10. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection within and between intervention
and control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows were
estimated as described in Figure 6. Additionally, transmission flows were adjusted for
differential sampling among trial arms. Most transmissions of HIV-1 infection occurred within
the same trial arm and the flow of viral transmissions into intervention communities from
control communities (15.3%) was about three times higher than the reverse (4.7%).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to participants and collaborators from the Botswana Combination Prevention

Project and PANGEA consortium for their support during this work. Additionally, we thank

Susan Eshleman for contributing data from the HPTN 052 study, and Roger Shapiro for his

helpful comments on early versions of this manuscript. This study was supported by the

National Institute of General Medical Sciences (U54GM088558); the Fogarty International

Center (FIC) of the U.S. National Institutes of Health (D43 TW009610); and the President's

Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

(Cooperative agreements U01 GH000447 and U2G GH001911).

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily

represent the official position of the funding agencies.

Author contributions

L.E.M., M.L., M.E. conceived the study; M.E., S.L., J.M., C.F., M.L., M.P., P.B., J.M., T.S., S.M.,

R.L., V.N., Y.Z. facilitated data acquisition; V.N., C.F., T.G. supervised viral genotyping and

performed data curation; L.E.M. performed statistical and computational analyses; M.L., V.D.,

C.F., E.T. evaluated statistical and computational analyses; S.L., M.P. administered the project;

M.L., M.E. supervised the project; L.E.M., M.L., V.D. wrote the original draft of the manuscript;

all authors reviewed and approved the statistical and computational analyses and final version

of the manuscript.

A. Botswana - Ya Tsie trial communities

B. Population density per square kilometer

Figure 1. Location of 30 rural and peri-urban communities that participated in the Botswana – Ya Tsie trial. Panel A. A map of Botswana showing the spatial distribution of intervention and control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Intervention communities are denoted by filled blue circles and control communities are represented by filled red triangles. Trial communities are shown in the context of level-2 administrative sub-divisions (solid black lines) and 2011 census enumeration areas (solid grey lines). Major urban areas are symbolized as filled orange squares. Panel B. Spatial distribution of the estimated population density of persons per square kilometer in Botswana in 2011. Filled blue circles represent the location of intervention communities and filled green triangles denote control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial.

Figure 2. A dendogram showing clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Genetic clusters are summarized by randomized-HIV-intervention condition, geographical region and occurrence within and between trial communities. Clusters were identified from 3,832 HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences as two or more sequences separated by a genetic distance not exceeding 0.045 (4.5%) substitutions per site and a bootstrap support threshold of at least 80%. Most genetic clusters occurred within trial communities and geographical regions than between them.

Figure 3. A barplot summarizing clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections by trial community. Bars represent the total number of genetic clusters identified in each trial community partitioned into clusters that are specific to a community (lighter shade) and those that are shared with at least one other trial community (darker shade). Genetic clusters in intervention communities are shown in blue and those in control communities are represented in green. The number of genetic clusters identified among intervention and control communities varied.

Figure 4. Density plots summarizing trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections by age and gender. The bottom two plots show the age-gender distribution of trial participants whose HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences were in genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 283) and Cluster Picker (n = 484) clustering algorithms. The top two panels show the age-gender distribution of trial participants whose HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences were in the union (n = 525) and overlap (n = 281) of genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. The raw data is shown as a rug plot (red tick marks) on the x-axis. Men and women in genetic clusters had similar ages.

Male-to-Female
transmission events

Female-to-Male
transmission events

A.

Age at enrollment, in years, of partners in inferred male to female transmission pairs

B.

Age at enrollment, in years, of partners in inferred female to male transmission pairs

C.

D.

Age at enrollment, in years, of partners in inferred male to female transmission pairs

Age at enrollment, in years, of partners in inferred female to male transmission pairs

Figure 5. Age distribution of 82 male-female probable transmission pairs sampled in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Forty-five male-to-female and 37 female-to-male transmission events were identified from the deep-sequenced viral whole genomes of 525 trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Men were typically older than women in both male-to-female and female-to-male transmission events.

Figure 6. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection within and between 5 year age groups in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows of HIV-1 infection in the trial population were estimated from 82 male-female probable transmission pairs identified from the deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of trial participants (n = 525) in clusters (n = 236) of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Furthermore, transmission flows were adjusted for differential sampling among age groups (Supplementary Note 4). Most viral transmission events occurred between similarly aged partners.

Figure 7. An alluvial plot showing counts of male-female probable transmission pairs sampled in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial by geographical location. The 82 probable male-female infector -infectee pairs that were identified from clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections (n = 236) in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial are first summarized into those with a male versus a female source of viral transmission. Male-to-female and female-to-male transmission events are then further partitioned according to whether partners in a transmission pair resided in the same or different trial communities and if those trial communities belonged to the same or different trial arms and geographical regions. Male-to-female transmission events are shown in green and female-to-male transmission events are represented in yellow. About 69% (n = 57) of sampled transmission events in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial occurred within the same trial community.

Figure 8. A transmission matrix summarizing sampled transmission events within and between Botswana -Ya Tsie trial communities. Numbered tiles represent counts of transmission events identified between a pair of trial communities. There were 32 transmission events identified within control communities (magenta), 33 within intervention communities (cyan), 13 from control-to-intervention communities (green) and 4 from intervention-to-control communities (red). Most transmission events were identified within trial communities. The number of sampled transmission events into intervention communities from control communities was higher than the reverse (n = 13 vs 4).

Figure 9. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population within and between geographical regions. Communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial can be broadly grouped into three geographical regions in the Central-East, North/North-East and South-Eastern parts of the country. Transmission flows were estimated as described in Figure 6. Moreover, transmission flows were adjusted for sampling heterogeneity among geographic regions. The flow of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population was highest in the South-East region (50.1%) compared with the Central-East (23.1%) and North/North-East (17.9%) regions respectively.

Figure 10. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection within and between intervention and control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows were estimated as described in Figure 6. Additionally, transmission flows were adjusted for differential sampling among trial arms. Most transmissions of HIV-1 infection occurred within the same trial arm and the flow of viral transmissions into intervention communities from control communities (15.3%) was about three times higher than the reverse (4.7%).

1 **Supplemental information includes seven supplementary notes, nine supplementary figures**

2 **and twelve supplementary tables.**

Supplementary Note 1. Computing age-gender estimates of the number of people with HIV in

each trial community

Age-gender HIV prevalence estimates in each trial community. To obtain HIV prevalence

estimates we first fit a random-intercept Poisson regression model of HIV status, with age and

gender as fixed-effects, using non-parametric maximum likelihood estimation in the gllamm

program [1, 2] in Stata 13.1. The model was fit to data indicating the HIV status of 12,570

participants that had consented to an HIV test and were part of a baseline survey of 20% of

households randomly sampled from each of the 30 trial communities to establish an incidence

follow-up cohort; the fraction of positive tests for HIV-1 infection was, 28.6% (3,596 / 12,570).

Ages of participants were grouped in two ways, as 5-year age-categories and four age-

categories: 16-24, 25-34, 35-49 and 50-64 years. Furthermore, the counts of people with HIV

for a specific age-gender grouping, i, in community, j were assumed to have a Poisson

distribution with mean, u_{ij} so that

(1) $\ln(u_{ij}) = \ln(e_{ij}) + \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \beta_3 x_{3ij} + \zeta_j$.

Accordingly, β_1 denotes the intercept, ζ_j the predicted random-intercept representing

unobserved heterogeneity across trial communities, $\beta_2 x_{2ij}$ and $\beta_3 x_{3ij}$ fixed-effect covariates

for age-group and gender respectively, and $\ln(e_{ij})$ an offset where e_{ij} represents the number

of people tested for HIV in a specific age-gender grouping, i, in community, j (i.e. exposed) so

that,

(2) $\ln(u_{ij}) - \ln(e_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \beta_3 x_{3ij} + \zeta_j$.

Computing empirical Bayes estimates of HIV prevalence. Next, to account for heterogeneity in

HIV prevalence across trial communities we computed empirical Bayes estimates (or posterior

means) for the age-gender specific HIV prevalence in each community. The empirical Bayes

estimate of HIV prevalence for the jth community-age-gender combination is

(3) $$\hat{\zeta}_j^{EB} = \hat{R}_j \hat{\zeta}_j^{ML} \, ,$$

where, $\hat{R}_j = \dfrac{\hat{\psi}_j}{\hat{\psi}_j + \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{n}_j}}$.

Here, $\hat{R}_j$ denotes a shrinkage factor that describes the proportion of the total variation, $\hat{\psi}_j + \dfrac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{n}_j}$

attributed to differences in the age-gender specific HIV prevalence among trial communities,

$\hat{\psi}_j$. Furthermore, $\hat{\zeta}_j^{ML}$ specifies the maximum likelihood estimate of ζ_j . Empirical Bayes

estimates were obtained with the gllapred program [1, 2] in Stata 13.1.

Estimates of size of population with HIV in trial communities. We thereafter estimated the

size of the population with HIV for each age-gender category in each trial community. Empirical

Bayes estimates of the age-gender specific HIV prevalence for each trial community were

standardized to the proportion of participants in the 2011 Botswana population and housing

census that were in the same age and gender categories of these communities. Then for a

specific community-age-gender combination, for example, females aged 16-24 years in

community j , the estimated number of people with HIV, $\widehat{N}_{HIV+[Female,\ 16-24]}$ is computed as

(4) $\widehat{N}_{HIV+[Female,\ 16-24]} = \widehat{N}_{total}\ x\ E\ x\ \{\ Pr_{census}[\ \text{Female}, 16-24\ \text{years}\ ]\ x\ Pr[\ \text{HIV}\ +$

$|\ \text{Female}, 16-24\ \text{years}\ ]\ \}$

where,

$\widehat{N}_{total}$: Estimated population size for the jth trial community based on plot and household

enumeration data [3],

E: Proportion of enumerated household members that were eligible to participate in the trial,

$\text{Pr}_{census}[\text{Female}, 16-24\ \text{years}]$: Joint probability denoting the proportion of census

participants who are female and aged 16-24 years within community j

$\text{Pr}[\text{HIV} + |\ \text{Female}, 16-24\ \text{years}]$: Empirical Bayes estimate of HIV prevalence for females

aged 16-24 years that participated in a baseline survey of 20% of households randomly sampled

from community j.

The age-gender estimates of the number of people with HIV in each trial community were used

to adjust for sampling heterogeneity when estimating the proportions of HIV transmissions in

the trial population (see supplementary note 4). Population-size estimates of people with HIV

were computed in R version 3.5.2 [4].

Supplementary Note 2.1. Paired-end deep-sequencing of HIV viral genomes for phylogenetic

analyses. Paired-end deep-sequencing was performed with Illumina MiSeq and HiSeq

instruments at two locations, the BioPolymers sequencing core facility at Harvard Medical

School, Boston, United States of America (n = 4,246 participants) (S1 Fig), and at the Wellcome

Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, United Kingdom (n = 868 participants) (S2 Fig) through the

PANGEA consortium [5]. The sequencing success rate was relatively high, 75-80% for first round

amplicons and 95-98% for second round amplicons. The shiver sequence assembly software [6]

was used to assemble and map each participant's deep-sequencing short reads to a de-novo

reference sequence tailored to the participant's viral population.

Supplementary Note 2.2. Comparing genetic distances between HIV-1 viral consensus

sequences of trial participants included in phylogenetic analyses. We compared the distances

between all possible pairs (n = 7,340,196) of the 3,832 HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus

sequences available for phylogenetic analyses (S4 Fig). Sequences were codon-aligned to HXB2,

a standard HIV reference, with MAFFT v7.407 [7, 8] and HIVAlign

(https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/VIRALIGN/viralign.html); genetic distances were

then computed under the Tamura-Nei-1993 nucleotide substitution model [9] with TN93 v1.0.6

(github.com/veg/tn93).

Supplementary Note 2.3. Consensus sequence phylogenetics to infer clusters of genetically

similar HIV-1 infections. HIV Transmission Cluster Engine (HIV-TRACE) v0.4.4 [10, 11] and

cluster picker v1.2.3 [12] algorithms, were used to identify clusters of individuals whose HIV-1

viral whole genome consensus sequences were genetically similar -- suggesting they were

probably members of a transmission chain [13, 14]. HIV-TRACE defines clusters based on

pairwise genetic distances only; comparatively, cluster picker identifies clusters using pairwise

genetic distances with the guidance of a phylogenetic tree. A codon-based multiple sequence

alignment as described in Supplementary Note 2.2 was provided as input to HIV-TRACE and

cluster picker. Additionally, for cluster picker, a corresponding phylogenetic tree inferred with

FastTree2 v2.1.10 and boot-strap support values approximated with the Shimodaira-Hasegawa

test [15] were provided as inputs. We defined genetic similarity clusters as groups of two or

more participants whose viral consensus sequences were separated by a genetic distance at or

smaller than 4.5% nucleotide substitutions per site--and, for cluster picker, a bootstrap support

value of at least 80%. The genetic distance threshold of 4.5% nucleotide substitutions per site

was motivated by the distribution of genetic distances separating HIV-1 subtype C viral

genomes of epidemiologically-linked couples in the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 052

trial (S5 Fig) [16, 17].

Supplementary Note 2.4. Deep-sequence phylogenetics to infer the probable order of

transmission events within identified clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. We

performed parsimony-based ancestral host state reconstruction of the mapped deep-

sequencing short reads of participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections (n =

525) with the phyloscanner software v1.8.0 [18-20] to identify probable transmission pairs

within clusters and the probable direction of transmission between them. Phyloscanner

identifies potential transmission pairs by inferring whether the viral population in an individual

is ancestral to or descendent from that of another individual, and crucially addresses

contamination by excluding duplicates and phylogenetic outliers. To infer phylogenetic linkage

and direction of transmission for a set of individuals phyloscanner aligns submitted mapped

reads in sliding windows along the genome that are matched across individuals; and at each

window, infers a phylogeny for ancestral state reconstruction to identify probable ancestral

relationships between all possible pairs of individuals in the set. Pairs of individuals are then

classified as phylogenetically-linked or unlinked based on the distance, adjacency and topology

of their subgraphs. A subgraph in phyloscanner refers to all tips and internal nodes of a

phylogeny assigned to an individual through parsimony-based ancestral state reconstruction. A

pair of individuals, i and j is considered to be phylogenetically-linked, more specifically,

phylogenetic linkage is not excluded when the minimum patristic distance between their

subgraphs, Δ_{ij} is below a set threshold, and i and j are adjacent meaning that the shortest path

connecting their subgraphs in a phylogeny does not pass through a third individual. Tree

topology is used to infer the probable direction of transmission between a pair of individuals

and refers to the number of subgraphs belonging to each individual in the pair that are

ancestral-to or descendant-from those of the other individual. We classified pairs of individuals

separated by a subgraph distance below 0.035 substitutions per site as phylogenetically-linked

based on previous work by Ratmann and colleagues [19]. The subgraph distance distribution of

all pairs identified with phyloscanner within genetic similarity clusters is shown in S6 Fig. A

listing of command-line parameters used for deep-sequence phylogenetics with phyloscanner is

provided in S3 Table. Identified genetic similarity clusters were analyzed with phyloscanner in

parallel for computational efficiency using shell scripts that are available upon request.

Supplementary Note 2.5. Identifying probable source-recipient pairs with strong phylogenetic

evidence for linkage and direction of transmission. Probable transmission pairs identified with

phyloscanner were further classified on the strength of evidence for phylogenetic linkage, $\hat{\lambda}_{ij}$ to

separate pairs with strong support for linkage and direction of transmission from other

potentially linked pairs; accounting for the extent of overlap in the read alignments from which

the deep-sequence phylogenies were inferred. The strength of phylogenetic evidence or

linkage score is described as, $\hat{\lambda}_{ij} = \frac{k_L}{n}$ where n is the number of deep-sequence phylogenies

inferred in windows along the genome for which individuals i and j had sufficient deep-

sequence reads for phylogenetic inference; and k_L is the number of deep-sequence

phylogenies along the genome that support a specific phylogenetic relationship type between

individuals i and j, for example, that the subgraphs of individual i are ancestral-to, descendent-

from, sibling-to or intermingled-with those of individual j; sibling and intermingled topologies

are ones where there is insufficient evidence to make inferences about the probable direction

of transmission [19]. We used a linkage and direction of transmission score threshold of 57%

($\hat{\lambda}_{ij} > c$; $c = 0.57$) or on average at least 24 out of 42 windows supporting linkage and

direction of transmission for a highly supported transmission pair. Our phyloscanner analyses

were based on windows or mapped read alignments that were 200 bp long, affording n = 42

(8,400 / 200) non-overlapping alignments and deep-sequence trees along the genome; we

excluded the first 1,000 nucleotides of the HIV-1 genome from analysis as they are typically

poorly sequenced corresponding to a genome length of 8,400 bp. The linkage and direction of

transmission score threshold, $c \in (0,1)$ was selected such that the posterior probability for

$\hat{\lambda}_{ij} > 50\%$, at least half of the windows along the genome supporting an ancestral relationship

type between a pair of individuals, i and j exceeds 80%,

$p(\hat{\lambda}_{ij} > 0.5 \mid k_L, n) > \alpha$, where $\alpha = 80\%$ and $k_L \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \hat{\lambda}_{ij})$. The linkage and

direction of transmission score threshold was computed with the Bayesian binomial test

function in the BayesianFirstAid R package v0.1.

Supplementary Note 3. Estimating error rates in phylogenetic inference of direct transmission

between sampled males and females

HIV-1 infections in southern Africa are more commonly transmitted through heterosexual

contact between males and females, compared with sexual contact between same-sex couples.

Thus, we can use the probability of inferring a phylogenetically-linked male-male pair to

calibrate an upper bound on the false discovery rate of inferring a phylogenetically-linked male-

female pair, if we assume the probability of erroneously inferring direct transmission between a

male-female pair is the same as the probability of inferring a phylogenetically-linked male-male

pair [19].

Calibrating a false discovery rate for inferring direct transmission. Consider a deep-sequence

phylogenetic analysis where L_{mm} male-male pairs and L_{mf} male-female pairs were

phylogenetically inferred in the sequenced sample. For S_m successfully sequenced males and

S_f successfully sequenced females, let the probability of inferring a phylogenetically-linked

male-male pair in the sample be

(5)
$$\frac{L_{mm}}{S_m \ x \ (S_m-1)/2},$$

where L_{mm} denotes the number of phylogenetically-linked male-male pairs that were

identified and $S_m \ x \ (S_m - 1)/2$ represents the number of distinct possible male-male pairs

181   that could have been identified in the sample. The estimated number of phylogenetically-linked

182   male-female pairs between whom direct transmission did not occur would then be

184   (6)
$$\hat{F}^c_{mf} = \frac{L_{mm}}{S_m \ x \ (S_m-1)/2} \ x \ S_m \ x \ S_f \ .$$

186   Thereby affording a false discovery rate estimate of

188   (7)
$$\hat{\rho}^c_{mf} = \frac{\hat{F}^c_{mf}}{L_{mf}} \ .$$

Supplementary Note 4. Estimation of the proportions of HIV transmission flows in the trial

population adjusting for sampling heterogeneity.

Dataset and sampling probability. Consider a population of individuals with HIV, Ω of finite

size, N partitioned into $u = 1, \cdots, G$ disjoint groups so that, N_u represents the number of

individuals with HIV in group, u. Groups might represent communities (or geographic

locations), age-categories, genders or trial arms. Let us suppose that we would like to estimate

the proportions of HIV transmissions that occurred within and between intervention and

control communities in the Botswana / Ya Tsie trial so that group, u are individuals residing in

intervention communities and group, v are individuals residing in control communities. We

denote n_u and n_v as the number of individuals randomly sampled from groups, u and v

respectively whose HIV-1 viral genomes were successfully sequenced; yielding a sampling

probability, $s_u = n_u/N_u$ for group, u and similarly, $s_v = n_v/N_v$, for group v. The vector

(8)
$$z = (z_{uu}, z_{uv}, z_{vu}, z_{vv})$$

describes the counts of directed (source-recipient) transmission pairs identified in the

sequenced sample within and between intervention and control communities, where z_{uu}

denotes transmission pairs within intervention communities; z_{vv} transmission pairs within

control communities; z_{uv} transmission pairs for whom a member of an intervention community

was the inferred source of transmission to a control community member and vice-versa, z_{vu}.

We assume that HIV transmission pairs that are absent from the sequenced sample are missing

at random conditional on group membership; meaning that the identified probable source-

recipient transmission pairs are a random sample of the source-recipient transmission pairs

present in the trial population. Let

(9)
$$n_{uv} = \begin{cases} n_u \; x \; n_v, & u \neq v \\ n_u(n_u - 1) \,/\, 2, & u = v \end{cases}$$

be the number of distinct possible transmission pairs among individuals sampled from u and v;

similarly, let $N_{uv} = N_u \; x \; N_v$ (or $N_u(N_u - 1) \,/\, 2 \; if \; u = v$) be the number of distinct

transmission pairs available between trial population groups, u and v.

Probability of viral linkage between a pair of sampled individuals. Estimates of

probabilities of linkage between two individuals randomly sampled from within demographic

groups are observed using the method of Carnegie and colleagues [21]. The method assumes

that samples are missing at random from within demographic groups and that relative increase

in weight of individuals from a given group between the observed and true sample is the same

as relative increase in weight between sub-samples of the observed data—obtained from the

observed sampling rate—and the observed data.

In accordance with [21] and accounting for the probable direction of transmission, we

estimate the probability of viral linkage between two individuals randomly sampled from their

respective groups for each group-pairing as

(10)
$$\hat{p} = (\hat{p}_{uu}, \hat{p}_{uv}, \hat{p}_{vu}, \hat{p}_{vv})$$

235   where $\hat{p}_{ij} = \frac{z_{ij}}{n_{ij}}$, $i = u, v$ and $j = u, v$.

237   Proportion of HIV transmissions in the trial population adjusted for sampling heterogeneity.

We next estimate the relative probability that an HIV transmission event in the trial population

occurred from sexual contact between partners residing within intervention communities or

within control communities; compared with partners where one resides in an intervention

community and the other a control community or vice versa. Accordingly, we estimate the

conditional probability, $\hat{\theta}_{ij}$ that a pair of individuals are from a specific group-pairing given that

their HIV-1 viral genomes are linked as,

(11) $\hat{\theta}_{ij} = P(\text{pair is from groups, } i \text{ and } j \mid \text{pair is linked})$

246                                     $= \frac{N_{ij}\hat{p}_{ij}}{\sum_m \sum_{n \geq m} N_{mn}\hat{p}_{mn}}$, $i = u, v$ and $j = u, v$.

Here, $N_{ij}\hat{p}_{ij}$ denotes the estimated number of HIV transmissions in the trial population

attributed to groups, i and j. Similarly, $\sum_m \sum_{n \geq m} N_{mn}\hat{p}_{mn}$ represents the number of HIV

transmissions in the trial population for all groups-pairings. Thus, the vector

(12) $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_{uu}, \hat{\theta}_{uv}, \hat{\theta}_{vu}, \hat{\theta}_{vv})$

describes estimated proportions of HIV transmissions in the trial population within and

between intervention and control communities adjusted for differential sampling by trial arm.

Estimation of confidence intervals around proportions of HIV transmissions in trial

population. We use the Goodman method (1965) [22] to compute simultaneous confidence

intervals at the 5% significance level for the estimated proportions of HIV transmissions within

and between population groups (or strata). A continuity correction factor is implemented for

the Goodman's confidence intervals to account for small sample sizes [23]. The Goodman

method assumes proportions for which confidence intervals are computed are multinomially

distributed and thus independence of observations. Among the 82 probable male-female

transmission pairs identified from the deep-sequenced sample there was a single individual

involved in multiple transmission events; a man who was the probable source of viral

transmission for two women each residing in different communities from his own. This

suggested that only a small degree of bias in estimation of confidence intervals would arise

from a simplifying assumption of independence between inferred transmission events; and

allowed us to estimate confidence intervals using methods for multinomial proportions.

To estimate Goodman's confidence intervals the estimated number of HIV transmission

pairs in the trial population within and between intervention and control communities are

scaled such that the sum of the weights is equal to the total number of probable male-female

transmission pairs identified in the deep-sequenced sample (n = 82), and the weights are

treated as known; broadly similar to calibration of weights in survey sampling. For example, the

weighted counts of probable male-female transmission pairs within and between intervention

and control communities are given by the vector,

279   (13) $\delta = z^+ \; x \left(\hat{\theta}_{uu}, \hat{\theta}_{uv}, \hat{\theta}_{vu}, \hat{\theta}_{vv} \right),$

280                               $= \left(z^+\hat{\theta}_{uu}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{uv}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{vu}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{vv} \right)$

282   where $z^+ = \sum_{ij} z_{ij}$, $i = u, v$ and $j = u, v$.

284   This ensures that the estimated Goodman's confidence intervals of HIV transmission flows

285   within and between groups/strata in the trial population reflect that amount of information in

286   the sample.

288   Let the weighted counts of the probable male-female transmission pairs identified

289   within and between intervention and control communities, $\left(z^+\hat{\theta}_{uu}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{uv}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{vu}, z^+\hat{\theta}_{vv} \right)$

290   denote the observed cell frequencies, $\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k$ of a sample of size, z^+ from a multinomial

291   distribution with population parameters, $\pi_1, \pi_2, \cdots, \pi_k$ and $l = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ population strata.

292   For example, δ_l represents the weighted counts of probable male-female transmission

pairs identified in the lth stratum, and π_l the corresponding probability that a probable male-

female transmission pair falls within the lth stratum. In this case, we have $k = 4$ population

strata that represent HIV transmission pairs within intervention communities; within control

communities; transmission pairs for whom a member of an intervention community was the

inferred source of transmission to a control community member and vice-versa. The point

estimate for, π_l or estimated proportion of HIV transmissions in the trial population in the lth

stratum is $\hat{\pi}_l = \frac{\delta_l}{z^+}$. The set of k simultaneous confidence intervals at the 5% significance level

for the k population-level HIV transmission flows, $\pi_1, \pi_2, \cdots, \pi_k$ are given by [23]

$$\hat{\pi}_l^- \leq \pi_l \leq \hat{\pi}_l^+ \text{ for } (l = 1, 2, \cdots, k),$$

where the lower confidence bounds are described by,

$$\hat{\pi}_l^- = \left[B + 2(\delta_l - 0.5) - \sqrt{B(B + 4(\delta_l - 0.5)(z^+ - \delta_l + 0.5)/z^+)}\right] / 2(z^+ + B)$$

and the upper confidence bounds are described by,

$$\hat{\pi}_l^+ = \left[B + 2(\delta_l + 0.5) + \sqrt{B(B + 4(\delta_l + 0.5)(z^+ - \delta_l - 0.5)/z^+)}\right] / 2(z^+ + B).$$

Here, B denotes the 95th percentile of a chi-squared distribution with $k - 1$ degrees of

freedom. Note that $\hat{\pi}_l^- = 0 \; if \; \delta_l = 0$, and $\hat{\pi}_l^+ = 1 \; if \; \delta_l = z^+$.

We have implemented the algorithms to estimate HIV transmission flows within and between

population groups accounting for sampling heterogeneity; and the corresponding confidence

intervals as an R package, *bumblebee* that will be made available at the following url:

https://magosil86.github.io/bumblebee.

Supplementary Note 5. Calculation of the weighted mean age gap between males and

females in inferred probable transmission pairs accounting for variability in sampling of the

trial population by gender and 5-year age-group.

Overview: To compare the ages of males and females in inferred male-female probable

transmission pairs we computed weighted (arithmetic) mean age-gaps between males and

females in 1) all inferred probable transmission pairs (n = 82), 2) male-to-female transmission

events (n = 45) and 3) female-to-male transmission events (n = 37).

Dataset and sampling probabilities: Consider a deep-sequence phylogenetic analysis where

L_{mf} male-female probable transmission pairs/events were phylogenetically inferred in the

sequenced sample. Here the sequenced sample refers to individuals whose HIV-1 viral genomes

were successfully sequenced and met minimum criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analysis.

Let individuals in the sequenced sample be grouped by gender into 5-year age-categories

according to their age at enrollment in the study so that the number of successfully sequenced

males in a specific 5-year age category is denoted by, $n_{m(\text{5-year age group})}$ and the number of

successfully sequenced females, $n_{f(\text{5-year age group})}$. Similarly, let $N_{m(\text{5-year age group})}$ and

$N_{f(\text{5-year age group})}$ denote the estimated number of males and females respectively with HIV in

the trial population in a specific 5-year age category. So that the sampling probabilities of male

and female partners in a probable transmission pair are given by, $s_{m(\text{5-year age group})} =$

$n_{m(\text{5-year age group})}/N_{m(\text{5-year age group})}$ for the male partner and, $s_{f(\text{5-year age group})} =$

$n_{f(\text{5-year age group})}/N_{f(\text{5-year age group})}$ for the female partner.

Computation of mean weighted age gap: Let g_i denote the age gap in years between male and

female partners in the ith transmission pair (i.e. male age – female age) and w_i represent the

weight assigned to the ith transmission pair. The weight of a male-female probable

transmission pair is computed as the inverse of the product of the sampling probabilities of the

male and female partners in the pair,

(1) $$w_i = \frac{1}{S_{m(5\text{-year age group})} \times S_{f(5\text{-year age group})}}.$$

Then the weighted mean age gap is given by

(2) $$\bar{g} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \times g_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i},$$

which can be expanded as:

(3) $$\bar{g} = \frac{w_1 g_1 + w_2 g_2 + \cdots + w_n g_n}{w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n}.$$

Estimation of the weighted age gap variance: We compute the variance of the age-gaps

between males and females in probable transmission pairs as:

(4) $$Var(\text{weighted age gap}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (g_i - \bar{g})^2}{V_1 - \left({V_2}/{V_1} \right)},$$

where $V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i$ represents the sum of the weights, and $V_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2$ the sum of the

squared weights.

Supplementary Note 6. Permutation test to evaluate whether there was preferential sexual

mixing among trial communities by geographic proximity

To evaluate whether people were more likely to form out-of-community sexual partnerships

with partners from nearby communities than communities further away, we performed a

permutation test under the null hypothesis that the mean travel distance (kilometers) and drive

time (hours) between communities where HIV transmission events were identified was no

different from that between any pair of randomly selected trial communities. We obtained null

distributions for the mean travel distance and drive time, respectively by permuting the order

of community pairs over 10,000 iterations. The underlying correlation structure of viral genetic

linkage was preserved, for example, transmission events that occurred between members of

two communities or between members of a community and two or more other communities. A

one-sided p-value was obtained as the fraction of iterations where the mean travel distance

between permuted community pairs was smaller than that for community pairs where HIV

transmission events were identified; similarly, a one-sided p-value was derived for travel time.

Travel distances and drive times were sourced from the google distance matrix API (application

programming interface) with the mapsapi R package v0.4.2. and will be made available as an R

dataset. The permutation-test was performed using the R statistical software version 3.5.2.

Supplementary Note 7. Membership and affiliations of the Botswana Combination
Prevention Project and PANGEA consortium

Botswana Combination Prevention Project
379

Myron Essex[1], Joseph Makhema[1], Shahin Lockman[1], Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen[1], Molly Pretorius

Holme[1], Ingrid Bassett[1], Kara Bennett[1], Victor DeGruttola[1], Scott Dryden-Peterson[1], Kenneth

Freedberg[1], Vladimir Novitsky[1], Kathleen Powis[1], Roger Shapiro[1], Rochelle Walensky[1], Rui

Wang[1], Kathleen Wirth[1], Marina Anderson[2], Shenaaz El-Halabi[2], Ernest B. Fetogang[2], Refeletswe

Lebelonyane[2], Madisa Mine[2], Tendani Gaolathe[3], Simani Gaseitsiwe[3], Poloko Kebaabetswe[3],

Mompati Mmalane[3], Mosepele Mosepele[3], Sikhulile Moyo[3], Rosemary Musonda[3], Erik van

Widenfelt[3], Pam Bachanas[4], Ebi Bile[4], Arielle Lasry[4], Tafireyi Marukutira[4], Lisa A. Mills[4], Janet

Moore[4], Sherri Pals[4], Elliot Raizes[4], Chunfu Yang[4]

Affiliations

1. Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA

2. Ministry of Health, Republic of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana

3. Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana

4. Division of Global HIV/AIDS and TB, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta,

Georgia, USA

PANGEA Consortium:

Helen Ayles[1], Rory Bowden[2], Vincent Calvez[3], Myron Cohen[4], Tulio D'Oliveira[5], Ann Dennis[6],

Max Essex[7], Sarah Fidler[8], Dan Frampton[9], Richard Hayes[10], Josh Herbeck[11], Pontiano Kaleebu[12],

Cissy Kityo[13], Jairam Lingappa[14], Vladimir Novitsky[15], Nick Paton[16], Andrew Rambaut[17], Janet

Seeley[18], Deogratius Ssemwanga[19], Frank Tanser[20]

404
405
406   Affiliations
407
1. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK,

2. University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

3. Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France

4. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

5. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

6. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

7. Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

8. Imperial College London, London, UK

9. University College London, London, UK

10. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

11. University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

12. MRC/UVRI Uganda, Kampala, Uganda

13. Joint Clinical Research Centre, Kampala, Uganda

14. University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

15. Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa and University College

London, London, UK

16. Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, Singapore, Singapore

17. University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

18. MRC/UVRI Uganda, Kampala, Uganda and London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

London, UK

19. MRC/UVRI Uganda, Kampala, Uganda

20. Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

References

1. Sophia, R.-H., *GLLAMM: Stata program to fit generalised linear latent and mixed models*. 1999, Boston College Department of Economics.

2. Rabe-Hesketh, S. and A. Skrondal, *Multilevel and Longitudinal Modeling Using Stata, 3rd Edition*. 2012: StataCorp LP.

3. Makhema, J., et al., *Universal Testing, Expanded Treatment, and Incidence of HIV Infection in Botswana.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2019. **381**(3): p. 230-242.

4. R Core Team, *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* 2020, R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria.

5. Pillay, D., et al., *PANGEA-HIV: phylogenetics for generalised epidemics in Africa.* The Lancet. Infectious diseases, 2015. **15**(3): p. 259-261.

6. Wymant, C., et al., *Easy and accurate reconstruction of whole HIV genomes from short-read sequence data with shiver.* Virus Evolution, 2018. **4**(1): p. vey007.

7. Katoh, K., et al., *MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2002. **30**(14): p. 3059-66.

8. Katoh, K. and D.M. Standley, *MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability.* Mol Biol Evol, 2013. **30**(4): p. 772-80.

9. Tamura, K. and M. Nei, *Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees.* Mol Biol Evol, 1993. **10**(3): p. 512-26.

10. Wertheim, J.O., et al., *The global transmission network of HIV-1.* Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2014. **209**(2): p. 304-13.

11. Kosakovsky Pond, S.L., et al., *HIV-TRACE (TRAnsmission Cluster Engine): a Tool for Large Scale Molecular Epidemiology of HIV-1 and Other Rapidly Evolving Pathogens.* Molecular biology and evolution, 2018. **35**(7): p. 1812-1819.

12. Ragonnet-Cronin, M., et al., *Automated analysis of phylogenetic clusters.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2013. **14**(1): p. 317.

13. Poon, A.F., *Impacts and shortcomings of genetic clustering methods for infectious disease outbreaks.* Virus Evolution, 2016. **2**(2): p. vew031.

14. Rose, R., et al., *Identifying Transmission Clusters with Cluster Picker and HIV-TRACE.* AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses, 2017. **33**(3): p. 211-218.

15.   Shimodaira, H. and M. Hasegawa, *Multiple Comparisons of Log-Likelihoods with*
Applications to Phylogenetic Inference. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 1999. **16**(8): p.
1114-1114.

16.   Cohen, M.S., et al., *Antiretroviral Therapy for the Prevention of HIV-1 Transmission.* New
England Journal of Medicine, 2016. **375**(9): p. 830-839.

17.   Eshleman, S.H., et al., *Analysis of genetic linkage of HIV from couples enrolled in the HIV*
Prevention Trials Network 052 trial. J Infect Dis, 2011. **204**(12): p. 1918-26.

18.   Grabowski, M.K., J.T. Herbeck, and A.F.Y. Poon, *Genetic Cluster Analysis for HIV*
Prevention. Current HIV/AIDS Reports, 2018. **15**(2): p. 182-189.

19.   Ratmann, O., et al., *Inferring HIV-1 transmission networks and sources of epidemic*
spread in Africa with deep-sequence phylogenetic analysis. Nature Communications,
2019. **10**(1): p. 1411.

20.   Wymant, C., et al., *PHYLOSCANNER: Inferring Transmission from Within- and Between-*
Host Pathogen Genetic Diversity. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2017. **35**(3): p. 719-
733.

21.   Carnegie, N.B., et al., *Linkage of viral sequences among HIV-infected village residents in*
Botswana: estimation of linkage rates in the presence of missing data. PLoS
Computational Biology, 2014. **10**(1): p. e1003430.

22.   Goodman, L.A., *On Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Multinomial Proportions.*
Technometrics, 1965. **7**(2): p. 247-254.

23.   Cherry, S., *A Comparison of Confidence Interval Methods for Habitat Use-Availability*
Studies. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 1996. **60**(3): p. 653-658.

24.   Novitsky, V., et al., *Long-Range HIV Genotyping Using Viral RNA and Proviral DNA for*
Analysis of HIV Drug Resistance and HIV Clustering. Journal of Clinical Microbiology,
2015. **53**(8): p. 2581-92.
488
489

S4 Fig. A histogram showing the distribution of genetic distances between HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences of trial participants included in phylogenetic analyses. Of the 5,114 trial participants that consented to viral genotyping 3,832 met criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses; these were individuals whose viral genomes had fewer than 30% of the bases missing beyond the first 1,000 nucleotides and a minimum genome length of 6300 nucleotides. Tamura-Nei 93 genetic distances were computed between HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences of all possible pairs (n = 7,340,196) of the 3,832 trial participants available for phylogenetic analyses. To improve visibility pairwise genetic distances below 0.05 (5%) nucleotide substitutions per site are also shown in a zoomed-in plot. The mean ($\pm$ standard deviation) pairwise distance separating sequences was, 12.5 $\pm$ 3.0% and the maximum pairwise distance was, 40.0% substitutions per site.

S5 Fig. A histogram showing the distribution of genetic distances between viral whole genome consensus sequences of epidemiologically linked HIV-1 subtype-C couples in the HIV Prevention Trials Network 052 study. Tamura-Nei 93 genetic distances were computed between viral whole genome consensus sequences of all possible pairs (n = 6,670) of the 116 epidemiologically linked couples with HIV-1 subtype-C infections in the HIV Prevention Trials Network 052 study. Pairwise comparisons between epidemiologically linked sequences (yellow) and ones between epidemiologically unlinked sequences (blue) are highlighted in color. The mean ($\pm$ standard deviation) pairwise distance separating the sequences was, 12.0% $\pm$ 4.1% and the maximum pairwise distance was, 19.6% substitutions per site. Genetic distances separating viral sequences of most epidemiology-linked couples were below 5.0% substitutions per site.

S6 Fig. Barplots showing subgraph distance distributions between deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Within each genetic cluster parsimony-based ancestral host state reconstruction was done with phyloscanner [18-20] to identify probable transmission pairs and resolve the probable order of transmission events. Thereafter, within each identified genetic cluster, the median subgraph distance and most frequent subgraph topology between each pair of individuals was determined across all deep-sequence phylogenies along the genome where the pair had sufficient mapped reads for phylogenetic inference. A subgraph refers to all tips and internal nodes of a phylogeny assigned to an individual through parsimony-based ancestral state reconstruction. Subgraph distances were standardized to the mean rate of evolution for HIV-1 group-specific antigen (*GAG)* and polymerase *(POL)* genes to account for variation in mutation rates along the genome. The three panels show median subgraph distance distributions of pairs in the union (panel A) of genetic clusters detected with HIV-TRACE (panel B) and Cluster Picker (panel C) algorithms. Within each panel, phylogenetically-linked pairs with an inferred direction of transmission are summarized into same-sex (male-male and female-female) and opposite-sex pairs (male-female). The subgraphs of deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral genomes of most phylogenetically-linked directed pairs were separated by a patristic distance below 0.035 (3.5%). Same-sex pairs were presumed to be members of transmission chains with missing intermediates.

S7 Fig. Barplots showing the size distribution of clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections
in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. The bottom two plots show the size distribution of genetic
clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 122) and Cluster Picker algorithms (n = 231),
respectively; and the top two plots show the size distribution of the union (n = 236) and overlap
(n = 117) of genetic clusters detected with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. Most clusters were
small-sized comprising two or three members.

S8 Fig. Barplots showing the spread of genetic clusters across trial communities. The bottom
two plots show the number of genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 122) and Cluster
Picker algorithms (n = 231), respectively; and the top two plots show the union (n = 236) and
overlap (n = 117) of genetic clusters found with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. Most lineages
localized to one or two trial communities; with few clusters having members spread out across
five or more trial communities.

S9 Fig. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection by gender within and between 5 year
age groups in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows were estimated as
described in Figure 6. Additionally, transmission flows were adjusted for differential sampling
by gender and age group.

S1 Table. Characteristics of the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population living with HIV

S2 Table. HIV-1 viral genotyping densities of communities in the Botswana Ya Tsie HIV trial

S3 Table: A listing of command-line parameters used for deep-sequence phylogenetics with
phyloscanner

S4 Table. Summary of viral suppression among trial participants in clusters of genetically
similar HIV-1 infections

S5 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population
by age group

S6 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population
by gender and age group

S7 Table. Proportions of inferred HIV-1 transmissions among trial participants by gender

S8 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population
by community

S9 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population
by geographical region

S10 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population by randomization-intervention condition

S11 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population at baseline by randomization-intervention condition

S12 Table. Observed and predicted proportions of HIV-1 transmissions in the trial population after baseline by randomization-intervention condition

631
632

S1 Fig. Characterization of deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of 4,246 trial participants (88% had viral genomes sequenced from pro-viral DNA) sequenced at the Harvard biopolymers sequencing core facility. Trial participants that consented to viral genotyping (n = 5,114) were sequenced at two locations, the Harvard biopolymers sequencing core facility in the United States (n = 4,246) and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute in the United Kingdom (n = 868). Most trial participants were virally suppressed at the time of sampling; thus deep-sequencing of HIV-1 viral genomes was generally done on pro-viral DNA [24]. Deep sequencing of viral genomes was performed with Illumina Miseq and Hiseq instruments. The resulting deep-sequencing reads were then assembled and mapped with the shiver sequence assembly software [6] against de-novo reference sequences tailored to each participant's viral population. Panel A. shows the length of the HIV-1 viral genome covered on average by deep-sequencing reads of varying minimum read-lengths and sequencing depths; genome coverage dropped progressively beyond 200 nucleotides. Panel B. The proportion of participants with deep-sequenced mapped reads covering at least 750 nucleotides of the HIV-1 viral genome decreased with increasing minimum read-length and depth of sequencing. Panel C. Read-length distribution of deep-sequenced mapped reads within an individual. Panel D. Distribution of participants with deep-sequencing mapped-reads at each position of the HIV-1 viral genome. Most participants sequenced to a depth of at least 30X with mapped reads that were at least 200 bp long and covered at least 750 nucleotides of the HIV-1 genome had reads distributed relatively uniformly along the HIV-1 genome.

S2 Fig. Characterization of deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of 868 trial participants (45% had viral genomes sequenced from pro-viral DNA) sequenced at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute. Trial participants were sequenced as described in S1 Fig. Panel A. Breadth of coverage of the HIV-1 viral genome for varying minimum read-lengths and depths of sequencing. There was a marked decrease in average coverage of the HIV-1 genome at or above a sequencing depth of 10X. Furthermore, there was a progressive decline in average viral genome coverage beyond 200 nucleotides. Panel B. Proportion of participants with deep-sequencing mapped reads covering over 750 nucleotides of the HIV-1 genome decreased markedly with increasing minimum read-lengths and sequencing depths. Panel C. Proportion of deep-sequenced mapped reads in an individual by read length. Panel D. Distribution of participants with deep-sequencing mapped-reads at each genome position. Most participants sequenced to a minimum depth of 30X and minimum read-length of 200 bp with a genome coverage of at least 750 nucleotides had mapped reads covering the HIV-1 group-specific antigen (GAG) gene.

S3 Fig. A plot comparing sampling densities of HIV-1 viral genomes in intervention and control communities in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. Intervention communities (blue curve) were sampled more densely than control communities (green curve). Raw data points for the density plots are displayed as a rug-plot (red) on the x-axis. The overall genotyping density across all 30 trial communities was 14% (3,832 / 27,446).

S4 Fig. A histogram showing the distribution of genetic distances between HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences of trial participants included in phylogenetic analyses. Of the 5,114 trial participants that consented to viral genotyping 3,832 met criteria for inclusion in phylogenetic analyses; these were individuals whose viral genomes had fewer than 30% of the bases missing beyond the first 1,000 nucleotides and a minimum genome length of 6300 nucleotides. Tamura-Nei 93 genetic distances were computed between HIV-1 viral whole genome consensus sequences of all possible pairs (n = 7,340,196) of the 3,832 trial participants available for phylogenetic analyses. To improve visibility pairwise genetic distances below 0.05 (5%) nucleotide substitutions per site are also shown in a zoomed-in plot. The mean (± standard deviation) pairwise distance separating sequences was, 12.5±3.0% and the maximum pairwise distance was, 40.0% substitutions per site.

S5 Fig. A histogram showing the distribution of genetic distances between viral whole genome consensus sequences of epidemiologically linked HIV-1 subtype-C couples in the HIV Prevention Trials Network 052 study. Tamura-Nei 93 genetic distances were computed between viral whole genome consensus sequences of all possible pairs (n = 6,670) of the 116 epidemiologically linked couples with HIV-1 subtype-C infections in the HIV Prevention Trials Network 052 study. Pairwise comparisons between epidemiologically linked sequences (yellow) and ones between epidemiologically unlinked sequences (blue) are highlighted in color. The mean (± standard deviation) pairwise distance separating the sequences was, 12.0% ±4.1% and the maximum pairwise distance was, 19.6% substitutions per site. Genetic distances separating viral sequences of most epidemiology-linked couples were below 5.0% substitutions per site.

A. Union

B. HIV-TRACE

C. Cluster picker

S6 Fig. Barplots showing subgraph distance distributions between deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral whole genomes of trial participants in clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections. Within each genetic cluster parsimony-based ancestral host state reconstruction was done with phyloscanner [18-20] to identify probable transmission pairs and resolve the probable order of transmission events. Thereafter, within each identified genetic cluster, the median subgraph distance and most frequent subgraph topology between each pair of individuals was determined across all deep-sequence phylogenies along the genome where the pair had sufficient mapped reads for phylogenetic inference. A subgraph refers to all tips and internal nodes of a phylogeny assigned to an individual through parsimony-based ancestral state reconstruction. Subgraph distances were standardized to the mean rate of evolution for HIV-1 group-specific antigen (*GAG*) and polymerase (*POL*) genes to account for variation in mutation rates along the genome. The three panels show median subgraph distance distributions of pairs in the union (panel A) of genetic clusters detected with HIV-TRACE (panel B) and Cluster Picker (panel C) algorithms. Within each panel, phylogenetically-linked pairs with an inferred direction of transmission are summarized into same-sex (male-male and female-female) and opposite-sex pairs (male-female). The subgraphs of deep-sequenced HIV-1 viral genomes of most phylogenetically-linked directed pairs were separated by a patristic distance below 0.035 (3.5%). Same-sex pairs were presumed to be members of transmission chains with missing intermediates.

S7 Fig. Barplots showing the size distribution of clusters of genetically similar HIV-1 infections in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial. The bottom two plots show the size distribution of genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 122) and Cluster Picker algorithms (n = 231), respectively; and the top two plots show the size distribution of the union (n = 236) and overlap (n = 117) of genetic clusters detected with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. Most clusters were small-sized comprising two or three members.

S8 Fig. Barplots showing the spread of genetic clusters across trial communities. The bottom two plots show the number of genetic clusters identified with HIV-TRACE (n = 122) and Cluster Picker algorithms (n = 231), respectively; and the top two plots show the union (n = 236) and overlap (n = 117) of genetic clusters found with HIV-TRACE and Cluster Picker. Most lineages localized to one or two trial communities; with few clusters having members spread out across five or more trial communities.

S9 Fig. Estimated transmission flows of HIV-1 infection by gender within and between 5 year age groups in the Botswana -Ya Tsie trial population. Transmission flows were estimated as described in Figure 6. Additionally, transmission flows were adjusted for differential sampling by gender and age group.