Insights on Genetic and Environmental Factors in Parkinson's Disease from a regional Swedish Case-Control Cohort

Kajsa Brolin[1*], Sara Bandres-Ciga[2], Cornelis Blauwendraat[2], Håkan Widner[3,4], Per Odin[3,4], Oskar Hansson[5,6], Andreas Puschmann[3,4], Maria Swanberg[1]

[1]Lund University, Translational Neurogenetics Unit, Department of Experimental Medical Science, Lund, Sweden, [2]Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, [3]Lund University, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Neurology, Sweden, [4]Department of Neurology, Skåne University Hospital, Sweden, [5]Clinical Memory Research Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Sweden, [6]Memory Clinic, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

*Corresponding author: Kajsa Brolin, kajsa.brolin@med.lu.se, Translational Neurogenetics, BMC A10, 221 84, Lund, Sweden

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Risk factors for Parkinson's disease (PD) can be more or less relevant to a population due to population-specific genetic architecture, local lifestyle habits, and environmental exposures. Therefore, it is essential to study PD at a local, regional, and continental scale in order to increase the knowledge on disease etiology.

<u>OBJECTIVE:</u> We aimed to investigate the contribution of genetic and environmental factors

to PD in a new Swedish case-control cohort.

<u>METHODS:</u> PD patients (n=929) and matched population-based controls (n=935) from the

southernmost county in Sweden were included in the cohort. Information on environmental

exposures was obtained using questionnaires at inclusion. Genetic analyses included a genome-

wide association study (GWAS), haplotype assessment, and a risk profile analysis using

cumulative genetic risk scores.

<u>RESULTS:</u> The cohort is a representative PD case-control cohort (64% men, mean age at

diagnosis=67 years, median Hoehn and Yahr score=2.0), in which previously reported

associations between PD and environmental factors, such as tobacco, could be confirmed. We

describe the first GWAS of PD solely composed of PD patients from Sweden, and confirm

associations to well-established risk alleles in *SNCA*. In addition, we nominate an unconfirmed

and potentially population-specific genome-wide significant association in the *PLPP4* locus

(rs12771445).

<u>CONCLUSIONS:</u> This work provides an in-depth description of a new PD case-control cohort

from southern Sweden, giving insights into environmental and genetic risk factors of PD in the

Swedish population.

MESH keywords:

Parkinson Disease, Sweden, Case-Control Studies, Risk Factors, Genome-Wide Association

Study, Haplotypes

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) has been reported to be the fastest growing neurological disorder worldwide in terms of prevalence, disability, and deaths [1, 2]. Currently available treatments only address symptoms, and the burden of PD will increase substantially if no disease-modifying therapeutic is developed.

PD can be categorized into monogenic and idiopathic (multifactorial) based on the contribution of genetics to disease risk. In monogenic PD, rare DNA variants with large effect sizes but varying penetrance cause the disease [3]. Although such variants in *SNCA*, *LRRK2*, *VPS35*, *PRKN*, *PINK1, GBA,* and *DJ-1* [4-9] contribute to a small proportion of cases, these genes have provided valuable insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying PD etiology and pathogenesis, as well as an overlapping etiology between monogenic and idiopathic PD. Missense mutations and copy number variants (CNVs) of *SNCA*, encoding a-synuclein, have been identified to cause monogenic PD, whereas common *SNCA* variants have been associated with an increased risk of idiopathic PD [5]. In idiopathic PD, accounting for more than 95% of all PD cases, variants with relatively small effect sizes in combination with environmental exposures influence an individual's disease risk [10, 11]. The latest large-scale genome-wide association study (GWAS) meta-analysis identified 90 risk loci associated with PD in individuals of European ancestry [12]. These variants were estimated to explain 16-36% of the heritable PD risk, indicating that many genetic risk factors for PD remain to be discovered [12]. Additionally, two new risk loci have been reported to be associated with PD risk in the Asian population [13].

Larger sample sizes in GWAS could pave the way for the discovery of additional risk variants

and increase the proportion of explained heritability [14, 15]. However, it has also been

suggested that the "missing heritability" could be explained by the presence of gene-gene and

gene-environment interactions [14]. Commonly reported environmental risk factors for PD

include smoking and exposure to pesticides, two exposures that can vary a lot between

population. Further understanding environmental risk factors for PD in different populations

are hence of great importance to further elucidate the pathogenesis of the disease [10, 16, 17].

There is a strong population bias towards individuals of European ancestry in genetic research

of human diseases [18, 19], but initiatives are taken to study PD genetic risk in other

populations [20, 21]. In addition to the need of a widened scope of PD genetic research on a

global level, narrowed studies are also of interest, since heterogeneity across cohorts from

different countries or regions may mask genetic associations specific to sub-population [22].

The Swedish population has not yet been represented in PD GWAS meta-analyses or

population-specific GWAS aside from a PD GWAS performed on a mixed Scandinavian

population from Norway and Sweden [23]. The Swedish population has been reported to be

genetically similar to the nearby population of Norway [24, 25]. However, it has also been

reported that Swedish genomes contain a substantial amount of genetic variation and genetic

variants that are not represented in other European populations [26], and that there is a large

genetic difference even within the Swedish population, particularly between the south and

north of Sweden [24, 26]. This highlights the importance of analyzing different European

populations, and emphasize the importance of regional matching of cases and controls in

GWAS [24, 27].

Here, we provide a comprehensive description of a novel, well-defined, and matched PD case-

control cohort from southern Sweden in which we describe, to our knowledge, the first GWAS

of PD composed solely of individuals from Sweden. This study provides insights into

environmental and genetic risk factors of PD in the Swedish population and will contribute to

the understanding of population-specific environmental and genetic risk in PD.

Materials and Methods

Ethical consent

106 Ethical permission for the MultiPark's biobank sample collection (MPBC) was approved by

107 the regional ethics review board of Lund (2013/509). All participants gave informed written

108 consent at study enrollment.

Patient and population-based control inclusion

Individuals primarily diagnosed with PD (*International Classification of Disease*, *tenth*

revision (ICD-10-SE) code G20.9) in the southernmost province of Sweden, Scania, were

assessed for eligibility (Figure S1). Inclusion criteria were a PD diagnosis and the ability to

visit one of the clinics for sampling. In total, 2,119 PD patients were invited to participate

between November 2014 and July 2017, whereof 1,011 were included (inclusion rate 48.3%).

For each patient, population-based controls matched by date of birth, sex, and residential area

were randomly selected from the Swedish Population Register and invited between March

2015 and April 2018. A total of 1,001 individuals consented and completed data collection

(inclusion rate 18.5%). Exclusion criteria were a PD diagnosis and the inability to visit a center

for sampling. Out of the completed controls, 953 were unique matchings, resulting in a matched

control for 953 PD patients (94.3%). All analyses were performed in a set of 929 patients and

935 controls passing all quality control (QC) steps unless stated otherwise. A flowchart

detailing the study participant inclusion process can be found in Figure S2.

Sample and data collection, storage, and management

Blood samples were handled by the Clinical Chemistry Unit at the University Hospital in

Scania (SUS) and stored at -80°C within a central biobanking facility (Biobank Syd: BD47).

Whole blood was collected in EDTA-tubes (Becton Dickinson (BD) Vacutainer®, New Jersey,

US) for DNA extraction. Additional samples were collected in PAXgene blood RNA tubes

(QIAGEN®, Hilden, Germany), EDTA-tubes (BD Vacutainer®), sodium-heparin tubes (BD

Vacutainer®, New Jersey, US) and a serum-separating tube (BD Vacutainer® SST™, New

Jersey, US) to enable future studies of RNA and biomarkers in PD. When difficulties emerged

during blood sample collection, EDTA-tubes for DNA extraction were prioritized. Serum and

plasma samples are stored at -80°C in a 96-well format of 200 µl aliquots.

All participants filled in questionnaires covering basic demographic data, lifestyle habits,

family history of PD, comorbid diseases, environmental exposures, medications, health status,

and perceived motor- and non-motor symptoms. Data from questionnaires was manually

entered into the web-based application REDCap [28]. Additional data for the PD rating tools

Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y), Clinical Impression of Severity Index - Parkinson's Disease (CISI-

PD), and Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire - 8 (PDQ-8), as well as information on age at

diagnosis (AAD) was retrieved from the Swedish Parkinson's registry

(https://www.neuroreg.se/parkinsons-sjukdom/).

 Statistical analyses of epidemiological data

Epidemiologic data was analyzed using R version 4.0.0 [29]. Demographic characteristics were

summarized as frequency and percentage for categorical variables. For continuous variables,

mean and standard deviation (SD) were used for variables displaying normal distribution

whereas median and interquartile range was reported for non-normally distributed variables.

The range for continuous variables was used to demonstrate the heterogeneity in the cohort.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height $(m)^2$. For quality-of-life

assessment, EuroQol five-dimension-3-level (EQ-5D-3L) was used, covering mobility, self-

care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Time trade-off (TTO) and the

visual analogue scale (VAS) index were calculated using scale value sets for Sweden [30]. In

TTO valuation, individuals were asked to indicate how many years in full health that would be

of equal value to 10 years in their current health state (divided by 10). Full health hence

corresponds to 10 years/10=1. In VAS valuation, respondents rated their overall health between

0 (worst imaginable health) and 100 (best imaginable health) [31]. Additionally. the occurrence

of PD symptoms at study inclusion were compared between the patients and controls using

Pearson's chi square test with a Bonferroni corrected threshold for statistical significance of

p=0.001.

To test for associations between potential risk factors and PD status, both unadjusted and

multivariate logistic regression analyses were used. Risk factors were selected based on meta-

analysis literature reviews [16, 17]. Due to the cross-sectional case-control study design, we

selected variables from the questionnaire related to past exposures, excluding variables related

to exposures at time or near time of inclusion. Directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) were made for

all exposures of interest using the R package 'dagitty' to help identify confounding variables

to adjust for in the multivariate analyses (Figure S3) [32]. Confounding pathways with the least

amount of missing data were prioritized and complete-case analyses were run. Odds ratios

(OR), and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated and plotted in a forest plot.

We further evaluated variables for BMI and comorbidities at inclusion, along with alcohol and

red wine consumption and physical exercise the year prior to inclusion, and ibuprofen use the

past two weeks prior to inclusion, using logistic regression analyses, adjusting for age and sex.

We acknowledge the risk of confounding factors but the interest was solely to perform

observational analyses. Complete-case analyses were run and ORs and 95% CI were estimated

and plotted in a forest plot. A total of 35 comorbidities were not evaluated for association due

to either too few study participants reporting a certain diagnosis or a lack of difference between

the occurrence of the diagnosis between the patient and control group.

<u>DNA extraction and Genotyping</u>

DNA extraction was done from whole blood at BD47 and at LGC Biosearch Technologies

(UK, GEN-9300-120). LGC Biosearch Technologies (Germany) also performed genotyping

and subsequent "Basic BioIT" with technical QC and genotype clustering. The no-call GenCall

threshold was set to the standard score cutoff for Infinium data of 0.15. Matched case-control

samples were genotyped using the Infinium Global Screening Array-24 v.2.0 with the Multi-

Disease drop in panel v.2.0 (GSAMD-24v2.0) containing 712,189 variants annotated to the

Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37).

<u>Genotype quality control and Imputation</u>

QC analysis was performed in PLINK 1.9 [33, 34]. Samples were excluded if the call rate was

<95%, if excess heterozygosity was detected (estimated by a F statistic >0.15 or <-0.15), or if

the genetic sex (determined from X-chromosome heterogeneity) differed from the reported sex.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify and exclude ancestry outliers. For

this, the genotype data was merged with the HapMap phase 3 data set [35], and samples were

determined as having European ancestry if they clustered 6 SD (+/-) around the combined

population mean of the populations: Utah residents with Northern and Western European

ancestry (CEU) and Toscani in Italia (TSI) (Figure S4). Samples from closely related

individuals, defined as sharing more than 12.5% of alleles, were also excluded. Following

sample exclusion, 929 patients and 935 controls remained. Variant level QC was subsequently

done, excluding SNPs with a missingness rate >5%, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p-value <1E-

4, or minor allele frequency (MAF) <1%. SNPs with differences in genotyping rate for patients

and controls, and genotypes missing by haplotype, were also excluded for p-value <1E-4. SNPs

passing QC were 505,005 with a total genotyping rate of 99.9%. Genomic imputation was

performed on the Michigan Imputation Server [36] using the Minimac4 imputation software,

Eagle v2.4 for phasing [37], and the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) r1.1 2016

European population as the reference population (http://www.haplotype-reference-

consortium.org/) [38]. Post-imputation QC was performed, and variants with MAF<5% and/or

poor imputation quality, set as Rsq <0.3, were excluded. The number of imputed variants after

filtering was 5,445,841.

<u>Power calculation for GWAS analyses</u>

GWAS power calculation was performed using the online Genetic Association Study (GAS)

Power Calculator (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/gas_power_calculator/). In order to reach

80% power at a GWAS-significance level (alpha=5E-08) with a disease prevalence in the

general Swedish population of 0.2%, an allele frequency of >20% and an OR>1.7 would be

needed.

<u>Genome wide association study (GWAS) vs PD risk and age at diagnosis (AAD)</u>

A GWAS for PD risk was performed in a logistic regression model adjusted for sex, age (at study inclusion), and the first 5 principal components (PCs). The number of PCs used in the analyses was determined by a scree plot (Figure S5). A linear regression model was used to investigate the impact of genetic variation on the AAD, adjusted for sex and PC1-5. Data on AAD was available for 792 of the 929 PD patients (85.3%). Summary statistics was generated using RVTESTS (version 2.1.0) [39]. Quantile-quantile (QQ)-plots and Manhattan plots were generated in R, version 4.0.0 [29]. Subsequently, regions of interest were visualized using summary statistics data in the LocalZoom tool (https://my.locuszoom.org) with a flanking size of 100 kb from the gene of interest.

<u>Haplotype analysis of *PLPP4*</u>

Genotyped variants in the *PLPP4* region with a window of ±100 kb (*PLPP4* coordinates on GRCh37; chr10:122216466-122349376, UCSC) was extracted and annotated using ANNOVAR [40]. Haplotype blocks in the region were estimated in PLINK v1.9 using the default parameters wherein pairwise LD was calculated for variants within 500 kb [33, 34]. PLINK v1.9 estimates haplotype blocks following the default procedure in Haploview [41, 42]. Association between haplotype blocks in *PLPP4* and PD status was analyzed with haplo.stats in R. The regression analyses were adjusted for age, sex, and PC1-5, and additionally for tobacco use. The adjustment for tobacco use was done because of a report of *PLPP4* being associated with smoking cessation [43], and multiple reports of smoking being associated with PD. To assimilate the effect of other nicotine products, such as snus (moist tobacco, commonly consumed in Sweden), the variable "Tobacco" was used. Only haplotypes with a carrier frequency of >1% were included in the analysis. A Bonferroni corrected threshold for statistical

significance of p=0.05/16=0.003. was set. The results were visualized using LocusZoom

(https://my.locuszoom.org) and Haploview v4.1 [44].

<u>Analysis of the joint effect of variants in *PLPP4* on PD risk</u>

The contribution of rare variants on PD risk was examined by the joint effect of multiple

variants in the *PLPP4* region. We separately analyzed genotyped and imputed variants with an

imputation quality score of Rsq >0.8 for imputed variants. The sequence kernel association test

(SKAT) was used since only 2.6% of the variants in *PLPP4* are coding variants and the SKAT

is powerful when a large fraction of variants in a region are noncoding. The test aggregates

associations between variants and phenotype and allows for variant interactions [45]. The

analyses were performed in RVTESTS (version 2.1.0) [39] using default parameters and

adjusting for age, sex and PC1-5. The test was performed both for all available variants as well

as in only coding variants with two different maximum MAF thresholds of <1% and <5%. To

adjust for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni corrected p-value thresholds was applied for all

analyses.

<u>Risk profile analysis</u>

A risk profile analysis for PD and AAD was performed using the imputed data passing QC. A

cumulative genetic risk score (GRS) was calculated in PLINK v1.9 using publicly available

effect estimates (beta coefficients) of 90 SNPs associated with PD in the largest published

meta-analysis of PD GWAS to date [12]. For each SNPs, the allele dosage was multiplied by

the beta coefficient, giving a greater weight to alleles with higher risk estimates. Risk allele

dosage was then summed across all variants to generate a GRS for each study participant.

Subsequently, the GRSs were standardized to Z-scores using the control group as the reference

group for PD status and the patient group for the AAD analysis. Following standardization, a

Z score of 1 can be interpreted to be equivalent to one SD increase in the GRS from the

reference group GRS mean. The study participants were divided into quartiles based on their

GRS, and logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate associations and estimate

the OR between PD status and quartile group. Covariates were selected from a previously

published PD classification [46] and included PD family history (yes/no), age at inclusion, sex,

and additionally PC1-5. For the risk profile analysis for AAD, a linear regression model was

used, adjusted for sex, PD family history, and PC1-5. Plots were generated in R, version 4.0.0

[29].

Code for all analyses will be available at https://github.com/KajBro/MPBC, GWAS summary

statistics will be available online.

Results

<u>Characteristics of the MPBC cohort</u>

Demographics and characteristics of the MPBC cohort are summarized in Table 1. An expected

male predominance was observed with a sex ratio of 2:1. Case-control matching was successful

for year of birth and sex, with average birth year 1944 and 36% women in both groups. A one-

year difference for the average age at inclusion was observed between patients (71 years) and

controls (72 years) due to control matching occurring after patient inclusion. Swedish ancestry

(defined as self-reporting that both parents were born in Sweden) was similar in patients and

controls (87.9% vs 86.1%). Similar distributions for highest completed education and marital

status were also seen. According to self-reported information on health status using the EQ-

5D-3L instrument [30], patients had an index of 0.8 on the TTO scale compared to 0.9 for the

controls. The patients also rated their own overall health lower than the controls on the VAS

index scale (index 68.9±16.8 vs 82.0±9.7, Table 1). As a reference, the general Swedish

population has an estimated mean TTO index of 0.9 and a VAS index of 79.5 [30]. As expected,

all PD symptoms were more common in the patient group than the control group (Table S3).

The most common self-reported motor-symptoms were muscle stiffness (72.7%) followed by

slowness of movements (72.4%). The most commonly reported non-motor symptom for both

patients and controls was nocturia (71.6% vs 58.4%).

We further evaluated the health status and AAD among male and female PD patients (Table

2). Median AAD was identical for both sexes (67.0 years), although with a wide range (men

29.0-84.0 years, women 35.0-89.0 years). The median time since diagnosis at inclusion was

4.0 years for both sexes and varied from diagnosis the same year to 36.0 years for men and

33.0 years for women. Health status at the time of inclusion was evaluated using the H&Y

scale, CISI-PD, and PDQ-8. Both sexes had a median of 2.0 on the H&Y, corresponding to

bilateral involvement without impairment of balance. Similar total score values were reported

by men and women on the CISI-PD scale (median 5.0, range 0-24). Women had a slightly

higher median PDQ-8 total score compared to men (7.0 vs 6.0 out of 32).

Epidemiological characteristics of PD in Sweden

We further set out to evaluate previously confirmed risk factors for PD in a regional, Swedish

context (Figure 1, Table S1). A positive family history (at least one relative diagnosed with

PD) was overrepresented among PD patients compared to controls (20% vs 11%).

Consequently, having a relative diagnosed with PD doubled the risk of having PD (OR=2.00,

95% CI 1.51-2.67). An association between pesticide exposure and PD was confirmed at an

OR of 2.26 (95% CI 1.39-3.72). A significant association was also seen between PD and a

history of head trauma (OR=1.30, 95% CI 1.08-1.58). However, no association between loss

of consciousness and PD was observed among study participants who reported a history of head trauma. A slightly higher OR was seen for a higher BMI at the age of 20 years (1.05, 95% CI 1.01-1.09), indicating that a one unit increase in BMI increased the risk of having PD by 5%. However, no difference was observed for the highest reported BMI. We observed an inverse association between having ever smoked and PD, but the association was not statistically significant after adjustment for confounders (ever- vs never-smoking OR=0.82, 95% CI 0.67-1.01). A statistically significant inverse association between snus and PD was observed also after adjusting for confounders (OR=0.53, 95% CI 0.38-0.73). An inverse association was also observed for the combined effect of tobacco products on PD (adjusted OR=0.72, 95% CI 0.59-0.88). Moreover, a trend of lower OR for PD with increasing amount of coffee drinking at all investigated age groups was observed, where drinking >5 cups of coffee per day was inversely associated with PD (OR 0.36-0.52, 95% CI 0.18-0.86).

Additionally, variables related to exposure/use within the past year were examined to describe potential differences between the age- and sex-matched groups at inclusion (Figure 2, Table S2; Table S3). The patients consumed less alcohol and engaged in less physical activity both in regard to level of physical activity (regular activity level vs nothing OR=0.26, 95% CI 0.18-0.38) and hours per week (≥5 hours/week vs nothing, OR=0.21, 95% CI 0.13-0.33). No significant association between PD and BMI at inclusion was observed (OR=0.99, 95% CI 0.96-1.00). For comorbidities, statistically significant inverse associations between PD and a diagnosis of hyperlipidemia (OR=0.51, 95% CI 0.40-0.64), hypertension (OR=0.57, 95% CI 0.47-0.69), and osteoarthritis (OR=0.66, 95% CI 0.53-0.82) were seen. A significant association with PD was observed for a diagnosis of depression (OR=1.89, 95% CI 1.39-2.59), back pain (OR=1.56, 95% CI 1.15-2.13), and bowel problems, where bowel problems (defined as having constipation or diarrhea that required treatment on a regular basis) almost quadrupled

the likelihood of having PD (OR=3.93, 95% CI 2.66-5.97). We observed an OR of 0.53 (95%

CI 0.41-0.68) for the use of ibuprofen <2 times/week compared to never. However, the

association was lost for ibuprofen use ≥2 times/week. No associations were observed for the

use of other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as diclofenac or naproxen

(data not shown).

<u>GWAS of PD risk nominated a novel genome-wide significant variant in the *PLPP4* locus</u>

The variant rs356182 in *SNCA* was reported in the latest GWAS meta-analysis as one of the

90 risk loci associated with PD in a cohort of European ancestry [12]. In our GWA analysis for

PD risk, this and another three variants in the *SNCA* locus were observed at low p-values

(rs356182, beta=-0.38, SE=0.07, p=5.64E-08; rs356203, beta=-0.36, SE=0.07, p=1.27E-07;

rs356220, beta=0.36, SE=0.07, p=1.39E-07; rs356219, beta=-0.36, SE=0.07, p=2.32E-07), but

none reached genome-wide significance (Table S4). In addition to the *SNCA* variants, we found

associations for 23 of the 90 previously reported PD risk loci in this Swedish cohort at an

uncorrected p-value of 0.05 (Table S5). Results from PCA showing the population structure as

compared to the HapMap3 reference populations, revealed that this Swedish cohort cluster near

the other European population but the centers of the clusters differ slightly, indicating

differences in population structures that could result in population-specific risk alleles (Figure

S6). The GWA analysis also revealed a novel genome-wide significant association signal in

the *PLPP4* locus, rs12771445 (10:122318147, beta=-0.44, SE=0.07, p=1.30E-08), as being

associated with PD in this Swedish cohort (Figure 3, Table S4). This association has, to our

knowledge, not been reported in any other cohort (Table S4) [12]. The significant variant was

an intron variant in the gene Phospholipid Phosphatase 4 (*PLPP4*) and was imputed with

Rsq=0.99 and MAF=0.31 (Table S4). The MAF is similar to the value observed in the Swedish

reference population, SweGen, of 0.32 [26]. The estimated MAF was 0.27 in the patient group

and 0.36 in the control group, indicating a potential protective effect of the minor allele (T)

with an OR of 0.64. To further display the association signals relative to genomic position and

local LD, locus zoom plots for *PLPP4* rs12771445 and *SNCA* rs356182 were produced (Figure

4 and Figure S10). Variants in *SNCA* have been reported to also be associated with PD AAO

[47]. We performed a GWA for the related variable AAD of PD in the cohort. However, we

did not detect any genome-wide statistically significant associations and could not replicate

previous reported variants associated with PD AAO at low p-values, likely due to smaller

sample size (792 vs 28,568) [47], and limited statistical power (Figure S11 and S12).

In order to analyze more genetic variants, imputation was done using the beta-version of the

TOPMed Imputation Reference panel [48]. Using the same post-imputation QC, 6,214,098

variants were generated and analyzed in a GWAS for PD risk (Figure S8 and S9). Two variants

passed genome-wide significance, rs12772937 (chr10:122318146, beta=-0.40, SE=0.07,

p=2.48E-08) and our previously identified rs12771445 (10:122318147, beta=-0.40, SE=0.07,

p=2.48E-08). Since the TOPMed panel was still in the beta stage as of our analysis, we

continued using the data imputed with the HRC version r1.1 2016 panel.

<u>Haplotype analysis of *PLPP4*</u>

Because of the identification of the novel genome-wide signal (rs12771445) associated with

PD in this cohort, we further looked at haplotypes among the genotyped variants in the nearest

gene, *PLPP4* (± 100 kb), to capture the genetic variance in the region. We identified 92

genotyped variants passing QC in the region, where 32 were in *PLPP4*, all intron variants. The

median GenCall scores of these variants was 0.87 (IQR: 0.83–0.91) (Figure S13). A total of 45

different haplotypes in 12 different blocks (H1-H12) in the region was identified (Table 3,

Figure S14). Statistically significant association with PD was observed for a haplotype in one

block (H6) (p=2.45E-05), both before and after adjustment. The haplotype block spanned over

41.9 kB (chr10:122302038-122343950) and consisted of five genetic variants and six different

haplotypes. The rs978854(G)-rs7910507(G)-rs10886711(G)-rs11199417(G)-rs10886717(A)

haplotype was statistically significantly inversely associated with PD at an OR of 0.69 (95%

CI 0.59-0.82, p=2.54E-05) and with a MAF=0.24 for patients and 0.30 for controls.

Analysis of the joint effect of variants in *PLPP4* on PD risk

We further evaluated the joint effect of more rare variants (MAF <5%) in *PLPP4* on PD risk

using both genotyped and imputed data. No coding variants in the gene were identified in the

genotyped data and only one was identified in the imputed data (Table 5). When focusing on

all variants, an association in the genotyped data at MAF<5% was observed (p=0.002), passing

Bonferroni correction. A trend of a potential joint effect of variants with a MAF<5% was still

observed in the imputed data (p=0.005). However, it did not reach multiple testing corrections

(p-value threshold=0.05/628=7.96E-05). Only one variant with MAF<1% was present in the

genotyped data and no statistically significant association was observed for variants with

MAF<1% in either the genotyped or the imputed data.

Genetic PD risk profile

The PD risk profile analysis showed that the Z-standardized GRS from Nalls et al was

associated with PD status also in this Swedish case-control cohort [12]. For each SD increase

from the reference mean value, the OR increased by 1.8 (beta=0.59, SE=0.05, p<2.22E-16)

(Figure 5). Study participants in the highest GRS quartile were estimated to be 4.7 times more

likely to have PD compared to the participants in the lowest quartile using an adjusted model.

There was also an inverse association between the GRS and AAD, where one SD increase in

the Z-standardized GRS was associated with approximately one-year earlier AAD (beta=-0.97,

SE=0.36, p=0.007, adjusted r^2=0.011).

Discussion

This study is, to our knowledge, the largest case-control study of PD performed in a Swedish

cohort. The study includes a well-defined recruitment of matched study participants from a

specific region in southern Sweden. Multiple previously reported environmental and genetic

risk factors were confirmed to affect PD risk. Interestingly, a novel genome-wide significant

association with PD risk at the *PLPP4* locus was observed, both in the GWA and the haplotype

analysis but further validation of the nominated variant is needed.

The MPBC cohort demonstrates similar characteristics compared to other PD cohorts. At

inclusion, the patients had a relatively short disease duration (average 4 years), which was

reflected in the PD rating scales with an average score of 2 on the H&Y scale and low scores

on the CISI-PD and PDQ-8 scales. This can be explained by the study inclusion design where

patients with advanced PD, who could not visit a neurology clinic, were not eligible. For self-

reported PD symptoms, hypokinesia/bradykinesia was most common, occurring in 72% of the

patients. Non-motor symptoms occurring at later stages of the disease, such as delusions and

hallucinations were less frequent (6.0% and 16.8%), also highlighting the lower number of

patients with advanced PD in the cohort [49]. The lack of an association between BMI at

inclusion and PD further highlight this. Weight loss has frequently been observed in PD

patients as a consequence of reduced energy intake in combination with an increased energy

expenditure due e.g. levodopa-induced dyskinesia [50]. It has been estimated that PD patients

lose 3.5 kg every eight years post-diagnosis and that levodopa-induced dyskinesia commonly

develops after 3-5 years [50, 51]. Approximately 92% of the patients in the cohort reported

using levodopa therapy.

Several previously reported environmental PD risk factors could be confirmed in this Swedish

cohort. Among those, an association between pesticide exposure and PD was observe, where

exposure to pesticides more than doubled the risk of having PD. The number of participants

exposed to pesticides was overrepresented among those who had reported farming and well

water consumption. However, no statistically significant association was observed between

farming or well water and PD in the cohort. Several pesticides have been reported to be linked

to PD, including paraquat, glyphosate, and pyrethroids [52]. Paraquat was banned in Sweden

in 1983 while glyphosates and pyrethroids are still used [53]. However, further actions need to

be taken to investigate which chemicals stand behind the observed association.

Having a relative with a PD diagnosis doubled the risk of having PD in the cohort. Among the

20% of patients that reported a positive family history, 8.9% had a first-degree relative with

PD. Other studies report similar numbers with 15-25% of PD patients having a positive family

history and 10-25% having a first-degree relative with PD [54]. It has been suggested that the

risk of PD increases shortly after a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and that a history of

concussions results in a higher risk of PD [55, 56]. We also observed a statistically significant

association between a history of head trauma and PD, but whether the association is causative

or a consequence of prodromal PD remains to be determined. Among participants reporting

head trauma, no association was observed between PD and loss of consciousness.

The inverse association between smoking and PD is well-known and has been reported in

numerous studies [17]. This was initially replicated in the cohort, but no longer statistically

significant after adjustment for confounders, which could be due to the common co-occurrence

of smoking and the use of snus. Interestingly though, a strong inverse association between the

Swedish moist tobacco snus and PD was observed also after adjustment. The amount of

nicotine reaching the blood when using snus is equivalent of that of cigarette smoking, and the

observation reduces the number of candidate compounds underlying the inverse association

between smoking and PD [57, 58]. It has previously been reported that non-smoking, snus-

using Swedish men had 60% lower risk of PD compared to men who had never used snus [58].

Snus is more frequently used than smoking tobacco among men in Sweden, where 18% use

snus and 7% smoke on a daily basis. Among Swedish women, 5% use snus and 7% smoke on

a daily basis [59]. We investigated the total effect of smoking and snus on PD risk and found

a statistically significant inverse association to PD also for the combined variable "Tobacco".

Our findings support previous reports and suggest either that components in tobacco leaves

influence biological processes underlying PD, or that there is a reverse causation between

tobacco use and PD. Nicotine has been suggested to have neuroprotective properties but it also

stimulates dopamine release from nigrostriatal dopaminergic terminals. Hence, the lower use

of tobacco products could be a consequence of a reduced nicotine-evoked dopamine release

among prodromal PD patients [60]. Caffeine has also been reported to have neuroprotective

effects in PD animal models, and several epidemiological studies have reported a relationship

between increased coffee consumption and decreased risk of developing PD [10]. We could

also observe a trend of lower OR for PD with higher coffee consumption in all investigated

age groups in this cohort.

The comorbidities hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and osteoarthritis were inversely associated

with PD in the cohort. Autonomic dysfunction can occur in PD, resulting in abnormalities in

blood pressure, and orthostatic hypotension is common [61]. In concordance with our results,

meta-analysis of PD risk factors has identified an inverse association between hypertension

and PD risk [16]. However, this was only observed in case-control studies, indicating that the

association might be a consequence of the disease. For hyperlipidemia, there are conflicting

reports on the association with PD but a meta-analysis supports an inverse association [62]. It

is possible that the use of lipid-lowering drugs (statins) contributes to the observed conflicting

associations [63]. The study participants were asked whether they had been diagnosed with

hyperlipidemia and, hence, it was likely that they used pharmacological treatments. Statins are

the most commonly prescribed lipid-lowering drugs in Sweden, and we cannot rule out that the

observed inverse association between PD and hyperlipidemia is a consequence of statin use.

Moreover, the PD patients were less likely to have a diagnosis of osteoarthritis (21% patients

vs 29% controls). Contrary to our observation, arthritis (no distinction was made between

rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis) has been reported to be the most prevalent comorbidity

in PD, with almost 47% reported having the condition [64], indicating that our observation

could be a consequence of underdiagnosis of osteoarthritis among the PD patients [65].

Furthermore, associations between PD and the comorbidities depression, back pain, and bowel

problems were observed. Use of ibuprofen has been reported to be inversely associated with

PD in a dose-dependent manner [66]. We observed a reduced PD risk for the use of the NSAID

ibuprofen <2 times/week but not for ≥2 times/week and a recently published large study do not

support any evidence of a decreased PD incidence among NSAID users [67].

Here, we describe to our knowledge the first GWAS of PD composed solely of PD patients

from Sweden, specifically the southernmost region of Sweden. Although the relatively small

sample size is a limitation in this study, issues with population stratification are expected to be

lower due to region-specific study recruitment and, potentially, more homogenous ancestry.

The observed near genome-wide significance associated variants at the *SNCA* locus indicate

that this cohort is a well-designed case-control cohort of PD, and one of the variants was

reported among the 90 risk loci in the largest GWAS meta-analysis to date [12]. Another 23 of

the 90 risk loci were replicated at a nominal uncorrected p-value <0.05. Noticeable, this study

had insufficient statistical power to detect variants with low MAF or small effect size, and the

majority of 90 risk loci had an OR of 0.8-1.2 [12]. Insufficient statistical power is likely also

the reason for the lack of an identification of any loci associated with AAD. Two genome-wide

significant association signals have previously been reported to be linked to PD AAO, one at

the *SNCA* locus and one at *TMEM175* [47].

Interestingly, the GWA analysis identified a novel variant, rs12771445, associated with PD at

a genome-wide significance level. This variant has not been reported in the PD literature and,

hence, may be specific for the Swedish population. Although, it could be that this common

variant is a tagging a population-specific rare variant as the Swedish population appear to

contain a substantial number of genetic variants that are not represented in other European

populations [26]. However, the significant variant was imputed and we remain cautious in

drawing any conclusions. As expected, we further identified one haplotype in *PLPP4*

significantly associated with PD. The haplotype was more common among controls, indicating

a decreased risk of PD among carriers. Furthermore, we investigated the joint effect of rare

variants (MAF<5%) in *PLPP4* on PD. Due to the low coverage of coding variants, we adapted

the non-burden SKAT and observed an association with PD risk for the genotyped variants but

not for the imputed variants. Replication studies in larger Swedish cohorts are needed in order

to validate our findings of a potential association between *PLPP4* and PD in the Swedish

population.

The gene *PLPP4,* encodes for a phospholipid phosphatase that catalyzes the dephosphorylation

of bioactive lipid mediators such as phosphatidic acid (PA) [68]. Another regulator of PA

content, the diacylglycerol kinase, *DGKQ,* has previously been reported as a potential PD risk

factor [69, 70]. The role of lipids in PD is receiving greater attention and the question whether

if PD is a lipidopathy rather than a proteinopathy has been lifted [71]. Several reasons stand

behind this hypothesis, including the proposal that a-synuclein is a lipid-binding protein that

physiologically interacts with phospholipids and fatty acids (FAs) [71].

Although only 23 out of 90 previously reported risk variants were replicated in this Swedish

cohort, the cumulative GRS based on the 90 variants showed an almost five-fold higher risk

for PD in the highest quartile compared to the lowest quartile. Combining the GRS with

information on age, sex, family history of PD, and the University of Pennsylvania Smell

Identification Test (UPSIT) score (olfactory function) has been reported to further increase the

sensitivity and specificity of the PD status model [46]. Having access to all factors but the

UPSIT score, we added information on age, sex, family history of PD and PC1-5 to our model.

GRS alone gave an area under the roc curve (AUC) of 0.67 while the adjusted model gave an

AUC of 0.68. The non-observed difference for the two models could be due to the large

proportion of the variance explained by the UPSIT score in the reported prediction model

(63.1%) compared to the substantially lower values for GRS (13.6%), family history (11.4%),

gender (6.0%) and age (5.9%) [46]. The lack of association to PD in the Swedish cohort for

67/90 risk variants could also have an impact on the fit of the model applied in our cohort.

Although the model is functional enough to compare risk for disease status at a population

level, additional information would be needed for disease prediction. Interestingly, we

observed an association between the GRS and AAD despite a small sample size, with one SD

increase in the Z-standardized GRS being associated with approximately one-year earlier

AAD. This result is in concordance with previous results in other, larger, PD cohorts [22, 47].

Among the 90 risk variants, the *LRRK2* G2019S (rs34637584) had the largest effect estimate

(2.43). This variant was genotyped in our dataset but excluded during the pre-imputation QC

due to low MAF (0.2%). Previous studies of PD cohorts in Sweden have shown that the

prevalence of *LRRK2* G2019S carriers is low in Sweden, 0.54% of screened 2206 PD patients

were carriers [72]. It has been estimated that the G2019S mutation worldwide accounts for 4%

of familial and 1% of idiopathic PD cases [73]. In our study, the fraction of carriers among the

patients was 0.75%, further confirming a low prevalence of *LRRK2* G2019S carriers among

Swedish PD patients.

In conclusion, this work represents a comprehensive description of a new PD case-control

cohort from southern Sweden in which we nominate a novel GWA variant in the *PLPP4* locus.

However, subsequent studies are needed in order to validate whether *PLPP4* is associated with

PD within the Swedish population. This study contributes to the understanding of

environmental and genetic risk factors in PD in the Swedish population, and the combination

of epidemiological, clinical, and genetic data in the cohort makes it suitable for future studies

of PD etiology.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by MultiPark – a Strategic Research Area at Lund University and

by grants from the Swedish Research Council (VR), Åke Wiberg's foundation,

Parkinsonfonden, Åhlén foundation, Lindhés advokatbyrå, and Sigurd & Elsa Golje's

memorial foundation (KB/MS). This work was also supported in part by the Intramural

Research Program of the National Institute on Aging (NIA) (SBC/CB), part of the National

Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services. We thank the individuals who

have contributed and donated blood samples to MPBC. We would also like to thank the

research nurses and statistician Helene Jacobsson at MultiPark for conducting data collections,

and previous students of the Translational Neurogenetics Unit who have helped with

questionnaire registration to REDCap. We would like to thank Megg Garcia and Alexander

Svanbergsson for technical help and feedback, and the International Parkinson's Disease

Genomic Consortium (IPDGC) and its trainee network for additional help during the project,

in particularly Lynne Krohn and Manuela Tan. We would also like to acknowledge the Global

Parkinson's Genetics Program (GP2) learning platform for training courses and code in

Parkinson's disease genetics. We would also like to acknowledge Ashfaq Ali at the National

Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden at SciLifeLab for bioinformatics advice. The Region

Skåne Biobank Facility (BD47) has performed the biobank services in the project.

AP receives research support from the Swedish government (ALF), Region Skåne, Skåne

University Hospital, Bundy Academy, Hans Gabriel and Alice Trolle Wachtmeister Stiftelse

för Medicinsk Forskning, The Swedish Parkinson Association, The Swedish Parkinson

Academy, SCA network, all in Sweden, and receives reimbursement from Elsevier Ltd. PO

has received research support from The Swedish National Government and County Councils,

Skåne University Hospital Foundations and Donations, Region Skåne, Parkinsonfonden,

Swedish Parkinson Academy and Åhlens Foundation.

Conflict of Interest

KB, MS, SBC, CB, AP, PO and HW have no conflicts of interest to report. OH has acquired research support (for the institution) from AVID Radiopharmaceuticals, Biogen, Eli Lilly, Eisai, GE Healthcare, Pfizer, and Roche. In the past 2 years, he has received consultancy/speaker fees from AC Immune, Alzpath, Biogen, Cerveau, and Roche.

References

[1]   Collaborators GPsD (2018) Global, regional, and national burden of Parkinson's disease, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol* **17**, 939-953.

[2]   Collaborators GBDN (2019) Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol*.

[3]   Blauwendraat C, Nalls MA, Singleton AB (2020) The genetic architecture of Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol* **19**, 170-178.

[4]   Bonifati V, Rizzu P, van Baren MJ, Schaap O, Breedveld GJ, Krieger E, Dekker MC, Squitieri F, Ibanez P, Joosse M, van Dongen JW, Vanacore N, van Swieten JC, Brice A, Meco G, van Duijn CM, Oostra BA, Heutink P (2003) Mutations in the DJ-1 gene associated with autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism. *Science* **299**, 256-259.

[5]   Kitada T, Asakawa S, Hattori N, Matsumine H, Yamamura Y, Minoshima S, Yokochi M, Mizuno Y, Shimizu N (1998) Mutations in the parkin gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism. *Nature* **392**, 605-608.

641   [6]   Polymeropoulos MH, Lavedan C, Leroy E, Ide SE, Dehejia A, Dutra A, Pike B, Root

642   H, Rubenstein J, Boyer R, Stenroos ES, Chandrasekharappa S, Athanassiadou A,

643   Papapetropoulos T, Johnson WG, Lazzarini AM, Duvoisin RC, Di Iorio G, Golbe LI,

644   Nussbaum RL (1997) Mutation in the alpha-synuclein gene identified in families with

645   Parkinson's disease. *Science* **276**, 2045-2047.

646   [7]   Valente EM, Abou-Sleiman PM, Caputo V, Muqit MM, Harvey K, Gispert S, Ali Z,

647   Del Turco D, Bentivoglio AR, Healy DG, Albanese A, Nussbaum R, González-

648   Maldonado R, Deller T, Salvi S, Cortelli P, Gilks WP, Latchman DS, Harvey RJ,

649   Dallapiccola B, Auburger G, Wood NW (2004) Hereditary early-onset Parkinson's

650   disease caused by mutations in PINK1. *Science* **304**, 1158-1160.

651   [8]   Zimprich A, Benet-Pagès A, Struhal W, Graf E, Eck SH, Offman MN, Haubenberger

652   D, Spielberger S, Schulte EC, Lichtner P, Rossle SC, Klopp N, Wolf E, Seppi K,

653   Pirker W, Presslauer S, Mollenhauer B, Katzenschlager R, Foki T, Hotzy C,

654   Reinthaler E, Harutyunyan A, Kralovics R, Peters A, Zimprich F, Brücke T, Poewe

655   W, Auff E, Trenkwalder C, Rost B, Ransmayr G, Winkelmann J, Meitinger T, Strom

656   TM (2011) A mutation in VPS35, encoding a subunit of the retromer complex, causes

657   late-onset Parkinson disease. *Am J Hum Genet* **89**, 168-175.

658   [9]   Zimprich A, Biskup S, Leitner P, Lichtner P, Farrer M, Lincoln S, Kachergus J,

659   Hulihan M, Uitti RJ, Calne DB, Stoessl AJ, Pfeiffer RF, Patenge N, Carbajal IC,

660   Vieregge P, Asmus F, Müller-Myhsok B, Dickson DW, Meitinger T, Strom TM,

661   Wszolek ZK, Gasser T (2004) Mutations in LRRK2 cause autosomal-dominant

662   parkinsonism with pleomorphic pathology. *Neuron* **44**, 601-607.

663   [10]   de Lau LM, Breteler MM (2006) Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol*

664   **5**, 525-535.

[11]   Vollstedt EJ, Kasten M, Klein C (2019) Using global team science to identify genetic

parkinson's disease worldwide. *Ann Neurol* **86**, 153-157.

[12]   Nalls MA, Blauwendraat C, Vallerga CL, Heilbron K, Bandres-Ciga S, Chang D, Tan

M, Kia DA, Noyce AJ, Xue A, Bras J, Young E, von Coelln R, Simón-Sánchez J,

Schulte C, Sharma M, Krohn L, Pihlstrøm L, Siitonen A, Iwaki H, Leonard H, Faghri

F, Gibbs JR, Hernandez DG, Scholz SW, Botia JA, Martinez M, Corvol J-C, Lesage

S, Jankovic J, Shulman LM, Sutherland M, Tienari P, Majamaa K, Toft M,

Andreassen OA, Bangale T, Brice A, Yang J, Gan-Or Z, Gasser T, Heutink P,

Shulman JM, Wood NW, Hinds DA, Hardy JA, Morris HR, Gratten J, Visscher PM,

Graham RR, Singleton AB, andMe Research T, System Genomics of Parkinson's

Disease C, International Parkinson's Disease Genomics C (2019) Identification of

novel risk loci, causal insights, and heritable risk for Parkinson's disease: a meta-

analysis of genome-wide association studies. *The Lancet. Neurology* **18**, 1091-1102.

[13]   Foo JN, Chew EGY, Chung SJ, Peng R, Blauwendraat C, Nalls MA, Mok KY, Satake

W, Toda T, Chao Y, Tan LCS, Tandiono M, Lian MM, Ng EY, Prakash KM, Au WL,

Meah WY, Mok SQ, Annuar AA, Chan AYY, Chen L, Chen Y, Jeon BS, Jiang L,

Lim JL, Lin JJ, Liu C, Mao C, Mok V, Pei Z, Shang HF, Shi CH, Song K, Tan AH,

Wu YR, Xu YM, Xu R, Yan Y, Yang J, Zhang B, Koh WP, Lim SY, Khor CC, Liu J,

Tan EK (2020) Identification of Risk Loci for Parkinson Disease in Asians and

Comparison of Risk Between Asians and Europeans: A Genome-Wide Association

Study. *JAMA Neurol* **77**, 746-754.

[14]   Génin E (2020) Missing heritability of complex diseases: case solved? *Human

Genetics* **139**, 103-113.

[15]   Young AI (2019) Solving the missing heritability problem. *PLoS Genet* **15**,

e1008222.

[16] Noyce AJ, Bestwick JP, Silveira-Moriyama L, Hawkes CH, Giovannoni G, Lees AJ,

Schrag A (2012) Meta-analysis of early nonmotor features and risk factors for

Parkinson disease. *Ann Neurol* **72**, 893-901.

[17] Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP (2016) Environmental

risk factors and Parkinson's disease: An umbrella review of meta-analyses.

Parkinsonism Relat Disord **23**, 1-9.

[18] Sirugo G, Williams SM, Tishkoff SA (2019) The Missing Diversity in Human

Genetic Studies. *Cell* **177**, 26-31.

[19] Popejoy AB, Fullerton SM (2016) Genomics is failing on diversity. *Nature* **538**, 161-

164.

[20] International Parkinson Disease Genomics C (2020) Ten Years of the International

Parkinson Disease Genomics Consortium: Progress and Next Steps. *Journal of*

Parkinson's disease **10**, 19-30.

[21] Loesch DP, Horimoto A, Heilbron K, Sarihan EI, Inca-Martinez M, Mason E,

Cornejo-Olivas M, Torres L, Mazzetti P, Cosentino C, Sarapura-Castro E, Rivera-

Valdivia A, Medina AC, Dieguez E, Raggio V, Lescano A, Tumas V, Borges V,

Ferraz HB, Rieder CR, Schumacher-Schuh A, Santos-Lobato BL, Velez-Pardo C,

Jimenez-Del-Rio M, Lopera F, Moreno S, Chana-Cuevas P, Fernandez W, Arboleda

G, Arboleda H, Arboleda-Bustos CE, Yearout D, Zabetian CP, Cannon P, Thornton

TA, O'Connor TD, Mata IF (2021) Characterizing the Genetic Architecture of

Parkinson's Disease in Latinos. *Ann Neurol*.

[22] Bandres-Ciga S, Ahmed S, Sabir MS, Blauwendraat C, Adarmes-Gómez AD, Bernal-

Bernal I, Bonilla-Toribio M, Buiza-Rueda D, Carrillo F, Carrión-Claro M, Gómez-

Garre P, Jesús S, Labrador-Espinosa MA, Macias D, Méndez-Del-Barrio C, Periñán-

Tocino T, Tejera-Parrado C, Vargas-González L, Diez-Fairen M, Alvarez I, Tartari

JP, Buongiorno M, Aguilar M, Gorostidi A, Bergareche JA, Mondragon E, Vinagre-

Aragon A, Croitoru I, Ruiz-Martínez J, Dols-Icardo O, Kulisevsky J, Marín-Lahoz J,

Pagonabarraga J, Pascual-Sedano B, Ezquerra M, Cámara A, Compta Y, Fernández

M, Fernández-Santiago R, Muñoz E, Tolosa E, Valldeoriola F, Gonzalez-Aramburu I,

Sanchez Rodriguez A, Sierra M, Menéndez-González M, Blazquez M, Garcia C,

Suarez-San Martin E, García-Ruiz P, Martínez-Castrillo JC, Vela-Desojo L, Ruz C,

Barrero FJ, Escamilla-Sevilla F, Mínguez-Castellanos A, Cerdan D, Tabernero C,

Gomez Heredia MJ, Perez Errazquin F, Romero-Acebal M, Feliz C, Lopez-Sendon

JL, Mata M, Martínez Torres I, Kim JJ, Dalgard CL, American Genome C, Brooks J,

Saez-Atienzar S, Gibbs JR, Jorda R, Botia JA, Bonet-Ponce L, Morrison KE, Clarke

C, Tan M, Morris H, Edsall C, Hernandez D, Simon-Sanchez J, Nalls MA, Scholz

SW, Jimenez-Escrig A, Duarte J, Vives F, Duran R, Hoenicka J, Alvarez V, Infante J,

Marti MJ, Clarimón J, López de Munain A, Pastor P, Mir P, Singleton A,

International Parkinson Disease Genomics C (2019) The Genetic Architecture of

Parkinson Disease in Spain: Characterizing Population-Specific Risk, Differential

Haplotype Structures, and Providing Etiologic Insight. *Movement disorders : official*

journal of the Movement Disorder Society **34**, 1851-1863.

[23] Pihlstrøm L, Axelsson G, Bjørnarå KA, Dizdar N, Fardell C, Forsgren L, Holmberg

B, Larsen JP, Linder J, Nissbrandt H, Tysnes OB, Ohman E, Dietrichs E, Toft M

(2013) Supportive evidence for 11 loci from genome-wide association studies in

Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **34**, 1708.e1707-1713.

[24] Humphreys K, Grankvist A, Leu M, Hall P, Liu J, Ripatti S, Rehnström K, Groop L,

Klareskog L, Ding B, Grönberg H, Xu J, Pedersen NL, Lichtenstein P, Mattingsdal

M, Andreassen OA, O'Dushlaine C, Purcell SM, Sklar P, Sullivan PF, Hultman CM,

Palmgren J, Magnusson PKE (2011) The genetic structure of the Swedish population.

PloS one **6**, e22547-e22547.

[25] Nelis M, Esko T, Mägi R, Zimprich F, Zimprich A, Toncheva D, Karachanak S,

Piskácková T, Balascák I, Peltonen L, Jakkula E, Rehnström K, Lathrop M, Heath S,

Galan P, Schreiber S, Meitinger T, Pfeufer A, Wichmann HE, Melegh B, Polgár N,

Toniolo D, Gasparini P, D'Adamo P, Klovins J, Nikitina-Zake L, Kucinskas V,

Kasnauskiene J, Lubinski J, Debniak T, Limborska S, Khrunin A, Estivill X, Rabionet

R, Marsal S, Julià A, Antonarakis SE, Deutsch S, Borel C, Attar H, Gagnebin M,

Macek M, Krawczak M, Remm M, Metspalu A (2009) Genetic structure of

Europeans: a view from the North-East. *PloS one* **4**, e5472-e5472.

[26] Ameur A, Dahlberg J, Olason P, Vezzi F, Karlsson R, Martin M, Viklund J, Kähäri

AK, Lundin P, Che H, Thutkawkorapin J, Eisfeldt J, Lampa S, Dahlberg M, Hagberg

J, Jareborg N, Liljedahl U, Jonasson I, Johansson Å, Feuk L, Lundeberg J, Syvänen

AC, Lundin S, Nilsson D, Nystedt B, Magnusson PK, Gyllensten U (2017) SweGen:

a whole-genome data resource of genetic variability in a cross-section of the Swedish

population. *Eur J Hum Genet* **25**, 1253-1260.

[27] Salmela E, Lappalainen T, Liu J, Sistonen P, Andersen PM, Schreiber S, Savontaus

M-L, Czene K, Lahermo P, Hall P, Kere J (2011) Swedish population substructure

revealed by genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism data. *PloS one* **6**, e16747-

e16747.

[28] Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG (2009) Research

electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow

process for providing translational research informatics support. *Journal of*

Biomedical Informatics **42**, 377-381.

[29] R Core Team (2020) R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

[30]   Burström K, Sun S, Gerdtham UG, Henriksson M, Johannesson M, Levin L,

Zethraeus N (2014) Swedish experience-based value sets for EQ-5D health states.

Qual Life Res **23**, 431-442.

[31]   Burström K, Teni FS, Gerdtham UG, Leidl R, Helgesson G, Rolfson O, Henriksson

M (2020) Experience-Based Swedish TTO and VAS Value Sets for EQ-5D-5L Health

States. *Pharmacoeconomics* **38**, 839-856.

[32]   Textor J, van der Zander B, Gilthorpe MS, Liśkiewicz M, Ellison GTH (2016) Robust

causal inference using directed acyclic graphs: the R package 'dagitty'. *International*

Journal of Epidemiology **45**, 1887-1894.

[33]   Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J,

Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-

genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 559-

575.

[34]   Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ (2015) Second-

generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience*

4, 7.

[35]   Gibbs RA, Belmont JW, Hardenbol P, Willis TD, Yu F, Yang H, Ch'ang L-Y, Huang

W, Liu B, Shen Y, Tam PK-H, Tsui L-C, Waye MMY, Wong JT-F, Zeng C, Zhang

Q, Chee MS, Galver LM, Kruglyak S, Murray SS, Oliphant AR, Montpetit A, Hudson

TJ, Chagnon F, Ferretti V, Leboeuf M, Phillips MS, Verner A, Kwok P-Y, Duan S,

Lind DL, Miller RD, Rice JP, Saccone NL, Taillon-Miller P, Xiao M, Nakamura Y,

Sekine A, Sorimachi K, Tanaka T, Tanaka Y, Tsunoda T, Yoshino E, Bentley DR,

Deloukas P, Hunt S, Powell D, Altshuler D, Gabriel SB, Zhang H, Zeng C, Matsuda I,

Fukushima Y, Macer DR, Suda E, Rotimi CN, Adebamowo CA, Aniagwu T,

Marshall PA, Matthew O, Nkwodimmah C, Royal CDM, Leppert MF, Dixon M,

Stein LD, Cunningham F, Kanani A, Thorisson GA, Chakravarti A, Chen PE, Cutler

DJ, Kashuk CS, Donnelly P, Marchini J, McVean GAT, Myers SR, Cardon LR,

Abecasis GR, Morris A, Weir BS, Mullikin JC, Sherry ST, Feolo M, Altshuler D,

Daly MJ, Schaffner SF, Qiu R, Kent A, Dunston GM, Kato K, Niikawa N, Knoppers

BM, Foster MW, Clayton EW, Wang VO, Watkin J, Gibbs RA, Belmont JW,

Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Wilson RK, Fulton LL, Rogers J, Birren BW, Han H,

Wang H, Godbout M, Wallenburg JC, L'Archevêque P, Bellemare G, Todani K,

Fujita T, Tanaka S, Holden AL, Lai EH, Collins FS, Brooks LD, McEwen JE, Guyer

MS, Jordan E, Peterson JL, Spiegel J, Sung LM, Zacharia LF, Kennedy K, Dunn MG,

Seabrook R, Shillito M, Skene B, Stewart JG, Valle DL, Clayton EW, Jorde LB,

Belmont JW, Chakravarti A, Cho MK, Duster T, Foster MW, Jasperse M, Knoppers

BM, Kwok P-Y, Licinio J, Long JC, Marshall PA, Ossorio PN, Wang VO, Rotimi

CN, Royal CDM, Spallone P, Terry SF, Lander ES, Lai EH, Nickerson DA, Abecasis

GR, Altshuler D, Bentley DR, Boehnke M, Cardon LR, Daly MJ, Deloukas P,

Douglas JA, Gabriel SB, Hudson RR, Hudson TJ, Kruglyak L, Kwok P-Y, Nakamura

Y, Nussbaum RL, Royal CDM, Schaffner SF, Sherry ST, Stein LD, Tanaka T, †The

International HapMap C, Genotyping centres: Baylor College of M, ParAllele B,

Chinese HapMap C, Illumina, McGill U, Génome Québec Innovation C, University

of California at San F, Washington U, University of T, Riken, Wellcome Trust Sanger

I, Whitehead Institute MITCfGR, Community engagement/public c, sample-

collection groups: Beijing Normal U, Beijing Genomics I, Health Sciences University

of Hokkaido EEI, Shinshu U, Howard U, University of I, University of U, Analysis

Groups: Cold Spring Harbor L, Johns Hopkins University School of M, University of

O, University of Oxford WTCfHG, Health USNIo, Ethical L, Social Issues: Chinese

Academy of Social S, Genetic Interest G, Howard U, Kyoto U, Nagasaki U,

University of M, University of O, Vanderbilt U, Wellcome T, Medicine SNPDBCo,

Washington U, Scientific Management: Chinese Academy of S, Chinese Ministry of

S, Technology, Genome C, Génome Q, Japanese Ministry of Education CSS,

Technology, The SNPC, Initial Planning Groups P, Ethical L, Social Issues G,

Methods G (2003) The International HapMap Project. *Nature* **426**, 789-796.

[36]   Das S, Forer L, Schonherr S, Sidore C, Locke AE, Kwong A, Vrieze SI, Chew EY,

Levy S, McGue M, Schlessinger D, Stambolian D, Loh PR, Iacono WG, Swaroop A,

Scott LJ, Cucca F, Kronenberg F, Boehnke M, Abecasis GR, Fuchsberger C (2016)

Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1284-1287.

[37]   Loh PR, Danecek P, Palamara PF, Fuchsberger C, Y AR, H KF, Schoenherr S, Forer

L, McCarthy S, Abecasis GR, Durbin R, A LP (2016) Reference-based phasing using

the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1443-1448.

[38]   McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, Kang HM,

Fuchsberger C, Danecek P, Sharp K, Luo Y, Sidore C, Kwong A, Timpson N,

Koskinen S, Vrieze S, Scott LJ, Zhang H, Mahajan A, Veldink J, Peters U, Pato C,

van Duijn CM, Gillies CE, Gandin I, Mezzavilla M, Gilly A, Cocca M, Traglia M,

Angius A, Barrett JC, Boomsma D, Branham K, Breen G, Brummett CM, Busonero

F, Campbell H, Chan A, Chen S, Chew E, Collins FS, Corbin LJ, Smith GD,

Dedoussis G, Dorr M, Farmaki AE, Ferrucci L, Forer L, Fraser RM, Gabriel S, Levy

S, Groop L, Harrison T, Hattersley A, Holmen OL, Hveem K, Kretzler M, Lee JC,

McGue M, Meitinger T, Melzer D, Min JL, Mohlke KL, Vincent JB, Nauck M,

Nickerson D, Palotie A, Pato M, Pirastu N, McInnis M, Richards JB, Sala C, Salomaa

V, Schlessinger D, Schoenherr S, Slagboom PE, Small K, Spector T, Stambolian D,

Tuke M, Tuomilehto J, Van den Berg LH, Van Rheenen W, Volker U, Wijmenga C,

Toniolo D, Zeggini E, Gasparini P, Sampson MG, Wilson JF, Frayling T, de Bakker

PI, Swertz MA, McCarroll S, Kooperberg C, Dekker A, Altshuler D, Willer C, Iacono

W, Ripatti S, Soranzo N, Walter K, Swaroop A, Cucca F, Anderson CA, Myers RM,

Boehnke M, McCarthy MI, Durbin R (2016) A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes

for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1279-1283.

[39]   Zhan X, Hu Y, Li B, Abecasis GR, Liu DJ (2016) RVTESTS: an efficient and

comprehensive tool for rare variant association analysis using sequence data.

Bioinformatics **32**, 1423-1426.

[40]   Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic

variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, e164.

[41]   Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, Moore JM, Roy J, Blumenstiel B, Higgins J,

DeFelice M, Lochner A, Faggart M, Liu-Cordero SN, Rotimi C, Adeyemo A, Cooper

R, Ward R, Lander ES, Daly MJ, Altshuler D (2002) The structure of haplotype

blocks in the human genome. *Science* **296**, 2225-2229.

[42]   Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of

LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 263-265.

[43]   Uhl GR, Drgon T, Johnson C, Walther D, David SP, Aveyard P, Murphy M,

Johnstone EC, Munafò MR (2010) Genome-wide association for smoking cessation

success: participants in the Patch in Practice trial of nicotine replacement.

Pharmacogenomics **11**, 357-367.

[44]   Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of

LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 263-265.

[45]   Lee S, Emond MJ, Bamshad MJ, Barnes KC, Rieder MJ, Nickerson DA, Christiani

DC, Wurfel MM, Lin X (2012) Optimal unified approach for rare-variant association

testing with application to small-sample case-control whole-exome sequencing

studies. *Am J Hum Genet* **91**, 224-237.

[46]   Nalls MA, McLean CY, Rick J, Eberly S, Hutten SJ, Gwinn K, Sutherland M,

Martinez M, Heutink P, Williams NM, Hardy J, Gasser T, Brice A, Price TR, Nicolas

A, Keller MF, Molony C, Gibbs JR, Chen-Plotkin A, Suh E, Letson C, Fiandaca MS,

Mapstone M, Federoff HJ, Noyce AJ, Morris H, Van Deerlin VM, Weintraub D,

Zabetian C, Hernandez DG, Lesage S, Mullins M, Conley ED, Northover CAM,

Frasier M, Marek K, Day-Williams AG, Stone DJ, Ioannidis JPA, Singleton AB,

Parkinson's Disease Biomarkers P, Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative i (2015)

Diagnosis of Parkinson's disease on the basis of clinical and genetic classification: a

population-based modelling study. *The Lancet. Neurology* **14**, 1002-1009.

[47]   Blauwendraat C, Heilbron K, Vallerga CL, Bandres-Ciga S, von Coelln R, Pihlstrom

L, Simon-Sanchez J, Schulte C, Sharma M, Krohn L, Siitonen A, Iwaki H, Leonard

H, Noyce AJ, Tan M, Gibbs JR, Hernandez DG, Scholz SW, Jankovic J, Shulman

LM, Lesage S, Corvol JC, Brice A, van Hilten JJ, Marinus J, Eerola-Rautio J, Tienari

P, Majamaa K, Toft M, Grosset DG, Gasser T, Heutink P, Shulman JM, Wood N,

Hardy J, Morris HR, Hinds DA, Gratten J, Visscher PM, Gan-Or Z, Nalls MA,

Singleton AB (2019) Parkinson's disease age at onset genome-wide association study:

Defining heritability, genetic loci, and alpha-synuclein mechanisms. *Mov Disord*.

[48]   Taliun D, Harris DN, Kessler MD, Carlson J, Szpiech ZA, Torres R, Taliun SAG,

Corvelo A, Gogarten SM, Kang HM, Pitsillides AN, LeFaive J, Lee S-b, Tian X,

Browning BL, Das S, Emde A-K, Clarke WE, Loesch DP, Shetty AC, Blackwell TW,

Smith AV, Wong Q, Liu X, Conomos MP, Bobo DM, Aguet F, Albert C, Alonso A,

Ardlie KG, Arking DE, Aslibekyan S, Auer PL, Barnard J, Barr RG, Barwick L,

Becker LC, Beer RL, Benjamin EJ, Bielak LF, Blangero J, Boehnke M, Bowden DW,

Brody JA, Burchard EG, Cade BE, Casella JF, Chalazan B, Chasman DI, Chen Y-DI,

Cho MH, Choi SH, Chung MK, Clish CB, Correa A, Curran JE, Custer B, Darbar D,

Daya M, de Andrade M, DeMeo DL, Dutcher SK, Ellinor PT, Emery LS, Eng C,

Fatkin D, Fingerlin T, Forer L, Fornage M, Franceschini N, Fuchsberger C, Fullerton

SM, Germer S, Gladwin MT, Gottlieb DJ, Guo X, Hall ME, He J, Heard-Costa NL,

Heckbert SR, Irvin MR, Johnsen JM, Johnson AD, Kaplan R, Kardia SLR, Kelly T,

Kelly S, Kenny EE, Kiel DP, Klemmer R, Konkle BA, Kooperberg C, Köttgen A,

Lange LA, Lasky-Su J, Levy D, Lin X, Lin K-H, Liu C, Loos RJF, Garman L,

Gerszten R, Lubitz SA, Lunetta KL, Mak ACY, Manichaikul A, Manning AK,

Mathias RA, McManus DD, McGarvey ST, Meigs JB, Meyers DA, Mikulla JL,

Minear MA, Mitchell BD, Mohanty S, Montasser ME, Montgomery C, Morrison AC,

Murabito JM, Natale A, Natarajan P, Nelson SC, North KE, O'Connell JR, Palmer

ND, Pankratz N, Peloso GM, Peyser PA, Pleiness J, Post WS, Psaty BM, Rao DC,

Redline S, Reiner AP, Roden D, Rotter JI, Ruczinski I, Sarnowski C, Schoenherr S,

Schwartz DA, Seo J-S, Seshadri S, Sheehan VA, Sheu WH, Shoemaker MB, Smith

NL, Smith JA, Sotoodehnia N, Stilp AM, Tang W, Taylor KD, Telen M, Thornton

TA, Tracy RP, Van Den Berg DJ, Vasan RS, Viaud-Martinez KA, Vrieze S, Weeks

DE, Weir BS, Weiss ST, Weng L-C, Willer CJ, Zhang Y, Zhao X, Arnett DK,

Ashley-Koch AE, Barnes KC, Boerwinkle E, Gabriel S, Gibbs R, Rice KM, Rich SS,

Silverman EK, Qasba P, Gan W, Abe N, Almasy L, Ament S, Anderson P, Anugu P,

Applebaum-Bowden D, Assimes T, Avramopoulos D, Barron-Casella E, Beaty T,

Beck G, Becker D, Beitelshees A, Benos T, Bezerra M, Bis J, Bowler R, Broeckel U,

Broome J, Bunting K, Bustamante C, Buth E, Cardwell J, Carey V, Carty C, Casaburi

R, Castaldi P, Chaffin M, Chang C, Chang Y-C, Chavan S, Chen B-J, Chen W-M,

Chuang L-M, Chung R-H, Comhair S, Cornell E, Crandall C, Crapo J, Curtis J,

Damcott C, David S, Davis C, Fuentes Ldl, DeBaun M, Deka R, Devine S, Duan Q,

Duggirala R, Durda JP, Eaton C, Ekunwe L, El Boueiz A, Erzurum S, Farber C,

Flickinger M, Fornage M, Frazar C, Fu M, Fulton L, Gao S, Gao Y, Gass M, Gelb B,

Geng XP, Geraci M, Ghosh A, Gignoux C, Glahn D, Gong D-W, Goring H, Graw S,

Grine D, Gu CC, Guan Y, Gupta N, Haessler J, Hawley NL, Heavner B, Herrington

D, Hersh C, Hidalgo B, Hixson J, Hobbs B, Hokanson J, Hong E, Hoth K, Hsiung

CA, Hung Y-J, Huston H, Hwu CM, Jackson R, Jain D, Jhun MA, Johnson C,

Johnston R, Jones K, Kathiresan S, Khan A, Kim W, Kinney G, Kramer H, Lange C,

Lange E, Lange L, Laurie C, LeBoff M, Lee J, Lee SS, Lee W-J, Levine D, Lewis J,

Li X, Li Y, Lin H, Lin H, Lin KH, Liu S, Liu Y, Liu Y, Luo J, Mahaney M,

Consortium NT-OfPM (2021) Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the

NHLBI TOPMed Program. *Nature* **590**, 290-299.

[49]   Schapira AHV, Chaudhuri KR, Jenner P (2017) Non-motor features of Parkinson

925   disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **18**, 435-450.

926   [50]   Kashihara K (2006) Weight loss in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol* **253 Suppl 7**, Vii38-

927   41.

928   [51]   Pandey S, Srivanitchapoom P (2017) Levodopa-induced Dyskinesia: Clinical

929   Features, Pathophysiology, and Medical Management. *Annals of Indian Academy of*

930   *Neurology* **20**, 190-198.

931   [52]   Freire C, Koifman S (2012) Pesticide exposure and Parkinson's disease:

932   Epidemiological evidence of association. *NeuroToxicology* **33**, 947-971.

933   [53]   KEMI, Statistics on pesticides, Swedish Chemicals Agency,

934   https://www.kemi.se/en/statistics-on-chemicals-in-sweden/statistics-on-pesticides,

935   November 27 2020, Accessed May 5 2021.

936   [54]   Sellbach AN, Boyle RS, Silburn PA, Mellick GD (2006) Parkinson's disease and

937   family history. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* **12**, 399-409.

938 [55] Marras C, Hincapié CA, Kristman VL, Cancelliere C, Soklaridis S, Li A, Borg J, af

939    Geijerstam JL, Cassidy JD (2014) Systematic review of the risk of Parkinson's disease

940    after mild traumatic brain injury: results of the International Collaboration on Mild

941    Traumatic Brain Injury Prognosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* **95**, S238-244.

942 [56] Jafari S, Etminan M, Aminzadeh F, Samii A (2013) Head injury and risk of Parkinson

943    disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mov Disord* **28**, 1222-1229.

944 [57] Holm H, Jarvis MJ, Russell MA, Feyerabend C (1992) Nicotine intake and

945    dependence in Swedish snuff takers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **108**, 507-511.

946 [58] Yang F, Pedersen NL, Ye W, Liu Z, Norberg M, Forsgren L, Trolle Lagerros Y,

947    Bellocco R, Alfredsson L, Knutsson A, Jansson JH, Wennberg P, Galanti MR, Lager

948    ACJ, Araghi M, Lundberg M, Magnusson C, Wirdefeldt K (2017) Moist smokeless

949    tobacco (Snus) use and risk of Parkinson's disease. *Int J Epidemiol* **46**, 872-880.

950 [59] Tobacco, Public Health Agency of Sweden,

951    https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/the-public-health-agency-of-sweden/living-

952    conditions-and-lifestyle/alcohol-narcotics-doping-tobacco-and-gambling/tobacco/,

953    June 28 2019, Accessed April 20.

954 [60] Quik M (2004) Smoking, nicotine and Parkinson's disease. *Trends in Neurosciences*

955    **27**, 561-568.

956 [61] Hou L, Li Q, Jiang L, Qiu H, Geng C, Hong J-S, Li H, Wang Q (2018) Hypertension

957    and Diagnosis of Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. *Frontiers*

958    *in neurology* **9**, 162-162.

959 [62] Jiang Z, Xu X, Gu X, Ou R, Luo X, Shang H, Song W (2020) Effects of Higher

960    Serum Lipid Levels on the Risk of Parkinson's Disease: A Systematic Review and

961    Meta-Analysis. *Front Neurol* **11**, 597.

962   [63]   Yan J, Qiao L, Tian J, Liu A, Wu J, Huang J, Shen M, Lai X (2019) Effect of statins

963   on Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* **98**.

964   [64]   Jones JD, Malaty I, Price CC, Okun MS, Bowers D (2012) Health comorbidities and

965   cognition in 1948 patients with idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat*

966   *Disord* **18**, 1073-1078.

967   [65]   Rugbjerg K, Friis S, Jørgensen TL, Ritz B, Korbo L, Olsen JH (2010) Risk for

968   Parkinson's disease among patients with osteoarthritis: a Danish cohort study. *Mov*

969   *Disord* **25**, 2355-2360.

970   [66]   Gao X, Chen H, Schwarzschild MA, Ascherio A (2011) Use of ibuprofen and risk of

971   Parkinson disease. *Neurology* **76**, 863-869.

972   [67]   Brakedal B, Tzoulis C, Tysnes OB, Haugarvoll K (2021) NSAID use is not associated

973   with Parkinson's disease incidence: A Norwegian Prescription Database study. *PLoS*

974   *One* **16**, e0256602.

975   [68]   Zhang X, Zhang L, Lin B, Chai X, Li R, Liao Y, Deng X, Liu Q, Yang W, Cai Y,

976   Zhou W, Lin Z, Huang W, Zhong M, Lei F, Wu J, Yu S, Li X, Li S, Li Y, Zeng J,

977   Long W, Ren D, Huang Y (2017) Phospholipid Phosphatase 4 promotes proliferation

978   and tumorigenesis, and activates Ca(2+)-permeable Cationic Channel in lung

979   carcinoma cells. *Mol Cancer* **16**, 147.

980   [69]   Simón-Sánchez J, van Hilten JJ, van de Warrenburg B, Post B, Berendse HW,

981   Arepalli S, Hernandez DG, de Bie RM, Velseboer D, Scheffer H, Bloem B, van Dijk

982   KD, Rivadeneira F, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Rizzu P, Bochdanovits Z, Singleton

983   AB, Heutink P (2011) Genome-wide association study confirms extant PD risk loci

984   among the Dutch. *Eur J Hum Genet* **19**, 655-661.

985   [70]   Nalls MA, Pankratz N, Lill CM, Do CB, Hernandez DG, Saad M, DeStefano AL,

986   Kara E, Bras J, Sharma M, Schulte C, Keller MF, Arepalli S, Letson C, Edsall C,

Stefansson H, Liu X, Pliner H, Lee JH, Cheng R, International Parkinson's Disease

Genomics C, Parkinson's Study Group Parkinson's Research: The Organized GI,

andMe, GenePd, NeuroGenetics Research C, Hussman Institute of Human G,

Ashkenazi Jewish Dataset I, Cohorts for H, Aging Research in Genetic E, North

American Brain Expression C, United Kingdom Brain Expression C, Greek

Parkinson's Disease C, Alzheimer Genetic Analysis G, Ikram MA, Ioannidis JPA,

Hadjigeorgiou GM, Bis JC, Martinez M, Perlmutter JS, Goate A, Marder K, Fiske B,

Sutherland M, Xiromerisiou G, Myers RH, Clark LN, Stefansson K, Hardy JA,

Heutink P, Chen H, Wood NW, Houlden H, Payami H, Brice A, Scott WK, Gasser T,

Bertram L, Eriksson N, Foroud T, Singleton AB (2014) Large-scale meta-analysis of

genome-wide association data identifies six new risk loci for Parkinson's disease.

Nature genetics **46**, 989-993.

[71]   Fanning S, Selkoe D, Dettmer U (2020) Parkinson's disease: proteinopathy or

lipidopathy? *npj Parkinson's Disease* **6**, 3.

[72]   Puschmann A, Jiménez-Ferrer I, Lundblad-Andersson E, Mårtensson E, Hansson O,

Odin P, Widner H, Brolin K, Mzezewa R, Kristensen J, Soller M, Rödström EY, Ross

OA, Toft M, Breedveld GJ, Bonifati V, Brodin L, Zettergren A, Sydow O, Linder J,

Wirdefeldt K, Svenningsson P, Nissbrandt H, Belin AC, Forsgren L, Swanberg M

(2019) Low prevalence of known pathogenic mutations in dominant PD genes: A

Swedish multicenter study. *Parkinsonism & related disorders* **66**, 158-165.

[73]   Tolosa E, Vila M, Klein C, Rascol O (2020) LRRK2 in Parkinson disease: challenges

of clinical trials. *Nature Reviews Neurology* **16**, 97-107.

Tables

Table 1: Characteristics of participants (individuals with Parkinson's disease (PD) and individuals without the disease [controls]) in the MPBC cohort.

	Patients (N=929)	Controls (N=935)
Sex		
n	929	935
Men	599 (64.5%)	598 (64.0%)
Women	330 (35.5%)	337 (36.0%)
Birth year		
n	929	935
Mean (SD)	1944.4 (8.4)	1944.4 (8.3)
Range	1920.0-1979.0	1920.0-1980.0
Age at inclusion		
n	929	935
Mean (SD)	71.0 (8.3)	72.3 (8.3)
Range	37.0-96.0	38.0-97.0
Swedish ancestry		
n	922	921
No	112 (12.1%)	128 (13.9%)
Yes	810 (87.9%)	793 (86.1%)
Highest completed education		
n	923	929
< Primary and lower secondary education	8 (0.9%)	4 (0.4%)
Primary and lower secondary education	241 (26.1%)	232 (25.0%)
Upper secondary education	403 (43.7%)	384 (41.3%)
University	271 (29.4%)	309 (33.3%)
BMI at inclusion (kg/m^2)		
n	914	921
Mean (SD)	25.8 (4.4)	26.0 (3.9)
Range	15.2-48.8	15.9-42.3
Marital status		
n	925	931
Single	57 (6.2%)	62 (6.7%)
Married/Civil partnership	719 (77.7%)	713 (76.6%)
Divorced/Separated	71 (7.7%)	60 (6.4%)
Widow/Widower	78 (8.4%)	96 (10.3%)
TTO Index		
n	898	903
Mean (SD)	0.8 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)
Range	0.4-1.0	0.5-1.0
VAS Index		
n	898	903
Mean (SD)	68.9 (16.8)	82.0 (9.7)
Range	17.2-88.9	20.9-88.9

Swedish ancestry: Both parents were born in Sweden. BMI: Body Mass Index; TTO: Time Trade Of, EQ-5D-3L;

VAS: Visual Analogue Scale, EQ-5D-3L

Table 2: Characteristics of individuals with Parkinson's disease in the MPBC cohort.

	Men (N=599)	Women (N=330)
Age at diagnosis		
n	503	289
Median (Q1, Q3)	67.0 (60.0, 72.0)	67.0 (59.0, 73.0)
Range	29.0-84.0	35.0-89.0
Disease length at inclusion		
n	503	289
Median (Q1, Q3)	4.0 (1.5, 8.0)	4.0 (2.0, 7.0)
Range	0.0-36.0	0.0-33.0
Hoehn & Yahr		
n	514	295
Median (Q1, Q3)	2.0 (1.5, 3.0)	2.0 (1.5, 3.0)
Range	0.0-5.0	0.0-5.0
CISI-PD		
n	518	301
Median (Q1, Q3)	5.0 (3.0, 8.0)	5.0 (2.0, 7.0)
Range	0.0-21.0	0.0-20.0
PDQ-8		
n	518	299
Median (Q1, Q3)	6.0 (2.0, 10.0)	7.0 (3.0, 11.0)
Range	0.0-25.0	0.0-21.0

Data retrieved from the Swedish Parkinson Registry. CISI-PD: Clinical Impression of Severity Index – Parkinson's Disease, summary score; PDQ-8: Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire – 8, summary score.

Table 3: Identified haplotypes in the *PLPP4* locus

Locus	SNPs (N)	Haplotype	MAF Pat.	MAF Ctrls	KB	OR^a	95%CI^a	P-value^a	OR^b	95%CI^b	P-value^b	OR^c	95%CI	P-value^c	SNPs
H1	8	GACGGGGG	0.901	0.911	41.334	1.00	Ref.	3.00E-01	1.00	Ref.	3.20E-01	1.00	Ref.	3.00E-01	rs2420703|rs71484626|rs2420706|rs2420707|rs2901235|rs12571802|rs2901237|rs17100130
H1	8	AGAAAAAA	0.013	0.012	41.334	1.19	0.92-1.5	1.73E-01	1.19	0.92-1.5	8.20E-01	1.20	0.92-1.6	1.70E-01	rs2420703|rs71484626|rs2420706|rs2420707|rs2901235|rs12571802|rs2901237|rs17100130
H1	8	GGCGAGGG	0.076	0.065	41.334	1.09	0.60-2.0	8.10E-01	1.08	0.59-2.0	1.80E-01	1.07	0.58-1.9	8.60E-01	rs2420703|rs71484626|rs2420706|rs2420707|rs2901235|rs12571802|rs2901237|rs17100130
H2	2	GG	0.750	0.763	10.817	1.00	Ref.	3.28E-01	1.00	Ref.	3.16E-01	1.00	Ref.	4.39E-01	rs7068076|rs36108282
H2	2	AA	0.071	0.047	10.817	1.56	1.17-2.06	1.48E-03	1.54	1.16-2.04	2.31E-03	1.48	1.11-1.97	5.57E-03	rs7068076|rs36108282
H2	2	AG	0.178	0.188	10.817	0.97	0.82-1.14	4.15E-01	0.97	0.82-1.15	4.74E-01	0.96	0.81-1.14	4.23E-01	rs7068076|rs36108282
H3	3	GAG	0.448	0.450	7.153	1.00	Ref.	9.07E-01	1.00	Ref.	9.93E-01	1.00	Ref.	8.53E-01	rs4595466|rs2244544|rs77772193
H3	3	GGG	0.129	0.094	7.153	1.34	1.08-1.65	1.30E-03	1.33	1.07-1.65	1.63E-03	1.31	1.06-1.63	1.99E-03	rs4595466|rs2244544|rs77772193
H3	3	GGA	0.082	0.070	7.153	1.19	0.92-1.53	1.56E-01	1.17	0.91-1.51	1.83E-01	1.14	0.89-1.48	2.38E-01	rs4595466|rs2244544|rs77772193
H3	3	AGG	0.341	0.386	7.153	0.88	0.76-1.02	4.12E-03	0.88	0.76-1.01	3.30E-03	0.87	0.75-1.01	3.10E-03	rs4595466|rs2244544|rs77772193
H4	2	CA	0.492	0.512	5.468	1.00	Ref.	2.35E-01	1.00	Ref.	2.71E-01	1.00	Ref.	3.12E-01	rs60288721|rs1536389
H4	2	CG	0.432	0.415	5.468	1.08	0.95-1.24	2.83E-01	1.07	0.94-1.23	3.44E-01	1.07	0.94-1.22	3.65E-01	rs60288721|rs1536389
H4	2	AA	0.075	0.073	5.468	1.07	0.83-1.38	8.08E-01	1.08	0.83-1.39	7.49E-01	1.06	0.82-1.37	8.24E-01	rs60288721|rs1536389
H5	2	CA	0.482	0.494	5.908	1.00	Ref.	4.72E-01	1.00	Ref.	5.06E-01	1.00	Ref.	5.46E-01	rs11199378|rs12768425
H5	2	AC	0.491	0.477	5.908	1.06	0.93-1.06	3.82E-01	1.05	0.92-1.20	4.33E-01	1.04	0.92-1.19	5.04E-01	rs11199378|rs12768425
H5	2	AA	0.011	0.009	5.908	1.21	0.63-2.32	6.15E-01	1.19	0.61-2.30	6.51E-01	1.26	0.65-2.45	5.28E-01	rs11199378|rs12768425
H5	2	CC	0.016	0.020	5.908	0.81	0.50-1.32	3.34E-01	0.84	0.51-1.39	4.30E-01	0.86	0.52-1.41	4.75E-01	rs11199378|rs12768425
H6	5	GGAGA	0.408	0.359	41.913	1.00	Ref.	2.03E-03	1.00	Ref.	1.59E-03	1.00	Ref.	1.59E-03	rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717
H6	5	GAGGA	0.039	0.028	41.913	1.17	0.82-1.68	1.03E-01	1.19	0.83-1.70	8.96E-02	1.15	0.80-1.65	1.29E-01	rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717
H6	5	AGGAA	0.209	0.189	41.913	0.97	0.81-1.16	1.16E-01	0.98	0.82-1.17	9.48E-02	0.97	0.81-1.16	1.08E-01	rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717
H6	5	GAGGG	0.050	0.050	41.913	0.91	0.67-1.24	8.35E-01	0.90	0.66-1.24	8.67E-01	0.91	0.66-1.25	8.08E-01	rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717
H6	**5**	**GGGGA**	**0.242**	**0.302**	**41.913**	**0.70**	**0.60-0.83**	**4.67E-05**	**0.70**	**0.59-0.82**	**3.28E-05**	**0.69**	**0.59-0.82**	**2.54E-05**	**rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717**
H6	5	AGGGA	0.045	0.063	41.913	0.59	0.44-0.81	7.14E-03	0.59	0.43-0.81	7.29E-03	0.97	0.81-1.16	8.92E-03	rs978854|rs7910507|rs10886711|rs11199417|rs10886717
H7	3	GGG	0.439	0.408	2.751	1.00	Ref.	6.06E-02	1.00	Ref.	9.14E-02	1.00	Ref.	7.22E-02	rs75318356|rs7912073|rs11199429
H7	3	GGA	0.403	0.402	2.751	0.93	0.81-1.07	9.55E-01	0.94	0.82-1.09	8.91E-01	0.93	0.81-1.08	9.83E-01	rs75318356|rs7912073|rs11199429
H7	3	AAA	0.115	0.123	2.751	0.87	0.70-1.07	3.95E-01	0.88	0.71-1.10	4.86E-01	0.87	0.70-1.08	4.20E-01	rs75318356|rs7912073|rs11199429
H7	3	GAA	0.044	0.065	2.751	0.63	0.47-0.85	4.83E-03	0.63	0.46-0.85	5.00E-03	0.64	0.47-0.87	7.71E-03	rs75318356|rs7912073|rs11199429
H8	2	GG	0.663	0.683	0.417	1.00	Ref.	1.85E-01	1.00	Ref.	2.16E-01	1.00	Ref.	2.09E-01	rs9943454|rs41287958
H8	2	AG	0.259	0.250	0.417	1.07	0.92-1.24	5.11E-01	1.07	0.92-1.24	5.14E-01	1.07	0.92-1.25	4.71E-01	rs9943454|rs41287958
H8	2	GA	0.078	0.067	0.417	1.21	0.93-1.56	1.95E-01	1.18	0.91-1.53	2.54E-01	1.17	0.90-1.52	2.91E-01	rs9943454|rs41287958
H9	2	AG	0.523	0.512	6.032	1.00	Ref.	5.01E-01	1.00	Ref.	5.12E-01	1.00	Ref.	5.39E-01	rs2420779|rs12415215
H9	2	CG	0.428	0.436	6.032	0.96	0.84-1.10	6.20E-01	0.96	0.84-1.10	6.28E-01	0.96	0.84-1.10	6.18E-01	rs2420779|rs12415215
H9	2	AA	0.050	0.052	6.032	0.92	0.69-1.24	6.90E-01	0.93	0.69-1.25	6.97E-01	0.94	0.70-1.28	7.91E-01	rs2420779|rs12415215
H10	3	AGA	0.291	0.293	12.005	1.00	Ref.	9.01E-01	1.00	Ref.	9.89E-01	1.00	Ref.	9.86E-01	rs10886725|rs7068008|rs4752444
H10	3	AGG	0.273	0.248	12.005	1.11	0.93-1.41	9.20E-02	1.11	0.93-1.32	7.26E-02	1.11	0.93-1.32	6.41E-02	rs10886725|rs7068008|rs4752444
H10	3	AAG	0.280	0.280	12.005	1.01	0.85-1.20	9.95E-01	0.99	0.84-1.18	8.87E-01	1.00	0.84-1.19	9.09E-01	rs10886725|rs7068008|rs4752444
H10	3	GAG	0.156	0.178	12.005	0.89	0.73-1.08	7.51E-02	0.87	0.72-10.6	5.85E-02	0.87	0.71-1.06	4.66E-02	rs10886725|rs7068008|rs4752444
H11	3	AGG	0.523	0.533	0.866	1.00	Ref.	5.42E-01	1.00	Ref.	5.91E-01	1.00	Ref.	6.15E-01	rs2420768|rs7914572|rs11594222
H11	3	GAG	0.249	0.235	0.866	1.07	0.92-1.26	3.07E-01	1.08	0.92-1.26	2.75E-01	1.12	0.91-1.38	2.62E-01	rs2420768|rs7914572|rs11594222
H11	3	GGG	0.123	0.111	0.866	1.12	0.91-1.38	2.72E-01	1.11	0.90-1.37	3.12E-01	1.08	0.92-1.26	2.64E-01	rs2420768|rs7914572|rs11594222
H11	3	GGA	0.104	0.121	0.866	0.89	0.72-1.09	1.21E-01	0.88	0.71-1.08	9.46E-02	0.86	0.70-1.06	6.28E-02	rs2420768|rs7914572|rs11594222
H12	3	CGA	0.361	0.363	18.819	1.00	Ref.	8.97E-01	1.00	Ref.	9.90E-01	1.00	Ref.	9.12E-01	rs10458766|rs11199483|rs12570141
H12	3	AAA	0.280	0.255	18.819	1.10	0.93-1.30	8.82E-02	1.08	0.92-1.28	1.35E-01	1.09	0.92-1.29	1.43E-01	rs10458766|rs11199483|rs12570141
H12	3	AGA	0.272	0.278	18.819	0.98	0.83-1.15	6.53E-01	0.98	0.83-1.15	7.19E-01	0.98	0.83-1.16	6.62E-01	rs10458766|rs11199483|rs12570141
H12	3	AGG	0.084	0.100	18.819	0.84	0.66-1.07	9.04E-02	0.83	0.66-1.06	8.19E-02	0.87	0.68-1.10	1.55E-01	rs10458766|rs11199483|rs12570141

Identified haplotypes from genotyped variants in *PLPP4* ±100 kb upstream or downstream. A total of 45 haplotypes were identified in 12 haplotype blocks.

[a] Unadjusted logistic regression analyses

[b] Logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, sex and PC1-5

[c] Logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, PC1-5 and tobacco use

Haplotypes indicating a significant association with PD at a corrected p-value threshold of 0.003 are marked in bold.

Table 4: Number of variants in *PLPP4* tested through SKAT and the results statistics at MAF threshold 1% and 5% in the genotyped data vs the imputed

	MAF < 1%		MAF < 5%	
	No. Variants	p-value	No. Variants	p-value
Genotyped data				
All variants	1	NA	**16**	**0.002**
Coding variants	0	NA	0	NA
Imputed data				
All variants	402	0.109	628	0.005
Coding variants	1	0.562	1	0.562

Analyses were adjusted for age at inclusion, sex and PC1-5
Results significant at Bonferroni correction are highlighted in bold

Figures

Figure 1: Forest plot over the associations between risk factors and PD in MPBC.

Showing the adjusted OR and 95% CI. Number of individuals in each analysis is found in

Table S1.

Variable	OR
Alcohol	
• Nothing	1.00
• Low	0.58
• Moderate	0.45
• High	0.23
Red Wine	
• Nothing	1.00
• Low	0.99
• Moderate	0.88
• High	0.32
BMI	
• Inclusion	0.99
Physical activity	
• Sedentary	1.00
• Moderate	0.38
• Moderate but regular	0.28
• Regular	0.26
Physical activity - Hours/week	
• Nothing	1.00
• < 1 hour/week	0.44
• 1-3 hours/week	0.31
• >3 - <5 hours/week	0.26
• ≥5 hours/week	0.21
Comorbidities	
• Hyperlipidemia	0.51
• Hypertension	0.57
• Osteoarthritis	0.66
• Migraine	0.81
• Back pain	1.56
• Depression	1.89
• Bowel problems	3.93
Ibuprofen use	
• Never	1.00
• < 2 times/week	0.53
• ≥ 2 times/week	0.89

Figure 2: Forest plot over the associations between various variables and PD. Analyzed variables were obtained from questions regarding exposure/use within the past year prior to study inclusion. The plot shows OR and 95% CI adjusted by age at inclusion and sex. Number of individuals in each analysis is found in Table S2.

Figure 3: Manhattan plot showing the result from PD GWA analysis. A total of

5,445,841 SNPs (MAF >5%) were tested for 929 PD patients vs 935 controls. The y-axis

represents the negative log (two-sided p-values) for association of variants with PD and the

x-axis represent the genomic position on genome build GRCh37. The horizontal dashed line

indicates the genome-wide significance level (p=5E-08).

Figure 4: LocusZoom plot for PD GWAS PLPP4 loci. Imputed and genotyped variants passing QC in the *PLPP4* gene +/- 100 kb (10:122116466-122449376) mapped to genome build GRCh37. The only coding gene in the region is *PLPP4*, other include pseudogenes (AC073587.1) and long non-coding RNA (LINC01561, AC023282.1, WDR11-AS1). The variant with lowest p-value (index) is indicated as a purple diamond. Marker colors indicate the strength of LD as r^2 between the index variant and other variants in the 1000 Genomes EUR population.

Figure 5: Genetic risk score (GRS) quartiles vs disease status (A) and age at diagnosis (AAD) (B and C). A: Odds ratio of PD status per risk quartile of the Z-standardized GRS. B: Regression line for the association between the Z-standardized GRS and AAD. The line represents the parameter estimate and the shading the 95% CI of the regression model. The model was adjusted for sex, PD family history, and PC1-5. C: AAD and Z-standardized GRS for each study participant with the regression model in plot B fitted to the plot.