State of Emergency and Human Mobility during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Shohei Okamoto*

* Research Team for Social Participation and Community Health, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology & Institute for Global Health Policy Research, National Center for Global Health and Medicine

Correspondence to Dr. Shohei Okamoto, Research Team for Social Participation and Community Health, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology: 35-2 Sakae-cho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, Japan 1730015 (E-mail: sokamoto@tmig.or.jp)

Abstract

Background

To help control the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the Japanese government declared a state of emergency (SoE) thrice. However, these were less stringent than other nations. It has not been assessed whether soft containment policies were sufficiently effective in promoting social distancing or reducing human contact.

Methods

Utilising the Google mobility index to assess social distancing behaviour in all Japanese prefectures between 15 February 2020 and 12 June 2021, mobility changes were assessed by an interrupted time-series analysis after adjusting for seasonality and various prefecture-specific fixed-effects and distinguishing potential heterogeneity across multiple SoEs and time passed after the declaration.

Results

The mobility index for retail and recreation showed an immediate decline after the declaration of the SoE by 12.78 percent-points (95%CI: -13.61 to -11.94) and a further decline after the initial period (beta: -0.93, 95%CI: -1.11 to -0.74), but gradually increased by 0.02 percent-points (95%CI: 0.02 – 0.02). This trend was similar for mobilities in other places. Among the three SoEs, the overall decline in human mobility outside the home in the third SoE was the least significant, suggesting that people were less compliant with social distancing measures during this period.

Conclusion

Although less stringent government responses to the pandemic may help promote social distancing by controlling human mobilities outside the home, their effectiveness may decrease if these interventions are repeated and enforced for extended periods, distorting one's health belief by heuristics biases. By combining these with other measures (i.e. risk-communication strategies), even mild containment and closure policies can be effective in curbing the spread of the virus.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

It has been shown that human mobility, in terms of tracing social distancing and human contact in places such as shops, restaurants, and workplaces, was reported to be a useful indicator for predicting COVID-19 outbreaks. Also, previous studies have shown that containment and closure policies, such as country lockdowns and a State of Emergency (SoE) declarations, effectively reduce human mobility. However, it is not explicitly known whether longer and repeated ‘alerts’ requesting citizens to avoid nonessential activities with risk communication strategies are equally effective.

Added-value of this study

This study first evaluated if longer and repeated SoEs were effective to reduce human mobility, suggesting three main findings. First, individuals engage in social distancing behaviours during the initial periods of the SoE but become less compliant as time passes. Second, when mobility changes during each SoE were distinguished, overall declines in mobilities outside the home and increases in stay-at-home time were less obvious during the succeeding SoEs. Third, under the stringent government responses to the pandemic and decline in mobilities, the consumption level—especially for activities outside the home—sharply declined, suggesting that strong public interventions may worsen the economy.

Implications of all the available evidence

While less stringent government responses to the pandemic are effective in promoting social distancing by controlling human mobilities outside the home, their effectiveness may decrease if similar interventions are repeated for extended periods of time. However, by combining these with other measures such as risk-communication strategies, even less costly interventions such as mild containment and closure policies can be effective in curbing the spread of the COVID-19 virus.

Background

In response to the global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) health crisis, given its enormous impacts on population health, society, and economy, national governments implemented large scale public health interventions (i.e. lockdowns and state of emergency [SoE] declarations) to control the spread of the virus[1]. In addition, individuals and businesses were required to refrain from nonessential activities and to practise social distancing, leading lead to an economic downturn[2,3] due to the restricted opportunities for consumption and production.

With the absence of herd immunity and/or effective treatments, minimising transmission through other means, such as wearing a mask, disinfection, ventilation, and physical distancing, are important. It has been shown that human mobility, in terms of tracing social distancing and human contact in places such as shops, restaurants, and workplaces, was reported to be a useful indicator for predicting COVID-19 outbreaks[4-8] Thus, changes in human mobility can be a tracer indicator of the general public's response to government directives regarding the virus since this is relatively easy to capture through the use of location information (e.g. mobile phones with a Global Positioning System) as compared to other metrics (e.g. proportion of individuals wearing masks or disinfecting their hands regularly).

Previous studies have shown that containment and closure policies, such as country lockdowns and SoE declarations, effectively reduce human mobility.[9-13] However, extended

restriction without complementary mitigation measures could become ineffective[14]. While tighter restriction can decrease mobility,[15,16] it could inevitably lead to a deeper economic downturn due to the decrease in economic activities. Moreover, apart from tighter non-pharmaceutical interventions (e.g. lockdown), milder interventions such as risk communication strategies were also found to be effective in reducing the spread of infection.[15] Therefore, an ideal stringency of non-pharmaceutical interventions should be implemented, but the adopted countermeasures by each country against the pandemic highly depend on local governance and socio-economic and cultural orientations.[17,18]

In Japan, the government responses to the pandemic are less stringent compared to other countries,[19] requesting, rather than mandating, individuals and businesses to practise social distancing and avoid nonessential activities even under a SoE. Nevertheless, human mobility was still effectively reduced in specific urban cities[9,10]. Thus, Japan's experiences with COVID-19 countermeasures should be helpful in supporting the mitigation of the spread of the virus by reducing human mobility with less strict interventions.

Although lockdowns and SoEs have been shown to be effective in reducing human mobility,[9-13] little is known about whether longer and repeated 'alerts' requesting citizens to avoid nonessential activities with risk communication strategies are equally effective. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the association between declarations of SoEs and human mobility utilising the data covering all prefectures in Japan.

Methods

Data

(1) Human mobility

To track one of the indicators affecting COVID-19 transmissibility, a human mobility index published by Google (hereafter, mobility index) was utilised[12]. The mobility index represents the relative percentage changes from the baseline (i.e. the median value of the same day of the week between 3 January - 6 February 2020) of visits and length of stay at various places. This daily index is available for all the 47 prefectures in Japan and covers the following six categories: (a) retail and recreation; (b) supermarkets and pharmacies; (c) parks; (d) public transportation; (e) workplaces; (f) residential areas. Of these six categories, (a) retail and recreation and (c) parks could be seen as leisure activities, while (b) supermarkets and pharmacies can be considered as essential trips. Stay-at-home or work-from-home behaviours of the citizens can be seen by (d) public transport, (e) workplaces, and (f) residential. The mobility index from the baseline (between 3 January – 6 February 2020) up to 12 June 2021 was analysed in this study. The dataset includes a total of 22,748 observations from 47 prefectures and includes some missing observations for some mobility indices.

(2) State of Emergency

In response to the drastic virus spread, the Japanese government declared an SoE thrice in prefectures wherein the spread of infection was serious and healthcare capacities were under strain, requesting these areas to refrain from nonessential activities.

The first nationwide SoE occurred from 7 April to 25 May 2020 and was declared in seven prefectures, before expanding to all other prefectures. The second SoE was longer than the first and was declared in 11 prefectures located in urban areas from 8 January to 21 March 2021. The third SoE started on 25 April 2021 in four prefectures, and then expanded to five other prefectures. The timelines of each SoE are described in Appendix Note 1.

(3) Policy tracker

To quantify the stringency of government responses to the pandemic, a research group from the University of Oxford published a ‘stringency index’, which comprises containment and closure policies and public information campaigns, and is reported to be associated with human mobility.[12] This index, in addition to the SoE, was used to evaluate its association with population behaviours in Japan.

As shown in Appendix Figure 1, the stringency index of Japan increased during the SoEs, and generally corresponded to the waves of infection spread.

(4) Household consumption

As a result of stronger government interventions to control the virus spread, the effects on the economy may be worsened due to the decrease in individual economic activity. While individual-level monthly data on economic activity is not publicly available, the Japanese government publishes monthly statistics on average household consumption based from a nationwide sample of approximately 7,900 households[20]. Therefore, the monthly average of household consumption was used as an indicator of economic activities of the citizen. To adjust for the seasonality, percent changes from the past three-year averages of the same month were calculated. More details on the data used in this study are presented in Appendix Note 2.

Empirical strategy

De-trending of mobility index

The mobility index was used as the tracer indicator of the transmissibility of the coronavirus in this study. However, human mobility is largely affected by various factors, such as weather conditions, the days of the week, national holidays, and other specific events, which can differ across regions. Therefore, since simple intertemporal comparisons (e.g. pre-post and past-present comparisons) could generate biases, the mobility index could only be utilised after removing effects of weather conditions and location-specific seasonality. With this, the

mobility index was de-trended by obtaining the residuals $(e_{i,t})$ between the actual values and the fitted values as expressed in the equation (1):

$$e_{i,t} = Y_{i,t} - \tilde{Y}_{i,t} \qquad (1)$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{i,t} = \alpha_w Weather_{i,t} + \gamma_i + \delta_{i,h} + \eta_{i,d} + \varepsilon_{i,m} + \mu_{i,y} \qquad (2)$$

where $Y_{i,t}$ denotes the actual mobility index of prefecture i on the date t. $\tilde{Y}_{i,t}$ represents the linear fitted value of the mobility index predicted by daily weather conditions (i.e. mean temperature, total precipitation, sunshine duration, total snowfall, and mean wind speed), prefecture-specific fixed-effects (γ_i), prefecture-by-national-holiday fixed-effects ($\delta_{i,h}$), prefecture-by-days-of-the-week fixed-effects ($\eta_{i,d}$), prefecture-by-month-effects ($\varepsilon_{i,m}$), and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects ($\mu_{i,y}$). Intuitively, $e_{i,t}$ expresses the human mobility pattern which is not explained by weather conditions and prefecture-specific calendar effects.

State of Emergency and human mobility

To evaluate the association between a SoE and human mobility, several models were estimated in this study.

First, the average effects of the SoE were obtained by estimating the following equation (3):

$$e_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \alpha_0 SoE_t + \tau_o \sum_{t-7}^{t-1} covid_{i,t}/7 + \gamma_i + \delta_{i,h} + \eta_{i,d} + \varepsilon_{i,m} + \mu_{i,y} \qquad (3)$$

where β_0 is the constant and α_0 represents the parameter to be estimated for quantifying percent changes from the baseline during the SoE—a dummy variable indicating the state of emergency periods (coded 1) or not (coded 0)—of the de-trended mobility index. To control potential changes in population behaviours due to the infectious conditions, the per-day infections confirmed in the past seven days for each prefecture was included in the model. The same by-prefecture fixed-effects presented in the equation (2) are also included. Moreover, the following equation (4) was estimated to assess heterogeneity in multiple SoEs:

$$e_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \alpha_1 First_t + \alpha_2 Seconod_t + \alpha_3 Third_t + \alpha_4 \sum_{t-7}^{t-1} covid_{i,t}/7 + \gamma_i + \delta_{i,h} + \eta_{i,d} + \varepsilon_{i,m} + \mu_{i,y} \quad (4)$$

where each α_1, α_2, and α_3 represents the mobility changes in the first, second, and third SoE, respectively.

To deepen the understanding for the association between the SoE and mobility changes, an interrupted time series analysis[21] was further conducted by estimating the following equations (5):

$$e_{i,t} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 Time_t + \theta_2 SoE_t + \theta_3 SoE_t \times Time_{SoE} + \theta_4 \sum_{t-7}^{t-1} covid_{i,t}/7 + \gamma_i + \delta_{i,h} + \eta_{i,d} + \varepsilon_{i,m} + \mu_{i,y} \quad (5)$$

where $Time_t$ represents the number of days since the start of the study and $Time_{SoE}$ represents the time since the start of the SoE. Additionally, θ_1 indicates the underlying pre-intervention trend, θ_2 is the level of change following the SoE, and θ_3 denotes the slope

change following the SoE. By extending equation (4) in the same manner as equation (3) and (5), the mobility changes associated with each SoE was also evaluated by the time interrupted series analysis. To account for potential autocorrelation, prefecture-level cluster robust standard errors were estimated. Models were analysed by the panel data linear regression models with high-dimensional fixed effects[16,22], and were weighted by the total population of each prefecture. Furthermore, potential non-linear time trends were assessed for each model, assuming a quadratic trend.

Government responses, mobility, and economic activity

Although the stringencies of containment and closure policies differ across regions due to differences in infectious conditions, the index was only available at the national level. Therefore, country-level analyses were conducted to assess the daily association between the stringency index and human mobility.

For consumption, given that only the monthly averages for the whole country were available, the association between the stringency index and consumption was visualised. All analyses were conducted by the Stata software version 16.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, USA).

Results

Descriptive statistics

In Table 1, descriptive statistics on key variables used in this study are presented. As the study covers all prefectures in Japan between 15 February 2020 and 12 June 2021, around 22,748 prefecture-day observations were available. Since the four seasons are distinct in Japan, the per-day mean temperature, precipitation, and sunshine duration fluctuate throughout the seasons.

As for human mobility, mean percent changes from the baseline period for retail and recreation (-9.40, standard deviation [SD]: 11.55), public transport (-22.48, SD: 14.84), and workplaces (-11.86, SD: 13.69) were negative, while the one for residential was positive (5.80, SD: 4.64). Mobilities for grocery, pharmacies, and parks showed a less than 1% change on average.

The average duration of the first SoE was 33.34 days (SD: 7.72), taking effect in all prefectures by the end, while the other two SoEs were declared only in regions where the infectious conditions were particularly severe and healthcare capacity was under tight strain. The second SoE was the longest among the three, lasting 58.84 days on average (SD: 13.49), while the third SoE was on-going until the end of the study period, lasting 39.58 days on average (SD: 10.21).

<Table 1>

Figure 1 shows the descriptive presentations of de-trended mobility for retail and recreation, parks, and supermarkets and pharmacies. To focus on the mobility for retail and

recreation, which could be highly related to quarantine, a remarkable decline in mobility was observed during the first SoE, while it was less obvious in the second and third SoEs. Figure 2 also presents mobilities related to self-quarantine, such as work-from-home and stay-at-home behaviours. During the first SoE, people visibly avoided using public transportation, worked from home (or stopped their businesses), and generally spent more time at home. However, the trends were found to be more unstable during the second and the third SoEs.

<Figure 1>

<Figure 2>

SoE and mobility

Table 2 shows the mobility changes for retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks, associated with the SoE. A similar trend was observed for mobilities for the three types of places. Overall, visits and duration of stay at these places declined during all SoE declarations, showing further drops as time passed. However, the trends (i.e. downward-convex curves) suggested that if the SoE lasted too long, it may lose its efficacy. For instance, the mobility index for retail and recreation declined by 14.77 percent-points (95% confidence interval [CI]: -15.85 to -13.69) overall during SoEs compared to non-SoE periods. The index shows an immediate drop after the declaration of the SoE by 12.78 percent-points (95%CI: -13.61 to -11.94) and a further gradual decline (beta: -0.19, 95%CI: -0.25 to -0.12). When

considering the quadradic time trend, the mobility index gradually increased by 0.02 percent-points (95%CI: 0.02 – 0.02) while declines in initial periods of the SoE remained unchanged.

<Table 2>

Table 3 presents the mobility changes during all SoE declarations for public transport, workplaces, and residential areas, suggesting that mobilities for these places are similar to the results in Table 2. Overall and initially, mobilities declined during the SoE, but increased as the time passed. People tended to use less public transport (beta: -17.46, 95%CI: -18.62 to -16.30), spend less time at workplaces (beta: -8.23, 95%CI: -10.03 to -6.44), and more time at home (beta: 4.99, 95%CI: 4.29 to 5.69) during the SoE compared to non-SoE periods. The mobility index shows an immediate drop after the declaration of the SoE by 15.51 percent-points (95%CI: -16.93 to -14.08) and 5.81 percent-points (95%CI: -7.50 to -4.13) for public transport and workplaces, with a further gradual decline (beta: -0.18, 95%CI: -0.26 to -0.11; beta: -0.23, 95%CI: -0.29 to -0.17, respectively). On the other hand, there was an increase by 4.12 percent-points (95%CI: 3.54 to 4.71) for residential areas, with a further gradual increase (beta: 0.08, 95%CI: 0.05 to 0.11). When considering the quadratic time trend, the mobilities for public transport and workplaces gradually increased but decreased for residential areas.

<Table 3>

Mobility during the first, second, and third SoE

Table 4 and 5 present the differences in mobility changes between the first, second, and third SoEs. Overall, while mobilities during the first and second SoE declined, with larger magnitudes during the first SoE, the decline in mobility during the third SoE was less significant or not observed. For instance, although drops by about 19.0 and 11.2 percent-points in the mobility for retail and recreation were observed during the first and second SoE, respectively, a decline by only about 3.8 percent-points was found in the third SoE. Moreover, the time trends during each SoE were inconsistent. The mobility index for retail and recreation increased during the first SoE (beta: 0.07, 95%CI: 0.04 to 0.11) and more sharply during the third SoE (beta: 0.19, 95%CI: 0.17 to 0.22), but it mildly declined during the second SoE (beta: -0.04, 95%CI: -0.08 to -0.00).

<Table 4>

More visits and time spent at residential areas were not observed during the third SoE, although this was observed during the first and second SoEs. Furthermore, the immediate increase in stay-at-home time was the largest in the first SoE (beta: 6.66, 95%CI: 5.85 to 7.48), but showed a gradual decrease by 0.01 percent-points (95%CI: -0.03 to -0.00). For the second SoE, a modest initial increase in stay-at-home time by 2.85 percent-points (95%CI: 1.46 to 4.23) was followed by a gradual increase (beta: 0.04, 95%CI: 0.01 to 0.07), while no immediate change (beta: 0.52, 95%CI: -0.67 to 1.71) was observed during the third

SoE despite a gradual decline in stay-at-home time by 0.03 percent-points (95%CI: -0.05 to -0.01).

<Table 5>

Government responses, mobility, and consumption

In addition to mobility changes associated with the SoE, the associations between mobility indices and stringency of government responses to the pandemic were assessed (Appendix Table 1). With tighter government responses, mobility outside the home declined while residential mobility increased.

Moreover, although the consumption data during the third SoE were not available, the per-month total consumption declined by about 13.2% and 7% in the first and second SoE, respectively, compared to the past-three-year average. Consumptions, especially for public transportation, culture and recreation, and clothing and footwear—dimensions which can be linked to activities outside the home—remarkably declined by about 20%, 35%, and 57% during the first SoE, and about 11%, 21%, and 33% during the second SoE.

<Figure 3>

Robustness checks

Further analyses were conducted to evaluate mobility changes associated with a quasi-SoE, a semi-state of emergency was implemented by the Japanese government, reflecting the infectious conditions and their effects on healthcare capacity (Appendix Tables 2-5). However,

it was not evident whether the quasi-SoE was associated with mobility changes to reduce human contact.

Mobility changes of the population may differ across regions depending on the infectious conditions. For instance, citizens in prefectures with higher numbers of infections are more likely to engage in social distancing compared to those with lower numbers. Therefore, the prefectures were classified into two groups: High and Low. A prefecture was classified as ‘High’ if the cumulative number of confirmed cases in that area was above the median of all prefectures within the study period. In contrast, ‘Low’ prefectures were those with median or below median confirmed cases. In both groups, mobility patterns were similar, showing a decline in activities outside the home and an increase in stay-at-home behaviours after the declaration of an SoE (Appendix Tables 6 and 7). However, the post-SoE slopes suggest conflicting trends: a gradual decrease in mobilities outside the home and an increase in residential visits and duration were observed in the ‘High’ group, while the opposite trend was found in the ‘Low’ counterpart. When considering non-linear time trends, identical results were found for both groups. Findings for mobility changes during each SoE for the ‘High’ group were almost identical with the pooled estimates for all prefectures (Appendix Tables 8 and 9).

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate mobility changes during the SoE after distinguishing potential heterogeneity across each SoE and time passed after each declaration. To summarise, three main findings were found in this study.

First, human mobility in places outside the home effectively declined while stay-at-home time increased during SoEs. This was also confirmed by adopting the interrupted time-series analysis, suggesting that individuals engage in social distancing behaviours during the initial periods of the SoE but become less compliant as time passes.

Second, when mobility changes during each SoE were distinguished, overall declines in mobilities outside the home and increases in stay-at-home time were less obvious during the succeeding SoEs. For instance, during the third SoE, immediate declines in mobilities were smaller or not found in all places, there was a post-SoE rise in mobilities outside the home, and there was a decline in stay-at-home time.

Third, under the stringent government responses to the pandemic and decline in mobilities, the consumption level—especially for activities outside the home—sharply declined, suggesting that strong public interventions may worsen the economy.

Under a state where people are requested to voluntarily engage in social distancing behaviours, it may be difficult for them to comply for extended and repeating periods. Following the Health Belief Model, [23] individual beliefs regarding the virus (e.g. susceptibility

and severity), benefit of one's behaviours, barriers to make the desired behavioural changes, and self-efficacy to overcome given challenges affect an individual's actual social distancing behaviour. By being subjected to a SoE multiple times, individuals could become more optimistic to the infection due to heuristics biases[24], which may lead individuals to engage in higher-risk behaviours.

Even though the mobility indices were de-trended, there were notable differences between the first, second, and third SoE. In particular, the third SoE occurred during one of the busiest holiday seasons in Japan (i.e. Golden Week), which could be a factor in motivating individuals to leave their homes. Thus, the mobility level may not be at the expected level for a pandemic and SoE even though it might have been well-suppressed during the pre-pandemic Golden Weeks. Additionally, the fear of new coronavirus variants with potentially high transmissibility rates and disease severity could have also affected population behaviours.

Vaccinated individuals with greater immunity may be less willing to engage in social distancing behaviours. However, COVID-19 vaccination in Japan only began in February 2021, so only about 4% of the whole population has been fully vaccinated by mid-June 2021.[25] Therefore, vaccination may not be a reason why people reacted differently to the succeeding SoEs.

Until the majority of the population is fully vaccinated allowing for herd immunity, it is still vital to promote individual social distancing behaviours, such as wearing a mask, disinfection, and avoiding mass gatherings. Considering that stringent and widescale public health interventions could damage the economy, and the fact that repeating the same interventions could become ineffective as shown in this study, other appropriate measures need to be enforced in order to curb the virus spread. It has been shown that even less costly interventions, such as risk-communication strategies, can be considered as highly effective.[15] Therefore, by utilising behavioural insights[26], methods to deliver directives to the population should be carefully designed to maximise their effectiveness.

Despite the significant findings of this study, there are still some limitations that should be considered. First, the Google mobility index used in the study is limited since it does not count an absolute amount of human mobility. Although the mobility index was de-trended in this study, relative changes from the baseline given narrow time range may be inappropriate, making interpretation difficult. Also, the Google mobility index does not include information on smaller units of regions (e.g. city-level), time (e.g. daytime and night), and comparisons by sex and age. Second, the generalisability of the findings should be carefully considered. As the government responses to the pandemic could reflect cultural and institutional differences,[17,18] the findings from this study may not be applicable in other

countries. Nevertheless, implications from this study should be helpful in highlighting the limitations of less stringent, repeated, and prolonged public health interventions.

In conclusion, while less stringent government responses to the pandemic are effective in promoting social distancing by controlling human mobilities outside the home, their effectiveness may decrease if similar interventions are repeated for extended periods of time. However, by combining these with other measures such as risk-communication strategies, even less costly interventions such as mild containment and closure policies can be effective in curbing the spread of the COVID-19 virus.

Funding: This research was supported by the postdoctoral fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 20J00394) and the Murata Science Foundation. However, all founders were not involved in any part of the study.

Ethics: Ethical approval was not required as this study was based on secondary analysis of publicly available data.

Conflicts of Interest：None

References

1. Hsiang S, Allen D, Annan-Phan S, et al. The effect of large-scale anti-contagion policies on the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature* 2020; **584**(7820): 262-7.
2. Guan D, Wang D, Hallegatte S, et al. Global supply-chain effects of COVID-19 control measures. *Nat Hum Behav* 2020; **4**(6): 577-87.
3. Verschuur J, Koks EE, Hall JW. Global economic impacts of COVID-19 lockdown measures stand out in high-frequency shipping data. *PLoS One* 2021; **16**(4): e0248818.
4. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science* 2020; **368**(6489): 395-400.
5. Kraemer MUG, Yang CH, Gutierrez B, et al. The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science* 2020; **368**(6490): 493-7.
6. Nagata S, Nakaya T, Adachi Y, et al. Mobility Change and COVID-19 in Japan: Mobile Data Analysis of Locations of Infection. *J Epidemiol* 2021.
7. Badr HS, Du H, Marshall M, Dong E, Squire MM, Gardner LM. Association between mobility patterns and COVID-19 transmission in the USA: a mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2020; **20**(11): 1247-54.
8. Nouvellet P, Bhatia S, Cori A, et al. Reduction in mobility and COVID-19 transmission. *Nat Commun* 2021; **12**(1): 1090.
9. Arimura M, Ha TV, Okumura K, Asada T. Changes in urban mobility in Sapporo city, Japan due to the Covid-19 emergency declarations. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives* 2020; **7**.
10. Yabe T, Tsubouchi K, Fujiwara N, Wada T, Sekimoto Y, Ukkusuri SV. Non-compulsory measures sufficiently reduced human mobility in Tokyo during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**(1): 18053.
11. Schlosser F, Maier BF, Jack O, Hinrichs D, Zachariae A, Brockmann D. COVID-19 lockdown induces disease-mitigating structural changes in mobility networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020; **117**(52): 32883-90.
12. Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). *Nat Hum Behav* 2021; **5**(4): 529-38.
13. Vinceti M, Filippini T, Rothman KJ, et al. Lockdown timing and efficacy in controlling COVID-19 using mobile phone tracking. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020; **25**: 100457.
14. Larrosa JMC. SARS-CoV-2 in Argentina: Lockdown, mobility, and contagion. *J Med Virol* 2021; **93**(4): 2252-61.
15. Haug N, Geyrhofer L, Londei A, et al. Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide COVID-19 government interventions. *Nat Hum Behav* 2020; **4**(12): 1303-12.
16. Correia S. Estimating multi-way fixed effect models with reghdfe. the Stata Conference 2016. Chicago; 2016.

17. Shaw R, Kim Y-k, Hua J. Governance, technology and citizen behavior in pandemic: Lessons from COVID-19 in East Asia. *Progress in Disaster Science* 2020; **6**.
18. Yan B, Zhang X, Wu L, Zhu H, Chen B. Why Do Countries Respond Differently to COVID-19? A Comparative Study of Sweden, China, France, and Japan. *The American Review of Public Administration* 2020; **50**(6-7): 762-9.
19. University of Oxford. COVID-19 government response tracker. 2021. https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/covid-19-government-response-trackerhttps://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/covid-19-government-response-tracker).
20. Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Family income and expenditure survey. 2021. https://www.stat.go.jp/data/kakei/index3.html (in Japanese) (accessed 7 June 2021).
21. Bernal JL, Cummins S, Gasparrini A. Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017; **46**(1): 348-55.
22. Correia S. A Feasible Estimator for Linear Models with Multi-Way Fixed Effects. *Preprint at http://scorreiacom/research/hdfepdf* 2016.
23. Carico RR, Jr., Sheppard J, Thomas CB. Community pharmacists and communication in the time of COVID-19: Applying the health belief model. *Res Social Adm Pharm* 2021; **17**(1): 1984-7.
24. Tversky A, Kahneman D. Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science* 1974; **185**(4157): 1124-31.
25. Cabinet Public Relations Office, Cabinet Secretariat,. Novel coronavirus vaccines. 2021. https://japan.kantei.go.jp/ongoingtopics/vaccine.html (accessed 11 June 2021).
26. Heath RL, Lee J, Lemon LL. Narratives of risk communication: Nudging community residents to shelter-in-place. *Public Relations Review* 2019; **45**(1): 128-37.

Figures and Tables

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Observations (Prefecture-day)	Mean	Standard deviation
Weather			
Temperature (℃)	22,748	15.70	7.98
Precipitation (mm)	22,748	4.98	13.93
Sunshine duration (hours)	22,748	0.16	1.48
Snowfall (cm)	22,748	5.63	4.16
Wind speed (m/s)	22,748	2.94	1.36
Mobility (%)			
Retail and recreation	22,748	-9.40	11.55
Grocery and pharmacies	22,748	0.63	6.22
Parks	22,379	-0.06	27.40
Public transport	22,733	-22.48	14.84
Workplaces	22,748	-11.86	13.69
Residential area	22,748	5.80	4.64
Per-day infections	22,748	33.88	104.84
SoE (days if SoE=1)			
Aggregated	2,460	36.29	8.91
First	1,502	33.34	7.72
Second	614	58.84	13.49
Third	365	39.58	10.43
Total population	22,748	2,684,404	2,750,050

Note: Descriptive statistics for 47 prefectures between 15 February 2020 and 12 June 2021 is shown in this table. SoE = State of Emergency; 47 prefectures were included in the first SoE, 11 in the second, and 10 in the third. The third SoE was still on-going at the end of the study period, thus the number of days is right-censored.

Figure 1. Descriptive changes in mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks



Note: Relative percentage changes from the baseline day (median value from 3 Jan – 6 Feb 2020), controlling for weather conditions and time-location-fixed effects. SoE = State of Emergency; Mean percent change from baseline with shaded areas representing 95%CI among 47 prefectures. The SoE periods denote time ranges where the declaration took effect in at least one prefecture.

Figure 2. Descriptive changes in mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area



Note: Relative percentage changes from the baseline day (median value from 3 Jan – 6 Feb 2020), controlling for weather conditions and time-location-fixed effects. SoE = State of Emergency; Mean percent change from baseline with shaded areas representing 95%CI among 47 prefectures. The SoE periods denote time ranges where the declaration took effect in at least one prefecture.

Table 2. SoE and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks

	Retail and recreation			Supermarkets and pharmacies			Parks		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Since observation		0.12**	0.03		0.09**	0.01		-0.01	-0.09**
(day)		(0.11 - 0.13)	(-0.00 - 0.06)		(0.08 - 0.09)	(-0.00 - 0.02)		(-0.03 - 0.02)	(-0.13 - -0.06)
Since observation^2			0.00**			0.00**			0.00**
(day)			(0.00 - 0.00)			(0.00 - 0.00)			(0.00 - 0.00)
SoE	-14.77**	-12.78**	-9.89**	-3.24**	-1.62**	-0.35	-8.37**	-6.25**	-4.03**
	(-15.85 - -13.69)	(-13.61 - -11.94)	(-11.15 - -8.64)	(-4.05 - -2.43)	(-2.53 - -0.71)	(-0.84 - 0.15)	(-9.97 - -6.77)	(-7.76 - -4.74)	(-5.29 - -2.77)
SoE days		-0.19**	-0.93**		-0.15**	-0.42**		-0.20**	-0.74**
		(-0.25 - -0.12)	(-1.11 - -0.74)		(-0.19 - -0.11)	(-0.47 - -0.36)		(-0.29 - -0.11)	(-1.04 - -0.45)
SoE days^2			0.02**			0.01**			0.02**
			(0.02 - 0.02)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.01 - 0.02)
Covid cases	0.01**	0.01**	0.00	0.01**	0.01*	0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.01**
(Last week)	(0.01 - 0.02)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.01 - 0.00)	(-0.01 - -0.00)
Observations	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,379	22,379	22,379
R-squared	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.41	0.43	0.49	0.60	0.60	0.61

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models include, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and are weighted by population size.

SoE = State of Emergency

Table 3. SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area

	Public transport			Workplaces			Residential area		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Since observation		0.08**	-0.08**		0.01**	-0.10**		-0.02**	0.02**
(day)		(0.06 - 0.10)	(-0.11 - -0.05)		(0.01 - 0.02)	(-0.13 - -0.07)		(-0.02 - -0.02)	(0.01 - 0.03)
Since observation^2			0.00**			0.00**			-0.00**
(day)			(0.00 - 0.00)			(0.00 - 0.00)			(-0.00 - -0.00)
SoE	-17.46**	-15.51**	-12.42**	-8.23**	-5.81**	-3.98**	4.99**	4.12**	3.21**
	(-18.62 - -16.30)	(-16.93 - -14.08)	(-14.05 - -10.78)	(-10.03 - -6.44)	(-7.50 - -4.13)	(-5.01 - -2.94)	(4.29 - 5.69)	(3.54 - 4.71)	(2.85 - 3.58)
SoE days		-0.18**	-0.87**		-0.23**	-0.61**		0.08**	0.30**
		(-0.26 - -0.11)	(-1.03 - -0.72)		(-0.29 - -0.17)	(-0.75 - -0.46)		(0.05 - 0.11)	(0.23 - 0.37)
SoE days^2			0.02**			0.01**			-0.01**
			(0.02 - 0.02)			(0.01 - 0.02)			(-0.01 - -0.01)
Covid cases	0.01**	0.01**	-0.00	0.01**	0.01**	-0.00	-0.00**	-0.00**	0.00
(Last week)	(0.01 - 0.02)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(0.01 - 0.02)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.01 - -0.00)	(-0.00 - -0.00)	(-0.00 - 0.00)
Observations	22,733	22,733	22,733	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748
R-squared	0.73	0.74	0.79	0.83	0.83	0.85	0.82	0.82	0.84

Note) The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models include, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and are weighted by population size.

SoE = State of Emergency

Table 4. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks

	Retail and recreation			Supermarkets and pharmacies			Parks		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
1st SoE	-19.02**	-20.30**	-14.96**	-5.42**	-4.77**	-2.11**	-10.93**	-9.46**	-5.49**
	(-20.13 - -17.91)	(-21.65 - -18.95)	(-16.07 - -13.84)	(-5.75 - -5.09)	(-5.69 - -3.85)	(-2.63 - -1.59)	(-13.61 - -8.25)	(-12.78 - -6.13)	(-7.32 - -3.67)
1st SoE (days)		0.07**	-0.44**		-0.03*	-0.31**		-0.08**	-1.06**
		(0.04 - 0.11)	(-0.54 - -0.33)		(-0.06 - -0.00)	(-0.36 - -0.27)		(-0.13 - -0.03)	(-1.32 - -0.81)
1st SoE^2 (days)			0.02**			0.01**			0.03**
			(0.01 - 0.02)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.02 - 0.03)
2nd SoE	-11.16**	-9.73**	-3.25**	-1.97**	0.20	1.67*	-6.54**	2.21**	1.53
	(-12.98 - -9.33)	(-10.94 - -8.52)	(-4.96 - -1.54)	(-3.05 - -0.89)	(-1.02 - 1.43)	(0.25 - 3.08)	(-9.81 - -3.26)	(0.80 - 3.63)	(-0.80 - 3.86)
2nd SoE (days)		-0.04*	-0.43**		-0.06**	-0.04		-0.24**	0.12
		(-0.08 - -0.00)	(-0.54 - -0.31)		(-0.08 - -0.03)	(-0.11 - 0.02)		(-0.30 - -0.18)	(-0.08 - 0.32)
2nd SoE^2 (days)			0.01**			0.00			-0.00**
			(0.00 - 0.01)			(-0.00 - 0.00)			(-0.01 - -0.00)
3rd SoE	-3.81**	-8.08**	-10.10**	3.97**	-1.65**	-2.89**	-0.59	-5.01**	-9.19**
	(-6.65 - -0.97)	(-10.91 - -5.26)	(-11.65 - -8.55)	(2.40 - 5.54)	(-2.69 - -0.61)	(-3.77 - -2.02)	(-2.75 - 1.58)	(-7.80 - -2.22)	(-11.56 - -6.82)
3rd SoE (days)		0.19**	0.09		0.24**	0.11**		0.20**	0.24
		(0.17 - 0.22)	(-0.25 - 0.43)		(0.19 - 0.28)	(0.07 - 0.15)		(0.12 - 0.28)	(-0.05 - 0.52)
3rd SoE^2 (days)			-0.00			-0.00			-0.01
			(-0.01 - 0.00)			(-0.00 - 0.00)			(-0.01 - 0.00)
Observations	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,379	22,379	22,379
R-squared	0.79	0.80	0.75	0.43	0.46	0.54	0.61	0.61	0.65

Note) The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models include, the per-day confirmed infections in the past seven days, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and are weighted by population size. In addition, days since the start of the observation is included in Model (2), and additionally its squared term in Model (3). Full results are available upon request. SoE = State of Emergency

Table 5. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area

	Public transport			Workplaces			Residential area		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
1st SoE	-21.57**	-24.12**	-18.12**	-11.59**	-11.55**	-5.74**	6.44**	6.66**	4.49**
	(-22.39 - -20.75)	(-25.16 - -23.09)	(-19.31 - -16.92)	(-13.45 - -9.74)	(-14.05 - -9.05)	(-6.81 - -4.68)	(5.67 - 7.21)	(5.85 - 7.48)	(4.06 - 4.92)
1st SoE (days)		0.14**	-0.53**		-0.01	-0.28**		-0.01*	0.12**
		(0.11 - 0.17)	(-0.70 - -0.36)		(-0.05 - 0.04)	(-0.37 - -0.20)		(-0.03 - -0.00)	(0.08 - 0.16)
1st SoE^2 (days)			0.02**			0.01**			-0.00**
			(0.01 - 0.03)			(0.00 - 0.01)			(-0.01 - -0.00)
2nd SoE	-15.71**	-17.20**	-3.29**	-7.04**	-3.21**	5.31**	4.25**	2.85**	-1.58**
	(-17.05 - -14.38)	(-19.65 - -14.75)	(-4.41 - -2.18)	(-8.57 - -5.51)	(-5.53 - -0.88)	(3.63 - 7.00)	(3.44 - 5.06)	(1.46 - 4.23)	(-2.17 - -0.99)
2nd SoE (days)		0.04	-0.83**		-0.10**	-0.62**		0.04*	0.33**
		(-0.05 - 0.13)	(-0.96 - -0.69)		(-0.16 - -0.05)	(-0.74 - -0.49)		(0.01 - 0.07)	(0.30 - 0.37)
2nd SoE^2 (days)			0.01**			0.01**			-0.00**
			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(-0.00 - -0.00)
3rd SoE	-2.02	-4.71**	-8.19**	4.98**	0.69	-2.15	-0.02	0.52	1.65*
	(-4.52 - 0.49)	(-7.32 - -2.10)	(-11.77 - -4.60)	(2.97 - 6.99)	(-2.82 - 4.21)	(-5.50 - 1.20)	(-1.03 - 0.99)	(-0.67 - 1.71)	(0.35 - 2.95)
3rd SoE (days)		0.13**	-0.15		0.19**	0.19		-0.03**	-0.02
		(0.05 - 0.21)	(-0.73 - 0.43)		(0.14 - 0.25)	(-0.11 - 0.49)		(-0.05 - -0.01)	(-0.18 - 0.15)
3rd SoE^2 (days)			-0.00			-0.00			0.00
			(-0.01 - 0.01)			(-0.01 - 0.00)			(-0.00 - 0.00)
Observations	22,733	22,733	22,733	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748
R-squared	0.75	0.76	0.74	0.84	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.83	0.81

Note) The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models include, the per-day confirmed infections in the past seven days, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and are weighted by population size. In addition, days since the start of the observation is included in Model (2), and additionally its squared term in Model (3). Full results are available upon request. SoE = State of Emergency

Figure 3. Stringency and consumption



Note: Stringency = stringency of the Japanese government responses to the pandemic (i.e., containment and closure policies, public information campaign)[12]. Consumptions = per-month percent changes during the same month in the past three years prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (inflation was adjusted for using the consumer price index for each item in each month). The SoE (State of Emergency) periods denote time ranges where the declaration took effect in at least one prefecture.

Supplementary material for
State of Emergency and Human Mobility during the COVID-19 pandemic

Shohei Okamoto*

* Research Team for Social Participation and Community Health, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology & Institute for Global Health Policy Research, National Center for Global Health and Medicine

Contents

Appendix Note 1: Time line of the state of emergency in Japan
Appendix Note 2: Data used in this study

Appendix Figure:
- Appendix Figure 1. The number of confirmed COVID-19 infections, the state of emergency, and stringency index of the government responses to the pandemic

Appendix Tables:
- Appendix Table 1. Stringency index and mobility
- Appendix Table 2. Quasi-SoE, SoE, and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks
- Appendix Table 3. Quasi-SoE, SoE, and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential
- Appendix Table 4. Quasi-SoE, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE, and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks
- Appendix Table 5. Quasi-SoE, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential
- Appendix Table 6. SoE and human mobility for retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks: Comparison by COVID-19 infections
- Appendix Table 7. SoE and human mobility for public transport, workplaces, and residential: Comparison by COVID-19 infections
- Appendix Table 8. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks in high-COVID-19 infections prefecture
- Appendix Table 9. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential in high-COVID-19 infections prefecture

Appendix Note 1: Time line of the state of emergency in Japan

The time line of the first SoE

- 7 April 2020: Took effect in Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Osaka, Hyogo, and Fukuoka
- 16 April 2020: Expanded to all prefectures
- 14 May 2020: Lifted in 39 prefectures except for Hokkaido, Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Osaka, Kyoto, and Hyogo
- 21 May 2020: Lifted in Osaka, Kyoto, and Hyogo
- 25 May 2020: Lifted in remaining 5 prefectures

The time line of the Second SoE

- 8 January 2021: Took effect in Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, and Kanagawa
- 14 January 2021: Took effect in Tochigi, Gifu, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, and Fukuoka
- 8 February 2021: Lifted in Tochigi
- 8 March 2021: Lifted in Gifu, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, and Fukuoka
- 22 March 2021: Lifted in Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, and Kanagawa

The time line of the Third SoE

- 25 April 2021: Took effect in Tokyo, Kyoto, Osaka, and Hyogo
- 12 May 2021: Took effect in Aichi and Fukuoka
- 16 May 2021: Took effect in Hokkaido, Okayama, and Hiroshima
- 23 May 2021: Took effect in Okinawa
- 20 June 2021: Scheduled to lift the SoE

Appendix Note 2: Data used in this study

Human mobility index:

This index was obtained from Google LLC[1], which indicates relative changes from a baseline in visits and length of stay at different places. The index contains missing when there isn't enough data to ensure anonymity, and thus the sample sizes for each place can vary.

The mobility index at the following six places were contained:

(a) Retail and recreation: restaurants, cafes, shopping centres, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theatres.
(b) Supermarkets and pharmacies: grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.
(c) Parks: national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.
(d) Public transport: public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.
(e) Workplaces
(f) Residential area

Weather:

The per-day weather conditions were obtained from the Japan Meteorological Agency[2]. This included mean temperature, total precipitation, sunshine duration, total snowfall, and mean wind speed. Data for each prefectural capital (where the Automated Meteorological Data Acquisition System is located) were used in this study. For Saitama prefecture and Shiga prefecture, data in Kumagaya city and Hikone city were used since the system was not equipped in their prefectural capitals.

COVID-19 cases:

The number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 infections each day for each prefecture were obtained from the Japan Broadcasting Corporation.[3]

Stringency index:

The stringency index of the Japanese government responses to the pandemic was obtained from the COVID-19 Government Response Tracker published by the research team of the University of Oxford.[4] The index is composed of indicators for school closing, workplace closing, cancellation of public events, restrictions on gathering size, closure of public transport, stay-at-home requirements, restrictions on internal movement, restrictions on international travel, and public information campaign.

Consumption:

Consumption data were obtained from the Statistics Bureau of Japan.[5] Monthly average consumptions of households with two or more members up to April 2021 were available.

Consumer price index:
To obtain the relative changes in consumption from the averages of the past three year, the consumption for each item during the periods (January 2017 - April 2021) were adjusted by the consumer price index. The consumer price index for each consumption item was obtained from the Statistics Bureau of Japan[6].

Population:
The latest population data (2019) for each prefecture were obtained from the Statistics Bureau of Japan.[7]

National holidays:
In the study periods, the following dates were coded as national holidays:

Year 2020
11 February: National Foundation Day
23 February: Emperor's Birthday
24 February: Substitute holiday for Emperor's Birthday
20 March: Vernal Equinox Day
29 April: Showa Day
3 May: Constitution Memorial Day
4 May: Greenery Day
5 May: Children's Day
6 May: Substitute holiday for Constitution Memorial Day
23 July: Marine Day
24 July: Sports Day
10 August: Mountain Day
13-16 August: Obon (Japanese Festival of the Dead); While these days are not official national holidays, many Japanese people take summer breaks during this season.
21 September: Respect for the Aged Day
22 September: Autumnal Equinox Day
3 November: Culture Day
23 November: Labour Thanksgiving Day
29-31 December: New Year’s holidays: While these days are not official national holidays, many Japanese people take breaks during this season.

Year 2021

1 January: New Year's Day

2-3 January: New Year’s holidays: While these days are not official national holidays, many Japanese people take breaks during this season.

11 January: Coming of Age Day

11 February: National Foundation Day

23 February: Emperor's Birthday

20 March: Vernal Equinox Day

29 April: Showa Day

3 May: Constitution Memorial Day

4 May: Greenery Day

5 May: Children's Day

References for Supplementary material

1. Google. COVID-19 community mobility reports. 2021. https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/?hl=en (accessed 11 June 2021).

2. Japan Meteorological Agency. Past meteorological data. 2021. http://www.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/risk/obsdl/index.php (accessed 11 June 2021).

3. Japan Broadcasting Corporation. Special site for new coronavirus. 2021. https://www3.nhk.or.jp/news/special/coronavirus/data/ (accessed 11 June 2021).

4. University of Oxford. COVID-19 government response tracker. 2021. https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/covid-19-government-response-trackerhttps://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/covid-19-government-response-tracker).

5. Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Family income and expenditure survey. 2021. https://www.stat.go.jp/data/kakei/index3.html (in Japanese) (accessed 7 June 2021).

6. Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Consumer price index. 2021. https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-search/files?page=1&layout=datalist&toukei=00200573&tstat=000001084976&cycle=0&tclass1=000001085995&tclass2=000001085936&tclass3=000001085996&tclass4=000001085976&tclass5val=0 (accessed 11 June 2021).

7. Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Population estimates. 2020. https://www.stat.go.jp/data/jinsui/2019np/index.html2021).

8. Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). *Nat Hum Behav* 2021; **5**(4): 529-38.

Appendix Figure 1. The number of confirmed COVID-19 infections, the state of emergency, and stringency index of the government responses to the pandemic



Note: Stringency = stringency of the Japanese government responses to the pandemic (i.e., containment and closure policies, public information campaign)[8], ranging from 0~100, which indicates that higher values is more stringent. The SoE (State of Emergency) periods denote time ranges where the declaration took effect in at least one prefecture.

Appendix Tables

Appendix Table 1. Stringency index and mobility

	Retail and recreation	Supermarkets and pharmacies	Parks	Public transport	Workplaces	Residential area
Stringency	-0.79**	-0.08	-0.34**	-1.06**	-0.42**	0.28**
	(-0.92 - -0.67)	(-0.16 - 0.00)	(-0.56 - -0.11)	(-1.19 - -0.93)	(-0.54 - -0.29)	(0.23 - 0.33)
Covid cases (Last week)	0.00**	0.00**	0.00**	0.00**	0.00**	-0.00**
	(0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.00 - -0.00)
Observations	481	481	481	481	481	481
R-squared	0.33	0.13	0.04	0.42	0.15	0.29

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. Stringency represents the stringency of the Japanese government responses to the pandemic on a daily basis (i.e. containment and closure policies and public information campaign)[8], ranging from 0~100, which indicates that higher values is more stringent. All models included the per-day confirmed infections in the past seven days, holiday fixed-effects, weekdays fixed-effects, month fixed-effects, and year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size.

Appendix Table 2. Quasi-SoE, SoE, and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks

	Retail and recreation		Supermarkets and pharmacies		Parks	
Model	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Since observation (day)		0.12**		0.09**		-0.00
		(0.11 - 0.13)		(0.08 - 0.09)		(-0.03 - 0.02)
Quasi-SoE	-0.17	1.49	2.06*	-0.54	-3.88**	-5.90**
	(-1.60 - 1.26)	(-0.19 - 3.18)	(0.20 - 3.92)	(-1.09 - 0.01)	(-6.23 - -1.53)	(-7.71 - -4.09)
Quasi-SoE days		-0.09**		0.16**		0.15**
		(-0.13 - -0.04)		(0.14 - 0.18)		(0.11 - 0.18)
SoE	-14.80**	-12.70**	-2.89**	-1.30**	-9.02**	-7.05**
	(-15.92 - -13.68)	(-13.67 - -11.74)	(-3.58 - -2.21)	(-2.16 - -0.45)	(-10.60 - -7.43)	(-8.60 - -5.50)
SoE days		-0.19**		-0.15**		-0.19**
		(-0.25 - -0.12)		(-0.19 - -0.11)		(-0.27 - -0.10)
Covid cases	0.01**	0.01**	0.01**	0.00*	0.00	-0.00
(Last week)	(0.01 - 0.02)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.01 - 0.00)
Observations	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,379	22,379
R-squared	0.77	0.79	0.41	0.44	0.60	0.61

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size.

SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 3. Quasi-SoE, SoE, and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area

	Public transport		Workplaces		Residential area	
Model	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Since observation (day)		0.08**		0.01**		-0.02**
		(0.06 - 0.10)		(0.00 - 0.02)		(-0.02 - -0.02)
Quasi-SoE	-0.35	0.10	3.56**	2.00	-0.47	-0.70*
	(-2.26 - 1.57)	(-1.63 - 1.83)	(1.20 - 5.91)	(-0.20 - 4.21)	(-1.06 - 0.12)	(-1.31 - -0.09)
Quasi-SoE days		-0.01		0.12**		0.00
		(-0.04 - 0.02)		(0.07 - 0.18)		(-0.01 - 0.02)
SoE	-17.52**	-15.51**	-7.64**	-5.08**	4.91**	3.99**
	(-18.62 - -16.42)	(-17.05 - -13.97)	(-9.19 - -6.08)	(-6.57 - -3.59)	(4.25 - 5.58)	(3.43 - 4.56)
SoE days		-0.18**		-0.24**		0.08**
		(-0.26 - -0.10)		(-0.31 - -0.17)		(0.05 - 0.12)
Covid cases	0.01**	0.01*	0.01**	0.00*	-0.00**	-0.00*
(Last week)	(0.01 - 0.02)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.01 - -0.00)	(-0.00 - -0.00)
Observations	22,733	22,733	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748
R-squared	0.73	0.74	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size.

SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 4. Quasi-SoE, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE, and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks

	Retail and recreation		Supermarkets and pharmacies		Parks	
Model	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Since observation (day)		0.12**		0.08**		-0.01
		(0.10 - 0.13)		(0.08 - 0.09)		(-0.03 - 0.02)
Quasi-SoE	2.97**	3.23**	4.20**	0.33	-2.03*	-5.39**
	(1.20 - 4.73)	(1.34 - 5.12)	(3.12 - 5.28)	(-0.20 - 0.85)	(-3.97 - -0.09)	(-7.15 - -3.64)
Quasi-SoE days		-0.06**		0.17**		0.13**
		(-0.10 - -0.02)		(0.14 - 0.19)		(0.08 - 0.17)
1st SoE	-18.82**	-20.09**	-5.14**	-5.33**	-11.07**	-9.88**
	(-19.86 - -17.79)	(-21.27 - -18.91)	(-5.55 - -4.73)	(-5.84 - -4.82)	(-13.68 - -8.45)	(-13.15 - -6.61)
1st SoE (days)		0.08**		-0.01		-0.08*
		(0.05 - 0.10)		(-0.02 - 0.01)		(-0.14 - -0.02)
2nd SoE	-10.39**	-9.28**	-0.88	0.76	-7.06**	1.53*
	(-12.21 - -8.57)	(-10.26 - -8.29)	(-1.81 - 0.05)	(-0.54 - 2.05)	(-10.71 - -3.41)	(0.08 - 2.98)
2nd SoE (days)		-0.04*		-0.04**		-0.24**
		(-0.08 - -0.00)		(-0.07 - -0.02)		(-0.30 - -0.17)
3rd SoE	-2.56	-6.57**	5.74**	-0.08	-1.44	-7.35**
	(-5.86 - 0.73)	(-9.89 - -3.25)	(4.14 - 7.33)	(-1.42 - 1.25)	(-3.60 - 0.71)	(-10.18 - -4.52)
3rd SoE (days)		0.17**		0.22**		0.24**
		(0.13 - 0.21)		(0.16 - 0.28)		(0.17 - 0.30)
Covid cases	-0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.01*	-0.01**
(Last week)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.00 - 0.01)	(-0.01 - -0.00)	(-0.01 - -0.00)
Observations	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,379	22,379
R-squared	0.79	0.80	0.44	0.46	0.61	0.61

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included the per-day confirmed infections in the past seven days, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 5. Quasi-SoE, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area

	Public transport		Workplaces		Residential area	
Model	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Since observation (day)		0.08**		0.01		-0.02**
		(0.05 - 0.10)		(-0.01 - 0.02)		(-0.02 - -0.01)
Quasi-SoE	3.58**	3.43**	7.27**	4.74**	-1.83**	-1.80**
	(1.48 - 5.69)	(1.32 - 5.54)	(5.60 - 8.94)	(2.39 - 7.09)	(-2.39 - -1.26)	(-2.61 - -0.99)
Quasi-SoE days		0.00		0.12**		0.01
		(-0.06 - 0.06)		(0.05 - 0.19)		(-0.02 - 0.03)
1st SoE	-21.34**	-24.12**	-11.12**	-11.94**	6.32**	6.64**
	(-22.25 - -20.42)	(-25.17 - -23.07)	(-12.77 - -9.46)	(-14.20 - -9.67)	(5.60 - 7.03)	(5.91 - 7.38)
1st SoE (days)		0.15**		0.03		-0.02**
		(0.13 - 0.17)		(-0.00 - 0.06)		(-0.03 - -0.01)
2nd SoE	-14.79**	-16.53**	-5.16**	-1.94*	3.78**	2.51**
	(-16.49 - -13.08)	(-18.78 - -14.27)	(-6.34 - -3.98)	(-3.50 - -0.37)	(3.06 - 4.50)	(1.32 - 3.71)
2nd SoE (days)		0.04		-0.09**		0.04*
		(-0.05 - 0.14)		(-0.14 - -0.04)		(0.00 - 0.07)
3rd SoE	-0.51	-2.58	8.04**	4.60**	-0.79	-0.55
	(-3.72 - 2.70)	(-6.16 - 1.00)	(5.78 - 10.30)	(1.39 - 7.81)	(-1.97 - 0.39)	(-1.84 - 0.74)
3rd SoE (days)		0.10*		0.15**		-0.01
		(0.00 - 0.20)		(0.09 - 0.20)		(-0.04 - 0.01)
Covid cases	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00**	-0.00*	0.00*	0.00**
(Last week)	(-0.01 - 0.00)	(-0.00 - 0.00)	(-0.01 - -0.00)	(-0.01 - -0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)	(0.00 - 0.00)
Observations	22,733	22,733	22,748	22,748	22,748	22,748
R-squared	0.75	0.76	0.85	0.85	0.83	0.83

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included the per-day confirmed infections in the past seven days, prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 6. SoE and human mobility for retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks: Comparison across regions by COVID-19 confirmed cases

	Retail and recreation			Supermarkets and pharmacies			Parks		
				High					
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
SoE	-14.52**	-12.46**	-9.54**	-2.96**	-1.45**	-0.26	-7.32**	-5.56**	-3.94**
	(-15.78 - -13.26)	(-13.32 - -11.59)	(-10.96 - -8.12)	(-3.86 - -2.05)	(-2.42 - -0.47)	(-0.78 - 0.27)	(-8.77 - -5.87)	(-7.07 - -4.06)	(-5.30 - -2.58)
SoE days		-0.20**	-1.04**		-0.15**	-0.42**		-0.18**	-0.61**
		(-0.27 - -0.14)	(-1.25 - -0.83)		(-0.19 - -0.11)	(-0.49 - -0.36)		(-0.26 - -0.09)	(-0.93 - -0.29)
SoE days^2			0.02**			0.01**			0.01**
			(0.02 - 0.03)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.00 - 0.02)
Observations	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,113	11,113	11,113
R-squared	0.77	0.78	0.81	0.37	0.40	0.46	0.54	0.55	0.55
				Low					
SoE	-16.54**	-19.77**	-10.14**	-5.00**	-4.00**	-0.34	-16.55**	-13.64**	-3.86*
	(-17.60 - -15.48)	(-21.15 - -18.39)	(-11.52 - -8.75)	(-5.62 - -4.38)	(-4.79 - -3.20)	(-0.98 - 0.31)	(-18.50 - -14.61)	(-16.06 - -11.22)	(-7.32 - -0.40)
SoE days		0.23**	-1.58**		-0.06**	-0.70**		-0.20**	-1.96**
		(0.19 - 0.27)	(-1.75 - -1.41)		(-0.09 - -0.03)	(-0.79 - -0.62)		(-0.31 - -0.09)	(-2.51 - -1.41)
SoE days^2			0.06**			0.02**			0.06**
			(0.06 - 0.07)			(0.02 - 0.03)			(0.05 - 0.08)
Observations	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,266	11,266	11,266
R-squared	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.48	0.50	0.54	0.64	0.64	0.65

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. A prefecture was classified as ‘High’ if the cumulative number of confirmed cases in that area was above the median of all prefectures within the study period; ‘Low’ prefectures were those with median or below median confirmed cases. SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 7. SoE and human mobility for public transport, workplaces, and residential area: Comparison across regions by COVID-19 confirmed cases

	Retail and recreation			Supermarkets and pharmacies			Parks		
				High					
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
SoE	-16.79**	-14.93**	-12.14**	-8.37**	-5.89**	-3.86**	5.02**	4.11**	3.12**
	(-17.92 - -15.65)	(-16.29 - -13.56)	(-13.90 - -10.38)	(-10.43 - -6.30)	(-7.78 - -4.00)	(-5.04 - -2.69)	(4.21 - 5.83)	(3.44 - 4.77)	(2.70 - 3.53)
SoE days		-0.19**	-0.87**		-0.25**	-0.73**		0.09**	0.36**
		(-0.27 - -0.10)	(-1.06 - -0.68)		(-0.31 - -0.18)	(-0.88 - -0.58)		(0.06 - 0.12)	(0.28 - 0.43)
SoE days^2			0.02**			0.01**			-0.01**
			(0.02 - 0.02)			(0.01 - 0.02)			(-0.01 - -0.01)
Observations	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132
R-squared	0.74	0.74	0.80	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.81	0.82	0.85
				Low					
SoE	-22.12**	-24.38**	-9.81**	-6.70**	-6.43**	-0.36	4.64**	5.42**	2.37**
	(-23.40 - -20.84)	(-25.98 - -22.78)	(-11.35 - -8.28)	(-7.33 - -6.07)	(-7.40 - -5.46)	(-1.47 - 0.75)	(4.30 - 4.98)	(4.95 - 5.89)	(1.83 - 2.90)
SoE days		0.16**	-2.53**		-0.02	-1.11**		-0.05**	0.51**
		(0.09 - 0.24)	(-2.76 - -2.30)		(-0.05 - 0.02)	(-1.23 - -0.99)		(-0.07 - -0.04)	(0.45 - 0.57)
SoE days^2			0.09**			0.04**			-0.02**
			(0.09 - 0.10)			(0.03 - 0.04)			(-0.02 - -0.02)
Observations	11,601	11,601	11,601	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616
R-squared	0.64	0.65	0.69	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.76	0.76	0.78

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. A prefecture was classified as ‘High’ if the cumulative number of confirmed cases in that area was above the median of all prefectures within the study period; ‘Low’ prefectures were those with median or below median confirmed cases. SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 8. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Retail and recreation, grocery and pharmacies, and parks in prefectures with the high cumulative number of confirmed cases

	Retail and recreation			Supermarkets and pharmacies			Parks		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
1st SoE	-19.65**	-21.10**	-14.11**	-5.39**	-4.84**	-2.15**	-9.12**	-7.02**	-2.84*
	(-20.93 - -18.36)	(-22.92 - -19.27)	(-15.89 - -12.33)	(-5.79 - -4.98)	(-6.05 - -3.63)	(-3.03 - -1.26)	(-12.07 - -6.17)	(-11.15 - -2.89)	(-5.19 - -0.49)
1st SoE (days)		0.08**	-0.60**		-0.03	-0.31**		-0.10**	-1.05**
		(0.04 - 0.11)	(-0.81 - -0.39)		(-0.07 - 0.01)	(-0.37 - -0.24)		(-0.17 - -0.04)	(-1.35 - -0.76)
1st SoE^2 (days)			0.02**			0.01**			0.02**
			(0.01 - 0.02)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.02 - 0.03)
2nd SoE	-10.97**	-9.56**	-3.11**	-1.98**	0.24	1.72*	-7.06**	1.91*	1.27
	(-12.82 - -9.12)	(-10.78 - -8.35)	(-5.01 - -1.22)	(-3.11 - -0.84)	(-1.02 - 1.49)	(0.22 - 3.23)	(-10.63 - -3.49)	(0.48 - 3.33)	(-1.16 - 3.71)
2nd SoE (days)		-0.04*	-0.38**		-0.06**	-0.03		-0.25**	0.04
		(-0.08 - -0.00)	(-0.51 - -0.26)		(-0.09 - -0.03)	(-0.10 - 0.04)		(-0.31 - -0.18)	(-0.17 - 0.25)
2nd SoE^2 (days)			0.00**			-0.00			-0.00*
			(0.00 - 0.01)			(-0.00 - 0.00)			(-0.01 - -0.00)
3rd SoE	-3.76*	-8.15**	-10.56**	4.00**	-1.55**	-2.97**	-0.63	-4.69**	-8.74**
	(-6.68 - -0.84)	(-10.94 - -5.36)	(-12.09 - -9.02)	(2.39 - 5.62)	(-2.61 - -0.48)	(-3.89 - -2.05)	(-2.94 - 1.68)	(-7.58 - -1.79)	(-11.13 - -6.36)
3rd SoE (days)		0.20**	0.02		0.24**	0.09**		0.18**	0.26
		(0.17 - 0.23)	(-0.29 - 0.33)		(0.19 - 0.28)	(0.04 - 0.13)		(0.10 - 0.26)	(-0.03 - 0.55)
3rd SoE^2 (days)			-0.00			-0.00			-0.00
			(-0.01 - 0.01)			(-0.00 - 0.00)			(-0.01 - 0.00)
Observations	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,113	11,113	11,113
R-squared	0.79	0.80	0.77	0.40	0.43	0.49	0.55	0.55	0.57

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. A prefecture was classified as 'High' if the cumulative number of confirmed cases in that area was above the median of all prefectures within the study period. SoE = State of Emergency

Appendix Table 9. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd SoE and human mobility: Public transport, workplaces, and residential area in prefectures with the high cumulative number of confirmed cases

	Public transport			Workplaces			Residential area		
Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
1st SoE	-21.14**	-23.92**	-18.12**	-12.91**	-13.52**	-7.78**	6.93**	7.32**	4.79**
	(-22.09 - -20.20)	(-25.30 - -22.54)	(-20.06 - -16.18)	(-14.93 - -10.89)	(-16.49 - -10.54)	(-9.18 - -6.37)	(6.07 - 7.80)	(6.30 - 8.34)	(4.13 - 5.45)
1st SoE (days)		0.14**	-0.40**		0.02	-0.29**		-0.02**	0.16**
		(0.10 - 0.17)	(-0.58 - -0.22)		(-0.03 - 0.08)	(-0.42 - -0.16)		(-0.03 - -0.01)	(0.08 - 0.24)
1st SoE^2 (days)			0.01**			0.01**			-0.00**
			(0.01 - 0.02)			(0.00 - 0.01)			(-0.01 - -0.00)
2nd SoE	-15.83**	-17.15**	-3.25**	-6.65**	-2.93*	5.46**	4.10**	2.75**	-1.64**
	(-17.23 - -14.43)	(-19.67 - -14.63)	(-4.43 - -2.07)	(-8.11 - -5.19)	(-5.27 - -0.59)	(3.62 - 7.30)	(3.31 - 4.90)	(1.34 - 4.16)	(-2.26 - -1.02)
2nd SoE (days)		0.04	-0.79**		-0.10**	-0.56**		0.04*	0.31**
		(-0.06 - 0.13)	(-0.93 - -0.65)		(-0.16 - -0.05)	(-0.68 - -0.43)		(0.00 - 0.07)	(0.27 - 0.34)
2nd SoE^2 (days)			0.01**			0.01**			-0.00**
			(0.01 - 0.01)			(0.01 - 0.01)			(-0.00 - -0.00)
3rd SoE	-1.97	-4.50**	-8.30**	5.07**	0.64	-2.61	-0.05	0.56	1.90**
	(-4.58 - 0.64)	(-7.20 - -1.81)	(-11.95 - -4.64)	(2.98 - 7.15)	(-2.94 - 4.22)	(-6.04 - 0.81)	(-1.09 - 0.99)	(-0.62 - 1.75)	(0.59 - 3.20)
3rd SoE (days)		0.13**	-0.24		0.21**	0.15		-0.03**	0.01
		(0.04 - 0.21)	(-0.83 - 0.35)		(0.15 - 0.27)	(-0.13 - 0.44)		(-0.05 - -0.01)	(-0.15 - 0.17)
3rd SoE^2 (days)			-0.00			-0.00			0.00
			(-0.01 - 0.01)			(-0.01 - 0.00)			(-0.00 - 0.00)
Observations	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132	11,132
R-squared	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.84	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.83	0.82

Note: The table presents coefficients with confidence intervals estimated from robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. All models included prefecture-level fixed-effects, prefecture-by-holiday fixed-effects, prefecture-by-weekdays fixed-effects, prefecture-by-month fixed-effects, and prefecture-by-year fixed-effects, and were weighted by population size. A prefecture was classified as 'High' if the cumulative number of confirmed cases in that area was above the median of all prefectures within the study period. SoE = State of Emergency