medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Genetic overlap between multivariate measures of human functional brain connectivity and psychiatric disorders

Daniel Roelfs[1,*], Dennis van der Meer[1,2], Dag Alnæs[1,3], Oleksandr Frei[1,4], Robert Loughnan[5], Chun Chieh Fan[6,7,8], Anders M. Dale[9,8,10], Ole A. Andreassen[1,11], Lars T. Westlye[1,11,12], Tobias Kaufmann[1,13*]

[1] *NORMENT, KG Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

[2] *School of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine, and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands*

[3] *Bjørknes College, Oslo, Norway*

[4] *Center for Bioinformatics, Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

[5] *Departement of Cognitive Science, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093 USA*

6 Population Neuroscience and Genetics Lab, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA.

7 Center for Human Development, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

8 Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

[9] *Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA*

[10] *Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA*

[11] *K.G. Jebsen Center for Neurodevelopmental disorders, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

[12] *Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

[13] *Tübingen Center for Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Tübingen, Germany*

* Correspondence: Daniël Roelfs & Tobias Kaufmann, PhD.
Email: daniel.roelfs@medisin.uio.no, tobias.kaufmann@medisin.uio.no
Postal address: OUS, PO Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway
Telephone: +47 23 02 73 50, Fax: +47 23 02 73 33

Counts: Main: 2426 words | Abstract: 135 words | Figures: 4 | References: 66

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Psychiatric disorders are complex, heritable, and highly polygenic. Supported by findings of abnormalities in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) based measures of brain connectivity, current theoretical and empirical accounts have conceptualized them as disorders of brain connectivity and dysfunctional integration of brain signaling, however, the extent to which these findings reflect common genetic factors remains unclear. Here, we performed a multivariate genome-wide association analysis of fMRI-based functional brain connectivity in a sample of 30,701 individuals from the UK Biobank and investigated the shared genetic determinants with seven major psychiatric disorders. The analysis revealed significant genetic overlap between functional brain connectivity and schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, anxiety, and major depression, adding further genetic support for the dysconnectivity hypothesis of psychiatric disorders and identifying potential genetic and functional targets for future studies.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Psychiatric disorders are heritable and highly polygenic[1–4], and carry a high burden of disease, measured in years lived with disability[5]. Akin to the polygenic architecture of the disorders, where a number of variants each contribute with small effects, findings from imaging genetics studies have documented a distributed pattern of small effects across the genome for brain phenotypes derived from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)[6]. Likewise, brain imaging studies of psychiatric disorders have revealed distributed anatomical and functional alterations across the brain, with a large body of literature indicating alterations in functional brain connectivity in individuals with a range of psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia (SCZ; e.g. Petterson-Yeo et al., 2011[7]), bipolar disorder (BIP; e.g. Syan et al., 2018[8]), autism spectrum disorders (ASD; e.g. Hong et al.,2019[9]), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; e.g. Gao et al., 2019[10]), major depression (MDD; e.g. Brakowski et al., 2017[11]), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; e.g. Akiki et al., 2017[12]) and anxiety disorders (ANX; e.g. Xu et al., 2019[13]).

Altered brain connectivity in psychiatric disorders might reflect changes in synaptic functioning. Evidence from induced pluripotent stem cell research shows that mutations relevant to psychiatric disorders cause synapse deficits[14], genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of psychiatric disorders identified various genes involved in synaptic functioning[4,15–17], and gene expression studies identified differential expression patterns in synapse related genes in these disorders[18].

While both neuroimaging and genetic studies each have pointed to synaptic alterations in psychiatric disorders, only a few have specifically tested this hypothesis in an integrated imaging-genetics framework. A few studies have explored the genetic architecture of functional brain connectivity[19–23], and studies assessing polygenic risk scores have indicated links between psychiatric disorders and abnormal brain connectivity[24,25]. Previous studies also illustrated genetic correlation between various brain imaging phenotypes and psychiatric disorders that confirm a large degree of shared effect sizes across single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)[26–28]. However, we still lack a concise map of the overlap in genetic architecture between psychiatric disorders and the brain functional connectome.

Recent evidence from anatomical imaging suggests a distributed nature of genetic effects on the brain, calling for tools that take a multivariate approach to imaging genetics, beyond univariate genome-wide association studies of single brain phenotypes[29]. We hypothesized that such distributed nature of the genetic effects is also observable in functional imaging given the functional interplay of brain regions (nodes) in the connectome. A multivariate approach would perform better at capturing these distributed effects than conventional univariate GWASs[29], and we therefore deployed such approach to study the genetic architecture of functional brain connectivity – here defined as the partial correlation between temporal signal of large-scale brain network nodes[30,31] using data from the UK Biobank. Based on previous research pointing at dysconnectivity in psychiatric disorders, we expected that there is overlapping genetic architecture between the functional connectome and the disorders that can be captured

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

using our multivariate approach. We therefore assessed genetic overlap between the connectome and seven major psychiatric disorders (ADHD, ANX, ASD, BIP, MDD, PTSD and SCZ; Supplementary Table 1).

Results

We performed two multivariate GWAS using the Multivariate Omnibus Statistical Test (MOSTest)[29], one based on connectivity of 210 connections between 21 network nodes defined for the whole brain functional connectome, and one based on temporal signal variance in the respective nodes. The main analysis included data from 30,701 white British individuals aged 45-82 years (52.8% females) and replication analysis included a cross-ethnic sample of 8954 individuals aged 45-83 years (53.0% females). The Miami plots in Figure 1A illustrate the genetic associations calculated using MOSTest, and for comparison the associations identified using the traditional min-p approach, which takes the smallest p-value across univariate GWASs. Supplementary Fig. 2 depicted corresponding QQ-Plots. MOSTest identified 15 genetic loci significantly ($P < 5e-8$) associated with functional brain connectivity (FC) and 5 loci significantly associated with node variance, whereas the min-p approach only identified 2 loci for FC and 3 loci for node variance. Seven of 15 FC loci (47%) and 2 of 5 node variance loci (40%) were replicated at nominal p-value in the cross-ethnic independent replication sample (See Suppl. Fig. 2). Four of the five loci identified for node variance were also present for FC, in line with the phenotypic relationship between the two. The bottom row in Figure 1A shows individual univariate p-values for the MOSTest-discovered loci, illustrating that the univariate approach is only good at capturing strong effects (e.g. locus 3 for FC), yet fails to discover loci with enriched signal across brain phenotypes. This also indicates that signal captured by the min-p approach reflects mostly the effect of individual phenotypes, rather than the combined signal as captured by MOSTest. Figure 1B and corresponding Suppl. Figure 3 further illustrate the distributed nature of effects across the brain, where a given locus shows differential patterns of regional SNP effects. Finally, genetic correlation analysis of univariate node variance GWAS illustrated strong genetic correlations between different brain network nodes, largely in line with the phenotypic correlations observed when correlating the fMRI time series, and adding further support to a distributed nature of effects in fMRI-based connectomics (Suppl. Fig. 4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1. Multivariate and univariate architecture of the brain functional connectome. A) The first column of the figure illustrates the results for functional connectivity, the second column for node variance. The first row of panel A shows the Miami plots with the multivariate GWAS results from the MOSTest approach in the top, and the results from the traditional min-p approach at the bottom. The second row shows for each locus identified by MOSTest, the univariate p-values of the lead SNP in each locus. A majority of loci identified by the multivariate approach were not detected via the univariate approach. B) This panel show the univariate *p*-values for the lead SNPs of the significant loci identified by MOSTest. The first row of the panel shows the SNPs significant at nominal *p*-value ($P < 0.05$), the second row shows the significant SNPs at $P < 5e\text{-}8$. In the first column (FC) the non-significant SNPs are hidden. The lead SNPs from different loci are identified by a different color. Lead SNP associated with FC locus 3 were most often significant. The patterns are visualized in more detail in Suppl. Figure 3. In the second column (node variance), non-significant SNPs are denoted by a cross and color indicates the p-value for the significant nodes. Most significant SNPs were part of node variance locus 2.

To complement the multivariate stream, we further analyzed the univariate GWAS for each connection in the full brain network and for each node variance separately. Figure 2 depicts the SNP-based heritability for each connection (panel A) and for each node (panel B). SNP-based heritability ranged from 0.14% to 10.58% for brain connectivity (for 7 connections it could not be computed) and 137 out of 210 connections had a heritability above 1.96 times its standard error, indicating genetic signal[32]. The connection with the highest heritability was the connection between nodes reflecting activity in the prefrontal cortex (network 16) and the default mode network (network 14). We also observed high heritability estimates between bilateral homologous networks (e.g. network 21 and network 6) or between networks that involve the default mode network (e.g. network 14 and network 9, network 14 and network 1). Likewise, for node variance SNP-based heritability ranged from 3.92% to 13.64% with all nodes above 1.96 times their standard error, and highest heritability observed for node 9 (default mode network). Univariate analysis revealed no significant loci for any of the nodes or edges when controlling for the total number of edges or nodes through Bonferroni correction. The number of significant loci for the multivariate stream compared to the univariate stream adds further support that the genetic signal is distributed across the brain functional connectome, allowing us to capitalize on the signal for loci discovery.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2. Heritability across edges and nodes. Partitioned heritability (h2) across 210 edges (panel A) and 21 nodes (panel B). (A) Upper half and lower halves of the figure are identical. Dark green indicates lowest heritability, bright yellow indicates highest heritability. Edges that did not survive heritability threshold are greyed out. Edges for which heritability could not be calculated are marked with a cross. (B) Color scheme follows panel A and standard errors are depicted as bars

Next, we tested for overlap between the two MOSTest-derived genetic profiles (connectivity and node variance) with seven major psychiatric disorders (ADHD, ASD, ANX, BIP, MD, PTSD, SCZ) using conjunctional FDR analysis[33]. We found shared loci for six of the seven disorders, namely for ADHD, ASD, ANX, MD, BIP and SCZ (Fig. 3). By far the largest number of shared loci was implicated for SCZ (43 for FC, 22 for node variance). We found 6 loci for FC and 1 locus for node variance in ADHD, 5 loci for FC and 1 locus for node variance in BIP, and 4 loci for FC and 3 loci for node variance in ASD. Additionally, we found 1 shared locus between FC and MDD, and 1 shared locus between node variance and ANX. We did not find any shared loci between either FC or node variance and PTSD. Supplementary Fig. 5 depicts quantile-quantile plots for all genetic overlap analyses.

Using Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS (FUMA)[34], we mapped the loci shared between the connectome and the disorders to a number of genes listed in Suppl. Table 2. Strikingly, several of the mapped genes are known for their strong roles in synapse functioning. For example, we mapped BDNF to one of the loci shared between SCZ and FC. BDNF is a major regulator of synaptic transmission and synaptic plasticity[35]. Another example is NRXN1, found also for SCZ and FC, which is known for its role in the formation of synaptic contacts[36]. As expected, several of the genes were previously associated with psychiatric conditions, or with neurodevelopment and other brain-related

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

processes. Utilizing the pathway browser on the identified gene sets[37], we also found that the mapped genes were involved in cell signaling and signal transduction, more specifically protein-protein interactions at the synapses, WNT and NTRK signaling, but also a number of other biological processes such as chromosome maintenance and mitosis (Supplementary Fig. 6).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 3. Manhattan plots illustrating genetic overlap between disorders and the multivariate functional brain phenotypes. Association strength per locus is depicted as q-value from the conjunctional FDR analysis[33]. Values for FC and node variance are shown in the same figure with separate colors.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

In addition to the conjunctional FDR analyses, we also calculated genetic correlation between each connection or node surviving our pre-defined threshold of 1.96 times its SE, and the seven psychiatric disorders. Figure 4A illustrates that genetic correlation was generally low for the connectome and no connection survived after correcting for all 7 disorders and all connections. When only correcting for the number of connections but not for the number of disorders, we found a single significant connection for schizophrenia, which was the link between the auditory cortex and the thalamus (r_g = 0.2452, p_{BONF} = 0.0137). For node variance, we also found one significant association when correcting for all disorders and nodes, specifically between SCZ and variance in the tempo-parietal network (r_g = 0.2171, p_{BONF} = 3.9e-06).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 4. Genetic correlation between the connectome and psychiatric diagnoses. (A) The tiles show the genetic correlations between each edge of the whole brain network with diagnosis given psychiatric disorder. Size of the tile

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

represents the standard error. Edges with a heritability below 1.96 its standard error were not considered in the analysis and marked with a black cross. Among all disorders, only one edge marked with a black border was significant for SCZ after correcting for the number of edges (210), whereas none was significant when correcting for the number of edges and the number of disorders. Upper and lower half of each matrix are identical. (B) Genetic correlation analysis at the node level. Significant genetic correlations within a psychiatric disorder are indicated with a white asterisk when correcting for the number of nodes, whereas a green asterisk indicates significance when correcting for both, the number of nodes and the number of disorders. The latter stringent correction was surpassed for temporal variance of the fronto-parietal node and SCZ.

Discussion

Taken together, our study provided insight into the shared genetic architecture between measures of the brain functional connectome and common psychiatric disorders. Deploying multivariate genetic analyses of fMRI data from more than 30,000 individuals allowed us to capitalize on the distributed nature of genetic variation across the interconnected whole brain network to discover novel connectome-associated variants beyond what can be discovered using standard univariate approaches. Our analyses pinpointed a number of gene variants overlapping between the connectome and psychiatric disorders, where several of the corresponding mapped genes are known for their involvement with synapse formation and functioning.

We used two measures of the brain functional connectome – the 210 temporal correlations of brain signal from 21 nodes as measures of functional brain connectivity as well as temporal signal variation of these 21 network nodes. Given the interconnectedness of the connectome, we hypothesized that many connections or nodes would have overlapping genetic signatures. Indeed, our results illustrate that the genetic architecture of brain function is distributed across the brain. Our deployed multivariate approach successfully leveraged this pleiotropy for discovery, revealing a variety of genetic effects that would not have been discovered with the standard univariate GWAS approach, including the commonly used min-p approach which identifies the minimum p-value across univariate GWASs. We observed that the significant lead SNPs from MOSTest were often not significantly associated with the univariate measure. This demonstrates that using multivariate genetic analysis can be valuable to complement the univariate approach in settings like brain imaging where the signal is largely distributed. MOSTest is to some degree dependent on granularity as also previously shown[38] which may explain why MOSTest identified more loci for functional connectivity than for node variance, although p-values were generally lower in node variance compared to functional connectivity.. For both, node variance and connectivity the multivariate approach increased discovery compared to standard approaches, yet different parcellations may yield even further discoveries in future research.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

From our multivariate signatures of the connectome, we were able to identify a number of shared loci with psychiatric disorders through conjunctional FDR. The strongest degree of overlap was implied for SCZ yet all other psychiatric disorders apart from PTSD showed some degree of overlap as well, in particular with connectivity. Several synapse-related genes were among the overlapping genes, including some involved in the neurodevelopmental formation of synapses. This is particularly intriguing given that many psychiatric disorders are conceptualized as neurodevelopmental disorders even if they are typically diagnosed in adulthood. Further, many disorders are conceptualized as disorders of brain dysconnectivity, as initially proposed for schizophrenia[39]. This is now established across various disorders[40] and our results provide further evidence from the genetics end.

We provided univariate analyses in addition to the multivariate stream and showed a map of genetic correlations between connectivity, node variance and psychiatric disorders. Only a few edges were significant after correcting for multiple testing. With most stringent correction applied, we corrected for the number of comparisons in the network (210 edges / 21 nodes) and the number of disorders (7) and found that variance in the tempo-parietal node was associated with SCZ. Given this high level of stringency, we also reported results when not additionally controlling for the number of disorders and found a genetic association between SCZ and an auditory-thalamic edge. While this is a highly interesting observation in the light of auditory hallucinations observed in SCZ, the results need replication in independent data given that it only survived partial multiple-testing adjustment.

An important caveat to note is that despite the large sample sizes, only a few edges were significantly associated with a particular psychiatric disorder in the univariate analyses. These results underline the distributed nature of the genetic effects across the brain and highlight the importance of large samples. While the GWASs on the functional connectivity all contained the same number of individuals (n = 30,701), the size of the GWAS for each psychiatric disorder appeared to contribute to the power to detect genetic overlap. Therefore it is possible that more genetic overlap can be detected with future releases of psychiatric disorders summary statistics that are based on larger samples. Furthermore, temporal signal variance at the node level showed both larger heritability and effect sizes than edge functional connectivity. This may be partly explained by the granularity of the connectivity measure, its partial correlation account for all other edges in the network, or a better representation of the nodes across individuals compared to the potentially highly individualized network configurations[41,42], yet it may also imply that functional connectivity is less strongly associated with psychiatric disorders than node variance. At the phenotypic level node variance has been associated with psychiatric disorders, with effect sizes comparable to connectivity[43–45]. Given that our genetic analyses often imply similar genes for node-level and connectivity-level, the underlying sources may align despite differences in current association effect sizes.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

In conclusion, we here revealed a distributed nature of genetic effects on brain function and integration, and identified a number of genetic loci associated with key properties of the brain functional connectome. Further, we revealed a large degree of genetic overlap between multivariate measures of the brain functional connectome and a number of psychiatric disorders with genes pointing at synaptic plasticity. This may help further disentangle the complex biological underpinnings of psychiatric disorders and provide a bridge between functional connectivity alterations and genetic variations in patients. There is a need for follow-up experimental studies building on the discovered loci to disentangle the biological mechanisms.

References

1. Anttila, V. *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* **360**, eaap8757 (2018).
2. Fullerton, J. M. & Nurnberger, J. I. Polygenic risk scores in psychiatry: Will they be useful for clinicians? *F1000Research* **8**, (2019).
3. Smoller, J. W. *et al.* Psychiatric genetics and the structure of psychopathology. *Mol. Psychiatry* **24**, 409–420 (2019).
4. Sullivan, P. F. & Geschwind, D. H. Defining the Genetic, Genomic, Cellular, and Diagnostic Architectures of Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell* **177**, 162–183 (2019).
5. Vos, T. *et al.* Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet* **396**, 1204–1222 (2020).
6. Paulus, M. P. & Thompson, W. K. The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 353–354 (2019).
7. Pettersson-Yeo, W., Allen, P., Benetti, S., McGuire, P. & Mechelli, A. Dysconnectivity in schizophrenia: where are we now? *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **35**, 1110–1124 (2011).
8. Syan, S. K. *et al.* Resting-state functional connectivity in individuals with bipolar disorder during clinical remission: a systematic review. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci. JPN* **43**, 298–316 (2018).
9. Hong, S.-J. *et al.* Atypical functional connectome hierarchy in autism. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1022 (2019).
10. Gao, Y. *et al.* Impairments of large-scale functional networks in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity. *Psychol. Med.* **49**, 2475–2485 (2019).
11. Brakowski, J. *et al.* Resting state brain network function in major depression - Depression symptomatology, antidepressant treatment effects, future research. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **92**, 147–159 (2017).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

12. Akiki, T. J., Averill, C. L. & Abdallah, C. G. A Network-Based Neurobiological Model of PTSD: Evidence From Structural and Functional Neuroimaging Studies. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **19**, 81 (2017).
13. Xu, J. *et al.* Anxious brain networks: A coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity studies in anxiety. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **96**, 21–30 (2019).
14. Wen, Z. *et al.* Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPS cell model of mental disorders. *Nature* **515**, 414–418 (2014).
15. Devor, A. *et al.* Genetic evidence for role of integration of fast and slow neurotransmission in schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry* **22**, 792–801 (2017).
16. Howard, D. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of depression phenotypes in UK Biobank identifies variants in excitatory synaptic pathways. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1470 (2018).
17. Ripke, S., Walters, J. T. & O'Donovan, M. C. Mapping genomic loci prioritises genes and implicates synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *medRxiv* 2020.09.12.20192922 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922.
18. Lopez de Lara, C. *et al.* Implication of synapse-related genes in bipolar disorder by linkage and gene expression analyses. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **13**, 1397–1410 (2010).
19. Aurina Arnatkeviciute, Ben Fulcher, Mark Bellgrove, & Alex Fornito. Where the Genome Meets the Connectome: Understanding How Genes Shape Human Brain Connectivity. *PsyArXiv* (2021) doi:https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/hqgz7.
20. Barabási, D. L. & Barabási, A.-L. A Genetic Model of the Connectome. *Neuron* **105**, 435-445.e5 (2020).
21. Fornito, A. *et al.* Genetic influences on cost-efficient organization of human cortical functional networks. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **31**, 3261–3270 (2011).
22. Smith, S. M. *et al.* An expanded set of genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. *Nat. Neurosci.* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41593-021-00826-4.
23. Yang, Z. *et al.* Genetic and Environmental Contributions to Functional Connectivity Architecture of the Human Brain. *Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991* **26**, 2341–2352 (2016).
24. Cao, H., Zhou, H. & Cannon, T. D. Functional connectome-wide associations of schizophrenia polygenic risk. *Mol. Psychiatry* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0699-3.
25. Miller, D. R. *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder symptom severity is associated with reduced default mode network connectivity in individuals with elevated genetic risk for psychopathology. *Depress. Anxiety* **34**, 632–640 (2017).
26. Hibar, D. P. *et al.* Cortical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: an MRI analysis of 6503 individuals from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 932–942 (2018).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

27. Walton, E. *et al.* Exploration of Shared Genetic Architecture Between Subcortical Brain Volumes and Anorexia Nervosa. *Mol. Neurobiol.* **56**, 5146–5156 (2019).
28. Zhao, B. *et al.* Large-scale GWAS reveals genetic architecture of brain white matter microstructure and genetic overlap with cognitive and mental health traits (n = 17,706). *Mol. Psychiatry* (2019) doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0569-z.
29. van der Meer, D. *et al.* Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with MOSTest. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3512 (2020).
30. Smith, S. M. *et al.* Network modelling methods for FMRI. *NeuroImage* **54**, 875–891 (2011).
31. Smitha, K. A. *et al.* Resting state fMRI: A review on methods in resting state connectivity analysis and resting state networks. *Neuroradiol. J.* **30**, 305–317 (2017).
32. Shi, H., Mancuso, N., Spendlove, S. & Pasaniuc, B. Local Genetic Correlation Gives Insights into the Shared Genetic Architecture of Complex Traits. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **101**, 737–751 (2017).
33. Andreassen, O. A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false discovery rate. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003455 (2013).
34. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).
35. Dean, C. *et al.* Synaptotagmin-IV modulates synaptic function and long-term potentiation by regulating BDNF release. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 767–776 (2009).
36. Dean, C. *et al.* Neurexin mediates the assembly of presynaptic terminals. *Nat. Neurosci.* **6**, 708–716 (2003).
37. Jassal, B. *et al.* The reactome pathway knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D498–D503 (2020).
38. Shadrin, A. A. *et al.* Multivariate genome-wide association study identifies 780 unique genetic loci associated with cortical morphology. *bioRxiv* 2020.10.22.350298 (2021) doi:10.1101/2020.10.22.350298.
39. Friston, K., Brown, H. R., Siemerkus, J. & Stephan, K. E. The dysconnection hypothesis (2016). *Schizophr. Res.* **176**, 83–94 (2016).
40. van den Heuvel, M. P. & Sporns, O. A cross-disorder connectome landscape of brain dysconnectivity. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **20**, 435–446 (2019).
41. Finn, E. S. *et al.* Functional connectome fingerprinting: identifying individuals using patterns of brain connectivity. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 1664–1671 (2015).
42. Kaufmann, T. *et al.* Delayed stabilization and individualization in connectome development are related to psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 513–515 (2017).
43. Kaufmann, T. *et al.* Disintegration of Sensorimotor Brain Networks in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* **41**, 1326–1335 (2015).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

44. Lynall, M.-E. *et al.* Functional connectivity and brain networks in schizophrenia. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **30**, 9477–9487 (2010).
45. Rolls, E. T., Cheng, W. & Feng, J. Brain dynamics: the temporal variability of connectivity, and differences in schizophrenia and ADHD. *Transl. Psychiatry* **11**, 70 (2021).
46. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203–209 (2018).
47. Bycroft, C. *et al.* Genome-wide genetic data on 500,000 UK Biobank participants. *bioRxiv* 166298 (2017) doi:10.1101/166298.
48. Jenkinson, M., Beckmann, C. F., Behrens, T. E. J., Woolrich, M. W. & Smith, S. M. FSL. *20 YEARS FMRI* **62**, 782–790 (2012).
49. Smith, S. M. *et al.* Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *NeuroImage* **23 Suppl 1**, S208-219 (2004).
50. Griffanti, L. *et al.* ICA-based artefact removal and accelerated fMRI acquisition for improved resting state network imaging. *NeuroImage* **95**, 232–247 (2014).
51. Salimi-Khorshidi, G. *et al.* Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. *NeuroImage* **90**, 449–468 (2014).
52. Beckmann, C. F. & Smith, S. M. Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **23**, 137–152 (2004).
53. Smith, S. M. *et al.* Functional connectomics from resting-state fMRI. *Spec. Issue Connect.* **17**, 666–682 (2013).
54. Kaufmann, T. *et al.* Task modulations and clinical manifestations in the brain functional connectome in 1615 fMRI datasets. *NeuroImage* **147**, 243–252 (2017).
55. Demontis, D. *et al.* Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 63–75 (2019).
56. Otowa, T. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders. *Mol. Psychiatry* **21**, 1391–1399 (2016).
57. Grove, J. *et al.* Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 431–444 (2019).
58. Stahl, E. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 793–803 (2019).
59. Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 668–681 (2018).
60. Duncan, L. E. *et al.* Largest GWAS of PTSD (N=20□070) yields genetic overlap with schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 666–673 (2018).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

61. Pardiñas, A. F. *et al.* Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 381–389 (2018).
62. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1228–1235 (2015).
63. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291–5 (2015).
64. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236–41 (2015).
65. Lee, J. J., McGue, M., Iacono, W. G. & Chow, C. C. The accuracy of LD Score regression as an estimator of confounding and genetic correlations in genome-wide association studies. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **42**, 783–795 (2018).
66. Koopmans, F. *et al.* SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the Synapse. *Neuron* **103**, 217-234.e4 (2019).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Methods

Sample and exclusion criteria

We accessed resting state fMRI data from the UK Biobank[46], a large-scale resource of imaging, genetics, and other biological and psychological data (access with permission no. 27412). All participants provided signed informed consent before inclusion in the study. The UK Biobank was approved by the National Health Service National Research Ethics Service (ref. 11/NW/0382).We selected data from individuals with White British ancestry, identified based on the genetic clustering performed by the UK Biobank team[47]. Data of all eligible participants were included for the main analysis in November 2020 and we did not exclude individuals based on a diagnosis. The resulting sample comprised data of 30.701 individuals with a mean age of 64.24 years (SD: 7.50, range: 45-82; 52.8% females). Additional data became available afterwards and was partly used for replication (see Replication section).

Image acquisition and pre-processing

Data had been acquired by the UK Biobank study team[46]. The fMRI images were collected on two identical 3T Siemens Magnetom Skyra scanners in the UK with a 32 channel head coil (Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). Data was recorded using a gradient-echo echo planar imaging sequence with x8 multislice acceleration (TR: 0.735s, TE: 39ms, FOV: 88x88x64 matrix, FA: 52°) with a voxel size of 2.4x2.4x2.4mm. One fMRI sequence took approximately 6 minutes. The protocol further included T1 imaging, acquired using a MPRAGE sequence with in-plane acceleration (iPAT) of 2 (resolution: $1mm^3$, FOV: 208x256x256 matrix).

Data had been preprocessed by the UK Biobank study team as described in Alfaro-Almagro *et al.* (2018). Briefly, preprocessing used the FSL pipeline[48,49], which included unwarping, motion correction using MC-FLIRT (Jenkinson, Bannister, Brady, & Smith, 2002), and intensity normalization. Artifacts were removed using ICA and FIX preprocessing[50,51]. The final step was a group ICA using MELODIC[52] which decomposed the data using independent component analysis into 25 components.

We retrieved individual level time series data for each subject and component (output from dual regression at model order 25). We computed functional brain networks using the FSLNets toolbox[53]. First, we regressed the time series of four noise components from the time series of the remaining 21 components and subsequently removed those four components. Next, we estimated functional connectivity (FC) as the regularized partial correlations of the component time series, implementing an approach developed by Ledoit & Wolf (2012) which performs an automated adjustment of the shrinkage parameter lambda, as implemented in our earlier work[54]. As the last step, we regressed age, age^2, sex, scanner, motion, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), and the first 20 genetic principal components from the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

individual connection strengths, residualizing each edge (210 in total) of the partial correlation matrix. In addition to functional brain connectivity, we also performed an analysis of the variance in signal amplitude of the 21 components[48], and performed the same residualisation in this node-level analysis as described above for the edge level.

Univariate and Multivariate Genome-Wide Analysis

We performed multivariate and univariate GWAS using the Multivariate Omnibus Statistical Test (MOSTest)[29]. MOSTest takes as input all univariate test statistics (*z*-scores) for each SNP, as obtained through standard association testing with each pre-residualized phenotype, and compares this to test statistics obtained following a single random permutation of the genotype vector. A multivariate test statistic is then calculated from this comparison as the Mahalonobis norm, with the probability of the observed test-statistic being derived from a Chi-square distribution. Further details of the method are described in Van der Meer et al. (2020). MOSTest returns a multivariate test statistic, where in contrast to classical univariate GWAS that link a given SNP with a single phenotype, for each SNP the multivariate association across all included phenotypes is provided. This allowed us to retrieve one multivariate summary statistic for functional brain connectivity (edge level), and one for node variance (node level). In addition, we retrieved classical univariate summary statistics for follow-up analyses.

Summary statistics for psychiatric disorders

We accessed publicly available summary statistics for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)[55], anxiety disorder (ANX)[56], autism spectrum disorder (ASD)[57], bipolar disorder (BIP)[58], major depression (MD)[59], Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)[60], and schizophrenia (SCZ)[61]. For details, see Suppl. Fig. 1.

Pleiotropy-informed conjunctional false discovery rate

Due to the complex and polygenic architecture of our brain phenotypes, we utilized pleiotropy-informed conjunctional false discovery rate (conjFDR) as implemented in the pleioFDR toolbox[33]. The conjFDR identifies shared genomic loci between two traits regardless of effect directionality and effect size, making it ideally suited to compare a multivariate summary statistic from MOSTest (here: FC and variance) against the summary statistics of a given disorder (here: SCZ, BD, MD, ASD, ADHD, ANX, PTSD).

Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression

For the univariate summary statistics, we estimated partitioned heritability[62] and genetic correlation with LD-score regression using the LDSC toolbox[63]. We also estimated genetic correlation between each edge and temporal variance in each node with the seven psychiatric disorders using cross-trait LDSC[63–65]. Of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

note, genetic correlations require effect directions and are thus not applicable to the multivariate summary statistics derived from MOSTest. We therefore used genetic correlations in connection with univariate statistics as a complement to the multivariate pipeline.

Gene mapping and annotation

We used the Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA) tool to map loci derived through conjunctional FDR analyses to genes[34]. We then used the gene list extracted through FUMA to link the genes to biological processes using the *synGO* toolbox to map synaptic genes[66], and the *reactome* toolbox to map the genes to a range of biological processes[37].

Replication

To validate the discovered loci, we performed a replication analysis of our two main MOSTest analyses on a dataset containing all non-White British subjects with available data as well as a new batch of data (including White British) that arrived after we performed the main analyses. This resulted in a dataset containing 8954 individuals.

Acknowledgements

The authors were funded by the Research Council of Norway (#276082 LifespanHealth, #223273 NORMENT, #283798 ERA-NET Neuron SYNSCHIZ, #249795, #298646, #300767), the South-East Norway Regional Health Authority (2019101, 2019107, and 2020086), and the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon2020 Research and Innovation program (ERC Starting Grant #802998), as well as the Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Action Grant CoMorMent (#847776). This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (access code 27412, https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). This work was performed on the TSD (Tjenester for Sensitive Data) facilities, owned by the University of Oslo, operated and developed by the TSD service group at the University of Oslo, IT-Department (USIT). Computations were also performed on resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway.

Conflicts of interest

D.R., D.vd.M., D.A., O.F., R.L., C.C.F., L.T.W. and T.K. declare no conflicts of interest. O.A.A. is a consultant to HealthLytix and received speakers honorarium from Lundbeck. A.M.D. is a Founder of and holds equity in CorTechs Labs, Inc, and serves on its Scientific Advisory Board. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by UCSD in accordance with its conflict of interest policies.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954; this version posted June 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Author contributions

D.R. and T.K. conceived the study; D.R. analyzed the data with contributions from T.K.; All authors contributed with conceptual input on methods and/or interpretation of results; D.R. and T.K. wrote the first draft of the paper and all authors contributed to the final manuscript.

Data availability

All data used in this study are part of the publicly available UK Biobank initiative (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). Summary statistics for the disorders are publicly available through their respective consortia (Supplementary Table 1). The summary statistics for the multivariate analyses will be shared on GitHub (https://www.github.com/norment/open-science) upon acceptance.

Code availability

Code will be made publicly available via GitHub (https://www.github.com/norment/open-science) upon acceptance of the manuscript.