medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The Spectre of SARS-CoV-2 in the Ambient Urban Natural Water in Ahmedabad and Guwahati: A Tale of Two Cities

Manish Kumar[1,2*], Payal Mazumder[3], Jyoti Prakash Deka[4], Vaibhav Srivastava[1], Chandan Mahanta[5], Shilangi Gupta[1], Ritushmit Goswami[6], Madhvi Joshi[7], AL. Ramanathan[8]

[1]Discipline of Earth Science, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382 355, India

[2]Kiran C Patel Centre for Sustainable Development, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

[3]Centre for the Environment, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

[4]Discipline of Environmental Sciences, Guwahati Commerce College, Guwahati, Assam 781021, India

[5]Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

[6]Department of Bioscience and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

[7]Tata Institute of Social Science, Guwahati, Assam, India

[7]Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre (GBRC), Sector- 11, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382 011, India

[8]School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi 110067, India

*Corresponding Author: manish.env@gmail.com; manish.kumar@iitgn.ac.in

Manish Kumar | Ph.D, FRSC, JSPS, WARI+91 863-814-7602 | Discipline of Earth Science | IIT Gandhinagar | India

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Graphical abstract



Highlights

1. Natural urban waters show the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA.
2. Lake water receiving runoff containing SARS-CoV-2 genes reflected positive sign early
3. Viral RNA in surface water reflects inadequate sanitation and wastewater management.
4. Residence time and transmission owing to viral RNA in natural waters needs further research.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

COVID-19 positive patients can egest live SARS-CoV-2 virus through faecal matter and urine, raising concerns about viral transmission through faecal-oral route and/or contaminated aerosolized water. These worries are heightened in many low and middle income nations, where raw sewage is often dumped into surface waterways and open defecation betide. In this manuscript we attempt to discern the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material (ORF-1ab, N and S genes) in two urban cities of India viz., Ahmedabad, in western India with ~12 WWTPs and Guwahati, in north-east of the country with no such plants. 25.8% and 20% of the surface water samples had detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA load in Ahmedabad and Guwahati. N-gene>S-gene>ORF-1ab-gene were readily detected in surface water of Ahmedabad, whereas, no such significant trend was found in the case of Guwahati. The high concentration of gene (ORF-1ab – 800 copies/L for Sabarmati river, Ahmedabad and S-gene – 565 copies/L for Bharalu urban river, Guwahati) found in natural waters indicates low sanitation and have various health and ecological consequences that should be investigated further.

Keywords: COVID-19, surface water, wastewater, sewage, SARS-CoV-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Viruses are reported to occur in the surface water and believed to impact environmental and human health (**Lu and Yu, 2018; Qu et al., 2018, Kauppinen et al., 2018; Sekwadi et al., 2018; Kuroda et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2020**). Absence of sufficient sewage collection and treatment system is likely to make the situation more severe, especially in cities of the developing countries owing to high population density, discharge of (often unregulated) domestic and industrial effluents and ineffective treatment of wastewater (**Samaraweera et al., 2019**). It is a known fact that enteric viruses can enter into the aquatic environments through several routes such as water outflows or heavy rainfall, combined sewer outflows, blockages or sanitation system failures (**Fong et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2019**). Various enteric viruses are very similar in terms of their structure, origin and symptoms of enteric viruses. Severe Acute Respiratory Viruses (SARS) are also reported to be prevalent in wastewater and surface water despite being an enveloped virus, that rapidly degrade in the environment. The prevalence of such viruses in the aquatic environment is likely to increase considerably during the ongoing Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic situation, that pose severe health risk to humans via faecal-oral transmission or aerosolisation of water droplets containing virus (**Lodder and de Roda Husman, 2020; Naddeo and Liu, 2020**). Nonetheless, because numerous countries like India are now witnessing the largest COVID-19 peaks and a probable onset of third wave in 2021, also knowing the viable viral particles might be particularly important for Quantitative Microbiological Risk Assessment (QMRA) associated to exposure to SARS-CoV-2 contaminated water. Overall, considering the millions of infections and deaths related to COVID-19, it is highly pertinent to monitor the occurrences of SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CoV-2) in the freshwater and wastewater systems which is vital for human sustenance. However, faecal shedding of the virus and its detection in wastewater might be particularly problematic in low-sanitation areas where wastewater treatment is partial or non-existent **(Kozer et al., 2021; Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2020)**.

Further, the abundance of viruses in tropical countries has not been well documented. As, the lipids of viral envelop can be easily disrupted by environmental stressors (**Pinon and Vialette, 2018**), enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2 are more susceptible than non-enveloped viruses (e.g. Norovirus, Rhinovirus, etc.) under similar adverse conditions (**Gundy et al., 2009**). Although, the high temperatures and solar radiations during tropical summers can effectively lower the prevalence of viruses, COVID-19 spread in the world does not suggest such (**Carratala et al., 2013; Baker et al., 2021**). The pathway of SARS-CoV-2 reaching to the ambient waters have been plenty (**Kumar et al., 2020**), including that of short circuiting of wastewater release into the urban waters and incomplete removal of viruses during the treatment. It was found that tertiary treatment of wastewater could remove greater % of SARS-CoV-2 RNA (100%) while, that of secondary treatment (89%) (**Randazzo et al., 2020**). **de Oliveira et al, (2021)** detected SARS-CoV-2 in artificially spiked river water (filtered and unfiltered) at two different temperatures viz., 4°C and 24°C through plaque assays. On the other hand, **Haramoto et al, (2020)** reported no positive results for virus RNA in raw wastewater whereas, ~2400 gene copies/L were detected in wastewater with secondary treatment. They also sampled surface water (river) to detect the viral genome, however, there was no trace of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in river water. Surprisingly, they also observed the abundance of N genes in positive secondary treated samples but ORF-1a and S genes were not found. Although the frequency of reports on SARS-CoV-2 presence in the treated wastewater is increasing day by day (**Westhaus et al., 2021, Hasan et al., 2021**), the ambient

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

urban waters are somehow not being monitored. Hence it is very likely that we are going to miss this opportunity to learn a lot about the pandemic situation to make our future generations capable of understanding and manage them better. **Mancuso et al, (2021)** reviewed how SARS-CoV-2 might infiltrate the urban water cycle and subsequently spread from urban to rural water settings, posing a possible risk to crop production and, hence, human health. **Mahlknecht et al, (2021)** reported the first study on the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in groundwater in Monterrey. There is currently no indication that COVID-19 may be transferred to animals or humans through polluted water (**La Rosa et al., 2020**). Despite this, the World Health Organization (**WHO, 2020**) has emphasised the need of study into the novel coronavirus persistence in environmental matrices like as surface water and wastewater.

Under the light of above discussion, we conducted SARS-CoV-2 titre monitoring in various surface waters of two Indian cities i.e. Ahmedabad in Gujarat Province and Guwahati in Assam. Cities are selected such that the former has one of the highest number of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) among the Indian cities i.e. Ahmedabad in western India and the latter do not have even a single treatment plant available in the city i.e., case of Guwahati in north-east India. Our main objectives were to: i) understand the frequency of positive occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 titre during weekly surveillance of the representative water bodies present in both the cites; ii) comparative assessment of the vulnerability of urban waters in a city setup among the silhouette of COVID-19 clinical cases. Our research is critical since there are several transmission pathways in underdeveloped nations due to less prevalent, poorly managed sewage systems, which result in wastewater leakages and common sewage overflow issues.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study area and sampling location

In the present study, three lakes i.e. Kankaria Lake, Chandola Lake, Vastrapur Lake and the Sabarmati Rivers were sampled weekly since September 3rd, 2020 to 29th December, 2020, as a representative urban ambient water bodies in Ahmedabad **(Fig. 1a)**. In Ahmedabad, the sewage is collected through a system comprising an underground drainage network, auxiliary pumping stations (APS), Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs), and are disposed into the natural water bodies and rivers after treatment. Wastewater generated from all these development is collected by a network of underground sewers and pumping stations and is conveyed to the sewage treatment works for physical and biological treatment to meet the Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB) guidelines before discharge into the nearest water body. The Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation comprises of 9 STPs, 45 Sewage Pumping Stations, and an extended Sewage Network of ~2500 km present in the city.

On the other hand, ten samples representing Dipor Bil Lake, the Brahmaputra River, the Bharalu River and the Urban Drains of the Guwahati city were taken and analysed monthly from October to December, 2020 **(Fig. 1b)**. Guwahati, known as gateway of the north-eastern India, has a concise area of 328 km^2 that exhibit rapid and unplanned urban growth with around a million of city residents as per the 2011 census. The Brahmaputra River, an international transboundary, the fifteenth longest and the ninth largest river in terms of discharge (**Pervez and Henebry, 2015**) provides one side boundary to the city. While the Bharalu River, a tributary of Brahmaputra River, flows through the dense urban region of Guwahati city and now has virtually become an urban drain. Dipor Bil Lake is a natural freshwater lake/wetland system recognised under the Ramsar Convention provides another

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

side of the city. There is not a single STP present in Guwahati city of Assam Province. Probably the main solution of the wastewater here is the dilution owing to relatively higher rainfall (average annual precipitation of 2054 mm) with 91.9 average rainy days over a year. The perennial discharge of the Brahmaputra River is disposing the responsibility of diluting all the wastes of the city. Sampling locations in Guwahati was selected based on our previous work (**Kumar et al., 2019**). We added two additional locations i.e. Khanapara and AIDC based on COVID-19 quarantine centre locations in the city. Overall, eight sampling locations were precisely same as described in **Kumar et al, (2019)** and two additional locations were added specific to COVID-19 pandemic. Samples were collected using composite grab sampling by mixing three samples simultaneously taken at each location.

2.2 Sample collection and preparation

The samples were collected using grab sampling technique in 500ml polyethylene sterile bottles (Tarsons, PP Autoclavable, Wide Mouth Bottle, Cat No. 582240, India) and transferred in an icebox to the laboratory at Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre (GBRC) and refrigerated at 4^0C until further process. To take the cross-contamination during transportation into account, the sampling blanks were prepared and analysed. Samples from Guwahati was transported in a sealed ice-box by air-mail within the same day of sampling and RNA extraction was performed within 72 hrs of sampling.

Poly ethylene glycol (PEG) based precipitation method was used for concentration of the sample as explained by (**Kumar et al., 2020b**). Briefly, 30ml sample was centrifuged (Model: Sorvall ST 40R, Thermo Scientific) at 4000g for 30 minutes in a 50ml falcon tube followed by the filtration of the supernatant with a syringe filter of 0.2µ (Mixed cellulose esters syringe filter, Himedia). The filtrate was then treated with NaCl (17.5 g/L) and PEG 9000 (80 g/L) and incubated at 100 rpm overnight (Model: Incu-Shaker™ 10LR,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Benchmark). The room temperature was maintained at 17 ^{0}C using air-conditioner. A protocol for the same was established before and the effect of several variables like volume of the samples, temperature, rpm speed, and amount of PEG and NaCl were already observed and standardized. To make the pellet, the solution was then subjected to ultra-centrifugation at 14000g for 90 minutes (Model: Incu-Shaker™ 10LR, Benchmark). RNase-free water was used for the resuspension of the pellet containing viral particles, which then was stored in a 1.5ml Eppendorf tube at a temperature of -40°C until RNA isolation. The detailed work flow concept has been depicted in **Fig. 2**.

2.3 Isolation of the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome

SARS-CoV-2 RNA isolation was performed using a commercially ready-for-use kit (NucleoSpin® RNA Virus, Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). MS2 phage (10 μL), Proteinase K (20 μL) and RAV1 buffer (600 μL) consisting of carrier RNA were mixed with 300 μL of the concentrated viral particles. MS2 phage serves as the molecular process inhibition as a test control. It was used to monitor the efficacy of RNA extraction and PCR inhibition. It should be remembered that MS2 may spontaneously exist in wastewater, so there is a risk that the retrieved MS2 may consist of both the spiked and the background viral material. As per the user manual instructions (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG), further procedures were carried out. The last elution was done with 30 μL of kit-supplied elution buffer. Using a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen), RNA concentrations were checked.

The nucleic acid was analyzed to identify the S gene, N gene, and ORF1ab of SARS-CoV-2 and the internal control (MS2) with the help of RT-PCR using the TaqPath^{TM} Covid-19 RT-PCR package (Applied Biosystems). Amplification was conducted in a reaction (25 μL) vial containing 7 μL of RNAs derived from each sample. 2 μL of the positive control (TaqPath™ COVID-19 Control) and refined 5 μL of negative control were used for the study. Nuclease-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

free water was applied as a template-free control in this analysis. Additional process steps were executed, as defined in the product guidebook. The RT-qPCR step consisting of 40 cycles, included UNG incubation (25 °C for 2 min), reverse transcription (53 °C for 10 min), and activation (95 °C for 2 min). The reactions were conducted and elucidated as instructed in the handbook of Applied BiosystemsTM 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR.

2.4 Data visualization

OriginPro 2019b software has been used for data analysis and to draw boxplots.

3. Results and discussion

Wastewater samples collected from surface urban waters of Ahmedabad (Sabarmati River, Kankaria, Chandola and Vastrapur lakes), Gujarat, India, revealed a considerable variation in SARS-CoV-2 genome titre. Analogy of qRT-PCR assay analysis for the determination of the virus genetic material (N, S, and ORF 1ab genes) showed 25.8% (8/31) positive samples. The average N-gene copies were found to be maximum in Sabarmati River (694 copies/L), followed by Kankaria (549 copies/ L) and Chandola (402 copies/L) while, Vastrapur did not show the presence of N-gene. The ORF 1ab-gene copies were found maximum in samples collected from Sabarmati River (800 copies/ L), followed by Kankaria (87 copies/L). Chandola and Vastrapur lake samples were negative for the ORF-1ab gene. Similarly, the S-gene copies climbed down from: Sabarmati River (490 copies/L)> Vastrapur (58 copies/ L)> Chandola (52 copies/ L)> Kankaria (45 copies/L). Correspondingly, a higher SARS-CoV-2 genome concentration was observed in Sabarmati River (492 copies/L), followed by Kankaria (318 copies/ L) and Chandola lake sample (75 copies/L) **(Table 1a)**. The number of active COVID-19 cases in Ahmedabad on the day of sampling matched the gene amplification and detection patterns (viral genetic load) in surface water rather well **(Fig. 3)**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The N-gene was detected in many samples even though the samples were negative for ORF-1ab gene and S-gene. This may be due to the fact that there may be sparse concentration of RNA for gene specific amplification. The box plots for Ahmedabad shows highest detection of N-gene, S-gene, ORF-1ab gene and genome concentrations in copies/L for the month of November 2020 and April 2021 **(Fig. 4)**.

The water samples collected from Guwahati (Dipor Bil lake, Brahmaputra river and WWTP at Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati (IITG) showed negative results for SARS-CoV-2 RNA. While, 1 sample near a COVID care centre and 1 sample from Bharalu urban drain tested positive for the presence of the virus genome thus showing 20% (2/10) positive results for the sampled locations. The average N-gene, ORF-1ab gene and S-gene copies were found to be maximum in the COVID care centre i.e., 9169, 4153 and 3580 copies/L than that of Bharalu urban drain. However, in the Bharalu drain the S-gene concentration was found to be the highest (565 copies/L) followed by N-gene (549 copies/L) and ORF-1ab gene (435 copies/L). Evidently, a larger genome concentration was observed in the COVID care facility (5634 copies/L) than the urban drain (516 copies/L) **(Table 1b)**. Conversely, the number of active cases rapidly decreased in the month of October, 2020 in Guwahati which, followed the trend till March, 2021 before another rise in cases from April, 2021. The reason for negative detection of the SARS-CoV-2 gene in the Guwahati samples correspond to the decrease in clinical cases during the sampling period which, seems to be one of the lowest in the year 2020-May, 2021. The COVID care centre showed positive results as the symptomatic and asymptomatic patients were treated there. Bharalu drain, however, flows through the heat and lungs of the city and collects sewage and waste before finally joining to the Brahmaputra River. Hence, the asymptomatic cases or those who were not admitted to COVID care centres still shedding the virus would be detected in the wastewater. Guwahati city not having any WWTPs might face a lot of sanitation issues. The Bharalu river which

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

turned into an urban drain carrying such enteric viruses might play as a hub of faecal-oral transmission. WWTPs can remove SARS-CoV-2 RNA, thus, strengthening the cities weak health infrastructure. However, a much deeper research is still needed on the efficient removal of viral genome in WWTPs. The current results reveal the microbiological implications of sewage discharge into natural streams without prior treatment. Guwahati's urban waterways are harmed by the unmediated exude of sewage water from a population of about one million people.

India is on the verge of facing a third wave of COVID-19 among many natural calamities in 2021 e.g., several severe earthquakes in Assam and cyclone Tauktae near Gujarat coast. In such case access to safe water, health and hygiene during rehabilitation is pivotal. Therefore, all possible exposure pathways of SARS-CoV-2 is needed to be considered scientifically and point of discharge needs to identified and tested for microbial contamination along with basic water quality parameters. The findings of our study may be applied to other cities where, sewage is disposed directly into natural waterways. Particularly, the presence of SARS-CoV-2, along with other waterborne pathogens released in open surface waters, may provide a risk of infection to anyone who come into contact with such water downstream. It is crucial to note, however, that in the current study, only SARS-CoV-2 genetic material has been identified in waterways, and the virus's survival in contaminated waterways is unknown. Furthermore, because zoonotic spill over episodes are common in the Coronaviridae family, viral propagation into the environment has an undisclosed influence on domestic animals and wildlife health (**Franklin & Bevins, 2020**). Eventually, if diagnostic equipment's are restricted, the abundance of the viral genome can be employed as a surveillance criteria for a prompt warning system monitoring main sewage discharges across the city, assisting in the containment of the pandemic (**Bivins et al., 2020**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion

The persistence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the viral RNA in various water matrixes is a current research subject. In the context of intermittent lockdown and progressive rise in COVID cases in India, we attempted to investigate the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic signature in two metropolitan cities of India viz., Ahmedabad (Western zone) and Guwahati (North-Eastern zone). The sustenance of the viral RNA load in urban surface waters in both the cities were congruent to the trends in active clinical COVID-19 cases. Lack of wastewater treatment coverage might be a contributing reason to the elevated probability of a COVID-19 pandemic. Water safety begins with the preservation of natural water resources in the watershed; as a result, it is crucial to keep surface and groundwater from contamination with faeces and to prevent direct discharge of grey water into rivers, streams, lakes, wetlands, open wells, etc. Surface waters receiving direct sewage or effluent discharge can be targeted for surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 genome and thus, can provide a lot of insights on rise in transmissions, sanitation, future risks and management. The approach described in this paper can be employed in other places where sampling sewage is impossible and wastewaters are disposed into lakes, streams or rivers. The knowledge is also helpful to indicate thorough investigation of possibility of contagion in places with inadequate sanitation, where people are at risk of being exposed to polluted water or even raw sewage.

Acknowledgement:

This work is funded by UNICEF, Gujarat and UKIERI. We also acknowledge the help received from Mr. Alok Thakur, and other GBRC staffs who contributed towards sample and data analyses.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Bivins, A., North, D., Ahmad, A., Ahmed, W., Alm, E., Been, F., Bhattacharya, P., Bijlsma, L., Boehm, A. B., Brown, J., Buttiglieri, G., Calabro, V., Carducci, A., Castiglioni, S., Cetecioglu Gurol, Z., Chakraborty, S., Costa, F., Curcio, S., De Los Reyes, F. L., … Bibby, K. (2020). Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: Global Collaborative to Maximize Contributions in the Fight against COVID-19. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *54*(13), 7754–7757. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02388

de Oliveira, L. C., Torres-Franco, A. F., Lopes, B. C., Santos, B. S. Á. da S., Costa, E. A., Costa, M. S., Reis, M. T. P., Melo, M. C., Polizzi, R. B., Teixeira, M. M., & Mota, C. R. (2021). Viability of SARS-CoV-2 in river water and wastewater at different temperatures and solids content. *Water Research*, *195*, 117002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117002

Estimating mortality from COVID-19: Scientific brief, 4 August 2020. (n.d.). Retrieved June 1, 2021, from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-sci-brief-environmentalSampling-2020-1

Franklin, A. B., & Bevins, S. N. (2020). Spillover of SARS-CoV-2 into novel wild hosts in North America: A conceptual model for perpetuation of the pathogen. *Science of the*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Total Environment, *733*, 139358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139358

Guerrero-Latorre, L., Ballesteros, I., Villacrés-Granda, I., Granda, M. G., Freire-Paspuel, B., & Ríos-Touma, B. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 in river water: Implications in low sanitation countries. *Science of the Total Environment*, *743*(February), 0–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140832

Kozer, E., Rinott, E., Kozer, G., Bar-Haim, A., Benveniste-Levkovitz, P., Klainer, H., Perl, S., & Youngster, I. (2021). Presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA on playground surfaces and water fountains. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *149*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268821000546

Kumar, M., Patel, A. K., Shah, A. V., Raval, J., Rajpara, N., Joshi, M., & Joshi, C. G. (2020). First proof of the capability of wastewater surveillance for COVID-19 in India through detection of genetic material of SARS-CoV-2. *Science of the Total Environment*, *746*, 141326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141326

Kumar, M., Ram, B., Honda, R., Poopipattana, C., Canh, V. D., Chaminda, T., & Furumai, H. (2019). Concurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB), viruses, pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in ambient waters of Guwahati, India: Urban vulnerability and resilience perspective. *Science of the Total Environment*, *693*, 133640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133640

Kumar, M., Thakur, A. K., Mazumder, P., Kuroda, K., Mohapatra, S., Rinklebe, J., Ramanathan, A., Cetecioglu, Z., Jain, S., Tyagi, V. K., Gikas, P., Chakraborty, S., Tahmidul Islam, M., Ahmad, A., Shah, A. V., Patel, A. K., Watanabe, T., Vithanage, M., Bibby, K., … Bhattacharya, P. (2020). Frontier review on the propensity and repercussion of SARS-CoV-2 migration to aquatic environment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials Letters*, *1*, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hazl.2020.100001

Kuroda, K., Nakada, N., Hanamoto, S., Inaba, M., Katayama, H., Do, A. T., Nga, T. T. V., Oguma, K., Hayashi, T., & Takizawa, S. (2015). Pepper mild mottle virus as an indicator and a tracer of fecal pollution in water environments: Comparative evaluation with wastewater-tracer pharmaceuticals in Hanoi, Vietnam. *Science of the Total Environment*, *506–507*, 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.021

La Rosa, G., Bonadonna, L., Lucentini, L., Kenmoe, S., & Suffredini, E. (2020). Coronavirus in water environments: Occurrence, persistence and concentration methods - A scoping

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

review. In *Water Research* (Vol. 179). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115899

Mahlknecht, J., Padilla Reyes, D. A., Ramos, E., Reyes, L. M., & Álvarez, M. M. (2021). The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in different freshwater environments in urban settings determined by RT-qPCR: Implications for water safety. *Science of the Total Environment*, *784*, 147183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147183

Mancuso, G., Perulli, G. D., Lavrnic, S., Morandi, B., & Toscano, A. (2021). Sars-cov-2 from urban to rural water environment: Occurrence, persistence, fate, and influence on agriculture irrigation. A review. *Water (Switzerland)*, *13*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/w13060764

Naddeo Vincenzo and Liu Haizhou. (2020). Enhanced Reader.pdf. In *Environmental Science Water Research and Technology* (Vol. 6, p. 1213). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EW90015J

Figures



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig.1. Map depicting the sampling sites in (a) Ahmedabad, Gujarat and (b) Guwahati, Assam

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig.2. Advantage of qRT-PCR based detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA over clinical tests for early detection, prediction and management of COVID-19 pandemic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig.3. SARS-CoV-2 genome concentration as compared to clinical positive active cases in Ahmedabad and Guwahati, India

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig. 4. Box and whiskers plots of SARS-CoV-2 (a) N gene, (b) ORF 1ab gene, (c) S gene, and (d) genome concentration in Ahmedabad, Gujarat

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Tables

Table 1a: Occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA traces in the freshwater samples collected from different locations in Ahmedabad.

Sampling date	Location	Ct value			Gene copies (copies/ L)			
		N	ORF	S	N	ORF	S	Genome
03.09.20	*Kankaria Lake*	36.01	ND	ND	90	0	0	INC
	Chandola Lake	ND	ND	36.94	0	0	52	INC
	Vastrapur Lake	ND	ND	36.75	0	0	58	INC
	Sabarmati River	ND	ND	38.34	0	0	24	INC
10.09.20	*Kankaria Lake*	33.10	36.08	ND	549	87	0	318
	Chandola Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Vastrapur Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Sabarmati River	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
17.09.20	*Kankaria Lake*	37.87	ND	ND	31	0	0	INC
	Chandola Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Vastrapur Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Sabarmati River	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
24.09.20	*Kankaria Lake*	ND	ND	37.21	0	0	45	INC
	Chandola Lake	37.33	ND	ND	402	0	0	INC
	Vastrapur Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Sabarmati River	39.24	ND	38.80	14	0	18	16
01.10.20	*Kankaria Lake*	35.67	ND	ND	111	0	0	0
	Chandola Lake	35.31	ND	39.64	137	0	12	75
	Vastrapur Lake	ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
	Sabarmati River	35.51	ND	ND	122	0	0	INC
08.10.20	*Sabarmati River*	37.70	35.78	36.86	34	104	55	64
15.10.20		38.46	35.67	37.14	22	110	47	60
22.10.20		ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
29.10.20		33.07	32.52	35.57	559	800	118	492
05.11.20		ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
12.11.20		ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
19.11.20		ND	ND	36.96	0	0	52	INC
26.11.20		ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
14.12.20		34.70	35.42	33.27	199	129	490	273
21.12.20		ND	ND	ND	0	0	0	0
28.12.20		32.73	33.80	39.96	694	350	10	351

Low High

Where; ND= Not detected, and INC= Detected but data inconclusive

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.12.21258829; this version posted June 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1b: SARS-CoV-2 gene concentration in wastewater samples collected from Guwahati.

Sampling date	Location	Ct Value			Gene copies (copies/ L)			
		N-Gene	ORF-Gene	S-Gene	N-Gene	ORF-Gene	S-Gene	Genome concentration
27.10.20	Dipor Bil (Boragaon)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Khanapara	29	30.1	30.3	9169	4153	3580	5634
	AIDC	33.1	33.5	33.1	549	435	565	516
	Uzan Bazar	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Dipor Bil-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Bhangaghar	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Kharguli	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Pandu	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Dipor Bil-2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	WW/IITG	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

High Low