

Climate change education in public health and medical curricula in Australian and New Zealand Universities: a mixed methods study of barriers and areas for further action

Aparna Lal¹, Erin Walsh², Ali Weatherell², Claudia Slimings³

1. National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Research School of Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

2. Population Health Exchange, Research School of Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

3. Medical School, College of Health and Medicine, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

Corresponding author:

Aparna Lal

aparna.lal@anu.edu.au

Building 62, National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Research School of Population Health, Australian National University.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** The World Health Organization deemed climate change and air pollution as
3 the top threat to global health in 2019. The importance of climate for health is recognised by
4 healthcare professionals, who need to be equipped to deliver environmentally sustainable
5 healthcare and promote planetary health. There is some evidence that climate change and
6 health is not strongly embedded in accredited master-level public health training programs
7 and medical programs globally, however, the immersion of climate-health in Australian and
8 New Zealand programs is unclear.

9 **Objectives:** To explore the extent to which climate-health education is currently embedded
10 into public health and medical curricula in Australia and New Zealand.

11 **Methods:** Educators identified by their coordination, convenorship, or delivery into programs
12 of public health and medicine at universities in Australia and New Zealand were invited to
13 participate in a cross-sectional, exploratory mixed methods study. Participants completed an
14 online quantitative survey and qualitative interviews regarding their experience in program
15 and course delivery, and the prominence of climate-health content within program and course
16 delivery. Quantitative surveys were analysed using descriptive statistics and qualitative
17 interview content was analysed via a modified ground theory approach.

18 **Results:** The response rate of the quantitative survey was 43.7% (21/48). Ten survey
19 respondents also completed qualitative interviews. Quantitative results showed that
20 epidemiologists were the most common experts involved in design and delivery of this
21 curriculum, with a reliance on guest lecturers to provide updated content. Qualitative
22 interviews highlighted the ad-hoc role of Indigenous-led content in this field, the barriers of
23 time and resources to develop a coherent curriculum and the important role of high-level
24 champions to drive the inclusion of climate change and planetary health.

25 **Conclusion:** There is an urgent need to strengthen current support available for pedagogical
26 leadership in the area of climate and broader environmental change teaching at universities.

27 **Introduction**

28 Environmental change is a major threat to global health (1). Escalating anthropogenic
29 exploitation of the Earth's natural resources to power economic development and population
30 growth since the beginning of the Industrial Age has resulted in many direct and indirect
31 hazards for human health (2, 3). These include acute, extreme weather events such as
32 heatwaves, floods, dust storms and fires as well as slow moving, less visible environmental
33 changes such as degradation of our land, water and air quality. In relation to human health,
34 these changes have resulted in increased morbidity and mortality through trauma, injury and
35 heat-related disease (4) and indirect impacts through reduced food yields, altered infectious
36 disease patterns, loss of livelihoods, conflict, population displacement and mental health
37 impacts (3-5). A rapidly changing natural environment will exacerbate existing socio-
38 economic and health inequalities, with disadvantaged populations projected to experience the
39 greatest adverse health impacts (4, 5).

40 Climate change and the ongoing pandemic presents us with an opportunity to redefine the
41 environment-health curricula for the future health workforce. Health professionals play a
42 crucial role in preventing illness that may arise from such rapid changes to our environment,
43 through the design and implementation of sustainable healthcare interventions, health system
44 strengthening for adaptation, promoting patient understanding and building community
45 resilience and as advocates within their practices, communities and professional organisations
46 (6-9). Thus, the future health workforce, including health care professionals and public health
47 practitioners, need to be equipped with the knowledge, skills and motivation and agency to
48 deliver sustainable healthcare and promote planetary health (10, 11). The emergence of
49 SARS-Cov-2 virus (COVID-19) in 2019 is linked to the current environmental crisis and has
50 brought further attention to the need for an emphasis on environmental change in health
51 education (12).

52 In medical schools, there is currently a gap in formal learning and teaching opportunities – a
53 recent survey found that only 15% of medical schools incorporated climate change and health
54 into the curriculum in some form, and student-led activities were evident in a further 12%
55 (13). Similarly, planetary health and climate change is not strongly embedded in accredited
56 master-level public health training programs globally (14). There has been no focused
57 evaluation of how these topics are embedded and taught in medical schools and public health
58 programmes in the Southern Hemisphere. The closest research in this space is a study led by
59 the Columbia Mailman School of Public Health that assessed climate change-health curricula
60 internationally and included primarily medical institutions on Australia's eastern seaboard
61 who are members of the Global Consortium on Climate and Health Action (14, 15).

62 The aim of this study is to describe the extent, design and modes of delivery of planetary
63 health and climate change educational offerings at tertiary institutions in Australia and New
64 Zealand, including programs of both public health and medicine. In doing so, we identify
65 some potential areas for future focus to build institutional, political and public support for
66 more ambitious and integrated environment-health curricula.

67 **Methods**

68 A cross-sectional, mixed methods study was used to assess climate-health educational
69 offerings in Master of Public Health (MPH) and medicine degree programs at tertiary
70 institutions in Australia and New Zealand. The sampling frame was educators involved in the
71 design and delivery of climate-health education in schools delivering these programs. Course
72 coordinators and program conveners most likely to provide climate-health offerings were
73 identified from institution website listings of MPH and medicine degree programs, or through
74 personal networks. Contact details were obtained from official lists (e.g. contact details on
75 course curriculum websites), 48 eligible participants were identified, spanning 24 institutions,
76 40 schools and programs of public health (n=17) and/or medicine (n=23) (16, 17).
77 Participants were recruited via email contact from the lead author with brief background
78 information on the study, and an invitation to participate. If no response was received, one
79 follow up email was sent three weeks after the original invitation. Participants were invited to
80 take part in an online quantitative survey, self-administered online via the Qualtrics platform
81 (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). Upon survey completion participants were invited to take part in an
82 additional exploratory qualitative interview on the same topic. Survey and semi-structured
83 interviews were conducted from February 3, 2021 to April 15, 2021. The ethical aspects of
84 this research were approved by the Australian National University Ethics Committee
85 (protocol number 681/2020).

86 All participation was voluntary, and participants did not receive financial compensation.
87 Unless participants self-identified to do a semi-structured interview, names were not retained,
88 and email addresses were not linked with respondents. It was indicated in the survey
89 instrument that the results of the survey would be aggregated before anonymous results were
90 reported more widely.

91 **Quantitative survey**

92 *Measures*

93 The online quantitative survey was designed and developed by two researchers (AL, CS) with
94 experience teaching environmental and climate change to postgraduate public health and
95 medical school students at the Australian National University. The survey included 18
96 questions modified from the Columbia Mailman School of Public Health study (14) (for a full
97 question list, see the supplementary material). One question pertained to the institution and
98 the academic role of the participant completing the survey. Six questions related to the
99 participants' experience and length of time teaching and whether they taught into a
100 standalone/integrated public health or medical program. The other 12 questions covered
101 curriculum design, teaching methods, and delivery of the climate health educational
102 activities. Response to some questions were conditional (based on an answer to a previous
103 question); where this occurs, the number of participants responding to the specific conditional
104 question will be reported.

105 *Analysis*

106 Due to the exploratory nature of this study, no statistical tests were performed. Instead, data
107 were analysed using descriptive statistics. Open-ended questions were analysed by thematic

108 analysis derived from word frequencies. The team characterised responses to the online
109 survey and interviews relative to the sampling frame to check for nonresponse bias.

110 **Semi-structured interviews**

111 *Measures*

112 This was an explorative qualitative study designed to gain a deeper understanding of the
113 quantitative findings. The interview questions were based on a top-down (theoretical)
114 approach using findings from current research literature and a bottom-up (inductive)
115 approach consulting with participants' regarding their experiences of teaching in this area.

116

117 *Procedure*

118

119 Quantitative survey participants who self-identified to be part of a follow- up interview were
120 contacted via email. Subsequently, all interviews were conducted over Zoom by the first
121 author, audio recorded, and subsequently transcribed prior to analysis using otter.io service.

122 *Analysis*

123 Qualitative interview content was analysed via a modified grounded theory approach, where
124 two coders (AL, EW) independently reviewed interviews and reached consensus to identify
125 themes, and then independently coded interview content according to those themes. It was
126 expected that saturation (i.e. no new insights obtained in the interviews), would be reached
127 within twelve interviews if the purposive samples were relatively homogenous. To identify if
128 saturation was archived, the approach outlined in Hennink et al. (18) was taken. As the
129 authors coded issues/themes, the point at which each additional interview was not raising
130 additional themes was noted (code saturation), and the point at which new insights within
131 those codes was also noted (meaning saturation).

132 **Results**

133 **Participant characteristics**

134 *Quantitative survey*

135 Of the 48 participants invited to take part in the quantitative survey, 21 responded (43.7%) of
136 which 16 were from Australia, two were from New Zealand and the remaining three
137 participants chose not to report their institution. There was an approximately equal response
138 rate for academics convening into public health ($n=8$) and medical ($n=9$) programmes, with
139 two participants indicating Planetary Health and Health Sciences, and two participants
140 indicating they did not directly convene courses.

141 Participants spanned a range of levels of seniority, with 23.8% ($n=5$) being professors, 23.8%
142 ($n=5$) being associate professors, 14.3% ($n=3$) reporting holding PhDs, 14.35% ($n=3$)
143 reporting senior lectureship, and one each of director and senior fellow (three participants did
144 not specify their academic titles). The majority of the participants had taught into their
145 programs for some time, with 52.4% ($n=11$) having taught for over 5 years, 38.1% ($n=8$) for
146 between 2-5 years, and 9.5% ($n=2$) for less than two years. Over half (61.9%, $n=13$) of survey
147 participants taught into postgraduate courses with 19% ($n=4$) teaching into both and 19.1%

148 ($n=4$) teaching into undergraduate courses. Most educators rated themselves as moderately
149 (33.3%, $n=7$), very (28.5%, $n=6$) and extremely knowledgeable (23.8%, $n=5$) regarding the
150 association between climate change and health impacts. Three participants indicated they
151 were slightly knowledgeable, or not very knowledgeable at all.

152 *Qualitative interviews*

153 A total of 10 people volunteered for a further face-to-face interview (4.8%) of up to 35
154 minutes long. Five participants lectured into Public Health programs and five into Medical
155 Degree programmes. Participants represented a wide geographic region (two from New
156 Zealand, three from Queensland and New South Wales each and one each from Western
157 Australia and the Australian Capital Territory). Most respondents were at the Associate
158 Professor or Professor level of seniority.

159 *Nonresponse bias*

160 Respondent characteristics for both online survey and qualitative interviews approximately
161 equally represented public health and medical programmes. The original sampling frame
162 consisted of 24 institutions (23 in Australia, one in New Zealand). Survey respondents
163 represented 12 institutions, located in New Zealand, and in Australia in New South Wales,
164 Queensland, Western Australia, and the Australian Capital Territory. Qualitative interviews
165 covered the same geographical region. Accordingly, the sample does not represent
166 perspectives from institutions in South Australia, Tasmania, Victoria, or the Northern
167 Territory. While the online survey represented all levels of seniority, the qualitative
168 interviews were biased toward more senior academic positions, thus views of postdoctoral
169 early or mid-career researchers are under-represented.

170 *Quantitative survey results*

171 *Climate and environmental change content context and importance*

172 Of the courses that participants convened or taught into, climate and environmental change
173 was most often integrated into a wider public health or medical degree program (57.14%,
174 $n=12$), rather than being a separate standalone course (23.8%, $n=5$), or having both integrated
175 and standalone components (0.09%, $n=2$). Two participants did not specify course
176 integration. Reflecting on the proportion of the total public health or medical degree program,
177 all but one participant indicated that less than 5% of the degree lecture and assessment
178 content focussed on climate change and health (90.0% of the 11 participants who completed
179 this question). Figure 1 summarises brief open-ended reflections on why respondents think it
180 is important for public health/medical students to learn about climate change. Emergent
181 themes were that climate change was a health emergency and learning about extremes,
182 adaptation and mitigation option and the role of social determinants of health in community
183 were important. Academics also felt that students in public health and medical programmes
184 had an important role to play as advocates and respected members of the community in
185 voicing the importance of climate change as a challenge for health systems.

186 *Curriculum design and delivery*

187 Reflections on the extent to which specific topics were covered in participant's climate-health
188 curricula are summarised in Figure 2. Notably, across all but one topic, participants rated
189 coverage between "far too little" and "neither too little nor too much". Only one topic,

190 “health effects of environmental change” had any ratings indicating too much coverage, with
191 one participant indicating this topic was covered “slightly too much”.

192 Two thirds of participants (66.7%, $n=14$) used more than one method to assess climate-health
193 knowledge. The most common method was quizzes (used by 52%, $n=11$), followed by essays
194 (used by 48%, $n=10$), exams (used by 38%, $n=8$), critical appraisal of journal articles (used
195 by 19%, $n=4$), peer teaching (used by 14%, $n=3$) and capstone work (used by 14%, $n=3$),
196 with only 5% ($n=1$) of participants reporting using placements or internships outside of their
197 universities.

198 Subject matter was updated largely through reliance on subject matter experts brought in to
199 give lectures (endorsed by 71.4%, $n=15$ participants), the scholarly literature (endorsed by
200 47.6%, $n=10$) and consultation with academic colleagues (endorsed by 52.4%, $n=11$
201 participants). As can be seen from Figure 4, the most common expert role for informing
202 course content/guest lecturing was epidemiologists, followed by clinicians and social
203 scientists, and environmental scientists. In relation to future offerings, nine participants
204 (42.8%) indicated there was nothing new being considered. Five responses (23.8%)
205 mentioned a standalone elective course being considered, four (19.1%) mentioned the
206 possibility of a session in a required course while three responses (19%) mentioned climate-
207 health doctoral degrees being considered. One participant (4.7%) mentioned existing
208 partnerships with other academic institutions and non-academic institutions (business,
209 government, NGO) in relation to course structure, two (9.5%) participants indicated
210 partnerships with academic institutions and four participants mentioned existing partnerships
211 with non-government institutions.

212 *Qualitative interview results*

213 *Themes identified*

214 Four overarching themes in relation to teaching climate and environmental change in public
215 health and medical curricula were identified:

- 216 1. Indigenous perspectives
- 217 2. Barriers and facilitators
- 218 3. Considerations in delivery
- 219 4. Future areas

220 Code saturation (the point at which additional interviews ceased to indicate the presence of
221 new themes) was reached at approximately seven interviews. Meaning saturation (the point at
222 which each theme is clearly understood) varied by overarching theme: saturation was reached
223 for themes (1) (2) and (3), but heterogeneity in theme (4) indicates additional interviews may
224 have revealed more information. These four themes were embedded within the motivation
225 and pathway that led interviewees into teaching in this area, which will be summarised first to
226 provide the context from where the themes emerge.

227 *Motivation and pathways to teaching climate and environmental change*

228 The interviews raised two common threads which framed the importance of climate change
229 teaching in public health and medical curricula. First, almost half the interviewees raised the
230 large carbon footprint of healthcare as an important factor driving their motivation to educate

231 students about the impacts of climate change, believing the students would have an obligation
232 to help reduce this in their future careers as doctors and public health practitioners.

233 *“Health, like all sectors has to decarbonize.....If global healthcare were a country, it would*
234 *rank number five in the world in terms of the amount the size of its footprint.”*

235 *“[we should] help professional graduates to be able to personally and professionally be able*
236 *to reduce the footprint. So I feel very strongly about that as well.”*

237 The second common thread was the role of the interconnectedness between the global
238 environment, and social drivers that the future health workforce should be aware of and
239 consider when making personal and professional decisions.

240 *“The thing I often try and get across if I'm teaching about climate change is that climate*
241 *change is just one example of a global environmental trends, and that it's very much*
242 *interlinked and interlocks with diversity loss, poverty and inequality.”*

243 These threads informed participant reflections on their pathway into teaching in this area.
244 This was often a process driven by individuals passionate about the topic, identifying a gap in
245 the curriculum, and either developing their own curricula or advocating for the inclusion of
246 an environment and/or climate change expert in the course delivery team. In a third of
247 participants, this pathway began with sessional or guest lecturing into courses run by others.

248 *“As a guest lecture [during PhD], to talk about climate change research methods in I think,*
249 *undergraduate course. Then, when I moved to [city removed], as an academic staff, I*
250 *discovered the gap in the curriculum in the teaching in public health, because there was zero*
251 *content on climate change and health.”*

252 *“The undergrad teaching, I more or less developed the curriculum on my own.”*

253 When reflecting on the teamwork underlying the delivery of environmental and climate
254 change content, participants indicated working with an eclectic range of expertise, including
255 policy consultancy, clinical practice, planetary health, field epidemiology, bioethics,
256 business, and veterinary sciences.

257 *Theme 1: Indigenous perspectives*

258 An Indigenous perspective was universally seen as valuable in the design and delivery of
259 environment, climate change and planetary health material.

260 Interviewees talked about the strong traditional connection to land and water as being a
261 critical consideration in understanding environmental and climate change.

262 *“We ignore their knowledge at our peril.”*

263 Indigenous perspectives were seen as particularly important within the realm of health, due to
264 existing health inequities and the differential impact that climate change may have on their
265 health in the future. Several discussed an understanding that different Indigenous peoples
266 may have different experiences of loss as a result of losing their environments due to climate
267 change, and expressed the importance that future doctors and public health practitioners are
268 sensitive to this and how online teaching methods may not be the most appropriate medium
269 to deliver some content.

270 *“The gap already exists in many health issues there. And climate is just another layer. And I*
271 *think that the health equity inequity between Indigenous and other communities will be*
272 *exaggerated by climate change, definitely.”*

273 *“There are certainly Aboriginal Health curricula that we can do on the online environment.*
274 *But this sense of Country and sense of loss of Country, that's gonna need a lot of thought*
275 *before we include that into this particular medium.”*

276 All the interviewees agreed on the need for Indigenous-led input into course development and
277 design. This was achieved through inviting community leaders, academic colleagues, State
278 Departments with expertise in the area and long-standing practitioners in communities.
279 Integration of these perspectives to some degree was generally possible due to strong
280 connections within departments and with external stakeholders.

281
282 *“And Aboriginal environmental health is part of the [State Government] plan I work within.*
283 *So I call on my colleagues there to come in and talk about the issues that that we have in*
284 *Aboriginal communities.”*

285 Some respondents indicated that they, regrettably, did not have the resources to properly
286 engage with and reflect an Indigenous perspective in their course design or delivery, and did
287 not feel it was appropriate to deliver such content matter themselves.

288 *“You know, people like me who come from colonial roots from Europeans who have come*
289 *here, don't have those same connections, how could we [teach], you know, when you don't*
290 *have that long connection to the land.”*

291
292 *Theme 2: Barriers and facilitators*

293 The most salient barriers and facilitators to the inclusion and delivery of environment and
294 climate change into medical and public health curricula were time and resources, and appetite
295 from decision makers, contributors, and students.

296
297 *Time, resources and an already full curriculum.*

298 The most common barrier - endorsed by all interviewees - was the issue of limited time and
299 resources. This reflects the fact that environment and climate change is one of many
300 competing priorities within the full curricula being delivered.

301
302 *“I would like to say we could do more, but I actually don't think we can do more. In addition,*
303 *something would have to come out. No one ever wants to give anything up in the curriculum,*
304 *ever. So I think there's this constant pressure on it.”*

305
306 *“It's not lack of interest. It's just lack of time.”*

307 *Appetite from decision makers (politics, institutions, culture).*

308 Decision makers, and the role they play in how curriculum is organised and taught, were
309 raised as a vital component of successfully integrating environment and climate change
310 material into courses and programs. All interviewees talked the role of top down support as

311 essential to bringing about change in the curriculum. Two interviewees mentioned national
312 politics as a barrier to getting traction in this area.

313 *“It's all political.”*

314

315 Institutional support and support from key individuals within institutions, such as heads of
316 school and members of committees who drive curricula, was also seen as important in
317 allowing more climate and environmental change to be embedded into the curricula. One
318 factor underlying institutional support raised was awareness of the importance of
319 environment and climate change for health.

320 *“There's institutional barriers about who [is] allowed time in the curriculum.”*

321 *“So the first thing you got to do is educate the faculty about the importance of these issues.*
322 *Because otherwise they don't necessarily, you know, they're just not aware of it.[...] A lot of*
323 *that teaching is really done by more senior academics, or at least if not done by them,*
324 *supervised by them. They are the ones who sit on the committees, the curriculum committee,*
325 *for example, that so they're making decisions about what will and won't be given space and*
326 *the curriculum.”*

327 A barrier to successfully raising awareness and convincing institutional decision-makers of
328 the importance of environment and climate change for health, raised by two interviewees,
329 was the historically conservative nature of medicine as a profession, and conservative views
330 held by more senior practitioners and educators.

331 *“It's extremely conservative profession. And it is slow to change and slow to adapt to new*
332 *things. And so I think it's been slow to adapt very, very slow to, to start work on dealing with*
333 *Climate change.”*

334 On the flipside of these barriers, the role of key individuals and high-level support in giving
335 prominence to climate/environmental change in the curricula was raised by most interviewees
336 as a critical factor driving its inclusion.

337 *“The only way to do it is to have champions.”*

338 *“I think, for me, it's lucky because the Head of School is very supportive in developing this*
339 *new curriculum.”*

340 Reflecting the interviewee's personal motivations and experiences leading to their teaching
341 environment and climate change, there was an acknowledgement that bottom-up momentum
342 was vital to meet any opportunities arising from top-down support.

343 *“At the minute, it's from the ground upwards, because I don't see a lot coming from this side,*
344 *even though even though organizations like the AMA, the Australian Medical Association*
345 *have declared a climate emergency.”*

346

347 *Appetite from contributors.*

348 Academics also raised the role of contributors (lecturers, clinicians) as an important factor in
349 making sure that climate and environmental change and planetary health were prominent in
350 the curriculum. The need for contributions could be difficult due to siloed views, large time

351 commitments to projects and the onus on individual course conveners and coordinators to
352 drive the environmental health agenda forward.

353

354 *“They sit in their silos and they don't get out and they don't break down those cross*
355 *organisational borders. They're really acutely aware that they've got these social silos, but it*
356 *also goes up to organisational silos as well.”*

357

358 *“All the supervisors [are] working gratis, this has been an enormous amount of time guiding*
359 *the students meeting with them last year, largely online. [...] Within our population medicine*
360 *theme, and had it not been for us pushing it, it would have really struggled to get a place in*
361 *the curriculum.”*

362

363 However, positive reactions from individuals who could contribute to the course were seen as
364 highly beneficial.

365

366 *“And I actually grabbed all the opportunities to talk about climate change and health with*
367 *my colleagues here in the school. [...] The colleagues are really appreciating the*
368 *opportunities to engage with this topic. So then I think you need to be proactive.”*

369 *Appetite from students (willingness to engage/ask for the course).*

370 Most academics mentioned the importance of student engagement in the topic as a key driver
371 for making it fit into the curriculum. A common thread within these discussions was that
372 students were often reluctant to engage at first, but their views became substantially more
373 positive upon understanding the importance of environment and climate change for health.

374

375 *“So we have to craft our way in communication in you know, getting students to understand*
376 *the issue first. So they would be interested to know more to understand why we have to*
377 *discuss this in the classroom. And I think that's very challenging actually. Once you get*
378 *people engaged and involved, and they are, I think many of them are passionate about what*
379 *they can do to solve the problem.”*

380

381 *Theme 3: Considerations in delivery*

382 Almost all of the interviewees recognised the importance of delivering information in a
383 manner that students found engaging and thought provoking. One major component of
384 achieving this was choosing the correct lecturers or being passionate themselves. The other
385 was through avoiding delivery formats that were inappropriate for the students (especially
386 those with clinical and caring responsibilities) and favouring active discussions with positive
387 framing.

388 *“It really boils down to being your how engaged you are with the subject matter, I think, and*
389 *how enthusiastic you are about the subject matter. It comes through it in your teaching, and*
390 *therefore, the students get more out of it.”*

391 *“Students hate group work. And I hate it with a passion.”*

392 *“I think most students are keen on solutions-based discussion rather than [focussing on] the*
393 *problem.”*

394 Forms of delivery raised as successful examples of promoting discussion included direct
395 interaction with guest experts, online discussion forums, discussion of contemporary
396 evidence (ideally, recent manuscripts authored by the lecturers themselves), and assessment
397 formats focussing on critical thinking. Many interviewees indicated that their choice of
398 delivery format, and what content was taught, was driven by considering the future
399 trajectories of students.

400 *“We’ll come back to what type of skills are we trying to teach the people that were taught?*
401 *You know, that were one of the one of the future roles and what are we trying to teach*
402 *them?”*

403 The specific environmental and climate content taught was motivated to some degree by the
404 interviewee’s own expertise, guest lecturer availability, and availability of contemporary
405 scholarly publications (many authored by the interviewees themselves) that could serve as a
406 basis for discussion. One interviewee noted clinical accreditation standards tended to guide
407 learning objectives, and consequently the nature, space, and content afforded to topics such as
408 environment and climate change. Two interviewees raised the importance of the Australian
409 Medical Association, both for setting this agenda, and as a source of topics for delivery.

410 *“They [the Australian Medical Association] want to see the health sectors emissions cut by*
411 *80% by 2030. So we need to be doing this.”*

412 At the time of interview, all interviewees had experienced an obligatory shift to online course
413 delivery for at least one semester due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, the bulk of
414 reflections on course delivery focussed on the transition from previously face-to-face to
415 online teaching via platforms such as zoom and skype. Interviewees varied in their prior
416 experience of online teaching, from no experience through to having provided multiple years
417 of online delivery. For delivering content to students with caring responsibilities, jobs, and
418 especially those with clinical responsibilities, the transition to online was seen as beneficial.
419 Yet, some interviewees noted students raised concerns that online delivery decreases
420 engagement and interactivity, particularly among students.

421 *“[this change] demonstrated fairly clearly to us that we needed to move to meet the demands*
422 *of the students that we have. the feedback that we’ve had is that the students really appreciate*
423 *the flexibility [...]with that cohort being, you know, medical practice, practitioners, nurses,*
424 *etc, for it for GP doctor to take two weeks out of his practice, to come and do a course is*
425 *almost impossible. It costs him 1000s and 1000s. of dollars.”*

426 *“I think some of the students did express their concerns about lacking of engagement in the*
427 *online community.”*

428 *Theme 4: Future areas*

429 Moving on from reflections on the history and current practice in teaching environment and
430 climate change in public health and medical curricula, interviewees were asked to reflect on
431 the future of their courses. Approximately half of interviewees reported an intention to
432 expand cross-disciplinarity and education outside the classroom. Key areas highlighted for
433 this expansion were policy, and local community engagement. This was discussed in terms of
434 expanding the topics within existing delivery and seeking guest lecturers working in policy or
435 embedded in climate change affected communities. Two interviewees further emphasised

436 their desire to engage more with communities in future, indicating the prospect of more
437 sustainably involving community input into their courses, and seeking to expand the impact
438 of their teaching to the community level.

439 *“Making it a more holistic programme where it becomes part of the thinking of the future*
440 *medical doctors to think about these issues when they're thinking about clinical issues.”*

441 *“Population capacity building, community education, I think, particularly this age of*
442 *disinformation, got a big challenge to not just try and educate people within the universities,*
443 *but also to reach out and try to try to interest and educate whole communities.”*

444 Several of the interviewees noted that they intended to refresh their course content. This was
445 typically related to the recognition that more recent topics, case studies, and scholarly
446 manuscripts would enhance student engagement. Other motivations included creating a better
447 fit at the program level by adjusting to changes in other courses and pivoting to reflect the
448 current research of themselves and colleagues contributing to the course. One interviewee
449 noted that ensuring the course content was contemporary was especially important for the
450 student’s future practice.

451 *“And the diversity of patients they're going to see, for a specific condition, be it by age,*
452 *group pain, by gender, by ethnicity... So that's where there will be further fine tuning.”*

453 Alongside expanding content, interviewees discussed opportunities for expanding the forms
454 of delivery they could offer. While many touched on the possibilities that online delivery
455 facilitated, their discussion generally did not extend to concrete intentions to experiment with
456 online formats in ways that would change the course structure as a whole (e.g. shifting a
457 semester-long course to an intensive or year-long online-only format). One interviewee raised
458 their intention to meet calls for the micro accreditation (aka micro credentials) format from
459 organisations such as the Public Health Association of Australia but did not pursue this due to
460 lack of support from their institution’s leadership.

461 In terms of the future pedagogy of their teaching, the predominant thread across interviews
462 was creating a possibility for students to engage more with researchers. One interviewee
463 invoked the “capstone” paradigm as a method of ensuring students could make the most of a
464 research-intensive experience.

465 *“I would like to develop more than we do at the moment opportunities for being active in the*
466 *student group, or other groups doing research in this area. So in the new curriculum, I am*
467 *pushing for capstone experiences. Which could be a research project.”*

468 Another source of pedagogical change was practice in related fields.

469 *“I like going back to disaster management structures in that multifaceted approach very*
470 *much. Because it actually works quite well. You know, people out of their comfort zone with a*
471 *common purpose. Yeah. And maybe we can take that forward into our teaching in public*
472 *health in different ways as well.”*

473

474

475 **Discussion**

476 Broadly consistent with global studies, our findings indicate that climate change is not
477 consistently integrated into health professional training (14, 19, 20). Our results offer a more
478 nuanced assessment of the barriers and opportunities for making climate change more central
479 in medical and public health curricula across tertiary institutions in Australia and New
480 Zealand. Notably, the limited integration of Indigenous-led teaching and learning into
481 curriculum design and delivery was apparent in both public health and medical programs,
482 despite universal recognition of the important role of this knowledge when teaching students
483 about the interconnections between our environment and health. Strengths of our study
484 include an in-depth quantitative and qualitative assessment of medical and public health
485 programs in the Southern Hemisphere. While large scale surveys of health professionals and
486 the role of climate change in health curricula have recently been carried out (14, 21), these
487 studies focus on institutions in the northern hemisphere, do not include a qualitative
488 component or perspectives from both public health and medical programmes. Although
489 climate change has been an issue for decades, it appears that Universities in Australia and
490 New Zealand are still struggling to integrate this into training programs for our future health
491 workforce.

492 We provide a geographically based starting point to strengthen the environment-health
493 curriculum at the graduate level for Australia and New Zealand. However, non-response bias
494 cannot be excluded due to the modest response rate (43.5%). Institutions that are
495 progressively engaging with curriculum development in the area of climate-health education
496 are likely to be over-represented as academics will have been more likely to participate in the
497 study. The integrated nature of medical programs made it difficult to identify the most
498 suitable academic from institutional websites to invite to participate in the study, relying in
499 part on the authors' knowledge through professional networks. Future studies could include
500 academics teaching into these programs who are not engaged in teaching about climate
501 change and planetary health as well as a broader range of health professions programs such as
502 nursing. This is likely to provide further important insights into the challenges of teaching in
503 this area. Our study also did not include organisational leaders, an important subgroup
504 identified by interviewees to engage with.

505 Our findings suggest that there are existing facilitators within public health and medical
506 training programmes that can be strengthened. However broader engagement and uptake of
507 the value of teaching these students about climate change will move slowly without explicit
508 strategies to further increase student and community engagement with the issues, address
509 institutional barriers, and build leadership and capacity in the next generation of teachers in
510 this area (22).

511 The lack of visible Indigenous-led education in this area represents a significant missed
512 opportunity for health leadership. Given the strength of Indigenous knowledge in this area
513 and their comprehensive understanding of the connections between our environment and
514 health, there exists an enormous (largely untapped) opportunity to embed a strengths-based
515 approach to Indigenous environmental health, further support the growth of the Indigenous
516 health workforce and enhance the cultural competence of our non-Indigenous future
517 practitioners.

518 *Targeting institutional barriers and building academic leadership*

519 Our findings indicate that workforce capacity, limited leadership and formal training
520 opportunities in interdisciplinary research and teaching, and entrenched views of climate
521 change in established faculty were persistent barriers across public health and medical
522 curricula within institutions. While majority of our survey participants had taught in this area
523 for over five years (52.4%), connections with other academic institutions, non-academic
524 organisations and non-government institutions for the purposes of teaching were low. The
525 role of institutional leadership or having high-level “champions” was highlighted by majority
526 of the interviewees as a key facilitator to achieving an integrated and visible climate change
527 and health curriculum in public health and medical programs. Well-resourced communities of
528 practice that include links with community and government could help improve
529 interdisciplinary teaching of such “wicked problems” by enabling staff leadership and
530 institutional scholarship (23). Interviewees highlighted the use of capstone experiences and
531 micro-accreditation to further embed multidisciplinary perspectives into teaching programs.
532 All interviewees reiterated the importance of providing a holistic understanding of
533 environmental and social issues on health (24), both as a key driver of their current content
534 and an area of future focus. For most programmes, epidemiologists played a major role in
535 designing and delivering the content. What is needed is more structural support to develop
536 the development of academic teams teaching this content. This will not diminish the
537 important role of epidemiologists, but rather recognise the importance of diverse disciplines
538 contributing to the design and delivery of a holistic programme.

539 Interviewees also raised the point that the flexibility of online learning due to the pandemic
540 resulted in an exponential increase in enrolments by practitioners. This offers another
541 opportunity to re-think course design as pre-recorded content may help alleviate some of the
542 time constraints felt by all educators and allow experts from external organisations to have a
543 bigger role in teaching through video-links. This shift is also an opportunity to further
544 embrace modern blended learning approaches such as online and face-to-face
545 tutorials/workshops, discussion boards and forums, blogposts and video logs, which are
546 currently used infrequently, as indicated by our survey results. The development of the *MJA-*
547 *Lancet* Countdown indicator to track Australia’s medical schools in preparing future doctors
548 to deal with the current and future environmental threats and work in an environmentally
549 sustainable health care system alongside other Australian and New Zealand designed
550 indicators (see Section below) will set a benchmark against which these models of delivery
551 can be measured (25, 26). Building an effective community of practice around effective
552 interdisciplinary teaching and learning will require targeted investment and resources.

553 *External influences on curriculum*

554 The external regulatory framework was raised as a key factor in driving change in curricula.
555 For example, the Association for Medical Education in Europe (AMEE) Consensus
556 Statement on planetary health and education for sustainable healthcare (10) recommends an
557 eco-ethical leadership approach to achieve the education for sustainable health care vision:
558 “integrated around environmental and ecological sustainability, values, collaboration, justice,
559 advocacy, and activism designed to address issues in complex systems” and incorporating
560 diverse cultural views. The values exhibited by institutions, such as the ANU Below Zero
561 initiative (27) and the University of Melbourne Sustainability Charter (28) that make
562 commitments to sustainability in their operations, research and teaching are vital to enacting
563 such a vision. The development of recommended targets and indicators for use by health

564 professional educators, institutions, and regulators to implement and evaluate education for
565 sustainable health care (10, 29) is timely, given the AMC Review of Accreditation for
566 Primary Medical Programs that is currently in progress (30). The Council of Public Health
567 Institutions in Australia and New Zealand (CAPHIA) has included understanding the theory
568 of climate change and its implications for sustainable development as a core competency
569 underpinning health protection curricula (17).

570 The political environment was also raised by interviewees as an important challenge to the
571 delivery of climate change in the health curriculum. One of the recent challenges was the last
572 US president pulling out of multinational initiatives like the Paris Agreement (31, 32). One
573 interviewee mentioned that the change in government in the US in 2020 would likely have
574 positive flow on effects for teaching climate change, not only in the US but also in Australia.
575 Conversely, such obstruction has also seen other countries step up to make climate change a
576 focus in university teaching. In a recent study of academics teaching climate change in China,
577 a new strategy for climate change inclusion in higher education institutions was adopted in
578 response to the US rejection of the Paris Climate Agreement (33). □ These external influences
579 impact on the ability and capacity of public health practitioners and academics to create an
580 integrated climate-health curriculum (34). A counterpoint to this is the important role of
581 advocacy raised by all our interviewees. Advocacy organisations like the “Climate and
582 Health Alliance” and “Doctors for the Environment” were seen as instrumental to help
583 catalyse public action to support more climate change action to protect health. The climate
584 strikes were also highlighted as an event that galvanised student and academic action around
585 climate change and health. Partnering with advocacy organisations to deliver
586 content and leveraging global events such as the climate strike to publicise the research and
587 trigger further action may be a future opportunity to elevate the need for a comprehensive,
588 contemporary climate-health curricula.

589

590 *Indigenous-led environmental health curricula*

591 Climate change adaptation and resilience will need to be based around connection to Country
592 and cultural values. The differential impact of climate change on the health of Indigenous
593 populations was seen as a particularly important aspect that needed to be embedded into the
594 curricula and be Indigenous led. This is consistent with the finding of Cameron (35) who
595 found that the well-intentioned aim to improve the lives of Indigenous northerners in the
596 Canadian Arctic was often rooted in climate change adaptation frameworks, knowledge and
597 practices that perpetuated colonial assumptions. There is clear evidence that Indigenous
598 health professionals and practitioners deliver improved healthcare outcomes for Indigenous
599 patients and communities (36, 37). In addition, non-Indigenous staff deliver better outcomes
600 when working with Indigenous staff and these partnerships are critical to the provision of
601 culturally safe care and to reduce institutional racism (38, 39). Similarly, Indigenous-led
602 climate-health education incorporating Indigenous knowledge systems is crucial to enhancing
603 the social and environmental accountability of medical and MPH programs (40). The potential
604 for enhanced adaptation solutions and better health outcomes in the face of climate change
605 cannot be fully realised without an overhaul of the currently inadequate structural support to
606 grow Indigenous environmental health leadership.

607 **Conclusion**

608 Climate change is a major threat to human health and survival. Climate solutions represent
609 one of the biggest opportunities to advance health, well-being and reduce
610 inequities. Universities have a key responsibility in leading the development of these
611 solutions for society. This first mixed-methods assessment of climate-health education
612 across tertiary institutions in Australia and New Zealand shows that currently, progress in
613 incorporating sustainable health care, climate change, and planetary health into curricula rests
614 with motivated individuals. For most educators this action is missing a systematic approach
615 without universal support and strategies within and outside institutions to enable
616 change. The ad-hoc Indigenous-led environmental health curricula is a missed opportunity to
617 deliver culturally informed climate-health content. We recommend that building leadership
618 through stronger partnerships with policymakers, community stakeholders and
619 advocacy organisations will help reshape the core curricula in medical and public health
620 schools so that it is contemporary and coherent amid an increasing climate health risk. In
621 addition, curricula review incorporating targets, changes to accreditation standards and
622 indicators to track progress will provide much needed structural support and impetus to
623 enable systemic change within institutions. Now is the time to reframe how climate change
624 and broader environmental and related social issues are integrated into the curriculum to best
625 equip our future health workforce.

626

627

References

628

- 629 1. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019. [Available from:
630 <https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019>.
- 631 2. Whitmee S, Haines A, Beyrer C, Boltz F, Capon AG, de Souza Dias BF, et al. Safeguarding
632 human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation-Lancet Commission
633 on planetary health. *Lancet* (London, England). 2015;386(10007):1973-2028.
- 634 3. Corvalan C, Hales S, McMichael A. Ecosystems and human well-being: a health synthesis: a
635 report of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Geneva: WHO; 2005.
- 636 4. McMichael T, Montgomery H, Costello A. Health risks, present and future, from global
637 climate change. *Bmj*. 2012;344:e1359.
- 638 5. McMichael AJ, Friel S, Nyong A, Corvalan C. Global environmental change and health:
639 impacts, inequalities, and the health sector. *Bmj*. 2008;336(7637):191-4.
- 640 6. Capon AG, Talley Ac NJ, Horton RC. Planetary health: what is it and what should doctors
641 do? *The Medical journal of Australia*. 2018;208(7):296-7.
- 642 7. Sainsbury P, Charlesworth K, Madden L, Capon A, Stewart G, Pencheon D. Climate change
643 is a health issue: what can doctors do? *Intern Med J*. 2019;49(8):1044-8.
- 644 8. Xie E, de Barros EF, Abelsohn A, Stein AT, Haines A. Challenges and opportunities in
645 planetary health for primary care providers. *The Lancet Planetary health*. 2018;2(5):e185-e7.
- 646 9. Hamel Green EI, Blashki G, Berry HL, Harley D, Horton G, Hall G. Preparing Australian
647 medical students for climate change. *Australian family physician*. 2009;38(9):726-9.
- 648 10. Shaw E, Walpole S, McLean M, Alvarez-Nieto C, Barna S, Bazin K, et al. AMEE Consensus
649 Statement: Planetary health and education for sustainable healthcare. *Med Teach*. 2021:1-15.
- 650 11. Maxwell J, Blashki G. Teaching About Climate Change in Medical Education: An
651 Opportunity. *Journal of public health research*. 2016;5(1):673.
- 652 12. Nikendei C, Cranz A, Bugaj TJ. Medical education and the COVID-19 pandemic - a dress
653 rehearsal for the "climate pandemic"? *GMS J Med Educ*. 2021;38(1):Doc29.
- 654 13. Omrani OE, Dafallah A, Paniello Castillo B, Amaro B, Taneja S, Amzil M, et al. Envisioning
655 planetary health in every medical curriculum: An international medical student organization's
656 perspective. *Med Teach*. 2020;42(10):1107-11.
- 657 14. Shea B, Knowlton K, Shaman J. Assessment of Climate-Health Curricula at International
658 Health Professions Schools. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(5):e206609.

- 659 15. Global Consortium on Climate and Health Education. Map of GCCHE Member Institutions
660 2021 [Available from: [https://www.publichealth.columbia.edu/research/global-consortium-climate-](https://www.publichealth.columbia.edu/research/global-consortium-climate-and-health-education/map-gcche-member-institutions)
661 [and-health-education/map-gcche-member-institutions](https://www.publichealth.columbia.edu/research/global-consortium-climate-and-health-education/map-gcche-member-institutions).
662 16. Australian Medical Council Limited. Accredited medical schools 2020 [Available from:
663 [https://www.amc.org.au/accreditation-and-recognition/assessment-accreditation-primary-medical-](https://www.amc.org.au/accreditation-and-recognition/assessment-accreditation-primary-medical-programs/accredited-medical-schools/)
664 [programs/accredited-medical-schools/](https://www.amc.org.au/accreditation-and-recognition/assessment-accreditation-primary-medical-programs/accredited-medical-schools/).
665 17. Council of Academic Public Health Institutions Australia. Foundation competencies for
666 public health graduates in Australia. 2nd edition.; 2016.
667 18. Hennink M, Kaiser B, Marconi V. Code saturation versus meaning saturation: how many
668 interviews are enough? Qual Health Res. 2016;27(4):591-608.
669 19. McElroy KG, Gilden R, Sattler B. Environmental health nursing education: One school's
670 journey. Public Health Nurs. 2021;38(2):258-65.
671 20. Palmeiro-Silva YK, Ferrada MT, Flores JR, Cruz ISS. Climate change and environmental
672 health in undergraduate health degrees in Latin America. Rev Saude Publica. 2021;55:17.
673 21. Kotcher J, Maibach E, Miller J, Campbell E, Alqodmani L, Maiero M, et al. Views of health
674 professionals on climate change and health: a multinational survey study. The Lancet Planetary
675 health. 2021;5(5):e316-e23.
676 22. Brand G, Collins J, Bedi G, Bonnamy J, Barbour L, Ilangakoon C, et al. "I teach it because it
677 is the biggest threat to health": Integrating sustainable healthcare into health professions education.
678 Medical Teacher. 2021;43(3):325-33.
679 23. Pharo E, Davison A, McGregor H, Warr K, Brown P. Using communities of practice to
680 enhance interdisciplinary teaching: lessons from four Australian institutions. Higher Education
681 Research & Development. 2014;33(2):341-54.
682 24. Pearson D, Walpole S, Barna S. Challenges to professionalism: Social accountability and
683 global environmental change. Medical Teacher. 2015;37(9):825-30.
684 25. Beggs PJ, Zhang Y, Bambrick H, Berry HL, Linnenluecke MK, Trueck S, et al. The 2019
685 report of the MJA-Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: a turbulent year with mixed
686 progress. The Medical journal of Australia. 2019;211(11):490-1.e21.
687 26. Madden DL, McLean M, Brennan M, Moore A. Why use indicators to measure and monitor
688 the inclusion of climate change and environmental sustainability in health professions' education?
689 Med Teach. 2020;42(10):1119-22.
690 27. The Australian National University. ANU Below Zero 2021 [Available from:
691 <https://www.anu.edu.au/research/research-initiatives/anu-below-zero>.
692 28. The University of Melbourne. Sustainability at the University of Melbourne [Available from:
693 <https://sustainablecampus.unimelb.edu.au/>.
694 29. Madden DL, Horton GL, McLean M. Preparing Australasian medical students to practise
695 environmentally sustainable health care. The Medical journal of Australia. 2020.
696 30. Australian Medical Council Limited. Review of accreditation standards for primary medical
697 programs (medical schools) 2021 [Available from: [https://www.amc.org.au/review-of-accreditation-](https://www.amc.org.au/review-of-accreditation-standards-for-primary-medical-programs-medical-schools/)
698 [standards-for-primary-medical-programs-medical-schools/](https://www.amc.org.au/review-of-accreditation-standards-for-primary-medical-programs-medical-schools/).
699 31. Miller ZJ, Worland J. President Trump is expected to withdraw from the Paris Climate
700 Agreement. Time. 2017 May 31, 2017.
701 32. Shear M. Trump will withdraw U.S. from Paris Climate Agreement. The New York Times.
702 2017 June 01, 2017.
703 33. Perkins KM, Munguia N, Moure-Eraso R, Delakowitz B, Giannetti BF, Liu G, et al.
704 International perspectives on the pedagogy of climate change. Journal of Cleaner Production.
705 2018;200:1043-52.
706 34. Kay VA. The Political Context of Climate-Health Education. JAMA Network Open.
707 2020;3(5):e207149-e.
708 35. Cameron ES. Securing Indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation
709 approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. Global Environmental
710 Change. 2012;22(1):103-14.
711 36. Panaretto KS, Wenitong M, Button S, Ring IT. Aboriginal community controlled health
712 services: leading the way in primary care. Medical Journal of Australia. 2014;200(11):649-52.

- 713 37. Jongen C, McCalman J, Campbell S, Fagan R. Working well: strategies to strengthen the
714 workforce of the Indigenous primary healthcare sector. *BMC Health Services Research*.
715 2019;19(1):910.
- 716 38. Taylor EV, Lyford M, Parsons L, Mason T, Sabesan S, Thompson SC. "We're very much part
717 of the team here": A culture of respect for Indigenous health workforce transforms Indigenous health
718 care. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(9):e0239207.
- 719 39. Lai GC, Taylor EV, Haigh MM, Thompson SC. Factors Affecting the Retention of
720 Indigenous Australians in the Health Workforce: A Systematic Review. *International journal of
721 environmental research and public health*. 2018;15(5).
- 722 40. Redvers N, Schultz C, Vera Prince M, Cunningham M, Jones R, Blondin Bs. Indigenous
723 perspectives on education for sustainable healthcare. *Medical Teacher*. 2020;42(10):1085-90.

724

Figure captions


Figure 1. Word cloud from open-ended responses reflection on why it is important for public health/medical students to learn about climate change


Figure 2. Summary of curriculum structure coverage in participant's climate-health curricula

Figure 3. Summary of modes of information delivery


Figure 4. Expert roles in development and teaching of climate-health offerings







Role ■ Curriculum delivery (teaching) ■ Curriculum development



Actions to enhance the teaching of climate change in health curricula

Embed Indigenous-led environmental health as a core structure to emphasise the significance and connection to place and build the cultural competence of the health workforce.



In these days of climate extremes and the pandemic, the time is now for a curricula that intersects the human-environment divide to find a central place in our training of the future health workforce.

Build academic leadership and capacity through increased investment in resources to develop an effective community of practice for interdisciplinary scholarship in teaching and learning.



Create contemporary channels of content delivery through links with environmental and health advocacy organisations to provide students with knowledge and agency to influence policy and practice.



Promote education-focussed outreach partnerships with governments and community organisations to enhance students' real-world understanding of the risks and solutions.

