

## Brief Report

### Title

Antibody responses to BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in 2,015 healthcare workers in a single tertiary referral hospital in Japan

### Authors

Takahiro Kageyama<sup>1\*</sup>, Kei Ikeda<sup>1\*</sup>, Shigeru Tanaka<sup>1\*</sup>, Toshibumi Taniguchi<sup>2</sup>, Hidetoshi Igari<sup>2</sup>, Yoshihiro Onouchi<sup>3</sup>, Atsushi Kaneda<sup>4</sup>, Kazuyuki Matsushita<sup>5</sup>, Hideki Hanaoka<sup>6</sup>, Takaki Nakada<sup>7</sup>, Seiji Ohtori<sup>8</sup>, Ichiro Yoshino<sup>9</sup>, Hisahiro Matsubara<sup>10</sup>, Toshinori Nakayama<sup>11</sup>, Koutaro Yokote<sup>12</sup>, and Hiroshi Nakajima<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Chiba University Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Public

Health, Graduate School of Medicine, <sup>4</sup>Department of Molecular Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, <sup>5</sup>Division of Laboratory Medicine, Chiba University Hospital, <sup>6</sup>Clinical

Research Centre, Chiba University Hospital, <sup>7</sup>Department of Emergency and Critical Care

Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, <sup>8</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate

School of Medicine, <sup>9</sup>Department of General Thoracic Surgery, Graduate School of

Medicine, <sup>10</sup>Department of Frontier Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, <sup>11</sup>Department of

Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, <sup>12</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Hematology

and Gerontology, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan.

\* These authors equally contributed to this work.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## **Key Words**

COVID-19, Vaccine, Immunogenicity, Healthcare worker

## **Running Title**

Antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccine

## **Corresponding Author**

Hiroshi Nakajima,

Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology,

Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University,

1-8-1 Inohana, Chiba City, Chiba 260-8670, Japan.

Fax number: +81-43-226-2199

Telephone number: +81-43-226-2198

E-mail: [nakajimh@faculty.chiba-u.jp](mailto:nakajimh@faculty.chiba-u.jp).

## **Abstract**

We measured antibody responses in 2,015 healthcare workers who were receiving 2 doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. The vast majority (99.9%) had either seroconversion or a substantial increase in antibody titer. A multivariate linear regression model identified predictive factors for antibody responses which may have clinical implications.

## **Introduction**

BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against COVID-19 has shown promising efficacy both in the clinical trial [1] and in nationwide mass vaccination settings [2]. The vaccine has also shown short-term efficacy in a large-scale prospective cohort study targeting healthcare workers, a population that should be prioritized to be vaccinated [3]; however, there remains uncertainty as regards the long-term protection, the prediction of effectiveness, and the optimal usage of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine.

As the humoral responses have been shown to play essential roles in the protection against and the survival from SARS-CoV-2 infection [4, 5], the antibody status after vaccination can provide vital information to predict long-term effectiveness and to optimize the vaccination strategy. However, antibody responses after vaccination in a real-world setting have only been shown in several small-scale studies [6, 7]. Here, we report the antibody responses and their predictive factors in 2,015 healthcare workers who received the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine.

## Methods

We recruited healthcare workers in Chiba University Hospital who were receiving the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (Pfizer, Inc., and BioNTech) in our hospital vaccination program. All participants gave written informed consent before undergoing any study procedures.

Background information was collected by a web-based questionnaire. Blood samples were obtained 0-2 weeks before the 1<sup>st</sup> dose and 2-5 weeks after the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccination. Antibody responses were analyzed using Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2S on Cobas 8000 e801 module (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). This system allows for the quantitative detection of antibodies, predominantly IgG, aiming at the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor-binding domain. Values  $\geq 0.8$  U/mL were considered positive. Samples with a titer  $>250$  U/mL were diluted to determine the value.

Antibody titers were converted to log<sub>2</sub> values for presentation and statistical analysis. Univariate linear regression was performed using a forced entry method, whereas a multivariate linear regression model was established using a stepwise method. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). A two-sided p-value  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

The study procedures for sample collection and those for analyses were approved by Chiba University Ethics Committee on February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2021 (No. HS202101-03) and April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2021 (No. HS202104-01), respectively.

## Results

Out of 2,838 employees in Chiba University Hospital, 2,549 received at least one dose of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (30 µg) from March 3<sup>rd</sup> to April 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021, and 2,015 individuals were enrolled in this study.

Median age was 37 year-old (interquartile range [IQR] 29 – 47) and 1,296 (64.3%) were women. All participants but 11 were Japanese (99.5%). Nurses (n=672) and doctors (n=591) comprised about two-thirds of the study population. Ten participants (0.5%) had a history of COVID-19 confirmed by polymerase chain reaction testing. Detailed background information is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

Before vaccination, the serum anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody was detected ( $\geq 0.4$  U/mL) only in 21 subjects (1.1%) with a median titer of 35.9 U/mL (IQR 7.8 – 65.7). Eighteen subjects (0.9%) had a positive titer ( $\geq 0.8$  U/mL) and 8 out of these 18 subjects (44.4%) had a history of COVID-19.

After vaccination, the serum anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody was detected in all 1,774 participants who received the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose with a median titer of 2060.0 U/mL [1250.0-2650.0] (Figure 1A). Only one subject, who had received aggressive immunosuppressive treatment for a severe autoimmune condition, had a negative titer (0.7 U/mL). The distribution of post-vaccination antibody titers according to age and sex is shown in Figure 1B. In those who were seropositive before vaccination, the antibody titers substantially increased with a median fold change of 412.4 (IQR 309.2 – 760.5) following the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose.

We first performed univariate linear regression analyses to identify factors associated with the serum anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titer after vaccination. The factors significantly associated with a higher antibody titer were younger age ( $p < 0.001$ ), female ( $p < 0.001$ ), nurse ( $p < 0.001$ ), no previous/current smoking ( $p < 0.001$ ), previous COVID-19 ( $p < 0.001$ ), longer time between 2 doses ( $p < 0.001$ ), shorter time from 2<sup>nd</sup> dose to sample collection ( $p < 0.001$ ),

no immunosuppressive medication ( $p < 0.001$ ), no interstitial lung disease ( $p < 0.001$ ), no autoimmune disease ( $p < 0.001$ ), no hypertension ( $p = 0.001$ ), no medication for hypertension ( $p = 0.001$ ), exposure to COVID-19 patient ( $p = 0.002$ ), and less frequent alcohol consumption ( $p = 0.012$ ) (Supplementary Table S1).

We next performed a multivariate linear regression analysis using factors that showed a  $p$ -value  $< 0.1$  in univariate analyses. The factors retained in the final model (adjusted  $R^2$  0.188) are immunosuppressive medication ( $p < 0.001$ , standardized regression coefficient  $\beta$   $-0.289$  [95% confidence interval {CI}  $-0.344 - -0.234$ ]), age ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $\beta$   $-0.164$  [95% CI  $-0.211 - -0.117$ ]), time from 2<sup>nd</sup> dose to sample collection ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $\beta$   $-0.148$  [95% CI  $-0.195 - -0.100$ ]), previous COVID-19 ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $\beta$   $0.133$  [95% CI  $0.087 - 0.178$ ]), female ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $\beta$   $0.116$  [95% CI  $0.068 - 0.163$ ]), time between 2 doses ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $\beta$   $0.100$  [95% CI  $0.050 - 0.150$ ]), glucocorticoids ( $p = 0.020$ ,  $\beta$   $-0.066$  [95% CI  $-0.121 - -0.010$ ]), medication for allergy ( $p = 0.024$ ,  $\beta$   $0.054$  [95% CI  $0.007 - 0.100$ ]), and alcohol ( $p = 0.037$ ,  $\beta$   $-0.050$  [95% CI  $-0.096 - -0.003$ ]) (Figure 1C).

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date to report the antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccination. In this study, all subjects who received 2 doses of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine had a detectable level of serum anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody, and all but one subject who were seronegative before vaccination became seropositive (99.9%). In addition, all of 18 subjects who were already seropositive before vaccination showed substantial antibody responses after the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose. These results are consistent with previous smaller-scale studies [6, 7] and indicate that the vast majority of young-adult healthcare workers have good antibody responses following 2 doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine.

The large sample size of our study allowed for establishing a stable multivariate model to determine background factors that independently predict antibody responses. The strongest and the most significant factor was receiving immunosuppressive drugs (Figure 1C). Receiving glucocorticoids was also identified as an independent predictor (Figure 1C) even though our study target was mostly healthy workers and only 14 (0.9%) and 9 (0.6%) were taking glucocorticoids and immunosuppressant, respectively. Our data confirm the results of previous studies which demonstrated the reduced antibody responses among patients on immunosuppressive regimens [8].

Unexpectedly, medication for allergy was also identified as a factor significantly associated with higher antibody titers (Figure 1C). Although we have no information on the drug and diagnosis for the medication, we speculate that the majority were taking an anti-histamine drug for cedar pollen allergy, which is very common in Japan in spring. We also speculate that this may reflect some association between allergic diathesis and antibody responses. Some studies, on the other hand, have suggested possible therapeutic effects of histamine H1 receptor antagonists on COVID-19 [9]. Together with alcohol consumption as a negative predictor (Figure 1C), these novel associations deserve further investigation.

While only 10 participants (0.5%) in our study had a history of COVID-19, it was the fourth most significant factor in our multivariate model (Figure 1C). Its influence might have been underestimated since 2 participants who had the highest titers (93,200.0 and 65,800.0 U/mL) did not have a history of previous COVID-19 but both were seropositive before vaccination, and one had had close contact with an infected individual. Again, this result is consistent with previous reports [10, 11] and consolidates the evidence that the BNT162b2 vaccine induces more robust antibody responses in individuals previously infected with SARS-CoV-2.

Among demographic factors, age has been repeatedly reported to associate with reduced antibody responses after COVID-19 vaccination [6, 7]. Our study population is younger than those in previous studies and supplement the evidence. On the other hand, the sex difference has not been reported except for one study that showed a higher titer in women  $\geq 80$ -year-old [7]. Our study with a larger sample size demonstrates for the first time significantly higher antibody titers in women than in men as a whole (median 2200.0 [IQR 1,350.0 – 2,807.5] vs. 1,805.0 U/mL [IQR 1,067.5 – 2,412.5],  $p < 0.001$ ).

Our data indicate that a longer interval between 2 doses within a range of 18-25 days is associated with a stronger antibody response. This may be interpreted as that the interval should not be shortened without justifiable reasons. Our data also show that a shorter period from the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose to sample collection within a range of 14-32 days is associated with a stronger antibody response. This finding indicates that the peak of antibody responses takes place within 14 days of the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose, which should be considered when interpreting the data.

Our study has some limitations. First, this is a single-center study in Japan with mostly Japanese subjects. Second, neutralizing antibody was not measured, although the assay we employed has been shown closely correlated with the titer of neutralizing antibody [12]. Third, most clinical information was collected with a questionnaire and cannot be

verified. Nevertheless, we provide the largest data on antibody responses to the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in healthcare workers. Universally good responses demonstrated in our study further support the use of this vaccine in a wide range of populations and the predictive factors identified may help optimize the vaccination strategy and generate hypotheses for future studies.

### **Funding**

This study was supported by a donation to Chiba University Hospital and the Future Medicine Funds at Chiba University.

### **Acknowledgements**

We thank all staff in Chiba University Hospital for supporting sample collection.

## References



- 1 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2603-15.
- 2 Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:1412-23.
- 3 Hall VJ, Foulkes S, Saei A, et al. COVID-19 vaccine coverage in health-care workers in England and effectiveness of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against infection (SIREN): a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. *Lancet* 2021;397:1725-35.
- 4 Dispinseri S, Secchi M, Pirillo MF, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in symptomatic COVID-19 is persistent and critical for survival. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:2670.
- 5 Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, et al. Antibody Status and Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Health Care Workers. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:533-40.
- 6 Muller L, Andree M, Moskorz W, et al. Age-dependent immune response to the Biontech/Pfizer BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021.
- 7 Terpos E, Trougakos IP, Apostolakou F, et al. Age-dependent and gender-dependent antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 in health workers and octogenarians after vaccination with the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. *Am J Hematol* 2021.
- 8 Boyarsky BJ, Werbel WA, Avery RK, et al. Immunogenicity of a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 Messenger RNA Vaccine in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *JAMA* 2021;325:1784-6.
- 9 Ge S, Wang X, Hou Y, Lv Y, Wang C, He H. Repositioning of histamine H1 receptor antagonist: Doxepin inhibits viropexis of SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudovirus by blocking ACE2. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2021;896:173897.

- 10 Manisty C, Otter AD, Treibel TA, et al. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *Lancet* 2021;397:1057-8.
- 11 Ebinger JE, Fert-Bober J, Printsev I, et al. Antibody responses to the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in individuals previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Med* 2021.
- 12 Resman Rus K, Korva M, Knap N, Avsic Zupanc T, Poljak M. Performance of the rapid high-throughput automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay targeting total antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor binding domain in comparison to the neutralization assay. *J Clin Virol* 2021;139:104820.

## Figure Legends

### Figure 1. Distribution of antibody titer and multivariate linear regression model

**A, B.** Boxplots for the distribution of anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titers. A box represents interquartile range and a horizontal line in a box represents median. A vertical line represents a range excluding outliers. A circle and an asterisk represent outlier and extreme outlier, respectively. **A.** Before and after vaccination, in subjects whose data are available (n=1,774). Undetectable titers (<0.4 U/mL) are imputed with a value of 0.4 U/mL before log-transformed. **B.** After vaccination, according to age groups and sex. **C.** The variables retained in the final multivariate linear regression model to explain anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titers after vaccination. A dot and bar represents standardized coefficient  $\beta$  and 95% confidence interval for the variable.

**A**Anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titer (Log<sub>2</sub> U/mL)**B**Anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titer (Log<sub>2</sub> U/mL)**C**

Immunosuppressant

Age

Time from 2<sup>nd</sup> dose to test

Previous COVID-19


Female

Time between 2 doses

Glucocorticoids

Medication for allergy

Alcohol



**Supplementary Table S1. Background information and results of univariate linear regression analysis for post-vaccine antibody titer**

Variable	All (n=2,015)		Post-vaccine antibody titer available (n=1,774)		Univariate linear regression analysis for post-vaccine antibody titer			
	Data available, n	Value	Data available, n	Value	Adjusted coefficient $\beta$	p-value		
Age (year-old), median (IQR)	2,015	37 (29-47)	1,774	39.3	-0.163	<0.001		
Sex female, n (%)	2,015	1,296 (64.3)	1,774	1,168 (65.8)	-0.133	<0.001		
Nationality Japanese, n (%)	2,015	2,004 (99.5)	1,774	1,765 (99.5)	0.020	0.407		
Job category	2,015		1,774		0.090*	<0.001		
Nurse		672 (33.3)		559 (31.5)				
Doctor		589 (29.2)		494 (27.8)				
Pharmacist		58 (2.9)		57 (3.2)				
Dentist		19 (0.9)		11 (0.6)				
Others		677 (33.6)		653 (36.8)				
Body mass index category	1,515		1,512		0.006	0.808		
<18.5		150 (9.9)		150 (9.9)				
18.5-25		1,120 (73.9)		1,118 (73.9)				
≥25		245 (16.2)		244 (16.1)				
Smoking	1,515		1,512		-0.094	<0.001		
Never, n (%)		1,141 (75.3)		1,139 (75.3)				
Ex-smoker, n (%)		323 (21.3)		323 (21.4)				
Current smoker, n (%)		51 (3.4)		50 (3.3)				
Alcohol	1,515		1,512		-0.065	0.012		
No, n (%)		417 (27.5)		417 (27.6)				
Sometimes, n (%)		863 (57.0)		860 (56.9)				
Every day, n (%)		235 (15.5)		235 (15.5)				
Comorbidities	1,515		1,512					
Asthma, n (%)		158 (10.4)		158 (10.4)			-0.011	0.659
Atopic dermatitis, n (%)		134 (8.8)		134 (8.9)			-0.002	0.944
Hypertension, n (%)		114 (7.5)		114 (7.5)			-0.082	0.001
Dyslipidemia, n (%)		97 (6.4)		97 (6.4)	-0.047	0.066		

Thyroid disease, n (%)		55 (3.6)		55 (3.6)	0.016	0.546
Malignancy, n (%)		36 (2.4)		36 (2.4)	-0.003	0.906
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)		25 (1.7)		25 (1.7)	-0.045	0.082
Autoimmune disease, n (%)		13 (0.9)		13 (0.9)	-0.218	<0.001
Ischemic heart disease, n (%)		5 (0.3)		5 (0.3)	-0.025	0.328
Cerebral infarction, n (%)		4 (0.3)		4 (0.3)	0.008	0.747
Interstitial lung disease, n (%)		2 (0.1)		2 (0.1)	-0.177	<0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	NA	NA
Current medication						
Allergy, n (%)		188 (12.4)		188 (12.4)	0.045	0.083
Hypertension, n (%)		102 (6.7)		102 (6.7)	-0.090	<0.001
Dyslipidemia, n (%)		77 (5.1)		77 (5.1)	-0.023	0.366
Inhaled corticosteroid, n (%)		32 (2.1)		32 (2.1)	-0.039	0.130
Thyroid disease, n (%)	1,515	27 (1.8)	1,512	27 (1.8)	-0.003	0.901
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)		20 (1.3)		20 (1.3)	-0.019	0.471
Glucocorticoids, n (%)		14 (0.9)		14 (0.9)	-0.207	<0.001
Immunosuppressant, n (%)		9 (0.6)		9 (0.6)	-0.299	<0.001
Insulin, n (%)		3 (0.2)		3 (0.2)	-0.038	0.140
Antimicrobial, n (%)		3 (0.2)		3 (0.2)	-0.043	0.096
Previous COVID-19, n (%)	2,015	10 (0.5)	1,774	9 (0.5)	0.115	<0.001
Flu symptoms within a year, n (%)	1,515	539 (35.6)	1,512	539 (35.6)	0.012	0.650
Exposure to COVID-19 patient						
Hardly, n (%)	1,515	1,333 (88.0)	1,512	1,331 (88.0)	0.078	0.002
< 15 minutes, n (%)		76 (5.0)		76 (5.0)		
≥ 15 minutes, n (%)		106 (7.0)		105 (6.9)		
Time between 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> doses (day), mean (SD)	1,987	21.2 (0.7)	1,774	21.1 (0.6)	0.106	<0.001
Time between 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose and sample collection (day), median (IQR)	1,774	15 (14-21)	1,774	15 (14-21)	-0.161	<0.001

\*Nurse vs. non-nurse. Other combinations did not yield p-value<0.1. IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.