1 Formation of oxidized gases and secondary organic aerosol from a

2 commercial oxidant-generating electronic air cleaner

3 Taekyu Joo^a, Jean C. Rivera-Rios^b, Daniel Alvarado-Velez^b, Sabrina Westgate^b, Nga Lee Ng^{a,b,c}

4 *aSchool of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia*

5 *30332, United States*

6 ^bSchool of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta,

7 *Georgia 30332, United States*

8 ^cSchool of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta,
9 Georgia 30332, United States

10

11 Abstract

12 Airborne virus transmission during the COVID-19 pandemic increased the demand for indoor air cleaners. While some commercial electronic air cleaners could be effective in reducing primary 13 pollutants and inactivating bioaerosol, studies on the formation of secondary products from 14 15 oxidation chemistry during their use are limited. Here, we measured oxygenated volatile organic 16 compounds (OVOCs) and the chemical composition of particles generated from a hydroxyl radical 17 generator in an office. During operation, enhancements in OVOCs, especially low-molecular-18 weight organic and inorganic acids, were detected. Rapid increases in particle number and volume concentrations were observed, corresponding to the formation of highly-oxidized secondary 19 20 organic aerosol (SOA) (O:C ~1.3). The organic mass spectra showed an enhanced signal at m/z 44 21 (CO_2^+) and the aerosol evolved with a slope of ~ -1 in the Van Krevelen diagram. These results suggest that organic acids generated during VOC oxidation contributed to particle nucleation and 22 SOA formation. Nitrate, sulfate, and chloride also increased during the oxidation without a 23

corresponding increase in ammonium, suggesting organic nitrate, organic sulfate, and organic
chloride formation. As secondary species are reported to have detrimental health effects, further
studies are needed to evaluate potential OVOCs and SOA formation from electronic air cleaners
in different indoor environments.

28

Keywords: hydroxyl generator, air purifier, air cleaning, indoor air, oxidation, organic aerosol,
volatile organic compounds

31

32 Synopsis: We observed formation of oxygenated volatile organic compounds and secondary33 organic aerosol from an electronic air cleaner.

34

35 Introduction

36 People spend most of their time indoors, making the air quality in these spaces an important factor for human health. Indoor air quality (IAQ) depends on several factors, including but not 37 38 limited to: exchange with outdoor air, filtration, emissions from indoor sources, chemical reactions i.e., via oxidation or multi-phase processes, and deposition onto surfaces.¹ Due to the impact of 39 IAQ on health, there is a growing demand for air cleaning technologies meant to reduce exposure 40 to potentially detrimental substances indoors. This demand has increased considerably during the 41 42 course of the recent COVID-19 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2) pandemic due to the increased recognition of the role of airborne virus transmission, especially 43 indoors.²⁻⁶ 44

45 Air cleaners are usually deployed with the intention to remove indoor pollutants such as 46 particles or volatile organic compounds (VOCs), as well as to inactivate pathogens. Two types of 47 air cleaning technologies are commonly used to remove particles: mechanical filtration and electronic air cleaners (e.g., ionizers and electrostatic precipitators). Gaseous pollutants such as 48 odors and VOCs can be removed via a number of different technologies: adsorbent media air filters 49 (e.g., activated carbon) and various electronic air cleaning devices such as photocatalytic oxidation 50 (PCO), plasma, and ozone-generating equipment among others.⁷⁻⁹ In addition, hydroxyl radical 51 (OH) generation via photolysis of ozone or water is also used to destroy odors and VOCs, usually 52 as a substitute for ozone-generating air cleaners.^{10, 11} Among these cleaning technologies, 53 ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI), ionizers, ozone oxidations, and PCO purifiers have been 54 shown to be capable of inactivating viruses, bacteria, and other bioaerosol.^{6, 8, 9, 12-19} 55

56 There are increasing concerns regarding the use of electronic air cleaners as these devices can potentially generate unintended byproducts via oxidation chemistry similar to that in the 57 atmosphere.^{20, 21} The oxidation mechanism of VOCs in the atmosphere can be simplified as the 58 following: (1) initial attack of the VOCs by oxidants (OH, O₃, and NO₃), (2) organic peroxy radical 59 reactions, and in some cases (3) alkoxy radical reactions.^{22, 23} Organic peroxy radicals can react 60 with other species in the atmosphere (e.g., NO, NO₂, HO₂, etc.) and undergo functionalization or 61 form alkoxy radicals. Alkoxy radicals can fragment and form smaller organic compounds in the 62 atmosphere that can be oxidized further. Fragmentation leads to increased volatility whereas 63 functionalization decreases volatility and increases solubility.²² These complex, multi-generational, 64 65 gas-phase oxidation processes result in the formation of a large variety of organic compounds, which can undergo gas-particle partitioning and/or nucleation to form secondary organic aerosol 66 (SOA). While some byproducts of VOC oxidation can have adverse health effects,²⁴⁻²⁹ systematic 67 68 investigations of potential formation of organic gases and aerosol during the operation of 69 oxidant/ion-generating air cleaners indoors are scarce. Previous studies are limited to investigating

the formation of ozone, NO_x, CO, CO₂, less-oxidized VOCs, or particle number and mass
concentrations, but not on the composition of more-oxidized VOCs or aerosol.^{8, 9, 30}

In this work, we evaluated the effect of a commercial electronic air cleaner (hydroxyl radical generator) operated inside an office. We monitored gas-phase oxidized products and PM₁ (particulate matter less than 1 μ m in diameter) size distribution and composition. We show that the operation of this device leads to the formation of small organic acids and increases PM₁ number and mass concentrations. These results show that care must be taken when choosing an adequate and appropriate air cleaning technology for a particular environment and task.

- 78
- 79 Materials and methods

The experiment was performed in an office ($\sim 16 \text{ m}^2$) in the Ford Environmental Sciences and 80 Technology Building at the Georgia Institute of Technology. We performed the experiment in the 81 82 following sequence: 1) 2.33 hours of office background sampling, 2) 1.5 hours of hydroxyl generator operation (Titan Model #4000, International Ozone Technologies Group, Inc., Delray 83 84 Beach, FL), and 3) 1.5 hours of sampling after the device was turned off. Briefly, the device generates OH radical and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) via photocatalytic reaction of TiO2 with UV-85 A range (365 - 385 nm) light and H₂O and O₂ in the air.^{31, 32} Many other brands of hydroxyl 86 generator are available in the market and employ a similar technology. 87

Gas-phase organic compounds were measured and reported as counts per second using a highresolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-CIMS, Aerodyne
Research Inc., Billerica, MA) with iodide (I⁻) as a reagent ion, which selectively measures
oxygenated organics.³³ O₃ and NO_x were monitored using an O₃ Analyzer (T400, Teledyne, City

92 of Industry, CA), a NO-NO₂-NO_x Analyzer (42C, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and
93 a Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift NO₂ monitor (CAPS, Aerodyne Inc.).

Size-resolved PM₁ number and volume concentrations were measured using a scanning 94 mobility particle sizer (SMPS). The SMPS is a combination of a differential mobility analyzer 95 (DMA) (TSI 3040, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN) and a condensation particle counter (CPC) (TSI 96 97 3775). In addition, we deployed a separate CPC (TSI 3025 A) to monitor the total number concentration of particles (all particles under roughly 3 µm). Aerosol chemical composition was 98 monitored using a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, 99 100 Aerodyne Research Inc.). HR-ToF-AMS quantifies organics, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, and 101 chloride mass concentrations and measures the bulk elemental composition of the particles (e.g., O:C and H:C ratios).^{34, 35} The elemental ratios for particles were calculated based on the 102 "Improved-Ambient" method.³⁵ 103

104

105 Results

Formation of oxidized VOCs (OVOCs). The immediate formation of oxygenated products 106 107 was observed by the HR-ToF-CIMS (Figure 1) when the device was turned on. Formic acid (m/z173, CH₂O₂I⁻), acetic acid (m/z 187, C₂H₄O₂I⁻), iminoacetic acid (m/z 200, C₂H₃NO₂I⁻), oxamide 108 109 $(m/z 215, C_2H_4N_2O_2I^{-})$, glyceraldehyde $(m/z 217, C_3H_6O_3I^{-})$, glycerol $(m/z 219, C_3H_8O_3I^{-})$, alanine 110 $(m/z 216, C_3H_7NO_2I^-)$, and acetoacetic acid $(m/z 229, C_4H_6O_3I^-)$ are identified and showed the most obvious enhancements during the operation period. Enhanced glyceraldehyde and glycerol at the 111 112 beginning of the experiment (12:10 pm) was likely due to the presence of people in the office initially (to set up instruments for this study), as these compounds are formed as intermediates in 113 metabolism and widely used in cosmetics or as an additive in foods.³⁶⁻³⁸ Nitrous acid (m/z 174, 114

115 HONOF), which is an inorganic acid, also increased during the operation. Hydrogen peroxide (m/z116 161, H₂O₂F) increased during the background period and decreased during the operation of device. 117 As mentioned in the previous section, TiO₂ photocatalytic technology is reported to produce H₂O₂ 118 as another product.³¹ However, H₂O₂ decreased when the device was turned on and rebounded 119 after the device was turned off. The pre-existing H₂O₂ in the office could have been interacting 120 with the generated OH radical but flattened as a result of regeneration via self-reaction of 121 hydroperoxyl radical.

Formation of secondary organic aerosol. Particle number and volume concentrations started 122 123 increasing once the device was in operation (Figure 2a). Both the number and volume 124 concentrations increased rapidly in the first 30 minutes after the device was turned on and slowed down after reaching ~4000 particle cm⁻³ and ~5 μ m³ cm⁻³, respectively. This was followed by a 125 126 rapid decrease in concentrations after the device was turned off. The increase in particles was mostly within the PM₁ size range based on the agreement between the SMPS (PM₁ only) and the 127 CPC (all particles under roughly 3 µm). During the operation, an enhancement was observed in 128 129 the 100 - 200 nm size range for both particle number and volume concentrations (Figure S1). It is noted that a similar experiment was performed in a laboratory space ($\sim 140 \text{ m}^2$) and similar results 130 131 were observed (Figure S2).

The time series of the species measured by the HR-ToF-AMS are shown in Figure 2b. A collection efficiency of 0.45 was applied to the data as the inorganic concentrations were low and the aerosol did not contain high mass fractions of acidic sulfate or ammonium nitrate.³⁹ The chemical composition of the particles during the operation period confirmed SOA formation, with organics reaching 2.1 μ g m⁻³ after the device was turned on. Figure 2 also shows that the mass concentrations of non-refractory species reported by the HR-ToF-AMS were somewhat lower than

the volume concentration enhancement measured by the SMPS (converted to mass concentration 138 by the density of each species, Figure S3). This difference was expected since both instruments 139 sampled particles without the use of a dryer at the instrument inlets. Thus, the particle 140 141 concentration reported by the SMPS included water whereas particle water can be evaporated in the low-pressure aerodynamic lens and vacuum system of HR-ToF-AMS.³⁹⁻⁴² The discrepancy 142 diminishes when accounting for particle water as shown in Figure S3. Ammonium concentration 143 was low throughout the experiment and showed little changes whereas nitrate, sulfate, and chloride 144 increased during the device operation period. Since we did not observe ammonium increasing 145 146 along with nitrate, sulfate, or chloride, these species are likely in the form of organic nitrate, organic sulfate, and organic chloride. Organic mass spectra comparison shows enhanced fraction 147 at m/z 44 (CO₂⁺) during the device operation (Figures 3a and S4), with increased O:C and 148 149 decreased H:C (Figure S5a). The increase in the degree of oxidation of aerosol is further illustrated in the Van Krevelen diagram in Figure 3b. $^{43-45}$ The aerosol evolution followed a slope of ~ -1, with 150 particle carbon oxidation state ($OS_C = 2 O:C - H:C$) increasing during device operation, as a result 151 of enhancements in O:C and reductions in H:C.⁴⁶ 152

153

154 Discussion

Generation of hydroxyl radicals indoors reduces VOC concentrations in a similar manner to tropospheric VOC oxidation chemistry, which proceeds through complex, multi-generational chemistry and results in the formation of a large number of organic products. The byproducts formed from these reactions in this study depend on the identity of the VOCs in the office. VOCs were not measured in this work, however, Price et al.⁴⁷ speciated total organic carbon (in both gas and particle phases) in an art museum and identified that over 80% of the carbon present are highly 161 reduced (OS_c < -0.5) and volatile compounds with low carbon number (< C₆). It is possible that the office has a similar VOC speciation, though it could have a lower total carbon budget due to 162 no occupancy and no activities, such as printing,⁴⁸ which can lead to VOC emissions. The observed 163 164 oxygenated C1-C4 compounds can be formed from functionalization and fragmentation of such VOCs during the oxidation.²² Although we only observed small carboxylic acids in the gas phase, 165 this does not exclude the formation of larger OVOCs, which might not be detected by I-CIMS, be 166 lost in the instrument inlet line, interact with the surfaces,^{1,47} or have participated in new particle 167 formation and growth.⁴⁹ Increasing particle number and mass concentrations and the formation of 168 169 highly-oxidized SOA suggest that new particle formation and condensation growth can be a loss 170 process of larger, less-volatile OVOCs. The SOA formed has an O:C of ~ 1.3, which is higher than the typical O:C range observed for more-oxidized oxygenated organic aerosol (MO-OOA) in 171 ambient environments.^{44, 50} While both nucleation and gas-particle partitioning can lead to SOA 172 173 formation, nucleation is likely the main process as particle elemental composition changed (O:C 174 decreased and H:C increased) as soon as the device was turned off. The prevalence of nucleation is likely due to the small condensation sink with low aerosol background (\sim 581 # cm⁻³) in the 175 office. The enhancement of m/z 44 (CO₂⁺) in the HR-ToF-AMS organic mass spectra indicates the 176 contribution of organic acids in SOA formation, as their thermal decarboxylation gives rise to the 177 CO_2^+ fragment.^{44, 51-54} In the Van Krevelen diagram, the SOA evolved along the ~ -1 line, which 178 179 corresponds to the addition of carboxylic acids and/or simultaneous increases in alcohol and carbonyl groups. ^{43, 44} Taken together, these results show that carboxylic acids were formed during 180 the oxidation process and contributed to new particle formation owing to their low volatility. ^{22, 49,} 181 55 182

183 Nitrate, sulfate, and chloride enhancements are expected to be associated with organic nitrate, organic sulfate, and organic chloride formation (Figure S5). The average NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ion ratio from 184 the HR-ToF-AMS is widely used as an indicator to differentiate inorganic vs. organic nitrate.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ 185 186 The NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio for inorganic nitrate during the instrument calibration was 1.98, and previous 187 laboratory studies have shown that this ratio is much higher for organic nitrate than inorganic nitrate.^{56, 59-61} The average NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio during the operation period was \sim 17, implying that 188 189 virtually all the particle-phase nitrate was organic nitrate. The contribution of organic sulfate can be examined by evaluating the fractions of HSO_3^+ and H_2SO4^+ in $H_xSO_y^+$ fragments (SO⁺, SO₂⁺, 190 SO_3^+ , HSO_3^+ , and H_2SO4^+).⁶² Both fractions decreased when the device was turned on, implying 191 192 the presence of organic sulfate. Organic chloride formation can be associated with chlorinecontaining VOCs which may be emitted or formed through interactions with cleaning products.⁶³, 193 64 194

To our knowledge, this is the first study that monitored the chemical composition of secondary 195 196 products in both gas and particle phases during the operation of an electronic air cleaner that 197 dissipates oxidants in a real-world setting. Although we lack parent VOC measurements, the 198 limited number of OVOCs and small enhancement in SOA observed during this work were 199 assumed to be due to low initial VOCs concentrations in the office where this study was conducted. 200 Much larger enhancements in OVOCs and SOA could be observed in other types of indoor environments such as industrial settings, homes, and restaurants, which can have much larger VOC 201 concentrations, even more than in outdoor locations. ^{1, 47, 64-66} Secondary VOC oxidation products 202 have been shown to have detrimental effects on human health. ^{24, 26, 27, 67, 68} Specifically, SOA has 203 been reported to induce cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, inflammatory cytokine 204 production, and oxidative modification of RNA.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ The toxicity of SOA could increase with 205

206	increasing OSc. ^{28,72} Therefore, future studies on air cleaning technologies should not be limited to
207	the inactivation of bioaerosol or reduction of particular VOCs, but should also evaluate potential
208	OVOCs and SOA formation during their operation. The electronic air cleaner tested in this study
209	is similar to many other commercially available devices and similar experiments should be
210	conducted with other devices.
211	
212	Conflicts of interest
213	The authors declare no competing financial interest.
214	
215	Acknowledgements
216	The authors would like to thank C. Peng, A. P. Mouat, and J. Kaiser for helpful discussions on the

217 experimental setup and J. Lee for help in the table of content (TOC) figure illustration. The HR-

218 ToF-CIMS was purchased through NSF Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) grant 1428738.

219 **References**

- Abbatt, J. P. D.; Wang, C., The atmospheric chemistry of indoor environments.
 Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 2020, 22 (1), 25-48.
- 222 2. CDC Scientific Brief: SARS-CoV-2 and Potential Airborne Transmission.
 223 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/more/scientific-brief-sars-cov-2.html</u> (accessed Oct, 5).
- 225 3. Lednicky, J. A.; Lauzardo, M.; Hugh Fan, Z.; Jutla, A.; Tilly, T. B.; Gangwar, M.;
- Usmani, M.; Shankar, S. N.; Mohamed, K.; Eiguren-Fernandez, A., et al., Viable SARS-CoV-2
 in the air of a hospital room with COVID-19 patients. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*
- **228 2020**.
- 4. Morawska, L.; Milton, D. K., It Is Time to Address Airborne Transmission of Coronavirus
 Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2020, *71* (9), 2311-2313.
- 231 5. Prather, K. A.; Wang, C. C.; Schooley, R. T., Reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2.
 232 Science 2020, eabc6197.
- Agarwal, N.; Meena, C. S.; Raj, B. P.; Saini, L.; Kumar, A.; Gopalakrishnan, N.; Kumar,
 A.; Balam, N. B.; Alam, T.; Kapoor, N. R., et al., Indoor air quality improvement in COVID-19
 pandemic: Review. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 2021, *70*, 102942.
- ASHRAE, ASHRAE Standard 52.2-2017 Method of testing general ventilation air cleaning devices for removal efficiency by particle size. 2017.
- 8. EPA, U., Residential Air Cleaners: A Technical Summary. 3rd Edition ed.; Agency, U. S.
 E. P., Ed. US EPA: 2018.
- 240 9. Zhang, Y.; Mo, J.; Li, Y.; Sundell, J.; Wargocki, P.; Zhang, J.; Little, J. C.; Corsi, R.;
 241 Deng, Q.; Leung, M. H. K., et al., Can commonly-used fan-driven air cleaning technologies
 242 improve indoor air quality? A literature review. *Atmospheric Environment* 2011, 45 (26), 4329243 4343.
- 244 10. Johnson, M. S.; Nilsson, E. J. K.; Svensson, E. A.; Langer, S., Gas-Phase Advanced
 245 Oxidation for Effective, Efficient in Situ Control of Pollution. *Environmental Science* &
 246 *Technology* 2014, 48 (15), 8768-8776.
- 247 11. Crosley, D. R.; Araps, C. J.; Doyle-Eisele, M.; McDonald, J. D., Gas-phase photolytic
 248 production of hydroxyl radicals in an ultraviolet purifier for air and surfaces. *Journal of the Air &*249 *Waste Management Association* 2017, 67 (2), 231-240.
- Sunada, K.; Kikuchi, Y.; Hashimoto, K.; Fujishima, A., Bactericidal and Detoxification
 Effects of TiO2 Thin Film Photocatalysts. *Environmental Science & Technology* 1998, *32* (5),
 726-728.
- Grinshpun, S. A.; Adhikari, A.; Honda, T.; Kim, K. Y.; Toivola, M.; Ramchander Rao,
 K. S.; Reponen, T., Control of Aerosol Contaminants in Indoor Air: Combining the Particle
 Concentration Reduction with Microbial Inactivation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2007,
- Concentration Reduction with Microbial Inactivation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2007,
 41 (2), 606-612.
- 14. Hyun, J.; Lee, S.-G.; Hwang, J., Application of corona discharge-generated air ions for
 filtration of aerosolized virus and inactivation of filtered virus. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 2017, *107*, 31-40.
- 260 15. Nunayon, S. S.; Zhang, H. H.; Jin, X.; Lai, A. C. K., Experimental evaluation of positive
- and negative air ions disinfection efficacy under different ventilation duct conditions. *Building and Environment* 2019, *158*, 295-301.

- 16. Riaz, N.; Khan, M. S.; Bilal, M.; Ullah, S.; Al-Sehemi, A. G., Photocatalytic Inactivation
 of Bioaerosols: A Short Review on Emerging Technologies. *Current Analytical Chemistry* 2021, *17* (1), 31-37.
- 17. Bono, N.; Ponti, F.; Punta, C.; Candiani, G., Effect of UV Irradiation and TiO2Photocatalysis on Airborne Bacteria and Viruses: An Overview. *Materials* 2021, *14* (5), 1075.
- 268 18. Luo, H.; Zhong, L., Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) for in-duct airborne 269 bioaerosol disinfection: Review and analysis of design factors. *Building and Environment* 2021,
- 270 *197*, 107852.
- Park, J.-S.; Sung, B.-J.; Yoon, K.-S.; Jeong, C.-S., The bactericidal effect of an ionizer
 under low concentration of ozone. *BMC Microbiol* 2016, *16* (1), 173-173.
- 273 20. Weber, L.; Jewett, C., Schools spending millions on air purifiers often sold using
 274 overblown claims. Kaiser Health News: <u>https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/03/health/air-filter-covid-</u>
 275 <u>scams-khn/index.html</u>, 2021.
- Zaatari, M.; Harmon, M., Open Letter to address the use of Electronic Air Cleaning
 Equipment in Buildings. Medium: <u>https://medium.com/open-letter-to-address-the-use-of-</u>
 electronic-air/no-to-ionizers-plasma-uvpco-bc1570b2fb9b, 2021.
- 279 22. Kroll, J. H.; Seinfeld, J. H., Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and
 evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 2008, *42* (16),
 3593-3624.
- 282 23. Seinfeld, J. H.; Pandis, S. N., *Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change*. John Wiley & Sons: 2016.
- 284 24. O'Brien, P. J.; Siraki, A. G.; Shangari, N., Aldehyde Sources, Metabolism, Molecular
 285 Toxicity Mechanisms, and Possible Effects on Human Health. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*286 2005, 35 (7), 609-662.
- 287 25. Murray, C. J. L.; Aravkin, A. Y.; Zheng, P.; Abbafati, C.; Abbas, K. M.; Abbasi288 Kangevari, M.; Abd-Allah, F.; Abdelalim, A.; Abdollahi, M.; Abdollahpour, I., et al., Global
 289 burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the
 290 Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet* 2020, *396* (10258), 1223-1249.
- 26. Hoek, G.; Krishnan, R. M.; Beelen, R.; Peters, A.; Ostro, B.; Brunekreef, B.; Kaufman,
 J. D., Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio- respiratory mortality: a review. *Environmental Health* 2013, *12* (1), 43.
- 294 27. Pope, C. A.; Dockery, D. W., Health Effects of Fine Particulate Air Pollution: Lines that
 295 Connect. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 2006, *56* (6), 709-742.
- 28. Tuet, W. Y.; Chen, Y.; Fok, S.; Champion, J. A.; Ng, N. L., Inflammatory responses to
 secondary organic aerosols (SOA) generated from biogenic and anthropogenic precursors. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *17* (18), 11423-11440.
- 299 29. Liu, F.; Saavedra, M. G.; Champion, J. A.; Griendling, K. K.; Ng, N. L., Prominent
- 300 Contribution of Hydrogen Peroxide to Intracellular Reactive Oxygen Species Generated upon
- Exposure to Naphthalene Secondary Organic Aerosols. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 2020, 7 (3), 171-177.
- 303 30. Zeng, Y.; Manwatkar, P.; Laguerre, A.; Beke, M.; Kang, I.; Ali, A. S.; Farmer, D. K.;
- 304 Gall, E. T.; Heidarinejad, M.; Stephens, B., Evaluating a commercially available in-duct bipolar
- 305 ionization device for pollutant removal and potential byproduct formation. Building and
- 306 *Environment* **2021**, *195*, 107750.

- 307 31. Schneider, J.; Matsuoka, M.; Takeuchi, M.; Zhang, J.; Horiuchi, Y.; Anpo, M.;
 308 Bahnemann, D. W., Understanding TiO2 Photocatalysis: Mechanisms and Materials. *Chemical*
- 309 *Reviews* 2014, *114* (19), 9919-9986.
- 310 32. IOTG, Titan 4000 Brochures. Group, I. O. T., Ed. International Ozone Technologies Group:
 311 <u>http://internationalozone.com/Sources.html</u>, 2020.
- 312 33. Aljawhary, D.; Lee, A. K. Y.; Abbatt, J. P. D., High-resolution chemical ionization mass
- spectrometry (ToF-CIMS): application to study SOA composition and processing. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 2013, 6 (11), 3211-3224.
- 34. DeCarlo, P. F.; Kimmel, J. R.; Trimborn, A.; Northway, M. J.; Jayne, J. T.; Aiken, A.
 C.; Gonin, M.; Fuhrer, K.; Horvath, T.; Docherty, K. S., et al., Field-Deployable, HighResolution, Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, 78 (24), 8281-8289.
- 319 35. Canagaratna, M. R.; Jimenez, J. L.; Kroll, J. H.; Chen, Q.; Kessler, S. H.; Massoli, P.;
 320 Hildebrandt Ruiz, L.; Fortner, E.; Williams, L. R.; Wilson, K. R., et al., Elemental ratio
 321 measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry: characterization, improved
- 322 calibration, and implications. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *15* (1), 253-272.
 323 36. Bagnato, G.; Iulianelli, A.; Sanna, A.; Basile, A., Glycerol Production and Transformation:
 324 A Critical Review with Particular Emphasis on Glycerol Reforming Reaction for Producing
- 325 Hydrogen in Conventional and Membrane Reactors. *Membranes (Basel)* 2017, 7 (2), 17.
- 326 37. Clough, S. R., Glyceraldehyde. In *Encyclopedia of Toxicology (Third Edition)*, Wexler, P.,
 327 Ed. Academic Press: Oxford, 2014; pp 752-753.
- 328 38. Wernke, M. J., Glycerol. In *Encyclopedia of Toxicology (Third Edition)*, Wexler, P., Ed.
 329 Academic Press: Oxford, 2014; pp 754-756.
- 39. Middlebrook, A. M.; Bahreini, R.; Jimenez, J. L.; Canagaratna, M. R., Evaluation of
 Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer
 using Field Data. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 2012, *46* (3), 258-271.
- 40. Murphy, D. M., The design of single particle laser mass spectrometers. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews* 2007, *26* (2), 150-165.
- 335 41. Zelenyuk, A.; Imre, D.; Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A., Evaporation of Water from Particles in
 336 the Aerodynamic Lens Inlet: An Experimental Study. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, *78* (19), 6942337 6947.
- 42. Engelhart, G. J.; Hildebrandt, L.; Kostenidou, E.; Mihalopoulos, N.; Donahue, N. M.;
 Pandis, S. N., Water content of aged aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *11* (3), 911-920.
- 43. Heald, C. L.; Kroll, J. H.; Jimenez, J. L.; Docherty, K. S.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Aiken, A. C.;
- Chen, Q.; Martin, S. T.; Farmer, D. K.; Artaxo, P., A simplified description of the evolution of organic aerosol composition in the atmosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters* **2010**, *37* (8).
- 343 44. Ng, N. L.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Jimenez, J. L.; Chhabra, P. S.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Worsnop,
- D. R., Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra.
 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2011, *11* (13), 6465-6474.
- 346 45. Van Krevelen, D., Graphical-statistical method for the study of structure and reaction
 347 processes of coal. *Fuel* 1950, *29*, 269-284.
- 348 46. Kroll, J. H.; Donahue, N. M.; Jimenez, J. L.; Kessler, S. H.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Wilson,
- 349 K. R.; Altieri, K. E.; Mazzoleni, L. R.; Wozniak, A. S.; Bluhm, H., et al., Carbon oxidation state
- as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol. *Nature Chemistry* 2011,
 3(2), 133-139.

- 47. Price, D. J.; Day, D. A.; Pagonis, D.; Stark, H.; Algrim, L. B.; Handschy, A. V.; Liu,
 S.; Krechmer, J. E.; Miller, S. L.; Hunter, J. F., et al., Budgets of Organic Carbon Composition
 and Oxidation in Indoor Air. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2019, *53* (22), 13053-13063.
- 48. Destaillats, H.; Maddalena, R. L.; Singer, B. C.; Hodgson, A. T.; McKone, T. E., Indoor
 pollutants emitted by office equipment: A review of reported data and information needs. *Atmospheric Environment* 2008, 42 (7), 1371-1388.
- Tröstl, J.; Chuang, W. K.; Gordon, H.; Heinritzi, M.; Yan, C.; Molteni, U.; Ahlm, L.;
 Frege, C.; Bianchi, F.; Wagner, R., et al., The role of low-volatility organic compounds in initial
 particle growth in the atmosphere. *Nature* 2016, *533* (7604), 527-531.
- Jimenez, J. L.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Donahue, N. M.; Prevot, A. S. H.; Zhang, Q.; Kroll,
 J. H.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Allan, J. D.; Coe, H.; Ng, N. L., et al., Evolution of Organic Aerosols in
 the Atmosphere. *Science* 2009, *326* (5959), 1525-1529.
- 364 51. Alfarra, M. R.; Coe, H.; Allan, J. D.; Bower, K. N.; Boudries, H.; Canagaratna, M. R.;
- 365 Jimenez, J. L.; Jayne, J. T.; Garforth, A. A.; Li, S.-M., et al., Characterization of urban and rural
- organic particulate in the Lower Fraser Valley using two Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers.
 Atmospheric Environment 2004, *38* (34), 5745-5758.
- 52. Duplissy, J.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Dommen, J.; Alfarra, M. R.; Metzger, A.; Barmpadimos,
 I.; Prevot, A. S. H.; Weingartner, E.; Tritscher, T.; Gysel, M., et al., Relating hygroscopicity and
 composition of organic aerosol particulate matter. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *11* (3), 1155-1165.
- 371 53. Marcolli, C.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Worsnop, D. R.; Bahreini, R.; de Gouw, J. A.;
- Warneke, C.; Goldan, P. D.; Kuster, W. C.; Williams, E. J.; Lerner, B. M., et al., Cluster Analysis
- 373 of the Organic Peaks in Bulk Mass Spectra Obtained During the 2002 New England Air Quality
- 374 Study with an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2006, 6 (12), 5649-5666.
- 375 54. Aiken, A. C.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Jimenez, J. L., Elemental Analysis of Organic Species with
 376 Electron Ionization High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2007, 79 (21),
- **377** 8350-8358.
- 55. Pankow, J. F.; Asher, W. E., SIMPOL.1: a simple group contribution method for predicting
 vapor pressures and enthalpies of vaporization of multifunctional organic compounds. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 8 (10), 2773-2796.
- 56. Farmer, D. K.; Matsunaga, A.; Docherty, K. S.; Surratt, J. D.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Ziemann,
 P. J.; Jimenez, J. L., Response of an aerosol mass spectrometer to organonitrates and
 organosulfates and implications for atmospheric chemistry. *Proceedings of the National Academy*of Sciences 2010, 107 (15), 6670-6675.
- 57. Xu, L.; Suresh, S.; Guo, H.; Weber, R. J.; Ng, N. L., Aerosol characterization over the
 southeastern United States using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: spatial and seasonal
 variation of aerosol composition and sources with a focus on organic nitrates. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*2015, *15* (13), 7307-7336.
- 389 58. Ng, N. L.; Brown, S. S.; Archibald, A. T.; Atlas, E.; Cohen, R. C.; Crowley, J. N.; Day,
- D. A.; Donahue, N. M.; Fry, J. L.; Fuchs, H., et al., Nitrate radicals and biogenic volatile organic
 compounds: oxidation, mechanisms, and organic aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *17* (3), 21032162.
- 59. Boyd, C.; Sanchez, J.; Xu, L.; Eugene, A. J.; Nah, T.; Tuet, W.; Guzman, M. I.; Ng, N., Secondary organic aerosol formation from the β-pinene+ NO 3 system: effect of humidity and peroxy radical fate. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2015**, *15* (13), 7497-7522.
- Bruns, E. A.; Perraud, V. r.; Zelenyuk, A.; Ezell, M. J.; Johnson, S. N.; Yu, Y.; Imre,
- 397 D.; Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.; Alexander, M. L., Comparison of FTIR and particle mass spectrometry

- for the measurement of particulate organic nitrates. *Environmental science & technology* 2010, 44
 (3), 1056-1061.
- 400 61. Fry, J.; Kiendler-Scharr, A.; Rollins, A.; Wooldridge, P.; Brown, S.; Fuchs, H.; Dubé,
- 401 W.; Mensah, A.; Maso, M. d.; Tillmann, R., Organic nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yield
- 402 from NO 3 oxidation of β-pinene evaluated using a gas-phase kinetics/aerosol partitioning model.
 403 *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 2009, 9 (4), 1431-1449.
- 404 62. Chen, Y.; Xu, L.; Humphry, T.; Hettiyadura, A. P. S.; Ovadnevaite, J.; Huang, S.;
- 405 Poulain, L.; Schroder, J. C.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Jimenez, J. L., et al., Response of the Aerodyne
- 406 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer to Inorganic Sulfates and Organosulfur Compounds: Applications in
- Field and Laboratory Measurements. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2019, 53 (9), 51765186.
- 409 63. Mattila, J. M.; Arata, C.; Wang, C.; Katz, E. F.; Abeleira, A.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, S.;
 410 Goldstein, A. H.; Abbatt, J. P. D.; DeCarlo, P. F., et al., Dark Chemistry during Bleach Cleaning
- 411 Enhances Oxidation of Organics and Secondary Organic Aerosol Production Indoors.
- 412 Environmental Science & Technology Letters 2020, 7 (11), 795-801.
- 413 64. Mattila, J. M.; Lakey, P. S. J.; Shiraiwa, M.; Wang, C.; Abbatt, J. P. D.; Arata, C.;
- Goldstein, A. H.; Ampollini, L.; Katz, E. F.; DeCarlo, P. F., et al., Multiphase Chemistry Controls
 Inorganic Chlorinated and Nitrogenated Compounds in Indoor Air during Bleach Cleaning.
- 416 *Environmental Science & Technology* **2020**, *54* (3), 1730-1739.
- 417 65. Weschler, C. J., Changes in indoor pollutants since the 1950s. *Atmospheric Environment*418 2009, 43 (1), 153-169.
- 419 66. Singer, B. C.; Coleman, B. K.; Destaillats, H.; Hodgson, A. T.; Lunden, M. M.; Weschler,
- 420 C. J.; Nazaroff, W. W., Indoor secondary pollutants from cleaning product and air freshener use 421 in the presence of ozone. *Atmospheric Environment* **2006**, *40* (35), 6696-6710.
- 422 67. Anderson, J. O.; Thundiyil, J. G.; Stolbach, A., Clearing the Air: A Review of the Effects
- 423 of Particulate Matter Air Pollution on Human Health. *Journal of Medical Toxicology* 2012, 8 (2),
 424 166-175.
- 425 68. Li, N.; Xia, T.; Nel, A. E., The role of oxidative stress in ambient particulate matter426 induced lung diseases and its implications in the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles. *Free Radical*427 *Biology and Medicine* 2008, 44 (9), 1689-1699.
- 428 69. Gaschen, A.; Lang, D.; Kalberer, M.; Savi, M.; Geiser, T.; Gazdhar, A.; Lehr, C.-M.;
- 429 Bur, M.; Dommen, J.; Baltensperger, U., et al., Cellular Responses after Exposure of Lung Cell
- 430 Cultures to Secondary Organic Aerosol Particles. Environmental Science & Technology 2010, 44
- 431 (4), 1424-1430.
- Gonzalez-Rivera, J. C.; Baldridge, K. C.; Wang, D. S.; Patel, K.; Chuvalo-Abraham, J.
 C. L.; Hildebrandt Ruiz, L.; Contreras, L. M., Post-transcriptional air pollution oxidation to the
- 434 cholesterol biosynthesis pathway promotes pulmonary stress phenotypes. *Communications* 435 *Biology* 2020, 3 (1), 392.
- Fushimi, A.; Nakajima, D.; Furuyama, A.; Suzuki, G.; Ito, T.; Sato, K.; Fujitani, Y.;
 Kondo, Y.; Yoshino, A.; Ramasamy, S., et al., Source contributions to multiple toxic potentials
 of atmospheric organic aerosols. *Science of The Total Environment* 2021, 773, 145614.
- Tuet, W. Y.; Chen, Y.; Fok, S.; Gao, D.; Weber, R. J.; Champion, J. A.; Ng, N. L.,
 Chemical and cellular oxidant production induced by naphthalene secondary organic aerosol
- 441 (SOA): effect of redox-active metals and photochemical aging. *Scientific Reports* 2017, 7 (1),
- 442 15157.
- 443

444 Figures



Figure 1. HR-ToF-CIMS results showing (a) grey: office background mass spectrum / blue: mass spectrum during hydroxyl generator operation, (b) the mass spectrum difference between before and during the operation of hydroxyl generator, and (c) time evolution of selected species. The data are 10-min averaged data and are normalized by the maximum signal of each species. The hydroxyl generator was in operation from 2:30 pm to 4:00 pm (highlighted in yellow). Glyceraldehyde (m/z 217, C₃H₆O₃I⁻) is not included in the mass spectrum in (b) due to its rapid decay after the formation.



Figure 2. Time series of (a) particle number (CPC and SMPS) and volume concentrations (SMPS) and (b) nonrefractory species concentrations (HR-ToF-AMS). The mass fraction of different non-refractory species during the
hydroxyl generator operation is shown in the pie chart. The hydroxyl generator was in operation from 2:30 pm to 4:00
pm (highlighted in yellow).



Figure 3. HR-ToF-AMS results showing (a) organic mass spectra comparison between during device operation and
office background and (b) VK-triangle diagram of organics. The black lines encompass the triangular space occupied
by ambient SOA.⁴⁴ The carbon oxidation states (OS_C) are shown with grey dotted lines. The blue data points
correspond to office background and the red data points correspond to device operation.

