medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257896; this version posted May 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The indirect effect of mRNA-based Covid-19 vaccination on unvaccinated household members

Jussipekka Salo, Milla Hägg, Mika Kortelainen, Tuija Leino, Tanja Saxell, Markku Siikanen, Lauri Sääksvuori*

May 27, 2021

Abstract

This paper studies the direct and indirect effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines among vaccinated healthcare workers and their unvaccinated adult household members in a mass vaccine program in Finland.

Methods

We used national databases that record all polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections and mRNA-based (BNT162b2 by Pfizer-BioNTech or mRNA-1273 by Moderna) vaccine doses administered in Finland since the beginning of the epidemic. These data were merged with administrative full population datasets that include information on each person's occupation and unique identifiers for spouses living in the same household. To estimate the direct and indirect effectiveness of mRNA-based vaccines in a household setting, we compared the cumulative incidence of PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections between vaccinated and unvaccinated healthcare workers as well as between their unvaccinated spouses.

*Salo: Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki, VATT and Helsinki GSE, Arkadiankatu 7, 00101 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: jussipekka.salo@helsinki.fi. Hägg: Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki, VATT and Helsinki GSE, Arkadiankatu 7, P.O. Box 1279, 00101 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: milla.hagg@helsinki.fi. Kortelainen: Department of Economics, Turku School of Economics, InFLAMES Research Flagship Center, University of Turku, VATT and Helsinki GSE, Rehtorinpellonkatu 3, 20500 Turku, Finland. E-mail: mika.kortelainen@utu.fi. Leino: Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Infectious Disease Control and Vaccinations Unit, P.O. Box 30, FI-00271 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: tuija.leino@thl.fi. Saxell: VATT and Helsinki GSE, Arkadiankatu 7 P.O. Box 1279, 00101 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: tanja.saxell@gmail.com. Siikanen: VATT and Helsinki GSE, Arkadiankatu 7, P.O. Box 1279, 00101 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: markku.siikanen@vatt.fi. Sääksvuori: Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Centre for Health and Social Economics and University of Turku, INVEST Research Flagship Center, Assistentinkatu 7, 20014 University of Turku, Finland. E-mail: lauri.saaksvuori@thl.fi. Corresponding author: Lauri Sääksvuori (lauri.saaksvuori@thl.fi). This research was supported by the InFLAMES and INVEST Flagship Programmes of the Academy of Finland. Dr. Kortelainen declares grant to his employer, but no personal support or financial relationship, from Pfizer during the conduct of the study. Other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257896; this version posted May 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Findings

Our estimates imply indirect effectiveness of 8.7% (95% CI: -28.9 to 35.4) two weeks and 42.9% (95% CI: 22.3 to 58.1) 10 weeks after the first dose. The effectiveness estimates for unvaccinated household members are substantial, but smaller than the direct effect and occur more gradually among unvaccinated household members than among vaccinated individuals.

Interpretation

Our results suggest that mRNA-based vaccines do not only prevent SARS-CoV-2 infections among vaccinated individuals but lead to a substantial reduction in infections among unvaccinated household members. The results are consistent with the notion that mRNA-based vaccines affect susceptibility in vaccinated individuals and prevent transmission from vaccinated to unvaccinated individuals.

Governments around the world are hoping to lift Covid-19 restrictions as vaccination coverage increases. Simultaneously, many under-vaccinated countries and territories have yet to design and initiate their mass vaccination campaigns. Vaccines have been shown to be effective in reducing SARS-CoV-2 infections among vaccinated individuals both in clinical trials and in nationwide mass vaccination settings.[1–4] However, there is only little real-world descriptive evidence about the indirect effects of Covid-19 vaccinations.[5–8]

We studied the direct and indirect effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines in reducing the cumulative risk of infection among vaccinated healthcare workers and their unvaccinated adult household members in a mass vaccine program in Finland. We used national databases that record all polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections and mRNA-based (BNT162b2 by Pfizer-BioNTech or mRNA-1273 by Moderna) vaccine doses administered in Finland since the beginning of the epidemic. These data were merged with administrative full population datasets that include information on each person's occupation and unique identifiers for spouses living in the same household. To estimate the direct and indirect effectiveness of mRNA-based vaccines in a household setting, we compared the cumulative incidence of PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections between vaccinated and unvaccinated healthcare workers as well as between their unvaccinated spouses (see Supplementary Appendix Table A2 for the covariate balance between the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups). The estimates were adjusted for the state of the epidemic (calendar time fixed effects), age and sex.

Figure 1 shows the effectiveness estimates of mRNA-based vaccines for preventing Covid-19 infections among working-age healthcare workers and their spouses between the start of the mass vaccination

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257896; this version posted May 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

program (December 27, 2020) and March 24, 2021 in Finland. We report estimates by follow-up week after receiving the first dose. We included only persons who had not been infected before the event date. For unvaccinated individuals, we randomly assigned a placebo event date for vaccine administration during the observation period (see the Supplementary Appendix, Section D, for details). Using this novel approach, we ensure that the vaccine effectiveness estimates are not biased by the changing nature of the epidemic between the treatment and control groups during the follow-up period. Our results remain qualitatively unaltered if we follow all individuals in the control group from the beginning of the mass vaccination program (Supplementary Appendix, Figure A3). We used a log-binomial model as it allows direct estimation of vaccine effectiveness in terms of risk reduction.

Our estimates imply a gradual increase in direct effectiveness over time (Figure 1, Panel A): 26.8% (95% CI: 7.5 to 42.1) two weeks and 69.0% (95% CI: 59.2 to 76.3) 10 weeks after the first dose. These estimates are consistent with the results from previous clinical trials and observational studies. Our results document vaccine effectiveness in a real-world setting, in which it is difficult to separate the longer-term effects of first- and second-dose vaccinations. Over 40% of individuals included in our sample received their second dose four weeks after the first dose (Supplementary Appendix, Figure A1).

Our estimates imply indirect effectiveness of 8.7% (95% CI: -28.9 to 35.4) two weeks and 42.9% (95% CI: 22.3 to 58.1) 10 weeks after the first dose (Figure 1, Panel B). The effectiveness estimates for unvaccinated household members are substantial, but smaller than the direct effect and occur more gradually among unvaccinated household members than among vaccinated individuals.

Our results suggest that mRNA-based vaccines do not only prevent SARS-CoV-2 infections among vaccinated individuals but lead to a substantial reduction in infections among unvaccinated household members. The results are consistent with the notion that mRNA-based vaccines affect susceptibility in vaccinated individuals and prevent transmission from vaccinated to unvaccinated individuals.[9]

The present study is uniquely suited to evaluating the effect of mRNA-based Covid-19 vaccines on secondary transmission from vaccinated to unvaccinated household members. We were able to merge individual-level health records to full population data containing detailed information about individuals' occupations and household IDs, allowing us to estimate the indirect effects of vaccines in a large sample of couples with discordant vaccination status. Our study is limited by the fact that SARS-CoV-2 virus exposure may differ between vaccinated and unvaccinated healthcare workers as persons directly involved with Covid-19 patients are more likely to be vaccinated. Taken together, our result may provide support for designing effective vaccination strategies, societal control measures and travel recommendations for vaccinated individuals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257896; this version posted May 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



(a) Vaccine effectiveness on vaccinated individuals

(b) Vaccine effectiveness on unvaccinated spouse

Figure 1: Vaccine effectiveness on vaccinated individuals and unvaccinated spouses living in the same household

Notes: This figure plots the vaccine effectiveness estimates by week after the first dose of mRNA-based vaccination. The dependent variable is a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection as recorded in the Finnish National Infectious Diseases Register. Panel A shows our direct effectiveness estimates (relative risk reduction) for vaccinated individuals compared to the control group, which is constructed by randomly assigning a placebo vaccination date for non-vaccinated individuals. Panel B shows the effectiveness estimates (relative risk reduction) for the non-vaccinated spouses (including cohabiting partners) of vaccinated individuals who lived in the same household with the vaccinated person as of December, 31, 2019. See Supplementary Appendix for details on sample restrictions. Coefficients in both panels are estimated using individual-date data collapsed to individual-week data. To construct the relative risk reduction (RRR) estimates, we first run a log-binomial regression model using a vaccination status indicator variable (vaccinated vs. unvaccinated) by the event week (follow-up week after vaccine administration) and transform the obtained estimates into risk ratio coefficients. We then compute the relative risk reduction using the formula RRR = 1 - risk ratio. The solid black dots show relative risk reduction by week after receiving the first dose of mRNA-based vaccination. Error bars denote the 95% confidence intervals clustered at the individual level using endpoint transformation of originally estimated confidence intervals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257896; this version posted May 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine 2020;383. PMID: 33301246:2603–15.
2. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine 2021;384. PMID: 33378609:403–16.
3. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide mass vaccination setting. New England Journal of Medicine 2021;384:1412–23.
4. Pritchard E, Matthews P, Stoesser N, et al. Impact of vaccination on SARS-CoV-2 cases in the community: a population-based study using the UK's COVID-19 Infection Survey. 2021.
5. Shah V AS, Gribben C, Bishop J, et al. Effect of vaccination on transmission of COVID-19: an observational study in healthcare workers and their households. medRxiv 2021.
6. Harris R, Hall J, Zaidi A, Andrews N, Dunbar K, and Dabrera G. Impact of vaccination on household transmission of SARS-COV-2 in England. 2021.
7. Milman O, Yelin I, Aharony N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection risk among unvaccinated is negatively associated with community-level vaccination rates. medRxiv 2021.
8. Richterman A, Meyerowitz EA, and Cevik M. Indirect Protection by Reducing Transmission: Ending the Pandemic with SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination. Open Forum Infectious Diseases 2021. ofab259.
9. Levine-Tiefenbrun M, Yelin I, Katz R, et al. Initial report of decreased SARS-CoV-2 viral load after inoculation with the BNT162b2 vaccine. Nature Medicine 2021.