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Abstract

Introduction

Trigeminal neuralgia remains a challenging disease with significant debilitating symptoms and variable efficacy in terms of treatment options, namely microvascular decompression (MVD), stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), and percutaneous rhizotomy. Internal neurolysis (IN) is an alternative treatment that may be provide patient benefit but has limited understanding. We performed a systematic review of IN treatment of trigeminal neuralgia.

Methods

Studies from 2000 to 2021 that assessed IN in trigeminal neuralgia were aggregated and independently reviewed. Weighted averages for demographics, outcomes and complications were generated.

Results

A total of 520 patients in 12 studies were identified with 384 who underwent IN (mean age 53.8 years, range 46-61.4 years). A mean follow-up time of 36.5 months (range 12-90 months) was seen. Preoperative symptoms were present for about 55.0 months before treatment and pain was predominantly in V2/3 (26.8%) followed by other distributions. An excellent to good outcome (Barrow Neurological Institute Pain Score [BNI-PS] I-III) was seen in 83.7% of patients (range 72-93.8%). Pain outcomes at 1 year were excellent in 58-78.4%, good or better in 77-93.75% and fair or better in 80-93.75% of patients. On average facial numbness following IN was seen in 96% of patients.
however at follow-up remained in only 1.75-10%. The vast majority of remaining numbness was not significantly distressing to patients. Subgroup comparisons of IN vs. recurrent MVD, IN vs. radiofrequency ablation, the impact of IN during the absence of vascular compression as well as IN with and without MVD were also evaluated.

Conclusions

IN represents a promising approach for surgical treatment of trigeminal neuralgia in the absence of vascular compression or in potential cases of recurrence. Complications were limited in general. Further study is required to evaluate the impact of IN via higher quality prospective studies.
Introduction:

Trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is a pain syndrome characterized by recurrent episodes of lancinating facial pain. The first line therapy for treatment is medical management, but many patients require surgery due to refractory symptoms or intolerable side effects from medication.\textsuperscript{1,2} The mainstay surgical treatment of TN is microvascular decompression (MVD) when neurovascular compression (NVC) is found.\textsuperscript{2}

Although there is a strong association between NVC and TN, the pathophysiology is not completely understood.\textsuperscript{1} TN is known to occur and recur in the absence of NVC and many individuals with NVC do not manifest TN.\textsuperscript{3-5} One review by Lee \textit{et al.} found that 4-89\% of patients with TN do not have NVC, and in their own series found 28.8\% of TN type I and 18.4\% of TN type II patients had no NVC.\textsuperscript{3} Additionally, for MVDs there is a difference in outcome based on severity of NVC, and whether or not the compression is arterial or venous.\textsuperscript{2,5-11} Furthermore, although high resolution MRI/MRA are reliable tests in verifying NVC with a sensitivity of 96\% for TN type I + II and a specificity of 90\% for TN I and 66\% for TN II, there are still false positives with no NVC seen at time of surgery.\textsuperscript{3}

The subgroup of patients presenting with TN in the absence of NVC or with low grade arterial compression or venous compression are harder to manage. In these cases, MVD is either not possible or associated with a higher rate of treatment-failure, which prompts the need for other treatment options. Percutaneous radiofrequency rhizotomy (RF), glycerol rhizotomy, balloon compression, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), and partial sensory rhizotomy (PSR) have been the traditional second line surgical therapies for this patient population.\textsuperscript{1,12-22}
However, recently another surgical option, Internal Neurolysis (IN), has emerged as an attempt to provide long-term pain relief to those refractory patients. Internal Neurolysis, also known as “nerve-combing”, is the process of microsurgical parallel dissection of the cisternal portion of the trigeminal nerve into multiple nerve fascicles.\(^1\) Although the first reports seemed promising, the efficacy, durability, and complication pattern remain to be fully defined.\(^{23}\) This manuscript provides a systematic review of available literature on the efficacy of IN for treating patients with TN.

**Methods:**

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed for reporting our systematic review.\(^{24}\)

**Eligibility Criteria:**

We included studies reporting internal neurolysis of the trigeminal nerve as a surgical treatment for trigeminal neuralgia (Figure 1). Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Articles which analyzed outcomes of IN with or without a comparative group, 2) Articles had to report pain control outcome with at least one year follow-up, 3) Study designs could include randomized controlled trials, prospective, or retrospective cohort study, 4) Study in English language, and 5) Study published between 2000-2021. Series that did not differentiate the results of IN from other treatments were excluded. Studies were required to have at least 1 year of follow-up to assess the durability of IN. The search was constrained to the years 2000-2021 to ensure that collected data analyzed
would be recent and to also help prevent potentially outlier data related to evolving
surgical technique.

**Information Sources and Search Strategy:**

MEDLINE (PubMed), Scopus, and Cochrane databases were queried from
01/01/2000 to 4/8/2021. Ongoing studies were searched in the ClinicalTrials.gov registry,
the controlled-trials.com registry, and the Trials Central databases. References from the
included studies were screened for additional references. The search strategy included the
following terms as well as appropriate indexation term: “Trigeminal Neuralgia,
Neurolysis”, “Trigeminal Neuralgia, Internal Neurolysis”, and “Trigeminal Neuralgia,
Microvascular Decompression, Neurolysis”. There was no restriction on study design or
outcomes in the search strategy.

**Study Selection and data extraction:**

Three independent reviewers screened titles and abstract after accounting for
duplicates and eliminating non-English articles. Full text was retrieved for included
articles after primary screening. These articles as well as their citations were fully
reviewed for eligibility. If there were any discrepancy between any of the reviewers,
articles were included by consensus or with the help of a third reviewer.

The following data was searched for and extracted from the included articles:
Patient number, sex, TN type, treatment type, age, length of follow-up, pre-operative
symptom duration, TN facial distribution, previous surgical history, pain outcomes,
hypesthesia outcomes, rates of recurrence, complications, Barrow Neurological Institute
Pain Scale (BNI-PS) – a pain scoring system, Barrow Neurological Institute
Hypesthesia Scale (BNI-HS) – a hypesthesia scoring system, University of San Francisco Pain Score
(UCSF Pain Scale) – a pain scoring system, Numerical Pain Rating Scale – a basic
scoring system which can be used to assess different factors such as pain and quality of
life.

Outcomes measures

Primary outcomes were post-operative pain score as defined by the BNI-PS or
UCSF pain score. Outcome were stratified as either excellent (BNI-PS 1 or UCSF
excellent), good (BNI-PS I/II or UCSF excellent) or fair (BNI-PS: I-III and UCSF pain
score: excellent/good). Secondary outcomes included recurrence rate and complication.

Risk of Bias in Individual Studies:

Specific analysis of bias risk was not performed as these studies were all
retrospective, non-randomized trials without blinded assessment of outcomes. Where
relevant, missing data are reported in the summary tables. No specific method was used
to assess risk of bias in individual studies.

Summary Measures:

Weighted averages for patients who underwent IN were generated for continuous
variables, including patient demographics, outcomes, and complications, when available.
Averages for outcomes used the study definitions of a good outcome or otherwise
considered BNI-PS I-III (Excellent to Good) as a good outcome. The average rates of
complications, such as the number of patients reporting facial numbness, at the last known follow-up was noted. Subgroups of patients with only IN were also analyzed for outcomes and complications. For studies that did not report mean ages, the median age was used. Variable ranges are reported when available.

Results:

Patient Demographics

A total of 520 patients were included in the 12 studies,\textsuperscript{1,23,25-34} 384 (73.8%) of whom underwent IN (Table 1). Mean/median age for all studies was 53.8 years old (range 46-61.4 years) and mean/median follow-up time was 36.5 months (range 12-90 months). The pre-operative symptom duration was included in 8 studies and averaged 55.0 months (range 40.4 – 70.8 months). When given, the TN type as well as facial distributions were entered. The average TN distribution was most common for V2/3 (26.8%) followed by V1-2 (16.1%), V1-3 (16.1%), and V3 (15.6%). A total of 5 studies reported previously attempted surgical treatments in their patient populations.

Overall Pain Outcomes:

Overall excellent to good outcomes (BNI-PS I-III) were seen in 83.7% of patients (range 72-93.8%) (Table 2). Good outcomes did show a slight decrease over follow-up time. The immediate post-operative results were excellent in 85-94.6%, good or better in 96-100% and fair or better in 96-100% of patients. When looking the 1-year post-op pain
outcomes, the rates ranged as follows: excellent in 58-78.4%, good or better in 77-93.75% and fair or better in 80-93.75% of patients. The primary outcome for all studies irrespective of follow-up time was excellent in 47-82.1%, good or better in 62.5-87.1% and fair or better in 80-100% of patients. Several studies were likely underestimated outcomes since only a BNI PS I-II could be obtained.

Recurrence:

The 1-year recurrence rates of any pain including a transition of BNI-PS from I to II ranged from 3.92-42% and the overall rate was 3.6-50%. However, when considering only significant recurrence of pain, defined as BNI-PS I/II to III-V, the 1-year recurrence rates ranged from: 3.92-17%, and the overall recurrence rates ranged from: 3.6-25%.

IN Outcomes with NVC present:

Three studies included data on IN for patients with NVC. In Zhou et al.’s patient population, 58% of the patients were found on MRI to have a blood vessel near the REZ and showed an overall satisfactory result of 82% after IN as defined by the study. Additionally, Jie et. al compared the results of IN in patients with and without NVC and found that with over 4 years of follow-up, patients without NVC had a 19.6% higher rate of excellent outcome as well as overall lower rates of recurrence and poor outcomes on the UCSF Pain Score.27 Sabourin et al. showed good outcomes were achieved in 80% of patients with IN and NVC compared with 76% in patients with IN alone, which suggests a very limited difference between these two groups of patients.29
Re-Exploration MVD with and without IN:

Two studies specifically evaluated re-exploration MVD with and without IN for recurrent TN. Hussain et al. found that patients who underwent an IN (84.2%) had similar overall rates of a good outcome compared with re-exploration MVD (90.9%), but patients who underwent an IN had a 47.8% higher rate of BNI-PS I outcomes. Zhang et al. found that at 1 year, patients who had undergone a revision MVD and IN had a 14.8% higher rate of success as defined by the study compared to patients who underwent revision MVD alone.1,26,32

IN Outcomes in Relation to Previous Treatment(s) for TN:

There were 5 studies which reported a patient population with previous treatment including MVD, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) and radiofrequency (RF) ablation (Table 1). A range of 32-100% of patients underwent previous treatments. Ko et al. stratified patients with prior MVD and found that patients with a history of previous treatment did significantly worse than their counterparts.1 Patients with a history of previous treatment showed 40% success (BNI-PS I/II) at 1-year which became 40% good outcomes (BNI ≥III) at 2, 3, and 5 year follow-up. Patients without a history of previous treatment showed 94% success at 1-year, which was maintained at 2, 3, and 5 years of follow-up. The median time to recurrence for patients with a history of previous procedure was 8.7 months while for those without was 24.4 months.

IN compared to RF:
One study compared IN with RF and found a trend toward IN having higher satisfactory rates, lower recurrence rates, and lower poor outcomes when compared to RF. However, results were not statistically significant.34

Complications

The primary complication of IN is facial numbness (e.g., hypesthesia, hypoesthesia) (Table 3). Rates of facial numbness following IN were as high as 96% in the immediate post-operative period, but at long-term follow-up, numbness decreased to about 38.8% on average. However, studies varied significantly on follow-up length and when complications occurred. In addition, long-term numbness was often mild and non-distressing in the majority of studies with only 1.75-10% seen in selected studies that fully reported complications at last follow-up. As IN is a technique involving direct manipulation of the trigeminal nerve, other important complications to consider are corneal hypesthesia, corneal ulcer, loss of corneal reflex, and anesthesia dolorosa. The overall rate of corneal hypesthesia and ulcer within the review was 1.2%, and 1 case of anesthesia dolorosa was reported (0.31%). There were several other surgical complications mentioned in the articles reviewed including, facial nerve dysfunction, CSF leak, and meningitis, however these complications were related more to the surgical approach.

Discussion
Our systematic review is the first one to address the surgical result of posterior fossa exploration with IN of the trigeminal nerve for trigeminal neuralgia. Our results shows that the overall excellent to good outcomes (BNI-PS I-III) were seen on average in 83.7% of patients who underwent IN. Recurrence rates for clinically significant changes in pain (BNI-PS I/II to III-V) ranged from 3.6-25%. Several studies showed improved BNI-PS I outcomes after IN compared with re-exploration MVD alone and patients without any history of prior TN surgical treatment seemed to fare better. Some, but not all studies, showed that patients with re-exploration MVD had better results if an IN was performed. However, heterogeneity between studies did not allow for clear answers regarding the concomitant role of MVD and IN, as well as role of IN alone in recurrent disease. The consequences of trigeminal nerve manipulation was high rates of post-operative facial hypesthesia seen in up to 96% of patients in some studies. Numbness was seen on average in 38.8% of patients after varying lengths of follow-up. Only 1.75-10% of patients still had noticeable facial numbness at last follow-up. The major complication of corneal ulceration or anesthesia dolorosa were rare with each seen in single cases.

**IN versus MVD outcomes**

MVD for type I TN remains a durable surgical treatment option and comparison of IN outcomes are limited. IN is considered in cases without NVC on imaging or direct surgical observation. Excellent results (pain free) with initial MVD alone can be seen in 82% of patients immediately postoperatively, 75% at 1 year, and 64% at 10 years.² The pain control rates of IN, although good, are not as robust as those seen with MVD. Overall good outcomes were seen in 83.7% of cases with a mean follow-up of 36.5
months. The results of this study suggest varying follow-up length of available studies confounds the definitive comparison of outcomes with MVD vs. MVD with IN. Sindou et al. showed that at 1 and 15 years respectively, BNI-PS I cure rates considering NVC grade were 96.6% and 88.1% (Grade III: adhesion), 90.2% and 78.3% (Grade II: Touching & Indentation), and 83.3% and 58.3% (Grade I: Touching), respectively. Venous compression also appears to have decreased pain control rates, showing decreased BNI-PS I rates of 8.1% and 14.3% at 1 and 15 years compared to arterial compression, respectively. These longer follow-up lengths are simply not available for IN and especially for first-time IN treatments.

Results after MVD for Grade III compression appear superior to IN. But MVD in lower grade NVC or venous compression appear comparable to results seen for IN. Two studies reported IN on patients with NVC, however only one stratified the results and the severity of the NVC was not specified. Despite the fact that most patients undergoing an IN have no NVC, the potential for adding IN in milder NVC cases with recurrent pain may be a promising option for patients.

Another indication of IN is for recurrent pain after MVD. Zhang et al. reported 1-year success rates of 93.75% after revision MVD with IN. Hussain et al. suggested similar good outcomes between revision MVD and IN at last follow-up (90.9% vs. 84.2%). Rates of excellent results after revision MVD without IN appear to be 50-60%, 40-50% and around 42%, at 1-, 5-, and 10-years, respectively. This shows that re-exploration with IN should be considered for pain recurrence after MVD. Whether this strategy is better that radiosurgery or percutaneous rhizotomy remained to be determined.
IN vs radiosurgery

A systematic review of SRS for TN showed that average rates of initial pain freedom with or without medications after a latency period were: GKS: 84.8%, LINAC: 87.3%, and Cyberknife: 79% without any significant difference between the radiation modalities. Average rates of pain freedom after SRS without medication use were: GKS: 53.1%, LINAC: 49.3%, and Cyberknife: 56.3%, again without any significant difference between treatment modalities. The review also found 2 studies reporting rates of pain freedom without medication at 10 years were 30% and 45.3% and that previous surgery was a negative predictor of pain relief after SRS. Within the limits of the data for IN which exists, IN appears to have a higher success rate when compared to SRS in short-term follow-up and remains to be seen for long-term follow-up.

IN versus Percutaneous Procedures

Many retrospective cohort studies have described the success rates of the different percutaneous procedures. Success usually ranges between 90-97% pain relief in the immediate post-operative period, but with recurrence rate as high as 75% at long-term follow-up. When comparing the different techniques, RF appears to provide the best pain relief among the percutaneous procedures, but also showed a potentially higher complication profile. One review found a trend of RF showing a higher rates of anesthesia dolorosa as a complication compared to glycerol rhizotomy or balloon compression.

One study in our systematic review compared the results of RF versus IN. Zhou et al. found that with an average follow-up of 90 months, there was a trend towards IN
producing higher rates of satisfactory results as well as lower recurrence rates and lower poor outcomes as defined by the study. However, because of a significantly higher complication rate seen with IN compared to RF and a non-significant difference in treatment outcomes, the authors concluded that RF was the preferred procedure. The higher complications seen in the IN group were facial dysesthesia in 16% of patients and facial nerve injury in 14% of patients. This rate of facial nerve injury with posterior fossa exploration is higher than most published studies that usually range between 0.5-3%. Furthermore, in our systematic review, the rate of facial dysesthesia was around 4%. When considering the results of these different studies, IN appears to be as effective and potentially more effective than percutaneous procedures. It seems particularly indicated in patients without NVC and any prior TN treatment.

**IN versus PSR**

PSR has been used as a surgical option to treat TN prior to MVD. It is an alternative to MVD with absent intraoperative NVC, cases with venous compression, and during revision surgery for failed prior MVD. One series that used these criteria for PSR found excellent, good, and poor results in 48%, 22%, and 30% of patients, respectively. In their series, 42% of patients had a prior treatment for TN, 76% had no NVC intraoperatively and 23% were found to have NVC and underwent either isolated PSR or PSR with MVD. Results for patients with no prior posterior fossa surgery were excellent in 64% at 1 year and excellent in 55-60% at 5 years. On the other hand, patients with prior posterior fossa surgery showed worsened results – excellent in 38% at 1 month and 1 year, as well as excellent in 10-15% at 5 years.
As for IN, patients with previous surgery fared worse with PSR. However, the overall excellent result of 48% for PSR at 5 years fell within the lower range seen for IN and the outcome of 55-60% excellent results after PSR in patients without previous surgery is much lower than the 94% excellent results seen with IN in patients without previous treatment.1

Complications

The complications found in this systematic review were comparable to those found in other treatments for TN except for facial numbness. It is an expected complication of IN that increased manipulation of the trigeminal nerve results in increased numbness. The results here suggested significant recovery of numbness over time in the IN patient population, from 96% of patients at post-op to 1-10% at last follow-up. Furthermore, these studies suggested that painful numbness was fairly low. Further studies are warranted to better define the post-op incidence, the distribution, and the recovery of the facial hypesthesia. The relation between post-operative facial numbness and long-term pain relief needs to be further established. Major complications related to the trigeminal nerve dissection, including corneal ulceration and anesthesia dolorosa, have only been rarely reported in the included studies. The rest of the complication profile appears similar to MVD.

Limitations

Although it is the first systematic review on the use of trigeminal nerve IN, there are several limitations. All studies included in the review have a retrospective design.
unblinded assessment of outcomes and were thus subject to a high risk of bias. There was also a high degree of heterogeneity in the patient populations within the different studies, which may create variability in the pain relief outcomes. Additionally, the follow-up times for studies in this review remain relatively short in comparison to published studies on other treatment modalities for TN, which also makes it difficult to compare results.

Conclusions

IN for TN may be effective in providing pain relief for patients. The results of our review suggest that IN might be as effective as MVD in patients with low-grade NVC. Furthermore, in patients with no NVC or for recurrence after MVD, IN seems to provide at least similar if not better short-term outcomes than the other surgical options. These patient populations are notably harder to treat with higher TN recurrence rates. IN is another treatment option that might allow better long-term pain relief than SRS or percutaneous treatment options. The tradeoff appears to be an increased in the immediate facial hypoesthesia which seems to improve in the majority of patients. Future studies, ideally with prospective designs, randomized trials and registries are required to better define the long-term pain relief, ideal patient population, and complication profile of IN.
Figure captions

Figure 1: PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Patients (N)</th>
<th>Mean/ Median Age (Years)</th>
<th>Gender (%) (Female)</th>
<th>Mean/ Median Follow up (months)</th>
<th>Pre-Op Symptom Duration (months)</th>
<th>TN Type</th>
<th>TN Distribution</th>
<th>Surgical/Procedural History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sabourin 2020</td>
<td>MVD+IN</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>23 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Type I: 79%</td>
<td>0% 15.8% 21.1% 10.5% 47.4% 5.3%</td>
<td>32% (MVD, SRS, RF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>15 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Type II: 21%</td>
<td>0% 15.4% 30.8% 30.8% 23.1% 0%</td>
<td>54% (MVD, SRS, RF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2020</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>63.6 mo</td>
<td>Type I: 100%</td>
<td>- 42.9% 28.6% 4.8% 23.8% 25%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnford 2020</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>38 mo</td>
<td>69 mo</td>
<td>Type I: 75%</td>
<td>25% 12.5% 25% 12.5% 25%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2018</td>
<td>IN w/ TCR</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50.12</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>36.2 mo</td>
<td>47.8 mo</td>
<td>Type I: 100%</td>
<td>- 29.6% 18.5% 51.9% 25%</td>
<td>44.4% (MVD, RF, SRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IN w/o TCR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain 2018</td>
<td>R-MVD</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>36 mo (median pain-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MVD - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>improvement period)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang 2017</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50.19</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>29.5 mo</td>
<td>40.4 mo</td>
<td>Type I: 100%</td>
<td>2.7% 10.8% 8.1% 16.2% 43.2% 10.8% 8.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao 2017</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>≥ 48 mo</td>
<td>41.2 mo</td>
<td>Type I: 100%</td>
<td>27% 13.3% 20% 33% 6.7%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2017</td>
<td>R-MVD</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.7% 9.7% 13% 22.5% 29%</td>
<td>- 16.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-MVD + IN</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6% 12% 10% 23% 23% 26%</td>
<td>MVD - 100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou 2016</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>90 mo</td>
<td>67.2 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4% 12% 16% 16% 24% 28%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RF</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>70.8 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.1% 18.2% 20% 9.1% 25.4% 18.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko 2015</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>39.1 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Type I: 100%</td>
<td>3.8% 7.7% 15.4% 7.7% 30.8% 34.6% 38.5% (MVD, SRS, RF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jie 2013</td>
<td>IN w/o NVC</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>52 mo</td>
<td>52 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.6% 7.1% 21.4% 25% 28.6% 14.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IN w/ NVC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>56 mo</td>
<td>50 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.3% 25% 15.6% 37.5% 15.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma 2009</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>36 mo</td>
<td>45 mo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10% 20% 40% 10%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHTED AVERAGES FOR IN CASES</td>
<td></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>36.5 mo</td>
<td>55.0 mo</td>
<td>3.7% 13.3% 15.6% 16.1% 26.8% 4.4% 16.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Legend: (-) is entered into a field when data is not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN - Trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF - Percutaneous radiofrequency thermocoagulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R - Re-exploration/revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR - Trigeminocardiac reflex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS - Stereotactic Radiosurgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD - Microvascular decompression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN - Internal neurolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVC - Neurovascular compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Outcome Metrics</th>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Overall Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sabourin 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study comparing patients who underwent IN with or without MVD</td>
<td>BNI-PS and BNI-HS</td>
<td>IN+MVD</td>
<td>For BNI-PS: patients with IN+MVD showed no pain (58%), excellent (11%), successful (11%), adequate (22%), and poor (0%) outcomes at last followup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study evaluating IN in type 1 TN. Quantitative diffusion MRI evaluated response to IN.</td>
<td>BNI-PS</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>At 1-year followup, 52.4% showed excellent outcome, 23.8% showed good outcome, 14.3% showed fair outcome, and 0.9% showed poor outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnford 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study evaluating IN in patients with no NVC</td>
<td>BNI-PS and BPI-facial</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Study found that 85.2% of patients who had an IN developed a TCR intra-operatively. With a median follow-up of 36.2 months, overall outcomes showed excellent: 67.7%, good: 19.4%, and fair: 12.9%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2018</td>
<td>Retrospective study evaluating outcomes of IN and its relationship to the TCR. IN was performed in patients without NVC seen intraoperatively, TCR was defined as any change in heart rate or mean arterial pressure of at least 20% due to direct manipulation of the trigeminal nerve.</td>
<td>BNI-PS and BNI-HS</td>
<td>IN w/ TCR</td>
<td>Atrophy of the trigeminal nerve was found as a significant risk factor for developing TCR due to IN (p &lt; 0.05). There was no significant difference found in BNI-PS between TCR and non-TCR groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain 2018</td>
<td>Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of re-exploration MVD for recurrent TN. IN was performed for patients without NVC seen intraoperatively. Preoperatively, all patients were a BNI-PS: IV-V.</td>
<td>BNI-PS</td>
<td>R-MVD</td>
<td>With a median pain improvement period of 36 months, the IN subgroup showed BNI-PS I: 63.6%, BNI-PS II: 27.3%, and BNI-PS IV: 9.1%. A good outcome: 90.9% and poor outcome: 9%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang 2017</td>
<td>Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of IN for patients with TN and no NVC. Preoperatively, all patients were a BNI-PS: IV-V.</td>
<td>BNI-PS</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>With an average follow-up: 29.5 months, BNI-PS I: 64.9%, BNI-PS II: 13.5%, BNI-PS III: 11.5%, BNI-PS IV: 11.1%, and BNI-PS V: 1.5%. Pain recurred in 13.5% of patients at 1-year; patients with BNI-PS: III were adequately controlled with medication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recurrence was defined as transitioning from BNI-PS: I or II → III - V 10.8%, BNI-PS IV: 5.4%, BNI-PS V: 5.4%. There was an overall recurrence of 21.6%, however all BNI-PS: III patients were adequately controlled with medication. Overall average Numerical Pain Rating Scale: 1.49 and the Quality of Life score: 82.54.

Zhao 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of IN for patients with TN and no NVC. Excellent recovery: pain disappeared immediately after surgery, patient not on any medication Good recovery: pain disappeared late post-operatively and the use of medication is needed Partial recovery: recovery rate of pain is 75% with or without drug use Poor result: recovery rate of pain is 25% or there is no recovery of pain. With a minimum follow-up of 48 months, excellent outcome: 73.3%, good outcome: 13.3%, partial recovery: 6.7%, poor result: 6.7%.

Zhao 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of IN for patients with TN and no NVC. Excellent recovery: pain disappeared immediately after surgery, patient not on any medication Good recovery: pain disappeared late post-operatively and the use of medication is needed Partial recovery: recovery rate of pain is 75% with or without drug use Poor result: recovery rate of pain is 25% or there is no recovery of pain. With a minimum follow-up of 48 months, excellent outcome: 73.3%, good outcome: 13.3%, partial recovery: 6.7%, poor result: 6.7%.

Zhao 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of IN for patients with TN and no NVC. Excellent recovery: pain disappeared immediately after surgery, patient not on any medication Good recovery: pain disappeared late post-operatively and the use of medication is needed Partial recovery: recovery rate of pain is 75% with or without drug use Poor result: recovery rate of pain is 25% or there is no recovery of pain. With a minimum follow-up of 48 months, excellent outcome: 73.3%, good outcome: 13.3%, partial recovery: 6.7%, poor result: 6.7%.

Zhao 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of IN for patients with TN and no NVC. Excellent recovery: pain disappeared immediately after surgery, patient not on any medication Good recovery: pain disappeared late post-operatively and the use of medication is needed Partial recovery: recovery rate of pain is 75% with or without drug use Poor result: recovery rate of pain is 25% or there is no recovery of pain. With a minimum follow-up of 48 months, excellent outcome: 73.3%, good outcome: 13.3%, partial recovery: 6.7%, poor result: 6.7%.

Zhang 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of R-MVD versus R-MVD and IN for patients who failed prior MVD for TN. When NVC was found intraoperatively, an MVD was performed. If no NVC was found, the previously inserted teflon would be replaced and an IN performed. At 1 day and 1-year postoperatively, R-MVD showed success rates: 80.65% and 78.08% respectively. At 1 day and 1-year postoperatively, R-MVD and IN showed success rates: 97.67% and 93.75% respectively. Despite defining recurrence, it is not reported in the study. Extrapolation leads to a recurrence rate of 1.7% for R-MVD and 3.92% for R-MVD + IN but these numbers may be underestimated as excellent response → good response is unknown.

Zhang 2017 Retrospective study analyzing outcomes of R-MVD versus R-MVD and IN for patients who failed prior MVD for TN. When NVC was found intraoperatively, an MVD was performed. If no NVC was found, the previously inserted teflon would be replaced and an IN performed. At 1 day and 1-year postoperatively, R-MVD showed success rates: 80.65% and 78.08% respectively. At 1 day and 1-year postoperatively, R-MVD and IN showed success rates: 97.67% and 93.75% respectively. Despite defining recurrence, it is not reported in the study. Extrapolation leads to a recurrence rate of 1.7% for R-MVD and 3.92% for R-MVD + IN but these numbers may be underestimated as excellent response → good response is unknown.

Zhou 2016 Retrospective analysis looking at IN versus RF for patients with TN, with 58% of patients found on MRI to have blood vessels near the root entry zone. University of San Francisco (UCSF) Pain Score Excellent: complete pain relief without medication Good: pain free on medication or mild pain not requiring medication Pain Free Recurrence (PFR): no pain for at least 1 month followed by recurrence of pain Poor: minimal or no pain relief. Modified UCSF pain score to create a satisfactory category which combined excellent and good categories. With a mean follow-up of 90 months, IN satisfactory: 82%, IN PFR: 10%, and IN poor outcome: 8%. RF satisfactory: 76.4%, RF PFR: 14.5%, and RF poor outcome: 9.1%. There was no statistically significant difference between the outcomes of IN and RF.

Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%
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Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%

Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%

Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%

Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%

Ko 2015 Retrospective analysis of patients with TN and no NVC who underwent IN. BNI-PS Defined BNI-PS: I – II: success. Recurrence was measured as significant or any recurrence where significant recurrence was measured as BNI-PS: I/II → ≥ III (success → failure) and any recurrence was significant recurrence or BNI-PS: I → II Immediate post-operative results showed BNI-PS: I: 85% and success: 96%. Overall BNI-PS: I at 1 year: 58%, 2 years: 52%, and 5 years: 47%. Overall long-term outcomes showed success: 77% at 1 year, 72% at 2 years, and 72% at 5 years. Based on Kaplan Meier plot, overall BNI-PS: I-III at 1-year and 5-years: ≈ 80%
Patients with a history of previous treatment had a significantly worse (p=0.006) median recurrence time than their counterparts; 8.7 versus 24.4 months. Previously treated patients had success: 40% at 1 year, which became good: 40% at 2, 3, and 5-year follow-up.

Significant pain recurrence was 17% and any pain recurrence was 42% at 1 year respectively; both rates then continued at a rate of approximately 2% per year equating about a 25% and 50% recurrence for significant and any pain respectively at 5 years.

Table Legend: ( - ) is entered into a field when data is not available

BNI-PS – Barrow Neurological Institute Pain Score
BNI-HS – Barrow Neurological Institute Hypesthesia Score
BPI-facial – Brief Pain Inventory Facial Score
IN – Internal Neurolysis

TCR – Trigeminocardiac reflex
R-MVD – Revision MVD
RF – Radiofrequency Rhizotomy
MVD – Microvascular decompression
PFPS – Penn Facial Pain Scale
NVC – Neurovascular compression

| Jie 2013 | Retrospective analysis of patients with TN with or without NVC who were treated with IN. | University of San Francisco (UCSF) Pain Score See Zhou et al. | IN w/o NVC | With an average follow-up of 52 months, patients who had no NVC had excellent outcome: 82.1%, good outcome: 7.1%, PFR: 3.6%, and poor outcome: 3.6% after IN. |
| Ma 2009 | Retrospective analysis of patients with TN without NVC at the REZ and underwent IN. | University of San Francisco (UCSF) Pain Score See Zhou et al. | IN w/ NVC | With an average follow-up of 56 months, patients who had NVC at the REZ had excellent outcome: 62.5%, good outcome: 25%, PFR: 6.25%, and poor outcome: 6.25% after IN. |

With a follow up of 3 years, outcomes showed excellent: 70%, good: 10%, PFR: 10%, poor: 10%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sabourin 2020</td>
<td>MVD + IN</td>
<td>For BNI-HS: patients with IN+MVD showed no numbness (21%), mild numbness (74%), some numbness (5%), and bothersome numbness (0%) at last followup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2020</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>For BNI-HS: patients with IN showed no numbness (39%), mild numbness (42%), some numbness (0%), and bothersome numbness (0%) at last followup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnford 2020</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>12.5% of patient showed an absent corneal reflex postoperatively which recovered at 3 months. All patients reported postoperative facial numbness but only 50% of patients showed some facial numbness at last followup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2018</td>
<td>IN w/ TCR</td>
<td>88.9% of patients had hypesthesia post-operatively. Long term rates of hypesthesia are not reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain 2018</td>
<td>R-MVD</td>
<td>Hypesthesia rate was not reported, and there were no significant complications which occurred in the patient group. Authors' also state that rates of CSF leak and wound complications were comparable to patients undergoing first-time MVD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang 2017</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>91.9% of patients experienced facial numbness post-operatively with 8.8% of patients developing corneal hypesthesia. Of the patients who developed numbness, 26.5% of the patients had their numbness resolve within 6 months. The other 73.5% of patients with numbness had it persist for &gt; 6 months. No other long-term rates of hypesthesia or other significant complications were reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao 2017</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>20% of patients experienced facial numbness, all of which resolved after 4 months. 1 patient had loss of corneal reflex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2017</td>
<td>R-MVD</td>
<td>There was no significant difference in the rates of facial numbness between the R-MVD and R-MVD+IN groups, however there was a trend towards the R-MVD + IN group to have higher rates of numbness at all recorded time points. At 1-day post-op, the rate of hypesthesia in the R-MVD group was 48.39% and 60.47% in the R-MVD + IN group. At 1-year, the rate of hypesthesia decreased in the R-MVD group to 1.75% and 3.75% in the R-MVD + IN group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou 2016</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Significant differences in the rates of facial dysesthesias was seen between IN (16%) and RF (3.6%), as well as rates of facial nerve lesions (14% in IN and 1.8% in RF). All other complications seen were not significantly different between IN and RF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko 2015</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>96% of patients experienced facial numbness immediately post-operatively and the authors did not report numbness rates at last follow-up. Five patients (22%) who underwent IN had dysesthesias post-operatively, 4/5 of these patients had pain in the same distribution pre-operatively, 1/5 of these patients had a clear new case of anesthesia dolorosa after IN post operatively, and all five of these patients had previous treatments. The only other post-operative complication noted was CSF leak in 1 patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jie 2013</td>
<td>IN w/o NVC</td>
<td>17.9% of patients in the IN w/o NVC and 12.5% of patients in the IN w/ NVC groups reported facial numbness. Other complications in the study included EOM palsy, CSF leak, transient hearing loss, and meningitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma 2009</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>90% of patients experienced facial numbness post-operatively which completely resolved in 89 patients by 6 months. 1 patient experienced permanent facial numbness which was still present 36 months out from surgery. No patients experienced motor dysfunction, loss of corneal reflex, or any other significant complications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Legend:  
IN – Internal Neurolysis  
TCR – Trigeminocardiac reflex  
R-MVD – Revision MVD  
IN w/ TCR – Internal Neurolysis with Trigeminocardiac reflex  
IN w/o TCR – Internal Neurolysis without Trigeminocardiac reflex  
IN w/ NVC – Internal Neurolysis with Neurovascular compression  
IN w/o NVC – Internal Neurolysis without Neurovascular compression  
EOM – Extraocular muscle  
CSF – Cerebrospinal fluid  
RF – Radiofrequency rhizotomy  
NVC – Neurovascular compression