The association between WASH, nutrition, and early childhood growth faltering in rural Cambodia: a cross-sectional risk factor analysis

Amanda Lai[1], Irene Velez[2], Ramya Ambikapathi[3], Krisna Seng[2], Oliver Cumming[4], Joe Brown[5]

[1] School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
[2] MSI, A Tetra Tech Company, Arlington, Virginia, USA
[3] Department of Public Health, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
[4] Department of Disease Control, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK
[5] Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

Abstract

Background

Inadequate nutrition in early life and exposure to sanitation-related enteric pathogens have been linked to poor growth outcomes in children. Despite rapid development in Cambodia, high prevalence of growth faltering and stunting persist among children. This study aimed to assess nutrition and WASH variables and their association with nutritional status of children under 24 months in rural Cambodia.

Methods

We conducted surveys in 491 villages across 55 rural communes in Cambodia in September 2016 to measure associations between child, household, and community-level risk factors for stunting and length-for-age z-score (LAZ). A primary survey measured child-level variables, including anthropometric measures and risk factors for growth faltering and stunting, for 4,036 children under 24 months of age from 3,877 households (approximately 8 households per village). A secondary survey of 5,341 households, including the same households from the primary survey and an additional 1,464 households (approximately 3 additional household per village) from the same villages, assessed village-level WASH variables to understand community water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) conditions that may influence child growth outcomes. For LAZ, we calculated bivariate and adjusted associations (as mean differences) with 95% confidence intervals using generalized estimating equations (GEEs) to fit linear regression models with robust standard errors. For stunting, we calculated unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios (PRs) with 95% confidence intervals using GEEs to fit Poisson regression models with robust standard errors. For all models assessing effects of household-level variables, we used GEEs to account for clustering at the village level.

Findings

After adjustment for potential confounders, presence of water and soap at a household's handwashing station was found to be significantly associated ($p<0.05$) with increased LAZ (adjusted mean difference in LAZ +0.10, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.16), and household use of an improved drinking water source was associated with less stunting in children compared to households that did not use an improved source of drinking water (aPR 0.81, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.98); breastfeeding and community-level access to an improved drinking water source were associated with a lower LAZ score (-0.16, 95% CI -0.27 to -0.05; -0.13, 95% CI -0.26 to 0.00). No other nutrition (i.e., dietary diversity, meal frequency) or sanitation variables (i.e.,

household's safe disposal of child stools, household-level sanitation, community-level sanitation) were measured to be associated with LAZ scores or stunting in children under 24 months of age.

Funding

United States Agency for International Development. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Introduction

Childhood growth faltering has been directly linked with adverse outcomes later in life[1], including poorer school achievement, diminished intellectual functioning, reduced earnings, and lower birthweight for infants born to women who are stunted[2,3], with the classification of "stunted" defined as having a length-for-age Z-score less than -2 from 2006 WHO International Reference Standard[4] and "severely stunted" as having a z-score less than -3. Inadequate nutrition has been implicated as a key driver of undernutrition. Interventions that aim to improve child linear growth are typically targeted for children between 6-24 months of age, which is the period critical for cognitive growth and after which is much more difficult to reverse the effects on stunting[5]. On measuring growth outcomes, there is evidence that growth failure at a very young age, specifically under 12 months, is strongly linked to shorter adult stature[6]. Since growth faltering in children is thought to be primarily attributable to inadequate nutrition, many studies have focused on improving infant and child nutrition to achieve better growth[7–9]. However, nutrition behaviors that aim to ensure adequate dietary intake alone have not been successful in eliminating stunting altogether[7], suggesting the need for additional complementary behaviors that might act synergistically to accelerate progress in countering undernutrition[10]. Enteric infections in early childhood have been shown to impact child growth[11], primarily via environmental enteric dysfunction[12,13]. Interventions to reduce pathogen exposure, including safe water, effective sanitation, and hygiene (WASH), may therefore play a role in supporting child growth outcomes. These interventions can be directed at both household and community level.

Southeast Asia has seen major reductions in childhood stunting in the last two decades[14]. The prevalence of stunting remains high in Cambodia, however. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) data from 2014 reported as many as 33% (95% CI 32-34%) of children under five years are stunted and 9% (95% CI 8.7-10%) are severely stunted, defined as having a length-for-age Z-score less than 2 and 3 standard deviations from the ; rural populations in Cambodia experience poorer growth outcomes with 36% (95% CI 34-37%) of children under five years stunted and 11% (95% CI 9.5-12%) of children severely stunted[15]. Stunting has been found to be more prevalent among children in rural settings compared to children in urban settings[16,17], although there is also evidence that poverty – also more prevalent in rural areas – is strongly associated with undernutrition and its risk factors[16].

The evidence base for sanitation improvements in rural households alone to improve child health is mixed[8,9,18–20]. Increasing sanitation coverage may provide "herd protection" – by reaching a level of sanitation coverage that effectively contains waste to reduce overall exposure to enteric pathogens in a community – and could support improved growth outcomes in children[21–24]. A recent study in Cambodia found community-level open defecation to be associated with decreased length-for-age[25]. Another study of CDHS data (2000-2010) examined risk factors for poor growth outcomes and found a reduction of stunting attributable access to any household

sanitation (flush facilities, pit latrines, or composting toilets[26]. Because integrated nutrition and rural sanitation programming are widely being considered as interventions to reduce undernutrition in rural development initiatives [8,9,20], this study aims to provide a broad examination of risk factors for undernutrition that focus on child nutritional practices and specific household and community-scale WASH measures common in rural Cambodia, with a focus on children under 24 months of age.

Methods

Study and survey design

We measured associations between key WASH and nutrition practices on child linear growth in rural households and villages in three provinces of Cambodia. We conducted this cross-sectional study in 491 villages spanning 55 rural communes of Pursat, Siem Reap, and Battambang provinces in September 2016. Each survey was completed in approximately 30 minutes, and all surveys were completed within a five-week period. Communes were eligible if two key criteria were met: at least 30% of the population lived below the poverty line according to the 2011 Cambodia Ministry of Planning's Commune Database; and latrine subsidies were not then in place, associated with potential short-term changes in sanitation coverage and access.

We estimated sample size to allow for hypothesis testing in future intervention studies. Using a baseline mean LAZ of -1.64 with a standard deviation of 1.29 from the 2014 CDHS dataset[15], we estimated this study had 80% power (beta) to detect an MDES of 0.18 in LAZ at 95% significance (alpha=0.05)[8,18,20]. We used an intra-cluster coefficient of 0.01 using the Cambodia Helping Address Rural Vulnerabilities and Ecosystem Stability (HARVEST) dataset. Complete sample size calculations are given in Supplemental Information S1.

All surveys were communicated in the Khmer language, spoken as a first language by 100% of residents in the study area[15]. For each of the 491 villages, 8 households with at least one child under 24 months were randomly selected for the primary household survey to assess the eligible children's diet and nutritional status. This survey was administered to the mother or other primary caregiver (91% of respondents were mothers; primary caregiver was surveyed when the mother was unavailable). The primary survey included socioeconomic and demographic questions, including child age and sex; household assets; caregiver education level; breastfeeding and nutrition practices; and sanitation and hygiene behaviors. We calculated household wealth using an asset-based wealth index using methodology provided by the CDHS[27], constructed using principal component analysis (PCA) and excluding WASH-variables in order to evaluate associations between wealth and sanitation coverage. The primary survey also included infant and young child feeding (IYCF) indicators suggested by the WHO include minimum dietary diversity, minimum meal frequency, and minimum adequate diet[28–30] for infants and children 6-23 months. WHO dietary diversity score consists of categorizing solid foods into eight food groups[31], including: breastmilk, grains, legumes/nuts, dairy, flesh meat, eggs, vitamin-A-rich fruits and vegetables, and other fruits and vegetables. To suit the Cambodian context, the evaluation team asked additional questions on the types of fish and other wild animals consumed, which are included in the flesh meat group. The dietary diversity score is on a scale from 0 – 8 and determined based on the number of food groups the caregiver reported to have fed the child in the last 24 hours; minimum dietary diversity is defined as having received food from five or more food groups (or a dietary diversity score greater than or equal to five).

Minimum meal frequency is defined by the frequency of solid and semi-solid foods received based on a child's age and whether the child is breastfed. The minimum number of times breastfed children should receive solid, semi-solid, or soft foods varies with age (2 times if 6–8 months and 3 times if 9–23 months). The minimum number of times non-breastfed children should receive solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, including milk, is 4 times for all children 6–23 months (does not vary by age). Sanitation facilities were observed and verified by enumerators and recorded based on CDHS methodology.

We conducted a secondary survey to record information on community-level WASH variables. This included questions on household WASH conditions among the 8 selected households with children under 24 months and an additional 3 households randomly selected among all households in the same areas. The secondary 10-minute survey and structured observation was conducted to assess WASH characteristics regardless of whether there were children in the household to determine whether village-level mean and variance of WASH-variables scores were associated with improved child health outcomes. Enumerators visually observed and recorded WASH conditions in the household and took photographs of household latrines, which were tagged with the unique household identification number for verification of proper classification. A random sample of 20% of the photos were cross-checked with the recorded survey to ensure proper classification. Together, at the village level, there were 8 households with key outcome measurements and 11 households with key exposures of WASH at the community level. Given the oversampling of households with children under 24 months of age, post-stratification weights were used to get a representative sample of the population. Sampling weights calculated as follows: first, we estimated the proportion of households with children under age 2 at the village-level based on conversations with village leaders. This estimate was then divided by the proportion of sampled households with children under 24 months of age at each village to yield the sampling weight for each household from the main sample. For the three additional households, the sampling weights were calculated by dividing the remaining proportion of total households at the village level by the proportion of sampled households at each village. This results in underweighting the households with children under 24 months of age and overweighting the supplemental households.

Study variables

The two primary outcomes were length-for-age Z-score (LAZ, continuous scale) and stunting (dichotomized, defined as LAZ less than -2 standard deviations from the 2006 WHO International Reference Standard[4]) at the individual child-level and at the village-level, expressed as a mean value. Length measurement procedures were performed following Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance (FANTA) guidelines (S2). Recumbent lengths were taken per FANTA guidelines, which suggest a recumbent length measurement for children 0-24 months. All anthropometric measurement was performed in duplicate by trained enumerators, and if values differed by >1.0 cm, a third was taken or until successive measurements were <1.0 cm in difference. Final length and weight measurements for z-score calculations were made by taking the mean of the two measurements within the error threshold of 1.0 cm[32].

The conceptual framework underpinning this analysis is derived from previous literature[11,25,26] and includes various nutrition, water, sanitation, and hygiene variables which might plausibly influence child growth. Child-level nutrition variables included breastfeeding (dichotomous,

based on whether child was breastfed), dietary diversity (dichotomous, based on whether the recommended minimum number of food categories was met), and meal frequency (dichotomous, based on whether the recommended minimum was met). The household-level water variable included access to an improved drinking water source (dichotomous). The household-level hygiene variable included availability of water and soap at a handwashing station (dichotomous). Sanitation variables were measured at the household and community level. Household sanitation variables included practice of open defecation (dichotomous), use of a shared sanitation facility (dichotomous), access to an improved sanitation facility (dichotomous), and proper disposal of child stool (dichotomous). Community-level sanitation variables were the same as household-level variables, calculated using village-level means with post-stratification weights (described above).

Statistical methods

Primary analysis to identify potential risk factors included modeling effects of child-level, household-level, and community-level WASH variables on child-level undernutrition outcomes. For LAZ, we calculated bivariate and adjusted associations (as mean differences) with 95% confidence intervals using generalized estimating equations (GEEs) to fit linear regression models with robust standard errors[33]. For stunting, we calculated unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios (PRs) with 95% confidence intervals using GEEs to fit Poisson regression models with robust standard errors[34]. For all models assessing effects of household-level variables, we used GEEs to account for clustering at the village level. To test for presence of multicollinearity between covariates, we calculated variance inflation factors (VIFs). All covariates chosen had VIF<5, suggesting no detectable presence of multicollinearity[35].

Covariates were considered as potential confounders using a "common cause" approach[36] and on the basis of the conceptual framework describing proposed diet and WASH variables affecting child nutritional status[11]. In adjusted analyses, we included the following covariates, identified *a priori*: child sex (dichotomous), child age (continuous, in months), child birthweight (continuous, in kilograms), child illness (dichotomous, based on whether caregiver reported any diarrhea, bloody stool, vomiting, fever, or abdominal pain in the previous week), maternal age (continuous, in years), maternal education (dichotomous, based on whether mother attended primary school or higher), household size (continuous, number of household members), and household wealth index quintile (ordinal).

We performed a supplemental analysis to better understand the effects of community-level WASH variables. We used mixed effects regression models to model the effects of community-level WASH on LAZ and prevalence stunting. GEEs were not used because clustering may have attenuated community-level effects.

Results

For child-level variables, 4,036 children under 24 months of age from 3,877 households (approximately 8 households per village) were surveyed and had anthropometric measures taken. For some child-level nutrition variables specifically, 2,957 children between 6-23 months of age had dietary diversity scores and meal frequencies measured. For village-level WASH variables, a total of 5,341 households, (approximately 11 households per village) were surveyed.

TABLE 1: CHILD, HOUSEHOLD, WATER, SANITATION, AND HYGIENE CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN <24M

HH with children			
	N	% or mean	SD
Child characteristics			
Child age (months)	4,064	11.1	6.6
Male	4,082	0.52	0.50
Child birthweight (kg)	4,033	3.07	0.46
Currently breastfed (all children)	3,979	77%	42%
Currently breastfed (children 0-6 months)	1,114	98%	15%
Currently breastfed (children 6-12 months)	1,155	91%	28%
Currently breastfed (children 12-18 months)	943	72%	45%
Currently breastfed (children 18-24 months)	767	31%	46%
Solid foods introduced (children 6-8 months)	521	88%	32%
Ever breastfed	4,082	98%	14%
LAZ	3,984	-0.96	1.16
Stunted	3,984	16%	37%
Caregiver-reported diarrhea (7-day recall)	4,082	25%	43%
Caregiver-reported diarrhea (14-day recall)	4,082	7%	26%
Blood in stool (7-day recall)	4,082	2%	13%
Vomit (7-day recall)	4,082	8%	27%
Fever (7-day recall)	4,082	20%	40%
Abdominal pain (7-day recall)	4,082	18%	39%
Any illness	4,082	42%	49%
Minimum dietary diversity met (children >6mon)	2,957	36%	48%
Minimum meal frequency met	4,082	55%	50%
Household characteristics			
Household size	4,082	5.5	2.2
Number of children in HH (2-18y)	4,082	2.5	1.4
Number of children in HH (<24m)	4,082	1.1	0.3
Has electricity	4,082	50%	50%
Owns a mobile phone	4,082	85%	36%
Has a finished floor [1]	4,081	95%	22%
Primary caregiver has attended primary school	4,080	84%	36%
Maternal age (years)	4,066	29.4	9.1
Improved drinking water source [2]	4,072	85%	36%
Water source on site	4,082	78%	41%
Water source is <5 min, roundtrip	893	13%	96%
Minutes to fetch water, roundtrip	893	17.2	23.6
Presence of water at handwashing station	4,076	94%	24%
Presence of soap at handwashing station	4,076	59%	49%
Presence of water and soap at handwashing station	4,076	56%	50%
Had any sanitation facility	4,075	65%	48%
Had improved sanitation facility [3]	4,082	40%	49%
Open defecation	4,075	35%	48%
Used shared toilet	4,082	25%	43%
Child stools properly disposed of [4]	3,068	86%	35%

[1] Finished floor defined as floor made of wood plans, palm/bamboo, parquet or polished wood, vinyl or asphalt strips, ceramic tiles, cement tiles, or cement. Floor materials were classified by enumerator observation. [2] Improved sources of drinking water include: piped water into dwelling/yard/plot, public tap or standpipe, tube well or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, bottled water, and rainwater. [3] Improved sanitation facilities include: flush/pour flush toilet to a piped sewer system, septic tank or pit latrine, a ventilated improved pit latrine, a pit latrine with slab, and a composting toilet. [4] Proper disposal of children feces consist of putting

or rinsing stool into a sanitation facility or burying it; unsafe disposal of children feces includes putting or rinsing stool into a drain or ditch, throwing it into garbage or leaving it in the open.

TABLE 2: COMMUNITY WASH VARIABLES, CALCULATED USING POST-STRATIFICATION WEIGHTS

Community WASH variables	N	% or mean	SD
Improved drinking water source [1]	5,341	72%	29%
Had improved sanitation facility [2]	5,341	46%	31%
Open defecation	5,341	31%	30%
Used shared toilet	5,341	10%	16%
Child stools properly disposed of [3]	5,321	93%	16%

[1] Improved sources of drinking water include: piped water into dwelling/yard/plot, public tap or standpipe, tube well or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, bottled water, and rainwater. [2] Improved sanitation facilities include: flush/pour flush toilet to a piped sewer system, septic tank or pit latrine, a ventilated improved pit latrine, a pit latrine with slab, and a composting toilet. [3] Proper disposal of children feces consist of putting or rinsing stool into a sanitation facility or burying it; unsafe disposal of children feces includes putting or rinsing stool into a drain or ditch, throwing it into garbage or leaving it in the open.

Table 1 summarizes results from the primary survey which captures household, demographic, and WASH characteristics of households with children under 2 years of age. Households had an average size of 5 members with 2-3 children from 2-18 years of age and 1 child below 2 years of age. Most households had a finished floor (95%) and mobile phone (86%), but only 50% had electricity. The mean maternal age was 29.4 year, and most mothers (84%) had attended primary school.

The average age of children enrolled was 11 months, with approximately 57% (2270/3988) younger than 12 months and 43% (1718/3988) between 12-24 months old. Slightly less than half (47.8 percent) of the children were girls and the average birth weight was 3.1 kilograms. Breastfeeding was very common among young children 0-12 months old (94% of children 0-12 months old and 53% of children 12-24 months old). The mean LAZ for all children was -0.96 (SD 1.16), with older children (12-24 months) having worse growth outcomes (LAZ -1.32, SD 1.16) than younger children (0-12 months, LAZ -0.69, SD 1.06). Similarly, older children (12-24 months) had higher stunting levels (24%, SD 30%) than younger children (0-12 months, 10%, SD 42%). Caregivers reported diarrhea with a 7-day recall in 25% of children and with a 14-day recall in 7% of children.

Fifty-five percent of all children consumed the recommended minimum frequency of meals[28], while only of 36% of children over 6 months consumed the recommended minimum dietary diversity. Most households surveyed had an improved drinking water source and water source on site (85% and 78%, respectively), although the survey took place during the rainy season so most households collected rainwater for drinking. Most households (94%) also had water at their home's handwashing station, but only 59% of homes had soap. Sixty-five percent of households had access to any sanitation facility (including 25% with shared facilities), while only 40% of households had access to an improved sanitation facility. Although most of the pour/flush systems were recorded as improved systems that discharged into septic tanks or pit latrines (1971/1976 of pour/flush facilities), there was no record of how wastewater and sludges were

managed, so we are unable to determine whether these facilities are safely managed per JMP classification scheme[37]. Most households (86%) properly disposed of child stools by burying stools (46%).

Table 2 summarizes results from the secondary survey which captures community WASH characteristics of households with children under 2 years, irrespective of whether they had children. Compared to households that had children (Table 1), the community overall had less access to an improved drinking water source (72% vs 85%) but more access to an improved sanitation facility (46% vs 40%) and lower prevalence of open defecation practices (31% vs 35%). The community overall used shared toilets less frequently compared to households with children (10% vs 25%) and practiced safe methods of disposing children's stools more frequently than households with children (93% vs 86%); methods of stool disposal were qualified as "safe" if the child's feces was put into any toilet or latrine[38]. Overall, households with children appear to have poorer sanitation practices than the overall community.

TABLE 3: LINEAR REGRESSION COEFFICIENT FOR ASSOCIATION BETWEEN NUTRITION AND WASH VARIABLES AND LINEAR GROWTH

	N	Unadjusted effect size	N	Adjusted effect size
Child-level variables				
Currently breastfed (a)	3449	0.40 (0.30, 0.51)	3709	-0.16 (-0.27, -0.05)
Minimum dietary diversity met (a,c)	2432	0.01 (-0.08, 0.10)	2421	0.05 (-0.03, 0.14)
Minimum meal frequency met (a,c)	2432	0.05 (-0.07, 0.17)	2421	-0.01 (-0.13, 0.10)
Household-level variables				
Improved drinking water source [1] (a)	3481	0.05 (-0.06, 0.16)	3767	0.04 (-0.06, 0.13)
Presence of water and soap at handwashing (a)	3483	0.11 (0.03, 0.19)	3771	0.10 (0.03, 0.16)
Safe disposal of child stool [3] (a)	2601	-0.15 (-0.27, -0.02)	2843	0.05 (-0.07, 0.16)
Sanitation facility (a)	3483		3769	
Improved [2]		0.16 (0.07, 0.25)		0.05 (-0.03, 0.14)
Shared		0.08 (-0.03, 0.20)		-0.01 (-0.13, 0.10)
None (open defecation)		ref		ref
Community-level variables				
Improved drinking water source (village-level) [1] (b)	3489	-0.13 (-0.26, 0.00)	3488	-0.13 (-0.26, 0.00)
Safe disposal of child stool (village-level) [3] (b)	3475	0.04 (-0.19, 0.27)	3474	-0.01 (-0.23, 0.20)
Improved sanitation facility (village-level) [2] (b)	3489	0.10 (-0.02, 0.23)	3488	0.07 (-0.06, 0.19)
Shared sanitation facility (village-level) (b)	3489	-0.11 (-0.34, 0.12)	3488	-0.19 (-0.42, 0.03)
OD (village-level) (b)	3489	-0.08 (-0.21, 0.05)	3488	-0.03 (-0.16, 0.10)

(a) Adjusted for child gender, child age, child illness, maternal age, maternal education, household size, and household wealth index quintile; clustered by village. (b) Adjusted for village-level covariates: % male, mean child age, % with illness, % breastfed, and mean household wealth index quintile. (c) only children >6 months included, per WHO minimum recommended dietary diversity and meal frequencies. [1] Improved sources of drinking water include: piped water into dwelling/yard/plot, public tap or standpipe, tubewell or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, bottled water, and rainwater. [2] Improved sanitation facilities include: flush/pour flush toilet to a piped sewer system, septic tank or pit latrine, a ventilated improved pit latrine, a pit latrine with slab, and a composting toilet. [3] Safe disposal of children feces consist of putting or rinsing stool into any sanitation facility; unsafe disposal of children feces includes putting or rinsing stool into a drain or ditch, throwing it into garbage, burying or leaving it in the open.

Table 3 summarizes unadjusted and adjusted LAZ mean differences and the nutrition and WASH variables of interest. At the child level, unadjusted analyses found breastfeeding practices to be positively associated with growth (LAZ +0.40, 95% CI 0.30-0.51). However, these associations were attenuated in the adjusted analysis and were found to be negatively associated with height (LAZ -0.16, 95% CI -0.27- -0.05). At the household level, unadjusted analyses show that

presence of water and soap at handwashing station (LAZ +0.11, 95% CI 0.03-0.19) and improved sanitation facility (LAZ +0.16, 95% CI 0.07-0.25) to be positive associated with growth compared to those whose families practiced open defecation. Children whose household did not report practicing safe disposal of child stools were shorter than those whose households properly disposed of stools (LAZ -0.15, 95% CI -0.27- -0.02). In the adjusted analysis, only the presence of water and soap at handwashing stations was associated with taller children (LAZ +0.10, 95% CI 0.03-0.16). At the community level, we found no significant associations between WASH variables and child growth in the unadjusted analysis. In the adjusted analyses, we found a high prevalence of improved drinking water in the community to be negatively associated with child height (LAZ -0.13, 95% CI -0.26-0.00).

TABLE 4: PREVALENCE RATIOS FOR ASSOCIATION BETWEEN NUTRITION AND WASH VARIABLES AND STUNTING

	N	PR	N	aPR
Child-level variables				
Currently breastfed (a)	3449	0.56 (0.47, 0.65)	3437	1.03 (0.85, 1.24)
Minimum dietary diversity met (a,c)	2432	0.91 (0.77, 1.07)	2421	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)
Minimum meal frequency met (a,c)	2432	0.97 (0.79, 1.20)	2421	1.09 (0.89, 1.33)
Household-level variables				
Improved drinking water source [1] (a)	3481	0.80 (0.65, 0.97)	3468	0.81 (0.66, 0.98)
Presence of water and soap at handwashing (a)	3483	0.92 (0.79, 1.08)	3471	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)
Safe disposal of child stool [3] (a)	2601	1.06 (0.82, 1.37)	2589	0.81 (0.63, 1.04)
Sanitation facility (a)	3483		3470	
Improved [2]		0.74 (0.62, 0.88)		0.87 (0.74, 1.02)
Shared		0.83 (0.66, 1.04)		1.09 (0.89, 1.33)
None (open defecation)		ref		ref
Community-level variables				
Improved drinking water source (village-level) [1] (b)	3489	1.11 (0.84, 1.45)	3488	1.11 (0.85, 1.44)
Safe disposal of child stool (village-level) [3] (b)	3475	0.97 (0.61, 1.54)	3474	1.06 (0.69, 1.65)
Improved sanitation facility (village-level) [2] (b)	3489	0.82 (0.64, 1.06)	3488	0.93 (0.72, 1.20)
Shared sanitation facility (village-level) (b)	3489	0.91 (0.56, 1.49)	3488	1.03 (0.64, 1.67)
OD (village-level) (b)	3489	1.28 (1.00, 1.63)	3488	1.10 (0.85, 1.42)

(a) Adjusted for child gender, child age, child illness, maternal age, maternal education, household size, and household wealth index quintile; clustered by village. (b) Adjusted for village-level covariates: % male, mean child age, % with illness, % breastfed, and mean household wealth index quintile. (c) only children >6 months included, per WHO minimum recommended dietary diversity and meal frequencies. [1] Improved sources of drinking water include: piped water into dwelling/yard/plot, public tap or standpipe, tubewell or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, bottled water, and rainwater. [2] Improved sanitation facilities include: flush/pour flush toilet to a piped sewer system, septic tank or pit latrine, a ventilated improved pit latrine, a pit latrine with slab, and a composting toilet. [3] Safe disposal of children feces consist of putting or rinsing stool into any sanitation facility; unsafe disposal of children feces includes putting or rinsing stool into a drain or ditch, throwing it into garbage, burying or leaving it in the open.

Table 4 summarizes unadjusted and adjusted associations between stunting and the nutrition and WASH variables of interest. At the child level, the unadjusted analyses found breastfeeding to be negatively associated with stunting (PR 0.56, 95% CI 0.47-0.65). However, this association was attenuated in the adjusted analysis. At the household level, our unadjusted analyses found an improved drinking water source to be negatively associated with stunting (PR 0.80, 95% CI 0.65-0.97), as well as children in households with access to an improved sanitation facility compared to those who practiced open defecation (PR 0.74, 95% CI 0.62-0.88). Many of these associations were attenuated in the adjusted analysis, and after adjusting for covariates, we only found a household's access to an improved drinking water source to be negatively associated with stunting (aPR 0.81, 95% CI 0.66-0.98). At the community level, none of the variables assessed were significantly associated with stunting, neither in our unadjusted nor in our adjusted

analyses. In our supplemental analysis which aims to assess the impact of village-level associations by evaluating village-level outcomes, we found no statistically significant association between any nutrition or WASH variables and growth faltering or stunting (S3 and S4).

Discussion

We set out to examine household-level nutrition and WASH characteristics and community-level WASH infrastructure on early childhood linear growth in rural Cambodia. Before adjustment, a number of WASH and nutrition variables at the child, household, and community level appeared to be associated with improved growth outcomes: breastfeeding of the child, presence of soap and water at the handwashing station, household improved source of drinking water, safe disposal of children's stools, and household improved sanitation facility (compared to those practicing open defecation) were all associated with reduced odds of stunting and/or increased LAZ score. After adjustment for potential confounders, presence of water and soap at a household's handwashing station at the time of survey was found to be associated ($p<0.05$) with higher LAZ score (+0.10, 95% CI 0.03-0.16), and household use of an improved drinking water source was associated with less stunting compared to households that did not use an improved source of drinking water (aPR 0.81, 95% CI 0.66-0.98). Breastfeeding was associated with reduced length (LAZ -0.16, 95% CI -0.27- -0.05); however, other studies have observed that mothers may breastfeed longer if the child is smaller and wean early if the child is physically large[39]. Community-level access to an improved drinking water source was negatively associated with LAZ (-0.13, 95% CI -0.26-0.00), a finding we cannot explain within the framework of this study, possibly attributable to unmeasured factors. No other nutrition (dietary diversity, meal frequency) or sanitation variables (household's safe disposal of child stools, household-level sanitation, community-level sanitation) were associated with LAZ scores or stunting in children under 24 months of age.

The most recent CDHS dataset from 2014 (data collection between June-November 2014) reported a mean LAZ of -1.10 (SD 1.52) and 26% (SD 44%) of children stunted among children under 24 months the same provinces (Pursat, Battambang, and Siem Reap), suggesting more limited growth in previous surveys compared with ours. These estimates are consistent with the trend of rapidly improving child growth that rural Cambodia has been experiencing in the past 20 years as indicated in CDHS data. While limited to rural communities in three of thirteen provinces of Cambodia, our findings are also consistent with CDHS findings of patterns of preferred sanitation facilities: Cambodian families prefer to move directly from open defecation to "improved" sanitation facilities (pour-flush, with a cleanable slab) rather than incrementally moving up the sanitation ladder (i.e., traditional pit latrines)[40].

Though the critical window for interventions to increase child linear growth is in the first two years of life, most studies measuring the prevalence of stunting and linear growth have examined older children, typically under 5 years of age. In older children, growth deficits have generally shown a stronger apparent correlation with WASH characteristics in observational studies across geographies. Studies from Peru and Indonesia among children under two and three years of age, respectively, found household sanitation to be associated with taller children[41,42]. Similarly, a meta-analysis that captured data from 70 low- and middle-income countries found household access to an improved sanitation facility to be associated with lower risk of stunting (OR 0.92)[43]

among children under five years of age. In Cambodia, previous observational studies reported strong associations between nutrition and WASH variables on child linear growth and stunting for children. Consistent with our findings, one study using pooled CDHS data from 2000-2005 found no association between feeding indicators (dietary diversity and meal frequency) and child growth outcomes in children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia[44]. Another study using pooled CDHS data from 2000-2010 found household access to an improved sanitation facility to be associated with a lower prevalence of stunting among children under five years (PR 0.82, 95% CI 0.69-0.96)[26]; the same study performed a subgroup analyses on feeding practices and child growth and did not find any statistically significant associations between exclusive breastfeeding (<6 months) and meal frequency (6-23 months) on stunting. Differences in estimates may be explained by differences in study design and methods, including examining different age strata, variability in measuring risk factors, study setting (e.g., rural versus urban), and timing: Cambodia has experienced rapid growth and development in recent years[45], with accompanying substantial changes in the prevalence of risk factors that may influence growth outcomes in children.

Many observational studies of older children in Ecuador, Mali, and India that have found community-level sanitation to be associated with child growth that may be greater than the effect of household-level sanitation[21,23,46–48], an association we did not identify in our study of children under 24 months. Similarly, a meta-analysis that includes data from 93 countries found that children under five years of age living in communities with high sanitation coverage and no household sanitation facility had lower odds of being stunted than children living in communities with low coverage and with household sanitation, further signaling the role of community[49]. In Cambodia, one previous study of children under five years of age concluded that reduction in children's exposure to open defecation between 2005-2010 accounted for much or all of the increase in average child height[25]. Such effects may not be discernable in children under 24 months of age, but may be apparent in older children as growth trajectories become clear beyond early childhood.

This study adds to a growing body of evidence suggesting that the relationship between water and sanitation infrastructure, hygiene, nutrition, and growth outcomes is complex, variable, and context-specific. Several recent nutrition and WASH trials have been designed and implemented assuming a causal framework linking improved nutrition and WASH to improved child health outcomes, including linear growth and stunting. A systematic review identified five randomized controlled trials that found a small but statistically meaningful effect among children under five years of age[11]; another systematic review of sanitation intervention trials found similar, modest effects of sanitation on nutritional status among children of varying age groups up to school-age (LAZ +0.08, 95% CI 0.00-0.16)[50]. The WASH Benefits trials in Kenya and Bangladesh reported growth gains attributable to integrated nutrition and sanitation programming compared to control among children among children under 30 months of age, although these observed gains were likely to have been attributable to nutritional improvements alone since there were no measurable added benefits from adding WASH programming to nutrition[8,9]. Similarly, the SHINE trial in Zimbabwe reported positive growth effects among children approximately 18 months of age from nutrition programming but no added benefits of integrating WASH with nutrition programming[20]. The currently available evidence for WASH's role in supporting growth outcomes is mixed, warranting a closer examination of underlying mechanisms driving

child growth and perhaps a need to expand the scope of sanitation interventions that most effectively separate families from excreta.

This study has a number of limitations. First, the survey data were self-reported and, thus, vulnerable to recall biases, including courtesy bias (responding in ways perceived to be more pleasing to interviewers), desirability bias (over-reporting of positive perceptions), and acquiescence bias (answering in the affirmative). Second, as a cross-sectional study, we were unable to assess temporal trends, directionality of associations, or infer causality between measured variables. For example, the observed association between growth faltering and ongoing breastfeeding may erroneously implicate breastfeeding as a cause of growth faltering, when it is more probably reflective of a compensatory response to underweight status[39]. Third, village-scale estimates of coverage may or may not be reflective of a child's exposure to the environment. Finally, our contradictory findings of the protective association between household-level improved drinking water and stunting were inconsistent with a finding that community-level drinking water was negatively associated with LAZ at the margin of significance. These apparent associations may be explained by variables not captured in the study.

While we did not observe associations between household or community sanitation infrastructure and child linear growth in children under 24 months of age, this study should not detract from the societal benefits of sanitation overall, many of which are the primary motivations driving the expansion of sanitation in low- and middle-income countries. Improved WASH has been linked with decreased diarrheal illness[51] and mortality[52]. The relationship between sanitation and growth outcomes specifically is less clear, may be variable across settings, and may be detected more prominently in children older than two years of age.

Other Information

Funding

This research was financially supported by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Protocols

This study and protocols for data collection and analysis were approved by the National Ethics Committee for Health Research in Cambodia (ref: 110NECHR) and the Internal Review Board at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

References

1. Dewey, K. G. & Begum, K. Long-term consequences of stunting in early life. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **7**, 5–18 (2011).
2. Victora, C. G. *et al.* Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *The Lancet* **371**, 340–357 (2008).
3. Grantham-McGregor, S. *et al.* Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet (London, England)* **369**, 60–70 (2007).

4. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. *WHO Child Growth Standards: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age. Methods and development*. (2006).
5. Prentice, A. M. *et al.* Critical windows for nutritional interventions against stunting. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **97**, 911–918 (2013).
6. Stein, A. D. *et al.* Growth patterns in early childhood and final attained stature: data from five birth cohorts from low- and middle-income countries. *American journal of human biology : the official journal of the Human Biology Council* **22**, 353–359 (2010).
7. Dewey, K. G. & Adu-Afarwuah, S. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. *Maternal & child nutrition* **4 Suppl 1**, 24–85 (2008).
8. Null, C. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Global Health* **6**, e316–e329 (2018).
9. Luby, S. P. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on diarrhoea and child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Global Health* **6**, e302–e315 (2018).
10. Ruel, M. T. & Alderman, H. Nutrition-sensitive interventions and programmes: how can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and child nutrition? *Lancet (London, England)* **382**, 536–551 (2013).
11. Dangour, A. D. *et al.* Interventions to improve water quality and supply, sanitation and hygiene practices, and their effects on the nutritional status of children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* CD009382 (2013) doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009382.pub2.
12. Mbuya, M. N. N. & Humphrey, J. H. Preventing environmental enteric dysfunction through improved water, sanitation and hygiene: an opportunity for stunting reduction in developing countries. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **12**, 106–120 (2016).
13. Ngure, F. M. *et al.* Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH), environmental enteropathy, nutrition, and early child development: making the links. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1308**, 118–128 (2014).
14. United Nations. SDG Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture. (2017).
15. National Institute of Statistics, Directorate General for Health, and I. I. *Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014*.
16. Menon, P., Ruel, M. T. & Morris, S. S. *Socioeconomic differentials in child stunting are consistently larger in urban than in rural areas*. (2000).
17. Smith, L. C., Ruel, M. T. & Ndiaye, A. Why Is Child Malnutrition Lower in Urban Than in Rural Areas? Evidence from 36 Developing Countries. *World Development* **33**, 1285–1305 (2005).
18. Pickering, A. J., Djebbari, H., Lopez, C., Coulibaly, M. & Alzua, M. L. Effect of a community-led sanitation intervention on child diarrhoea and child growth in rural Mali: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Global Health* **3**, e701–e711 (2015).
19. Patil, S. R. *et al.* The Effect of India's Total Sanitation Campaign on Defecation Behaviors and Child Health in Rural Madhya Pradesh: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *PLOS Medicine* **11**, e1001709 (2014).

20. Humphrey, J. H. *et al.* Independent and combined effects of improved water, sanitation, and hygiene, and improved complementary feeding, on child stunting and anaemia in rural Zimbabwe: a cluster-randomised trial. *The Lancet Global Health* **7**, e132–e147 (2019).
21. Fuller, J. A., Villamor, E., Cevallos, W., Trostle, J. & Eisenberg, J. N. I get height with a little help from my friends: herd protection from sanitation on child growth in rural Ecuador. *International journal of epidemiology* **45**, 460–469 (2016).
22. Fuller, J. A. & Eisenberg, J. N. S. Herd Protection from Drinking Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Interventions. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* **95**, 1201–1210 (2016).
23. Harris, M., Alzua, M. L., Osbert, N. & Pickering, A. Community-Level Sanitation Coverage More Strongly Associated with Child Growth and Household Drinking Water Quality than Access to a Private Toilet in Rural Mali. *Environmental science & technology* **51**, 7219–7227 (2017).
24. Spears, D. Exposure to open defecation can account for the Indian enigma of child height. *Journal of Development Economics* **146**, 102277 (2020).
25. Vyas, S., Kov, P., Smets, S. & Spears, D. Disease externalities and net nutrition: Evidence from changes in sanitation and child height in Cambodia, 2005–2010. *Economics & Human Biology* **23**, 235–245 (2016).
26. Ikeda, N., Irie, Y. & Shibuya, K. Determinants of reduced child stunting in Cambodia: analysis of pooled data from three demographic and health surveys. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* **91**, 341–349 (2013).
27. Rustein, S. O. *Steps to constructing the new DHS wealth index*. (2015).
28. World Health Organization. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practicies. Part I: Definitions. (2008).
29. Heidkamp, R. A. *et al.* Implications of Updating the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Children Indicator for Tracking Progress in the Eastern and Southern Africa Region. *Current Developments in Nutrition* **4**, (2020).
30. Cheung, S. T. *et al.* Albumin mRNA in plasma predicts post-transplant recurrence of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transplantation* **85**, 81–87 (2008).
31. Cheung, S. T. *et al.* Albumin mRNA in plasma predicts post-transplant recurrence of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transplantation* **85**, 81–87 (2008).
32. Cogill, B. *Anthropometric Indicators Measurement Guide*. (2001).
33. Faraone, S. V. Interpreting estimates of treatment effects: implications for managed care. *P & T : a peer-reviewed journal for formulary management* **33**, 700–711 (2008).
34. Barros, A. J. D. & Hirakata, V. N. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **3**, 21 (2003).
35. Thompson, C. G., Kim, R. S., Aloe, A. M. & Becker, B. J. Extracting the Variance Inflation Factor and Other Multicollinearity Diagnostics from Typical Regression Results. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology* **39**, 81–90 (2017).
36. VanderWeele, T. J. Principles of confounder selection. *European Journal of Epidemiology* **34**, 211–219 (2019).
37. WHO/UNICEF JMP for Water Supply and Sanitation. *WASH Post-2015: Proposed indicators for drinking water, sanitation and hygiene*. (2015).
38. Bauza, V., Reese, H., Routray, P. & Clasen, T. Child Defecation and Feces Disposal Practices and Determinants among Households after a Combined Household-Level Piped

Water and Sanitation Intervention in Rural Odisha, India. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **100**, 1013–1021 (2019).
39. Marquis, G. S., Habicht, J. P., Lanata, C. F., Black, R. E. & Rasmussen, K. M. Association of breastfeeding and stunting in Peruvian toddlers: an example of reverse causality. *International journal of epidemiology* **26**, 349–356 (1997).
40. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and World Health Organization. *Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene 2000-2017. Special focus on inequalities*. (2019).
41. Checkley, W. *et al.* Effect of water and sanitation on childhood health in a poor Peruvian peri-urban community. *The Lancet* **363**, 112–118 (2004).
42. Rah, J. H., Sukotjo, S., Badgaiyan, N., Cronin, A. A. & Torlesse, H. Improved sanitation is associated with reduced child stunting amongst Indonesian children under 3 years of age. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **16**, e12741 (2020).
43. Fink, G., Günther, I. & Hill, K. The effect of water and sanitation on child health: evidence from the demographic and health surveys 1986–2007. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **40**, 1196–1204 (2011).
44. Marriott, B. P., White, A. J., Hadden, L., Davies, J. C. & Wallingford, J. C. How well are infant and young child World Health Organization (WHO) feeding indicators associated with growth outcomes? An example from Cambodia. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **6**, 358–373 (2010).
45. World Bank. World Development Indicators: Economic Policy & Debt Aggregate Indicators. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator.
46. Kov, P., Smets, S., Spears, D. & Vyas, S. *Growing taller among toilets: Evidence from changes in sanitation and child height in Cambodia, 2005-2010*. (2013).
47. Hammer, J. & Spears, D. Village sanitation and child health: Effects and external validity in a randomized field experiment in rural India. *Journal of Health Economics* **48**, 135–148 (2016).
48. Spears, D. Exposure to open defecation can account for the Indian enigma of child height. *Journal of Development Economics* **146**, 102277 (2020).
49. Larsen, D. A., Grisham, T., Slawsky, E. & Narine, L. An individual-level meta-analysis assessing the impact of community-level sanitation access on child stunting, anemia, and diarrhea: Evidence from DHS and MICS surveys. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **11**, e0005591 (2017).
50. Freeman, M. C. *et al.* The impact of sanitation on infectious disease and nutritional status: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* **220**, 928–949 (2017).
51. Cameron, L. A., Shah, M. & Olivia, S. Impact Evaluation of a Large-Scale Rural Sanitation Project in Indonesia. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6360* (2013).
52. Prüss-Ustün, A. *et al.* Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene in low- and middle-income settings: a retrospective analysis of data from 145 countries. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH* **19**, 894–905 (2014).