Reactogenicity of homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunisation with BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1-nCoV19: a prospective cohort study

David Hillus[1*], Pinkus Tober-Lau[1*], Hana Hastor[2], Elisa T. Helbig[1], Lena J. Lippert[1], Charlotte Thibeault[1], André Solarek[3], Christof von Kalle[2], Victor M. Corman[4], Piotr Kopankiewicz[5], Norbert Suttorp[1], Harald Bias[5], Joachim Seybold[6], Florian Kurth[1,7,8§], Leif Erik Sander[1§#]

[*]these authors contributed equally

[§]shared senior authors

[#]address correspondence to:

leif-erik.sander@charite.de

Affiliations

[1]Department of Infectious Diseases and Respiratory Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany

[2]Clinical Study Center (CSC), Berlin Institute of Health, and Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität Zu Berlin, 10117, Berlin, Germany

[3]Medical Directorate, Emergency Response and -Preparedness Planning, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität Zu Berlin, 10117, Berlin, Germany

[4]Institute of Virology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany

[5]Center for Occupational Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany

[6]Medical Directorate, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany

[7]Department of Tropical Medicine, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg, Germany

[8]Department of Medicine I, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20359, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Heterologous prime-boost vaccination is of increasing interest for COVID-19 vaccines. Evidence of rare thrombotic events associated with ChAdOx1-nCoV19 (Vaxzevria, ChAdOx) has lead several European countries to recommend a heterologous booster with mRNA vaccines for certain age groups (e.g. persons <60years in Germany), who have already received one dose of ChAdOx, although data on reactogenicity and safety of this vaccination regimen are still missing.

Here we report reactogenicity data of homologous BNT162b2 (Comirnaty, BNT) or heterologous ChAdOx/BNT prime-boost immunisations in a prospective observational cohort study of 326 healthcare workers.

Reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx/BNT booster vaccination was largely comparable to homologous BNT/BNT vaccination and overall well-tolerated. No major differences were observed in the frequency or severity of local reactions after either of the vaccinations. In contrast, notable differences between the regimens were observed for systemic reactions, which were most frequent after prime immunisation with ChAdOx (86%, 95CI: 79-91), and less frequent after homologous BNT/BNT (65%, 95CI: 56-72), or heterologous ChAdOx/BNT boosters (48%, 95CI: 36-59).

This interim analysis supports the safety of currently recommended heterologous ChAdOx/BNT prime-boost immunisations with 12-week intervals.

Main text

Heterologous prime-boost vaccination is of increasing interest for COVID-19 vaccines[1]. Evidence of rare thrombotic events associated with ChAdOx1-nCoV19 (Vaxzevria, ChAdOx)[2,3], has lead several European countries to recommend a heterologous booster with mRNA vaccines for certain age groups (e.g. persons <60years in Germany), who have already received one dose of ChAdOx, despite a lack of data on reactogenicity and safety of this vaccination regimen[4].

A recent study showed increased reactogenicity of a heterologous boost with BNT162b2 (Comirnaty, BNT) 28 days after ChAdOx vaccination compared to homologous prime-boost[5]. Nearly 80% of participants in the ChAdOx/BNT group reported systemic reactions[5]. Here we report reactogenicity data of homologous BNT/BNT or heterologous ChAdOx/BNT prime-boost immunisations in a cohort of 326 healthcare workers recruited between 27 December 2020 to 30 March 2021 in Berlin, Germany.

No major differences were observed in the frequency or severity of local reactions after either of the vaccinations (**Fig. 1A, B**). In contrast, notable differences between the regimens were observed for systemic reactions, which were most frequent after prime immunisation with ChAdOx (86%, 95CI: 79-91), and less frequent after homologous BNT/BNT (65%, 95CI: 56-72), or heterologous ChAdOx/BNT boosters (48%, 95CI: 36-59) (**Fig. 1C**). Similarly, severe systemic symptoms, including fatigue, myalgia, headache, feeling feverish, chills, and fever >38°C, were more frequent following

ChAdOx prime immunisation compared to heterologous ChAdOx+BNT booster vaccination (**Fig. 1C**, **D**). Antipyretic medication was reported most frequently after the first dose of ChAdOx (**Fig. 1E**). Prophylactic intake of antipyretic medication at least 2 hours before vaccination was highest in the ChAdOx prime immunisation group (26.4%, 95CI: 20-34), and distinctly lower in all other groups (BNT: 2.8% (95CI: 1-7), ChAdOx/BNT: 5.6% (95CI: 2-15), BNT/BNT: 3.1% (95CI: 1-8).

Reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx/BNT booster vaccination was largely comparable to homologous BNT/BNT vaccination and overall well-tolerated in our cohort of health care workers. In addition to differences in the study designs and in the demographics of the study populations (current study: median 34 years, 59.65% female *vs.* ComCov: median 57 years, 46% female), we propose that an increased vaccine interval (12 vs. 4 weeks) limits reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx/BNT vaccination. Thus, our study supports the current recommendations for 12-week vaccine intervals for heterologous ChAdOx/BNT prime-boost immunisations[4]. These results need to be confirmed in larger populations and evaluated together with immunogenicity data of heterologous prime-boost vaccination, about to be reported.



Fig. 1. Solicited local and systemic reactions of different vaccine regimens reported until seven days after vaccination. (**A, B**) Proportion of participants reporting any local reaction (A), and indicated local reactions grouped by severity (B). (**C, D**) Proportion of participants reporting any systemic reaction (C), and indicated systemic symptoms grouped by severity (D). (**E**) Proportion of participants reporting intake of antipyretic medication within 24 hours (top) and seven days (bottom) after vaccination. BNT; BNT162b2 (Comirnaty), ChAdOx; ChAdOx1-nCoV19 (Vaxzevria). Definition of severity according to a modified Food and Drug administration (FDA) criteria.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Andreas Hetey, Maria Rönnefahrt, and the entire staff at the Charité Clinical Study Center (CSC), as well as the team at the Center for Occupational Medicine, and all study participants at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin.
This study was supported by the Forschungsnetzwerk der Universitätsmedizin zu Covid-19, COVIM - *FKZ*: 01KX2021.

References

1 Ledford H. Could mixing COVID vaccines boost immune response? *Nature* 2021; **590**: 375–6.

2 Pottegård A, Lund LC, Karlstad Ø, *et al.* Arterial events, venous thromboembolism, thrombocytopenia, and bleeding after vaccination with Oxford-AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S in Denmark and Norway: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2021; **373**: n1114.

3 Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 Vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021; published online April 9. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2104840.

4 RKI - Empfehlungen der STIKO - Stellungnahme der Ständigen Impfkommission zum Zeitpunkt der Gabe eines mRNA-Impfstoffs nach Erstimpfung mit AstraZeneca Vaccine (Vaxzevria) bei <60-Jährigen. https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Kommissionen/STIKO/Empfehlungen/Stellungnahme-Impfabstand.html (accessed May 16, 2021).

5 Shaw RH, Stuart A, Greenland M, Liu X, Van-Tam JSN, Snape MD. Heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccination: initial reactogenicity data. *Lancet* 2021; published online May 12. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01115-6.

Supplementary References

6 Center for Biologics Evaluation, Research. Toxicity grading scale for volunteers in vaccine clinical trials. 2019. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/toxicity-grading-scale-healthy-adult-and-adolescent-volunteers-enrolled-preventive-vaccine-clinical (accessed May 17, 2021).

Supplementary Information

Methods

We performed a prospective, observational cohort study to assess safety and reactogenicity of COVID-19 vaccination in healthcare workers at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin. The study was approved by the IRB (EA4/245/20). Participants were enrolled into the study prior to initial vaccination according to national vaccine guidelines, after giving written informed consent. All participants were asked to fill out electronic questionnaires on reactogenicity, adverse events, medication, and medical visits on days 1, 3, 5 and 7 post first and second vaccination. In addition, the use of antipyretic medication (NSAID, acetaminophen) before or after vaccination was recorded. We assessed solicited local and systemic reactions to the different vaccines based on the Food and Drug administration (FDA) toxicity scale[6]. Following the initial assessments on day 1, 3, 5 and 7, all participants were asked every 14 days to self-report any systemic symptoms and intake of pain medication. Intake of antipyretic or analgesic medication was not generally recommended for vaccinees, but also not discouraged. We report here on all symptoms and events recorded in the first seven days after prime and boost vaccination. Three participants chose a homologous ChAdOx+ChAdOx booster immunisation and were only included for reactogenicity analysis after first immunisation. Nineteen participants from the 1. BNT subgroup were lost to follow-up at the time of their second vaccination.
Reactogenicity data after first vaccination with BNT or ChAdOx were available for 178 and 148 subjects, respectively, and for 159 individuals after BNT/BNT homologous boost, and for 71 after heterologous ChAdOx/BNT boost, respectively.
Reactogenicity was graded according to modified Food and Drug administration (FDA) criteria [6].

Vaccine Group	Available Reactogenicity Data (n)	Median Age	Female
1. BNT	178/179 (99.44%)	34 (IQR: 29-44)	98/178 (55.1%)
1. BNT / 2. BNT	159/165 (96.36%)	34 (IQR: 29-43)	87/159 (54.7%)
1. ChAdOx	148/151 (98.01%)	35 (IQR: 28-47)	101/148 (68.2%)
1. ChAdOx / 2. BNT	71/77 (92.21%)	37 (IQR: 29-51)	52/71 (73.2%)

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population, all of which are health care workers at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin


1st BNT
1st ChAdOx
1st BNT / 2nd BNT
1st ChAdOx / 2nd BNT
A
Any local reaction
Any severe local reaction
C
Any systemic reaction
Any severe systemic reaction
E
Antipyretic medication (24h)
Antipyretics (prophylactic)
B
Pain at injection site
Tenderness
Swelling
Redness
D
Headache
Myalgia
Fatigue
Arthralgia
Feverishness & chills
Nausea & vomiting
Fever
Diarrhoea
Proportion (%)
Mild
Moderate
Severe
2-5cm
5.1-10cm
>10cm
38-38.4°C
38.5 - 38.9°C
39 - 40°C
>40°C
Not measured