medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial of Sarilumab in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19

Sumathi Sivapalasingam, M.D.[1†*], David J. Lederer, M.D., M.S.[1*], Rafia Bhore, Ph.D.[1], Negin Hajizadeh, M.D., M.P.H.[2], Gerard Criner, M.D., F.A.C.P., F.A.C.C.P[3], Romana Hossain, M.D., M.P.H.[1‡], Adnan Mahmood, M.D.[1], Angeliki Giannelou, M.D.[1], Selin Somersan-Karakaya, M.D.[1], Meagan O'Brien, M.D.[1], Anita Boyapati, Ph.D.[1], Janie Parrino, M.D.[1], Bret Musser, Ph.D.[1], Emily Labriola-Tompkins, B.A.[1], Divya Ramesh, Ph.D.[1], Lisa A. Purcell, Ph.D.[1‡], Daya Gulabani, M.S., M.B.A.[1], Wendy Kampman, M.D.[1], Alpana Waldron, M.S.[1], Michelle Ng Gong, M.D.[4], Suraj Saggar, D.O.[5], Steven J. Sperber, M.D., F.A.C.P., F.I.D.S.A.[6], Vidya Menon, M.D., F.A.C.P.[7], David K. Stein, M.D., F.A.C.P.[8], Magdalena E. Sobieszczyk, M.D., M.P.H.[9], William Park, M.D.[10], Judith A. Aberg, M.D.[11], Samuel M. Brown, M.D., M.S.[12], Jack A. Kosmicki, Ph.D.[1], Julie E. Horowitz, Ph.D.[1], Manuel A. Ferreira, Ph.D.[1], Aris Baras, M.D., M.B.A.[1], Bari Kowal, M.S.[1], A. Thomas DiCioccio, Ph.D.[1], Bolanle Akinlade, M.D., M.B.A., F.A.C.P.[1], Michael C. Nivens, Ph.D.[1], Ned Braunstein, M.D.[1], Gary Herman, M.D.[1], George D. Yancopoulos, M.D., Ph.D.[1], and David M. Weinreich, M.D.[1], for the Sarilumab-COVID-19 Study Team

[1]Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, New York; [2]Institute for Clinical Outcomes Research, Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Northwell Health, Manhasset, New York, and Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health, Hempstead, New York; [3]Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; [4]Montefiore – Moses,

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Bronx, New York; [5]*Holy Name Medical Center, Teaneck, New Jersey;* [6]*Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine and Hackensack University Medical Center, Hackensack, New Jersey;* [7]*NYC Health + Hospitals/Lincoln, Bronx, New York;* [8]*Jacobi Medical Center, Bronx, New York;* [9]*Columbia University, New York, New York;* [10]*Pulmonary and Sleep Disorder Clinic, Valley Medical Center, Renton, Washington;* [11]*Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York;* [12]*Intermountain Medical Center and University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah*

*Contributed equally

†Corresponding author

‡Former employees of Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Corresponding author: Sumathi Sivapalasingam, M.D., Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 777 Old Saw Mill River Rd, Tarrytown, NY 10591

Phone: 914-336-0441; Email: sumathi.sivapalasingam@regeneron.com

Target journal: *NEJM*

Word count: 2,860 (**maximum is 2,700-words**; includes all information from introduction through discussion/conclusion; excludes abstract figure legends, and table notes)

Tables/figures: 3/2 (**maximum of 5**)

References: 34 (**maximum of 40**)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Sarilumab (anti-interleukin-6 receptor-α monoclonal antibody) may attenuate the inflammatory response in Covid-19.

METHODS

We performed an adaptive, phase 2/3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of intravenous sarilumab 200 mg or 400 mg in adults hospitalized with Covid-19. The phase 3 primary analysis population (cohort 1) was patients with critical Covid-19 receiving mechanical ventilation (MV) randomized to sarilumab 400 mg or placebo. The primary end point for phase 3 was the proportion of patients with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status from baseline to day 22.

RESULTS

Four-hundred fifty-seven (457) and 1365 patients were randomized and treated in phases 2 and 3, respectively. Among phase 3 critical patients receiving MV (n=289; 34.3% on corticosteroids), the proportion with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status (alive not receiving MV) at day 22 was 43.2% in sarilumab 400 mg and 35.5% in placebo (risk difference [RD] +7.5%; 95% confidence interval [CI], –7.4 to 21.3; P=0.3261), representing a relative risk improvement of 21.7%. Day 29 all-cause mortality was 36.4% in sarilumab 400 mg versus 41.9% in placebo (RD –5.5%; 95% CI, –20.2 to 8.7; relative risk reduction 13.3%). In post hoc analyses pooling phase 2 and 3 critical patients receiving MV, the hazard ratio (HR) for death in sarilumab 400 mg

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

compared with placebo was 0.76 (95% CI, 0.51 to 1.13) overall, improving to 0.49 (95% CI, 0.25 to 0.94) in patients receiving corticosteroids at baseline.

CONCLUSION

In hospitalized patients with Covid-19 receiving MV, numerical benefits with sarilumab did not achieve statistical significance, but benefit may be greater in patients receiving corticosteroids. A larger study is required to confirm this observed numerical benefit.

(ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04315298)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a novel coronavirus first identified in December 2019,[1] is the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). While most patients with Covid-19 have mild disease, the leading cause of hospitalization and death is respiratory failure, including acute respiratory distress syndrome.[2,3] A key driver of this deterioration may be a dysregulated inflammatory response[4] based on the observation of elevated circulating levels of inflammatory mediators such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6).[5,6] Early in the pandemic, small, uncontrolled studies reported that treating hospitalized patients with Covid-19 with two different IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) blocking antibodies, tocilizumab and sarilumab, resulted in potentially dramatic clinical improvement.[7-12] More recently, two platform trials found that tocilizumab or sarilumab may improve clinical outcomes in patients with Covid-19, the majority of whom were also receiving corticosteroids.[13,14]

On March 13, 2020, Covid-19 was declared a national emergency in the United States.[15] On March 18, 2020, we initiated a clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intravenous (IV) sarilumab, an anti–IL-6R monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of hospitalized patients with Covid-19 in the United States (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04315298). Here we report the final results of this trial.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

METHODS

TRIAL DESIGN, PATIENTS, AND TREATMENTS

We conducted an adaptive, phase 2/3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial (65 sites in total, with 62 enrolling patients; see full list of sites in the **Supplementary Appendix**). Since the trial was being conducted during a pandemic with a novel coronavirus, the protocol allowed adaptations including closing treatment arms or randomization strata, modification of the provisional phase 3 end points, and sample size re-estimation before phase 3 database lock and study readout.

Eligible patients were ≥18 years of age and hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection requiring supplemental oxygen and/or assisted ventilation. All patients received local standard of care (SOC), including corticosteroids and open-label use of putative treatments for Covid-19 (see **Supplementary Appendix**).

In phase 2, patients were randomized in a 2:2:1 ratio to IV sarilumab 400 mg, sarilumab 200 mg, or placebo (instructions on dose preparation provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**). Randomization was stratified by corticosteroid use and disease severity (severe, critical, multi-system organ dysfunction [MSOD], and immunocompromised state). Further details provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

TRIAL ADAPTATIONS

A summary of protocol amendments and study adaptations are found **Supplementary Appendix**. The phase 2 and phase 3 parts of the study used the same entry and stratification criteria (**Fig. S1**) until a pre-specified phase 2 interim analysis of 457 patients indicated potential benefit of sarilumab 400 mg in patients in the critical stratum (high-flow supplemental oxygen and/or mechanical ventilation) and potential harm of sarilumab 400 mg in patients in the severe (low-flow supplemental oxygen) and MSOD strata (see **Supplementary Appendix** for complete definitions of disease severity strata). Subsequently, the Independent Data Monitoring Committee recommended discontinuation of enrollment into the severe and MSOD strata and elimination of the 200-mg dose. Thereafter, the phase 3 protocol was amended to restrict enrollment to critical patients receiving mechanical ventilation with further randomization (2:1) to sarilumab 400 mg and placebo (cohort 1; **Fig. S2**) and to add a new cohort of critical patients receiving mechanical ventilation randomized to sarilumab 800 mg or placebo (cohort 2) and a new cohort of critical patients not receiving mechanical ventilation, but requiring high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation, randomized to sarilumab 800 mg or placebo (cohort 3). In addition, the following adaptations were implemented prior to database lock: the phase 3 primary analysis population was changed to patients randomized to the critical stratum receiving MV (without extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [ECMO]) who were randomized to the sarilumab 400-mg group or placebo, the primary end point was changed from the time to ≥2-point improvement in clinical status using a 7-point ordinal scale to the proportion with ≥1-point improvement from baseline to day 22, and the sample size was re-calculated.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results from the phase 3 immunocompromised stratum (n=35 patients randomized and treated) are not shown; results for cohorts 2 and 3 (sarilumab 800 mg) are reported in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

END POINTS

The pre-specified phase 2 primary end point was the percent change from baseline in CRP level at day 4. Following the interim analysis from the phase 2 part of the study, the pre-specified primary end point for phase 3 cohort 1 was the proportion of critical patients receiving MV at baseline with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status on a 7-point ordinal scale from baseline to day 22 (Clinical Status Scale in the **Supplementary Appendix**).[16] In this population, a 1-point improvement indicates that the patient was alive and no longer receiving MV. Other pre-specified end points included the proportion of patients who died by day 60 and the proportion of patients who recovered (discharged or alive without supplemental oxygen use) by day 22. All outcomes were assessed by the site investigators, who were blinded to treatment assignment and serum IL-6 levels conducted at a central laboratory. Safety end points are described in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

STUDY OVERSIGHT

Details are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The primary analysis population for phase 2 included all randomized patients who received study drug and had high baseline IL-6 levels (above the upper limit of normal) in all disease strata. The primary analysis population for phase 3 included all patients with critical Covid-19 receiving MV without ECMO at baseline who were randomized to the sarilumab 400-mg group or placebo group. Patients in phase 2 were not included in the phase 3 portion of the study.

Hypothesis tests of superiority of sarilumab 400 mg versus placebo were performed with the stratified Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel (CMH) test for two proportions. The stratification factor was the use of corticosteroids at baseline. P values and strata-adjusted confidence intervals (CIs) from the CMH test were reported with overall type 1 error controlled at 0.05 (two-sided). The primary and key secondary end points in the phase 3 study were tested sequentially in a hierarchical manner, while preserving the overall significance level at 0.05 (two-sided). Additional statistical details are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix** and the statistical analysis plan.

Post hoc analyses were conducted in the pooled data from phase 2 and phase 3 (cohort 1) to descriptively analyze the end points of the proportion of patients with ≥1-point

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

improvement in clinical status to day 22, proportion alive and not receiving MV, and time to death. All post hoc analyses were unstratified.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

RESULTS

From the period of March 18, 2020, to July 2, 2020, 457 patients were randomized and treated in phase 2 (placebo, n=90; sarilumab 200 mg, n=187; sarilumab 400 mg, n=180), and 1365 patients were randomized and treated in phase 3 cohort 1 (placebo, n=294; sarilumab 200 mg, n=489; sarilumab 400 mg, n=582; **Fig. S2**). A summary of phase 2 and phase 3 analysis populations by disease severity strata is presented in **Table S1**. Results of the phase 2 portion of the study are presented in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

BASELINE DEMOGRAPHICS AND CHARACTERISTICS

In phase 3, 750 (54.9%) patients were randomized to the critical stratum (298 [21.8%] receiving MV at baseline, 450 [33.0%] were not receiving MV, 2 [0.3%] receiving ECMO); 347 (25.4%) were randomized to the severe stratum, and 233 (17.1%) to the MSOD stratum. The median age of patients in the critical stratum was 61 years, 68% were male, and the median duration of illness was 9.0 days. The median serum CRP, serum IL-6 concentration, and viral load in nasopharyngeal swabs were 168.80 mg/l, 110.91 pg/ml, and 3.95 $\log_{10}$ copies/ml, respectively. At randomization, 34.3% of critical patients were receiving systemic corticosteroids, and this was balanced across treatment groups (**Table 1**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Demographics and baseline characteristics for phase 3 severe and MSOD strata and for the pooled phase 2/3 dataset are presented in **Tables S2** and **S3**, respectively.

PHASE 3 EFFICACY OUTCOMES

Primary Outcome

In the pre-specified primary analysis among critical patients receiving MV, 43.2% of patients in the 400-mg group and 35.5% patients in the placebo group had ≥1-point improvement in clinical status (alive not receiving MV) at day 22 (risk difference [RD] +7.5%; 95% CI, –7.4 to 21.3; P=0.3261; **Table 2**; **Fig. 1A**), representing a relative risk improvement of 21.7%.

Secondary Outcomes

Among critical patients receiving MV at baseline, mortality by day 29 was 36.4% in the 400-mg group and 41.9% in the placebo group (RD –5.5%; 95% CI, –20.2 to 8.7; relative risk reduction 13.3%; key secondary end point) and mortality by day 60 was 39.4% in the sarilumab 400-mg group and 51.6% in the placebo group (RD –11.9%; 95% CI, –26.4 to 2.9; relative risk reduction 23.7%; **Table S4**). Time to death in critical patients receiving MV at baseline is shown in **Figure 2**. Recovery by day 22 occurred in 31.8% of critical patients receiving MV at baseline in the 400-mg group and 25.8% in the placebo group (RD +5.7%; 95% CI, –8.4 to 18.2; relative risk reduction 23.3%; key secondary end point; **Table S4**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Among critical patients not receiving MV at baseline, 57.4% of patients in the 400-mg group and 64.8% of patients in the placebo group had ≥1-point improvement in clinical status at day 22 (RD –7.5%; 95% CI, –18.3 to 3.9; **Table S4**). Mortality by day 29 was 26.5% in the 400-mg group and 15.7% in the placebo group (RD +10.7%; 95% CI, 0.9 to 19.3; **Table S4**), and mortality by day 60 was 29.9% in the 400-mg group and 25% in the placebo group (RD +4.8%; 95% CI, 5.8 to 14.6; **Table S4**). Time to death in critical patients not receiving MV at baseline is shown in **Figure 2**. Recovery by day 22 occurred in 54.4% of patients in the 400-mg group and 63.9% in the placebo group (RD –9.5%; 95% CI, –20.4 to 2.0; **Table S4**).

Prespecified exploratory analyses

There was no evidence of efficacy of sarilumab compared with placebo in severe or MSOD patients (**Table S5**; **Fig. 1**; **Fig. 2A**).

POST HOC ANALYSES

In post hoc analyses of pooled phase 2 and phase 3 critical patients receiving MV, 46.4% of patients receiving 400 mg and 31.3% of patients receiving placebo had ≥1-point improvement in clinical status at day 22 (RD 15.1%; 95% CI, 2.6 to 27.6; **Fig. 1A**). Similar findings were observed for mortality (**Fig. 1B**). No benefit in clinical status was

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

seen in critical patients not receiving MV at baseline (RD –2.6%; 95% CI, –12.9 to 7.7; **Fig. 1A**).

Among critical patients receiving MV and corticosteroids at baseline, 44.0% (22 of 50) of patients died in the 400 mg-group compared with 68.2% (15 of 22) in the placebo group (HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.94; **Fig. 1C**). Among critical patients receiving MV without corticosteroids at baseline, 37.2% (48 of 129) died in the 400 mg-group compared with 39.7% (23 of 58) in the placebo group (HR, 0.92, 95% CI, 0.56 to 1.52; **Fig. 1C**).

SAFETY

In the phase 3 portion of the study in critical patients, the safety profiles of sarilumab 200 mg and 400 mg were comparable and were similar to placebo (**Table S6**). Adverse events were consistent with advanced Covid-19 and its complications. Serious adverse events (SAEs) of cardiac arrest, Covid-19, respiratory failure, acute respiratory failure, septic shock, and acute kidney injury were reported in a similar proportion of patients in the sarilumab dose groups in comparison with placebo group, suggesting that these events were related to Covid-19 (**Table 3**).

Adverse events of special interest (AESIs) reported in more than 5% of the patients in any treatment group were elevations of liver function tests, reported numerically more

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

often in patients receiving sarilumab than placebo (**Table 3**). None of the liver transaminase elevation cases met Hy's law criteria.

In the phase 3 portion of the study, patients with severe disease or MSOD exhibited a similar safety profile to critical patients, and no new safety findings were observed (**Table S7**). Numerically, more patients reported elevation of liver function tests and SAEs in the sarilumab 200-mg and 400-mg dose groups in comparison with placebo (**Table S8**), which is expected, based on the known safety profile of sarilumab.[17]

HUMAN GENETIC STUDIES

To independently explore the association between IL-6 signaling and Covid-19 outcomes, we focused on a common partial loss of function allele in the *IL6R* gene (rs2228145:C, 39% allele frequency in Europeans) that is associated with 7% lower CRP levels,[18] reduced surface IL-6R levels,[19] 50% higher IL-6R shedding,[20] and 34% higher serum protein levels of soluble IL-6R (sIL-6R) (see Human Genetic Studies Methods in the **Supplementary Appendix**). This allele reduces risk of rheumatoid arthritis by 7%[21] and coronary artery disease by 5%,[22] while it increases the risk of asthma by 9%[23] and atopic dermatitis by 15%.[24]

Using analysis from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative,[25] we first assessed the association between the partial loss of function variant (rs2228145:C) and risk of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

hospitalization, comparing individuals with inpatient hospitalization and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (n=12,888) against population-level controls with either a negative PCR test result or no PCR test (n=1,295,966). We observed a nominal association between the partial loss of function allele (rs2228145:C) and reduced odds of hospitalization (pan-ancestry meta-analysis per-allele odds ratio, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.93 to 0.99; P=0.007; **Table S9**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

DISCUSSION

In this phase 2/3 clinical trial conducted early during the Covid-19 pandemic, sarilumab did not lead to significant improvement in clinical status or mortality in hospitalized patients with Covid-19, the majority of whom were not receiving corticosteroids as SOC. However, in phase 3 cohort 1, a numerically lower proportion of critical patients receiving mechanical ventilation in the sarilumab 400 mg had died by day 29 compared with placebo (36.4% vs 41.9%, respectively), a smaller effect than that observed with dexamethasone in the RECOVERY trial.[26] There was also no benefit of sarilumab seen in patients receiving either low- or high-flow supplemental oxygen or non-invasive ventilation. Because only a minority of patients in our trial (~30%) were receiving concomitant corticosteroids, these overall outcomes may not be generalizable to the hospitalized population receiving sarilumab in addition to corticosteroids as part of SOC.

In our post hoc analyses where we pooled phase 2 and 3 datasets, critical patients receiving MV at baseline had a mortality rate of 68% with steroids alone (placebo group) compared with 44% with steroids and sarilumab 400 mg. An additive benefit of anti–IL-6R therapy to corticosteroids in patients with Covid-19 has previously been reported in two platform trials. The REMAP-CAP trial, in which >90% of patients were receiving corticosteroids as part of SOC, reported a mortality benefit of tocilizumab and sarilumab compared with SOC alone in hospitalized patients requiring organ support.[13] The RECOVERY trial in hospitalized patients with Covid-19 with hypoxemia and CRP >75 mg/l, in which 82% of patients were receiving corticosteroids as SOC, demonstrated

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

that tocilizumab led to a statistically significant reduction in mortality (29% in the tocilizumab group versus 33% in SOC only). Tocilizumab treatment of patients not receiving mechanical ventilation reduced the risk of progressing to mechanical ventilation or death (33% vs. 38% SOC). Similar to the REMAP-CAP trial, the majority (82%) of patients were receiving corticosteroids at baseline, and the mortality benefit of tocilizumab was not seen in patients not receiving corticosteroids.[14]

Discussion of the results from a separate, large genetic association study of Covid-19 outcomes is presented in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Strengths of this trial included the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled design and stratification based on disease severity and corticosteroid use. In addition, unlike the RECOVERY trial, we systematically collected all SAEs and AESIs providing important safety information of the use of sarilumab of doses up to 800 mg in the hospitalized Covid-19 population.

Our trial also has several limitations. The phase 3 sample size estimation based on the phase 2 interim data may have led to an underpowered study, which was further impacted by the 2:1 randomization ratio, leading to a small placebo treatment group. The heterogeneity in the critically ill population as seen in clinical trials in acute respiratory distress syndrome not related to Covid-19 highlights the need for large

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

simple trials such as RECOVERY and SOLIDARITY to adequately assess efficacy of therapeutics in the hospitalized Covid-19 population.[27,28] Another limitation is that the 400-mg dose may be sub-therapeutic, as suggested by a reduction in CRP concentration in the first 7 days and a subsequent rebound (**Fig. S3**) thereafter, with similar findings reported in a separate trial using sarilumab 400 mg.[29] Although an 800-mg treatment arm was included late in the trial, the small sample size makes interpretation challenging.

Based on results from the RECOVERY and REMAP-CAP trials, IL-6R inhibitors are currently recommended in combination with corticosteroids in certain hospitalized patients.[30,31] Within the REMAP-CAP study, although the point estimates for tocilizumab and sarilumab are similar, the smaller sample size in the sarilumab group and the lack of benefit of sarilumab in multiple small trials leave open the question about whether the benefit demonstrated with tocilizumab in RECOVERY is a class effect.[32] The recent addition of tocilizumab and sarilumab into treatment guidelines will make conducting large, controlled trials with sarilumab challenging.[30,31,33] Therefore, to further inform decisions on the use of sarilumab, a meta-analysis of multiple clinical trials with sarilumab to account for heterogeneous patient populations, SOC protocols, and treatment effects will be critical and is currently being conducted.[34]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the patients, their families, and investigational site members involved in this study (principal investigators and subprincipal investigators listed in the Supplementary Appendix – Study Sites and Investigators); the members of the Independent Data Monitoring Committee (Steve Dahlberg, M.S., Mitchell Levy, M.D., Victor Ortega, M.D., Ph.D., Kevin Winthrop, M.D., Thomas Cook, Ph.D. [non-voting member], Caryn Trbovic, Ph.D., S. Balachandra Dass, Ph.D., and Brian Head, Ph.D., from Regeneron Pharmaceuticals) for assistance with development of the manuscript; and Prime, Knutsford, United Kingdom, for manuscript formatting and copy-editing suggestions.

We dedicate this article to the memory of Colby Burk, M.S., for his contributions and commitment to patients with Covid-19.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FUNDING

Supported by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Sanofi. Certain aspects of this project have been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority, under OT number: HHSO100201700020C.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

DATA SHARING

A data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Ethics declaration

Ethics approval was obtained from the following ethics review boards: WCG IRB, Puyallup, WA (IRB00000533); Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR (MOD00027616); Institutional Review Board University of Florida, Gainesville, FL (IRB202000779); Columbia University, Human Research Protection Office and IRBs, New York, NY (IRB-AAAS9615); Johns Hopkins Medicine, Office of Human Subjects Research, IRB, Baltimore, MD (IRB00246576/CIR00058074); NYU School Of Medicine, New York, NY (I20-00351_MOD03); Northwestern University IRB, Chicago, IL (STU00212239-MOD0012); Westchester Medical Center, NY Medical College, Office of Research Administration, Valhalla, NY (IRBReg#00000428); Portland Health and Services IRB Providence – St. Johns, Portland, OR (MOD2020001024); Cornell Weill Medicine IRB, New York, NY (IRB00009419); Providence St. Joseph IRB, Renton, WA (MOD2020001029); Providence Health and Services IRB, Portland, OR (MOD2020000519); Ascension St. John Hospital, Tulsa, OK (IRB#00001980); Geisinger IRB, Danville, PA (IRB#0008345).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Ludwig S, Zarbock A. Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2: a brief overview. Anesth Analg 2020;131:93-6.

2. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020;323:1061-9.

3. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med 2020;180:934-43.

4. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet 2020;395:1033-4.

5. Zhang W, Zhao Y, Zhang F, et al. The use of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of people with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): The Perspectives of clinical immunologists from China. Clin Immunol 2020;214:108393.

6. Del Valle DM, Kim-Schulze S, Hsin-Hui H, et al. An inflammatory cytokine signature helps predict COVID-19 severity and death. Nat Med 2020;26:1636-43.

7. Xu X, Han M, Li T, et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020;117:10970-5.

8. Luo P, Liu Y, Qiu L, Liu X, Liu D, Li J. Tocilizumab treatment in COVID-19: A single center experience. J Med Virol 2020;92:814-8.

9. Morena V, Milazzo L, Oreni L, et al. Off-label use of tocilizumab for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Milan, Italy. Eur J Intern Med 2020;76:36-42.

10. Benucci M, Giannasi G, Cecchini P, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia treated with Sarilumab: a clinical series of eight patients. J Med Virol 2020;92:2368-70.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

11. Montesarchio V, Parrela R, Iommelli C, et al. Outcomes and biomarker analyses among patients with COVID-19 treated with interleukin 6 (IL-6) receptor antagonist sarilumab at a single institution in Italy. J Immunother Cancer 2020;8:e001089.

12. Price CC, Altice FL, Shyr Y, et al. Tocilizumab treatment for cytokine release syndrome in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: survival and clinical outcomes. Chest 2020;158:1397-408.

13. Gordon AC, Mouncey PR, Al-Beidh F, et al. Interleukin-6 receptor antagonists in critically ill patients with Covid-19 – preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2021:2021.01.07.21249390.

14. Horby PW, Pessoa-Amorim G, Peto L, et al. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): preliminary results of a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. medRxiv 2021:2021.02.11.21249258.

15. Declaring a national emergency concerning the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. March 18, 2020. (Accessed 12 April 2021, at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/03/18/2020-05794/declaring-a-national-emergency-concerning-the-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak.)

16. Peterson RL, Vock DM, Powers JH, et al. Analysis of an ordinal endpoint for use in evaluating treatments for severe influenza requiring hospitalization. Clin Trials 2017;14:264-76.

17. Fleischmann R, Genovese MC, Lin Y, et al. Long-term safety of sarilumab in rheumatoid arthritis: an integrated analysis with up to 7 years' follow-up. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2020;59:292-302.

18. Sarwar N, Butterworth AS, Freitag DF, et al. Interleukin-6 receptor pathways in coronary heart disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 82 studies. Lancet 2012;379:1205-13.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

19. Ferreira RC, Freitag DF, Cutler AJ, et al. Functional IL6R 358Ala allele impairs classical IL-6 receptor signaling and influences risk of diverse inflammatory diseases. PLoS Genet 2013;9:e1003444.

20. Garbers C, Monhasery N, Aparicio-Siegmund S, et al. The interleukin-6 receptor Asp358Ala single nucleotide polymorphism rs2228145 confers increased proteolytic conversion rates by ADAM proteases. Biochim Biophys Acta 2014;1842:1485-94.

21. Okada Y, Wu D, Trynka G, et al. Genetics of rheumatoid arthritis contributes to biology and drug discovery. Nature 2014;506:376-81.

22. Swerdlow DI, Holmes MV, Kuchenbaecker KB, et al. The interleukin-6 receptor as a target for prevention of coronary heart disease: a mendelian randomisation analysis. Lancet 2012;379:1214-24.

23. Ferreira MA, Matheson MC, Duffy DL, et al. Identification of IL6R and chromosome 11q13.5 as risk loci for asthma. Lancet 2011;378:1006-14.

24. Esparza-Gordillo J, Schaarschmidt H, Liang L, et al. A functional IL-6 receptor (IL6R) variant is a risk factor for persistent atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;132:371-7.

25. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic. Eur J Hum Genet 2020;28:715-8.

26. Recovery Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021;384:693-704.

27. Randomised evaluation of COVID-19 therapy (RECOVERY). 2020. at https://www.recoverytrial.net/files/recovery-protocol-v7-0-2020-06-18.pdf.)

28. Pan H, Peto R, Henao-Restrepo AM, et al. Repurposed antiviral drugs for Covid-19 - interim WHO solidarity trial results. N Engl J Med 2021;384:497-511.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

29. Lescure F-X, Honda H, Fowler RA, et al. Sarilumab treatment of hospitalised patients with severe or critical COVID-19: a multinational, randomised, adaptive, phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 2021:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-600(21)00099-0.

30. The COVID-19 treatment guidelines panel's statement on the use of tocilizumab for the treatment of COVID-19. 2021. (Accessed 10 March 2021, 2021, at https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/statement-on-tocilizumab/.)

31. Interim clinical commissioning policy: sarilumab for critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (adults). 2021. (Accessed 10 March 2021, 2021, at https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2021/02/C1142-interim-clinical-commissioning-policy-sarilumab-rps-v2.pdf.)

32. Xu C, Rafique A, Potocky T, et al. Differential binding of sarilumab and tocilizumab to IL-6Rα and effects of receptor occupancy on clinical parameters. J Clin Pharmacol 2021;61:714-24.

33. Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19. 2021. (Accessed 15 March 2021, at https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/.)

34. Murthy S, Lee TC. IL-6 blockade for COVID-19: a global scientific call to arms. Lancet Respir Med 2021.

TABLES

Table 1. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Stratum: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population).*

	Critical Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline				Critical Patients Not Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline				All Critical Patients			
	Placebo Group (N=62)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=104)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=132)	Total (N=298)	Placebo Group (N=108)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=138)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=204)	Total (N-450)	Placebo Group (N=170)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=242)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=338)	Total (N=750)
Median age— years (Q1:Q3)	62.0 (50.0:69.0)	57.0 (49.0:68.0)	62.0 (51.5:72.0)	60.0 (50.0:70.0)	60.0 (51.5:71.0)	59.0 (50.0:69.0)	63.5 (53.0:72.0)	61.0 (52.0:71.0)	60.5 (51.0:70.0)	59.0 (50.0:69.0)	63.0 (52.0:72.0)	61.0 (51.0:71.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	43 (69.4)	70 (67.3)	88 (66.7)	201 (67.4)	68 (63.0)	105 (76.1)	139 (68.1)	312 (69.3)	111 (65.3)	175 (72.3)	227 (67.2)	531 (68.4)
Ethnicity — no. (%)												
Hispanic or Latino	23 (37.1)	30 (28.8)	41 (31.1)	94 (31.5)	36 (33.3)	47 (34.1)	74 (36.3)	157 (34.9)	59 (34.7)	77 (31.8)	115 (34.0)	251 (33.5)
Not Hispanic or Latino	27 (43.5)	53 (51.0)	71 (53.8)	151 (50.7)	60 (55.6)	66 (47.8)	90 (44.1)	216 (48.0)	87 (51.2)	119 (49.2)	163 (48.2)	369 (49.2)
Not reported	5 (8.1)	10 (9.6)	12 (9.1)	27 (9.1)	8 (7.4)	17 (12.3)	26 (12.7)	51 (11.3)	13 (7.6)	27 (11.2)	38 (11.2)	78 (10.4)
Unknown	7 (11.3)	11 (10.6)	8 (6.1)	26 (8.7)	4 (3.7)	8 (5.8)	14 (6.9)	26 (5.8)	11 (6.5)	19 (7.9)	22 (6.5)	52 (6.9)
Race — no. (%)												
White	33 (53.2)	41 (39.4)	54 (40.9)	128 (43.0)	41 (38.0)	48 (34.8)	73 (35.8)	162 (36.0)	74 (43.5)	89 (36.8)	127 (37.6)	290 (38.7)
Black or African American	5 (8.1)	18 (17.3)	32 (24.2)	55 (18.5)	18 (16.7)	21 (15.2)	31 (15.2)	70 (15.6)	23 (13.5)	39 (16.1)	65 (19.2)	127 (16.9)
Asian	7 (11.3)	6 (5.8)	4 (3.0)	17 (5.7)	6 (5.6)	4 (2.9)	4 (2.0)	14 (3.1)	13 (7.6)	10 (4.1)	8 (2.4)	31 (4.1)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	1 (1.0)	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.9)	0	1 (0.5)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (0.3)	3 (0.4)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.7)	0	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.4)	0	1 (0.1)
Not reported	14 (22.6)	26 (25.0)	30 (22.7)	70 (23.5)	21 (19.4)	42 (30.4)	64 (31.4)	127 (28.2)	35 (20.6)	68 (28.1)	94 (27.8)	197 (26.3)
Other	3 (4.8)	12 (11.5)	12 (9.1)	27 (9.1)	21 (19.4)	22 (15.9)	31 (15.2)	74 (16.4)	24 (14.1)	34 (14.0)	43 (12.7)	101 (13.5)
Mean weight — kg	86.34±20.49	92.31±22.21	96.63±29.35	93.06±25.64	89.38±22.93	87.79±22.36	88.57±24.57	88.53±23.46	88.28±22.07	89.73±22.36	92.18±27.22	90.51±24.63
Body-mass index†	30.78±6.95	32.11±7.64	33.20±9.37	32.34±8.39	31.25±7.63	30.30±6.78	31.60±7.43	31.12±7.29	31.08±7.37	31.08±7.20	32.41±8.61	31.69±7.93
CRP, mg/l, n‡, median (min:max)	59, 171.003 (9.00:579.00)	100, 207.467 (5.00:591.00)	121, 194.000 (10.00:464.48)	280, 194.000 (5.00:591.00)	103, 143.000 (1.70:476.81)	123, 151.000 (13.00:464.00)	192, 156.540 (1.20:439.71)	418, 149.800 (1.20:476.81)	162, 146.851 (1.70:579.00)	223, 179.004 (5.00:591.00)	314, 171.037 (1.20:464.48)	699 168.800 (1.20:591.00)
IL-6, pg/ml, n‡, median (min:max)	55, 125.000 (9.59:2324.82)	90, 171.800 (9.59:6531.58)	114, 167.785 (9.59:3493.88)	259, 163.530 (9.59:6531.58)	103, 62.100 (9.59:1572.64)	117, 67.470 (6.82:1436.60)	174, 88.095 (9.59:1032.44)	394, 72.230 (6.82:1572.64)	158, 78.990 (9.59:2324.82)	207, 115.320 (6.82:6531.58)	290, 123.735 (9.59:3493.88)	655, 110.910 (6.82:6531.58)
Viral load, $\log_{10}$ copies/ml, median (min:max)	42, 3.90 (0.00:7.85)	78, 4.58 (0.00:7.85)	106, 4.30 (0.00:7.85)	226, 4.27 (0.00:7.85)	82, 3.65 (0.00:7.64)	92, 3.63 (0.00:7.85)	155, 3.59 (0.00:7.85)	329, 3.64 (0.00:7.85)	124, 3.68 (0.00:7.85)	170, 3.99 (0.00:7.85)	263, 4.09 (0.00:7.85)	557, 3.95 (0.00:7.85)
Comorbidities — no. (%)												
Hypertension	28 (45.2)	42 (40.4)	67 (50.8)	137 (46.0)	64 (59.3)	71 (51.4)	117 (57.4)	252 (56.0)	92 (54.1)	113 (46.7)	186 (55.0)	391 (52.1)
Diabetes	10 (16.1)	22 (21.2)	19 (14.4)	51 (17.1)	21 (19.4)	31 (22.5)	37 (18.1)	89 (19.8)	31 (18.2)	53 (21.9)	56 (16.6)	140 (18.7)
Obesity§	29 (46.8)	46 (44.2)	75 (56.8)	150 (50.3)	48 (44.4)	52 (37.7)	97 (47.5)	197 (43.8)	77 (45.3)	98 (40.5)	174 (51.5)	349 (46.5)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	21 (33.9)	25 (24.0)	38 (28.8)	84 (28.2)	41 (38.0)	49 (35.5)	83 (40.7)	173 (38.4)	62 (36.5)	74 (30.6)	121 (35.8)	257 (34.3)

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, IL-6 interleukin-6, ITT intention-to-treat, IV intravenous, SD standard deviation, and Q quartile.
[†]The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.
[‡]Patients with available data.
[§]Body-mass index>30 kg/m^2.
Demographics for MSOD and severe patients are presented in Supplementary Appendix – Table S3.

Table 2. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Primary Efficacy End Point.*

Proportion of patients with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status from baseline to day 22 (critical ITT patients receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline)			
	Placebo Group (N=62)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=104)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=132)**
no. – (%)	22 (35.5)	45 (43.3)	57 (43.2)
95% CI	23.6–47.4	33.7–52.8	34.7–51.6
Risk difference vs. placebo (%)	-	7.1%	7.5%
95% CI	-	-8.4%–21.7%	-7.4%–21.3%
P value	-	0.3707	0.3261

*CI denotes confidence interval, ITT intention-to-treat, IV intravenous.

Table 3. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Stratum: Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population).*

System Organ Class Preferred Term	Critical Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline			Critical Patients Not Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline			All Critical Patients		
	Placebo (N=62)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=104)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=132)	Placebo (N=108)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=138)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=204)	Placebo (N=170)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=242)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=338)
SAEs									
SAEs — no.	103	143	174	96	114	183	199	257	359
Patients with ≥1 SAE — no. (%)	44 (71.0)	65 (62.5)	88 (66.7)	45 (41.7)	54 (39.1)	93 (45.6)	89 (52.4)	119 (49.2)	183 (54.1)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)									
Covid-19	6 (9.7)	9 (8.7)	9 (6.8)	9 (8.3)	10 (7.2)	14 (6.9)	15 (8.8)	19 (7.9)	23 (6.8)
Septic shock	6 (9.7)	5 (4.8)	6 (4.5)	3 (2.8)	1 (0.7)	6 (2.9)	9 (5.3)	6 (2.5)	12 (3.6)
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)									
Respiratory failure	5 (8.1)	9 (8.7)	7 (5.3)	10 (9.3)	14 (10.1)	14 (6.9)	15 (8.8)	23 (9.5)	22 (6.5)
Acute respiratory failure	3 (4.8)	4 (3.8)	5 (3.8)	7 (6.5)	2 (1.4)	12 (5.9)	10 (5.9)	6 (2.5)	17 (5.0)
Pneumothorax	2 (3.2)	6 (5.8)	1 (0.8)	3 (2.8)	2 (1.4)	8 (3.9)	5 (2.9)	8 (3.3)	9 (2.7)
Cardiac disorders — no. (%)									
Cardiac arrest	5 (8.1)	6 (5.8)	11 (8.3)	2 (1.9)	1 (0.7)	14 (6.9)	7 (4.1)	7 (2.9)	25 (7.4)
Renal and urinary disorders — no. (%)									
Acute kidney injury	6 (9.7)	9 (8.7)	3 (2.3)	4 (3.7)	3 (2.2)	7 (3.4)	10 (5.9)	12 (5.0)	10 (3.0)
General disorders and administration site conditions — no. (%)									
Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome	3 (4.8)	1 (1.0)	8 (6.1)	1 (0.9)	3 (2.2)	2 (1.0)	4 (2.4)	4 (1.7)	10 (3.0)
AESIs									
AESIs — no.	61	102	128	49	89	124	110	191	255
Patients with ≥1 AESI — no. (%)	31 (50.0)	56 (53.8)	71 (53.8)	30 (27.8)	51 (37.0)	77 (37.7)	61 (35.9)	107 (44.2)	150 (44.4)
Investigations — no. (%)									
Alanine aminotransferase increased	8 (12.9)	23 (22.1)	16 (12.1)	13 (12.0)	23 (16.7)	37 (18.1)	21 (12.4)	46 (19.0)	55 (16.3)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	8 (12.9)	21 (20.2)	18 (13.6)	12 (11.1)	19 (13.8)	19 (9.3)	20 (11.8)	40 (16.5)	38 (11.2)
Transaminases increased	3 (4.8)	4 (3.8)	12 (9.1)	3 (2.8)	3 (2.2)	16 (7.8)	6 (3.5)	7 (2.9)	28 (8.3)
Liver function test increased	2 (3.2)	2 (1.9)	8 (6.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.8)	2 (0.8)	10 (3.0)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)									
Pneumonia	4 (6.5)	3 (2.9)	8 (6.1)	2 (1.9)	1 (0.7)	3 (1.5)	6 (3.5)	4 (1.7)	11 (3.3)
Staphylococcal infection	4 (6.5)	2 (1.9)	5 (3.8)	2 (1.9)	2 (1.4)	3 (1.5)	6 (3.5)	4 (1.7)	8 (2.4)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, SAE serious adverse event.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. A) Risk difference for various population strata achieving ≥1 point improvement in clinical status from baseline to Day 22 using the 7-point ordinal scale; B) hazard ratios for the risk of death in various population strata; C) hazard ratios for the risk of death in various population strata by steroid use.

*Key secondary endpoint – phase 3 (cohort 1)

†Primary endpoint – phase 3 (cohort 1)

‡Hazard ratio could not be calculated, as the number of events was too small.

§Unstratified analysis.

CI, confidence interval; EITT, exploratory intention-to-treat; ITT, intention-to-treat; IV, intravenous; MSOD, multi-systemic organ dysfunction.

End point
Population - stratum

A. Risk Difference for Various Population Strata Achieving ≥1 Point Improvement in Clinical Status from Baseline to Day 22 Using the 7-Point Ordinal Scale

		Patients, no (%)			Risk Difference (95% CI)	
		Placebo	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV
Severe	Phase 2 (ITT)	23/25 (92.0)	46/50 (92.0)	35/51 (68.6)	0.0 (–13.0, 13.0)	–23.4 (–40.0, –6.8)
	Phase 3 (EITT)	58/70 (82.9)	116/140 (82.9)	108/137 (78.8)	0.0 (–10.0, 11.8)	–4.0 (–14.4, 8.0)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	81/95 (85.3)	162/190 (85.3)	143/188 (76.1)	0.0 (–8.7, 8.7)	–9.2 (–18.6, 0.2)
Critical	Phase 2 (ITT)	15/44 (34.1)	45/94 (47.9)	53/88 (60.2)	13.8 (–3.5, 31.0)	26.1 (8.8, 43.5)
	Phase 3 (ITT)*	92/170 (54.1)	137/242 (56.6)	174/338 (51.5)	2.5 (–7.2, 12.2)	–2.6 (–11.7, 6.5)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	107/214 (50.0)	182/335 (54.3)	226/423 (53.4)	4.3 (–4.2, 12.9)	3.4 (–4.8, 11.6)
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation	Phase 3 (ITT)†	22/62 (35.5)	45/104 (43.3)	57/132 (43.2)	7.1 (–8.4, 21.7)	7.5 (–7.4, 21.3)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	25/80 (31.3)	76/164 (46.3)	83/179 (46.4)	15.1 (2.4, 27.8)	15.1, (2.6, 27.6)
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation	Phase 3 (ITT)	70/108 (64.8)	92/138 (66.7)	117/204 (57.4)	2.0 (–9.7, 13.9)	–7.5 (–18.3, 3.9)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	82/134 (61.2)	106/171 (62.0)	143/244 (58.6)	0.8 (–10.2, 11.8)	–2.6 (–12.9, 7.7)
MSOD	Phase 2 (ITT)	10/21 (47.6)	17/43 (39.5)	18/41 (43.9)	–8.1 (–34.0, 17.8)	–3.7 (–29.9, 22.5)
	Phase 3 (EITT)	16/46 (34.8)	36/95 (37.9)	30/92 (32.6)	3.1 –14.0, 18.8)	–2.2 (–19.0, 13.6)



B. Hazard Ratios for the Risk of Death in Various Population Strata

		Patients, no (%)			Hazard Ratio vs. Placebo (95% CI)	
		Placebo	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV
Severe	Phase 2 (ITT)	0/25 (0.0)	2/50 (4.0)	9/51 (17.6)	NA‡	NA‡
	Phase 3 (EITT)	9/70 (12.9)	12/140 (8.6)	21/137 (15.3)	0.64 (0.27, 1.51)	1.17 (0.54, 2.56)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	9/95 (9.5)	14/190 (7.4)	30/188 (16.0)	0.78 (0.34, 1.80)	1.73 (0.82, 3.64)
Critical	Phase 2 (ITT)	15/44 (34.1)	38/94 (40.4)	23/88 (26.1)	1.21 (0.66, 2.21)	0.70 (0.37, 1.35)
	Phase 3 (ITT)*	59/170 (34.7)	70/242 (28.9)	114/338 (33.7)	0.81 (0.57, 1.14)	1.00 (0.73, 1.36)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	74/214 (34.6)	107/335 (31.9)	136/423 (32.2)	0.93 (0.69, 1.25)	0.94 (0.71, 1.25)
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation	Phase 3 (ITT)†	32/62 (51.6)	39/104 (37.5)	52/132 (39.4)	0.65 (0.41, 1.03)	0.69 (0.44, 1.07)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	38/80 (47.5)	63/164 (38.4)	70/179 (39.1)	0.77 (0.51, 1.15)	0.76 (0.51, 1.13)
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation	Phase 3 (ITT)	27/108 (25.0)	31/138 (22.5)	61/204 (29.9)	0.90 (0.54, 1.51)	1.30 (0.82, 2.04)
	Integrated phase 2/3 (ITT)§	36/134 (26.9)	44/171 (25.7)	66/244 (27.0)	0.99 (0.64, 1.53)	1.07 (0.71, 1.61)
MSOD	Phase 2 (ITT)	9/21 (42.9)	20/43 (46.5)	17/41 (41.5)	1.15 (0.52, 2.53)	1.02 (0.45, 2.30)
	Phase 3 (EITT)	16/46 (34.8)	40/95 (42.1)	40/92 (43.5)	1.27 (0.71, 2.28)	1.32 (0.74, 2.36)



C. Hazard Ratios for the Risk of Death in Various Population Strata by Steroid Use

		Patients, no (%)			Hazard Ratio vs. Placebo (95% CI)	
		Placebo	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV	Sarilumab 200 mg IV	Sarilumab 400 mg IV
Severe	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT steroids§	5/26 (19.2)	3/53 (5.7)	12/50 (24.0)	0.27 (0.07, 1.14)	1.23 (0.43, 3.50)
	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT no steroids§	4/69 (5.8)	11/137 (8.0)	18/138 (13.0)	1.41 (0.45, 4.41)	2.33 (0.79, 6.88)
Critical	Integrated phase 2/3 – ITT steroids§	28/69 (40.6)	29/94 (30.9)	50/137 (36.5)	0.71 (0.42, 1.20)	0.91 (0.57, 1.44)
	Integrated phase 2/3 – ITT no steroids§	46/145 (31.7)	78/241 (32.4)	86/286 (30.1)	1.06 (0.74, 1.53)	0.96 (0.67, 1.38)
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT steroids§	15/22 (68.2)	17/37 (45.9)	22/50 (44.0)	0.50 (0.25, 1.01)	0.49 (0.25, 0.94)
	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT no steroids§	23/58 (39.7)	46/127 (36.2)	48/129 (37.2)	0.92 (0.56, 1.53)	0.92 (0.56, 1.52)
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT steroids§	13/47 (27.7)	12/57 (21.1)	28/87 (32.2)	0.76 (0.35, 1.66)	1.31 (0.68, 2.52)
	Integrated phase 2/3 ITT no steroids§	23/87 (26.4)	32/114 (28.1)	38/157 (24.2)	1.11 (0.65, 1.90)	0.95 (0.56, 1.59)



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Kaplan Meier curve of time to death in the phase 3 (cohort 1) for A) severe patients (EITT Population); B) critical patients on mechanical ventilation at baseline (ITT Population); C) critical patients not on mechanical ventilation at baseline (ITT Population).

A. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe Patients (EITT Population)



Number of patients alive

Placebo	70	70	69	67	66	66	65	65	65	64	62	62	61	61	60	58	58	58	58	58	58	58	58	57	57	57	56	53	51	43	29	19	10	7
Sarilumab 200 mg IV	140	140	139	134	131	131	130	129	126	126	126	126	125	125	124	123	123	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	116	103	89	58	38	20	8
Sarilumab 400 mg IV	137	137	135	133	132	130	127	125	123	122	122	122	122	120	119	118	114	114	114	114	113	113	113	112	112	112	111	106	94	87	51	31	14	9

B. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Patients on Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (ITT Population)



Number of patients alive

Placebo	62	60	58	51	48	45	44	42	40	38	38	37	37	35	35	34	34	34	32	31	30	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	27	25	13	7	7	5
Sarilumab 200 mg IV	104	101	98	96	93	87	82	78	76	72	72	71	70	70	70	67	67	67	66	66	65	65	65	65	65	64	64	63	62	54	36	25	21	19
Sarilumab 400 mg IV	132	130	124	117	112	107	103	100	97	95	90	89	87	86	84	83	82	82	81	81	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	76	68	49	36	30	21

C. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Patients Not on Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (ITT Population)



Number of patients alive

Placebo	108	108	106	104	101	97	95	94	93	93	92	91	91	91	91	90	89	89	88	86	84	83	82	82	81	80	80	79	74	69	42	28	19	14
Sarilumab 200 mg IV	138	138	135	130	129	127	124	122	121	120	119	118	116	114	111	110	110	110	109	108	108	106	106	105	104	103	102	99	89	79	45	27	19	13
Sarilumab 400 mg IV	204	201	194	187	178	168	165	162	161	158	156	155	154	153	148	145	143	141	141	140	140	140	140	138	137	135	135	135	124	117	82	51	33	26

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial of Sarilumab in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX

Table of Contents

Study Sites and Investigators 3
Regeneron Sarilumab-COVID-19 Study Team 5
Supplementary Methods 6
- Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria 6
- Dose Preparation 10
- Definitions of Disease Severity Strata 11
- Study Drug Administration and Data Collection 12
- Summary of Key Protocol Amendments and Study Adaptations 13
- Clinical Status Scale 15
- Safety End Points 16
- Study Oversight 17
- Statistical Methods 18
- Human Genetic Studies Methods 24

Phase 2 Study Results 25
- Phase 2: Flow Diagram 25
- Phase 2: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population) 26
- Phase 2: Primary Efficacy End Point (mITT population) 27
- Phase 2: Summary of Selected Secondary End Points (ITT Population) 28
- Phase 2: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population) 30
- Phase 2: Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population) 31

Phase 3 (Cohort 2) Study Results 32
- Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Flow Diagram 32
- Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population) 33
- Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population) 34
- Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population) 35

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 3) Study Results 37
Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Flow Diagram 37
Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population) .. 38
Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population) 39
Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population) 40
Supplementary Figures 41
Figure S1. Schematic Overview of the Study Design (Phase 2 and Phase 3) 41
Figure S2. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Flow Diagram 42
Figure S3. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): CRP Over Time in Critical Patients (Safety Population) 43
Supplementary Tables 45
Table S1. Summary of Analysis Populations by Disease Severity Strata 45
Table S2. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (EITT Population) 47
Table S3. Integrated Phase 2/3 Population: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population) 49
Table S4. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Summary of Primary and Selected Secondary Efficacy End Points in Critical Strata 50
Table S5. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Summary of Primary and Selected Secondary Efficacy End Points in Severe and MSOD Strata 52
Table S6. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Stratum: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population) 54
Table S7. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population) 56
Table S8. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population) 57
Table S9. Association Between the *IL6R* Shedding Variant rs2228145:C and COVID-19 Outcomes 58
Appendix References 59

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Study Sites and Investigators

Name of Principal Investigator	Site Name, State
Judith Aberg	Mt. Sinai – Morningside, NY Mt. Sinai – West, NY Mt. Sinai – Beth Israel, NY Mt. Sinai – Icahn School of Medicine, NY
Timothy Albertson	University of California Davis, CA
Danny Branstetter	Wellstar Kennestone, GA
Mark Brantly	University of Florida, FL
Daniel Brotman	Johns Hopkins University, MD
Samuel M. Brown	Intermountain Medical Center, UT
Thomas Campbell	University of Colorado, Denver, CO
Steven Chang	UCLA Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, CA
Donald Chen	Westchester Medical Center, NY
Geoffrey Chupp	Yale New Haven Hospital, CT
Gerard J. Criner	Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, PA
Marjolein de Wit	Virginia Commonwealth, VA
George Diaz	Providence – Everett Regional Medical Center, WA
Svetolik Djurkovic	Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, VA
Christian Engell	Newark Beth Israel Medical Center, NJ
Jay Finigan	National Jewish Health – Denver, CO
Bettina Fries	Stony Brook University, NY
Dahlene Fusco	Tulane, LA
Marshall Glesby	Cornell Weill Medical Center, NY
Michelle Gong	Montefiore – Weiler Hospital, NY Montefiore – Moses, NY
Robert Gottlieb	Baylor University Medical Center, TX
Kevin Gregg	University of Michigan, MI
Negin Hajizadeh	Northwell Health – Lenox Hill, NY Northwell Health, Northshore University Hospital, Manhasset, NY Northwell Health, Long Island Jewish Hospital, New Hyde Park, NY
Robert Hallowell	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, MA
Teresa Hammond	Providence – St. Johns, CA
Akram Khan	Oregon Health & Science University, OR
Kami Kim	University of South Florida, FL
Andreas Klein	Tufts Medical Center, MA
Jerry Krishnan	University of Illinois at Chicago, IL
Yu Shia Lin	Maimonides Medical Center, NY
Edward Liu	Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine and Jersey Shore University Medical Center, NJ
George Marshall Lyon	Emory University Hospital, GA
Anuj Malik	Ascension St. John Hospital, OK
Jain Mamta	UT South Western, TX
Michael K. Mansour	Massachusetts General Hospital, MA
Vidya Menon	Lincoln Medical Center, NY
Amay Parikh	Florida Hospital, Orlando, AdventHealth, FL
William Park	UW Medicine/Valley Medical Center, WA
Igor Puzanov	Roswell Park – Buffalo, NY
Farbod Raiszadeh	Harlem Hospital, NY
Raymond Razonable	Mayo Clinic, MN

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Fariborz Rezai	Saint Barnabas Medical Center – RWJBarnabas Health, NJ
Ruxana Sadikot	Atlanta VA Medical Center, GA
Suraj Saggar	Holy Name Medical Center, NJ
Carlos Salama	Elmhurst Hospital, NY
John Sensakovic	Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine and JFK Hospital, NJ
Paul Simonelli	Geisinger – Medical Center, PA Geisinger – Community Medical Center, PA Geisinger – Wyoming Valley, PA
Magdalena Sobieszczyk	Columbia University, NY
Steven Sperber	Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine and Hackensack University Medical Center – Hackensack, NJ
David Stein	Jacobi Medical Center, NY
Daniel Sterman	New York University, NY
Stephen Walsh	Brigham and Women's Hospital, MA
Jason Wells	Providence Health and Services, Portland, OR
Eric Whitman	Atlantic Center for Research, NJ
Glenn Wortmann	MedStar (Washington Hospital Center), Washington, DC
Richard G. Wunderink	Northwestern University, IL
Daniel Kett	University of Miami, UMMG Infection Control, FL

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Regeneron Sarilumab-COVID-19 Study Team

Moetaz Albizem, Gayatri Anand, Dhanalakshmi Barron, Georgia Bellingham, Alison Brown, Colby Burk, Wilson Caldwell, Michael N. Cantor, S. Balachandra Dass, John Davis, Ajla Dupljak, Haitao Gao, Evelyn Gasparino, Lori Geisler, Ruchin Gorawala, Ingeborg Heirman, Olga Herrera, Matthew Houghton, Susan Irvin, Denise Kennedy, Yasmin Khan, Carol Lee, Kelly Lewis-Amezcua, Qin Li, Leah Lipsich, Adnan Mahmood, Marco Mancini, Jutta Miller, Michael Partridge, Christina Perry, Valancia Reddick, Arsalan Shabbir, Nirav Shah, Kenneth Turner, Dana Wolken, Joseph Wolken, Sonia Yanes, and Jeannie Yo.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Methods

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

A patient must meet the following criteria to be eligible for inclusion in the study:

1) Male or female adult ≥18 years of age at time of enrollment
2) Hospitalized (or documentation of a plan to admit to the hospital if the patient is in an emergency department) with illness of any duration with evidence of pneumonia by chest radiograph, chest computed tomography or chest auscultation (rales, crackles), requires supplemental oxygen and/or assisted ventilation and meets 1 of the following criteria:

Phase 2 and Phase 3 Cohort 1: must meet at least 1 of the following at baseline (patients meeting more than one criterion will be categorized in the most severely affected category):

- **Severe disease**
  - Requires supplemental oxygen administration by nasal cannula, simple face mask, or other similar oxygen delivery device (i.e., above pre–COVID-19 baseline requirement, if any, by the patient)
- **Critical disease**
  - Requires supplemental oxygen delivered by non-rebreather mask or high-flow nasal cannula, <u>OR</u>
  - Use of invasive or non-invasive ventilation, <u>OR</u>
  - Requiring treatment in an intensive care unit

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- **Multi-system organ dysfunction**
  - Multi-system organ dysfunction**:** use of vasopressors, extracorporeal life support, or renal replacement therapy

    Note: patients receiving vasopressors for reasons other than circulatory shock (e.g., related to sedation or mechanical ventilation) may be randomized into the critical stratum
- **Immunocompromised**
  - Immunocompromised patients (or on immunosuppressant treatments)

1. Laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection as determined by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) result from any specimen (or other commercial or public health assay) within 2 weeks prior to randomization and no alternative explanation for current clinical condition
2. Willing and/or able to comply with study-related procedures/assessments
3. Provide informed consent signed by study patient or legally acceptable representative

Exclusion Criteria

A patient who meets any of the following criteria will be excluded from the study:

1. In the opinion of the investigator, not expected to survive for more than 48 hours from screening

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2. Presence of any of the following abnormal laboratory values at screening: absolute neutrophil count <2000 mm^3, aspartate aminotransferase or alanine aminotransferase >5x upper limit of normal, platelets <50,000 per mm^3
3. Treatment with anti–IL-6, anti–IL-6R antagonists, or with Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi) in the past 30 days or plans to receive during the study period
4. Current treatment with the simultaneous combination of leflunomide and methotrexate
5. Exclusion criteria related to tuberculosis (TB)
   a. Known active TB or a history of incompletely treated TB
   b. Suspected or known extrapulmonary TB
6. Patients with suspected or known active systemic bacterial or fungal infections

   Note: Patients with a history of positive bacterial or fungal cultures but on enrollment do not have suspected or known active systemic bacterial or fungal infections may be enrolled
7. Participation in a double-blind clinical research study evaluating an investigational product or therapy within 3 months and less than 5 half-lives of investigational product prior to the screening visit

   Exception: The use of remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, or other treatments being used for COVID-19 treatments in the context of an open-label study, emergency use authorization, compassionate use protocol, or open-label use is permitted
8. Any physical examination findings, and/or history of any illness, concomitant medications, or recent live vaccines that, in the opinion of the study investigator,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

might confound the results of the study or pose an additional risk to the patient by their participation in the study

9. Known systemic hypersensitivity to sarilumab or the excipients of the drug product

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Dose Preparation

Sarilumab (Kevzara®) was provided in a 200 mg pre-filled syringe containing 175 mg/mL of active drug and was administered intravenously (IV) over 1-hour via bags containing 100 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride solution, followed by flush with 0.9% sodium chloride for an additional 15 minutes.

The infusion was stored at room temperature prior to administration and was administered within 4 hours of preparation. Infusion through either central or peripheral lines was allowed. Direct mixing of sarilumab with other drug(s) was prohibited. When it was needed to administer sarilumab concomitantly with other drug(s) (i.e., if a common IV infusion set or central catheter [central line] was used), appropriate steps (e.g., flush before and after administration of sarilumab) was recommended to prevent sarilumab from mixing with other drug(s) while in the infusion set or central catheter.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Definitions of Disease Severity Strata

Severe stratum was defined as patients receiving low-flow supplemental oxygen. Critical stratum was defined as requiring supplemental oxygen by nonrebreather mask or high-flow nasal device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation (MV), or management in an intensive care unit (ICU). Multi-system organ dysfunction (MSOD) stratum was defined as use of vasopressors, extracorporeal life support, or renal replacement therapy.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Study Drug Administration and Data Collection

Sarilumab 200 mg, 400 mg, or normal saline (used as placebo for sarilumab) were administered intravenously over 1 hour. An unmasked pharmacist was responsible for preparation of all study interventions. Patients, care providers, outcome assessors, and investigators remained masked to assigned intervention throughout the study. Study drug was administered on day 1 (baseline). Following protocol amendment 4 (April 6, 2020), patients were eligible to be re-dosed for clinical worsening at 24 hours and weekly for up to four doses. Data were collected daily from baseline until day 29 or hospital discharge, and at the end of the study (day 60).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Summary of Key Protocol Amendments and Study Adaptations

Key amendments to the study in the course of adapting the phase 3 design based on phase 2 results.

Protocol Amendment (Date)	**Key Study Design Update**
Initial Study Design (March 15, 2020)	• Phase 2/3 study. Patients randomized 2:2:1 to sarilumab 400 mg IV, sarilumab 200 mg IV, or placebo • Phase 2 primary end point: time to resolution of fever
Protocol Amendment 1 (March 19, 2020)	• Aligned safety objectives and end points with adverse events of special interest • Updated from a 6-point clinical status scale to a 7-point clinical status scale • Updated inclusion criteria to accept a positive PCR result within 2 weeks of randomization • Nasopharyngeal swab added as an acceptable method to collect virus based on emerging guidelines for SARS-CoV-2 testing
Protocol Amendment 2	• Withdrawn and not implemented
Protocol Amendment 3 (March 28, 2020)	• Phase 2 primary end point changed to percent reduction in C-reactive protein (CRP) • Reduced number of patients for phase 2 • Removed the requirement for a documented fever at randomization • Removed exclusion criteria that previously prohibited patients who received immunosuppressive therapies from participating in this study
Protocol Amendment 4 (April 6, 2020)	• Re-dosing permitted after 24 hours of dosing if no clinical response is observed • Phase 2 sample size increased • Removal of exclusion for immunocompromised patients
Protocol Amendment 5 (May 9, 2020)	• Based on feedback from the Independent Data Monitoring Committee (IDMC), patients will no longer receive sarilumab 200 mg or be eligible for repeat dosing of 200 mg, and patients in the severe and Multi-System Organ Dysfunction (MSOD) stratum will no longer receive sarilumab 400 mg or placebo

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

	• Cohort 1 of phase 3 will complete enrollment after ~170 patients on a ventilator at baseline have been randomized in the critical stratum. Cohort 2 of phase 3, in which patients are randomized to receive sarilumab 800 mg or placebo, will start enrolling patients upon the completion of enrollment in cohort 1.
Protocol Amendment 6 (May 16, 2020)	Based on regulatory authority feedback: • As part of the phase 3 adaptation, two additional independently powered cohorts were added to evaluate sarilumab 800 mg versus placebo in patients on mechanical ventilation at baseline (cohort 2) and in patients not on mechanical ventilation but on high-intensity oxygen therapy without mechanical ventilation at baseline (cohort 3) • Key secondary end point of all-cause mortality changed from • Day 60 to day 29
Protocol Amendment 7 (June 11, 2020)	Based on feedback from the IDMC, the following changes were made to the protocol: • In phase 3 cohort 1, only patients receiving mechanical ventilation will be re-dosed • In phase 3 cohort 2, patients will only receive study drug while receiving mechanical ventilation • In phase 3 cohort 3, no additional patients will be enrolled and patients on study will not be re-dosed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Clinical Status Scale

The 7-point ordinal scale is an assessment of the clinical status. The scale is as follows:

- 1, Death
- 2, Hospitalized, requiring invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
- 3, Hospitalized, requiring non-invasive ventilation or high-flow oxygen devices
- 4, Hospitalized, requiring supplemental oxygen
- 5, Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen – requiring ongoing medical care (COVID-19–related or otherwise)
- 6, Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen – no longer requires ongoing medical care
- 7, Not hospitalized

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Safety End Points

Safety outcomes included treatment emergent serious adverse events (SAEs) and the following adverse events of special interest (AESIs): grade 4 neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count <500/mm^3); confirmed invasive bacterial or fungal infections; elevations in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) ≥3X ULN (for patients with normal baseline) or >3X ULN and at least 2-fold increase from baseline values (for patients with abnormal baseline); and grade 2 or higher hypersensitivity or infusion-related reactions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Study Oversight

The protocol was developed by the sponsor (Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc.). Data were collected by the study investigators and analyzed by the sponsor. The local institutional review board or ethics committee at each study center oversaw trial conduct. All patients provided written informed consent before participating in the trial. The trial was overseen by an IDMC.

Ethics declaration

Ethics approval was obtained from the following ethics review boards: WCG IRB, Puyallup, WA (IRB00000533); Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR (MOD00027616); Institutional Review Board University of Florida, Gainesville, FL (IRB202000779); Columbia University, Human Research Protection Office and IRBs, New York, NY (IRB-AAAS9615); Johns Hopkins Medicine, Office of Human Subjects Research, IRB, Baltimore, MD (IRB00246576/CIR00058074); NYU School Of Medicine, New York, NY (I20-00351_MOD03); Northwestern University IRB, Chicago, IL (STU00212239-MOD0012); Westchester Medical Center, NY Medical College, Office of Research Administration, Valhalla, NY (IRBReg#00000428); Portland Health and Services IRB Providence – St. Johns, Portland, OR (MOD2020001024); Cornell Weill Medicine IRB, New York, NY (IRB00009419); Providence St. Joseph IRB, Renton, WA (MOD2020001029); Providence Health and Services IRB, Portland, OR (MOD2020000519); Ascension St. John Hospital, Tulsa, OK (IRB#00001980); Geisinger IRB, Danville, PA (IRB#0008345).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Statistical Methods

All analyses and tabulations were performed either using the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) Version 9.4 or R language.

Adaptive Phase 2/3 Study Design

This randomized controlled clinical trial in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 across various disease severity stages followed a phase 2/3 adaptive design. Randomization was stratified by disease severity: 1) severe, 2) critical, 3) multi-system organ dysfunction (MSOD), and 4) immunocompromised, and by use of systemic corticosteroids. Due to the novel nature of COVID-19, efficacy end points at the time of study design were not well established. The phase 2/3 adaptive design of the study allowed for identification of early signs of clinical efficacy in the phase 2 data (e.g., reduction in C-reactive protein, and estimation of effects on clinical status measured on an ordinal scale). Interim phase 2 data analysis allowed adaptation of the phase 3 study design, including: 1) selection of clinical outcome end points in phase 3 (e.g., well-defined end points based on clinical status assessment of patients), 2) confirmation of the phase 3 population, 3) evaluation of further doses of sarilumab and 4) sample size adjustment for phase 3.

Although enrollment in the phase 2 and phase 3 portions of the study was seamless, the analyses of phase 2 and phase 3 portions of the study were kept separate. Each phase of the study was powered for separate objectives. No patients from phase 2 were included in the analysis of phase 3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Sample Size Calculations in Phase 3 Portion of the Study

Based on the phase 2 interim data which included ~460 patients randomized (2:2:1) and stratified by disease severity and use of systemic corticosteroids manner to sarilumab 400 mg IV, sarilumab 200 mg IV, or placebo. Based on IDMC recommendations, the phase 3 design was adapted to allow continued enrollment of patients in the critical disease severity stratum only with the sarilumab 400-mg dose and placebo groups. Enrollment in other disease severity categories and in the sarilumab 200-mg group was halted.

In the phase 2 portion of the study, in a subgroup analysis of the critical stratum based on being on a mechanical ventilator at baseline, in the mechanical ventilator group, approximately 17% of patients treated with placebo (i.e., standard of care) and 57% of patients treated with sarilumab 400 mg (on top of standard of care) achieved ≥1-point improvement by day 22. Therefore, the sample size for phase 3 cohort 1 was recalculated using the chosen phase 2 end point of the proportion of patients with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status on the ordinal scale at day 22 in patients in the critical stratum who were on mechanical ventilation at baseline. With a 2:1 randomization ratio (sarilumab 400 mg IV:placebo), an effect size the same as that observed in phase 2, and 170 patients in the critical stratum on mechanical ventilation, the comparison between sarilumab 400 mg IV (n~113) and placebo (n~57) would have >99% power. Assuming the rate on placebo is 17% and the rate on sarilumab 400 mg is 37% (i.e., a difference in proportions is one-half that observed in phase 2), then the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

sample size of 170 would provide approximately 80% power to detect this reduced difference. These calculations assumed α=0.045, allowing for an interim analysis at the 0.005 level. Therefore, this study planned to enroll approximately 450 critical patients to have approximately 170 patients within the critical stratum who are on mechanical ventilation and randomized to 400 mg IV or placebo.

Note that the total number of patients enrolled in phase 3 is larger than that to account for the patients who had been randomized to the severe stratum, MSOD stratum, or to the 200 mg IV dose group before the IDMC decision to discontinue further enrollment into those strata and dose groups. In addition, phase 3 adaptations also included two new cohorts of patients randomized 1:1 to sarilumab 800 mg IV (higher dose) or placebo, namely, cohort 2 consisting of patients who were on mechanical ventilation at baseline and cohort 3 consisting of patients not on mechanical ventilation but on high intensity oxygen therapy at baseline. These cohorts did not begin enrollment until after cohort 1 enrollment was completed and are not included in this analysis.

Analysis Populations

1) Intention-to-Treat (ITT) Population

The intention-to-treat (ITT) population included all randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug. Analysis of the ITT population was done according to the initial treatment assigned to the patient (as randomized).

The ITT population was the primary analysis population for phase 3. For phase 3, the specific primary population was the subset of patients in the critical stratum who were

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

on mechanical ventilation (without extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [ECMO]) at baseline and randomized to sarilumab 400 mg or placebo. Demographics, baseline characteristics, and patient disposition was summarized in the ITT population.

2) Safety Population

The safety population (SAF) included all randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug. Analysis of the SAF population was done according to the treatment received (as treated). Determination of "as treated" will be based on the actual study drug received on day 1. The SAF population was used for analysis of all safety data, treatment exposure, medical history, and medication use.

Analysis of Primary Efficacy Variable and Secondary Efficacy Variables

Post adaptations to the phase 3 study design, the main focus of the efficacy analysis was pairwise comparison between sarilumab 400 mg and placebo in cohort 1 critical patients with mechanical ventilation without ECMO at baseline, in terms of the efficacy variable of proportion of patients with ≥1-point improvement in clinical status from baseline to day 22 using the 7-point ordinal scale. The primary analysis population was ITT.

Hypothesis test of superiority of sarilumab (400 mg) versus placebo was planned to be done using the stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test for two proportions. Stratification factor was use of steroids at baseline (yes/no). Estimation of the treatment effect was provided as differences in proportions and confidence intervals calculated

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

using the strata-adjusted confidence intervals from CMH method. P values and confidence intervals were reported with overall Type 1 error controlled at 0.05 (2-sided).

The statistical methods used for the key secondary efficacy variables were the same as the methods described for the primary efficacy variable.

These key secondary end points for the phase 3 cohort 1 portion of the study were to be tested sequentially in a hierarchical manner, while preserving the overall significance level at 0.05 (2-sided).

1. Proportion of patients with at least 1-point improvement in clinical status assessment from baseline to day 22 in patients with critical COVID-19
2. Proportion of patients who recover (discharged, or alive without supplemental oxygen use or at pre-COVID oxygen use) by day 22 in patients with critical COVID-19 receiving mechanical ventilation without ECMO at baseline
3. Proportion of patients who die through day 29 in patients with critical COVID-19 receiving mechanical ventilation without ECMO at baseline
4. Proportion of patients who die through day 29 in patients with critical COVID-19

Other secondary efficacy variables such as time-to-event end points were summarized with Kaplan-Meier estimates of medians and 95% confidence intervals on medians. Confidence intervals for hazard ratios comparing treatment groups were to be reported using Cox proportional hazards model with stratification factors mentioned earlier.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Cumulative incidence rates were plotted with comparisons between groups to be descriptively tested using log-rank test.

Adjustment for Multiple Comparisons

Analysis of phase 2 data was strictly separately done from phase 3. No patients from phase 2 were used in the phase 3 analyses. Multiplicity control in phase 3 for testing primary and key secondary end points using a hierarchical testing order was pre-specified in the Statistical Analysis Plan. Overall type 1 error was controlled within each cohort at 0.05 (2-sided) level.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Human Genetic Studies Methods

Studies

We report association analyses from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative (January 18, 2021, release, downloaded from https://www.covid19hg.org/results/).[1]

Definition of COVID-19 Outcomes

Risk of hospitalization was analyzed among RNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive cases (N=12,888) and controls who were either PCR-negative or not tested (N=1,295,966 population controls). Risk of severe COVID-19 was analyzed among individuals with a PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and record of mechanical ventilation or death due to COVID-19 (N=5,780) and population controls (N=1,115,203).

Association Analyses

The association between each phenotype and imputed variants was performed as described (https://www.covid19hg.org/results/r5/) with SAIGE v0.8[2] separately for each study and ancestry, with age, age^2, sex, age-by-sex, and 10 ancestry-informative principal components included as covariates. Association results were combined across studies and ancestries with an inverse-variance meta-analysis using METAL.[3]

Phase 2 Study Results

Phase 2: Flow Diagram



*Includes 1 screen failure that was randomized.

Phase 2: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)*

	Severe Patients				Critical Patients				MSOD/Immunocompromised Patients			
	Placebo Group (N=25)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=50)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=51)**	**Total (N=126)**	**Placebo Group (N=44)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=94)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=88)**	**Total (N=226)**	**Placebo Group (N=21)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=43)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=41)**	**Total (N=105)**
Median age— years (Q1:Q3)	61.0 (55.0:68.0)	56.5 (42.0:69.0)	55.0 (43.0:68.0)	57.0 (46.0:68.0)	59.0 (48.5:67.5)	61.0 (50.0:66.0)	54.5 (43.5:65.5)	58.5 (46.0:66.0)	71.0 (53.0:73.0)	60.0 (48.0:66.0)	64.0 (49.0:70.0)	61.0 (50.0:72.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	15 (60.0)	34 (68.0)	38 (74.5)	87 (69.0)	37 (84.1)	62 (66.0)	70 (79.5)	169 (74.8)	17 (81.0)	28 (65.1)	30 (73.2)	75 (71.4)
Ethnicity — no. (%)												
Hispanic or Latino	4 (16.0)	13 (26.0)	9 (17.6)	26 (20.6)	7 (15.9)	29 (30.9)	20 (22.7)	56 (24.8)	5 (23.8)	12 (27.9)	11 (26.8)	28 (26.7)
Not Hispanic or Latino	16 (64.0)	29 (58.0)	34 (66.7)	79 (62.7)	30 (68.2)	44 (46.8)	49 (55.7)	123 (54.4)	13 (61.9)	25 (58.1)	22 (53.7)	60 (57.1)
Not reported	4 (16.0)	3 (6.0)	7 (13.7)	14 (11.1)	4 (9.1)	8 (8.5)	8 (9.1)	20 (8.8)	2 (9.5)	2 (4.7)	2 (4.9)	6 (5.7)
Unknown	1 (4.0)	5 (10.0)	1 (2.0)	7 (5.6)	3 (6.8)	13 (13.8)	11 (12.5)	27 (11.9)	1 (4.8)	4 (9.3)	6 (14.6)	11 (10.5)
Race — no. (%)												
White	15 (60.0)	22 (44.0)	22 (43.1)	59 (46.8)	16 (36.4)	37 (39.4)	29 (33.0)	82 (36.3)	8 (38.1)	11 (25.6)	13 (31.7)	32 (30.5)
Black or African American	4 (16.0)	8 (16.0)	8 (15.7)	20 (15.9)	6 (13.6)	14 (14.9)	16 (18.2)	36 (15.9)	5 (23.8)	10 (23.3)	7 (17.1)	22 (21.0)
Asian	1 (4.0)	7 (14.0)	6 (11.8)	14 (11.1)	6 (13.6)	9 (9.6)	10 (11.4)	25 (11.1)	2 (9.5)	4 (9.3)	5 (12.2)	11 (10.5)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	1 (2.0)	1 (0.8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not reported	3 (12.0)	8 (16.0)	7 (13.7)	18 (14.3)	9 (20.5)	22 (23.4)	24 (27.3)	55 (24.3)	3 (14.3)	13 (30.2)	13 (31.7)	29 (27.6)
Other	2 (8.0)	5 (10.0)	7 (13.7)	14 (11.1)	7 (15.9)	12 (12.8)	9 (10.2)	28 (12.4)	3 (14.3)	5 (11.6)	3 (7.3)	11 (10.5)
Mean weight — kg	86.63±21.14	91.32±22.14	89.97±24.53	89.81±22.82	93.59±20.73	89.96±22.10	90.79±18.88	90.97±20.56	88.50±18.21	96.09±31.29	90.38±22.92	92.32±25.88
Body-mass index†	29.93±6.12	31.36±7.82	30.58±7.90	30.77±7.50	31.37±6.83	31.76±7.91	30.78±5.47	31.30±6.80	30.14±5.30	33.74±8.88	32.94±12.67	32.65±9.95
CRP, mg/l, n‡, median (min:max)	25, 122.000 (5.10:335.23)	48, 105.190 (14.70:296.70)	49, 111.230 (1.00:517.01)	122, 111.816 (1.00:517.01)	42, 195.700 (11.10:603.01)	92, 182.252 (5.00:819.94)	84, 179.902 (8.68:662.00)	218, 182.902 (5.00:819.94)	20, 188.502 (52.50:564.81)	40, 245.967 (37.50:654.66)	38, 249.252 (28.00:589.71)	98, 229.100 (28.00:654.66)
IL-6, pg/ml, n‡, median (min:max)	22, 75.265 (9.59:328.77)	43, 53.350 (9.10:323.83)	45, 71.480 (9.10:2771.49)	110, 67.105 (9.10:2771.49)	42, 118.705 (9.10:2176.98)	87, 127.530 (9.10:1597.06)	82, 141.190 (9.10:21545.37)	211, 131.900 (9.10:21545.37)	18, 338.160 (23.89:2193.05)	37, 208.800 (9.10:4058.18)	33, 301.180 (23.29:9900.13)	88, 254.945 (9.10:9900.13)
Comorbidities — no. (%)												
Hypertension	9 (36.0)	20 (40.0)	26 (51.0)	55 (43.7)	24 (54.5)	38 (40.4)	36 (40.9)	98 (43.4)	14 (66.7)	20 (46.5)	25 (61.0)	59 (56.2)
Diabetes	5 (20.0)	5 (10.0)	7 (13.7)	17 (13.5)	13 (29.5)	22 (23.4)	12 (13.6)	47 (20.8)	6 (28.6)	14 (32.6)	14 (34.1)	34 (32.4)
Obesity§	9 (36.0)	23 (46.0)	18 (35.3)	50 (39.7)	20 (45.5)	41 (43.6)	41 (46.6)	102 (45.1)	10 (47.6)	25 (58.1)	17 (41.5)	52 (49.5)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	3 (12.0)	6 (12.0)	6 (11.8)	15 (11.9)	7 (15.9)	21 (22.3)	16 (18.2)	44 (19.5)	4 (19.0)	7 (16.3)	6 (14.6)	17 (16.2)

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, IL-6 interleukin-6, IV intravenous, MSOD multi-system organ dysfunction, SD standard deviation, Q quartile.

†The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

‡Patients with available data.

§BMI >30 kg/m^2.

Phase 2: Primary Efficacy End Point (mITT population)*†

Percent Change From Baseline to day 4 in CRP Levels			
	Placebo Group (N=80)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=152)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=153)**
Mean change from baseline — log scale	-0.18±0.78	-1.41±0.72	-1.47±0.93
LS mean difference vs. placebo — log scale	-	-1.25	-1.30
95% CI	-	-1.456 to -1.035	-1.511 to -1.090
P value	-	<0.0001	<0.0001
LS mean percent reduction from baseline — raw scale	20.0%	77.0%	78.0%
95% CI	3.5%–34.0%	73.2%–80.3%	74.6%–81.3%

*Phase 2 mITT population includes all randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and have high baseline IL-6 levels (above upper limit of normal). Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CI denotes confidence interval, IV intravenous, LS least-squares, mITT modified intention-to-treat, SD standard deviation.

†All disease severities.

Phase 2: Summary of Selected Secondary End Points (ITT Population)

A. “Time to event” end points

Outcome	Severe Patients		Critical Patients		MSOD/Immunocompromised Patients	
	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=50) HR (95% CI)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=51) HR (95% CI)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=94) HR (95% CI)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=88) HR (95% CI)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=43) HR (95% CI)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=41) HR (95% CI)
Time to improvement (≥2 points) in clinical status	1.17 (0.71– 1.93)	0.74 (0.44–1.25)	1.24 (0.73–2.08)	1.64 (0.98–2.74)	0.91 (0.42–1.97)	0.90 (0.42–1.95)
Time to improvement (≥1 point) in clinical status	1.16 (0.70–1.92)	0.77 (0.46–1.30)	1.14 (0.68–1.90)	1.55 (0.94–2.56)	0.96 (0.44–2.06)	0.92 (0.43–1.98)
Time to resolution of fever for 48 hours without antipyretics or until discharge, in febrile patients at baseline	1.27 (0.66–2.43)	0.74 (0.39–1.41)	1.61 (0.76–3.40)	2.10 (1.01–4.40)	0.98 (0.32–3.05)	0.83 (0.25–2.71)
Time to improvement in oxygenation (increase in SpO_2/FiO_2 of 50 or greater) for at least 48 hours or until discharge	0.87 (0.52–1.47)	0.50 (0.29–0.88)	0.76 (0.48–1.21)	1.09 (0.69–1.72)	0.81 (0.40–1.66)	1.01 (0.50–2.02)
Time to saturation ≥94% on room air	0.32 (0.11–0.94)	0.38 (0.14–1.05)	1.22 (0.70–2.14)	0.83 (0.46–1.51)	0.74 (0.36–1.52)	0.71 (0.35–1.47)
Time to discharge or NEWS2 ≤2 for 24 hours	0.97 (0.59–1.59)	0.65 (0.39–1.09)	1.23 (0.73–2.09)	1.91 (1.14–3.20)	0.97 (0.43–2.17)	0.94 (0.42–2.10)
Time to all-cause mortality	NA*	NA*	1.21 (0.66–2.21)	0.70 (0.37–1.35)	1.15 (0.52–2.53)	1.02 (0.45–2.30)
Time to discharge	1.18 (0.71–1.95)	0.74 (0.44–1.25)	1.12 (0.65–1.95)	1.51 (0.88–2.59)	0.92 (0.39–2.20)	0.56 (0.22–1.45)

*Hazard ratio could not be calculated, as the number of events was too small.
Blue = key secondary end point.

B. Categorical end points.*

Outcome	Severe Patients					Critical Patients					MSOD/Immunocompromised Patients				
	Placebo Group (N=25) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=50) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=51) no. (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Placebo Group (N=44) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=94) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=88) no. (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Placebo (N=21) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=43) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=41) no. (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]
Proportion with 1-point improvement (day 22)	23 (92.0%) [81.4–100.0]	46 (92.0%) [84.5–99.5]	35 (68.6%) [55.9–81.4]	0.0% [-13.0–13.0]	-23.4% [-40.0–-6.8]	15 (34.1%) [20.1–48.1]	45 (47.9%) [37.8–58.0]	53 (60.2%) [50.0–70.5]	13.8% [-3.5–31.0]	26.1% [8.8–43.5]	10 (47.6%) [26.3–69.0]	17 (39.5%) [24.9–54.1]	18 (43.9%) [28.7–59.1]	-8.1% [-34.0–17.8]	-3.7% [-29.9–22.5]
Proportion who recover (day 22)	23 (92.0%) [81.4–100.0]	46 (92.0%) [84.5–99.5]	35 (68.6%) [55.9–81.4]	0.0% [-13.0–13.0]	-23.4% [-40.0–-6.8]	15 (34.1%) [20.1–48.1]	39 (41.5%) [31.5–51.4]	46 (52.3%) [41.8–62.7]	7.4% [-9.8–24.6]	18.2% [0.7–35.6]	7 (33.3%) [13.2–53.5]	13 (30.2%) [16.5–44.0]	10 (24.4%) [11.2–37.5]	-3.1% [-27.5–21.3]	-8.9% [-33.0–15.1]

IV denotes intravenous.

Phase 2: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population)*

	Severe Patients			Critical Patients			MSOD/Immunocompromised Patients		
	Placebo Group (N=25)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=50)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=51)**	**Placebo Group (N=44)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=94)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=88)**	**Placebo Group (N=21)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=43)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=41)**
TEAEs – total no.	11	32	47	81	145	141	40	84	83
SAEs – total no.	4	7	27	62	95	96	24	57	49
AESIs – total no.	7	27	26	34	61	53	17	37	46
Serious AESIs – total no.	0	2	6	15	11	8	1	10	12
Patients with any TEAE – no. (%)	7 (28.0)	19 (38.0)	25 (49.0)	28 (63.6)	69 (73.4)	56 (63.6)	16 (76.2)	35 (81.4)	33 (80.5)
Patients with any SAE – no. (%)	1 (4.0)	5 (10.0)	16 (31.4)	26 (59.1)	56 (59.6)	41 (46.6)	12 (57.1)	29 (67.4)	27 (65.9)
Patients with any AESI – no. (%)	7 (28.0)	17 (34.0)	16 (31.4)	17 (38.6)	38 (40.4)	37 (42.0)	11 (52.4)	22 (51.2)	23 (56.1)
Patients with any serious AESI – no. (%)	0	2 (4.0)	4 (7.8)	10 (22.7)	8 (8.5)	7 (8.0)	1 (4.8)	8 (18.6)	8 (19.5)
Patients with any TEAE leading to death – no. (%)	0	2 (4.0)	8 (15.7)	14 (31.8)	37 (39.4)	22 (25.0)	9 (42.9)	20 (46.5)	15 (36.6)
Patients with any TEAE leading to withdrawal from the study drug – no. (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (2.4)
Patients with any TEAE leading to study infusion interruption – no. (%)	0	0	0	0	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	0	0	0
Related TEAEs – total no.	2	17	14	5	20	30	6	18	22
Related SAEs – total no.	0	2	3	0	2	8	0	5	7
Related AESIs – total no.	2	17	14	5	20	25	6	17	21
Related serious AESIs – total no.	0	2	3	0	2	3	0	4	6
Patients with related TEAE – no. (%)	2 (8.0)	13 (26.0)	9 (17.6)	4 (9.1)	13 (13.8)	19 (21.6)	5 (23.8)	11 (25.6)	11 (26.8)
Patients with related SAE – no. (%)	0	2 (4.0)	2 (3.9)	0	1 (1.1)	7 (8.0)	0	4 (9.3)	4 (9.8)
Patients with related AESI – no. (%)	2 (8.0)	13 (26.0)	9 (17.6)	4 (9.1)	13 (13.8)	18 (20.5)	5 (23.8)	10 (23.3)	10 (24.4)
Patients with related serious AESI – no. (%)	0	2 (4.0)	2 (3.9)	0	1 (1.1)	3 (3.4)	0	3 (7.0)	3 (7.3)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event, SAE serious adverse event.

Phase 2: Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population)*

System Organ Class Preferred Term	Severe Patients			Critical Patients			MSOD/Immunocompromised Patients		
	Placebo Group (N=25)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=50)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=51)	Placebo Group (N=44)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=94)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=88)	Placebo Group (N=21)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=43)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=41)
SAEs									
SAEs — no.	4	7	27	62	95	96	24	57	49
Patients with ≥1 SAE — no. (%)	1 (4.0)	5 (10.0)	16 (31.4)	26 (59.1)	56 (59.6)	41 (46.6)	12 (57.1)	29 (67.4)	27 (65.9)
Vascular disorders — no. (%)									
Deep vein thrombosis	1 (4.0)	0 (0)	2 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	3 (3.2)	5 (5.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	2 (4.9)
Cardiac disorders — no. (%)									
Cardiac arrest	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	6 (6.4)	8 (9.1)	3 (14.3)	3 (7.0)	6 (14.6)
Cardio-respiratory arrest	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	2 (3.9)	4 (9.1)	9 (9.6)	4 (4.5)	2 (9.5)	1 (2.3)	2 (4.9)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)									
Staphylococcal infection	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (6.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (4.9)
COVID-19	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	2 (4.5)	7 (7.4)	1 (1.1)	1 (4.8)	6 (14.0)	3 (7.3)
Investigations — no. (%)									
Transaminases increased	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (9.3)	0 (0.0)
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)									
Respiratory failure	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	6 (11.8)	5 (11.4)	11 (11.7)	4 (4.5)	1 (4.8)	3 (7.0)	2 (4.9)
Acute respiratory failure	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (13.6)	7 (7.4)	3 (3.4)	1 (4.8)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.4)
Renal and urinary disorders — no. (%)									
Acute kidney injury	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (11.4)	4 (4.3)	6 (6.8)	0 (0.0)	4 (9.3)	3 (7.3)
Renal failure	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.1)	2 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (7.0)	0 (0.0)
AESIs									
AESIs — no.	7	27	26	34	61	53	17	37	46
Patients with ≥1 AESI — no. (%)	7 (28.0)	17 (34.0)	16 (31.4)	17 (38.6)	38 (40.4)	37 (42.0)	11 (52.4)	22 (51.2)	23 (56.1)
Investigations — no. (%)									
Alanine aminotransferase increased	2 (8.0)	14 (28.0)	10 (19.6)	9 (20.5)	18 (19.1)	19 (21.6)	4 (19.0)	8 (18.6)	4 (9.8)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	2 (8.0)	7 (14.0)	7 (13.7)	7 (15.9)	14 (14.9)	14 (15.9)	6 (28.6)	11 (25.6)	12 (29.3)
Transaminases increased	1 (4.0)	1 (2.0)	3 (5.9)	1 (2.3)	6 (6.4)	4 (4.5)	1 (4.8)	4 (9.3)	0 (0.0)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)									
Enterococcal bacteremia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0	0	3 (7.3)
Pneumonia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	1 (4.8)	0	3 (7.3)
Staphylococcal bacteremia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	0	3 (7.3)
Staphylococcal infection	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (9.1)	0	2 (2.3)	1 (4.8)	0	3 (7.3)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous SAE serious adverse event.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 2) Study Results

Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Flow Diagram



*Includes 1 screen failure that was randomized.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)*

	Placebo Group (N=15)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=16)
Median age — years (Q1:Q3)	49 (41.0:58.0)	48.5 (41.5:65.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	11 (73.3)	11 (68.8)
Ethnicity — no. (%)		
Hispanic or Latino	5 (33.3)	10 (62.5)
Not Hispanic or Latino	5 (33.3)	6 (37.5)
Not reported	1 (6.7)	0
Unknown	4 (26.7)	0
Race — no. (%)		
White	7 (46.7)	8 (50.0)
Black or African American	3 (20.0)	3 (18.8)
Asian	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0
Not reported	5 (33.3)	1 (6.3)
Other	0	4 (25.0)
Mean weight – kg	97.72±25.01	104.23±31.94
Body-mass index†	33.83±8.15	50.71±58.82
CRP, mg/L, n‡, median (min:max)	13, 185.904 (91.70:445.01)	15, 184.000 (64.00:405.00)
IL-6, pg/mL, n‡, median (min:max)	14, 115.475 (9.59:882.96)	15, 164.730 (9.59:1689.34)
Comorbidities — no. (%)		
Hypertension	5 (33.3)	8 (50.0)
Diabetes	2 (13.3)	7 (43.8)
Obesity§	10 (66.7)	12 (75.0)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	10 (66.7)	7 (43.8)

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, ITT intention-to-treat, IV intravenous, SD standard deviation.
†The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.
‡Patients with available data.
§Body-mass index >30 kg/m^2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population)*

	Placebo Group (N=15)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=16)
TEAEs — no.	28	20
SAEs — no.	21	12
AESIs — no.	11	11
Serious AESIs — no.	4	3
Patients with any TEAE — no. (%)	11 (73.3)	9 (56.3)
Patients with any SAE — no. (%)	10 (66.7)	5 (31.3)
Patients with any AESI — no. (%)	7 (46.7)	8 (50.0)
Patients with any serious AESI — no. (%)	3 (20.0)	2 (12.5)
Patients with any TEAE leading to death — no. (%)	3 (20.0)	2 (12.5)
Patients with any TEAE leading to withdrawal from the study drug — no. (%)	0	1 (6.3)
Patients with any TEAE leading to study infusion interruption — no. (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)
Related TEAEs — no.	9	7
Related SAEs — no.	4	2
Related AESIs — no.	8	7
Related serious AESIs — no.	3	2
Patients with related TEAE — no. (%)	5 (33.3)	6 (37.5)
Patients with related SAE — no. (%)	3 (20.0)	1 (6.3)
Patients with related AESI — no. (%)	5 (33.3)	6 (37.5)
Patients with related serious AESI — no. (%)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.3)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event, SAE serious adverse event.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 2): Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population)*

System Organ Class Preferred Term	Placebo Group (N=15)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=16)
SAEs		
SAEs — no.	21	12
Patients with ≥1 SAE — no. (%)	10 (66.7)	5 (31.3)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders — no. (%)	4 (26.7)	1 (6.3)
Anemia	3 (20.0)	1 (6.3)
Thrombocytopenia	1 (6.7)	0
Cardiac disorders — no. (%)	0	1 (6.3)
Cardio-respiratory arrest	0	1 (6.3)
Cardiogenic shock	0	1 (6.3)
Hepatobiliary disorders — no. (%)	1 (6.7)	0
Cholecystitis	1 (6.7)	0
Infections and infestations — no. (%)	6 (40.0)	3 (18.8)
Bacteremia	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)
COVID-19	1 (6.7)	0
COVID-19 pneumonia	2 (13.3)	1 (6.3)
Pneumonia	1 (6.7)	0
Pneumonia bacterial	2 (13.3)	0
Septic shock	0	2 (12.5)
Investigations — no. (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)
Liver function test increased	1 (6.7)	0
Transaminases increased	0	1 (6.3)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders — no. (%)	1 (6.7)	0
Acidosis	1 (6.7)	0
Hypokalemia	1 (6.7)	0
Nervous system disorders — no. (%)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.3)
Alcoholic seizure	0	1 (6.3)
Cerebrovascular accidence	1 (6.7)	0
Seizure like phenomena	1 (6.7)	0
Renal and urinary disorders — no. (%)	2 (13.3)	0
Acute kidney injury	2 (13.3)	0
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)	2 (13.3)	2 (12.5)
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	0	1 (6.3)
Pneumothorax	0	1 (6.3)
Respiratory acidosis	1 (6.7)	0
Respiratory failure	1 (6.7)	0
Vascular disorders	0	1 (6.3)
Hypertension	0	1 (6.3)
AESIs		
AESIs — no.	11	11
Patients with ≥1 AESI — no. (%)	7 (46.7)	8 (50.0)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders — no. (%)	0	1 (6.3)
Neutropenia	0	1 (6.3)
Infections and infestations— no. (%)	3 (20.0)	4 (25.0)
Acinetobacter infection	1 (6.7)	0

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Bacteremia	2 (13.3)	2 (12.5)
Pneumonia	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)
Pneumonia bacterial	1 (6.7)	0
Septic shock	0	1 (6.3)
Staphylococcal infection	0	1 (6.3)
Urinary tract infection	1 (6.7)	0
Investigations — no. (%)	3 (20.0)	5 (31.3)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	1 (6.7)	0
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	1 (6.7)	2 (12.5)
Hepatic enzyme increased	0	1 (6.3)
Liver function test increased	2 (13.3)	1 (6.3)
Transaminases increased	0	1 (6.3)
Nervous system disorders — no. (%)	1 (6.7)	0
Seizure like phenomena	1 (6.7)	0

* AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, SAE serious adverse event.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 3) Study Results

Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Flow Diagram



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)*

	Placebo Group (N=6)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=2)
Median age — years (Q1:Q3)	59.0 (55.0:69.0)	66.0 (61.0:71.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	3 (50.0)	2 (100.0)
Ethnicity — no. (%)		
Hispanic or Latino	2 (33.3)	1 (50.0)
Not Hispanic or Latino	3 (50.0)	0
Not reported	0	1 (50.0)
Unknown	1 (16.7)	0
Race — no. (%)		
White	2 (33.3)	1 (50.0)
Black or African American	2 (33.3)	0
Asian	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0
Not reported	2 (33.3)	0
Other	0	1 (50.0)
Mean weight — kg	99.02±13.53	91.60±4.53
Body-mass index†,	34.14±3.68	33.05±0.92
CRP, mg/l, n‡, median (min:max)	6, 162.500 (89.00:325.00)	2, 258.081 (116.16:400.00)
IL-6, pg/ml, n‡, median (min:max)	5, 45.590 (9.59:270.21)	1, 124.260 (124.26:124.26)
Comorbidities — no. (%)		
Hypertension	4 (66.7)	1 (50.0)
Diabetes	1 (16.7)	2 (100.0)
Obesity§	4 (66.7)	2 (100.0)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	3 (50.0)	0

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, ITT intention-to-treat, IV intravenous, SD standard deviation.
†The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.
‡Patients with available data.
§BMI >30 kg/m^2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population)*

	Placebo Group (N=6)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=2)
TEAEs — total no.	8	3
SAEs — total no.	7	1
AESIs — total no.	1	2
Serious AESIs — total no.	0	0
Patients with any TEAE — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	2 (100.0)
Patients with any SAE — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Patients with any AESI — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	2 (100.0)
Patients with any serious AESI — no. (%)	0	0
Patients with any TEAE leading to death — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	0
Patients with any TEAE leading to withdrawal from the study drug — no. (%)	0	0
Patients with any TEAE leading to study infusion interruption — no. (%)	0	1 (50.0)
Related TEAEs — no.	1	1
Related SAEs — no.	0	0
Related AESIs — no.	1	1
Related serious AESIs — no.	0	0
Patients with related TEAE — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Patients with related SAE — no. (%)	0	0
Patients with related AESI — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Patients with related serious AESI — no. (%)	0	0

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event, SAE serious adverse event.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Phase 3 (Cohort 3): Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population)*

System Organ Class Preferred Term	Placebo Group (N=6)	Sarilumab 800 mg IV Group (N=2)
SAEs		
SAEs — no.	7	1
Patients with ≥1 SAE — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Cardiac disorders — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	0
Atrial fibrillation	1 (16.7)	0
Pulseless electrical activity	1 (16.7)	0
Infections and infestations — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	0
Septic shock	1 (16.7)	0
Renal and urinary disorders — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	0
Acute kidney injury	1 (16.7)	0
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Acute respiratory failure	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Vascular disorders — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	0
Deep vein thrombosis	1 (16.7)	0
AESIs		
AESIs — no.	1	2
Patients with ≥1 AESI — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	2 (100.0)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (50.0)
Staphylococcal bacteremia	1 (16.7)	0
Staphylococcal infection	0	1 (50.0)
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)	0	1 (50.0)
Dyspnea	0	1 (50.0)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, SAE serious adverse event.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Schematic Overview of the Study Design (Phase 2 and Phase 3)



*Stratified by corticosteroid use and disease severity; †Following Phase 2 interim analysis, the IDMC recommended to eliminate the sarilumab 200 mg group and restrict future enrolment to critical patients; ‡Critical stratum only; §If the patient has been discharged from the hospital before day 29 or 60 the study site staff will contact the patient for a follow-up phone call; ¶The End of Study will be on day 60 or day of death, whichever comes first.
IDMC, Independent Data Monitoring Committee; IV, intravenous; R, randomized.

Figure S2. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Flow Diagram



*Includes 9 screen failures that were randomized.

Figure S3. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): CRP Over Time in Critical Patients (Safety Population)

A. Critical patients receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline



Number of patients on each study day

	BL	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Placebo (N=62)	59	44	40	53	39	37	40	30	26	29	24	23	23	22	29	18	18	17	15	14	16	14	16	13	11	9	11	11	11
Sarilumab 200 mg IV (N=104)	100	81	73	90	73	62	74	59	51	49	39	43	39	32	38	31	32	29	27	26	22	25	22	23	20	17	20	21	18
Sarilumab 400 mg IV (N=132)	121	101	100	115	90	84	101	78	73	67	60	52	43	42	54	37	26	31	28	30	25	26	27	23	20	20	15	17	16

B. Critical patients **not** receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline



Number of patients on each study day

	BL	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Placebo (N=108)	103	81	84	92	80	69	76	66	53	45	48	36	41	33	33	26	28	25	24	23	19	20	21	20	20	16	14	9	12
Sarilumab 200 mg IV (N=138)	123	101	87	109	83	71	81	57	52	42	38	40	28	28	33	16	19	19	12	16	15	15	17	13	9	6	8	7	10
Sarilumab 400 mg IV (N=204)	192	167	141	166	132	112	138	97	77	66	68	62	48	51	50	40	37	34	31	31	27	26	24	25	19	17	14	12	11

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Summary of Analysis Populations by Disease Severity Strata*

	Placebo Group	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group
Phase 2			
Intention-to-treat — no.†			
All disease severity	90	187	180
Severe‡	25	50	51
Critical	44	94	88
MSOD/Immunocompromised‡	21	43	41
Modified intention-to-treat — no. §			
All disease severity	80	152	153
Severe	21	37	42
Critical	41	80	78
MSOD/Immunocompromised	18	35	33
Safety population — no.¶			
All disease severity	90	187	180
Severe	25	50	51
Critical	44	94	88
MSOD/Immunocompromised	21	43	41
Phase 3 – Cohort 1			
Intention-to-treat — no.†			
Severe	70	140	137
Critical	170	242	338
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline#	62	104	132
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline	108	138	204
MSOD/Immunocompromised	54	107	107
Safety population — no.¶			
Severe	70	140	137
Critical	170	242	338
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline#	62	104	132
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline	108	138	204
MSOD/Immunocompromised	54	107	107

Integrated Phase (Phase 2 and Phase 3 [Cohort 1])			
Intention-to-treat — no.[†]			
Severe	95	190	188
Critical	214	335	423
Critical receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline[#]	80	164	179
Critical not receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline	134	171	244

*IV denotes intravenous, MSOD multiple system organ dysfunction.

[†]Intention-to-treat population includes all randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug.

[‡]Severe, MSOD, and immunocompromised populations were considered exploratory based on the statistical analysis plan.

[§]Modified intention-to-treat population includes randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and had high baseline IL-6 levels.

[¶]Safety population includes all randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study drug.

[#]Pre-specified primary end point.

Table S2. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (EITT Population)*

	Severe Patients				MSOD Patients			
	Placebo (N=70)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=140)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=137)**	**Total (N=347)**	**Placebo (N=46)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=95)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=92)**	**Total (N=233)**
Median age — years (Q1:Q3)	63.5 (49.0:72.0)	62.5 (50.0:72.0)	63.0 (54.0:74.0)	63.0 (51.0:73.0)	60.5 (49.0:71.0)	61.0 (53.0:67.0)	64.5 (53.5:71.5)	62.0 (53.0:69.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	45 (64.3)	81 (57.9)	86 (62.8)	212 (61.1)	25 (54.3)	54 (56.8)	58 (63.0)	137 (58.8)
Ethnicity— no. (%)								
Hispanic or Latino	19 (27.1)	30 (21.4)	30 (21.9)	79 (22.8)	12 (26.1)	27 (28.4)	21 (22.8)	60 (25.8)
Not Hispanic or Latino	40 (57.1)	80 (57.1)	88 (64.2)	208 (59.9)	26 (56.5)	46 (48.4)	47 (51.1)	119 (51.1)
Not reported	7 (10.0)	20 (14.3)	13 (9.5)	40 (11.5)	7 (15.2)	16 (16.8)	16 (17.4)	39 (16.7)
Unknown	4 (5.7)	10 (7.1)	6 (4.4)	20 (5.8)	1 (2.2)	6 (6.3)	8 (8.7)	15 (6.4)
Race — no. (%)								
White	28 (40.0)	45 (32.1)	49 (35.8)	122 (35.2)	11 (23.9)	29 (30.5)	25 (27.2)	65 (27.9)
Black or African American	10 (14.3)	35 (25.0)	25 (18.2)	70 (20.2)	8 (17.4)	23 (24.2)	23 (25.0)	54 (23.2)
Asian	2 (2.9)	6 (4.3)	13 (9.5)	21 (6.1)	5 (10.9)	3 (3.2)	2 (2.2)	10 (4.3)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	2 (1.4)	0	2 (0.6)	1 (2.2)	0	0	1 (0.4)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 (1.4)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)	3 (0.9)	0	0	1 (1.1)	1 (0.4)
Not reported	17 (24.3)	36 (25.7)	25 (18.2)	78 (22.5)	15 (32.6)	34 (35.8)	30 (32.6)	79 (33.9)
Other	12 (17.1)	15 (10.7)	24 (17.5	51 (14.7)	6 (13.0)	6 (6.3)	11 (12.0)	23 (9.9)
Mean weight — kg	87.31±21.57	92.55±23.90	88.40±21.72	89.84±22.64	92.51±24.00	102.34±38.81	88.94±22.41	95.21±31.01
Body-mass index†,	31.83±8.85	32.59±7.80	30.68±6.90	31.68±7.72	32.54±8.50	35.20±12.64	31.14±7.32	33.13±10.22

CRP, mg/l, n‡, median (min:max)	64, 96.201 (4.00:382.80)	133, 105.502 (7.00:999.00)	114, 103.400 (3.00:1260.00)	311, 102.00 (3.00:1260.00)	44, 179.004 (21.00:475.00)	89, 195.404 (10.17:2626.00)	86, 216.00 (16.00:723.00)	219, 210.604 (10.17:2626.00)
IL-6, pg/ml, n‡, median (min:max)	64, 53.570 (9.10:571.26)	126, 52.585 (9.10:1713.25)	117, 54.240 (9.10:696.18)	307, 54.240 (9.10:1713.25)	36, 179.765 (9.59:32374.39)	74, 226.755 (9.59:17047.47)	76, 306.430 (9.59:9488.14)	186, 243.165 (9.59:32374.39)
Comorbidities — no. (%)								
Hypertension	37 (52.9)	73 (52.1)	74 (54.0)	184 (53.0)	26 (56.5)	55 (57.9)	45 (48.9)	126 (54.1)
Diabetes	14 (20.0)	33 (23.6)	26 (19.0)	73 (21.0)	8 (17.4)	15 (15.8)	17 (18.5)	40 (17.2)
Obesity§	34 (48.6)	75 (53.6)	57 (41.6)	166 (47.8)	24 (52.2)	60 (63.2)	39 (42.2)	123 (52.8)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	23 (32.9)	47 (33.6)	44 (32.1)	114 (32.9)	14 (30.4)	30 (31.6)	29 (31.5)	73 (31.3)

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, EITT exploratory intention-to-treat, IL-6 interleukin-6, IV intravenous, SD standard deviation, Q quartile.

†The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

‡Patients with available data.

§Body-mass index >30 kg/m^2.

Table S3. Integrated Phase 2/3 Population: Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)*

	Severe Patients				Critical Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline				Critical Patients Not Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline				All Critical Patients			
	Placebo Group (N=95)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=190)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=188)	Total (N=473)	Placebo Group (N=80)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=164)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=179)	Total (N=423)	Placebo Group (N=134)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=171)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=244)	Total (N=549)	Placebo Group (N=214)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=335)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=423)	Total (N=972)
Median age — years (Q1:Q3)	63.0 (50.0:71.0)	61.0 (48.0:71.0)	61.0 (50.0:72.0)	61.0 (50.0:72.0)	58.5 (48.5:69.0)	59.0 (49.5:67.0)	60.0 (50.0:70.0)	59.0 (49.0:69.0)	60.5 (52.0:71.0)	59.0 (50.0:69.0)	62.5 (51.0:71.0)	61.0 (51.0:70.0)	60.0 (50.0:69.0)	59.0 (50.0:68.0)	62.0 (51.0:71.0)	60.0 (50.0:69.0)
Male sex — no. (%)	60 (63.2)	115 (60.5)	124 (66.0)	299 (63.2)	59 (73.8)	109 (66.5)	123 (68.7)	291 (68.8)	89 (66.4)	127 (74.3)	173 (70.9)	389 (70.9)	148 (69.2)	236 (70.4)	296 (70.0)	680 (70.0)
Ethnicity — no. (%)																
Hispanic or Latino	23 (24.2)	43 (22.6)	39 (20.7)	105 (22.2)	28 (35.0)	51 (31.1)	52 (29.1)	131 (31.0)	38 (28.4)	55 (32.2)	83 (34.0)	176 (32.1)	66 (30.8)	106 (31.6)	135 (31.9)	307 (31.6)
Not Hispanic or Latino	56 (58.9)	109 (57.4)	122 (64.9)	287 (60.7)	37 (46.3)	79 (48.2)	98 (54.7)	214 (50.6)	80 (59.7)	83 (48.5)	111 (45.5)	274 (49.9)	117 (54.7)	162 (48.4)	209 (49.4)	488 (50.2)
Not reported	11 (11.6)	23 (12.1)	20 (10.6)	54 (11.4)	6 (7.5)	14 (8.5)	17 (9.5)	37 (8.7)	11 (8.2)	21 (12.3)	29 (11.9)	61 (11.1)	17 (7.9)	35 (10.4)	46 (10.9)	98 (10.1)
Unknown	5 (5.3)	15 (7.9)	7 (3.7)	27 (5.7)	9 (11.3)	20 (12.2)	12 (6.7)	41 (9.7)	5 (3.7)	12 (7.0)	21 (8.6)	38 (6.9)	14 (6.5)	32 (9.6)	33 (7.8)	79 (8.1)
Race — no. (%)																
White	43 (45.3)	67 (35.3)	71 (37.8)	181 (38.3)	38 (47.5)	66 (40.2)	71 (39.7)	175 (41.4)	52 (38.8)	60 (35.1)	84 (34.4)	196 (35.7)	90 (42.1)	126 (37.6)	155 (36.6)	371 (38.2)
Black or African American	14 (14.7)	43 (22.6)	33 (17.6)	90 (19.0)	7 (8.8)	26 (15.9)	38 (21.2)	71 (16.8)	22 (16.4)	26 (15.2)	41 (16.8)	89 (16.2)	29 (13.6)	52 (15.5)	79 (18.7)	160 (16.5)
Asian	3 (3.2)	13 (6.8)	19 (10.1)	35 (7.4)	8 (10.0)	11 (6.7)	10 (5.6)	29 (6.9)	11 (8.2)	8 (4.7)	8 (3.3)	27 (4.9)	19 (8.9)	19 (5.7)	18 (4.3)	56 (5.8)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	2 (1.1)	0	2 (0.4)	0	1 (0.6)	0	1 (0.2)	1 (0.7)	0	1 (0.4)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.3)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 (1.1)	1 (0.5)	2 (1.1)	4 (0.8)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.6)	0	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.1)
Not reported	20 (21.1)	44 (23.2)	32 (17.0)	96 (20.3)	20 (25.0)	44 (26.8)	42 (23.5)	106 (25.1)	24 (17.9)	46 (26.9)	76 (31.1)	146 (26.6)	44 (20.6)	90 (26.9)	118 (27.9)	252 (25.9)
Other	14 (14.7)	20 (10.5)	31 (16.5)	65 (13.7)	7 (8.8)	16 (9.8)	18 (10.1)	41 (9.7)	24 (17.9)	30 (17.5)	34 (13.9)	88 (16.0)	31 (14.5)	46 (13.7)	52 (12.3)	129 (13.3)
Mean weight — kg	87.13±21.34	92.22±23.39	88.83±22.47	89.83±22.66	87.43±20.27	90.26±21.85	95.25±27.61	91.90±24.40	90.48±22.75	89.13±22.60	88.81±23.23	89.32±22.89	89.35±21.86	89.69±22.21	91.61±25.4)	90.46±23.59
Body-mass index†	31.33±8.23	32.26±7.80	30.65±7.16	31.44±7.67	30.58±6.70	31.68±7.21	32.73±8.66	31.93±7.82	31.48±7.57	30.85±7.60	31.33±7.06	31.22±7.34	31.14±7.25	31.26±7.41	31.94±7.82	31.53±7.56
CRP, mg/l, n‡, median (min:max)	89, 102.000 (4.00:382.80)	181, 105.480 (7.00:999.00)	163, 108.202 (1.00:1260.00)	433, 105.000 (1.00:1260.00)	77, 193.780 (9.00:603.01)	158, 198.320 (5.00:591.00)	167, 185.700 (8.68:662.00)	402, 193.890 (5.00:662.00)	127, 141.503 (1.70:476.81)	156, 157.102 (5.00:819.94)	230, 163.900 (1.20:454.21)	513, 152.000 (1.20:819.94)	204, 152.500 (1.70:603.01)	314, 180.122 (5.00:819.94)	397, 173.803 (1.20:662.00)	915, 173.003 (1.20:819:94)
IL-6, pg/ml, n‡, median (min:max)	86, 61.585 (9.10:571.26)	169, 53.350 (9.10:1713.25)	162, 58.250 (9.10:2771.49)	417, 58.360 (9.10:2771.49)	73, 163.860 (9.10:2324.82)	143, 169.540 (9.59:6531.58)	156, 164.385 (9.59:21545.37)	372, 164.695 (9.10:21545.37)	127, 67.760 (9.59:2176.98)	150, 67.795 (6.82:1436.60)	213, 95.210 (9.10:1032.44)	490, 77.790 (6.82:2176.98)	200, 85.610 (9.10:2324.82)	293, 116.140 (6.82:6531.58)	369, 125.990 (9.10:21545.37)	862, 114.375 (6.82:21545.37)
Comorbidities — no. (%)																
Hypertension	46 (48.4)	93 (48.9)	100 (53.2)	239 (50.5)	36 (45.0)	69 (42.1)	88 (49.2)	193 (45.6)	80 (59.7)	82 (48.0)	132 (54.1)	294 (53.6)	116 (54.2)	151 (45.1)	220 (52.0)	487 (50.1)
Diabetes	19 (20.0)	38 (20.0)	33 (17.6)	90 (19.0)	12 (15.0)	38 (23.2)	27 (15.1)	77 (18.2)	32 (23.9)	36 (21.1)	41 (16.8)	109 (19.9)	44 (20.6)	74 (22.1)	68 (16.1)	186 (19.1)
Obesity§	43 (45.3)	98 (51.6)	75 (39.9)	216 (45.7)	36 (45.0)	71 (43.3)	96 (53.6)	203 (48.0)	61 (45.5)	67 (39.2)	116 (47.5)	244 (44.4)	97 (45.3)	138 (41.2)	212 (50.1)	447 (46.0)
Systemic corticosteroid use — no. (%)	26 (27.4)	53 (27.9)	50 (26.6)	129 (27.3)	22 (27.5)	37 (22.6)	50 (27.9)	109 (25.8)	47 (35.1)	57 (33.3)	87 (35.7)	191 (34.8)	69 (32.2)	94 (28.1)	137 (32.4)	300 (30.9)

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD. CRP denotes C-reactive protein, EITT exploratory intention-to-treat, IL-6 interleukin-6, IV intravenous, SD standard deviation, Q quartile.

†The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

‡Patients with available data.

§BMI >30 kg/m^2.

Table S4. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Summary of Primary and Selected Secondary Efficacy End Points in Critical Strata*

Outcome	Critical Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (ITT)					Critical Patients Not Receiving Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (ITT)					All Critical Patients (ITT)				
	Placebo Group (N=62) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=104) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=132) n (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Placebo Group (N=108) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=138) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=204) n (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Placebo Group (N=170) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=242) n (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 Group mg IV (N=338) n (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]
Proportion with 1-point improvement (day 22)	22 (35.5%) [23.6, 47.4]	45 (43.3%) [33.7, 52.8]	57 (43.2%) [34.7, 51.6]	+7.1% [-8.4, 21.7]	+7.5% [-7.4, 21.3]†	70 (64.8%) [55.8, 73.8]	92 (66.7%) [58.8, 74.5]	117 (57.4%) [50.6, 64.1]	+2.0% [-9.7, 13.9]	-7.5% [-18.3, 3.9]	92 (54.1%) [46.6, 61.6]	137 (56.6%) [50.4, 62.9]	174 (51.5%) [46.2, 56.8]	+2.7% [-7.0, 12.4]	-2.6% [-11.7, 6.5]
Proportion who died (day 29)	26 (41.9%) [29.7, 54.2]	35 (33.7%) [24.6, 42.7]	48 (36.4%) [28.2, 44.6]	-7.7% [-22.8, 7.2]	-5.5% [-20.2, 8.7]	17 (15.7%) [8.9, 22.6]	25 (18.1%) [11.7, 24.5]	54 (26.5%) [20.4, 32.5]	+2.3% [-7.5, 11.5]	+10.7% [0.9, 19.3]	43 (25.3%) [18.8, 31.8]	60 (24.8%) [19.4, 30.2]	103 (30.5%) [25.6, 35.4]	-0.6% [-9.3, 7.7]	+5.2% [-3.3, 13.0]
Proportion who died (day 60)	32 (51.6%) [39.2, 64.1]	39 (37.5%) [28.2, 46.8]	52 (39.4%) [31.1, 47.7]	-13.3% [-28.2, 2.3]	-11.9% [-26.4, 2.9]	27 (25.0%) [16.8, 33.2]	31 (22.5%) [15.5, 29.4]	61 (29.9%) [23.6, 36.2]	-2.6% [-13.5, 7.9]	+4.8 [-5.8, 14.6]	59 (34.7%) [27.6, 41.9]	70 (28.9%) [23.2, 34.6]	114 (33.7%) [28.7, 38.8]	-5.8% [-15.0, 3.2]	-1.0% [-9.8, 7.6]
Proportion who	16 (25.8%)	32 (30.8%)	42 (31.8%)	+5.2% [-9.4, 18.6]	+5.7% [-8.4, 18.2]	69 (63.9%)	88 (63.8%)	111 (54.4%)	+0.1% [-11.7, 12.2]	-9.5% [-20.4, 2.0]	85 (50.0%)	120 (49.6%)	153 (45.3%)	+0.2% [-9.5, 9.9]	-4.7% [-13.8, 4.4]

recover (day 22)*	[14.9, 36.7]	[21.9, 39.6]	[23.9, 39.8]			[54.8, 72.9]	[55.7, 71.8]	[47.6, 61.2]			[42.5, 57.5]	[43.3, 55.9]	[40.0, 50.6]		
Proportion alive not requiring MV/ECMO (day 22)	22 (35.5 %) [23.6, 47.4]	48 (46.2 %) [36.6, 55.7]	59 (44.7 %) [36.2, 53.2]	+9.8% [-5.8, 24.3]	+8.8% [-6.1, 22.6]	78 (72.2 %) [63.8, 80.7]	106 (76.8 %) [69.8, 83.9]	130 (63.7 %) [57.1, 70.3]	+4.8% [-6.0, 15.9]	-8.6% [-18.8, 2.4]	100 (58.8 %) [51.4, 66.2]	154 (63.6 %) [57.6, 69.7]	189 (55.9 %) [50.6, 61.2]	+5.2% [-4.3, 14.7]	-2.9% [-11.8, 6.2]
Proportion with 2-point improvement (day 22)	21 (33.9 %) [22.1, 45.7]	40 (38.5 %) [29.1, 47.8]	53 (40.2 %) [31.8, 48.5]	+4.1% [-11.2, 18.5]	+5.9 [-8.9, 19.5]	68 (63.0 %) [53.9, 72.1]	87 (63.0 %) [55.0, 71.1]	108 (52.9 %) [46.1, 59.8]	+0.3% [-11.6, 12.4]	-10.1% [-21.1, 1.4]	89 (52.4 %) [44.8, 59.9]	127 (52.5 %) [46.2, 58.8]	161 (47.6 %) [42.3, 53.0]	+0.5% [-9.2, 10.2]	-4.7% [-13.8, 4.5]
Proportion discharged alive (day 22)	14 (22.6 %) [12.2, 33.0]	28 (26.9 %) [18.4, 35.4]	35 (26.5 %) [19.0, 34.0]	+4.5% [-9.7, 17.3]	+3.7% [-10.0, 5.6]	67 (62.0 %) [52.9, 71.2]	87 (63.0 %) [55.0, 71.1]	109 (53.4 %) [46.6, 60.3]	+1.2% [-10.8, 13.3]	-8.6% [-19.6, 3.0]	81 (47.6 %) [40.1, 55.2]	115 (47.5 %) [41.2, 53.8]	144 (42.6 %) [37.3, 47.9]	+0.4% [-9.3, 10.1]	-5.0% [-14.1, 4.1]

*ECMO denotes extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, IV intravenous, MV mechanical ventilation.
*Discharged, or alive without supplemental oxygen us or at pre-COVID-19 oxygen use.
†P value = 0.3261
Green = primary end point; blue = key secondary end point; orange = other secondary end points.
Positive risk differences indicate an effect favoring sarilumab for all end points except for the proportion who die by day 29 or day 60.

Table S5. Phase 3 (Cohort 1): Summary of Primary and Selected Secondary Efficacy End Points in Severe and MSOD Strata*

	Severe patients (EITT)					MSOD patients (EITT)				
Outcome	Placebo Group (N=70) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=140) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=137) no. (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Placebo Group (N=46) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=95) no. (%) [95% CI]	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=92) no. (%) [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 200 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]	Risk difference Sarilumab 400 mg IV vs placebo [95% CI]
Proportion with 1-point improvement (day 22)	58 (82.9%) [74.0–91.7]	116 (82.9%) [76.6–89.1]	108 (78.8%) [72.0–85.7]	-0.0% [-10.1–11.7]	-4.0% [-14.4–8.0]	16 (34.8%) [21.0–48.5]	36 (37.9%) [28.1–47.7]	30 (32.6%) [23.0–42.2]	+3.1% [-14.0–18.8]	-2.2% [-19.1–13.6]
Proportion who died (day 29)	8 (11.4%) [4.0–18.9]	11 (7.9%) [3.4–12.3]	16 (11.7%) [6.3–17.1]	-3.6% [-13.7–4.4]	+0.3% [-10.2–8.8]	13 (28.3%) [15.2–41.3]	36 (37.9%) [28.1–47.7]	38 (41.3%) [31.2–51.4]	+9.6% [-7.3–24.5]	+13.0% [-4.2–28.0]
Proportion who died (day 60)	9 (12.9%) [5.0–20.7]	12 (8.6%) [3.9–13.2]	21 (15.3%) [9.3–21.4]	-4.3% [-14.7–4.1]	+2.6% [-8.4–11.7]	16 (34.8%) [21.0–48.5]	40 (42.1%) [32.2–52.0]	40 (43.5%) [33.3–53.6]	+7.3% [-10.0–23.0]	+8.6% [-8.7–24.5]
Proportion who recover (day 22)†	59 (84.3%) [75.8–92.8]	117 (83.6%) [77.4–89.7]	109 (79.6%) [72.8–86.3]	-0.8% [-10.5–10.8]	-4.7% [-14.8–7.1]	11 (23.9%) [11.6–36.2]	29 (30.5%) [21.3–39.8]	22 (23.9%) [15.2–32.6]	+6.6% [-9.7–20.8]	-0.1% [-16.1–13.8]
Proportion alive not requiring MV/ECMO (day 22)	60 (85.7%) [77.5–93.9]	126 (90.0%) [85.0–95.0]	115 (83.9%) [77.8, 90.1]	+4.2% [-4.6–15.1]	-1.8% [-11.3–9.5]	17 (37.0%) [23.0–50.9]	38 (40.0%) [30.1–49.9]	32 (34.8%) [25.1, 44.5]	+3.0% [-14.2–19.0]	-2.3% [-19.3–13.8]

Proportion with 2-point improvement (day 22)	57 (81.4%) [72.3–90.5]	114 (81.4%) [75.0–87.9]	108 (78.8%) [72.0–85.7]	-0.1% [-10.4–12.0]	-2.6% [-13.2–9.6]	14 (30.4%) [17.1–43.7]	33 (34.7%) [25.2–44.3]	28 (30.4%) [21.0, 39.8]	+4.3% [-12.6–19.5]	-0.0% [-16.7–15.2]
Proportion discharged alive (day 22)	58 (82.9%) [74.0–91.7]	115 (82.1%) [75.8–88.5]	108 (78.8%) [72.0–85.7]	-0.8% [-10.8–11.1]	-4.0% [-14.4–8.0]	11 (23.9%) [11.6–36.2]	24 (25.3%) [16.5–34.0]	16 (17.4%) [9.6–25.1]	+1.3% [-14.6–15.3]	-6.7% [-22.1–6.8]

*Positive risk differences indicate an effect favoring sarilumab for all end points except for the proportion who die by day 29 or day 60. ECMO denotes extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, IV intravenous, MV mechanical ventilation.

†Discharged, or alive without supplemental oxygen us or at pre–COVID-19 oxygen use.

Table S6. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Critical Stratum: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population)*

	Critical patients receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline			Critical patients not receiving mechanical ventilation at baseline			All critical patients		
	Placebo Group (N=62)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=104)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=132)**	**Placebo Group (N=108)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=138)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=204)**	**Placebo Group (N=170)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=242)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=338)**
TEAEs — total no.	139	217	259	130	176	280	269	393	544
SAEs — total no.	103	143	174	96	114	183	199	257	359
AESIs — total no.	61	102	128	49	89	124	110	191	255
Serious AESIs — total no.	25	28	43	15	27	27	40	55	70
Patients with any TEAE — no. (%)	51 (82.3)	81 (77.9)	106 (80.3)	57 (52.8)	76 (55.1)	125 (61.3)	108 (63.5)	157 (64.9)	233 (68.9)
Patients with any SAE — no. (%)	44 (71.0)	65 (62.5)	88 (66.7)	45 (41.7)	54 (39.1)	93 (45.6)	89 (52.4)	119 (49.2)	183 (54.1)
Patients with any AESI — no. (%)	31 (50.0)	56 (53.8)	71 (53.8)	30 (27.8)	51 (37.0)	77 (37.7)	61 (35.9)	107 (44.2)	150 (44.4)
Patients with any serious AESI — no. (%)	14 (22.6)	21 (20.2)	32 (24.2)	10 (9.3)	16 (11.6)	19 (9.3)	24 (14.1)	37 (15.3)	51 (15.1)
Patients with any TEAE leading to death — no. (%)	32 (51.6)	38 (36.5)	50 (37.9)	27 (25.0)	31 (22.5)	59 (28.9)	59 (34.7)	69 (28.5)	110 (32.5)
Patients with any TEAE leading to withdrawal from the study drug — no. (%)	2 (3.2)	5 (4.8)	4 (3.0)	4 (3.7)	0	3 (1.5)	6 (3.5)	5 (2.1)	7 (2.1)
Patients with any TEAE leading to study infusion interruption — no. (%)	5 (8.1)	5 (4.8)	13 (9.8)	2 (1.9)	3 (2.2)	5 (2.5)	7 (4.1)	8 (3.3)	18 (5.3)
Related TEAEs — total no.	25	57	77	36	42	71	61	99	150
Related SAEs — total no.	8	21	24	14	10	28	22	31	53
Related AESIs — total no.	24	43	69	27	41	63	51	84	133
Related serious AESIs — total no.	7	7	16	5	9	20	12	16	36
Patients with related TEAE — no. (%)	17 (27.4)	31 (29.8)	46 (34.8)	21 (19.4)	26 (18.8)	48 (23.5)	38 (22.4)	57 (23.6)	96 (28.4)
Patients with related SAE — no. (%)	8 (12.9)	13 (12.5)	18 (13.6)	9 (8.3)	7 (5.1)	19 (9.3)	17 (10.0)	20 (8.3)	38 (11.2)

Patients with related AESI — no. (%)	16 (25.8)	28 (26.9)	44 (33.3)	17 (15.7)	25 (18.1)	45 (22.1)	33 (19.4)	53 (21.9)	90 (26.6)
Patients with related serious AESI — no. (%)	7 (11.3)	6 (5.8)	15 (11.4)	3 (2.8)	6 (4.3)	14 (6.9)	10 (5.9)	12 (5.0)	29 (8.6)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event, SAE serious adverse event.

Table S7. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Overview of Adverse Events (Safety Population)*

	Severe Patients			MSOD Patients		
	Placebo Group (N=70)	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=140)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=137)**	**Placebo Group (N=46)**	**Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=95)**	**Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=92)**
TEAEs — total no.	28	58	100	86	187	181
SAEs — total no.	22	38	71	39	125	117
AESIs — total no.	8	24	45	54	81	90
Serious AESIs — total no.	2	4	16	7	19	26
Patients with any TEAE — no. (%)	14 (20.0)	36 (25.7)	47 (34.3)	37 (80.4)	74 (77.9)	73 (79.3)
Patients with any SAE — no. (%)	11 (15.7)	23 (16.4)	30 (21.9)	27 (58.7)	67 (70.5)	58 (63.0)
Patients with any AESI — no. (%)	5 (7.1)	20 (14.3)	31 (22.6)	28 (60.9)	45 (47.4)	51 (55.4)
Patients with any serious AESI — no.(%)	1 (1.4)	3 (2.1)	10 (7.3)	7 (15.2)	14 (14.7)	21 (22.8)
Patients with any TEAE leading to death — no. (%)	9 (12.9)	12 (8.6)	19 (13.9)	16 (34.8)	40 (42.1)	39 (42.4)
Patients with any TEAE leading to withdrawal from the study drug — no. (%)	1 (1.4)	0	0	3 (6.5)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)
Patients with any TEAE leading to study infusion interruption — no. (%)	0	0	1 (0.7)	0	1 (1.1)	3 (3.3)
Related TEAEs — total no.	2	23	18	30	36	40
Related SAEs — total no.	0	9	6	2	11	14
Related AESIs — total no.	2	14	16	30	33	36
Related serious AESIs — total no.	0	0	4	2	8	10
Patients with related TEAE — no. (%)	2 (2.9)	13 (9.3)	15 (10.9)	17 (37.0)	23 (24.2)	24 (26.1)
Patients with related SAE — no. (%)	0	3 (2.1)	5 (3.6)	2 (4.3)	7 (7.4)	10 (10.9)
Patients with related AESI — no. (%)	2 (2.9)	11 (7.9)	14 (10.2)	17 (37.0)	23 (24.2)	23 (25.0)
Patients with related serious AESI — no. (%)	0	0	3 (2.2)	2 (4.3)	6 (6.3)	9 (9.8)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event, SAE serious adverse event.

Table S8. Phase 3 (Cohort 1) – Severe and MSOD Strata: Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events of Special Interest Occurring in ≥5% of Patients in Any Group (Safety Population)*

System Organ Class Preferred Term	Severe Patients			MSOD Patients		
	Placebo Group (N=70)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=140)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=137)	Placebo Group (N=46)	Sarilumab 200 mg IV Group (N=95)	Sarilumab 400 mg IV Group (N=92)
SAEs						
SAEs — no.	22	38	71	39	125	117
Patients with ≥1 SAE — no. (%)	11 (15.7)	23 (16.4)	30 (21.9)	27 (58.7)	67 (70.5)	58 (63.0)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)						
Covid-19	0 (0.0)	2 (1.4)	5 (3.6)	5 (10.9)	12 (12.6)	9 (9.8)
Septic shock	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	4 (4.2)	5 (5.4)
Cardiac disorders — no. (%)						
Cardiac arrest	2 (2.9)	4 (2.9)	3 (2.2)	6 (13.0)	9 (9.5)	9 (9.8)
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders — no. (%)						
Respiratory failure	2 (2.9)	5 (3.6)	4 (2.9)	2 (4.3)	10 (10.5)	7 (7.6)
Acute respiratory failure	1 (1.4)	4 (2.9)	4 (2.9)	1 (2.2)	3 (3.2)	2 (2.2)
Vascular disorders — no. (%)						
Hypotension	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.1)	5 (5.4)
AESIs						
AESIs — no. (%)	8	24	45	54	81	90
Patients with ≥1 AESI — no. (%)	5 (7.1)	20 (14.3)	31 (22.6)	28 (60.9)	45 (47.4)	51 (55.4)
Investigations — no. (%)						
Alanine aminotransferase increased	1 (1.4)	5 (3.6)	11 (8.0)	9 (19.6)	8 (8.4)	14 (15.2)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	3 (4.3)	6 (4.3)	8 (5.8)	12 (26.1)	13 (13.7)	14 (15.2)
Transaminases increased	1 (1.4)	3 (2.1)	4 (2.9)	4 (8.7)	6 (6.3)	3 (3.3)
Liver function test increased	0 (0.0)	2 (1.4)	1 (0.7)	3 (6.5)	2 (2.1)	5 (5.4)
Infections and infestations — no. (%)						
Bacteremia	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.5)	3 (6.5)	4 (4.2)	4 (4.3)
Pneumonia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (2.9)	0	5 (5.3)	3 (3.3)
Staphylococcal infection	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (6.5)	6 (6.3)	3 (3.3)
Pneumonia klebsiella	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (8.7)	3 (3.2)	2 (2.2)

*AESI denotes adverse event of special interest, IV intravenous, SAE serious adverse event.

Table S9. Association Between the *IL6R* Shedding Variant rs2228145:C and COVID-19 Outcomes*

Phenotype	Study	Cases (no.)	Controls (no.)	Odds ratio (LCI, UCI)	P value
SARS-CoV-2–positive and hospitalized vs. population controls	COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative Meta-Analysis	12,888	1,295,966	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.007
SARS-CoV-2–positive and hospitalized vs. not hospitalized	COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative Meta-Analysis	5,773	15,497	0.96 (0.90, 1.01)	0.130
SARS-CoV-2–positive and with severe disease vs. population controls	COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative Meta-Analysis	5,780	1,115,203	0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	0.190

*LCI denotes lower confidence interval, UCI upper confidence interval.

We also considered two severity phenotypes, 1) hospitalization among PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2–infected individuals and 2) ventilation or death among SARS-CoV-2–infected individuals as compared with population controls, but these had less statistical power to detect associations. We found numerically lower odds of hospitalization among SARS-CoV-2–infected individuals (N=5773) compared with SARS-CoV-2–infected controls who were not hospitalized (N=15,497; TOR 0.96; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.01; P=0.13) and lower odds of severe Covid-19 among SARS-CoV-2–infected individuals who required ventilation or died (N=5780) compared with population-level controls (OR 0.97; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.02; P=0.19). These results were not statistically significant, suggesting larger sample sizes may be required. Altogether, these genetic findings are consistent with approximately 3% to 4% risk reduction for hospitalization and severe disease and provide support for IL-6 signaling blockade in Covid-19 management.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973; this version posted May 14, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Appendix References

1. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic. Eur J Hum Genet 2020;28:715-8.
2. Zhou W, Nielsen JB, Fritsche LG, et al. Efficiently controlling for case-control imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic association studies. Nat Genet 2018;50:1335-41.
3. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2190-1.