medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Transdiagnostic phenotyping of psychopathology in a help-seeking population (PhenoNetz): A study protocol for an experience sampling study

Marlene Rosen[1#]*, Linda T. Betz[1]*, Christian Montag[2], Christopher Kannen[2], Joseph Kambeitz[1]

[1] Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

[2] Institute of Psychology and Education, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

[#] Corresponding Author

E-mail: marlene.rosen@uk-koeln.de

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Prevention in psychiatry provides a promising way to address the burden by mental illness. However, established approaches focus on specific diagnoses and do not address the heterogeneity and manifold potential outcomes of help-seeking populations that present at early recognition services. Conceptualizing psychopathology manifested in help-seeking populations from a network perspective of interacting symptoms allows transdiagnostic investigations beyond binary disease categories. Furthermore, modern technologies such as smartphones facilitate the application of Experience Sampling Methods (ESM). A combination of ESM with network analyses provides valid insights beyond established assessment instruments. We will examine n = 75 individuals (age 18-40 years) of the help-seeking population of the Cologne early recognition centre (FETZ). For a maximally naturalistic sample, only minimal exclusion criteria will be applied. We will collect data for 14 days utilizing a mobile application to assess ten transdiagnostic symptoms, i.e., depressive, anxious and psychotic symptoms as well as distress level. With these data, we will generate average group-level symptom networks and personalized symptom networks using a two-step multilevel vector autoregressive model. Additionally, we will explore associations between symptom networks and sociodemographic, risk and resilience factors, as well as psychosocial functioning. Our study will provide insights about feasibility and utility of ESM in a help-seeking population. Providing a first explorative phenotyping of the transdiagnostic help-seeking population, this study will contribute to innovation of early recognition in psychiatry. Results will help to pave the way for prevention and targeted early intervention in a broader patient group and thus, enable greater intended effects in alleviating the burden of psychiatric disorders.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Keywords

help-seeking population, phenotyping, ecological momentary assessment, symptom networks, prevention, early intervention, transdiagnostic psychiatry

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Prevention and early intervention in psychiatry provide promising ways to address the immense burden of mental illness [1–3]. The currently established prevention approach implemented in early recognition services focuses on risk syndromes developed for predicting specific diagnoses (e.g., psychosis [4,5]). However, the majority of help-seeking patients who present at early recognition services are not covered by these specific risk syndromes, as they do not fulfill respective criteria which indicate increased risk qualifying for targeted intervention [4,6]. Thus, in a sizable proportion of this population, early recognition centers for mental disorders currently miss out on a critical potential for preventive efforts. In fact, help-seeking populations present with a mixture of various symptoms [7] such as depressive, anxious and psychotic symptoms. Depressive and anxiety symptoms have proven to be among the main reasons why individuals seek help [8,9], whereas psychotic symptoms are of interest as they are most burdensome for affected individuals as well as for the health care system, despite their rather low prevalence [10]. These symptoms are shared across different diagnoses [11–13] and appear in help-seeking patients with sub-threshold, risk-syndromes and full-threshold disorders [14]. Similarly, accumulating evidence demonstrates that distress is a mediating and triggering factor for psychopathology at large [15–19]. Taken together, help-seeking populations are much more heterogeneous than previously assumed, and may develop manifold potential outcomes [20] or show other unfavorable outcomes such as persisting deficits in psychosocial functioning [21].

Thus, there is a growing call for a broader, transdiagnostic approach for prevention in psychiatry [22–25]. While there is important data on the psychopathology of patients presenting to early recognition centers (e.g. [6,8,26,27]), their interpretation is limited by the typically purely cross-sectional, retrospective and diagnosis-specific character of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

assessments. However, symptoms fluctuate over time [28–30], and important insights are missed when neglecting this dynamic component of psychopathology in a help-seeking population. Moreover, as outlined above, conventional assessments are often considered in isolation rather than in concert, neglecting the transdiagnostic, intertwined nature of psychopathology in help-seeking populations. Collectively, these observations underline the necessity to turn to novel methods in order to enrich traditional self- and observer-based reports to understand the psychopathology in help-seeking populations.

One novel method consists in integrating two distinct innovative ideas that have emerged in the field of psychopathology in recent years [31]. The first consists in intensive longitudinal measurements of symptoms and other relevant variables via the experience sampling method (ESM), which has become increasingly feasible and accepted in recent years especially with the advance of mobile technology such as smartphones (see [32] and [33]). ESM provides valid insights into psychopathology as it occurs in daily life by assessing targeted phenomena repeatedly during the course of the day within a specific time period. ESM increases ecological validity compared with retrospective reporting, reduces biases resulting from false memory or aggregation processes of experience over a longer time period, and allows collection of data at the within-person level [34].

The second idea is the network approach mainly put forward by Borsboom and colleagues ([35–37]; for a recent overview, see [38]), in which psychopathology is conceived as a dynamic system of connected, interacting and maintaining symptoms and other clinically relevant variables [35,39]. In line with a clinician's perspective, symptoms are assumed to co-occur because of functional relations between them rather than due the common dependence on an underlying disorder entity [36,38,39]. With its inherently transdiagnostic outlook [37,40], the network approach is well suited for conceptualizing the psychopathology of a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

help-seeking population, where patterns and strength of symptom expression is typically highly heterogeneous.

The integration of network analyses with ESM data enables rich insights beyond those obtained by established assessment instruments. Specifically, the intensive time-series data the result from ESM can be used to model symptom networks that offer a promising gateway into understanding the dynamics of psychopathology on group and individual level [41]. On group-level, dynamic symptom networks allow to exploratively map out the potential average causal relations among individual symptoms in the same measurement window and across measurement windows. Personalized symptom networks are of special interest, as they allow the conceptualization of psychopathology as a set of person-specific dynamic processes [39,42]. By revealing the symptoms and processes most relevant to each individual, these approaches hold potential to personalize interventions [39,43].

Due to these properties, many interesting studies have been published proving the potential of longitudinal symptom network models in advancing the psychopathological understanding of specific psychiatric conditions [44,45]. However, insights into the dynamical structure of psychopathology of a heterogeneous help-seeking population of a psychiatric early recognition center, i.e., interactions of a broad, transdiagnostic set of symptoms, as well as associations with risk and resilience factors and psychosocial functioning, is still lacking so far.

Thus, with the proposed study, we aim to provide a first explorative transdiagnostic phenotyping through the combination of ESM with network analyses. This will be the first study to apply ESM in a help-seeking population.

Findings from this innovative approach integrated with those derived from established assessments represents a promising way to address a larger proportion of the help-seeking population than current diagnosis-specific strategies aiming at preventing the burden of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

psychiatric disorders. Moreover, results will have their core value in generating hypotheses regarding central dynamic psychopathological processes. These provide a basis for follow-up work dedicated to inform preventive interventions, testing experimentally whether interventions on particular symptoms or processes lead to changes consistent with estimated network model [46].

Methods

Aim

This study aims at an explorative transdiagnostic phenotyping of a help-seeking population of an early recognition center for mental disorders using innovative, intensive longitudinal data collection via a smartphone app. A better understanding of relevant psychopathology in this burdened population is of great relevance, given the lack of adequate interventions [47]. Combining ESM with network analyses allows for unique insights into yet under-researched early transdiagnostic psychopathological processes in a help-seeking population of an early recognition center of mental disorders, as well as their explorative association with risk, resilience, and psychosocial functioning.

Setting and participants

100 participants will be recruited from the help-seeking population presenting at the early recognition center of mental disorders at the University Hospital of Cologne (FETZ) (fetz.uk-koeln.de), with an expected drop-out rate of 25%, leading to a total of 75 participants in the final sample. The FETZ offers specialist diagnostics for the early recognition of mental disorders, with a focus on severe mental illness, in particular psychotic disorders, and is a first contact point for people aged 18 to 40 years that have noticed changes in their experience and behaviour. Most patients find out about the FETZ through internet research or are referred to by healthcare practitioners.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

For a naturalistic characterization of the help-seeking population presenting at the FETZ using ESM, we will not impose specific inclusion criteria for participation in the PhenoNetz-study. Likewise, to ensure validity of the obtained data, only a minor part of help-seeking participants will be excluded based on the following criteria:

- acute suicidal thoughts
- IQ ≤ 70
- age > 40 years
- known previous illness of the central nervous system, as well as untreated unstable somatic illnesses with known effects on the central nervous system (e.g. untreated hypothyreosis)
- insufficient knowledge of the German language

Procedure and materials

All patients presenting at the FETZ not fulfilling any of the listed exclusion criteria will be addressed either directly in the FETZ or via telephone or e-mail (given permission to contact was obtained by the clinical personnel at the FETZ) and informed about background, goal, design, risks and benefits, as well as data security aspects of the study. Additional open questions will be answered directly by one of the primary investigators (MR, LB). In case of willingness to participate, written informed consent will be provided by all participants prior to their participation in the study. All participants will be compensated with 40€ for their participation. Participants can withdraw from the study at any time without negative consequences. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine (reference number 20-1092).

Figure 1 illustrates the study design. During baseline assessment, data on socio-demographics, medication, substance use, psychopathology including psychosocial functioning as well as risk- and resilience factors will be assessed through both observer- and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

self-ratings (table 2). All data will be collected via Research electronic data capture (RedCap [48]). In the baseline assessment, the mobile application used for ESM data collection in the study, the *insightsApp* [49], will be installed on the personal smartphones of the participants. As the *insightsApp* only runs on Android, participants with personal smartphones using other operating systems (e.g., iOS) will be equipped with a study smartphone for the study period. Participants will be encouraged to complete as many surveys as possible without compromising their personal safety (e.g., while driving).



Figure 1. Study design of the PhenoNetz-study. Participants included will undergo baseline assessment with self- and observer ratings, followed by a 14-day-ESM data collection period. In the subsequent follow-up assessment, selected self- and observer ratings will be collected again. If desired, the participants will receive personalized feedback on their ESM data after the two weeks of ESM data collection, such that the feedback does not interfere with ESM data collection.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Constructs with scales assessed at the baseline and follow-up assessments (before and after the ESM period, respectively) of the PhenoNetz-study.

Construct	Questionnaire	Self- vs observer-rating	Baseline assess-ment	Follow-up assess-ment
sociodemo-graphics	-	Observer rating	x	-
psycho-pathology				
diagnostic classification	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID) [50]	Observer rating	x	-
current substance use	analogous to PRONIA study [51]	Observer rating	x	x
current medication	analogous to PRONIA study [51]	Observer rating		x
depression	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) [52]	Self rating	x	x
anxiety	State and Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [53]	Self rating	x	x
social phobia	Social Phobia Inventory (SPIN) [54]	Self rating	x	x
psychotic symptoms	Community Assessment of Psychic Experience (CAPE) [55]	Self rating	x	x
quality of life	WHO Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL) [56]	Self rating	x	x
risk- and resilience				
childhood trauma	Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) [57]	Self rating	x	-
bullying	Bullying Scale (BS) [58]	Self rating	x	-
resilience	Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA) [59]	Self rating	x	-
coping	Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS-24) [60]	Self rating	x	-
personality	NEO – Five Factor Inventory	Self rating	x	-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	(NEO-FFI) [61]			
attachment	Attachment Style Questionnaire (ASQ) [62]	Self rating	x	-
expressed emotion	Level of Expressed Emotion Scale (LEE) [63]	Self rating	x	-
social support	Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) [64]	Self rating	x	-
introspection	Self-reflection and insight scale (SRIS) [65,66]	Self rating	x	x
self-efficacy	Skala zur allgemeinen Selbstwirksamkeitserwartung (SWE) [67]	Self rating	x	-
psychosocial functioning	Global Functioning Social and Role Scales (GF: Social and Role) [68]	Observer rating	x	-
Experience with ESM period	adapted from [69]	Self rating	-	x

Using ESM, potentially relevant transdiagnostic (subthreshold) symptoms such as sadness, anxiety, psychotic experiences and stress will be recorded (table 3). Items are based on previous studies and questionnaires, given the lack of standardized ESM assessment in clinical populations. In-app reminders will be sent out five times a day at fixed time points: 9:30h, 12:30h, 15:30h, 18:30h, 21:30h for a duration of 14 days. In each survey, participants will be asked how much they endorse a certain feeling or behavior at the time of filling out the survey: “How much does the following statement apply to you at this moment?”. Responses will be given on a visual analogue scale (in percent) from “0 = does not apply at all” to “100 = “applies fully”, with a slider that can be moved in 1-unit increments. Participants will be asked to fill in the items as soon as possible after receiving the in-app reminder, but no later than 60 minutes afterwards. Filling in the items takes about 1-1.5 minutes in total. Similar ESM protocols were deemed acceptable for clinical populations in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

prior studies [34,69,70]. The *insightsApp* will be used only for the regular active collection of transdiagnostic symptoms by means of the described self-report questions. No personal information (such as name, phone number, etc.) or passive data are accessed, stored or transferred by the *insightsApp*. To maximize the number of completed surveys for every participant, participants will be contacted at least once during the assessment period to assess instruction adherence, identify any concerns associated with the method and help participants with any problems in completing the ESM questionnaire.

Table 3. ESM items assessed in the PhenoNetz-study (English translation).

1.	I am sad.
2.	I perceive things that other people cannot perceive.
3.	I have difficulties concentrating.
4.	I feel sociable.
5.	I feel stressed.
6.	I am satisfied with myself.
7.	I feel anxious.
8.	I find it hard to motivate myself to do things.
9.	I am suspicious of other people.
10.	I am brooding.

In the follow-up assessment conducted after the 14 days of ESM data collection, data on psychopathology, medication and substance use will be assessed again, referring to the 14 days during which ESM data were collected (table 2). In addition, experiences and strain

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

associated with the ESM data collection will be assessed via a questionnaire translated and adjusted from a previous study conducted in clinical participants [69]. If desired, participants will be provided with a personalized feedback report on their ESM data.

Data security

Using a smartphone app installed on the personal smartphone of participants for data assessment requires particular attention to data security (for a broader discussion on ethical concerns regarding digital phenotyping procedures in the psychological and psychiatric sciences see [71,72]). Therefore, subjects must provide additional consent to collect data within the app and grant the necessary permissions to the app on the smartphones (such as being notified by the app about available surveys). The ESM data collected by the *insightsApp* is pseudonymized (16-digit alphanumeric codes) and sent directly to a server hosted and maintained by a professional web hosting service after each survey. Answers to the surveys are only stored temporarily locally on the smartphones and deleted once they are transmitted to the server. To secure the data transfer from the smartphone to the server, both the connection between the *insightsApp* and the backend software on the server is encrypted by the use of a SSL certificate.

Safety

Given that this study is observational, there are no direct risks associated with participation. Previous studies have demonstrated good acceptance of ESM protocols similar to the one implemented in this project. Even if participants become more aware of their symptoms due to high-frequency data collection, this does not have a negative effect in terms of worsening symptoms [34,69,73]. Participants can terminate the ESM data collection at any time without giving reasons. Participants who are acutely suicidal or a danger to others will immediately be presented to the service physician for further assessment. Should this become

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

apparent in a telephone call, participants will be reported to the responsible social psychiatric service.

Data analytic plan

All statistical analyses will be conducted in the *R* language for statistical computing [74]. Descriptive analysis of the sample will include mean, standard deviation, median and interquartile range as appropriate. Participants included in the analysis will be compared to those that dropped out of the study or were excluded due to too little available measurements (< 20 measurements [41,44]) via appropriate classes of permutation tests [75]. Changes in measures that were assessed twice, pre- and post-ESM (see table 2), will be compared via linear mixed modeling. Prior to the analyses of ESM data, we will detrend the ESM data by fitting fixed-effects linear regression models to each ESM item, regressing out a linear trend on time (i.e., general increases/decreases in items over time), and mean-center ESM items per person. We will then generate group-level and personalized networks via a two-step multilevel vector autoregressive (VAR) modeling approach as described in detail below. These analyses allow us to examine symptom dynamics within multiple individuals ($n > 1$; fixed effects) and for individual participants ($n = 1$; random effects). Originally, we planned to estimate and analyze 'truly' personalized networks solely based on data from individual participants (such as those that could be derived via a graphical vector autoregressive model [31]). However, results from a simulation study [76], published as a preprint one month after our study commenced, suggest that our sampling scheme potentially lacks the power to detect a non-negligible proportion of true edges in truly personalized networks, which is why we decided to refrain from this analytic approach.

Two-step multilevel vector autoregressive model

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

We will use a two-step multilevel vector autoregressive (VAR) model, as implemented in the mlVAR *R* package. In the multilevel VAR, the average dynamical relationships on group-level are modeled as fixed effects, whereas regression coefficients are allowed to vary between patients as random effects.

First, we will estimate three group-level network structures including the 10 assessed symptoms, reflecting the average process of all participants (fixed effects): between-subject (undirected partial correlation network between the means of participant's scores, capturing, in general, whether participants high on a given node are also high on other nodes during the two-week course of the study), contemporaneous (an undirected partial correlation network showing how symptoms relate to each other in the same window of measurement, controlling for temporal relationships), and temporal (a directed network displaying symptoms predicting each other across an approximately 3-h lag, while controlling for all other experiences in the model at the prior measurement). Centrality will be assessed using strength centrality (indicating the summed absolute edge strengths connected to a specific node) in the contemporaneous network, and in-strength (indicating the summed absolute strengths of all incoming edges) and out-strength (indicating the summed absolute strengths of all outgoing edges) in the temporal network, using the *R* package 'qgraph' [77].

Second, we will generate two types of personalized networks for each participant based on estimated random effects of the multilevel VAR: a contemporaneous and a temporal network. These personalized networks are not truly idiographic, in the sense that they borrow information from other subjects [41,44]. However, in doing so, the multilevel VAR can perform well in estimating personalized networks even if the number of ESM observations is comparatively low for a particular participant. Given that multilevel VAR does not perform

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

participant-specific model selection, all estimated personalized networks contain all edges [41].

We will use orthogonal estimation for contemporaneous and temporal effects. For the contemporaneous and group-level networks, we will use the conservative "AND-rule" approach in retaining and plotting significant edges. See [41,44] for a detailed description of methodological details.

Specific analyses

We plan the following analyses:

1. We will compute group longitudinal (between-person, contemporaneous, temporal) networks (contemporaneous and temporal; see [31]) as described above.

2. We will identify symptom centrality and unique partial correlations among symptoms in the contemporaneous and temporal group-level networks. We hypothesize that on group-level, feeling stressed will be the most central symptom in the contemporaneous network and predict other experiences most in the temporal network, given that stress experience is frequently discussed as a transdiagnostic factor in psychopathological experiences [16–19]. For the temporal network, we have no a priori hypothesis with regard to the most central item.

3. We will evaluate the degree of association between risk factors (e.g. childhood trauma) and network connectivity, assessed by global strength of personalized networks (temporal and contemporaneous), in a linear modeling approach. Based on prior research and theoretical considerations [36,78,79], we hypothesize that risk factors will be associated with an increased network connectivity. Similarly, we hypothesize that poorer psychosocial functioning will be associated with increased network connectivity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

4. We will explore how the strength of specific symptom-symptom connections in individual contemporaneous and temporal networks relates to the degree of presence of specific risk/resilience factors.

Sample size and required number of ESM observations

Formal power analyses are not yet worked out for group-level network models based on intensive longitudinal data. The performance of network estimation methods depends on the unknown true network structure—the network equivalent of a true effect size in power analysis [44]. Supplementary materials from Epskamp, Waldorp, et al. (2018) report simulation results for mlVAR, showing that mlVAR models are excellent in recovering the fixed effect structures with quite few data, starting at 50 participants. With our targeted sample size of 75, which represents a realistic recruitment goal in the population of interest, we will surpass this threshold, leading to an adequately powered analysis for the estimation of a mlVAR model. Due to the methodological novelty of symptom networks based on intensive time series data, there exist no guidelines on the number of ESM observations required [44]. More observations collected over a longer period of time improves stability and validity of the results; however, this has to be balanced against the feasibility of the integration of the study into the daily lives of the participants. With 70 targeted observations collected over 14 days, our study is similar to the study designs of previous ESM projects conducted in clinical populations [80–82]. Following previous studies using mlVAR modeling, participants with less than 20 ESM observations will be excluded from the network estimation [41,44].

Status and timeline of the study

Study recruitment started on November 11, 2020, and is currently ongoing, with an anticipated date of recruitment completion by the end of November 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This study aims at an explorative phenotyping of the heterogenous help-seeking population of a psychiatric early recognition center. Applying ESM for the first time in such a cohort, we will identify transdiagnostic symptom networks and explore their association with protective and risk factors, as well as psychosocial functioning.

In doing so, we provide a first attempt to validly depict dynamic transdiagnostic symptom associations in a help-seeking population which might provide valuable insights: Central items and processes might represent anchor points for interventions [83]. Furthermore, insights into potential etiological processes, identified by association with risk and resilience factors as well as psychosocial functioning, might inform prevention strategies [47]. Hypotheses based on findings of our explorative study might guide future research.

Moreover, ESM represents only one powerful element to gain insights into relevant variables collected in everyday life to improve prevention and targeted early intervention [84,85]. Studying the digital footprints left by the human-smartphone interaction (e.g. log in frequency, use of different apps, calling behavior), can provide additional important insights into psychopathological states in help-seeking individuals [86]. Exploring the potential of ESM as a self-monitoring intervention in help-seeking populations (similar to approaches in depressive disorder [29]) is another exciting avenue for future research.

In clinical science, intensive longitudinal assessments of symptoms in daily life are deservedly receiving more and more attention [39,43,44], and we believe that this will result

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

in enhanced patient benefit. Our study intends to contribute a milestone towards innovation in understanding help-seeking populations in psychiatry, allowing to help a greater proportion of this heterogeneous and crucial target group [43]. Subsequent impacts on early states and the progress of mental disorders might reduce associated personal, familial, societal, clinical as well as economic burden more effectively.

Acknowledgements

The study is funded by the Köln Fortune program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cologne (grant agreement n° 304/2020).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Multimedia Appendix of supplementary files

See attached file.

References

1. Marshall M, Husain N, Bork N, Chaudhry IB, Lester H, Everard L, et al. Impact of early intervention services on duration of untreated psychosis: data from the National EDEN prospective cohort study. Schizophr Res. 2014;159: 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.07.005

2. Valmaggia LR, Byrne M, Day F, Broome MR, Johns L, Howes O, et al. Duration of untreated psychosis and need for admission in patients who engage with mental health services in the prodromal phase. Br J Psychiatry. 2015;207: 130–134. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.114.150623

3. Fusar-Poli P, Bauer M, Borgwardt S, Bechdolf A, Correll CU, Do KQ, et al. European college of neuropsychopharmacology network on the prevention of mental disorders and mental health promotion (ECNP PMD-MHP). Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2019;29: 1301–1311. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.09.006

4. Schultze-Lutter F, Michel C, Schmidt SJ, Schimmelmann BG, Maric NP, Salokangas RKR, et al. EPA guidance on the early detection of clinical high risk states of psychoses. Eur Psychiatry. 2015;30: 405–416. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.01.010

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

5. Rosen M, Betz LT, Schultze-Lutter F, Chisholm K, Haidl TK, Kambeitz-Ilankovic L, et al. Towards clinical application of prediction models for transition to psychosis: A systematic review and external validation study in the PRONIA sample. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2021;125: 478–492. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.02.032

6. Schultze-Lutter F, Ruhrmann S, Klosterkötter J. Early detection of psychosis - establishing a service for persons at risk. Eur Psychiatry. 2009;24: 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2008.08.004

7. Iorfino F, Scott EM, Carpenter JS, Cross SP, Hermens DF, Killedar M, et al. Clinical Stage Transitions in Persons Aged 12 to 25 Years Presenting to Early Intervention Mental Health Services With Anxiety, Mood, and Psychotic Disorders. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76: 1167–1175. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2360

8. Rickwood DJ, Telford NR, Parker AG, Tanti CJ, McGorry PD. headspace - Australia's innovation in youth mental health: who are the clients and why are they presenting? Med J Aust. 2014;200: 108–111. doi:10.5694/mja13.11235

9. Fusar-Poli P, Nelson B, Valmaggia L, Yung AR, McGuire PK. Comorbid depressive and anxiety disorders in 509 individuals with an at-risk mental state: impact on psychopathology and transition to psychosis. Schizophr Bull. 2014;40: 120–131. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbs136

10. Wittchen HU, Jacobi F, Rehm J, Gustavsson A, Svensson M, Jönsson B, et al. The size and burden of mental disorders and other disorders of the brain in Europe 2010. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2011;21: 655–679. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2011.07.018

11. McElroy E, Fearon P, Belsky J, Fonagy P, Patalay P. Networks of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms Across Development. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018;57: 964–973. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2018.05.027

12. Rozin P, Royzman EB. Negativity Bias, Negativity Dominance, and Contagion. Pers Soc Psychol Rev. 2001;5: 296–320. doi:10.1207/S15327957PSPR0504_2

13. van Os J, Linscott RJ, Myin-Germeys I, Delespaul P, Krabbendam L. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the psychosis continuum: evidence for a psychosis proneness-persistence-impairment model of psychotic disorder. Psychol Med. 2009;39: 179–195. doi:10.1017/S0033291708003814

14. Wigman JTW, van Nierop M, Vollebergh WAM, Lieb R, Beesdo-Baum K, Wittchen H-U, et al. Evidence that psychotic symptoms are prevalent in disorders of anxiety and depression, impacting on illness onset, risk, and severity--implications for diagnosis and ultra-high risk research. Schizophr Bull. 2012;38: 247–257. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbr196

15. Monroe SM. Modern approaches to conceptualizing and measuring human life stress. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2008;4: 33–52. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.4.022007.141207

16. Calcia MA, Bonsall DR, Bloomfield PS, Selvaraj S, Barichello T, Howes OD. Stress and neuroinflammation: a systematic review of the effects of stress on microglia and the implications for mental illness. Psychopharmacology . 2016;233: 1637–1650. doi:10.1007/s00213-016-4218-9

17. Betz LT, Penzel N, Rosen M, Kambeitz J. Relationships between childhood trauma and perceived stress in the general population: a network perspective. Psychol Med. 2020;51: 2696–2706. doi:10.1017/S003329172000135X

18. Myin-Germeys I, van Os J. Stress-reactivity in psychosis: evidence for an affective pathway to psychosis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2007;27: 409–424. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2006.09.005

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

19. Isvoranu A-M, van Borkulo CD, Boyette L-L, Wigman JTW, Vinkers CH, Borsboom D, et al. A Network Approach to Psychosis: Pathways Between Childhood Trauma and Psychotic Symptoms. Schizophr Bull. 2017;43: 187–196. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbw055

20. van Os J, Guloksuz S. A critique of the "ultra-high risk" and "transition" paradigm. World Psychiatry. 2017;16: 200–206. doi:10.1002/wps.20423

21. Addington J, Stowkowy J, Liu L, Cadenhead KS, Cannon TD, Cornblatt BA, et al. Clinical and functional characteristics of youth at clinical high-risk for psychosis who do not transition to psychosis. Psychol Med. 2019;49: 1670–1677. doi:10.1017/S0033291718002258

22. McGorry PD, Hartmann JA, Spooner R, Nelson B. Beyond the "at risk mental state" concept: transitioning to transdiagnostic psychiatry. World Psychiatry. 2018;17: 133–142. doi:10.1002/wps.20514

23. Rimvall MK, van Os J, Jeppesen P. Promoting a patient-centered, transdiagnostic approach to prevention of severe mental illness. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;30: 823–824. doi:10.1007/s00787-020-01563-y

24. Shah JL, Scott J, McGorry PD, Cross SPM, Keshavan MS, Nelson B, et al. Transdiagnostic clinical staging in youth mental health: a first international consensus statement. World Psychiatry. 2020;19: 233–242. doi:10.1002/wps.20745

25. Cross SP, Hickie I. Transdiagnostic stepped care in mental health. Public Health Res Pract. 2017;27. doi:10.17061/phrp2721712

26. Brandizzi M, Schultze-Lutter F, Masillo A, Lanna A, Curto M, Lindau JF, et al. Self-reported attenuated psychotic-like experiences in help-seeking adolescents and their association with age, functioning and psychopathology. Schizophr Res. 2014;160: 110–117. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.10.005

27. Michel C, Kaess M, Flückiger R, Büetiger JR, Schultze-Lutter F, Schimmelmann BG, et al. The Bern Early Recognition and Intervention Centre for mental crisis (FETZ Bern)-An 8-year evaluation. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2021. doi:10.1111/eip.13160

28. van Os J, Lataster T, Delespaul P, Wichers M, Myin-Germeys I. Evidence that a psychopathology interactome has diagnostic value, predicting clinical needs: an experience sampling study. PLoS One. 2014;9: e86652. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086652

29. Kramer I, Simons CJP, Hartmann JA, Menne-Lothmann C, Viechtbauer W, Peeters F, et al. A therapeutic application of the experience sampling method in the treatment of depression: a randomized controlled trial. World Psychiatry. 2014;13: 68–77. doi:10.1002/wps.20090

30. Myin-Germeys I, Oorschot M, Collip D, Lataster J, Delespaul P, van Os J. Experience sampling research in psychopathology: opening the black box of daily life. Psychol Med. 2009;39: 1533–1547. doi:10.1017/S0033291708004947

31. Epskamp S, van Borkulo CD, van der Veen DC, Servaas MN, Isvoranu A-M, Riese H, et al. Personalized Network Modeling in Psychopathology: The Importance of Contemporaneous and Temporal Connections. Clin Psychol Sci. 2018;6: 416–427. doi:10.1177/2167702617744325

32. Miller G. The Smartphone Psychology Manifesto. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2012;7: 221–237. doi:10.1177/1745691612441215

33. Markowetz A, Błaszkiewicz K, Montag C, Switala C, Schlaepfer TE. Psycho-informatics: Big Data shaping modern psychometrics. Med Hypotheses. 2014;82: 405–411.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2013.11.030

34. Verhagen SJW, Hasmi L, Drukker M, van Os J, Delespaul PAEG. Use of the experience sampling method in the context of clinical trials. Evid Based Ment Health. 2016;19: 86–89. doi:10.1136/ebmental-2016-102418

35. Borsboom D, Cramer AOJ. Network analysis: an integrative approach to the structure of psychopathology. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2013;9: 91–121. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185608

36. Borsboom D. A network theory of mental disorders. World Psychiatry. 2017;16: 5–13. doi:10.1002/wps.20375

37. Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, van der Maas HLJ, Borsboom D. Comorbidity: a network perspective. Behav Brain Sci. 2010;33: 137–50; discussion 150–93. doi:10.1017/S0140525X09991567

38. McNally RJ. Network Analysis of Psychopathology: Controversies and Challenges. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2021;17: 31–53. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-081219-092850

39. Hofmann SG, Curtiss J, McNally RJ. A Complex Network Perspective on Clinical Science. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2016;11: 597–605. doi:10.1177/1745691616639283

40. Borsboom D, Epskamp S, Kievit RA, Cramer AOJ, Schmittmann VD. Transdiagnostic Networks: Commentary on Nolen-Hoeksema and Watkins (2011). Perspect Psychol Sci. 2011;6: 610–614. doi:10.1177/1745691611425012

41. Epskamp S, Waldorp LJ, Mõttus R, Borsboom D. The Gaussian Graphical Model in Cross-Sectional and Time-Series Data. Multivariate Behav Res. 2018;53: 453–480. doi:10.1080/00273171.2018.1454823

42. Fisher AJ, Boswell JF. Enhancing the Personalization of Psychotherapy With Dynamic Assessment and Modeling. Assessment. 2016;23: 496–506. doi:10.1177/1073191116638735

43. Hofmann SG, Hayes SC. The Future of Intervention Science: Process-Based Therapy. Clin Psychol Sci. 2019;7: 37–50. doi:10.1177/2167702618772296

44. Jordan DG, Winer ES, Salem T. The current status of temporal network analysis for clinical science: Considerations as the paradigm shifts? J Clin Psychol. 2020;76: 1591–1612. doi:10.1002/jclp.22957

45. Robinaugh DJ, Hoekstra RHA, Toner ER, Borsboom D. The network approach to psychopathology: a review of the literature 2008-2018 and an agenda for future research. Psychol Med. 2020;50: 353–366. doi:10.1017/S0033291719003404

46. Fried EI, Papanikolaou F, Epskamp S. Mental Health and Social Contact During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Ecological Momentary Assessment Study. Clin Psychol Sci. 2021; 21677026211017839. doi:10.1177/21677026211017839

47. Mei C, van der Gaag M, Nelson B, Smit F, Yuen HP, Berger M, et al. Preventive interventions for individuals at ultra high risk for psychosis: An updated and extended meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2021; 102005. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102005

48. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42: 377–381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

49. Montag C, Baumeister H, Kannen C, Sariyska R, Meßner E-M, Brand M. Concept, Possibilities and Pilot-Testing of a New Smartphone Application for the Social and Life Sciences to Study Human Behavior Including Validation Data from Personality Psychology. J — Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal. 2019;2: 102–115. doi:10.3390/j2020008

50. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 20: 22–33;quiz 34–57. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9881538

51. Koutsouleris N, Kambeitz-Ilankovic L, Ruhrmann S, Rosen M, Ruef A, Dwyer DB, et al. Prediction Models of Functional Outcomes for Individuals in the Clinical High-Risk State for Psychosis or With Recent-Onset Depression: A Multimodal, Multisite Machine Learning Analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018;75: 1156–1172. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.2165

52. Strunk KK, Lane FC. The Beck Depression Inventory, Second Edition (BDI-II): A Cross-Sample Structural Analysis. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development. 2016.

53. Spielberg CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RD. Test Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Consulting psychologists press Palo Alto, California; 1970.

54. Sosic Z, Gieler U, Stangier U. Screening for social phobia in medical in- and outpatients with the German version of the Social Phobia Inventory (SPIN). J Anxiety Disord. 2008;22: 849–859. doi:10.1016/j.janxdis.2007.08.011

55. Schlier B, Jaya ES, Moritz S, Lincoln TM. The Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences measures nine clusters of psychosis-like experiences: A validation of the German version of the CAPE. Schizophr Res. 2015;169: 274–279. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2015.10.034

56. Angermeyer C, Kilian R, Matschinger H. WHOQoL-100 und WHOQoL-BREF. Handbuch für die deutschsprachigen Versionen der WHO Instrumente zur Erfassung von Lebensqualität. Hogrefe; 2000.

57. Wingenfeld K, Spitzer C, Mensebach C, Grabe HJ, Hill A, Gast U, et al. [The German Version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ):Preliminary Psychometric Properties.]. Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol. 2010;60: e13. doi:10.1055/s-0030-1253494

58. Haidl TK, Schneider N, Dickmann K, Ruhrmann S, Kaiser N, Rosen M, et al. Validation of the Bullying Scale for Adults - Results of the PRONIA-study. J Psychiatr Res. 2020;129: 88–97. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.04.004

59. Kaiser N, Seves M, Koutsouleris N, Ruhrmann S. Validierung einer deutschen Version der Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA). Diagnostica. 2019;65: 205–215. doi:10.1026/0012-1924/a000228

60. Kälin W. Deutsche 24-Item Kurzform des „Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations(CISS)“ von N. S. Endler & J. D. A. Parker. Basierend auf der Übersetzung von N. Semmer, F.Tschan & V. Schade (unveröffentlichter Fragebogen). Bern: Universität, Institut für Psychologie.; 1995.

61. Scandell DJ. Development and initial validation of validity scales for the NEO-Five Factor Inventory. Pers Individ Dif. 2000;29: 1153–1162. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00262-7

62. Hexel M. Validierung der deutschen Version des Attachment Style Questionnaire (ASQ) bei Personen mit und ohne psychiatrische Diagnosen. Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie. 2004;33: 79–90. doi:10.1026/0084-5345.33.2.79

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

63. Gerlsma C, Hale WW 3rd. Predictive power and construct validity of the Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE) scale. Depressed out-patients and couples from the general community. Br J Psychiatry. 1997;170: 520–525. doi:10.1192/bjp.170.6.520

64. Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, Farley GK. The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. J Pers Assess. 1988;52: 30–41. doi:10.1207/s15327752jpa5201_2

65. Grant AM, Franklin J, Langford P. The Self-Reflection and Insight Scale: A New Measure of Private Self-Consciousness. Social Behavior and Personality An International Journal. 2002;30: 821–835. doi:10.2224/sbp.2002.30.8.821

66. Zygar C, Hagemeyer B, Pusch S, Schönbrodt FD. From motive dispositions to states to outcomes: An intensive experience sampling study on communal motivational dynamics in couples: From motive dispositions to states to outcomes. Eur J Pers. 2018;32: 306–324. doi:10.1002/per.2145

67. Schwarzer R. Skala zur Allgemeinen Selbstwirksamkeitserwartung. 1999.

68. Cornblatt BA, Auther AM, Niendam T, Smith CW, Zinberg J, Bearden CE, et al. Preliminary findings for two new measures of social and role functioning in the prodromal phase of schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 2007;33: 688–702. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbm029

69. Frumkin M, Piccirillo M, Beck ED, Grossman J, Rodebaugh T. Feasibility and utility of idiographic models in the clinic: A pilot study. Psychother Res. 2020. doi:10.1080/10503307.2020.1805133

70. Levinson CA, Vanzhula I, Brosof LC. Longitudinal and personalized networks of eating disorder cognitions and behaviors: Targets for precision intervention a proof of concept study. Int J Eat Disord. 2018;51: 1233–1243. doi:10.1002/eat.22952

71. Montag C, Sindermann C, Baumeister H. Digital phenotyping in psychological and medical sciences: a reflection about necessary prerequisites to reduce harm and increase benefits. Curr Opin Psychol. 2020;36: 19–24. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.03.013

72. Dagum P, Montag C. Ethical Considerations of Digital Phenotyping from the Perspective of a Healthcare Practitioner. In: Baumeister H, Montag C, editors. Digital Phenotyping and Mobile Sensing: New Developments in Psychoinformatics. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019. pp. 13–28. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-31620-4_2

73. van Genugten CR, Schuurmans J, Lamers F, Riese H, Penninx BW, Schoevers RA, et al. Experienced Burden of and Adherence to Smartphone-Based Ecological Momentary Assessment in Persons with Affective Disorders. J Clin Med Res. 2020;9. doi:10.3390/jcm9020322

74. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020. Available: https://www.R-project.org/

75. Hothorn T, Hornik K, van de Wiel M, Zeileis A. Implementing a Class of Permutation Tests: The coin Package. Journal of Statistical Software. 2008;28: 1–23. doi:10.18637/jss.v028.i08

76. Mansueto AC, Wiers R, van Weert J, Schouten BC, Epskamp S. Investigating the Feasibility of Idiographic Network Models. 2020. doi:10.31234/osf.io/hgcz6

77. Epskamp S, Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, Schmittmann VD, Borsboom D. qgraph: Network visualizations of relationships in psychometric data. J Stat Softw. 2012;48: 1–18. doi:10.18637/jss.v048.i04

78. Isvoranu A-M, Borsboom D, van Os J, Guloksuz S. A Network Approach to Environmental

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256804; this version posted November 30, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Impact in Psychotic Disorder: Brief Theoretical Framework. Schizophr Bull. 2016;42: 870–873. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbw049

79. van Nierop M, Viechtbauer W, Gunther N, van Zelst C, de Graaf R, Ten Have M, et al. Childhood trauma is associated with a specific admixture of affective, anxiety, and psychosis symptoms cutting across traditional diagnostic boundaries. Psychol Med. 2015;45: 1277–1288. doi:10.1017/S0033291714002372

80. van der Steen Y, Gimpel-Drees J, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Simons CJP, Lardinois M, et al. Clinical high risk for psychosis: the association between momentary stress, affective and psychotic symptoms. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2017;136: 63–73. doi:10.1111/acps.12714

81. Telford C, McCarthy-Jones S, Corcoran R, Rowse G. Experience Sampling Methodology studies of depression: the state of the art. Psychol Med. 2012;42: 1119–1129. doi:10.1017/S0033291711002200

82. So SH-W, Peters ER, Swendsen J, Garety PA, Kapur S. Changes in delusions in the early phase of antipsychotic treatment - an experience sampling study. Psychiatry Res. 2014;215: 568–573. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2013.12.033

83. Piccirillo ML, Rodebaugh TL. Foundations of idiographic methods in psychology and applications for psychotherapy. Clin Psychol Rev. 2019;71: 90–100. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2019.01.002

84. Insel TR. Digital Phenotyping: Technology for a New Science of Behavior. JAMA. 2017;318: 1215–1216. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.11295

85. Insel TR. Digital phenotyping: a global tool for psychiatry. World Psychiatry. 2018;17: 276–277. doi:10.1002/wps.20550

86. Baumeister H, Montag C, editors. Digital phenotyping and mobile sensing: New developments in psychoinformatics. Studies in neuroscience, psychology and behavioral economics. 2019;291. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-31620-4