Protocol for a bibliometric analysis and mapping review of parental anxiety and postpartum depression across the perinatal period

Dr. Justine Dol, Faculty of Health, Dalhousie University, Justine.dol@dal.ca (corresponding)

Dr. Marsha Campbell-Yeo, School of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Dalhousie University

Dr. Cindy-Lee Dennis, Lawrence S. Bloomberg Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto;

Women's Health Research Chair, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital

Dr. Patricia Leahy-Warren, School of Nursing & Midwifery, University College Cork

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this bibliometric analysis and mapping review is to describe the characteristics and trends in published research on anxiety and perinatal depression across the perinatal period from emergence to end of 2020.

Introduction: There has been significant growth in the literature around depression and anxiety across the perinatal period over the past decades. To focus future research and identify gaps, it is important to explore current patterns and trends in the current literature.

Inclusion criteria: All published literature with an English abstract on perinatal anxiety and depression as a primary or secondary outcome will be included up until December 31, 2020. Quantitative and qualitative studies will be eligible, with reviews, discussion papers, editorials, dissertations, conference abstracts, books/book chapters, book reviews, animal studies, and commentaries excluded.

Methods: Titles and abstracts will be screened by two reviewers with disagreements resolved through discussion. Data will be extracted from only the abstracts by one reviewer with 20% verified by a second reviewer. Meta-data of the articles will also be extracted. A narrative summary will accompany the charted results and will describe how the results relate to the reviews objective.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the perinatal period from pregnancy to the first year postpartum, women experience significant physical, psychological and social changes which may increase their risk of a mental illness, including anxiety and depression.[1] Over the years, there has been a growth in literature on perinatal mental illness and in 1994, postpartum depression was recognized in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-IV) as a Major Depressive Disorder with Postpartum Onset.[2] While there is no specific recognition in the DSM-V of anxiety specific to the perinatal experience, anxiety in all populations is the most common mental illnesses diagnosed each year.

Perinatal depression is a non-psychotic depressive episode that begins in or extends from pregnancy into the postpartum period, ranging from minor depressive symptoms to a clinical diagnosis.[3] Symptoms can include anxiety, guilt, negative maternal attitudes and attachment, poor parenting self-efficacy and coping skills, lasting up to 14 months postpartum.[3] While often comorbid with perinatal depression, perinatal anxiety is a separate mental health concern, shaped by fear and worry, rather than depressive thoughts, and can emerge as generalized anxiety, panic disorders, obsessive compulsive disorder, or post-traumatic stress disorder.[4] Anxiety can manifest itself as several symptoms, including "excessive and persistent fear, worry, and tension and regularly includes physical symptoms such as sleeping difficulties and inability to concentrate. Severe symptoms include panic and recurrent intrusive thoughts or images, often related to the harm of their child" (p.486).[5] While anxiety and depression may be pre-existing or may emerge in the antenatal period, studies have shown that it is important to re-assess for possible anxiety and depression in the postpartum period due to the changes that occur after birth and variation in symptoms across the perinatal period.[6,7]

Across the perinatal period, both anxiety and depression have shown to have high prevalence – up to 39% of pregnant and postpartum women have experienced anxiety symptoms and related disorders[8,9] and up to 24% of pregnant and 16% of postpartum women experience depressive symptoms.[10–12] It is not just women who experience anxiety and depression in the perinatal period, the prevalence of postpartum depression and postpartum anxiety symptoms for fathers is estimated around 10% and 18%, respectively,[13–15] yet only 3% of fathers seek treatment for mental health disorders.[16] Beyond prevalence, the literature on anxiety and depression across the perinatal period has focused on multifactorial risk factors that often guide the creation of preventive interventions[17,18] and treatments[19,20] as well as the impact on numerous maternal[21] and paternal outcomes.[22] Importantly, research has shown that perinatal mental illness in both mothers and fathers can negatively influence child outcomes including emotional negativity and behaviour problems.[21,23,24]

There has been significant growth in the literature around depression and anxiety across the perinatal period over the past decades, with significant variation in definition and subsequent measurements of these mental health outcomes[25–27] as well as co-reported psychosocial outcomes.[9,28,29] To focus future research and identify gaps, it is important to explore current patterns and trends in the current literature. To do this, we will use a bibliometric analysis approach, which seeks to comprehensively explore patterns in publications in a given research area, including trends over time and the influences of contributions by citations.[30] Bibliometric analyses has been used across a variety of academic disciplines to provide a broad overview of the current literature to provide description, evaluation, and scientific and technological monitoring.[30] This will be combined with a mapping review to map and categorize existing evidence in order to highlight gaps in the literature to inform future research.[31,32] While there

have been many reviews on anxiety and depression across the perinatal period, none to date have used this approach to map the evolution of published literature on perinatal mental health to characterize research outputs, distribution, and contributions. A preliminary search of MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and PROSPERO was conducted and no current or underway systematic reviews, scoping reviews, or bibliometric analysis on the topic were identified.

The objective of this bibliometric analysis and mapping review is to describe the characteristics and trends in published research on anxiety and perinatal depression across the perinatal period from emergence to end of 2020. The specific objectives of the bibliometric analysis are to:

1. Determine the quantity of research on perinatal anxiety and depression over time and by type of article (e.g., quantitative, qualitative) and topic (e.g., prevalence, intervention)
2. Map connections and contributions of articles
3. Classify research by geographical and disciplinary distributions of corresponding author
4. Citation score (i.e., the number of citations since publication) for each included article

The specific objectives of the mapping review are to:

5. Identity type of participants (e.g., healthy population, high-risk, maternal, paternal, or other)
6. Identify measurement tools used to measure anxiety and depression and explore timing of when measurement took place (e.g., antenatal vs. postpartum)
7. Map co-reported perinatal psychosocial outcomes (e.g., stress, maternal self-efficacy, social support, etc.).

METHODS

This study uses a bibliometric approach[30,33] combined with a mapping review.[31]

Search Strategy

The search strategy will aim to locate all published literature using several databases: PubMed, Web of Science, CINAHL, PsycINFO, and Scopus. The search strategy has been developed in consultation with a health science librarian. The search strategy, including all identified keywords and index terms, will be adapted for each included database.

The preliminary search strategy for PubMed is (("Perinatal"[Title/Abstract] OR "Peripartum"[Title/Abstract] OR “antenatal"[Title/Abstract] OR "pregnant, women"[MeSH Terms] OR "Paternal"[Title/Abstract] OR "pregnan*"[Title/Abstract] OR "postpartum"[Title/Abstract] OR "postnatal"[Title/Abstract] OR “after birth"[Title/Abstract]) AND ("depressi*"[Title/Abstract] OR "depression"[MeSH Terms]) OR ("anxiety"[MeSH Terms] OR "anxiet*"[Title/Abstract]) OR "anxious*"[Title/Abstract] OR "baby blues"[Title/Abstract] OR (postpartum depression[MeSH Terms])).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All published literature with an English abstract on perinatal anxiety and depression will be considered for inclusion published since database inception to December 31, 2020. As most non-English articles have an English abstract, this will enhance the representativeness of the findings. An article will be included if it reports on perinatal depression or perinatal anxiety as a primary or secondary outcome as stated in the abstract. Eligible peer review literature will include randomized controlled trials, observational studies (e.g., cross-sectional, cohort, etc.), and quasi-experimental (e.g., before and after studies and interrupted time-series studies). Qualitative studies will also be considered with no limit on type of approach (e.g.,

phenomenology, grounded theory, qualitative description). Reviews, discussion papers, editorials, dissertations, conference abstracts, books/book chapters, book reviews, animal studies, and commentaries/letters to the editors will be excluded. Reviews (e.g., systematic reviews, umbrella reviews, meta-analysis) will be mined for original studies to ensure full capture of eligible studies.

Study/Source of Evidence selection

Following the search, all identified citations will be collated and uploaded into Covidence and duplicates removed. For feasibility, titles and abstracts will be screened by two reviewers against the inclusion criteria for the review with disagreements resolved through discussion or a third reviewer. Articles with no abstract available will be documented and excluded. Due to the vast amount of literature likely to be identified, full-text screening will not occur, which has been used before in other bibliometric analyses and mapping reviews,[31,34,35] all screening will occur based on title and abstract. Reasons for exclusion of sources of evidence at the data extraction stage that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be reported in the final report. The results of the search and the study inclusion process will be reported in full using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA).[36]

Data Extraction

Data will be extracted from only the abstracts of included papers by one independent reviewer using a data extraction tool developed by the authors hosted in REDCap.[37] From the included studies, 20% will be randomly selected to be verified by a second reviewer with disagreements resolved through discussion or a third reviewer.[38] The data extracted will include specific details about the outcomes identified above. Meta-data of the articles will also be extracted from the included studies.

A draft extraction form is in Appendix I and will be piloted by at least two reviewers with 10 articles to ensure completeness and usability, similar to other bibliometrics analyses.[39] The draft data extraction tool will be modified and revised as necessary prior to full data extraction. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewers. As this is a bibliometric analysis and mapping review, no critical appraisal of the articles will occur.[31,33]

Bibliographic information collected will include the names and affiliations of the corresponding author, year of publication, country where study occurred, and journal. The number of citations for each article will be obtained from both Scopus and Web of Science databases. Information regarding the study characteristics will be recorded on the objective, study design, topic, type of participants, primary measurement tools, measurement timing, and co-reported postpartum outcomes. Any data that is not able to be ascertained from the abstract will be classified as missing.

Data Analysis and Presentation

Descriptive statistics will be based on percentages for categorical variables and medians and means for continuous variables. Articles will be grouped together based on whether it discussed anxiety or depression, or both and whether the study sample included antenatal or postnatal or both participants and by gender. A narrative summary will accompany the charted results and will describe how the results relate to the reviews objective. Exploration of the bibliometric data will be conducted using relevant software (e.g., bibliometrix in R, VOSviewer, Excel, SPSS, or other).

Appendix I: Data Extraction Form

Covidence #:

Article Title:

Exclude as review: Yes No

Focus of paper (select all that apply): antenatal depression, postpartum depression, antenatal anxiety, postpartum anxiety

Corresponding Author Name:

Corresponding Author Country: [drop down list of countries]

Corresponding Author Discipline: Medicine, Psychology, Nursing, Social Work, Other

Journal:

Type of article: original study - quantitative, original study – qualitative, theoretical/discussion

Methodological approach (quantitative): longitudinal study, cross-sectional study, cohort study, randomized controlled trial, non-randomized controlled trial, before-after study, other

Methodological approach (qualitative): phenomenology, grounded theory, qualitative description, other

Topic of article: Prevalence/incidence, screening/assessment, symptoms, theoretical model, biological factors, intervention development, intervention evaluation, treatment, other

Objective of article: [open text]

Participants: Pregnant women, postpartum women, fathers, partners, healthcare provider, Other

Description of participant: Low-risk, high-risk, community sample, primary care, research study, healthcare provider, other, not specified

Define high-risk population: [open text]

Does the study measure depression? Yes [show depression questions below] No [SKIP]

Does the study measure anxiety? Yes [show anxiety questions below] No [SKIP]

Measurement tool used (depression): Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS), Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI), Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D), Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ), Inventory to Diagnose Depression (IDD), Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D), Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS), Standardised Psychiatric Interview, Other

Measurement timing used (depression): First Trimester (0-13 weeks), Second Trimester (14-27 weeks), Third Trimester (28-42 weeks), At birth, Immediate postpartum (up to 6 weeks), 6 weeks to 6 months, 6-12 months, 12+ months

Measurement tool used (anxiety): General Health Questionnaire (GHQ), State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales (HADS), Postpartum Specific Anxiety Scale (PSAS), Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS) – Anxiety Sub-Scale, Pregnancy Anxiety Scale, Beck Anxiety Inventory, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale, Standardised Psychiatric Interview, other

Measurement timing used (anxiety): First Trimester (0-13 weeks), Second Trimester (14-27 weeks), Third Trimester (28-42 weeks), At birth, Immediate postpartum (up to 6 weeks), 6 weeks to 6 months, 6-12 months, 12+ months

Co-reported psychosocial outcomes: stress, self-efficacy, social support, sleep, resilience, other, none

Co-reported infant outcomes: breastfeeding, development, sleep, parental-infant bonding, other, none

References

1 O'Hara MW, Wisner KL. Perinatal mental illness: Definition, description and aetiology. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2014; **28**: 3–12.

2 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th Editio. 2013.

3 Lanes A, Kuk JL, Tamim H. Prevalence and characteristics of Postpartum Depression symptomatology among Canadian women: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2011; **11**: 302.

4 Ali E. Women's experiences with postpartum anxiety disorders: A narrative literature review. *Int J Womens Health* 2018; **10**: 237–49.

5 Dennis CL, Falah-Hassani K, Brown HK, Vigod SN. Identifying women at risk for postpartum anxiety: a prospective population-based study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2016; **134**: 485–93.

6 Bayrampour H, Tomfohr L, Tough S. Trajectories of perinatal depressive and anxiety symptoms in a community cohort. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2016; **77**: e1467–73.

7 Andersson L, Sundström-Poromaa I, Wulff M, Åström M, Bixo M. Depression and anxiety during pregnancy and six months postpartum: A follow-up study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2006; **85**: 937–44.

8 Fairbrother N, Janssen P, Antony MM, Tucker E, Young AH. Perinatal anxiety disorder prevalence and incidence. *J Affect Disord* 2016; **200**: 148–55.

9 Leach LS, Poyser C, Fairweather-Schmidt K. Maternal perinatal anxiety: A review of prevalence and correlates. *Clin Psychol* 2017; **21**: 4–19.

10 Leung BMY, Kaplan BJ. Perinatal Depression: Prevalence, Risks, and the Nutrition Link-A Review of the Literature. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2009; **109**: 1566–75.

11 Dennis CL, Brown HK, Falah-Hassani K, Marini FC, Vigod SN. Identifying women at risk for sustained postpartum anxiety. *J Affect Disord* 2017; **213**: 131–7.

12 Dennis C-L, Falah-Hassani K, Shiri R. Prevalence of antenatal and postnatal anxiety: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2017; **210**: 315–23.

13 Leach LS, Poyser C, Cooklin AR, Giallo R. Prevalence and course of anxiety disorders (and symptom levels) in men across the perinatal period: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* 2016; **190**: 675–86.

14 Paulson J, Bazemore S. Prenatal and postpartum depression in fathers and its association with maternal depression: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2010; **303**: 1961–9.

15 Leiferman JA, Farewell C V., Jewell J, *et al.* Anxiety among fathers during the prenatal and postpartum period: a meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynecol* 2021; **0**: 1–10.

16 Isacco A, Hofscher R, Molloy S. An examination of fathers' mental health help seeking: A brief report. *Am J Mens Health* 2016; **10**: N33–8.

17 Rominov H, Pilkington PD, Giallo R, Whelan TA. A systematic review of interventions targeting paternal mental health in the perinatal period. *Infant Ment Health J* 2016; **37**: 289–301.

18 Dol J, Richardson B, Tomblin Murphy G, Aston M, McMillan D, Campbell-Yeo M. Impact of mHealth interventions during the perinatal period on maternal psychosocial outcomes: a systematic review. *JBI Evid Synth* 2020; **18**: 30–55.

19 Cluxton-Keller F, Bruce ML. Clinical effectiveness of family therapeutic interventions in the prevention and treatment of perinatal depression: A systematic review and meta-

analysis. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0198730.

20 Fitelson E, Kim S, Baker AS, Leight K. Treatment of postpartum depression: Clinical, psychological and pharmacological options. *Int J Womens Health* 2011; **3**: 1–14.

21 Slomian J, Honvo G, Emonts P, Reginster JY, Bruyère O. Consequences of maternal postpartum depression: A systematic review of maternal and infant outcomes. 2019 DOI:10.1177/1745506519844044.

22 Bruno A, Celebre L, Mento C, *et al.* When fathers begin to falter: A comprehensive review on paternal perinatal depression. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17**. DOI:10.3390/ijerph17041139.

23 Dennis CL, McQueen K. The relationship between infant-feeding outcomes and postpartum depression: A qualitative systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2009; **123**. DOI:10.1542/peds.2008-1629.

24 Prenoveau JM, Craske MG, West V, *et al.* Maternal postnatal depression and anxiety and their association with child emotional negativity and behavior problems at two years. *Dev Psychol* 2017; **53**: 50–62.

25 Meades R, Ayers S. Anxiety measures validated in perinatal populations: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **133**: 1–15.

26 Ukatu N, Clare CA, Brulja M. Postpartum Depression Screening Tools: A Review. *Psychosomatics* 2018; **59**: 211–9.

27 Sinesi A, Maxwell M, O'Carroll R, Cheyne H. Anxiety scales used in pregnancy: systematic review. *BJPsych Open* 2019; **5**: 1–13.

28 Norhayati MN, Nik Hazlina NH, Asrenee AR, Wan Emilin WMA. Magnitude and risk factors for postpartum symptoms: A literature review. *J Affect Disord* 2015; **175**: 34–52.

29 Wee KY, Skouteris H, Pier C, Richardson B, Milgrom J. Correlates of ante- and postnatal depression in fathers: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **130**: 358–77.

30 Elaine Gauthier. Bibliometric analysis of scientific and technological research: A user's guide th the methodology. 1998.

31 Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Info Libr J* 2009; **26**: 91–108.

32 Sutton A, Clowes M, Preston L, Booth A. Meeting the review family: exploring review types and associated information retrieval requirements. *Health Info Libr J* 2019; **36**: 202–22.

33 Linnenluecke MK, Marrone M, Singh AK. Conducting systematic literature reviews and bibliometric analyses. *Aust J Manag* 2020; **45**: 175–94.

34 Caes L, Boerner KE, Chambers CT, *et al.* A comprehensive categorical and bibliometric analysis of published research articles on pediatric pain from 1975-2010. *Pain* 2016; : 1.

35 Hsu C-L, Chiang C-H. Electronic Commerce Research Profiles: Comparing E-Commerce and Information Systems Journals. *Pacific Asia J Assoc Inf Syst* 2017; **9**: 49–66.

36 Page M, McKenzie J, Bossuyt P, *et al.* The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *MetaArXiv Prepr* 2020; : 1–36.

37 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inf* 2009; **42**: 377–81.

38 Struck S, Stewart-Tufescu A, Asmundson AJN, Asmundson GGJ, Afifi TO. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) research: A bibliometric analysis of publication trends over the first 20 years. *Child Abus Negl* 2021; **112**: 104895.

39 Moodley J, Singh V, Kagina BM, Abdullahi L, Hussey GD. A bibliometric analysis of cancer research in South Africa: Study protocol. *BMJ Open* 2015; **5**: 1–4.